



Threats and World Affairs Global Advisor Survey

Ipsos reference:Global Advisor SurveyThis disclosure statement complies with theRelease Date:26 November 2024Australian Polling Council Code of Conduct.*

Short Methodology Disclosure Statement		
F1a.	Research company conducting the research	Ipsos
F1b.	Client commissioning the research	This study was conducted on behalf of the Halifax International Security Forum
F1c.	Name of end client	Halifax International Security Forum
F1d.	Fieldwork dates	September 20th and October 4th, 2024
F1e.	Data collection methodology and (F1f.) split	Online
F1g.	Target population	Australian adults aged 16-74 years
F1h.	Sample size	n= 1,000
F1i.	Compliant with Australian Polling Council Code.	Yes
F1j.	URL for Long Methodology Disclosure Statement	https://www.ipsos.com/en-au/disclosure statements
F1k.	Voting intention published	No

Long Methodology Disclosure Statement			
F2a.	Effective sample size after weighting	Effective sample size = n=973	
F2b.	Error margin associated with the effective sample size for the research.	Credibility Interval is +/-3.5 For information on the Ipsos use of credibility intervals, visit: : https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/publication/documents/2021-03/credibility_intervals_for_online_polling2021.pdf	
F2c.	Variables used for weighting, population data source	For Australia: gender, age, and location. The source of population data weighted to is the 2016 Australian Census	
F2d.	Weighting methodology used	Rim weighting	
F2e.	Full question text	See below	
F2f.	**Prior questions which may have materially influenced results	Not Applicable	
F2g.	**Proportion of completed phone interviews by type	Not applicable	
F2h.	**Source of online sample used.	Respondents selected from existing panels of people agreeing to take part in surveys	
**If vc	oting intention is published		
G2b.	Ability to provide 'undecided' response	Not applicable	
G2c.	Do voting intention figures exclude 'undecided'	Not applicable	
G2e.	Method of calculating 2PP	Not applicable	

^{*}Relevant clauses of the Code of Conduct are noted for each item

The Australian Polling Council Code of Conduct can be found here.

^{**}This item may not be applicable





DH1. And, now some questions about [COUNTRY]'s involvement in world affairs. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements? (PLEASE SELECT ONE FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS)

[GRID DOWN, RANDOMIZE]

- Given the difficult economic issues in [COUNTRY] today, [COUNTRY] needs to focus less on the world, and more at home. [NOT ASKED IN CHINA]
- [COUNTRY] has a responsibility to be a moral leader in the world and set an example for other countries to follow.
- [COUNTRY] should work with other countries towards global goals, even if COUNTRY] does not always get exactly what we want.
- [COUNTRY] is a good example of a democracy

[GRID ACROSS, FLIP GRID FOR 50%]

Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree

DH2. Thinking about the next decade, would you say the following countries or organizations will have an overall positive or a negative influence on world affairs? (PLEASE SELECT ONE FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS)

[GRID DOWN, RANDOMIZE]

- Canada
- European Union
- Germany
- [COUNTRY] [DO NOT ASK IN CANADA, GERMANY, FRANCE, CHINA, INDIA, US, RUSSIA, UK, SAUDI ARABIA]
- United Nations
- France
- China [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA]
- The World Bank
- The International Monetary Fund (or IMF)
- India
- United States
- Russia
- Israel [DO NOT ASK IN SAUDI ARABIA]
- Iran
- Great Britain
- NATO
- Pakistan
- Saudi Arabia

[GRID ACROSS, FLIP GRID FOR 50%]

Strongly positive Somewhat positive Somewhat negative Strongly negative

DH3. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements: Sydney | Perth | Brisbane | Perth | Prick | Prick

Level 2 51 Berry Street North Sydney NSW 2060 T: +61 2 9900 5100





[GRID DOWN. RANDOMIZE] [PROGRESSIVE GRID]

