

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
●	1	1	QWC01 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in football?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	2	2	QWC01 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in football?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	3	3	QWC01 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in football?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	4	4	QWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL	1199
●	5	5	QWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL	1199
●	7	6	QWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL	1199
●	9	7	QWC03 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in the men's football FIFA World Cup?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	10	8	QWC03 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in the men's football FIFA World Cup?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	11	9	QWC03 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in the men's football FIFA World Cup?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	12	10	QWC04 As you may know, the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022 is due to take place in Qatar. To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	13	11	QWC04 As you may know, the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022 is due to take place in Qatar. To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	14	12	QWC04 As you may know, the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022 is due to take place in Qatar. To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	15	13	QWC05A The men's football FIFA World Cup in Qatar in 2022 has been moved from June-July 2022 to between 21st November to 18th December 2022 for health and safety reasons. Holding the Qatar World Cup in November to December 2022 will mean English Premier League fixtures would need to be re-scheduled to take a break during these months. To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ (HALF SAMPLE)	1058

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
●	16	14	QWC05A The men's football FIFA World Cup in Qatar in 2022 has been moved from June-July 2022 to between 21st November to 18th December 2022 for health and safety reasons. Holding the Qatar World Cup in November to December 2022 will mean English Premier League fixtures would need to be re-scheduled to take a break during these months. To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ (HALF SAMPLE)	1058
●	17	15	QWC05A The men's football FIFA World Cup in Qatar in 2022 has been moved from June-July 2022 to between 21st November to 18th December 2022 for health and safety reasons. Holding the Qatar World Cup in November to December 2022 will mean English Premier League fixtures would need to be re-scheduled to take a break during these months. To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ (HALF SAMPLE)	1058
●	18	16	QWC06 To what extent, are you in favour of or opposed to an independent investigation into the way the Qatar World Cup bid team ran its campaign, or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	19	17	QWC06 To what extent, are you in favour of or opposed to an independent investigation into the way the Qatar World Cup bid team ran its campaign, or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	20	18	QWC06 To what extent, are you in favour of or opposed to an independent investigation into the way the Qatar World Cup bid team ran its campaign, or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	21	19	QWC07 Should Qatar no longer be able to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent, are you in favour or opposed to the English Football Association putting England forward to host the 2022 FIFA World Cup, or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	22	20	QWC07 Should Qatar no longer be able to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent, are you in favour or opposed to the English Football Association putting England forward to host the 2022 FIFA World Cup, or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	23	21	QWC07 Should Qatar no longer be able to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent, are you in favour or opposed to the English Football Association putting England forward to host the 2022 FIFA World Cup, or do you have no feelings either way?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	24	22	QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?	BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup	808

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
●	29	23	QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?	BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup	808
●	34	24	QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?	BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup	808
●	40	25	QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?	BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup	142
	42	26	QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?	BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup	142
●	44	27	QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?	BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup	142
●	46	28	QWC07A And if the English Football Association did put England forward to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent would you be more or less likely, if at all, to be in favour of it if some of the matches were to be played in Wales/Scotland/NI?	BASE: All adults aged 15+ in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland	371
●	47	29	QWC07A And if the English Football Association did put England forward to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent would you be more or less likely, if at all, to be in favour of it if some of the matches were to be played in Wales/Scotland/NI?	BASE: All adults aged 15+ in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland	371
●	48	30	QWC07A And if the English Football Association did put England forward to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent would you be more or less likely, if at all, to be in favour of it if some of the matches were to be played in Wales/Scotland/NI?	BASE: All adults aged 15+ in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland	371
●	49	31	QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	51	32	QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	53	33	QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	55	34	QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?	BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup	1771
●	57	35	QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?	BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup	1771
●	59	36	QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?	BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup	1771

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
●	61	37	QWC12 If England should host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, on balance would you expect it to have a positive or negative impact on the economy in your local area, or would it make no difference?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	62	38	QWC12 If England should host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, on balance would you expect it to have a positive or negative impact on the economy in your local area, or would it make no difference?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	63	39	QWC12 If England should host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, on balance would you expect it to have a positive or negative impact on the economy in your local area, or would it make no difference?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	64	40	QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	67	41	QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	70	42	QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	73	43	QWC13 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, how likely or unlikely do you think you would be to apply to pay for a ticket to attend a world cup match in England?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	74	44	QWC13 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, how likely or unlikely do you think you would be to apply to pay for a ticket to attend a world cup match in England?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	75	45	QWC13 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, how likely or unlikely do you think you would be to apply to pay for a ticket to attend a world cup match in England?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	76	46	QWC14 Assuming you could get a ticket, which, if any, of these cities would you be willing to travel to in order to attend a world cup match?	BASE: All who would be likely to apply for a ticket to attend a World Cup match in England	658
●	77	47	QWC14 Assuming you could get a ticket, which, if any, of these cities would you be willing to travel to in order to attend a world cup match?	BASE: All who would be likely to apply for a ticket to attend a World Cup match in England	658
●	78	48	QWC14 Assuming you could get a ticket, which, if any, of these cities would you be willing to travel to in order to attend a world cup match?	BASE: All who would be likely to apply for a ticket to attend a World Cup match in England	658

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
●	79	49	DEMOGRAPHICS / AGE / GENDER / SOCIAL GRADE / MARITAL STATUS	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	82	50	DEMOGRAPHICS / AGE / GENDER / SOCIAL GRADE	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141
●	85	51	DEMOGRAPHICS / AGE / GENDER / SOCIAL GRADE / MARITAL STATUS	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+	2141

Table 1
QWC01 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in football?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS			
TOTAL		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Unweighted Base		2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339
	Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
Very interested	(4)	419 20%	62 20%	57 16%	87 26%bf	83 23%bf	59 19%	72 15%	350 33%h	70 6%	111 19%j	132 22%j	103 24%j	73 14%	251 22%o	123 18%	44 15%
Fairly interested	(3)	426 20%	63 20%	73 20%	54 16%	71 19%	55 18%	111 23%cf	261 25%h	164 15%	103 18%	122 20%	96 22%	105 20%	233 20%	124 18%	66 22%
Not very interested	(2)	338 16%	64 20%ce	66 18%	45 14%	54 15%	43 14%	67 15%	157 17%	181 18%	107 19%k	102 17%k	52 12%	77 15%	182 16%	112 17%	41 14%
Not at all interested	(1)	957 45%	125 40%	161 45%	144 44%	157 43%	147 46%a	224 47%a	279 27%	678 62%g	259 45%	247 41%	187 43%	265 51%k	493 43%	313 47%	148 50%l
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: Interested		845 39%	125 40%	129 36%	140 43%	154 42%	114 38%	182 39%	610 58%h	233 21%	214 37%	254 42%j	200 46%l	178 34%	484 42%n	247 37%	110 30%
NET: Not interested		1296 61%	190 60%	226 64%	189 57%	211 58%	189 62%	291 61%	436 42%	860 79%g	367 63%k	349 58%	239 54%	342 66%j	675 56%	425 63%	170 63%
MEAN		2.14	2.20	2.07	2.25f	2.22	2.09	2.06	2.65h	1.66	2.11	2.23l	2.27l	1.97	2.21n	2.08	2.02
ERROR VARIANCE		*	*	*	0.01	0.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
STANDARD ERROR		0.03	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k - m/n
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 2
QWC01 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in football?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		WORKING STATUS				GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
TOTAL		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Unweighted Base	2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240	
Weighted Base	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271	
Very interested	(4)	419 20%	243 26%bc	29 11%	148 16%	23 15%	40 20%	54 19%	23 27%dk l	45 19%	43 24%k	58 20%	25 14%	15 15%	39 21%	41 23%k	14 23%	372 20%	47 17%
Fairly interested	(3)	426 20%	184 20%	37 14%	205 22%b	31 20%	41 21%	63 22%l	15 18%	50 21%	42 23%l	66 23%l	29 16%	12 12%	31 17%	34 19%	12 20%	357 19%	69 25%k
Not very interested	(2)	338 16%	146 16%	52 20%	140 15%	23 15%	36 18%	55 20%ij	11 13%	37 16%	21 11%	33 11%	24 12%	33 24%ij k	32 18%	13 20%ij	298 16%	40 15%	
Not at all interested	(1)	957 45%	361 39%	140 55%a	456 48%a	78 50%l	83 42%	110 39%	36 42%	105 44%	75 42%	135 46%	107 59%ef ghijn no	51 50%	83 45%	70 40%	23 37%	838 45%	115 43%
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: Interested	845 39%	427 46%bc	65 25%	353 37%b	54 35%	80 40%kl	117 41%kl	39 45%kl	94 40%kl	84 47%dk l	125 43%kl	54 29%	27 26%	71 38%	75 42%kl	26 42%kl	729 39%	115 43%	
NET: Not interested	1296 61%	507 54%	192 75%ac	597 63%a	101 65%l	119 60%	165 59%	47 55%	141 60%	96 53%	168 57%	129 71%ef ghijn o	76 74%ef ghijn o	116 62%	102 58%	36 58%	1136 61%	155 57%	
MEAN	2.14 c	2.33b	1.82	2.05b	2.00	2.18k	2.21k l	2.30d kl	2.15k	2.29d kl	2.17k	1.84	1.90	2.14k	2.26dk l	2.28k l	2.14	2.17	
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	*	0.01	
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.03	0.07	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - def(gh)klmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used - * small base



J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 3
QWC01 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in football?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
Very interested	(4) 419	38	62	185	28	355	419	-	417	231	392	192	288	403	16	37	269	273	29
	20%	15%	19%	24% ^a	17%	20%	50% ^g	-	37%	68% ^{hijl}	40% ^h	61% ^{hijl}	52% ^{hij}	40% ^h	7%	31%	37%	34% ^{qr}	19%
Fairly interested	(3) 426	57	61	148	28	363	426	-	417	102	370	100	183	361	65	36	182	226	36
	20%	22%	19%	19%	17%	20%	50% ^g	-	37% ^{ikl}	29%	37% ^{ikl}	31%	33%	36% ^h	6%	31%	25%	28%	24%
Not very interested	(2) 338	36	47	145	21	285	-	338	280	20	228	26	81	153	185	19	112	117	21
	16%	14%	14%	18%	13%	16%	-	26% ^l	25% ^{ijkl}	6%	23% ^{ikl}	8%	15% ^{ikl}	15%	16%	16%	15%	14%	14%
Not at all interested	(1) 957	127	161	308	84	801	-	957	-	-	-	-	-	80	877	26	175	194	63
	45%	49% ^c	49% ^c	39%	52%	44%	-	74% ^l	-	-	-	-	-	8%	77% ^m	22%	24%	24%	42% ^q
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: Interested	845	95	124	333	56	719	845	-	834	332	762	292	471	764	82	73	452	500	64
	39%	37%	37%	42%	35%	40%	100% ^g	-	75%	94% ^{hijl}	77% ^h	92% ^{hijl}	85% ^{hij}	77% ^h	7%	62%	61%	62% ^{qr}	43%
NET: Not interested	1296	163	208	453	105	1085	-	1296	280	20	228	26	81	234	1062	44	287	311	83
	61%	63%	63%	58%	65%	60%	-	100% ^l	25% ^{ijkl}	6%	23% ^{ikl}	8%	15% ^{ikl}	23%	93% ^m	38%	39%	38%	57% ^q
MEAN	2.14	2.02	2.07	2.27 ^{ab}	2.00	2.15	3.50 ^g	1.26	3.12	3.60 ^{hjk}	3.17 ^h	3.52 ^{hijl}	3.38 ^{hij}	3.09 ⁿ	1.32	2.72	2.74	2.72 ^r	2.20
ERROR VARIANCE	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	-	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.10

Proportions/Mean: Column Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - m/n - o/p - q/r
 Overlap formulae used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 4
QWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	1199	216	170	163	167	168	315	793	404	263	437	238	261	639	380	175
Weighted Base	1184	190	195	185	208	157	249	767	414	321	356	252	255	666	359	151
I occasionally watch on TV	533 45%	77	96	81	96	61	123	301	231	143	165	96	129	286	163	82
I regularly watch on TV	457 39%	75	64	75	83	76	85	372	84	111	144	119	84	273	136	48
I regularly follow in Internet	239 20%	50	53	46	46	25	20	206	32	84	74	47	35	140	80	16
I occasionally attend live matches (at any level)	238 20%	38	46	50	45	33	26	175	62	84	80	48	26	143	73	20
I regularly read in newspapers/ magazines	184 16%	13	16	30	34	30	61	158	26	70	56	33	26	126	30	28
I occasionally listen on radio	182 15%	25	32	26	38	28	33	150	32	71	55	39	17	119	44	20
I occasionally follow on Internet	152 13%	39	26	29	18	20	21	110	42	42	56	30	24	80	57	15
I regularly listen on radio	135 11%	15	22	25	24	26	22	113	21	37	46	27	25	87	31	15
I occasionally read in newspapers/ magazines	127 11%	12	21	21	22	19	32	97	30	39	41	29	19	76	32	17
I play football (at any level)	123 10%	44	34	25	16	2	1	117	6	37	43	24	19	53	66	2
I regularly attend live matches (at any level)	109 9%	15	20	20	23	13	19	91	18	42	34	23	11	73	27	8
I am a Season Ticket Holder for a football club (at any level)	51 4%	8	11	8	7	9	8	47	4	21	16	9	5	30	14	7
None of these	69 34%	13	5	7	18	10	17	19	50	27	17	12	14	40	19	8
NET: Support in person	405 34%	78	82	80	72	47	46	320	85	139	131	83	53	235	134	33
NET: Support via media	1093 92%	171	183	173	189	145	232	737	354	291	333	234	235	616	329	142

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
 Overlap formula used

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 5
QWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL

	WORKING STATUS				GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
TOTAL	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Unweighted Base	1199	485	111	603	85	134	148	71	148	106	113	57	48	107	120	62	1060	137
Weighted Base	1184	573	117*	494	77*	116	172	50*	131	105*	158	75*	51*	103*	106	39*	1027	155
I occasionally watch on TV	533 45%	249	55	229	31	47	83	22	59	48	71	37	27	43	46	20	457	75
I regularly watch on TV	457 39%	252	29	176	43%	47%	46%	40%	41%	48%	43%	45%	49%	54%	41%	51%	400	57
I regularly follow in Internet	239 20%	152	16	71	17	25	34	15	25	23	17	21	7	15	29	12	209	29
I occasionally attend live matches (at any level)	238 20%	132	26	80	14	32	32	11	21	22	11	27%	14%	14%	27%	31%	218	20
I regularly read in newspapers/ magazines	184 16%	90	10	84	18%	27%	19%	21%	16%	20%	17%	32%	17%	16%	20%	25%	21%	13%
I occasionally listen on radio	182 15%	100	16	67	11	20	19	14	20	21	20	7	10	10	21	10	169	13
I occasionally follow on Internet	152 13%	76	16	59	11	29	15	8	17	19	9	8	8	10	17	3	134	18
I regularly listen on radio	135 11%	74	8	53	9	15	19	6	13	9	14	11	5	15	16	3	123	11
I occasionally read in newspapers/ magazines	127 11%	66	8	53	11	16	24	7	10	12	7	10	5	7	12	6	117	10
I play football (at any level)	109 9%	67	9	34	8	9	13	6	11	14	16	6	4	10	8	5	103	6
I regularly attend live matches (at any level)	51 4%	33	3	15	2	3	5	3	7	6	12	2	2	4	4	2	48	3
I am a Season Ticket Holder for a football club (at any level)	69 6%	21	15	34	5	9	12	2	6	8	10	3	3	10	4	-	63	6
None of these	405 34%	235	38	132	26	46	61	18	40	52	32	14	30	42	36	16	360	44
NET: Support in person	405 34%	235	38	132	26	46	61	18	40	52	32	14	30	42	36	16	360	44
		41%	33%	27%	32%	39%	35%	35%	27%	38%	33%	42%	28%	29%	34%	42%	35%	29%

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - defghijklmnop - pq
 Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 5
QWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION											ETHNICITY		
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBER (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base NET: Support via media	1184	573	117*	494	77*	116	172	50*	131	105*	158	75*	51*	103*	106	39*	1027	155
	1093	545	98	450	71	107	157	47	122	96	145	68	46	93	102	39	947	144
	92%	95%bc	84%	91%b	93%	92%	92%	94%	93%	91%	92%	91%	90%	90%	96%	99%cm	92%	83%

Proportions/Mean: Column Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de(fgh)klmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used. *small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 6
QWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSITE (r)
Unweighted Base	1199	157	183	438	81	1014	870	329	1136	349	1012	330	587	933	266	87	576	627	84
Weighted Base	1184	131	170	477	77*	1003	845	338	1115	352	990	317	552	917	266	92*	563	617	85*
I occasionally watch on TV	533	60	82	208	26	460	309	224	533	91	533	104	180	381	152	36	222	246	34
	45%	46%	48%	43%	33%	46% ^d	37%	66% ^{cf}	48% ^{ikl}	26%	54% ^{hikl}	33% ^{ij}	33% ^{il}	42%	57% ^{lm}	39%	39%	40%	40%
I regularly watch on TV	457	49	65	194	30	392	453	4	457	216	457	184	282	435	22	40	278	296	34
	39%	37%	38%	41%	39%	39%	54% ^g	1%	41%	61% ^{hjl}	46% ^h	58% ^{hjl}	51% ^{hj}	47% ^{kn}	8%	44%	48%	48%	40%
I regularly follow in Internet	239	17	26	126	11	213	234	5	239	146	220	134	239	229	11	16	164	159	19
	20%	13%	15%	26% ^{ab}	14%	21%	28% ^g	1%	21%	41% ^h	22%	42% ^{hij}	43% ^{hj}	25% ^{ln}	4%	18%	29% ^o	26%	22%
I occasionally attend live matches (at any level)	238	25	21	128	18	203	218	20	238	238	201	104	159	211	27	16	144	150	23
	20%	19%	12%	27% ^{ab}	23%	20%	26% ^g	6%	21% ^{ij}	67% ^{hijkl}	20%	33% ^{hij}	29% ^{hj}	23% ^{ln}	10%	17%	26%	24%	27%
I regularly read in newspapers/ magazines	184	17	27	96	4	165	177	7	184	104	171	100	184	175	9	12	128	120	17
	16%	13%	16%	20%	6%	16% ^d	21% ^g	2%	17%	29% ^{hj}	17%	32% ^{hij}	33% ^{hj}	19% ^{ln}	3%	13%	23% ^o	20%	20%
I occasionally listen on radio	182	15	24	99	10	160	159	23	182	88	165	182	137	163	19	17	112	101	24
	15%	11%	14%	21% ^a	13%	16%	19% ^g	7%	16%	25% ^{hj}	17%	58% ^{hijl}	25% ^{hj}	18% ^{ln}	7%	19%	20%	16%	28% ^a
I occasionally follow on Internet	152	15	16	70	12	129	109	43	152	37	109	54	152	125	27	12	79	75	20
	13%	11%	9%	15%	16%	13%	13%	13%	14% ^{ij}	10%	11%	17% ^{ij}	28% ^{hijk}	14%	10%	13%	14%	12%	23% ^a
I regularly listen on radio	135	16	19	63	6	120	132	2	135	77	124	135	105	129	5	9	92	93	9
	11%	12%	11%	13%	7%	12%	16% ^g	1%	12%	22% ^{hj}	13%	42% ^{hijl}	19% ^{hj}	14% ^{ln}	2%	10%	16%	15%	11%
I occasionally read in newspapers/ magazines	127	11	17	65	7	111	93	34	127	44	100	52	127	102	25	10	77	60	7
	11%	8%	10%	14%	10%	11%	11%	10%	11% ^{ij}	13%	10%	16% ^{hij}	23% ^{hijk}	11%	10%	11%	14%	10%	9%
I play football (at any level)	123	6	8	61	5	99	114	9	123	70	112	53	88	118	5	9	83	77	16
	10%	5%	5%	13% ^{ab}	6%	10%	14% ^g	3%	11%	20% ^{hij}	11%	17% ^{hij}	18% ^{hj}	13% ^{ln}	2%	10%	15%	12%	19%
I regularly attend live matches (at any level)	109	7	14	54	4	93	109	-	109	109	101	58	76	106	3	7	79	73	4
	9%	6%	8%	11%	6%	9%	13% ^g	-	10%	31% ^{hijkl}	10%	18% ^{hijl}	14% ^{hj}	12% ^{ln}	1%	7%	14%	12%	5%
I am a Season Ticket Holder for a football club (at any level)	51	2	8	25	3	41	51	-	51	51	50	29	35	50	1	5	38	34	2
	4%	2%	4%	5%	4%	4%	6% ^g	-	5%	15% ^{hijkl}	5%	9% ^{hijl}	6% ^h	5% ^{ln}	*	5%	7%	6%	2%
None of these	69	9	8	27	5	55	11	58	-	-	-	-	-	19	50	1	18	25	3
	6%	7%	5%	6%	7%	5%	1%	17% ^{cf}	-	-	-	-	-	2%	19% ^{lm}	1%	3%	4%	3%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - m/n - o/p - q/r
 Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 6
GWC02 Which, if any, of the following statements about football apply to you?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ WHO ARE INTERESTED IN FOOTBALL

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSER (r)
Weighted Base	1184	131	170	477	77*	1003	845	338	1115	352	990	317	552	917	268	92*	563	617	85*
NET: Support in person	405	36	41	208	25	342	378	27	405	352	353	182	274	372	33	28	259	253	36
	34%	27%	24%	44%ab	33%	34%	45%g	8%	36%	100%hkl	36%	57%hjl	50%hl	41%n	12%	31%	46%o	41%	42%
NET: Support via media	1093	118	160	445	67	934	821	273	1093	334	990	317	552	885	208	89	539	581	80
	92%	90%	94%	93%	87%	93%	97%g	81%	98%i	95%	100%hi	100%hi	100%hi	97%n	78%	97%	96%	94%	94%

