

IPSOS / REUTERS POLL DATA

Prepared by Ipsos Public Affairs

Ipsos Poll Conducted for Reuters

Samsung Galaxy 11.22.2016

These are findings from an Ipsos poll conducted October 26 – November 9,2016 on behalf Thomson Reuters. For the survey, a sample of roughly 7,514 adults age 18+ from the continental U.S., Alaska and Hawaii was interviewed online in English.

The sample for this study was randomly drawn from Ipsos's online panel (see link below for more info on "Access Panels and Recruitment"), partner online panel sources, and "river" sampling (see link below for more info on the Ipsos "Ampario Overview" sample method) and does not rely on a population frame in the traditional sense. Ipsos uses fixed sample targets, unique to each study, in drawing sample. After a sample has been obtained from the Ipsos panel, Ipsos calibrates respondent characteristics to be representative of the U.S. Population using standard procedures such as raking-ratio adjustments. The source of these population targets is U.S. Census 2015 American Community Survey data. The sample drawn for this study reflects fixed sample targets on demographics. Post-hoc weights were made to the population characteristics on gender, age, region, race/ethnicity and income.

Statistical margins of error are not applicable to online polls. All sample surveys and polls may be subject to other sources of error, including, but not limited to coverage error and measurement error. Where figures do not sum to 100, this is due to the effects of rounding. The precision of Ipsos online polls is measured using a credibility interval. In this case, the poll has a credibility interval of plus or minus 1.3 percentage points for all respondents (see link below for more info on Ipsos online polling "Credibility Intervals"). Ipsos calculates a design effect (DEFF) for each study based on the variation of the weights, following the formula of Kish (1965). This study had a credibility interval adjusted for design effect of the following (n=7,514, DEFF=1.5, adjusted Confidence Interval=2.8).

For more information about Ipsos online polling methodology, please go here http://goo.ql/yJBkuf

		<u>Total</u>
AB10_232 - AwarenessCell phones that are catching fire, smoking, or bursting into flames	Yes	79%
	No	21%
	Total	7514
AB10_233 - AwarenessSamsung's discontinuation	Yes	70%
of the Galaxy Note 7 smart phone due to safety	No	30%
concerns	Total	7514
TM1058Y16 - If you were to purchase a new smart phone tomorrow, which brand would you first consider?	Apple	34%
	Google	5%
	Samsung	26%
	Motorola	5%
	Another Android phone	11%
	Other	2%
	Don't know	16%
	Total	7505
TM1059Y16_1 - Would not consider purchasing the following brand of smart phoneApple	No	77%
	Yes	23%
	Total	7505
TM1059Y16_2 - Would not consider purchasing the following brand of smart phoneGoogle	No	90%
	Yes	10%
	Total	7505
	No	77%