- When you really think about it, more things are getting better in the world these days than are getting worse.
- Economic power is more important in world affairs than military power. [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA]
- Over the last year the world became more dangerous
- Given the dangers in the world, my government needs to spend more on my country's military [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- I expect in the next 25 years we could see another world conflict involving superpowers similar to World Wars 1 and 2.
- The revolution in digital technology will undermine and destroy the democratic freedoms that exist in the world today.
- I would have more respect for Global institutions and agreements if democratic nations had more influence over them than authoritarian nations.
- When it comes to achieving positive outcomes in the world, the United States continues to be the most reliable leader for democratic nations.
- The problems in Ukraine are none of [COUNTRY]'s business and we should not interfere.
- I fear that in the next year or so China could take similar actions in Asia that Russia has taken in Ukraine.
- Free trade is beneficial for my country's economy
- Democracy, human rights and the rule of law are universal values that all nations deserve and can aspire to.
- The United States and its allies, including the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) make the world more secure.
- Ukraine should fight on until full victory, including expelling Russia from its territory.
- China, Russia, Iran and North Korea working more closely together will make the world more secure.
- Jewish people in Israel and around the world are to blame for instability/conflict in the Middle East
- Muslim people are to blame for instability/conflict in the Middle East
- Outside powers such as the US and/or Europe and China are to blame for instability/conflict in the Middle East

[GRID ACROSS, FLIP GRID FOR 50%]

Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree Not Sure

DH4_NEW. As you know, the United States is holding its presidential election on November 5th. Which outcome, in your view, would help the world be more secure?

[rotate code 1 and 2; single punch] More secure if Kamala Harris wins More secure if Donald Trump wins Doesn't matter which candidate wins

DH5_NEW. Which of the following is closest to your point of view:

[rotate, single punch]

Democracy, human rights and the rule of law are "Western Values" and only possible in those countries. Democracy, human rights and the rule of law are "Universal Values" that all nations deserve and can aspire to





[THREAT INDEX]

[ASK IN ALL CORE COUNTRIES]

[ASK IN COLOMBIA, THAILAND, INDONESIA, IRELAND] [DO NOT ASK IN RUSSIA]

[LAST FIELDED IN OCTOBER 2023, WAVE 188. CHANGES HIGHLIGHTED BELOW. DO NOT SCRIPT FROM SCRATCH]

BE1. How real do you feel the threat is of any of the following happening in the next twelve months—a very real threat, a somewhat real threat, not much of a real threat or not a real threat at all? (PLEASE SELECT ONE FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS)

[GRID DOWN. RANDOMIZE]

- A major health epidemic breaking out in [COUNTRY]
- A major natural disaster occurring in [COUNTRY]
- A terrorist attack taking place in [COUNTRY] [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- A violent conflict breaking out between ethnic or minority groups in [COUNTRY] [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- [COUNTRY] being involved in an armed conflict with another nation [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- A nuclear, biological or chemical attack taking place somewhere in the world [DO NOT ASK IN SAUDI ARABIA]
- The personal safety and security for you or your family members being violated [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- Some person, organization or country hacking into either your public, private or personal information system for fraudulent or espionage purposes [DO NOT ASK IN SAUDI ARABIA]
- An Artificial Intelligence-based defense system becoming a threat to humanity by breaking free of human control
- Some person, organization [USE 'organization' IN US AND CANADA], or country deliberately spreading disinformation to influence public opinion in [COUNTRY]

[GRID ACROSS, FLIP GRID FOR 50%]

Very real threat Somewhat real threat Not much of a real threat Not a real threat at all

[DO NOT ASK BE2 FOR CHINA]

BE2. And, if any of the following were to happen in the next twelve months, how confident are you that the appropriate levels of security and protection could be provided by your government or its agencies could respond effectively to?

(PLEASE SELECT ONE FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS)

[GRID DOWN. RANDOMIZE]

- A major health epidemic breaking out in [COUNTRY]
- A major natural disaster occurring in [COUNTRY]
- A terrorist attack taking place in [COUNTRY] [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- A violent conflict breaking out between ethnic or minority groups in [COUNTRY] [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]





- [COUNTRY] being involved in an armed conflict with another nation [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- A nuclear, biological or chemical attack taking place somewhere in the world [DO NOT ASK IN SAUDI ARABIA]
- The personal safety and security of you or your family being violated [DO NOT ASK IN CHINA AND SAUDI ARABIA]
- Some person, organization or country hacking into either your public, private or personal information system for fraudulent or espionage purposes [DO NOT ASK IN SAUDI ARABIA]
- An Artificial Intelligence-based defense system becoming a threat to humanity by breaking free of human control
- Some person, organization [USE 'organization' IN US AND CANADA], or country deliberately spreading disinformation to influence public opinion in [COUNTRY]

[GRID ACROSS, FLIP GRID FOR 50%]

Very confident Somewhat confident Not very confident Not confident at all