Proportions/Means: column %total (95% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r
 Overlap formulae used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 7
QWC03 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in the men's football FIFA World Cup?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE					MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339	
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299	
Very interested	(4) 503 23%	86 24%ef	84 24%ef	96 29%ef	99 27%ef	58 19%	79 17%	398 38%h	105 10%	140 24%j	163 27%j	114 26%j	86 16%	293 25%o	157 23%o	50 17%	
Fairly interested	(3) 495 23%	67 21%	95 27%	70 21%	84 23%	76 25%	103 22%	286 27%h	209 19%	136 23%	142 24%	107 24%	109 21%	280 24%	141 21%	72 24%	
Not very interested	(2) 244 11%	50 16%bce	31 9%	31 9%	46 13%	30 10%	57 12%	99 9%	144 13%g	63 11%	74 12%	45 10%	61 12%	122 10%	85 13%	37 12%	
Not at all interested	(1) 900 42%	112 36%	145 41%	132 40%	136 37%	140 46%ad	234 49%ab cd	263 25%	635 58%g	241 42%	224 37%	172 39%	263 51%ij k	465 40%	289 43%	140 47%	
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NET: Interested	997 47%	152 48%ef	180 51%ef	166 50%ef	183 50%ef	134 44%	183 39%	684 65%h	314 29%	276 48%j	305 51%j	221 50%j	195 38%	573 49%no	298 44%	122 41%	
NET: Not interested	1144 53%	162 52%	176 49%	163 50%	182 50%	169 56%	291 61%ab cd	363 35%	779 71%g	304 52%	298 49%	217 50%	324 62%ij k	587 51%	374 56%lm	177 59%	
MEAN	2.28	2.40e f	2.33f	2.39e f	2.40e f	2.17	2.06	2.78h	1.80	2.30i	2.40i	2.37i	2.03	2.35o	2.25	2.11	
ERROR VARIANCE	-	*	*	0.01	0.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (95% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 8
QWC03 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in the men's football FIFA World Cup?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY		
TOTAL		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Unweighted Base		2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240
Weighted Base		2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
Very interested	(4)	503	291	37	175	31	48	81	25	53	43	66	31	19	40	49	17	424	77
23%		31%bc	14%	18%	20%	24%	29%k	29%k	23%	24%	23%	17%	18%	22%	28%k	27%	23%	29%	
Fairly interested	(3)	495	217	56	221	35	52	77	19	58	34	70	35	18	35	47	13	428	67
23%		23%	22%	23%	23%	26%	27%	23%	24%	19%	24%	19%	18%	19%	27%	21%	23%	25%	
Not very interested	(2)	244	98	38	107	20	20	35	12	16	17	26	30	14	29	17	8	215	29
11%		11%	15%	11%	13%h	10%	12%	14%h	7%	10%	9%	16%hj	14%	16%hj	10%	13%	12%	11%	
Not at all interested	(1)	900	328	126	446	68	80	90	30	109	85	131	87	51	82	64	24	799	97
42%		42%	49%a	47%a	44%l	40%	32%	34%	46%fg	47%fg	45%l	48%fg	50%fg	44%l	36%	39%	43%q	36%	
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: Interested		997	508	93	396	66	100	158	44	111	77	137	66	37	76	96	30	851	145
		47%	54%bc	36%	42%	43%	50%kl	56%di klm	51%kl	47%k	43%	47%	36%	36%	40%	54%dik lm	48%	46%	53%p
NET: Not interested		1144	426	164	554	89	100	124	42	125	103	156	116	65	111	81	32	1014	126
		53%	46%	64%a	58%a	57%ln	50%	44%	42%	53%	57%ln	53%	64%ef ghn	64%ef gn	60%ln	46%	52%	54%q	47%
MEAN		2.28	2.50b	2.02	2.13	2.19	2.34k	2.53d hijkl m	2.45k l	2.24	2.19	2.25	2.06	2.04	2.18	2.48dk lm	2.37	2.26	2.46p
		c																	
ERROR VARIANCE		*	*	0.01	*	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	*	0.01
STANDARD ERROR		0.03	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.03	0.08

Proportions/Mean: Column Totals (5% risk level) - abc - de(fgh)klmnop - pq
Overlap formula used: * small base



Table 9
QWC03 To what extent, if at all, are you interested in the men's football FIFA World Cup?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
Very interested	503	42	67	236	28	433	466	36	489	234	455	205	311	503	-	44	304	335	41
(4)	23%	16%	20%	30%ab	17%	24%	55%g	3%	44%	66%hjl	46%h	65%hjl	56%hj	50%ln	-	37%	41%	41%r	28%
Fairly interested	495	62	68	186	24	420	298	197	408	89	363	88	174	495	-	40	207	240	36
(3)	23%	24%	20%	24%	15%	23%cd	35%g	15%	37%ikl	25%	37%ikl	28%	31%cl	50%ln	-	34%	28%	30%	24%
Not very interested	244	34	36	83	17	213	53	190	160	20	138	18	45	-	244	16	75	88	12
(2)	11%	13%	11%	11%	10%	12%	6%	15%l	14%ikl	6%	14%ikl	6%	8%	-	21%lm	14%	10%	11%	8%
Not at all interested	900	119	161	280	92	738	28	872	57	10	36	7	22	-	900	17	152	147	59
(1)	42%	46%cd	49%cd	36%	57%e	41%	3%	67%l	5%ijk	3%	4%	2%	4%k	-	79%lm	15%	21%	18%	40%q
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: Interested	997	105	134	422	52	854	764	234	898	322	816	292	485	997	-	84	511	576	77
47%	41%	41%	54%ab	33%	47%cd	90%g	18%	18%	81%	92%hjl	82%h	92%hjl	88%hj	100%ln	-	72%	69%	71%r	52%
NET: Not interested	1144	153	197	363	109	951	82	1062	217	30	174	25	67	-	1144	33	227	235	70
53%	59%cd	59%cd	46%	67%e	53%	10%	10%	82%l	19%ijkl	8%	18%ikl	8%	12%ik	-	100%lm	28%	31%	29%	48%q
MEAN	2.28	2.11	2.12	2.48ab	1.93	2.30d	3.42g	1.54	3.19	3.55hjl	3.25h	3.55hjl	3.40hj	3.50n	1.21	2.94	2.90	2.94r	2.41
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.11

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijkl - mn - op - q
Overlap formula used: * small base

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 10
QWC04 As you may know, the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022 is due to take place in Qatar.
To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS			
TOTAL		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE. MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV/ NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339	
	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299	
Definitely the right decision	(5)	36	4	14	8	4	3	4	28	8	9	7	11	10	22	12	2
		2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	
Probably the right decision	(4)	81	16	19	16	14	6	11	46	35	18	24	19	20	45	27	9
		4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%
No feelings either way	(3)	1266	205	224	189	212	168	267	479	784	273	331	279	382	635	428	195
		59%	65%	63%	58%	58%	55%	56%	47%	72%	47%	55%	64%	73%	55%	64%	65%
Probably the wrong decision	(2)	310	46	49	33	66	45	73	183	127	116	108	44	42	178	91	38
		14%	15%	14%	10%	18%	15%	15%	18%	12%	20%	18%	10%	8%	15%	14%	13%
Definitely the wrong decision	(1)	428	40	47	80	66	80	114	306	121	162	127	79	60	269	109	50
		20%	13%	13%	24%	18%	26%	24%	29%	11%	28%	21%	18%	12%	23%	16%	17%
Don't know		20	4	3	3	4	1	5	4	16	3	5	7	5	10	5	5
		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
NET: Right decision		117	19	33	24	18	10	14	74	43	27	31	30	30	67	39	11
		5%	6%	9%	7%	5%	3%	3%	7%	4%	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	4%
NET: Wrong decision		738	86	96	113	131	125	187	490	249	278	236	122	103	447	200	88
		34%	27%	27%	34%	36%	41%	40%	47%	23%	48%	39%	28%	20%	39%	30%	29%
MEAN		2.52	2.67c def	2.73c def	2.50	2.52	2.36	2.40	2.33	2.70g	2.30	2.46i	2.63i	2.76i jk	2.46	2.61m	2.58
ERROR VARIANCE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
STANDARD ERROR		0.02	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 11
QWC04 As you may know, the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022 is due to take place in Qatar.
To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION											ETHNICITY			
TOTAL		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Unweighted Base	2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240	
	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271	
Weighted Base	36	24	3	9	3	6	4	2	1	5	1	5	1	6	1	1	29	7	
	2%	3% ^c	1%	1%	2%	3% ^h	2%	2%	*	3% ^h	*	3%	1%	3% ^h	*	2%	2%	3%	
Probably the right decision	81	43	12	27	11	7	22	1	8	6	11	3	-	9	3	2	1	58	23
	4%	5%	5%	3%	7% ^g ^k ^d	3%	8% ^e ^g ^h	1%	3%	3%	4%	2%	-	5% ⁱ	2%	2%	3%	8% ^p	
No feelings either way	1266	518	178	570	99	112	170	57	146	101	171	91	56	109	112	41	1072	189	
	59%	56%	69% ^a ^c	60%	64% ^k	56%	60%	66% ^k	62% ^k	56%	58%	50%	55%	59%	63% ^k	66% ^k	57%	70% ^p	
Probably the wrong decision	310	150	28	132	18	29	34	15	31	27	36	39	20	26	28	7	296	13	
	14%	16%	11%	14%	11%	14%	12%	17%	13%	15%	12%	22% ^d ^f	20%	14%	16%	11%	16% ^q	5%	
Definitely the wrong decision	428	191	33	204	22	43	49	12	45	37	72	44	25	35	32	12	393	35	
	20%	20% ^b	13%	21% ^b	14%	21%	18%	14%	19%	21%	25% ^d ^g	24% ^d	24%	19%	18%	19%	21% ^q	13%	
Don't know	20	8	4	8	2	4	2	-	6	3	2	-	-	1	-	-	16	4	
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	2% ⁿ	2%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	
NET: Right decision	117	67	15	35	14	12	27	3	8	11	12	8	1	15	4	3	88	30	
	5%	7% ^c	6%	4%	9% ^h ⁱ ^l ⁿ	6%	9% ^g ^h	3%	3%	6%	4%	4%	1%	8% ⁱ ⁿ	2%	4%	5%	11% ^p	
NET: Wrong decision	738	341	61	337	39	72	84	27	76	64	108	84	45	62	61	19	689	48	
	34%	36% ^b	24%	35% ^b	25%	36% ^d	30%	31%	32%	36%	37% ^d	46% ^d ^f	44% ^d ^f	33%	34%	30%	37% ^q	18%	
MEAN	2.52	2.52	2.70 ^a	2.47	2.71 ^e	2.51	2.64 ^j	2.61 ⁱ	2.51	2.52	2.43	2.37	2.34	2.59 ^k	2.50	2.57	2.48	2.82 ^p	
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	0.01	*	0.01	0.01	*	*	0.01	*	*	
STANDARD ERROR	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.02	0.06	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - defghijklmnop - pq
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 12
QWC04 As you may know, the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022 is due to take place in Qatar.
To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499	11500 24999	25000 PLUS	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN	HETEROSEXUAL	INTERESTED	NOT INTERESTED	ANY	ATTEND MATCHES	WATCH MATCHES	LISTEN TO MATCHES	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE	INTERESTED	NOT INTERESTED	RIGHT	WRONG	IN FAVOUR	OPPOSE
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
Definitely the right decision	(5) 36 2%	2	5	15	7	28	22	15	29	9	22	11	15	24	12	36	-	13	9
Probably the right decision	(4) 81 4%	9	18	23	10	57	52	30	61	14	54	15	26	60	21	81	-	43	12
No feelings either way	(3) 1266 59%	166	211	396	103	1052	321	945	479	103	414	86	179	402	864	-	-	323	60
Probably the wrong decision	(2) 310 14%	30	47	134	14	275	164	147	216	85	188	74	124	198	112	-	310	161	22
Definitely the wrong decision	(1) 428 20%	47	48	213	27	375	288	140	329	142	312	131	208	313	115	-	428	268	45
Don't know	20 1%	4	2	3	-	17	-	20	1	-	1	-	-	1	19	-	-	2	-
NET: Right decision	117 5%	11	23	38	17	85	73	44	91	23	76	27	41	84	33	117	-	56	21
NET: Wrong decision	738 34%	77	95	348	41	650	452	287	545	226	500	204	332	511	227	-	738	429	66
MEAN	2.52	2.56c	2.65c	2.35	2.73e	2.49	2.24	2.71f	2.32jk	2.04	2.28kl	2.06	2.12	2.28	2.74m	4.31p	1.42	2.22	2.45q
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.10

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijk - mn - op - qv
Overlap formula used - * small base

Table 13
QWC05A The men's football FIFA World Cup in Qatar in 2022 has been moved from June-July 2022 to between 21st November to 18th December 2022 for health and safety reasons.
Holding the Qatar World Cup in November to December 2022 will mean English Premier League fixtures would need to be re-scheduled to take a break during these months.
To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ (HALF SAMPLE)

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV/ NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	1058	162	170	153	135	158	280	540	517	216	376	197	269	544	337	175
Weighted Base	1058	150	185	167	170	152	233	524	533	276	312	204	266	568	328	160
Definitely the right decision	(5) 33	2	7	9	8	2	5	22	11	10	10	10	3	19	10	5
Probably the right decision	(4) 82	17	14	11	10	11	19	52	30	20	27	19	16	40	32	10
No feelings either way	(3) 582	79	109	89	89	83	133	219	362	140	152	111	179	297	187	95
Probably the wrong decision	(2) 149	31	26	18	31	19	25	81	68	41	55	22	31	86	47	16
Definitely the wrong decision	(1) 202	19	29	42	28	37	48	150	53	65	66	39	33	124	49	30
Don't know	9	2	1	-	4	-	2	-	9	-	2	3	4	2	3	4
NET: Right decision	115	18	21	19	18	13	25	73	42	30	37	29	19	59	42	15
NET: Wrong decision	33	50	54	59	60	56	73	231	121	106	121	61	64	209	96	46
MEAN	2.61	2.67	2.70	2.57	2.63	2.49	2.61	2.46	2.77g	2.53	2.55	2.70	2.71i	2.55	2.71m	2.63
ERROR VARIANCE	.	.	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	.	.	.	0.01	.	0.01	.	.	.	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.07

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 14
QWC05A The men's football FIFA World Cup in Qatar in 2022 has been moved from June-July 2022 to between 21st November to 18th December 2022 for health and safety reasons.
Holding the Qatar World Cup in November to December 2022 will mean English Premier League fixtures would need to be re-scheduled to take a break during these months.
To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ (HALF SAMPLE)

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
TOTAL		FULL TIME	PART TIME	NOT WORKING	EAST MID-LANDS	EAST-ERN	LON-DON	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SCOT-LAND	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	WALES	WEST MID-LANDS	YORKS AND HUMBR	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND	WHITE	BAME
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)
Unweighted Base	1058	392	120	546	85	115	114	58	131	85	109	70	45	99	96	51	936	120
Weighted Base	1058	459	129	469	78*	98	131	40*	119	85*	149*	92*	50*	94*	88*	33*	918	138
Definitely the right decision	33	20	2	10	-	5	8	1	-	4	7	4	2	1	1	*	24	9
(5)	3%	4%	2%	2%	-	5%h	6%dhm	3%	-	5%h	4%h	4%h	4%h	1%	2%	1%	3%	6%p
Probably the right decision	82	40	9	34	3	11	7	3	4	9	17	10	2	5	9	2	72	10
(4)	8%	9%	7%	7%	4%	11%h	5%	7%	3%	11%h	11%h	10%	4%	5%	10%	7%	8%	7%
No feelings either way	582	231	80	271	51	46	67	21	70	49	77	42	31	63	48	19	493	88
(3)	55%	50%	62%a	58%a	65%ek	46%	51%	52%	59%	56%	52%	46%	61%	67%efjk	55%	59%	54%	64%p
Probably the wrong decision	149	66	21	62	7	17	19	8	21	10	12	19	8	11	14	5	135	14
(2)	14%	14%	17%	13%	9%	17%j	15%	19%j	17%j	12%	8%	20%j	16%	11%	16%	16%	15%	10%
Definitely the wrong decision	202	97	16	90	15	19	28	8	21	14	36	18	7	15	16	5	187	16
(1)	19%	21%b	12%	19%	19%	19%	22%	20%	18%	16%	24%	19%	14%	16%	18%	16%	20%q	11%
Don't know	9	5	1	3	2	1	2	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	7	2
(1)	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%
NET: Right decision	115	60	11	44	3	16	15	4	4	14	23	13	4	6	10	3	97	19
(1)	11%	13%	8%	9%	4%	16%dh	11%h	9%	3%	16%dh	16%dh	15%dh	9%	6%	12%h	9%	11%	13%
NET: Wrong decision	352	183	37	151	22	36	47	16	42	24	48	37	15	26	30	10	322	29
(3)	33%	36%	29%	32%	28%	37%	36%	39%	35%	28%	32%	40%	30%	27%	34%	32%	35%q	21%
MEAN	2.61	2.60	2.69	2.60	2.57	2.64	2.59	2.53	2.49	2.76h	2.64	2.60	2.69	2.63	2.62	2.62	2.57	2.87p
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	0.01	*	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	*	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.09

Proportions/Means: Column Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e(fgh)/klm/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 15
QWCUSA The men's football FIFA World Cup in Qatar in 2022 has been moved from June-July 2022 to between 21st November to 18th December 2022 for health and safety reasons.
Holding the Qatar World Cup in November to December 2022 will mean English Premier League fixtures would need to be re-scheduled to take a break during these months.
To what extent, do you think holding the World Cup 2022 in Qatar, was the right or wrong decision or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+ (HALF SAMPLE)

		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
TOTAL		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	1058	143	179	349	83	893	428	630	554	168	491	165	295	509	549	45	380	393	70
Weighted Base	1058	124	169	386	77*	902	417	641	545	170	484	157	273	501	557	52*	377	397	76*
Definitely the right decision	(5) 33	2	2	18	3	27	22	11	24	13	19	11	11	23	10	11	7	19	7
Probably the right decision	(4) 82	11	11	33	8	69	47	35	59	14	56	10	26	59	24	15	24	41	8
No feelings either way	(3) 582	73	98	177	46	488	121	461	193	32	165	39	73	159	423	15	72	114	29
Probably the wrong decision	(2) 149	17	28	59	9	128	76	73	108	35	91	26	58	100	49	4	97	82	12
Definitely the wrong decision	(1) 202	19	28	98	12	183	152	51	162	75	153	70	106	160	42	6	178	140	19
Don't know	9	3	1	1	-	8	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
NET: Right decision	115	13	14	51	11	95	69	46	83	27	75	21	37	82	34	26	31	60	16
NET: Wrong decision	352	36	56	157	21	311	226	124	270	110	244	97	164	260	91	11	274	223	31
MEAN	2.61	2.67	2.59	2.51	2.77	2.58	2.31	2.81f	2.40kl	2.15	2.37kl	2.14	2.19	2.37	2.84m	3.40p	1.90	2.29	2.64q
ERROR VARIANCE	*	0.01	*	*	0.01	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	0.01	*	*	*	0.04	*	*	0.02
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.11	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.19	0.05	0.06	0.15

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijk - mn - op - qv
Overlap formulae used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 16
QWC06 To what extent, are you in favour of or opposed to an independent investigation into the way the Qatar World Cup bid team ran its campaign, or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS			
TOTAL		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339	
	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299	
Strongly in favour	(5)	425	53	56	77	74	73	298	128	159	119	74	72	257	123	45	
		20%	17%	16%	23% ^b	20%	24% ^{ab}	19%	28% ^{ah}	12%	27% ^{ijk}	20% ^{kl}	17%	14%	22% ^o	18%	15%
Tend to be in favour	(4)	350	46	56	61	69	16%	200	149	115	115	65	55	197	108	42	
		16%	15%	16%	18%	19%	16%	19% ^h	14%	20% ^{kl}	19% ^{kl}	15%	11%	17%	16%	14%	
Neither favour nor oppose	(3)	1235	197	225	171	194	163	485	748	278	332	259	366	622	407	198	
		58%	63% ^{cd}	63% ^{cd}	52%	53%	54%	60% ^c	46%	68% ^g	48%	55% ^{kl}	59% ^{kl}	70% ^{klj}	54%	61% ^m	66% ^m
Tend to oppose	(2)	60	9	8	10	14	9	30	31	14	17	17	12	40	15	5	
		3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Strongly opposed	(1)	54	6	8	8	10	8	14	33	21	12	16	17	9	34	17	4
		3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%
Don't know		17	3	2	2	4	1	4	1	16	1	4	6	5	10	2	5
		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2% ⁿ
NET: In favour		775	99	112	138	142	122	498	277	275	234	139	127	454	231	87	
		36%	32%	32%	42% ^{ab}	39%	40% ^{ab}	48% ^h	27%	47% ^{ijk}	39% ^{kl}	32% ^{kl}	24%	39% ^o	34%	29%	
NET: Opposed		115	15	16	18	24	18	63	52	26	33	34	21	73	32	9	
		5%	5%	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%	8% ^{kl}	4%	6% ^o	5%	3%	
MEAN		3.49	3.42	3.41	3.58 ^a	3.50	3.56	3.67 ^h	3.31	3.68 ^j	3.51 ^k	3.38	3.33	3.53 ^o	3.46	3.40	
ERROR VARIANCE		
STANDARD ERROR		0.02	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 17
QWC06 To what extent, are you in favour of or opposed to an independent investigation into the way the Qatar World Cup bid team ran its campaign, or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION													ETHNICITY	
		TOTAL	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Unweighted Base		2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240
Weighted Base		2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
Strongly in favour	(5)	425 20%	204 22%b	29 11%	192 20%b	19 13%	40 20%	51 18%	16 19%	55 23% d	31 17%	51 17%	55 30% de fijn	25 25% d	40 22% d	30 17%	11 18%	393 21% q	31 11%
Tend to be in favour	(4)	350 16%	176 19% c	36 14%	137 14%	20 13%	36 18%	51 18%	13 15%	33 14%	29 16%	47 16%	32 18%	27 26% dh jmno	27 15%	28 16%	7 12%	308 17%	41 15%
Neither favour nor oppose	(3)	1235 58%	490 52%	176 69% ac	568 60% a	102 66% ek l	111 55%	170 60% kl	50 58%	136 57%	100 55%	172 59%	87 48%	47 46%	112 60% kl	107 61% kl	42 68% ek l	1057 57%	176 65% p
Tend to oppose	(2)	60 3%	33 4%	6 3%	21 2%	11 7% thj lmo	6 3%	3 1%	5 6% thj	3 1%	5 3%	6 2%	6 3%	1 1%	5 2%	8 4% l	1 1%	47 3%	14 5% p
Strongly opposed	(1)	54 3%	22 2%	7 3%	25 3%	2 1%	5 3% h	6 2%	- -	1 *	14 8% def ghkmn o	16 6% ghm n	3 1%	2 2%	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	48 3%	6 2%
Don't know		17 1%	8 1%	2 1%	6 1%	- -	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	8 3% dfj km	1 1%	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	13 1%	4 1%
NET: In favour		775 36%	380 41% bc	65 25%	330 35% b	39 25%	76 38% d	102 36% d	30 34%	88 37% d	60 33%	97 33%	87 48% df gijmn o	52 51% de fghj mno	67 36% d	33%	19 30%	701 38% q	71 26%
NET: Opposed		115 5%	55 6%	13 5%	46 5%	13 9% h	11 5% h	9 3%	5 6% h	4 2%	20 11% thj lmo	22 8% h	9 5%	3 3%	7 4%	10 6% h	1 2%	94 5%	20 7%
MEAN		3.49	3.55b	3.29	3.48b	3.28	3.51d	3.49d	3.47	3.61d	3.32	3.38	3.71d fjino	3.71d ijn	3.53d	3.44	3.45	3.51q	3.29
ERROR VARIANCE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	0.01	*	0.01	0.01	*	*	0.01	*	*
STANDARD ERROR		0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.02	0.05