IPSOS / REUTERS POLL DATA Prepared by Ipsos Public Affairs

TM1059Y16_3 - Would not consider purchasing the	Yes	23%
following brand of smart phoneSamsung	Total	7505
TMAOFOVAC A Mould not consider numbering the	No	83%
TM1059Y16_4 - Would not consider purchasing the	Yes	17%
following brand of smart phoneMotorola	Total	7505
TM1059Y16_5 - Would not consider purchasing the	No	90%
following brand of smart phoneAnother Android	Yes	10%
phone	Total	7505
TNA10F0V1C C Mould not consider numbering the	No	96%
TM1059Y16_6 - Would not consider purchasing the	Yes	4%
following brand of smart phoneOther	Total	7505
T1440F0V46 7 W	No	65%
TM1059Y16_7 - Would not consider purchasing the	Yes	35%
following brand of smart phoneDon't know	Total	7505
	Yes – I own an iPhone now	38%
	Yes – I used to own an iPhone	10%
TM1060Y16 - Do you currently own an iPhone, or	No – I have never owned an iPhone	47%
have you ever owned an iPhone?	I do not own a cell phone	5%
	Total	7505
	Yes – I own a Samsung smart phone now	31%
	Yes – I used to own a Samsung smart	3170
TM1061Y16 - Do you currently own a Samsung	phone	17%
smart phone, or have you ever owned a Samsung	No – I have never owned a Samsung	
smart phone, or have you ever owned a Samsung smart phone?	smart phone	48%
smart phone:	I do not own a cell phone	5%
	Total	7505
TM781Y16 - How likely are you to buy another iPhone in the future?	Very likely	64%
	Somewhat likely	21%
	Not very likely	6%
	Not at all likely	7%
irilone in the luture:	Don't know	3%
	Total	3911
	Very likely	59%
Than OCOVAC	Somewhat likely	23%
TM1062Y16 - And how likely are you to buy another	Not very likely	7%
Apple product in the future?	Not at all likely	6%
	Don't know	4%
	Total	3911
	Very likely	49%
Th 440 CDV4 C . II . I'I . I	Somewhat likely	29%
TM1063Y16 - How likely are you to buy another Samsung smart phone in the future?	Not very likely	10%
	Not at all likely	6%
	Don't know	5%
	Total	3648
	Very likely	49%
	Somewhat likely	33%
TM1064Y16 - And how likely are you to buy another	Not very likely	8%
Samsung product in the future?	Not at all likely	5%
	Don't know	5%
	Total	3648
TM1065Y16 - Compared to other types of smart phones, do you think that Samsung phones are	Safer than other phones No different to other phones	12%



IPSOS / REUTERS POLL DATA Prepared by Ipsos Public Affairs

generally safer than, no different, or less safe than	Less safe than other phones	23%
other phones?	Don't know	21%
	Total	7505
	More attractive looking than other	200/
TM1066Y16 - Compared to other types of smart	phones	26%
phones, do you think that Samsung phones are	No different to other phones	42%
generally more attractive looking, no different, or	Less attractive looking than other phones	10%
less attractive looking than other phones?	Don't know	22%
	Total	7505
TM1067Y16 - Compared to other types of smart phones, do you think that Samsung phones	Have more features and functions than	29%
	other phones	
	Are not different from other phones	34%
generally have more features/functions, no	Have fewer features and functions than	00/
different, or fewer features/functions than other phones?	other phones	8%
	Don't know	28%
	Total	7505



IPSOS / REUTERS POLL DATA

Prepared by Ipsos Public Affairs

How to Calculate Bayesian Credibility Intervals

The calculation of credibility intervals assumes that Y has a binomial distribution conditioned on the parameter θ \, i.e., Y | θ ^Bin(n, θ), where n is the size of our sample. In this setting, Y counts the number of "yes", or "1", observed in the sample, so that the sample mean (\overline{y}) is a natural estimate of the true population proportion θ . This model is often called the likelihood function, and it is a standard concept in both the Bayesian and the Classical framework. The Bayesian ¹ statistics combines both the prior distribution and the likelihood function to create a posterior distribution. The posterior distribution represents our opinion about which are the plausible values for θ adjusted after observing the sample data. In reality, the posterior distribution is one's knowledge base updated using the latest survey information. For the prior and likelihood functions specified here, the posterior distribution is also a beta distribution ($\pi(\theta/y)^{\sim}\theta(y+a,n-y+b)$), but with updated hyper-parameters.

Our credibility interval for ϑ is based on this posterior distribution. As mentioned above, these intervals represent our belief about which are the most plausible values for ϑ given our updated knowledge base. There are different ways to calculate these intervals based on $\pi(\theta/y)$. Since we want only one measure of precision for all variables in the survey, analogous to what is done within the Classical framework, we will compute the largest possible credibility interval for any observed sample. The worst case occurs when we assume that a=1 and b=1 and y=n/2. Using a simple approximation of the posterior by the normal distribution, the 95% credibility interval is given by, approximately:

$$\bar{y} \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

For this poll, the Bayesian Credibility Interval was adjusted using standard weighting design effect 1+L=1.3 to account for complex weighting²

Examples of credibility intervals for different base sizes are below. Ipsos does not publish data for base sizes (sample sizes) below 100.

Sample size	Credibility intervals
2,000	2.5
1,500	2.9
1,000	3.5
750	4.1
500	5.0
350	6.0
200	7.9
100	11.2