Proportions/Means: Columns tested (95% risk level) - abc - de(fgh)ijkmno - pq
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 18
QWOC6 To what extent, are you in favour of or opposed to an independent investigation into the way the Qatar World Cup bid team ran its campaign, or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
TOTAL		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
Strongly in favour	(5) 425	37	55	189	28	370	291	135	324	153	299	128	197	323	102	21	338	302	26
	20%	14%	17%	24%ab	18%	21%	34%g	10%	29%	43%hjl	30%h	40%hjl	36%hj	32%h	9%	18%	46%o	37%r	17%
Tend to be in favour	(4) 350	46	47	156	23	295	171	179	247	77	215	74	133	222	128	24	199	188	34
	16%	18%	14%	20%b	14%	16%	20%g	14%	22%	22%	22%	23%	24%	22%h	11%	20%	27%	23%	23%
Neither favour nor oppose	(3) 1235	150	209	392	100	1030	320	914	474	104	413	91	183	386	848	57	144	262	60
	58%	58%c	63%c	50%	62%	57%	38%	71%f	43%ikl	30%	42%ikl	29%	33%	39%	74%h	49%p	19%	32%	41%
Tend to oppose	(2) 60	11	10	25	7	48	33	28	36	14	32	11	21	37	24	12	22	36	8
	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%g	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%h	2%	10%p	3%	4%	6%
Strongly opposed	(1) 54	12	5	21	3	46	29	26	31	5	29	12	17	27	4	33	22	19	
	3%	5%b	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%l	1%	3%l	4%l	3%l	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	13%q
Don't know	17	3	4	2	-	14	2	15	2	-	1	-	1	2	15	-	2	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%f	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%h	-	-	-	-
NET: In favour	775	83	102	345	51	665	462	314	571	229	514	203	330	545	230	45	537	490	60
	36%	32%	31%	44%ab	32%	37%	55%g	24%	51%	65%hjl	52%	64%hjl	60%hj	55%h	20%	38%	73%o	60%r	41%
NET: Opposed	115	22	16	46	10	94	61	53	68	19	61	24	38	64	51	16	55	58	27
	5%	9%	5%	6%	6%	7%	7%g	4%	6%	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	4%	13%	7%	7%	19%q
MEAN	3.49	3.34	3.42	3.60ab	3.41	3.50	3.78g	3.29	3.72	4.02hjl	3.73	3.93hj	3.88hj	3.78h	3.23	3.39	4.07o	3.88r	3.27
ERROR VARIANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STANDARD ERROR	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.10

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de - fg - hijkl - mn - op - qv
Overlap formula used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 19
QWC07 Should Qatar no longer be able to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent, are you in favour or opposed to the English Football Association putting England forward to host the 2022 FIFA World Cup, or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV/ NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
Strongly in favour	440 21%	68 22%	69 19%	78 24% ^f	88 24% ^f	54 18%	83 17%	294 28% ^h	146 13%	125 22% ^j	133 22% ^j	99 23% ^j	83 16%	263 23% ^o	136 20% ^o	42 14%
Tend to be in favour	370 17%	52 16%	61 17%	64 20%	67 18%	57 19%	69 15%	183 17%	187 17%	111 19% ^j	126 21% ^j	71 16%	61 12%	225 19% ⁿ	101 15%	44 15%
Neither favour nor oppose	1163 54%	167 53%	197 55%	157 48%	189 52%	171 56% ^c	283 60% ^{cd}	483 46%	678 62% ^g	286 49%	297 49%	237 54%	343 66% ^j	589 51%	380 57% ^m	184 62% ^{cm}
Tend to oppose	89 4%	19 6%	17 5%	17 5%	10 3%	10 3%	15 3%	45 4%	43 4%	34 6% ^k	26 4%	12 3%	17 3%	39 3%	36 5%	13 4%
Strongly opposed	59 3%	6 2%	8 2%	10 3%	6 2%	11 4%	18 4%	37 4%	22 2%	22 4% ^j	14 2%	15 4%	8 2%	34 3%	17 2%	8 3%
Don't know	20 1%	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	5 1%	1 *	6 1%	4 *	16 2% ^g	3 *	6 1%	5 1%	7 1%	10 1%	3 *	8 3% ^{mn}
NET: In favour	810 38%	120 38%	130 37%	143 43% ^f	155 42% ^f	111 37%	151 32%	477 46% ^h	333 30%	237 41% ^j	259 43% ^j	170 39% ^j	144 28%	488 42% ^{no}	236 35%	86 29%
NET: Opposed	148 7%	26 8%	25 7%	27 8%	16 4%	21 7%	33 7%	82 8%	66 6%	55 10% ^j	41 7%	27 6%	25 5%	73 6%	53 8%	21 7%
MEAN	3.49	3.50	3.47	3.56 ^f	3.61 ^e	3.44	3.39	3.63 ^h	3.36	3.49	3.57 ⁱ	3.52 ⁱ	3.38	3.56 ⁿ	3.45	3.34
ERROR VARIANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STANDARD ERROR	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 20
QWC07 Should Qatar no longer be able to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent, are you in favour or opposed to the English Football Association putting England forward to host the 2022 FIFA World Cup, or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION													ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME	PART TIME	NOT WORKING	EAST MIDLANDS	EAST-ERN	LON-DON	NORTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SCOTLAND	SOUTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	WALES	WEST MIDLANDS	YORKS AND HUMBR	NORTH-ERN IRELAND	WHITE	BAME	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	
Unweighted Base	2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240	
	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271	
Weighted Base	440	222	43	175	32	42	51	22	60	16	67	43	24	34	40	11	377	61	
Strongly in favour	(5) 21%	24%abc	17%	18%	21%ai	21%ai	18%ai	26%ai	25%ai	9%	23%ai	24%ai	23%ai	18%ai	22%ai	17%ai	20%	23%	
Tend to be in favour	(4) 37%	17%	48	147	27	38	50	13	25	40	44	35	17	33	36	11	322	47	
Neither favour nor oppose	(3) 1163	455	149	559	77	104	152	47	128	106	165	91	53	105	93	40	1024	136	
	(3) 54%	49%	58%a	59%a	50%	52%	54%	55%	54%	59%	56%	50%	52%	56%	53%	65%kde	55%	50%	
Tend to oppose	(2) 89	43	12	33	11	8	17	1	8	9	8	6	6	10	6	-	75	14	
	4%	5%	5%	3%	7%g	4%	6%o	1%	3%	5%o	3%	3%	6%o	5%o	3%	-	4%	5%	
Strongly opposed	(1) 59	28	4	28	6	5	11	1	6	10	5	7	2	4	2	-	51	8	
	3%	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%no	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	3%	
Don't know	20	11	1	8	1	3	2	1	9	-	3	-	1	1	-	-	15	6	
	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%fk	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	
NET: In favour		810	397	91	322	59	80	101	36	85	111	78	41	67	75	22	700	108	
		38%	43%bc	35%	34%	38%	40%	36%	41%	36%	31%	38%	43%ai	40%	36%	43%ai	38%	40%	
NET: Opposed		148	71	16	61	18	13	27	3	14	19	13	8	14	8	-	126	21	
		7%	8%	6%	6%	11%ghj	7%o	10%gio	3%	6%o	10%gio	5%	7%o	7%o	5%o	-	7%	8%	
MEAN		3.49	3.56c	3.45	3.43	3.44	3.52i	3.41	3.63i	3.55i	3.24	3.55i	3.56i	3.54i	3.45i	3.59i	3.52i	3.49	
ERROR VARIANCE		*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	0.01	*	*	*	0.01	0.01	*	*	0.01	*	
STANDARD ERROR		0.02	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de(fgh)klmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used: * small base



J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 21
QWC07 Should Qatar no longer be able to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, to what extent, are you in favour or opposed to the English Football Association putting England forward to host the 2022 FIFA World Cup, or do you have no feelings either way?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499	11500	25000 PLUS	BISEXUAL/HOMOSEXUAL/LESBIAN	HETEROSEXUAL	INTERESTED	NOT INTERESTED	ANY	ATTEND MATCHES	WATCH MATCHES	LISTEN TO MATCHES	READ ABOUT/FOLLOW ONLINE	INTERESTED	NOT INTERESTED	RIGHT	WRONG	IN FAVOUR	OPPOSE
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
Strongly in favour	440	47	59	191	28	381	311	129	346	151	322	118	195	348	93	29	267	440	-
(5)	21%	16%	18%	24% ^{ab}	17%	21%	37% ^g	10%	31%	43% ^{hij}	33% ^h	37% ^{hij}	35% ^h	35% ^h	8%	24%	36% ^q	54% ^{qr}	-
Tend to be in favour	370	38	53	152	32	314	188	182	245	76	220	76	124	228	142	27	162	370	-
(4)	17%	15%	16%	19%	20%	17%	22% ^g	14%	22%	22%	22%	24%	22%	23% ^h	12%	23%	22%	46% ^r	-
Neither favour nor oppose	1163	148	201	381	91	966	279	883	439	98	378	89	186	342	821	40	242	-	-
(3)	54%	57% ^c	61% ^c	48%	56%	54%	33%	68% ^f	39% ^{ijkl}	28%	38% ^{ikl}	28%	34% ^{ikl}	34%	72% ^{km}	34%	33%	-	-
Tend to oppose	89	12	12	30	8	75	44	45	56	20	46	23	35	53	35	11	45	-	89
(2)	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	6%	5%	7% ^{hij}	6% ^j	5% ^h	3%	9%	6%	-	60% ^q
Strongly opposed	59	6	6	28	2	50	20	39	26	8	22	10	12	24	35	10	21	-	59
(1)	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	8% ^p	3%	-	40% ^q
Don't know	20	6	2	3	-	18	2	18	2	-	2	1	-	2	18	-	1	-	-
	1%	2% ^c	1%	-	-	1% ^f	-	1% ^f	-	-	-	-	-	-	2% ^m	-	-	-	-
NET: In favour	810	86	111	344	60	695	500	311	591	227	542	194	319	576	235	56	429	810	-
	38%	33%	34%	44% ^{ab}	37%	39%	59% ^g	24%	53%	64% ^{hij}	55% ^h	61% ^{hij}	58% ^h	58% ^h	21%	48%	58%	100% ^{qr}	-
NET: Opposed	148	18	17	58	10	125	64	83	82	27	68	33	47	77	70	21	66	-	148
	7%	7%	5%	7%	6%	7%	8%	6%	7%	6%	7%	10% ^{hij}	8%	8%	6%	18% ^p	9%	-	100% ^q
MEAN	3.43	3.43	3.45	3.57	3.47	3.50	3.86 ^g	3.25	3.74	3.97 ^{hij}	3.78 ^h	3.85 ^h	3.82 ^h	3.83 ⁿ	3.20	3.46	3.83 ^o	4.54 ^r	1.60
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	3.97 ^{hij}	3.78 ^h	3.85 ^h	3.82 ^h	*	*	0.01	*	*	*
STANDARD ERROR	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.04

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc: abc - def: fgh: hijk: mn: op: qv
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 22
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	808	138	114	125	123	115	193	489	319	182	314	162	150	456	249	103
Weighted Base	810	120	130	143	155	111	151	477	333	237	259	170	144	488	236	86*
It would be good for the economy / business / bring money into the country	123 15%	11 9%	22 17%	21 15%	27 18%	19 17%	22 15%	59 12%	64 19%g	41 17%	36 14%	28 17%	17 12%	79 16%	32 13%	12 14%
It would be good for the country / England / the UK	73 9%	6 5%	12 9%	16 11% ^f	21 14% ^{af}	12 11% ^f	5 3%	38 8%	35 10%	30 13% ^l	23 9% ^l	15 9%	5 3%	46 9%	21 9%	6 7%
We have the infrastructure / facilities / resources / transport	72 9%	8 7%	14 11%	10 7%	12 8%	13 12%	14 9%	53 11% ^h	19 6%	26 11%	27 11%	10 6%	9 6%	50 10%	18 7%	5 6%
Easier / more convenient to attend matches	66 8%	11 9%	19 15% ^{cf}	8 5%	16 10% ^l	7 7%	5 4%	41 9%	25 7%	16 7%	24 9% ^l	22 13% ^l	5 3%	33 7%	23 10%	10 11%
It's a good idea / it would be good / nice to hold the World Cup here	46 6%	9 8% ^d	8 6%	11 8% ^d	2 2%	7 7%	7 5%	31 6%	15 4%	9 4%	13 5%	13 8%	11 8%	30 6%	14 6%	2 2%
England is a football country / people love football (Qatar isn't a football nation)	42 5%	8 7%	5 4%	6 4%	5 3%	5 4%	13 8%	31 7% ^h	10 3%	14 6%	11 4%	9 5%	7 5%	25 5%	13 5%	4 5%
We have the football grounds / good / modern stadia	41 5%	8 6% ^d	8 6% ^d	6 4%	1 1%	9 8% ^d	9 6% ^d	35 7% ^h	6 2%	8 3%	18 7%	7 4%	8 5%	21 4%	17 7%	3 3%
It would bring in visitors / tourists / supporters	35 4%	8 7% ^{df}	9 7% ^{df}	9 7%	2 1%	3 2%	3 2%	12 2%	23 7% ^g	16 7% ^j	7 3%	8 5%	4 3%	18 4%	13 5%	4 5%
England hasn't hosted the World Cup for a long time / since 1966 / it's about time	35 4%	3 3%	4 3%	6 4%	8 5%	7 6%	7 5%	27 6% ^h	8 2%	17 7% ^j	6 2%	7 4%	6 4%	24 5%	5 2%	5 6%
Better climate / weather in England / Qatar climate unsuitable / too hot / health issues	33 4%	3 3%	1 1%	6 4%	4 3%	10 9% ^b	9 6% ^b	23 5%	10 3%	15 6%	7 3%	6 4%	4 3%	21 4%	9 4%	3 4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formula used * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 22
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE					MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE-MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LVI-NG AS (m)	SI-NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Weighted Base	810	120	130	143	155	111	151	477	333	237	259	170	144	488	236	86*	
We can organise a large / sporting event / good at hosting / have the capability	30 4%	2 1%	2 2%	6 4%	10 7%	4 3%	6 4%	22 5%	8 2%	9 4%	11 4%	9 5%	2 1%	21 4%	5 2%	4 4%	
Because I'm English / British / I live here / patriotism	29 4%	8 6%b	1 1%	3 2%	7 4%	5 4%	6 4%	12 3%	17 5%	10 4%	8 3%	6 3%	5 3%	15 3%	12 5%	2 3%	
Why not? / might as well be here / as good a place as any	27 3%	- -	5 4%a	9 7%ad	1 1%	2 2%	9 6%ad	10 2%	17 5%g	12 5%	7 3%	6 3%	3 2%	16 3%	7 3%	5 5%	
England is the home of football	25 3%	2 2%	5 4%	1 1%	8 5%	3 2%	6 4%	22 5%h	3 1%	4 2%	10 4%	7 4%	5 4%	17 4%	6 2%	2 3%	
Close / closer to home / local	21 3%	2 2%	8 6%f	2 1%	5 3%	2 2%	2 1%	10 2%	11 3%	3 1%	7 3%	7 4%	4 3%	16 3%	3 1%	2 2%	
It would promote the country / good PR	19 2%	3 2%	2 2%	3 2%	7 4%	1 1%	4 3%	7 1%	13 4%g	12 5%jk	3 1%	1 *	3 2%	12 2%	5 2%	3 3%	
The process to award the tournament to Qatar was corrupt	19 2%	1 1%	2 2%	3 2%	3 2%	4 3%	6 4%	15 3%	4 1%	9 4%	5 2%	4 3%	1 *	12 2%	5 2%	2 3%	
England believes in equality / inclusion / is open-minded / tolerant / diverse society	19 2%	4 3%	2 1%	2 1%	6 4%	3 3%	3 2%	7 1%	12 4%	7 3%	5 2%	4 2%	3 2%	13 3%	5 2%	1 1%	
It would be good for morale	17 2%	1 1%	1 *	4 2%	8 5%	2 2%	2 1%	4 1%	13 4%g	11 5%j	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	13 3%	3 1%	2 2%	
England's bid to host the 2022 World Cup should have won in the first place / we bid previously / we deserve it	17 2%	2 2%	3 2%	3 2%	5 3%	- -	3 2%	14 3%	3 1%	7 3%	3 1%	5 3%	2 1%	12 3%	3 1%	1 2%	
Anywhere would be better than Qatar / shouldn't be in Qatar	16 2%	2 2%	1 1%	5 4%	1 1%	4 3%	3 2%	15 3%h	1 *	4 2%	7 3%	2 1%	3 2%	10 2%	4 2%	1 2%	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 22
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE					MARITAL STATUS		
	TOTAL	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Weighted Base	810	120	130	143	155	111	151	477	333	237	259	170	144	488	236	66*	
It would create jobs	14 2%	3 2%	4 3%	1 1%	4 3%	1 1%	1 1%	6 1%	8 2%	8 3%	4 1%	3 1%	-	10 2%	4 2%	-	
14 It won't disrupt / interfere with the Premier League / normal season	14 2%	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%	2 2%	3 1%	2 2%	9 2%	4 1%	3 1%	5 2%	4 2%	1 1%	9 2%	3 1%	2 2%	
The Olympics were good / for the country	13 2%	1 1%	2 2%	8 5%	1 1%	1 1%	-	9 2%	5 1%	5 2%	6 2%	1 1%	1 1%	9 2%	3 1%	1 1%	
It would be good for the fans / the people	13 2%	2 2%	2 2%	5 4%	1 *	-	3 2%	8 2%	5 1%	4 2%	4 1%	3 2%	3 2%	8 2%	4 2%	1 1%	
13 Qatar is homophobic (England is not)	13 2%	2 2%	2 1%	3 2%	5 3%	-	1 1%	7 1%	6 2%	3 1%	4 1%	3 2%	3 2%	8 2%	4 2%	-	
It would bring people together / unite the country	12 1%	-	4 3%	5 3%	2 1%	1 1%	-	6 1%	6 2%	9 4%	3 1%	-	-	10 2%	1 *	1 1%	
It would be easier / cheaper to fly to England / travel would be easier / cheaper	12 1%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	2 2%	8 2%	3 1%	4 2%	1 *	4 2%	3 2%	7 1%	2 1%	3 3%	
11 It would give the England team an advantage / home advantage / we might win it	11 1%	1 *	4 3%	1 1%	5 3%	-	*	9 2%	2 1%	5 2%	3 1%	2 1%	2 1%	8 2%	3 1%	1 1%	
We have good security / policing	9 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	8 2%	2 *	5 2%	1 *	1 1%	1 1%	6 1%	1 1%	2 2%	
It would be fair / as long as the bid process is fair	9 1%	-	1 1%	4 3%	2 1%	-	1 *	4 1%	4 1%	5 2%	2 1%	1 *	1 *	6 1%	2 1%	1 1%	
It would be held in the summer / at the right time of year / not winter	8 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	3 2%	8 2%	1 *	3 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	6 1%	2 1%	1 1%	
Qatar is guilty of human rights abuses (England is not)	8 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	8 2%	1 *	5 2%	3 1%	-	-	3 1%	5 2%	-	

Proportions: Male: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 22
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE					MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	AS (l)	DE (m)	MAR/ LIV/ NG AS (n)	SI- NGLE (o)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (p)
Weighted Base	810	120	130	143	155	111	151	477	333	237	259	170	144	488	236	86*	
It would be ethically / morally right	8	2	-	1	2	2	1	4	4	2	4	1	1	3	4	2	
1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	
Safety / it would be safer / for supporters	8	-	1	3	1	3	-	4	4	2	-	3	3	6	1	1	
1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	2%j	2%j	1%	*	2%	
Qatar is corrupt	8	-	1	1	-	2	3	5	3	4	2	-	1	3	3	2	
1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	
It would create a good atmosphere	8	2	2	3	-	-	-	3	5	2	4	1	1	5	3	-	
1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	*	1%	1%	1%	-	
Negative comments about Qatar	7	1	2	-	3	-	1	4	3	1	4	1	1	3	3	1	
1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	*	1%	1%	*	2%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	
It would be a good / great opportunity / for the country	7	-	-	1	2	3	2	7	-	2	3	1	2	4	1	2	
1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%h	-	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	*	2%	
Matches would be played at more convenient times	6	-	1	3	1	-	2	4	2	1	3	1	1	4	1	2	
1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	3%n	
Brexit mentions	6	-	-	5	-	1	-	3	3	2	4	-	-	4	2	-	
1%	1%	-	-	3%l	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	
It would be an honour	5	-	-	1	1	*	2	*	5	*	3	-	2	1	4	1	
1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	*	1%	*	1%g	*	1%	-	1%	*	1%em	1%	
We could host the World Cup at short notice	5	1	2	-	-	-	1	5	-	4	1	-	-	3	2	-	
1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	2%	*	-	-	1%	1%	-	
England has honesty / integrity	5	-	-	-	1	1	2	5	-	2	1	-	2	5	-	-	
1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	*	-	1%	1%	-	-	
England has been snubbed / pushed aside for hosting	4	-	-	2	-	1	1	1	3	-	2	1	1	1	2	1	
*	*	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	
England is welcoming / friendly / hospitable	3	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	1	
*	*	1%	-	-	-	1%	*	*	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%em	1%em	
It would be convenient	3	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	2	1	2	1	-	
*	*	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	*	1%	-	-	1%	1%	*	*	-	
Qatar used slave / cheap labour to build stadia	3	-	-	1	1	1	1	3	-	-	1	1	-	2	-	1	
*	*	-	-	*	1%	1%	*	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	*	-	1%	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 22
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE					MARITAL STATUS		
	TOTAL	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Weighted Base	810	120	130	143	155	111	151	477	333	237	259	170	144	488	236	86*	
England did well in the World Cup / has a good team	2	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	-	
Other	114	12	13	25	25	11	27	67	46	46	37	13	18	74	25	15	
Don't know	75	10%	10%	17%	10%	18%	18%	14%	10%	14%	14%	7%	13%	17%	10%	17%	
	9%	23% ^{bc} def	10% ^d	12	3	2	10	9	43	3	29	14	28	37	33	5	
NET: Negative comments about Qatar	47	8	5	10	9	8	7	34	13	15	19	7	6	25	18	4	
	6%	7%	4%	7%	6%	7%	5%	7%	4%	6%	7%	4%	4%	5%	8%	4%	
NET: Comments about corruption	30	1	4	4	4	7	10	23	7	14	7	4	4	18	8	4	
	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%	6% ^a	6% ^a	5%	2%	6%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	5%	
NET: Comments about good for England (generally)	130	12	22	29	31	21	15	68	62	54	41	23	12	82	35	13	
	16%	10%	17%	20% ^{aef}	20% ^{aef}	18% ^f	10%	14%	19%	23% ^{kl}	16% ^l	13%	9%	17%	15%	15%	
NET: Comments about being good for the economy	149	18	30	26	31	20	24	69	80	54	41	34	20	90	43	16	
	18%	15%	23%	18%	20%	18%	16%	14%	24% ^g	23% ^l	16%	20%	14%	19%	18%	18%	
NET: Comments on infrastructure/ capacity to host	130	17	20	21	23	22	26	99	30	41	48	23	19	83	35	12	
	16%	14%	16%	15%	15%	19%	17%	21% ^h	9%	17%	18%	13%	13%	17%	15%	14%	
NET: Comments on convenience (time/ weather/location)	139	18	31	19	27	21	22	87	51	38	43	41	17	82	38	18	
	17%	15%	24% ^c	13%	18%	19%	14%	18%	15%	16%	16%	24% ^l	12%	17%	16%	21%	

Proportions/Means: Column Totals (5% risk level) - abcddef - gh - i(j)(k) - mno
Overlap formulae used: * small base

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 23
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

Unweighted Base

Weighted Base

It would be good for the economy / business / bring money into the country.

It would be good for the country / England / the UK

We have the infrastructure / facilities / resources / transport

Easier / more convenient to attend matches

It's a good idea / it would be good / nice to hold the World Cup here

England is a football country / people love football (Qatar isn't a football nation)

We have the football grounds / good / modern stadia

It would bring in visitors / tourists / supporters

England hasn't hosted the World Cup for a long time / since 1966 / it's about time

TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
808	333	82	393	63	96	87	49	99	51	80	59	38	68	82	36	712	93
810	397	91*	322	59*	80*	101*	36*	85*	55*	111*	78*	41*	67*	75*	22*	700	108*
123	57	16	50	11	16	11	10	18	3	4	10	18	7	14	1	110	13
75%	14%	17%	16%	18%ij	19%ij	11%	28%fi	21%ij	5%	4%	12%	45%de thijk mno	11%	18%ij	7%	16%	12%
73	41	12	20	5	7	14	4	9	1	5	3	5	11	8	1	62	10
9%	10%	13%	6%	8%	9%	14%i	10%	10%	2%	5%	4%	12%	17%ij k	11%	3%	9%	9%
72	45	3	24	7	3	12	4	4	2	12	9	1	7	6	4	66	6
9%	11%ab	3%	8%	13%ae	4%	12%	11%	5%	4%	11%	12%	3%	10%	8%	17%eh	9%	6%
66	37	9	20	4	6	7	5	7	9	9	2	5	5	4	4	49	17
8%	9%	10%	8%	7%	8%	7%	14%k	8%	16%k	8%	3%	11%	7%	6%	16%k	7%	15%p
46	25	2	19	8	5	5	3	5	-	8	3	-	4	4	1	40	6
6%	6%	2%	6%	13%il	7%	5%	8%l	6%	-	7%	4%	-	7%	6%	3%	6%	6%
42	19	5	17	1	5	8	2	1	-	14	4	1	1	2	1	34	7
5%	5%	6%	5%	1%	7%	8%	7%	2%	-	13%dhi mn	5%	3%	2%	3%	7%j	5%	7%
41	19	4	18	-	3	10	1	1	1	7	3	3	4	5	3	38	3
5%	5%	5%	6%	-	4%	10%dh	2%	2%	1%	6%	4%	7%	6%	6%	14%deg hi	5%	3%
35	13	6	15	3	6	4	4	4	1	2	2	5	2	2	3	31	4
4%	3%	7%	5%	4%	8%	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	13%jn	3%	2%	11%jn	4%	3%
35	19	2	13	3	4	5	1	4	-	6	3	1	1	4	2	33	2
4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	-	5%	4%	1%	2%	6%	7%l	5%	2%

Proportions: Means: Columns: Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de(ghij)klmnop - pq
Overlap: formula used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 23
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base	810	397	91*	322	59*	80*	101*	36*	85*	55*	111*	78*	41*	67*	75*	22*	700	108*
Better climate / weather in England / Qatar climate unsuitable / too hot / health issues	33 4%	15 4%	3 4%	15 5%	1 1%	6 7%	4 4%	2 6%	1 1%	2 3%	3 3%	6 8%	1 2%	6 9%h	1 2%	- -	31 4%	2 1%
We can organise a large / sporting event / good at hosting / have the capability	30 4%	18 5%	2 3%	9 3%	2 3%	4 5%	2 2%	2 6%	5 6%	3 5%	1 1%	3 3%	2 5%	1 1%	4 5%	1 7%j	27 4%	2 2%
Because I'm English / British / I live here / patriotism	29 4%	8 2%	3 4%	18 6%a	1 1%	3 4%	6 6%	1 4%	2 3%	- -	3 3%	6 8%	1 2%	3 5%	1 2%	- -	23 3%	6 6%
Why not? / might as well be here / as good a place as any	27 3%	15 4%	2 2%	10 3%	1 2%	2 3%	- -	1 2%	3 4%	4 7%ln	6 5%	4 5%	2 4%	4 5%l	- -	1 3%l	27 4%	- -
England is the home of football	25 3%	14 3%	2 2%	9 3%	3 5%	1 2%	2 2%	- -	1 2%	- -	5 4%	4 5%	1 2%	3 5%	5 6%	1 3%	22 3%	3 3%
Close / closer to home / local	21 3%	9 2%	6 7%ac	6 2%	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	1 4%j	- -	7 13%afh jkmm	- -	- -	3 7%hjk n	- -	- -	6 28%de ghijklm n	20 3%	- -
It would promote the country / good PR	19 2%	3 1%	7 8%ac	9 3%	1 1%	2 2%	4 4%	1 4%	- -	2 4%	1 1%	2 3%	2 4%	3 4%	1 1%	1 3%h	17 2%	2 2%
The process to award the tournament to Qatar was corrupt	19 2%	9 2%	2 3%	8 2%	1 1%	1 2%	2 2%	1 3%	2 2%	- -	2 2%	4 5%	2 4%	1 2%	3 4%	- -	17 2%	2 2%
England believes in equality / inclusion / is open-minded / tolerant / diverse society	19 2%	8 2%	4 4%	7 2%	2 3%	2 3%	2 2%	1 2%	1 1%	- -	- -	4 5%j	3 7%j	- -	4 5%j	- -	18 3%	1 1%
It would be good for morale	17 2%	5 1%	8 9%ac	4 1%	- -	1 1%	4 4%	1 2%	- -	2 4%	1 1%	2 3%	2 6%h	3 4%	1 2%	- -	15 2%	2 2%

Proportions/Means: Columns tested (5% risk level) - abc - de(ghij)klmnop - pq
Overlap formula used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 23
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION													ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Weighted Base	810	397	91*	322	59*	80*	101*	36*	85*	55*	111*	78*	41*	67*	75*	22*	700	108*	
England's bid to host the 2022 World Cup should have won in the first place / we bid previously / we deserve it	17	10	2	5	1	2	-	-	-	-	8	4	-	-	2	-	15	2	
	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	8% ^h m	5%	-	-	3%	-	2%	2%	
Anywhere would be better than Qatar / shouldn't be in Qatar	16	11	-	5	-	*	1	1	3	2	4	2	1	-	2	1	12	5	
	2%	3%	-	2%	-	1%	1%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	-	2%	4%	2%	4%	
It would create jobs	14	6	3	5	1	2	3	1	2	-	2	2	2	-	-	-	11	3	
	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	6%	-	-	2%	3%	
It won't disrupt / interfere with the Premier League / normal season	14	7	2	4	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	3	2	1	13	1	
	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	4%	3%	6% ^{df}	2%	1%	
The Olympics were good / for the country	13	12	2	-	1	3	5	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	1	-	11	3	
	2%	3% ^c	2% ^c	-	2%	4%	5%	-	-	-	-	1%	5%	-	1%	-	2%	3%	
It would be good for the fans / the people	13	7	1	5	1	2	3	-	3	-	2	1	-	1	1	-	10	3	
	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	-	3%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	
Qatar is homophobic (England is not)	13	6	3	4	1	1	1	-	1	-	2	1	-	3	-	-	11	1	
	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	-	4%	-	2%	1%	
It would bring people together / unite the country	12	10	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	2	2	2	-	2	-	10	2	
	1%	3% ^c	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	2%	3%	5%	-	3%	-	1%	2%	
It would be easier / cheaper to fly to England / travel would be easier / cheaper	12	8	-	3	-	-	1	1	*	3	1	2	1	-	-	2	10	2	
	1%	2%	-	1%	-	-	1%	3%	1%	5% ^{le}	1%	3%	2%	-	-	7% ^{deh jmn}	1%	1%	
It would give the England team an advantage / home advantage / we might win it	11	8	-	3	-	1	1	*	4	-	1	2	-	1	1	-	11	-	
	1%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	5%	-	1%	3%	-	1%	2%	-	2%	-	
We have good security / policing	9	4	-	5	3	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	-	1	1	-	6	4	
	1%	1%	-	2%	5% ^{efj}	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	2%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	3%	

Proportions: Means; Columns: Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de fgh i j k l m n o - p q
Overlap: formulas used: * - small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 23

QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?

BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
	TOTAL	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base	810	397	91*	322	59*	80*	101*	36*	85*	55*	111*	78*	41*	67*	75*	22*	700	108*
It would be fair / as long as the bid process is fair	9 1%	4 1%	2 2%	3 1%	-	2 3%	-	* 1%	1 2%	1 2%	2 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 3%	9 1%	-
It would be held in the summer / at the right time of year / not winter	8 1%	3 1%	1 1%	4 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	1 2%	2 7%off j	8 1%	-
Qatar is guilty of human rights abuses (England is not)	8 1%	5 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 2%	2 2%	* 1%	-	-	-	-	1 3%	1 2%	2 3%	-	6 1%	3 3%
It would be ethically / morally right	8 1%	2 *	-	7 2%	-	-	-	-	2 3%	1 2%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	7 1%	1 1%
Safety / it would be safer / for supporters	8 1%	3 1%	2 2%	3 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	1 3%	-	2 2%	-	1 1%	-	-	5 1%	2 2%
Qatar is corrupt	8 1%	3 1%	-	5 1%	1 1%	-	4 4%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-	* 2%	8 1%	-
It would create a good atmosphere	8 1%	6 2%cd	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	3 2%	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	-	8 1%	-
Negative comments about Qatar	7 1%	2 1%	-	5 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	7 1%	-
We could host a good / great opportunity / for the country	7 1%	2 1%	1 1%	4 1%	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	4 1%	2 2%
Matches would be played at more convenient times	6 1%	2 *	-	5 1%	-	* 1%	-	-	-	2 3%	-	-	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 3%	6 1%	-
Brexit mentions	6 1%	6 1%cd	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	2 4%	-	2 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	6 1%	-
It would be an honour	5 1%	2 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 4%	-	1 1%	2 4%	4 1%	1 1%
We could host the World Cup at short notice	5 1%	2 1%	1 1%	* 1%	-	-	3 3%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	5 1%	-
England has honesty / integrity	5 1%	1 *	1 1%	3 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	-	-	2 3%	1 2%	-	-	-	5 1%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - del(ghj)klmnop - pq
 Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 23
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION													ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Weighted Base	810	397	91*	322	59*	80*	101*	36*	85*	55*	111*	78*	41*	67*	75*	22*	700	108*	
England has been snubbed / pushed aside for hosting	4*	2*	1*	1*	-	-	-	1*	*	-	3*	-	-	-	-	-	4*	-	
England is welcoming / friendly / hospitable	3*	1*	-	3*	-	-	1*	-	-	-	1*	1*	-	-	1*	-	2*	1*	
It would be convenient	3*	-	-	3*	-	-	1*	-	-	-	-	-	-	2*	-	-	3*	-	
Qatar used slave / cheap labour to build stadia	3*	-	-	3*	1*	-	-	-	1*	-	-	-	-	1*	1*	-	3*	-	
England did well in the World Cup / has a good team	2*	1*	1*	1*	-	2*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2*	-	
Other	114	58	12	45	7	9	11	3	10	14	13	16	5	12	11	1	101	13	
Don't know	75	29	7	39	4	7	6	2	22	4	15	6	1	5	2	1	60	15	
	9%	7%	8%	12%a	7%	8%	6%	7%	26%def hjo	8%	14%un	8%	2%	8%	3%	3%	9%	14%	
NET: Negative comments about Qatar	47	25	4	18	2	3	9	2	4	4	6	3	3	3	7	1	38	9	
	6%	6%	4%	6%	3%	4%	8%	6%	5%	8%	5%	4%	8%	4%	9%	1%	5%	8%	
NET: Comments about corruption	30	13	2	15	1	1	6	2	2	1	2	6	2	2	3	*	27	2	
	4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	6%	6%	2%	1%	2%	8%	6%	4%	4%	2%	4%	2%	
NET: Comments about good for England (generally)	130	67	22	41	7	15	28	5	10	6	11	11	8	14	14	1	109	20	
	16%	17%	25%c	13%	12%	16%	28%dh ijo	15%	12%	10%	10%	14%	20%	21%	19%	7%	16%	18%	
NET: Comments about being good for the economy	149	68	20	61	12	21	16	11	21	4	6	13	19	8	16	3	130	18	
	18%	17%	22%	19%	20%j	26%ij m	16%j	30%ij m	24%ij	7%	5%	17%j	47%de thijk mmo	12%	21%j	15%	19%	17%	
NET: Comments on infrastructure/ capacity to host	130	72	8	50	9	11	19	6	9	6	18	15	6	11	14	6	118	10	
	16%	18%	9%	15%	16%	13%	19%	18%	11%	10%	16%	19%	15%	17%	18%	27%kh	17%	10%	
NET: Comments on convenience (time/ weather/location)	139	70	17	52	7	13	15	11	8	18	14	12	10	12	10	10	119	19	
	17%	18%	19%	16%	11%	17%	15%	30%dh jn	9%	13%de thjn	12%	15%	25%h	18%	13%	47%de n	17%	17%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/g/h/i/j/k/l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 24
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	808	105	121	302	65	686	511	297	604	223	556	202	340	581	227	55	432	808	-
Weighted Base	810	86*	111	344	60*	695	500	311	591	227	542	194	319	576	235	56*	429	810	**
It would be good for the economy / business / bring money into the country	123	14	14	67	11	113	55	68	71	24	61	22	37	73	50	7	52	123	-
	15%	17%	13%	19%	18%	16%	11%	22% ^f	12%	11%	11%	11%	12%	13%	21% ^m	13%	12%	15%	-
It would be good for the country / England / the UK	73	5	4	28	3	66	35	38	44	17	38	17	21	43	30	-	48	73	-
	9%	6%	3%	8%	5%	10%	7%	12% ^f	7%	8%	7%	9%	7%	7%	13% ^m	-	11% ^o	9%	-
We have the infrastructure / facilities / resources / transport	72	8	5	41	2	68	63	9	66	37	64	32	51	62	10	2	58	72	-
	9%	9%	4%	12% ^b	3%	10%	13% ^g	3%	11%	16% ^h	12%	16% ^h	16% ^h	11% ⁿ	4%	4%	14%	9%	-
Easier / more convenient to attend matches	66	5	14	30	6	58	53	13	60	25	55	24	36	62	5	5	27	66	-
	8%	5%	12%	9%	10%	8%	11% ^g	4%	10%	11%	10%	13%	11%	11% ⁿ	2%	10%	6%	8%	-
It's a good idea / it would be good / nice to hold the World Cup here	46	5	8	20	8	32	35	11	40	16	33	13	23	35	11	6	20	46	-
	6%	6%	8%	6%	13% ^e	5%	7%	4%	7%	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	4%	10%	5%	6%	-
England is a football country / people love football (Qatar isn't a football nation)	42	3	8	19	1	37	34	8	34	17	34	13	23	38	3	4	24	42	-
	5%	4%	7%	6%	2%	5%	7% ^g	3%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7% ⁿ	1%	8%	6%	5%	-
We have the football grounds / good / modern stadia	41	5	2	19	2	37	35	6	38	21	38	19	29	37	4	1	34	41	-
	5%	6%	2%	5%	4%	5%	7% ^g	2%	7%	9%	7%	10% ^h	9% ^h	6% ⁿ	2%	1%	6%	5%	-
It would bring in visitors / tourists / supporters	35	4	6	13	2	30	9	26	20	8	15	7	8	22	13	5	10	35	-
	4%	5%	6%	4%	3%	4%	2%	8% ^f	3% ^j	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	6%	10% ^p	2%	4%	-
England hasn't hosted the World Cup for a long time / since 1966 / it's about time	35	6	4	17	1	31	32	3	33	18	32	17	23	32	3	1	26	35	-
	4%	6%	3%	5%	1%	4%	6% ^g	1%	6%	8%	6%	9% ^h	7%	6% ⁿ	1%	2%	6%	4%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (0% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - min - op - q/r
Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 24

QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?

BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

TOTAL		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Weighted Base	810	86*	111	344	60*	695	500	311	591	227	542	194	319	576	235	56*	429	810	**
Better climate / weather in England / Qatar climate unsuitable / too hot / health issues	33	4	4	10	3	28	23	10	27	12	24	9	18	25	8	-	31	33	-
We can organise a large / sporting event / good at hosting / have the capability	30	2	4	19	1	28	24	6	27	15	26	15	18	29	1	1	17	30	-
Because I'm English / British / I live here / patriotism	29	6	4	13	1	26	9	21	13	2	13	4	5	20	9	6	7	29	-
Why not? / might as well be here / as good a place as any	27	2	4	12	3	23	13	14	18	6	17	6	7	17	10	3	10	27	-
England is the home of football	25	4	7	9	-	24	20	5	23	12	22	8	16	20	6	2	19	25	-
Close / closer to home / local	21	3	2	10	4	16	16	5	20	9	20	5	10	16	5	1	6	21	-
It would promote the country / good PR	19	2	1	11	1	18	6	14	10	1	9	1	6	14	5	1	12	19	-
The process to award the tournament to Qatar was corrupt	19	1	4	10	-	14	13	6	15	7	13	3	9	14	5	-	15	19	-
England believes in equality / inclusion / is open-minded / tolerant / diverse society	19	-	3	7	3	15	9	10	12	4	10	5	7	10	9	1	8	19	-
It would be good for morale	17	1	-	9	1	16	3	14	4	1	4	1	2	10	7	1	12	17	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (0% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - m/n - o/p - q/r
 Overlap formula used: * small base; ** very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 24

QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?

BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Weighted Base	810	86*	111	344	60*	695	500	311	591	227	542	194	319	576	235	56*	429	810	..*
England's bid to host the 2022 World Cup should have won in the first place / we bid previously / we deserve it	17	-	1	8	-	17	12	5	12	10	12	5	4	15	2	-	13	17	-
	2%	-	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%hj	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	-	3%	2%	-
Anywhere would be better than Qatar / shouldn't be in Qatar	16	*	1	11	1	15	12	4	16	7	16	5	9	15	1	-	15	16	-
	2%	*	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	*	-	3%	2%	-
It would create jobs	14	-	1	10	1	12	6	8	9	6	7	1	4	11	3	-	11	14	-
	2%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%k	1%	*	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	-
It won't disrupt / interfere with the Premier League / normal season	14	1	4	7	1	12	12	2	13	9	12	6	9	12	2	-	11	14	-
	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%hj	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	-
The Olympics were good / for the country	13	-	2	10	-	12	5	8	8	4	5	2	6	10	3	-	10	13	-
	2%	-	2%	3%	-	2%	1%	3%	1%j	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	-
It would be good for the fans / the people	13	2	2	6	1	9	9	5	11	3	10	3	6	12	2	3	4	13	-
	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	5%p	1%	2%	-
Qatar is homophobic (England is not)	13	1	4	4	3	8	3	10	4	1	4	1	2	4	9	-	10	13	-
	2%	1%	4%	1%	5%e	1%	1%	3%l	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%km	-	2%	2%	-
It would bring people together / unite the country	12	-	-	11	-	12	7	5	8	6	8	4	4	10	2	-	10	12	-
	1%	-	-	3%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	-
It would be easier / cheaper to fly to England / travel would be easier / cheaper	12	1	1	8	-	12	11	1	12	4	10	3	9	12	-	-	9	12	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	2%g	*	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%h	-	-	2%	1%	-
It would give the England team an advantage / home advantage / we might win it	11	2	2	5	1	10	7	4	11	5	11	5	8	9	2	-	7	11	-
	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (0% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - l/m - n/o - p - q/r
 Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 24
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	INCOME				SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Weighted Base	810	86*	111	344	60*	695	500	311	591	227	542	194	319	576	235	56*	429	810	-**
We have good security / policing	9	2	-	5	-	8	8	2	9	5	9	3	6	8	2	2	6	9	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	-
It would be fair / as long as the bid process is fair	9	1	1	7	2	7	5	4	5	3	4	2	4	5	4	-	4	9	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-
It would be held in the summer / at the right time of year / not winter	8	1	1	4	1	7	8	1	8	7	8	3	5	7	2	-	6	8	-
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	*	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-
Qatar is guilty of human rights abuses (England is not)	8	1	-	4	1	3	3	5	5	2	5	-	2	5	3	-	8	8	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	*	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-
It would be ethically / morally right	8	3	-	3	1	8	3	5	6	3	6	2	5	5	3	1	6	8	-
	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-
Safety / it would be safer / for supporters	8	1	1	5	-	6	1	6	5	-	4	-	1	4	4	-	3	8	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	*	2%	1%	-	1%	-	*	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-
Qatar is corrupt	8	-	1	5	1	6	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	5	3	-	8	8	-
	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-
It would create a good atmosphere	8	1	2	4	-	8	3	5	5	3	5	2	3	7	1	-	2	8	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	-	*	1%	-
Negative comments about Qatar	7	1	1	3	1	6	6	1	6	4	6	4	4	6	1	-	6	7	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	*	-	1%	1%	-
It would be a good / great opportunity / for the country	7	-	1	2	2	5	6	1	7	2	6	-	4	7	-	1	4	7	-
	1%	-	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-
Matches would be played at more convenient times	6	2	2	1	-	6	6	1	6	1	6	5	5	6	1	-	3	6	-
	1%	2%	2%	*	-	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	*	-	1%	1%	-
Brexit mentions	6	-	-	6	-	6	6	-	6	-	6	1	6	6	-	-	3	6	-
	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	*	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
It would be an honour	5	1	2	-	1	4	3	2	4	*	4	*	2	4	1	1	2	5	-
	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns: Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - min - op - q/r
 Overlap formula used: * small base; ** very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 24

QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?

BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Weighted Base	810	86*	111	344	60*	695	500	311	591	227	542	194	319	576	235	56*	429	810	..**
We could host the World Cup at short notice	5 7%	1 1%	-	1	-	5	4 1%	1	4 1%	1	4 1%	1	4 1%	4 1%	1 1%	-	5 1%	5 1%	-
England has honesty / integrity	5 7%	-	2 2%	1	-	4 1%	3 1%	1	5 1%	1 1%	5 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 *	2 1%	1 2%	3 1%	5 1%	-
England has been snubbed / pushed aside for hosting	4	2 2%g	1 1%	1	-	3	2 *	2 1%	3 *	2 1%	3 *	-	*	4 1%	-	1 2%	1 *	4 *	-
England is welcoming / friendly / hospitable	3 *	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	3	2 *	1	3 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 *	2 1%	2 *	1 1%	1 2%	2 1%	3 *	-
It would be convenient	3 *	-	-	-	-	3	1 *	2 1%	1 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	2 *	1 *	-	2 *	3 *	-
Qatar used slave / cheap labour to build stadia	3 *	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	3	3 1%	-	3 1%	1 *	1 *	2 1%	3 1%j	3 *	-	-	3 1%	3 *	-
England did well in the World Cup / has a good team	2 *	-	1 1%	1	-	2	1 *	1	1 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	1 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 *	-
Other	114 14%	12 14%	13 11%	58 17%	8 13%	98 14%	72 14%	42 13%	83 14%	32 14%	78 14%	28 15%	43 13%	82 14%	32 14%	10 18%	61 14%	114 14%	-
Don't know	75 9%	8 9%	9 8%	19 6%	8 14%	59 8%	41 8%	35 11%	45 8%ikl	7 3%	41 8%ikl	4 2%	16 5%k	38 7%	37 16%lm	8 15%p	27 6%	75 9%	-
NET: Negative comments about Qatar	47 6%	3 3%	8 7%	24 7%	5 8%	37 5%	26 5%	21 7%	35 6%	15 7%	33 6%	12 6%	18 6%	33 6%	13 6%	-	42 10%o	47 6%	-
NET: Comments about corruption	30 4%	1 1%	8 7%	15 4%	1 2%	24 3%	19 4%	11 3%	23 4%	11 5%	20 4%	8 4%	14 4%	20 4%	10 4%	1 2%	25 6%	30 4%	-
NET: Comments about good for England (generally)	130 16%	8 10%	7 6%	62 18%b	7 11%	115 17%	67 13%	63 20%f	84 14%	34 15%	76 14%	27 14%	44 14%	90 16%	40 17%	6 10%	78 18%	130 16%	-
NET: Comments about being good for the economy	149 18%	15 18%	19 17%	78 23%	11 18%	135 19%	63 13%	86 28%f	86 15%	31 14%	74 14%	25 13%	44 14%	93 16%	56 24%lm	11 20%	63 15%	149 18%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (0% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - l/m - n/o - p - q/r
 Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 24
QWC08 Why are you in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are in favour of the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
810	86*	111	344	60*	695	500	311	591	227	542	194	319	576	235	56*	429	810	..*
130	14	11	66	5	120	107	22	118	63	115	57	86	113	17	8	98	130	-
16%	17%	10%	19%b	8%	17%	21%g	7%	20%	28%hj	21%h	29%khj	27%hj	20%kn	7%	10%	23%p	16%	-
NET: Comments on infrastructure/ capacity to host																		
139	14	23	60	12	122	108	31	123	54	113	46	78	121	18	6	78	139	-
17%	17%	21%	17%	21%	18%	22%g	10%	21%	24%	21%	24%	25%hj	21%kn	8%	11%	18%	17%	-
NET: Comments on convenience (time/ weather/location)																		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijkl - mn - op - q
Overlap formulas used: * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 25
QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV/ NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	142	27	21	21	14	20	39	83	59	43	51	24	24	67	51	24
Weighted Base	148	26**	25**	27**	16**	21**	33*	82*	66*	55*	41*	27**	25**	73*	53*	21**
It would cost too much money (money should be spent on the NHS / education / homeless)	18 12%	2 7%	-	3 12%	1 7%	8 41%	3 10%	9 11%	9 13%	7 13%	4 11%	3 11%	3 11%	8 11%	4 8%	5 24%
England needs to address other problems / political problems first	14 9%	-	4 15%	5 18%	3 16%	-	2 7%	10 12%	4 6%	8 14%	1 2%	5 19%	-	9 12%	4 8%	1 4%
Decision has already been made / for Qatar to host the World Cup / it's FIFA's decision	11 7%	3 14%	2 8%	3 10%	-	1 3%	2 5%	6 8%	4 6%	3 6%	4 11%	-	3 11%	4 5%	5 9%	2 10%
Qatar should host the World Cup / it should not be taken away from them	8 5%	1 2%	1 6%	-	2 12%	1 6%	2 7%	6 7%	2 2%	3 5%	1 2%	2 9%	2 7%	5 7%	2 4%	1 4%
Other countries should be given the chance to host the World Cup / countries which haven't hosted it before	8 5%	-	4 14%	-	1 8%	-	3 8%	5 7%	2 3%	3 5%	3 7%	2 7%	-	5 7%	1 2%	1 6%
Mentions of Brexit	7 5%	2 7%	-	1 3%	3 16%	2 11%	-	4 5%	3 5%	5 9%	1 2%	1 3%	1 4%	4 5%	3 5%	1 4%
It's too late	7 5%	1 4%	-	2 8%	1 7%	* 2%	3 8%	5 5%	3 4%	4 7%	2 6%	-	1 4%	4 5%	4 7%	-
Negative comments about Qatar / World Cup bid / tournament being held in Qatar	7 5%	2 7%	-	1 5%	1 5%	2 8%	1 4%	4 5%	3 5%	3 5%	3 6%	1 2%	1 3%	4 5%	2 3%	2 8%
I'm not interested in football	6 4%	1 3%	2 8%	-	-	1 4%	3 8%	4 5%	3 4%	2 3%	2 5%	2 6%	1 4%	3 4%	1 3%	2 9%
Potential problems with hoodiganism / violence	5 3%	1 3%	1 3%	2 8%	-	-	1 3%	1 1%	4 6%	2 4%	2 6%	-	-	2 3%	2 5%	-

Proportions/Margin: Columns Totals (0% risk level) - a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o
Overlap: formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 25
QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE					MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Weighted Base	148	26**	25**	27**	16**	21**	33*	82*	66*	55*	41*	27**	25**	73*	53*	21**	
England should not automatically host the World Cup in 2022 / new bidding process should take place	4 3%	1 3%	-	1 4%	-	-	2 7%	2 3%	2 3%	2 4%	2 5%	-	-	1 1%	2 4%	1 6%	
It's a waste of time / no point	4 3%	-	1 6%	-	-	2 8%	1 2%	4 5%	-	1 1%	2 2%	2 9%	-	3 4%	1 2%	-	
Not interested / not bothered	4 3%	1 3%	-	-	-	1 6%	2 6%	1 1%	3 5%	3 6%	1 2%	-	-	3 4%	1 1%	-	
It's a waste of money	4 2%	1 2%	1 6%	-	1 5%	-	1 2%	4 4%	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 5%	1 3%	2 3%	1 1%	1 3%	
Qatar has already spent money / invested in infrastructure	3 2%	1 2%	-	2 8%	-	-	-	3 3%	-	1 3%	1 3%	-	-	1 2%	1 3%	-	
It would not be good for the economy	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-	2 6%	-	2 3%	-	1 2%	-	1 6%	2 3%	-	-	
Other	43 29%	7 28%	6 22%	9 33%	6 36%	6 28%	9 28%	27 32%	16 25%	20 37%	9 22%	8 29%	6 23%	17 23%	18 34%	8 38%	
Don't know	20 14%	7 26%	7 28%	1 4%	2 12%	1 5%	3 8%	7 8%	14 21%	-	7 18%	4 16%	9 35%	9 12%	10 19%	2 7%	
NET: Decision already taken/ Qatar already invested	21 14%	5 18%	4 14%	5 18%	2 12%	2 9%	4 12%	15 19%	6 9%	8 14%	7 16%	2 9%	5 19%	10 14%	8 15%	3 14%	
NET: Waste of time/ money	25 17%	2 9%	1 6%	3 12%	2 11%	10 48%	6 19%	14 17%	11 16%	8 15%	6 16%	5 20%	5 20%	13 18%	6 11%	6 27%	
NET: England should focus on other issues	19 13%	2 7%	4 15%	6 21%	3 16%	2 11%	2 7%	11 14%	7 11%	10 18%	2 4%	6 22%	1 4%	10 14%	7 12%	2 8%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abridged - gh - jkl - mno
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 26
QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION											ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Unweighted Base	142	57	15	70	18	15	22	4	16	19	9	9	6	15	9	-	123	19
Weighted Base	148	71*	16**	61*	18**	13**	27**	3**	14**	19**	13**	13**	8**	14**	8**	**	126	21**
It would cost too much money (money should be spent on the NHS / education / homeless)	18	8	1	9	2	2	2	-	1	2	4	1	2	1	2	-	16	2
	12%	11%	8%	14%	13%	15%	9%	-	6%	7%	12%	33%	14%	5%	21%	-	13%	8%
England needs to address other problems / political problems first	14	10	1	2	2	3	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	12	2
	9%	15%	6%	4%	9%	23%	12%	-	-	-	19%	-	44%	-	-	-	9%	8%
Decision has already been made / for Qatar to host the World Cup / it's FIFA's decision	11	7	-	4	1	-	1	-	3	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	11	-
	7%	9%	-	7%	4%	-	5%	-	22%	9%	-	13%	-	16%	-	-	8%	-
Qatar should host the World Cup / it should not be taken away from them	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	1	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1
	5%	5%	-	7%	-	-	-	35%	23%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	6%
Other countries should be given the chance to host the World Cup / countries which haven't hosted it before	8	1	1	5	1	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	1	2	-	-	7	1
	5%	2%	8%	8%	4%	5%	-	36%	-	9%	-	-	18%	16%	-	-	5%	4%
Mentions of Brexit	7	3	1	4	1	-	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	1	-	-	6	1
	5%	4%	5%	7%	5%	-	7%	-	-	-	30%	-	-	6%	-	-	5%	5%
It's too late	7	3	1	3	2	1	-	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	6	1
	5%	4%	9%	6%	12%	6%	-	16%	20%	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	5%	5%
Negative comments about Qatar / World Cup bid / tournament being held in Qatar	7	2	1	4	1	1	1	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	6	1
	5%	2%	9%	7%	5%	11%	2%	-	15%	3%	-	-	-	6%	7%	-	5%	3%
I'm not interested in football	6	2	1	4	2	-	3	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	6	-
	4%	2%	4%	7%	9%	-	10%	-	6%	3%	-	8%	-	-	-	-	5%	-
Potential problems with hooliganism / violence	5	2	2	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	3	2
	3%	2%	14%	1%	5%	-	3%	-	6%	-	-	-	-	9%	12%	-	2%	8%

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (0% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 26
QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base	148	71*	16**	61*	18**	13**	27**	3**	14**	19**	13**	13**	8**	14**	8**	..**	126	21**
England should not automatically host the World Cup in 2022 / new bidding process should take place	4 3%	2 3%	-	2 3%	1 6%	-	2 9%	-	1 6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 3%	-
It's a waste of time / no point	4 3%	2 3%	1 5%	1 1%	1 5%	-	-	-	-	1 8%	-	-	-	1 6%	1 10%	-	4 3%	-
Not interested / not bothered	4 3%	3 4%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 3%	-	-	-	-	2 15%	-	1 9%	-	-	3 2%	1 3%
It's a waste of money	4 2%	1 2%	1 5%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	1 4%	1 8%	-	-	-	1 5%	1 10%	-	4 3%	-
Qatar has already spent money / invested in infrastructure	3 2%	1 1%	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 29%	1 11%	-	-	-	-	1 4%	-	-	3 2%	-
It would not be good for the economy	2 1%	-	-	2 3%	-	1 5%	-	-	-	-	-	1 11%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	-
Other	43 29%	25 35%	5 30%	13 22%	3 18%	5 39%	9 34%	1 19%	4 29%	8 43%	5 37%	3 24%	2 25%	1 7%	2 26%	-	33 26%	10 47%
Don't know	20 14%	8 12%	2 11%	10 17%	3 16%	1 8%	4 16%	-	2 13%	1 6%	4 33%	1 7%	-	1 10%	3 33%	-	17 13%	4 17%
NET: Decision already taken/ Qatar already invested	21 14%	11 15%	1 9%	9 15%	1 4%	-	1 5%	2 64%	8 55%	5 29%	-	2 13%	-	3 20%	-	-	20 16%	1 6%
NET: Waste of time/ money	25 17%	10 14%	2 13%	13 21%	3 18%	3 20%	2 9%	-	1 10%	3 15%	2 12%	6 44%	1 14%	2 16%	3 31%	-	23 18%	2 8%
NET: England should focus on other issues	19 13%	10 15%	2 11%	6 10%	2 14%	3 23%	5 19%	-	-	-	4 30%	-	3 44%	1 6%	-	-	16 13%	3 13%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - del(ghi)klmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 27
QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	142	19	19	51	11	118	63	79	82	23	67	30	44	77	65	18	88	-	142
Weighted Base	148	18**	17**	58*	10**	125	64*	83*	82*	27**	68*	33**	47*	77*	70*	21**	66*	-**	148
It would cost too much money (money should be spent on the NHS / education / homeless)	18 12%	1 3%	3 20%	8 14%	2 16%	15 12%	5 8%	13 15%	6 8%	-	6 9%	2 6%	3 6%	5 7%	12 18%	1 6%	8 12%	-	18 12%
England needs to address other problems / political problems first	14 9%	1 5%	1 5%	9 15%	-	10 8%	7 10%	7 8%	11 13%	5 17%	9 13%	3 8%	9 19%	12 15%	2 3%	2 7%	7 10%	-	14 9%
Decision has already been made / for Qatar to host the World Cup / it's FIFA's decision	11 7%	-	3 19%	2 4%	2 18%	9 7%	5 8%	5 7%	6 7%	1 4%	5 8%	2 6%	3 6%	8 11%	2 3%	2 10%	3 5%	-	11 7%
Qatar should host the World Cup / it should not be taken away from them	8 5%	-	2 10%	3 5%	-	8 6%	6 9%	2 2%	6 7%	3 10%	6 8%	4 12%	4 8%	6 7%	2 3%	1 7%	2 3%	-	8 5%
Other countries should be given the chance to host the World Cup / countries which haven't hosted it before	8 5%	1 7%	-	3 5%	-	8 6%	4 7%	3 4%	6 7%	2 6%	5 8%	4 12%	1 3%	5 6%	3 4%	1 6%	5 7%	-	8 5%
Mentions of Brexit	7 5%	2 9%	-	4 7%	-	6 5%	6 9%	1 2%	6 7%	3 12%	6 9%	3 8%	3 7%	6 8%	1 2%	-	4 5%	-	7 5%
It's too late	7 5%	-	* 24%	2 4%	2 20%	5 4%	4 6%	4 4%	5 6%	1 4%	5 8%	3 8%	5 10%	5 7%	2 3%	-	6 9%	-	7 5%
Negative comments about Qatar / World Cup bid / tournament being held in Qatar	7 5%	1 5%	1 7%	1 1%	2 20%	4 3%	4 6%	3 4%	5 6%	1 2%	4 6%	1 4%	3 6%	5 6%	2 3%	1 3%	5 7%	-	7 5%
I'm not interested in football	6 4%	1 8%	2 11%	1 1%	1 7%	4 3%	1 1%	6 7%	2 2%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 2%	5 7%	-	3 5%	-	6 4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - min - op - q/r
Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 27
QWC09 Why are you opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022?
BASE: All who are opposed to the English FA putting England forward as hosts for the 2022 World Cup

																					IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL						INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR					
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)			
Weighted Base	148	18**	17**	58*	10**	125	64*	83*	82*	27**	68*	33**	47*	77*	70*	21**	66*	**	148			
Potential problems with hooliganism / violence	5	1	-	3	1	3	-	5	2	-	-	-	2	1	4	-	1	-	5			
	3%	4%	-	5%	7%	2%	-	5%	2%	-	-	-	3%	1%	5%	-	2%	-	3%			
England should not automatically host the World Cup in 2022 / new bidding process should take place	4	-	-	4	-	4	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	-	4	-	4			
	3%	-	-	7%	-	3%	4%	2%	4%	9%	5%	7%	5%	3%	3%	-	6%	-	3%			
It's a waste of time / no point	4	-	1	2	-	4	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	1	1	2	-	4			
	3%	-	5%	4%	-	3%	4%	2%	4%	8%	5%	9%	7%	4%	1%	4%	2%	-	3%			
Not interested / not bothered	4	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	1	-	1	1	1	1	3	-	1	-	4			
	3%	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	4%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	-	2%	-	3%			
It's a waste of money	4	1	-	3	-	4	1	2	3	1	3	2	3	3	1	-	2	-	4			
	2%	4%	-	5%	-	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	4%	7%	6%	4%	1%	-	3%	-	2%			
Qatar has already spent money / invested in infrastructure	3	-	1	-	1	1	3	-	3	-	3	2	2	3	-	-	3	-	3			
	2%	-	4%	-	14%	1%	4%	-	3%	-	4%	7%	5%	4%	-	-	4%	-	2%			
It would not be good for the economy	2	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	2	-	2			
	1%	-	8%	-	8%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	-	4%	3%	2%	1%	-	3%	-	1%			
Other	43	5	4	22	4	39	23	20	28	14	21	11	17	23	20	8	17	-	43			
	29%	26%	24%	38%	38%	31%	35%	24%	34%	49%	32%	32%	37%	29%	29%	40%	25%	-	29%			
Don't know	20	6	1	1	1	15	7	13	8	2	6	3	2	9	11	3	9	-	20			
	14%	34%	5%	1%	10%	12%	11%	16%	9%	6%	8%	10%	5%	12%	16%	16%	14%	-	14%			
NET: Decision already taken/ Qatar already invested	21	-	6	5	3	18	14	7	14	4	14	8	9	17	4	4	8	-	21			
	14%	-	33%	9%	32%	14%	21%	9%	17%	16%	20%	25%	19%	22%	6%	17%	13%	-	14%			
NET: Waste of time/ money	25	1	6	11	2	22	9	16	11	2	10	6	8	10	15	2	13	-	25			
	17%	7%	32%	19%	22%	17%	13%	19%	14%	8%	15%	20%	17%	13%	21%	10%	19%	-	17%			
NET: England should focus on other issues	19	2	1	10	-	14	10	9	14	6	12	3	10	15	3	2	8	-	19			
	13%	14%	5%	18%	-	11%	16%	10%	18%	20%	18%	8%	21%	20%	5%	7%	12%	-	13%			

Propositions: Main column: Total (a) risk level (b) risk level (c) risk level (d) risk level (e) risk level (f) risk level (g) risk level (h) risk level (i) risk level (j) risk level (k) risk level (l) risk level (m) risk level (n) risk level (o) risk level (p) risk level (q) risk level (r) risk level (s) risk level (t) risk level (u) risk level (v) risk level (w) risk level (x) risk level (y) risk level (z) risk level (aa) risk level (ab) risk level (ac) risk level (ad) risk level (ae) risk level (af) risk level (ag) risk level (ah) risk level (ai) risk level (aj) risk level (ak) risk level (al) risk level (am) risk level (an) risk level (ao) risk level (ap) risk level (aq) risk level (ar) risk level (as) risk level (at) risk level (au) risk level (av) risk level (aw) risk level (ax) risk level (ay) risk level (az) risk level (ba) risk level (bb) risk level (bc) risk level (bd) risk level (be) risk level (bf) risk level (bg) risk level (bh) risk level (bi) risk level (bj) risk level (bk) risk level (bl) risk level (bm) risk level (bn) risk level (bo) risk level (bp) risk level (bq) risk level (br) risk level (bs) risk level (bt) risk level (bu) risk level (bv) risk level (bw) risk level (bx) risk level (by) risk level (bz) risk level (ca) risk level (cb) risk level (cc) risk level (cd) risk level (ce) risk level (cf) risk level (cg) risk level (ch) risk level (ci) risk level (cj) risk level (ck) risk level (cl) risk level (cm) risk level (cn) risk level (co) risk level (cp) risk level (cq) risk level (cr) risk level (cs) risk level (ct) risk level (cu) risk level (cv) risk level (cw) risk level (cx) risk level (cy) risk level (cz) risk level (da) risk level (db) risk level (dc) risk level (dd) risk level (de) risk level (df) risk level (dg) risk level (dh) risk level (di) risk level (dj) risk level (dk) risk level (dl) risk level (dm) risk level (dn) risk level (do) risk level (dp) risk level (dq) risk level (dr) risk level (ds) risk level (dt) risk level (du) risk level (dv) risk level (dw) risk level (dx) risk level (dy) risk level (dz) risk level (ea) risk level (eb) risk level (ec) risk level (ed) risk level (ee) risk level (ef) risk level (eg) risk level (eh) risk level (ei) risk level (ej) risk level (ek) risk level (el) risk level (em) risk level (en) risk level (eo) risk level (ep) risk level (eq) risk level (er) risk level (es) risk level (et) risk level (eu) risk level (ev) risk level (ew) risk level (ex) risk level (ey) risk level (ez) risk level (fa) risk level (fb) risk level (fc) risk level (fd) risk level (fe) risk level (ff) risk level (fg) risk level (fh) risk level (fi) risk level (fj) risk level (fk) risk level (fl) risk level (fm) risk level (fn) risk level (fo) risk level (fp) risk level (fq) risk level (fr) risk level (fs) risk level (ft) risk level (fu) risk level (fv) risk level (fw) risk level (fx) risk level (fy) risk level (fz) risk level (ga) risk level (gb) risk level (gc) risk level (gd) risk level (ge) risk level (gf) risk level (gg) risk level (gh) risk level (gi) risk level (gj) risk level (gk) risk level (gl) risk level (gm) risk level (gn) risk level (go) risk level (gp) risk level (gq) risk level (gr) risk level (gs) risk level (gt) risk level (gu) risk level (gv) risk level (gw) risk level (gx) risk level (gy) risk level (gz) risk level (ha) risk level (hb) risk level (hc) risk level (hd) risk level (he) risk level (hf) risk level (hg) risk level (hh) risk level (hi) risk level (hj) risk level (hk) risk level (hl) risk level (hm) risk level (hn) risk level (ho) risk level (hp) risk level (hq) risk level (hr) risk level (hs) risk level (ht) risk level (hu) risk level (hv) risk level (hw) risk level (hx) risk level (hy) risk level (hz) risk level (ia) risk level (ib) risk level (ic) risk level (id) risk level (ie) risk level (if) risk level (ig) risk level (ih) risk level (ii) risk level (ij) risk level (ik) risk level (il) risk level (im) risk level (in) risk level (io) risk level (ip) risk level (iq) risk level (ir) risk level (is) risk level (it) risk level (iu) risk level (iv) risk level (iw) risk level (ix) risk level (iy) risk level (iz) risk level (ja) risk level (jb) risk level (jc) risk level (jd) risk level (je) risk level (jf) risk level (jg) risk level (jh) risk level (ji) risk level (jj) risk level (jk) risk level (jl) risk level (jm) risk level (jn) risk level (jo) risk level (jp) risk level (jq) risk level (jr) risk level (js) risk level (jt) risk level (ju) risk level (jv) risk level (jw) risk level (jx) risk level (jy) risk level (jz) risk level (ka) risk level (kb) risk level (kc) risk level (kd) risk level (ke) risk level (kf) risk level (kg) risk level (kh) risk level (ki) risk level (kj) risk level (kl) risk level (km) risk level (kn) risk level (ko) risk level (kp) risk level (kq) risk level (kr) risk level (ks) risk level (kt) risk level (ku) risk level (kv) risk level (kw) risk level (kx) risk level (ky) risk level (kz) risk level (la) risk level (lb) risk level (lc) risk level (ld) risk level (le) risk level (lf) risk level (lg) risk level (lh) risk level (li) risk level (lj) risk level (lk) risk level (ll) risk level (lm) risk level (ln) risk level (lo) risk level (lp) risk level (lq) risk level (lr) risk level (ls) risk level (lt) risk level (lu) risk level (lv) risk level (lw) risk level (lx) risk level (ly) risk level (lz) risk level (ma) risk level (mb) risk level (mc) risk level (md) risk level (me) risk level (mf) risk level (mg) risk level (mh) risk level (mi) risk level (mj) risk level (mk) risk level (ml) risk level (mn) risk level (mo) risk level (mp) risk level (mq) risk level (mr) risk level (ms) risk level (mt) risk level (mu) risk level (mv) risk level (mw) risk level (mx) risk level (my) risk level (mz) risk level (na) risk level (nb) risk level (nc) risk level (nd) risk level (ne) risk level (nf) risk level (ng) risk level (nh) risk level (ni) risk level (nj) risk level (nk) risk level (nl) risk level (nm) risk level (nn) risk level (no) risk level (np) risk level (nq) risk level (nr) risk level (ns) risk level (nt) risk level (nu) risk level (nv) risk level (nw) risk level (nx) risk level (ny) risk level (nz) risk level (oa) risk level (ob) risk level (oc) risk level (od) risk level (oe) risk level (of) risk level (og) risk level (oh) risk level (oi) risk level (oj) risk level (ok) risk level (ol) risk level (om) risk level (on) risk level (oo) risk level (op) risk level (oq) risk level (or) risk level (os) risk level (ot) risk level (ou) risk level (ov) risk level (ow) risk level (ox) risk level (oy) risk level (oz) risk level (pa) risk level (pb) risk level (pc) risk level (pd) risk level (pe) risk level (pf) risk level (pg) risk level (ph) risk level (pi) risk level (pj) risk level (pk) risk level (pl) risk level (pm) risk level (pn) risk level (po) risk level (pp) risk level (pq) risk level (pr) risk level (ps) risk level (pt) risk level (pu) risk level (pv) risk level (pw) risk level (px) risk level (py) risk level (pz) risk level (qa) risk level (qb) risk level (qc) risk level (qd) risk level (qe) risk level (qf) risk level (qg) risk level (qh) risk level (qi) risk level (qj) risk level (qk) risk level (ql) risk level (qm) risk level (qn) risk level (qo) risk level (qp) risk level (qq) risk level (qr) risk level (qs) risk level (qt) risk level (qu) risk level (qv) risk level (qw) risk level (qx) risk level (qy) risk level (qz) risk level (ra) risk level (rb) risk level (rc) risk level (rd) risk level (re) risk level (rf) risk level (rg) risk level (rh) risk level (ri) risk level (rj) risk level (rk) risk level (rl) risk level (rm) risk level (rn) risk level (ro) risk level (rp) risk level (rq) risk level (rr) risk level (rs) risk level (rt) risk level (ru) risk level (rv) risk level (rw) risk level (rx) risk level (ry) risk level (rz) risk level (sa) risk level (sb) risk level (sc) risk level (sd) risk level (se) risk level (sf) risk level (sg) risk level (sh) risk level (si) risk level (sj) risk level (sk) risk level (sl) risk level (sm) risk level (sn) risk level (so) risk level (sp) risk level (sq) risk level (sr) risk level (ss) risk level (st) risk level (su) risk level (sv) risk level (sw) risk level (sx) risk level (sy) risk level (sz) risk level (ta) risk level (tb) risk level (tc) risk level (td) risk level (te) risk level (tf) risk level (tg) risk level (th) risk level (ti) risk level (tj) risk level (tk) risk level (tl) risk level (tm) risk level (tn) risk level (to) risk level (tp) risk level (tq) risk level (tr) risk level (ts) risk level (tt) risk level (tu) risk level (tv) risk level (tw) risk level (tx) risk level (ty) risk level (tz) risk level (ua) risk level (ub) risk level (uc) risk level (ud) risk level (ue) risk level (uf) risk level (ug) risk level (uh) risk level (ui) risk level (uj) risk level (uk) risk level (ul) risk level (um) risk level (un) risk level (uo) risk level (up) risk level (uq) risk level (ur) risk level (us) risk level (ut) risk level (uu) risk level (uv) risk level (uw) risk level (ux) risk level (uy) risk level (uz) risk level (va) risk level (vb) risk level (vc) risk level (vd) risk level (ve) risk level (vf) risk level (vg) risk level (vh) risk level (vi) risk level (vj) risk level (vk) risk level (vl) risk level (vm) risk level (vn) risk level (vo) risk level (vp) risk level (vq) risk level (vr) risk level (vs) risk level (vt) risk level (vu) risk level (vv) risk level (vw) risk level (vx) risk level (vy) risk level (vz) risk level (wa) risk level (wb) risk level (wc) risk level (wd) risk level (we) risk level (wf) risk level (wg) risk level (wh) risk level (wi) risk level (wj) risk level (wk) risk level (wl) risk level (wm) risk level (wn) risk level (wo) risk level (wp) risk level (wq) risk level (wr) risk level (ws) risk level (wt) risk level (wu) risk level (wv) risk level (ww) risk level (wx) risk level (wy) risk level (wz) risk level (xa) risk level (xb) risk level (xc) risk level (xd) risk level (xe) risk level (xf) risk level (xg) risk level (xh) risk level (xi) risk level (xj) risk level (xk) risk level (xl) risk level (xm) risk level (xn) risk level (xo) risk level (xp) risk level (xq) risk level (xr) risk level (xs) risk level (xt) risk level (xu) risk level (xv) risk level (xw) risk level (xx) risk level (xy) risk level (xz) risk level (ya) risk level (yb) risk level (yc) risk level (yd) risk level (ye) risk level (yf) risk level (yg) risk level (yh) risk level (yi) risk level (yj) risk level (yk) risk level (yl) risk level (ym) risk level (yn) risk level (yo) risk level (yp) risk level (yq) risk level (yr) risk level (ys) risk level (yt) risk level (yu) risk level (yv) risk level (yw) risk level (yx) risk level (yz) risk level (za) risk level (zb) risk level (zc) risk level (zd) risk level (ze) risk level (zf) risk level (zg) risk level (zh) risk level (zi) risk level (zj) risk level (zk) risk level (zl) risk level (zm) risk level (zn) risk level (zo) risk level (zp) risk level (zq) risk level (zr) risk level (zs) risk level (zt) risk level (zu) risk level (zv) risk level (zw) risk level (zx) risk level (zy) risk level (zz)

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 28
QWC07A And if the English Football Association did put England forward to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022,
to what extent would you be more or less likely, if at all, to be in favour of it if some of the matches were to be played in Wales/Scotland/Ni?
BASE: All adults aged 15+ in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	371	39	58	52	50	61	111	208	162	102	127	75	67	207	103	60
Weighted Base	345	31*	58*	60*	57*	53*	86*	167	177	117*	101	68*	59*	201	95*	48*
A lot more likely	116	9	24	19	26	17	21	76	40	41	28	33	13	72	31	13
(5)	34%	29%	41% ^f	31%	46% ^f	33%	25%	45% ^h	22%	35%	28%	48% ^{kl}	23%	36%	33%	26%
A little more likely	74	7	5	18	15	12	18	29	44	30	27	8	10	44	18	11
(4)	22%	23% ^b	8%	30% ^b	26% ^b	22%	21% ^b	18%	25%	25% ^k	27% ^k	12%	17%	22%	19%	24%
Makes no difference	138	12	28	21	15	22	40	52	86	38	42	26	32	73	41	24
(3)	40%	38%	47% ^d	35%	27%	42%	46% ^d	31%	49% ^g	32%	41%	38%	55% ^{kl}	36%	43%	50%
A little less likely	8	1	2	2	-	-	3	5	3	6	1	-	2	6	2	-
(2)	2%	5%	3%	3%	-	-	3%	3%	2%	5%	1%	-	3%	3%	2%	-
A lot less likely	9	1	1	-	1	2	4	5	4	2	3	2	2	7	2	-
(1)	3%	5%	1%	-	1%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: More likely	190	16	28	37	41	29	39	105	84	71	55	40	23	116	49	24
55%	52%	49%	61%	71% ^{bdf}	54%	46%	63% ^h	47%	61% ^{kl}	55%	60% ^{kl}	39%	58%	52%	50%	
NET: Less likely	17	3	2	2	1	2	7	10	7	8	4	2	3	12	4	-
5%	10%	4%	3%	1%	4%	8%	6%	4%	7%	4%	2%	6%	6%	5%	-	
MEAN	3.81	3.67	3.84	3.90	4.14 ^a	3.79	3.58	3.90 ^h	3.64	3.87 ⁱ	3.76	4.03 ^l	3.54	3.84	3.78	3.76
ERROR VARIANCE	*	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.05	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.11

Proportions/Means: Column Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formula used - * small base

Table 29
QWC07A And if the English Football Association did put England forward to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022,
to what extent would you be more or less likely, if at all, to be in favour of it if some of the matches were to be played in Wales/Scotland/NI?
BASE: All adults aged 15+ in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland

		WORKING STATUS				GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION											ETHNICITY	
TOTAL		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID- LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE- LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Unweighted Base		371	144	44	183	-	-	-	-	177	-	-	94	-	-	100	359	9
Weighted Base		345	154	45*	145	..*	..*	..*	..*	180	..*	..*	102*	..*	..*	62*	332	10**
A lot more likely	(5)	116	61	15	39	-	-	-	-	54	-	-	38	-	-	23	112	3
		34%	39% ^c	34%	27%	-	-	-	-	30%	-	-	38%	-	-	37%	34%	30%
A little more likely	(4)	74	35	7	33	-	-	-	-	40	-	-	23	-	-	11	72	2
		22%	22%	15%	23%	-	-	-	-	22%	-	-	23%	-	-	18%	22%	21%
Makes no difference	(3)	138	53	21	64	-	-	-	-	76	-	-	35	-	-	27	132	4
		40%	34%	47%	44%	-	-	-	-	42%	-	-	34%	-	-	44%	40%	43%
A little less likely	(2)	8	4	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	-	7	1
		2%	3%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	2%	6%
A lot less likely	(1)	9	2	2	5	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	1	-	-	1	9	-
		3%	1%	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	3%	-
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: More likely		190	96	22	72	-	-	-	-	94	-	-	62	-	-	34	184	5
		55%	62% ^c	49%	50%	-	-	-	-	52%	-	-	61%	-	-	55%	55%	51%
NET: Less likely		17	6	2	9	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	5	-	-	1	16	1
		5%	4%	4%	6%	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	5%	-	-	1%	5%	6%
MEAN		3.81	3.96 ^c	3.76	3.67	-	-	-	-	3.72	-	-	3.92	-	-	3.89	3.82	3.75
ERROR VARIANCE		*	0.01	0.03	0.01	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	-	0.01	-	-	0.01	*	0.11
STANDARD ERROR		0.05	0.08	0.16	0.08	-	-	-	-	0.08	-	-	0.10	-	-	0.10	0.05	0.34

Proportions: Mean: Column Totals (5% risk level) - abc - del(hi)klmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 30
QWC07A And if the English Football Association did put England forward to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022,
to what extent would you be more or less likely, if at all, to be in favour of it if some of the matches were to be played in Wales/Scotland/NI?
BASE: All adults aged 15+ in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	371	44	65	129	40	322	159	212	209	71	190	67	122	165	206	15	139	125	25
Weighted Base	345	35*	60*	135	31*	306	137	207	163	63*	167	58*	104	144	200	15**	128	118	26**
A lot more likely	116	10	20	56	8	105	72	43	91	34	85	31	58	77	39	5	63	76	7
(5)	34%	28%	34%	41%	26%	34%	53%g	21%	50%	54%	51%	53%	55%	53%h	19%	36%	49%	65%	28%
A little more likely	74	8	13	33	7	67	34	40	44	16	40	16	23	36	39	4	33	31	6
(4)	22%	22%	21%	25%	23%	22%	25%	19%	24%	25%	24%	26%	22%	25%	19%	26%	26%	26%	22%
Makes no difference	138	16	27	40	16	118	27	111	43	11	37	10	20	28	110	6	27	10	8
(3)	40%	46%	45%c	29%	51%	39%	19%	54%f	23%	18%	22%	17%	19%	19%	55%h	38%	21%	8%	32%
A little less likely	8	1	-	4	-	8	1	7	2	-	2	-	2	2	6	-	3	1	3
(2)	2%	2%	-	3%	-	3%	1%	3%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	2%	3%	-	2%	1%	13%
A lot less likely	9	1	-	2	-	8	3	6	3	2	2	1	2	2	7	-	3	*	1
(1)	3%	3%	-	2%	-	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	-	2%	*	5%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: More likely	190	17	33	89	15	172	106	84	135	50	125	47	81	113	77	9	96	107	13
	55%	49%	55%	66%	49%	56%	77%g	40%	74%	79%	75%	81%	77%	78%h	39%	62%	75%	91%	50%
NET: Less likely	17	2	-	6	-	16	4	12	6	2	5	1	4	4	13	-	6	1	5
	5%	5%	-	5%	-	5%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	6%	-	5%	1%	18%
MEAN	3.81	3.69	3.89	4.00	3.75	3.83	4.25g	3.53	4.18	4.26	4.22	4.30	4.27	4.27h	3.48	3.98	4.17	4.54	3.56
ERROR VARIANCE	*	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	*	0.01	*	*	0.01	*	0.01	0.01	*	*	0.05	0.01	*	0.06
STANDARD ERROR	0.05	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.23	0.08	0.06	0.24

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijk - mn - op - qv
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 31
QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
	TOTAL	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
FIFA (the international football federation)	1141 53%	188 60% <i>l</i>	184 52%	190 58% <i>l</i>	203 56% <i>l</i>	158 52%	218 46%	620 59% <i>h</i>	519 48%	357 61% <i>kl</i>	337 56% <i>l</i>	220 50%	227 44%	624 54% <i>o</i>	378 56% <i>o</i>	132 44%
The English FA (football association)	865 40%	130 41%	140 39%	144 44% <i>l</i>	160 44% <i>l</i>	125 41%	167 35%	496 47% <i>h</i>	369 34%	286 49% <i>kl</i>	270 45% <i>kl</i>	156 36%	152 29%	499 43% <i>o</i>	267 40% <i>o</i>	96 32%
TV companies	735 34%	88 28%	115 32%	127 39% <i>af</i>	144 40% <i>af</i>	115 38% <i>af</i>	146 31%	446 43% <i>h</i>	289 26%	256 44% <i>jk</i>	228 38% <i>kl</i>	134 31% <i>l</i>	117 22%	451 39% <i>no</i>	205 31%	74 25%
The Premier League	583 27%	87 28%	83 23%	112 34% <i>bce</i> <i>f</i>	112 31% <i>bf</i>	80 26%	109 23%	321 31% <i>h</i>	262 24%	202 35% <i>jk</i>	167 28% <i>l</i>	118 27% <i>l</i>	96 19%	349 30% <i>o</i>	177 26% <i>o</i>	55 18%
British and international companies paying for sponsorship or advertising	557 26%	74 23%	90 25%	101 31% <i>l</i>	100 27%	94 31% <i>af</i>	100 21%	315 30% <i>h</i>	242 22%	212 36% <i>jk</i>	175 29% <i>kl</i>	78 18%	93 18%	336 29% <i>no</i>	154 23%	65 22%
Privately funded by British companies in return for a share of the profits	339 16%	41 13%	50 14%	61 19%	72 20% <i>a</i>	48 16%	67 14%	196 19% <i>h</i>	144 13%	124 21% <i>jk</i>	97 16%	55 13%	63 12%	208 18% <i>o</i>	96 14%	35 12%
Privately funded by international companies in return for a share of the profits	292 14%	36 11%	53 15%	44 13%	59 16%	45 15%	56 12%	172 16% <i>h</i>	121 11%	92 16% <i>kl</i>	103 17% <i>kl</i>	43 10%	54 10%	174 15%	81 12%	36 12%
The Government through money raised by taxes	285 13%	48 15% <i>l</i>	51 14% <i>l</i>	52 16% <i>ef</i>	60 16% <i>ef</i>	30 10%	44 9%	185 18% <i>h</i>	99 9%	91 16%	77 13%	54 12%	63 12%	172 15% <i>o</i>	92 14% <i>o</i>	21 7%
I don't care / don't mind / no opinion / not interested	39 2%	5 2%	6 2%	1 *	10 3% <i>c</i>	8 3% <i>c</i>	8 2%	10 1%	29 3% <i>g</i>	14 2%	10 2%	8 2%	8 2%	17 1%	11 2%	9 3%
No one / none	12 1%	- *	2 *	1 *	1 *	2 1%	6 1% <i>a</i>	2 *	10 1%	2 *	2 *	1 *	7 1%	3 *	2 *	6 2% <i>mm</i>
Host cities / local councils	5 *	- *	3 1%	- *	- *	- *	2 *	4 *	1 *	5 1% <i>j</i>	- *	- *	- *	3 *	- *	2 1% <i>nn</i>
Footballers / players	5 *	2 1%	- *	- *	2 1%	- *	- *	- *	4 *	4 1%	- *	- *	- *	- *	4 1% <i>m</i>	- *

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 31
QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SE (o)
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
The teams / competing nations	5	*	-	-	3	-	1	4	*	4	*	-	*	1	3	-
Qatar	3	1	-	1	-	-	*	3	*	1	3	-	*	2	1	-
Other	24	2	6	2	5	5	4	17	7	11	3	5	5	9	11	5
I don't think England should host the next world cup	7%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2% ^{ij}	*	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Don't know	241	30	32	31	35	38	75	97	144	56	60	58	68	125	73	43
NET: Any private	11%	9%	9%	9%	10%	13%	16% ^{abc}	9%	13% ^g	10%	10%	13%	13%	11%	11%	14%
	181	31	32	27	29	13	48	40	140	33	43	28	77	89	54	37
	8%	10% ^e	9% ^e	8%	8%	4%	10% ^e	4%	13% ^g	6%	7%	6%	15% ^{ijh}	8%	8%	12% ^{mn}
	453	55	76	76	94	61	91	260	193	156	142	75	81	278	122	52
	21%	17%	21%	23%	26% ^{af}	20%	19%	25% ^h	18%	27% ^{kl}	24% ^{kl}	17%	16%	24% ^{no}	18%	17%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abcdefghijklmnop
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 32
QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Unweighted Base	2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240
Weighted Base	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
FIFA (the international football federation)	1141	538	130	473	65	114	139	51	118	95	168	97	64	91	106	33	1029	111
	83%	58% _c	51%	50%	42%	57% _d	49%	59% _d	50%	53%	57% _d	53%	62% _{df} m	49%	60% _{dfh} m	53%	55% _q	41%
The English FA (football association)	865	414	103	348	55	87	104	44	81	76	109	81	53	58	88	29	792	68
	40%	44% _c	40%	37%	36%	43% _m	37%	51% _{df} hjm	34%	42% _m	37%	44% _m	52% _{df} hjm	31%	50% _{dfh} jm	47% _{hm}	42% _q	25%
TV companies	735	383	79	273	59	92	76	39	73	64	79	69	42	40	80	21	659	74
	34%	41% _{bc}	31%	29%	38% _{ij} m	46% _{fth} jm	27%	45% _{fth} jm	31% _m	36% _m	27%	38% _{ij} m	42% _{ij} m	22%	45% _{fthj} m	34% _m	35% _q	28%
The Premier League	583	281	65	238	38	64	55	33	58	43	72	65	29	43	63	21	534	48
	27%	30% _c	25%	25%	24%	32% _{fm}	19%	38% _{df} hijm	25%	24%	24%	35% _{df} hijm	28%	23%	36% _{dfh} ijm	34% _f	29% _q	18%
British and international companies paying for sponsorship or advertising	557	267	65	225	36	51	67	32	56	41	53	66	33	38	68	18	509	48
	26%	29% _c	25%	24%	23%	26%	24%	37% _{de} fhjm	24%	23%	18%	36% _{de} fhjm	32% _{jm}	20%	38% _{def} hijm	28% _{ij}	27% _q	18%
Privately funded by British companies in return for a share of the profits	339	175	41	123	24	34	39	23	28	17	37	39	27	20	37	13	304	36
	16%	19% _c	16%	13%	15%	17% _{ij}	14%	27% _{de} fhjm	12%	9%	13%	21% _{hi} jm	27% _{df} hijm	11%	21% _{hij} m	21% _{hi} m	16%	13%
Privately funded by international companies in return for a share of the profits	292	150	29	113	16	31	37	23	31	19	33	33	14	16	31	9	267	25
	14%	16% _c	11%	12%	10%	16% _m	13%	27% _{de} fhijl m	13%	11%	11%	18% _m	13%	8%	17% _m	15%	14% _q	9%
The Government through money raised by taxes	285	151	22	112	23	23	49	6	34	28	20	26	12	17	32	14	242	43
	13%	16% _{bc}	9%	12%	15% _{gj}	12%	18% _{gjm}	7%	14% _j	15% _{gj}	7%	14% _j	11%	9%	18% _{gjm}	22% _{eg} jm	13%	16%
I don't care / don't mind / no opinion / not interested	39	16	4	20	2	3	1	-	*	4	5	8	1	8	7	1	37	1
	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	*	-	*	2% _{fth}	2%	4% _{fth}	1%	4% _{fgh}	4% _{fgh}	1%	2%	*
No one / none	12	1	1	10	5	-	2	1	-	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	10	2
	1%	*	*	1% _{ka}	3% _{kahj} m	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%
Host cities / local councils	5	3	-	2	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	5	-
	*	*	-	*	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - de(fgh)klmnop - pq
Overlap formula used: * small bases

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 32
QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62**	1865	271
Footballers / players	5	2	2	*	-	-	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	2
	*	*	1%c	*	-	-	1%	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	*	1%p
The teams / competing nations	5	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	*	-	3	-	-	-	1	*	2	3
	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	*	1%	*	1%p
Qatar	3	1	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	3	1
	*	*	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	*	-	-	1%	-	*	-	*	*
Other	24	14	5	5	3	-	8	-	1	3	2	-	4	2	-	1	17	8
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	3%ehn	-	*	2%	1%	-	4%ehkn	1%	-	2%ekn	1%	3%p
I don't think England should host the next world cup	241	88	36	117	20	15	29	15	30	19	53	13	9	27	8	3	212	30
	11%	9%	14%	12%	13%no	7%	10%	18%efkno	13%no	11%kn	18%eklino	7%	9%	15%ekno	5%	4%	11%	11%
Don't know	181	57	29	95	17	12	29	5	39	8	11	13	8	23	12	5	142	39
	8%	6%	11%a	10%a	11%uj	6%	10%uj	5%	16%egijkno	5%	4%	7%	8%	12%elj	7%	8%	8%	14%p
NET: Any private	453	233	52	168	30	47	52	28	40	26	50	57	30	28	49	16	407	47
	21%	25%c	20%	18%	19%	23%im	19%	32%dfhijm	17%	14%	17%	31%dfhijm	29%dhijm	15%	28%thijm	25%im	22%	17%

Proportions: Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de|ghij|klmno - pq
Overlap formulas used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 33
QWC10 If England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
FIFA (the international football federation)	1141 53%	118	197	473	68	986	559	582	725	267	658	232	383	668	473	60	509	561	72
		46%	59%a	60%a	42%	55%a	66%g	45%	65%	76%hj	66%h	73%hj	69%h	67%h	47%	51%	69%a	69%r	49%
The English FA (football association)	865 40%	97	131	371	54	754	455	410	568	219	523	196	309	537	328	44	409	460	48
		37%	40%	47%ab	34%	42%a	54%g	32%	51%	62%hjl	53%h	62%hjl	56%h	54%h	29%	37%	55%a	57%r	32%
TV companies	735 34%	70	99	335	44	634	407	327	514	217	459	195	298	483	252	32	377	411	38
		27%	30%	43%ab	27%	35%a	48%g	25%	46%	62%hjl	46%	61%hjl	54%hj	48%h	22%	27%	51%a	51%r	26%
The Premier League	583 27%	54	98	264	37	500	284	299	370	143	338	139	214	348	235	27	277	329	36
		21%	30%a	34%a	23%	28%	34%g	23%	33%	41%hj	34%	44%hjl	39%hj	35%h	21%	23%	37%a	41%r	25%
British and international companies paying for sponsorship or advertising	557 26%	45	73	270	34	489	308	249	393	169	349	149	243	362	196	25	297	325	41
		17%	22%	34%ab	21%	27%	36%g	19%	35%	48%hj	35%	47%hj	44%hj	36%h	17%	22%	40%a	40%r	20%
Privately funded by British companies in return for a share of the profits	339 16%	26	41	168	24	288	171	168	221	90	197	83	123	204	136	14	162	196	20
		10%	12%	21%ab	15%	16%	20%g	13%	20%	25%hj	20%	28%hj	22%h	20%h	12%	12%	22%a	24%r	13%
Privately funded by international companies in return for a share of the profits	292 14%	26	37	144	28	244	154	138	199	83	172	72	116	182	110	14	141	153	28
		10%	11%	18%ab	17%	13%	18%g	11%	18%	24%hj	17%	23%hj	21%hj	18%h	10%	12%	19%	19%	19%
The Government through money raised by taxes	285 13%	29	33	136	19	239	174	110	206	82	192	75	122	199	86	23	149	184	12
		11%	10%	17%ab	12%	13%	21%g	9%	18%	23%hj	19%h	24%hj	22%h	20%h	8%	19%	20%	23%r	8%
I don't care / don't mind / no opinion / not interested	39 2%	4	6	9	2	36	1	38	3	-	3	-	-	3	37	-	5	-	1
		2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	*	9%a	*	-	*	-	-	*	9%a	-	1%	-	1%a
No one / none	12 1%	2	3	2	1	11	-	12	2	-	2	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	1
		1%	1%	*	1%	1%	-	1%a	*	-	*	-	-	-	1%a	-	-	-	1%a
Host cities / local councils	5 *	-	-	5	-	5	3	2	4	2	4	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	-
		-	-	1%	-	*	*	*	*	1%	*	1%	1%	*	*	2%	*	*	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijkl - mn - op - qv
 Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 33
QWC10 // England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, who, do you think, should contribute towards its funding?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

																				IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR					
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)		
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148		
Footballers / players	5	-	-	3	-	5	-	5	2	-	2	-	-	-	5	-	2	2	-		
The teams / competing nations	5	-	-	4	-	4	3	2	4	-	3	-	1	3	2	-	1	4	-		
Qatar	3	-	1	1	-	3	3	-	3	1	3	1	2	3	1	-	3	3	-		
Other	24	1	6	14	1	21	11	14	15	4	13	6	10	21	3	3	13	14	3		
I don't think England should host the next world cup	241	28	34	67	32	195	29	212	50	7	39	12	21	31	210	10	34	3	39		
Don't know	181	33	23	40	11	146	20	160	32	5	27	-	11	28	153	6	19	17	4		
NET: Any private	453	38	53	219	38	385	233	220	294	120	257	103	166	274	179	23	210	251	32		
	21%	15%	16%	28%ab	23%	21%	28%g	17%	26%	34%hj	26%	32%hj	30%hj	27%kn	16%	20%	28%	31%r	22%		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de - fg - hijk - mn - op - qv
 Overlap formulae used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 34
QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?
BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	1771	293	271	255	248	265	439	948	821	399	624	338	410	923	575	266
Weighted Base	1777	266	298	284	314	254	362	922	854	509	510	359	399	973	561	233
FIFA (the international football federation)	822 46%	153 58%bc def	141 47%	124 44%	138 44%	118 47%	148 41%	426 46%	395 46%	229 45%	231 45%	178 50%	184 46%	439 45%	281 50%	99 42%
The English FA (football association)	275 15%	45 17%	45 15%	44 15%	44 14%	43 17%	55 15%	152 17%	123 14%	72 14%	91 18%	56 16%	56 14%	157 16%	83 15%	35 15%
TV companies	231 13%	11 4%	39 13%a	42 15%a	46 15%a	33 13%a	59 16%a	146 16%h	86 10%	62 12%	74 15%l	59 16%l	36 9%	143 15%n	56 10%	32 14%
British and international companies paying for sponsorship or advertising	143 8%	12 4%	21 7%	32 11%af	22 7%	32 12%abf	24 7%	78 8%	65 8%	52 10%kl	51 10%kl	17 5%	22 5%	84 9%	41 7%	17 7%
The Premier League	122 7%	14 5%	17 6%	20 7%	22 7%	23 9%	25 7%	56 6%	66 8%	48 9%j	25 5%	24 7%	25 6%	78 8%	30 5%	13 5%
The Government through money raised by taxes	94 5%	11 4%	17 6%	18 6%e	25 8%e	6 2%	17 5%	59 6%h	35 4%	16 3%	21 4%	22 6%	36 9%ij	56 6%	30 5%	8 4%
Privately funded by British companies in return for a share of the profits	53 3%	7 3%	9 3%	8 3%	11 4%	6 3%	11 3%	36 4%h	18 2%	19 4%	9 2%	13 4%	12 3%	27 3%	21 4%	5 2%
Privately funded by international companies in return for a share of the profits	49 3%	7 2%	13 4%cd	5 2%	4 1%	6 2%	14 4%	27 3%	21 2%	15 3%	16 3%	8 2%	10 2%	27 3%	12 2%	8 3%
I don't care / don't mind / no opinion / not interested	28 2%	4 1%	2 1%	- 2%cd	7 2%cd	7 3%cd	8 2%cd	10 1%	18 2%	9 2%	8 2%	6 2%	5 1%	12 1%	6 1%	7 3%cm
No one / none	11 1%	1 *	2 1%	1 *	1 *	2 1%	5 1%	2 *	8 1%	2 *	2 *	1 *	6 1%	3 *	2 *	5 2%cm
Footballers / players	3 *	- *	1 *	- *	2 1%	- *	- *	- *	3 *	3 1%	- *	- *	- *	- *	3 1%cm	- *
Host cities / local councils	1 *	- *	1 *	- *	- *	- *	- *	1 *	- *	1 *	- *	- *	- *	1 *	- *	- *
Qatar	1 *	1 *	- *	- *	- *	- *	- *	1 *	- *	- *	1 *	- *	- *	- *	1 *	- *

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 34
QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?
BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Weighted Base	1777	266	298	284	314	254	362	922	854	509	510	359	399	973	561	233
The teams / competing nations	1	*	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	-	*	-	*	*	*	-
Other	15	2	4	2	5	1	1	9	5	5	2	5	3	5	8	2
I don't think England should host the next world cup	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	*	*	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Don't know	81	13	12	16	16	5	19	18	62	26	17	6	30	37	23	21
NET: Any private	92	13	19	10	15	11	23	58	35	30	24	19	19	49	31	11
	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 35
QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?
BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION											ETHNICITY		
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MIDLANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MIDLANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Unweighted Base	1771	690	190	891	137	209	209	92	194	152	166	122	80	145	172	93	1572	193
Weighted Base	1777	810	207	760	127	176	241	67*	174	156	232	163	86*	139	159	58*	1555	217
FIFA (the international football federation)	822 46%	385 47%	92 44%	346 46%	48 38%	83 47%	114 47%	34 50%	81 47%	66 42%	135 58% ikmno	60 37%	47 55% dko	62 44%	71 45%	22 38%	739 48% q	83 38%
The English FA (football association)	275 15%	128 16%	25 12%	122 16%	15 12%	25 14%	36 15%	10 14%	24 14%	35 23% dhm	42 18%	23 14%	13 16%	17 12%	22 14%	13 23% dm	250 16%	23 11%
TV companies	231 13%	119 15%	23 11%	90 12%	17 14%	35 20% ljk	26 11%	7 11%	28 16% j	25 16% j	17 7%	14 9%	13 15%	14 10%	28 18% k	6 11%	202 13%	28 13%
British and international companies paying for sponsorship or advertising	143 8%	68 8%	21 10%	55 7%	11 9%	14 8%	28 12% i	8 12% i	14 8%	6 4%	14 6%	15 9%	5 6%	12 8%	14 9%	4 6%	121 8%	21 10%
The Premier League	122 7%	49 6%	18 9%	55 7%	7 6%	11 6%	12 5%	3 5%	15 9%	10 6%	13 6%	16 10%	5 6%	15 10% n	6 4%	8 14% def gijn	112 7%	10 5%
The Government through money raised by taxes	94 5%	48 6% b	4 2%	43 6% b	17 13% efg hijkl	7 4%	16 6%	1 1%	9 5%	6 4%	7 3%	7 4%	3 4%	9 6%	11 7% g	3 4%	64 4%	30 14% p
Privately funded by British companies in return for a share of the profits	53 3%	31 4%	3 2%	19 3%	7 6% him	6 3% m	12 5% m	2 3% m	2 1%	2 1%	4 2%	10 6% him	3 4% m	-	3 2%	2 4% m	42 3%	12 5%
Privately funded by international companies in return for a share of the profits	49 3%	19 2%	4 2%	26 3%	4 3% i	8 4% in	10 4% i	3 5% il	4 2%	-	3 1%	10 6% ijl no	-	6 4% i	1 1%	-	42 3%	7 3%
I don't care / don't mind / no opinion / not interested	28 2%	10 1%	4 2%	14 2%	-	1 *	1 *	-	*	4 3% f	3 1%	5 3% f	2 2%	5 4% def h	6 4% defh	*	25 2%	1 1%
No one / none	11 1%	3 *	-	8 1%	1 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	2 2%	3 2%	-	8 1%	2 1%
Footballers / players	3 *	3 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	3 *	-
Host cities / local councils	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - defghijklmnop - pq
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 35
QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?
BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION											ETHNICITY		
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base	1777	810	207	760	127	176	241	67*	174	156	232	163	86*	139	159	58*	1555	217
Qatar	1	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	*
The teams / competing nations	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Other	15	8	3	3	1	-	3	-	1	-	2	2	2	1	1	1	8	6
I don't think England should host the next world cup	1%	1%	2%	*	1%	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	*	1%	1%	3%
Don't know	81	26	17	37	14	7	15	2	10	7	7	6	1	4	5	3	69	12
	5%	3%	8%a	5%	11%eij klmn	4%	6%	3%	6%	4%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	5%	4%	5%
NET: Any private	92	45	6	41	9	11	18	3	6	2	7	20	3	6	4	2	76	17
	5%	6%	3%	5%	7%kl	6%l	7%kl	5%	4%	1%	3%	12%hij lmnop	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	8%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used. * small base

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 36
QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?
BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	1771	237	298	630	129	1485	827	944	1066	338	956	318	559	955	818	98	695	795	103
Weighted Base	1777	202	263	697	120	1503	803	974	1045	341	935	305	524	947	830	103*	691	799	106*
FIFA (the international football federation)	822 46%	89	153	323	380	714	380	442	505	175	458	141	248	458	365	51	338	376	48
The English FA (football association)	275 15%	38	41	95	26	228	136	139	168	45	153	56	79	150	126	15	106	121	17
TV companies	231 13%	20	34	103	26	189	125	106	155	65	132	53	90	145	86	15	103	118	19
British and international companies paying for sponsorship or advertising	143 8%	18	19	61	9	119	81	62	104	40	93	39	62	90	53	9	58	73	11
The Premier League	122 7%	11	17	58	8	103	50	72	64	18	58	25	32	57	65	6	54	60	7
The Government through money raised by taxes	94 5%	13	9	35	8	72	58	37	68	20	63	17	29	61	33	16	31	60	4
Privately funded by British companies in return for a share of the profits	53 3%	5	7	30	5	43	30	23	35	10	31	7	18	31	22	5	18	30	3
Privately funded by international companies in return for a share of the profits	49 3%	7	9	17	6	36	20	29	29	7	25	7	14	27	22	4	16	18	7
I don't care / don't mind / no opinion / not interested	28 2%	4	8	7	2	24	1	26	3	-	3	-	-	1	27	-	1	-	1
No one / none	11 1%	2	1	2	1	10	1	10	2	-	2	-	-	1	10	-	-	-	-
Footballers / players	3 *	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	2	2	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - m/n - o/p - q/r
 Overlap formula used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 36
QWC10A And who, do you think, should contribute the MOST towards its funding?
BASE: All who think England should host the next world cup

		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Weighted Base	1777	202	283	697	120	1503	803	974	1045	341	935	305	524	947	830	103*	691	799	106*
Host cities / local councils	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	-
Qatar	1	-	-	*	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	*	1	-	-	1	1	-
The teams / competing nations	1	*	-	-	-	*	-	1	*	-	*	-	*	-	1	-	-	-	-
Other	15	1	2	7	1	13	6	9	7	1	6	1	6	12	3	1	8	7	2
I don't think England should host the next world cup	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	*	1%lk	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	2%
Don't know	81	8	9	25	3	61	13	68	17	4	15	1	8	21	60	-	16	15	2
NET: Any private	92	11	13	43	10	73	45	47	55	15	47	13	29	51	41	6	30	44	8
	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	7%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de - fg - hijk - mn - op - qv
Overlap formulas used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 37
QWC12 If England should host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, on balance would you expect it to have a positive or negative impact on the economy in your local area, or would it make no difference?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS			
TOTAL		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV/ NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
Unweighted Base		2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339
		2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
Very positive	(5)	398 19%	49 16%	75 21%ef	85 26%ae f	90 25%ae f	43 14%	57 12%	258 25%h	140 13%	104 18%	108 18%	104 24%ij l	81 16%	228 20%o	130 19%o	98 13%
Fairly positive	(4)	718 34%	127 40%de f	126 35%	115 35%	116 32%	93 31%	141 30%	364 35%	354 32%	221 38%kl	220 37%kl	119 27%	158 30%	415 36%o	226 34%o	76 25%
Neither positive nor negative	(3)	896 42%	116 37%	142 40%	108 33%	137 38%	147 48%ab cd	246 52%ab cd	373 36%	521 48%g	230 40%	237 39%	179 41%	250 48%ij k	456 39%	275 41%	159 53%mn
Fairly negative	(2)	69 3%	15 5%	7 2%	13 4%	12 3%	11 4%	12 3%	28 3%	40 4%	13 2%	22 4%	19 4%	14 3%	31 3%	24 4%	14 5%
Very negative	(1)	26 1%	6 2%	2 1%	3 1%	4 1%	5 2%	6 1%	14 1%	13 1%	5 1%	5 1%	8 2%	8 1%	12 1%	10 1%	5 2%
Don't know		33 2%	3 1%	5 1%	4 1%	5 1%	5 2%	12 2%	8 1%	25 2%g	7 1%	10 2%	9 2%	7 1%	18 2%	7 1%	8 3%
NET: Positive		1117 52%	176 56%ef	200 61%ef	200 61%ef	207 57%ef	136 45%	198 42%	623 60%h	494 45%	326 56%l	329 55%l	223 51%	240 46%	643 55%o	356 53%o	114 38%
NET: Negative		96 4%	21 7%b	9 3%	16 5%	16 4%	16 5%	18 4%	42 4%	53 5%	17 3%	28 5%	27 6%l	23 4%	43 4%	33 5%	18 6%
MEAN		3.66	3.63f	3.75e	3.82a ef	3.77e f	3.53	3.50	3.70h	3.53	3.71i	3.68i	3.68	3.57	3.71o	3.67o	3.44
ERROR VARIANCE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
STANDARD ERROR		0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.05

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 38
QWC12 If England should host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, on balance would you expect it to have a positive or negative impact on the economy in your local area, or would it make no difference?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		WORKING STATUS				GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION													ETHNICITY	
		TOTAL	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Unweighted Base		2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240	
Weighted Base		2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271	
Very positive	(5)	398 19%	226 24%bc	37 14%	136 14%	35 m	44 m	65 23%gk	11 13%	51 22%km	32 18%	52 18%	20 11%	15 15%	21 11%	38 21%km	13 21%km	326 17%	71 26%p	
Fairly positive	(4)	718 34%	333 36%c	96 37%	290 31%	53 35%	68 34%	108 38%j	42 48%de hijkl no	74 31%	52 29%	83 28%	63 34%	27 27%	73 39%j	56 32%	19 30%	635 34%	83 31%	
Neither positive nor negative	(3)	896 42%	327 35%	111 43%a	457 48%a	56 36%	76 38%	94 33%	29 33%	91 39%	84 46%fg	144 49%de fgh	92 51%de fgh	54 53%de fghn	79 43%	69 39%	28 45%l	795 43%	96 36%	
Fairly negative	(2)	69 3%	28 3%	5 2%	36 4%	6 4%	9 5%hj	10 4%	3 3%	4 2%	6 3%	4 1%	6 3%	5 5%	10 3%	2 5%hj	61 3%	8 3%	3 4%	
Very negative	(1)	26 1%	7 1%	3 1%	16 2%	2 2%	1 1%	3 1%	- 3%	2 1%	5 3%	5 2%	1 1%	- 3%	5 1%	2 1%	- 1%	22 1%	4 1%	
Don't know		33 2%	13 1%	5 2%	16 2%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	3 3%k	14 6%def ijkmo o	1 1%	5 2%	- -	1 1%	3 1%	2 1%	- 1%	25 1%	8 3%	
NET: Positive		1117 52%	559 60%bc	132 52%	425 45%	89 57%jk l	112 56%jl	173 61%ij klm	53 61%ij kl	125 53%	85 47%	135 46%	83 45%	42 42%	94 50%	94 53%	32 51%	961 52%	154 57%	
NET: Negative		96 4%	36 4%	8 3%	52 5%	8 5%	11 5%	13 5%	3 2%	6 2%	11 6%	9 3%	7 4%	5 5%	10 6%	11 6%h	2 3%	83 4%	12 4%	
MEAN		3.66	3.81b c	3.62	3.53	3.75k m	3.73k m	3.80i jklm	3.73k klm	3.76i klm	3.56	3.60	3.52	3.52	3.54	3.68	3.69	3.64	3.79p	
ERROR VARIANCE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	*	*	
STANDARD ERROR		0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.06	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de(fgh)ijklmno - pq
 Overlap formulae used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 39
QWC12 If England should host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, on balance would you expect it to have a positive or negative impact on the economy in your local area, or would it make no difference?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499	11500 24999	25000 PLUS	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN	HETEROSEXUAL	INTERESTED	NOT INTERESTED	ANY	ATTEND MATCHES	WATCH MATCHES	LISTEN TO MATCHES	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE	INTERESTED	NOT INTERESTED	RIGHT	WRONG	IN FAVOUR	OPPOSE
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
Very positive	398	38	57	171	17	350	255	143	297	117	276	97	160	293	105	30	207	288	18
	19%	15%	17%	22%a	10%	19%cd	30%g	11%	27%	33%hj	28%h	31%	29%	29%kn	9%	26%	28%	36%r	11%
Fairly positive	718	81	93	307	56	599	326	393	444	134	393	127	229	405	313	56	272	338	53
	34%	31%	28%	39%ab	35%	33%	39%g	30%	40%	38%	40%	40%	42%	41%n	27%	48%p	37%	42%	36%
Neither positive nor negative	896	112	161	275	73	753	236	659	331	93	285	79	145	267	628	29	231	165	59
	42%	43%cd	49%cd	35%	46%	42%	28%	51%f	30%kl	26%	29%	25%	26%	27%	55%lm	24%	31%	20%	40%q
Fairly negative	69	12	13	22	11	51	16	53	26	5	23	11	10	21	48	2	16	11	12
	3%	5%	4%	3%	7%e	3%	2%	4%fd	2%	1%	2%	3%il	2%	2%	4%lm	2%	2%	1%	8%q
Very negative	26	6	3	6	3	20	8	18	10	3	9	3	6	6	20	1	10	4	8
	1%	2%cd	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%km	1%	1%	1%	5%q
Don't know	33	9	3	5	2	30	3	30	5	1	4	-	2	4	29	-	2	4	1
	2%	4%bc	1%	1%	1%	2%	*	2%fd	*	*	*	-	*	*	3%lm	-	*	*	1%
NET: Positive	1117	119	151	478	72	949	581	536	742	251	669	224	390	699	418	86	479	626	69
	52%	46%	45%	61%ab	45%	53%	69%g	41%	67%	71%h	68%	71%	71%h	70%kn	37%	73%	65%	77%r	46%
NET: Negative	96	18	16	28	14	71	25	71	36	7	32	14	16	28	68	3	26	16	20
	4%	7%cd	5%	4%	8%e	4%	3%	5%fd	3%	2%	3%	4%il	3%	3%	6%lm	3%	4%	2%	13%q
MEAN	3.66	3.53	3.57	3.79ab	3.46	3.68d	3.95g	3.47	3.90	4.02hj	3.92h	3.96	3.96h	3.96n	3.39	3.95	3.88	4.11r	3.39
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.08

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - ffg - hijk - mn - op - qv
 Overlap formula used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 40
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
	TOTAL	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
London	1090 51%	192 61%af	192 54%af	177 54%af	202 55%af	145 48%af	181 38%	622 59%h	467 43%	323 56%af	315 52%af	224 51%af	228 44%	598 52%o	371 55%o	116 39%
Manchester	957 45%	138 44%af	175 49%af	164 50%af	171 47%af	144 48%af	164 35%	581 55%h	376 34%	315 54%af	290 48%af	173 39%	178 34%	553 48%o	302 45%o	98 33%
Liverpool	801 37%	103 33%	145 41%af	143 43%af	145 40%af	125 41%af	140 30%	505 48%h	295 27%	271 47%af	243 40%af	144 33%	142 27%	457 39%o	256 38%o	85 28%
Birmingham	644 30%	81 26%	96 27%	99 30%	120 33%	113 37%ab f	135 29%	408 39%h	235 22%	230 40%af	180 30%af	111 25%	123 24%	373 32%o	194 29%	75 25%
Newcastle	626 29%	71 22%	104 29%	109 33%af	125 34%af	100 33%af	118 25%	395 38%h	231 21%	229 39%af	183 30%af	110 25%	105 20%	382 33%no	174 26%	67 22%
Leeds	481 22%	61 19%	83 23%af	85 26%af	88 24%af	87 29%af	77 16%	290 28%h	191 17%	200 34%af	140 23%af	73 17%	68 13%	281 24%o	148 22%	50 17%
Sheffield	415 19%	40 13%	70 20%a	76 23%af	84 23%af	70 23%af	74 16%	238 23%h	177 16%	160 28%af	122 20%af	69 16%	63 12%	245 21%o	121 18%	46 16%
Sunderland	309 14%	26 8%	43 12%	63 19%ab f	63 17%af	60 20%ab f	54 12%	185 18%h	125 11%	137 24%af	82 14%af	50 11%	41 8%	187 16%o	87 13%	33 11%
Milton Keynes	226 11%	25 8%	45 13%af	48 15%ab f	41 11%	27 9%	39 8%	115 11%	111 10%	86 15%af	63 10%af	48 11%af	28 5%	129 11%	70 10%	23 8%
Cardiff	74 3%	6 2%	8 2%	8 3%	16 4%	17 6%ab	18 4%	41 4%	33 3%	34 6%af	24 4%af	9 2%	7 1%	52 4%n	13 2%	8 3%
Glasgow	38 2%	3 1%	4 1%	8 2%	7 2%	9 3%	8 2%	23 2%	15 1%	11 2%af	17 3%af	10 2%af	1 *	25 2%	7 1%	7 2%
Edinburgh	30 1%	1 *	5 2%	5 2%	8 2%	6 2%a	5 1%	18 2%	12 1%	10 2%af	12 2%af	7 2%	2 *	20 1%	6 1%	4 1%
Belfast	26 1%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	5 1%	4 1%	2 2%	15 1%	11 1%	9 2%af	8 1%af	7 2%af	2 *	15 1%	5 1%	5 2%
Brighton	24 1%	4 1%	3 1%	4 1%	5 1%	5 2%	2 1%	17 2%h	7 1%	6 1%af	12 2%af	5 1%af	1 *	16 1%	6 1%	2 1%
Norwich	22 1%	2 1%	4 1%	8 3%a	3 1%	1 *	4 1%	19 2%h	3 *	5 1%	9 2%	5 1%	3 1%	13 1%	7 1%	3 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f g/h i/j/k/l m/n/o
 Overlap formula used

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 40
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIV- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
Bristol	22	3	1	3	11	1	4	14	8	12	5	3	2	13	3	5
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3% ^{bef}	1%	1%	1%	1%	2% ^d	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Leicester	22	1	3	4	6	1	5	14	7	4	2	11	4	14	1	6
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3% ^{ijl}	1%	1% ⁿ	1%	2% ^{un}
Southampton	20	1	6	2	4	4	3	15	5	5	4	1	10	7	9	4
1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1% ^h	1%	1%	1%	1%	2% ^k	1%	1%	1%
Plymouth	11	3	-	-	4	1	4	8	3	6	2	2	2	6	3	3
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Hull	9	-	3	-	2	3	1	5	4	2	2	2	3	7	1	-
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Exeter	8	-	-	-	4	3	1	5	3	1	1	5	1	4	4	-
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Dublin	7	1	-	2	2	-	2	3	4	5	2	-	-	3	1	3
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1% ^{un}
Stoke	6	-	1	1	3	-	2	3	3	-	1	2	3	3	1	2
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Middlesbrough	5	-	1	-	4	-	1	5	-	2	1	2	-	3	1	1
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1% ^h	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Wolverhampton	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	1	1	1	-	1	3	-	1
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1% ^{bef}	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Aberdeen	4	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	2	-	1	3	-	3	-	1
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Lincoln	3	-	-	-	1	-	2	2	2	-	1	-	3	3	1	-
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Swansea	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Any / all over the country	11	4	3	1	1	2	-	7	4	3	4	-	4	4	7	-
1%	1% ^d	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Not bothered / not interested	11	2	2	-	-	2	5	3	8	3	4	1	4	4	3	5
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2% ^{um}
Another city	32	3	1	4	7	7	9	17	14	12	6	7	7	20	5	6
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2% ^b	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
None of these	182	19	28	25	25	31	54	61	121	51	47	35	49	91	52	37
9%	6%	8%	8%	7%	10%	11% ^{ad}	11% ^{ad}	6%	11% ^g	9%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	13% ^{cm}
I don't think England should host the next world cup	217	26	28	33	32	29	70	79	139	52	55	47	63	110	70	38
10%	10%	8%	8%	10%	9%	10%	15% ^{abd}	8%	13% ^g	9%	9%	11%	12%	9%	10%	13%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formula used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 40
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
99	9	14	14	13	17	32	27	72	21	28	18	32	54	21	20
5%	3%	4%	4%	4%	5%	7% ^a	3%	7% ^d	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	3%	7% ^{un}

Proportions/Mean: Column Tested (5% risk level) = a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 41
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Unweighted Base	2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240
Weighted Base	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
London	1090 51%	532 57%bc	116 45%	441 46%	60 39%	130 65%dg hiklm n	203 72%dg hijkl mno	37 43%i	82 35%	55 30%	178 61%dh hilm	98 54%dh im	47 38%	72 46%l	93 53%dhi m	36 58%dg him	931 50%	156 58%p
Manchester	957 45%	482 52%bc	98 38%	376 40%	46 30%	86 43%d	114 40%d	41 47%d	142 60%de fgijk m	80 45%d	122 42%d	76 42%d	49 48%d	67 36%	102 58%def ijkm	32 51%dm	856 46%q	99 37%
Liverpool	801 37%	415 44%bc	78 30%	307 32%	37 24%	78 39%dm	98 35%k	33 38%d	115 49%de fikm	59 33%	118 40%dm	67 37%d	43 42%dm	53 28%	72 41%dm	28 45%dm	727 39%q	73 27%
Birmingham	644 30%	319 34%bc	56 22%	269 28%	38 25%	55 27%	71 25%	20 23%	70 30%	41 23%	75 26%	75 41%de fghij no	38 37%fg i	94 51%de fghij lno	50 28%	17 27%	581 31%q	61 23%
Newcastle	626 29%	325 35%bc	58 23%	243 26%	26 17%	49 25%	62 22%	67 77%de thjk lmo	86 36%de fjm	71 39%de fjm	53 18%	66 36%de fjm	25 25%	34 18%	67 38%def jim	20 33%df jm	588 32%q	37 14%
Leeds	481 22%	257 28%bc	49 19%	174 18%	30 19%	31 16%	56 20%	28 33%de fjlm o	65 28%ef jmo	37 20%	45 15%	45 25%ej m	20 20%	25 13%	90 51%def ghijkl no	9 15%	447 24%q	33 12%
Sheffield	415 19%	219 23%bc	42 16%	154 16%	39 25%ei jmo	31 16%	53 19%	22 26%ei jmo	49 21%i	22 12%	46 16%	37 20%	17 16%	29 16%	60 34%efh ijklmo	9 14%	381 20%q	33 12%
Sunderland	309 14%	174 19%bc	27 11%	107 11%	10 7%	19 9%	37 13%k	27 31%de thjk lmn	36 15%k	29 16%k	34 12%	36 20%de jm	17 16%k	19 10%	35 20%dej m	12 19%de m	294 16%q	14 5%
Milton Keynes	226 11%	127 14%c	22 9%	77 8%	14 9%	22 11%	31 11%	8 9%	21 9%	14 8%	52 18%deh ikmn	17 9%	10 10%	17 9%	12 7%	6 10%	210 11%q	15 6%
Cardiff	74 3%	31 3%	10 4%	32 3%	2 1%	3 2%	1 1%	- *	1 *	1 *	9 3%h	5 3%h	39 38%def ghijk no	3 1%	8 5%ghi	3 4%ghi i	73 4%q	1 *
Glasgow	38 2%	21 2%	3 1%	14 2%	- -	- -	- -	* *	- -	23 13%def ghiklm no	- -	1 1%	2 2%j	- -	9 5%defg hjk	3 4%def hjk	38 2%q	- -

Proportions: Means: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - defghijklmnop - pq
 Overlap: formulas used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 41
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
Edinburgh	30 1%	22 2% ^c	1 *	7 1%	- -	1 1%	- -	1 1%	- -	21 12% ^{def} ghiklm n	1 *	- -	- -	- -	4 2% ^{fh}	3 4% ^{def} hiklm	29 2%	- -
Belfast	26 1%	11 1%	5 2%	10 1%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	7 4% ^{def} ghijk m	17 27% ^{def} ghijkl mn	25 1%	- -
Brighton	24 1%	16 2% ^c	2 1%	6 1%	1 1%	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	19 6% ^{def} ghikl mno	2 1%	- -	2 1%	- -	- -	22 1%	2 1%
Norwich	22 1%	14 1%	3 1%	5 1%	- -	16 8% ^d fgh hijkl mno	2 1%	- -	- -	1 *	3 1%	- -	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	20 1%	2 1%
Bristol	22 1%	15 2%	- -	7 1%	- -	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	- -	- -	3 1%	13 7% ^d def ghijl mno	- -	1 1%	- -	- -	20 1%	2 1%
Leicester	22 1%	13 1%	1 *	7 1%	13 9% ^e fgh hijl mno	3 1%	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	2 1%	- -	1 *	- -	- -	12 1%	10 4% ^p
Southampton	20 1%	8 1%	1 *	11 1%	- -	- -	5 2%	- -	1 *	- -	10 4% ^d deh in	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	- -	- -	19 1%	1 *
Plymouth	11 1%	5 1%	1 *	6 1%	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	10 5% ^d def ghijl mno	- -	- -	- -	- -	11 1%	- -
Hull	9 *	7 1%	- -	2 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	2 1%	- -	- -	- -	7 4% ^d defh ijk	- -	9 *	- -
Exeter	8 *	5 1%	- -	3 *	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	6 3% ^d def hijmn	- -	- -	- -	- -	8 *	- -
Dublin	7 *	3 *	2 1%	2 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	5 3% ^d defh ijk	1 1% ^{fh}	6 *	- -
Stoke	6 *	3 *	- -	3 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	6 3% ^d def hijk	1 *	- -	5 *	2 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - def(gh)ijklmno - pq
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 41
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Weighted Base	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
Middlesbrough	5	5	-	*	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	4	1
	*	1%	-	*	-	-	-	3%def hijm	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	*	*
Wolverhampton	4	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	4	-
	*	*	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%j	-	-	*	-
Aberdeen	4	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	2%fhj	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
Lincoln	3	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1
	*	*	-	*	2%efh jm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	1%
Swansea	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	-
	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%efh jm	-	-	-	*	-
Any / all over the country	11	9	1	1	1	-	2	-	2	1	-	3	-	2	-	-	9	2
	*	1%g	*	*	1%	-	1%	-	1%	*	-	2%	-	1%	-	-	*	1%
Not bothered / not interested	11	2	1	8	1	*	2	-	-	3	-	2	-	-	3	-	10	2
	1%	*	*	1%	1%	*	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-	2%hj	-	1%	1%
Another city	32	9	4	19	2	1	3	-	3	6	3	4	3	3	2	2	29	3
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%
None of these	182	61	26	95	10	12	13	1	20	31	32	16	10	12	12	12	168	14
	9%	6%	10%	10%a	6%	6%	5%	1%	9%g	17%de fghikm n	11%fg	9%g	10%g	7%g	7%g	20%def ghjkmn	9%	5%
I don't think England should host the next world cup	217	74	31	112	15	19	24	12	22	21	41	13	8	27	14	1	186	32
	10%	8%	12%	12%a	10%o	9%o	9%o	14%o	9%o	12%o	14%o	7%	8%	14%kno	8%	2%	10%	12%
Don't know	99	31	13	55	7	12	12	1	23	7	7	7	10	12	-	-	82	16
	5%	3%	5%	6%a	4%n	6%jno	4%n	2%	10%gji jkno	4%n	2%	4%n	10%gjin o	7%jno	-	-	4%	6%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - defghijklmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018

PUBLIC

QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 42
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	INCOME				SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
London	1090	119	168	435	62	574	516	925	736	257	661	221	386	686	404	66	476	587	64
	51%	46%	51%	55%a	39%	51% ^d	68%g	40%	66%	73%hj	67%	70%	70%h	69%kn	35%	56%	64%	73% ^{lr}	43%
Manchester	957	90	143	427	59	838	530	427	663	250	597	228	383	632	325	51	490	543	60
	45%	35%	43%a	54%ab	37%	46% ^d	63%g	33%	59%	71%h	60%	72%hj	69%hj	63%kn	28%	44%	66% ^o	67% ^r	41%
Liverpool	801	71	138	356	37	715	459	342	568	217	514	200	334	541	259	40	428	451	50
	37%	28%	42%a	45%a	23%	40% ^d	54%g	26%	51%	62%h	52%	63%hj	61%h	54%kn	23%	34%	58% ^o	56% ^r	34%
Birmingham	644	62	107	277	29	578	346	298	439	169	396	172	262	411	233	30	354	362	47
	30%	24%	32%a	35%a	18%	32% ^d	41%g	23%	39%	48%h	40%	54%hjl	47%h	41%kn	20%	26%	48% ^o	45% ^r	32%
Newcastle	626	51	91	303	28	567	374	252	457	201	411	183	292	434	192	29	353	362	38
	29%	20%	27%a	39%ab	17%	31% ^d	44%g	19%	41%	57%h	42%	58%hj	53%h	44%kn	17%	24%	48% ^o	45% ^r	26%
Leeds	481	36	71	223	25	431	259	221	332	140	299	133	205	319	162	20	262	286	30
	22%	14%	21%a	28%ab	15%	24% ^d	31%g	17%	30%	40%h	30%	42%hjl	37%h	32%kn	14%	17%	35% ^o	35% ^r	20%
Sheffield	415	34	62	195	18	373	218	197	286	122	256	114	165	278	137	21	220	246	26
	19%	13%	19%	25%ab	11%	21% ^d	26%g	15%	26%	35%hj	26%	36%hjl	30%h	28%kn	12%	18%	30% ^o	30% ^r	18%
Sunderland	309	27	44	161	15	277	179	130	217	97	193	108	135	211	98	18	176	186	22
	14%	11%	13%	21%ab	9%	15%	21%g	10%	19%	28%hj	19%	34%hjl	24%h	21%kn	9%	15%	24%	23% ^r	15%
Milton Keynes	226	21	33	101	7	199	116	110	149	57	132	57	71	142	83	17	108	124	14
	11%	8%	10%	13%	5%	11% ^d	14%g	8%	13%	16%	13%	18%hjl	13%	14%kn	7%	14%	15%	15%	9%
Cardiff	74	7	11	42	2	71	38	36	53	20	49	25	35	46	28	2	48	49	6
	3%	3%	3%	5%	1%	4%	4%g	3%	5%	6%	5%	8%hj	6%h	5%kn	2%	2%	7%	6%	4%
Glasgow	38	5	6	20	1	38	31	8	32	15	31	17	22	33	6	2	21	29	3
	2%	2%	2%	3%	*	2%	4%g	1%	3%	4%	3%	5%hj	4%	3%kn	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%
Edinburgh	30	1	5	17	1	30	23	7	25	10	23	3	17	22	8	1	12	20	3
	1%	*	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%g	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%kn	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Belfast	26	1	5	13	3	22	14	11	20	8	19	10	12	19	7	1	13	18	-
	1%	*	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%h	2%	2%kn	1%	1%	2%	2%	-
Brighton	24	2	2	10	-	23	20	4	22	11	20	7	13	22	2	-	17	17	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%g	*	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%kn	*	-	2%	2%	*
Norwich	22	4	4	12	-	22	14	8	18	10	16	10	14	18	4	1	15	11	3
	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%g	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%hj	2%h	2%kn	*	1%	2%	1%	2%
Bristol	22	1	3	11	-	22	13	8	17	9	15	7	15	17	5	-	17	17	2
	1%	*	1%	1%	-	1%	2%g	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%hj	2%kn	*	-	2%	2%	1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijkl - mn - op - qv
Overlap formulae used: * small bases

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 42
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP			
TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)		
2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148		
22	1	3	10	1	13	13	8	14	7	13	7	11	14	8	3	12	17	1		
7%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%g	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%h	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%		
20	-	8	8	-	20	12	9	13	7	13	6	9	14	6	2	8	8	1		
7%	-	2%a	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		
11	1	4	2	-	11	8	3	10	6	10	1	7	7	4	1	6	9	-		
7%	*	1%c	*	-	1%	1%g	*	1%	2%k	1%	*	1%k	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	-		
9	1	-	6	-	9	3	5	7	1	7	3	1	5	3	1	1	4	1		
*	*	-	1%	-	*	*	*	*	1%l	*	1%l	1%	*	*	1%	*	1%	1%		
8	1	4	2	-	8	3	4	5	2	5	1	4	3	4	-	5	5	-		
*	-	1%	*	-	*	*	*	*	1%	*	*	1%	*	*	-	1%	1%	-		
7	-	2	4	-	7	4	2	5	1	5	5	4	7	-	-	1	7	-		
*	-	1%	1%	-	*	1%	*	*	*	1%	2%hij	1%	1%h	-	-	*	1%	-		
6	2	2	2	1	6	4	2	6	-	6	2	2	4	2	-	2	3	-		
*	1%	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	-	1%	1%	*	*	*	-	*	*	-		
5	-	-	4	-	5	4	1	4	3	4	3	3	4	1	-	3	4	-		
*	-	-	-	-	*	1%	*	*	1%	*	1%	1%	*	*	-	*	*	-		
4	1	1	2	-	4	3	1	3	1	3	2	3	3	1	-	4	2	-		
*	*	-	-	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	1%	*	*	*	-	1%	*	-		
4	-	1	3	-	4	4	-	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	-	1	2	-		
*	-	-	-	-	*	1%g	-	*	1%	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	-		
3	-	-	3	-	3	3	1	3	1	3	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	-		
*	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	*	-		
2	1	-	1	-	2	2	-	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1		
*	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	1%		
11	-	1	8	-	11	3	8	5	3	4	1	2	5	6	-	6	5	1		
*	-	-	1%	-	1%	*	1%	*	1%	*	*	*	*	*	-	1%	1%	1%		
11	3	1	4	2	9	1	11	3	1	2	-	1	1	11	-	2	2	-		
7%	1%	-	1%	1%	*	1%g	1%g	*	*	*	-	*	*	1%h	-	*	*	-		
32	3	2	12	3	26	17	15	16	6	16	9	10	15	16	3	14	18	4		
1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%h	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%		
182	27	30	49	13	155	18	164	31	7	29	5	12	24	158	5	21	15	2		
8%	10%a	9%	6%	8%	9%	2%	13%f	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	14%h	4%	3%	2%	2%		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijkl - mn - op - qv
 Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 42
QWC11 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022, which, if any, of the following cities would you like to see host a world cup match?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

Weighted Base
I don't think
England should
host the next
world cup
Don't know

TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
217 10%	23 9%	30 9%	66 8%	33 21%e	173 10%	21 2%	197 15%f	46 4%j	9 2%	31 3%	10 3%	21 4%	26 3%	191 17%m	12 10%p	35 5%	2 *	38 26%q
99 5%	14 6%a	11 3%	14 2%	8 5%	81 4%	9 1%	89 7%l	15 1%j	2 *	13 1%	2 1%	4 1%	8 1%	90 8%a	- -	8 1%	7 1%	2 2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) : a/b/c : d/e : f/g : h/i/j/k : m/n : o/p : q/r
Overlap formulae used : * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 43
QWC13 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022,
how likely or unlikely do you think you would be to apply to pay for a ticket to attend a world cup match in England?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FEMALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MARRIAGE (m)	SINGLE (n)	WIDOWED/ SEPARATED (o)
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	418	532	1102	692	339
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
Very likely	(4) 335 16%	64 20%ef	67 19%ef	79 24%ef	70 19%ef	31 10%ef	23 5%	249 24%h	86 8%	101 17%ef	107 18%ef	76 17%ef	50 10%	204 18%ef	117 17%ef	12 4%
Fairly likely	(3) 350 16%	78 25%de	96 27%cd	64 19%ef	48 13%ef	31 10%	32 7%	215 21%h	135 12%	88 15%	111 18%ef	89 20%ef	63 12%	183 16%ef	134 20%ef	32 11%
Not very likely	(2) 299 14%	50 16%ef	52 15%	34 10%	59 16%ef	53 18%ef	51 11%	156 15%	142 13%	72 12%	91 15%	54 12%	82 16%	159 14%	97 14%	43 14%
Not at all likely	(1) 1130 53%	120 38%	133 37%	150 46%b	184 50%ab	182 60%ab	361 76%ab	420 40%	709 65%g	318 55%ef	287 48%	213 49%	311 60%ef	598 52%	317 47%	207 69%ef
Don't know	27 1%	2 1%	8 2%	1 *	4 1%	5 2%	7 1%	6 1%	21 2%g	1 *	7 1%	6 1%	13 2%ef	15 1%	6 1%	6 2%
NET: Likely	665 32%	143 45%de	163 46%de	143 44%de	119 32%ef	63 21%ef	55 12%	465 44%h	221 20%	189 33%ef	218 36%ef	165 38%ef	113 22%	387 33%ef	251 37%ef	44 15%
NET: Not likely	1429 67%	170 54%	184 52%	184 56%	242 66%ab	236 78%ab	412 87%ab	576 55%	851 78%g	390 67%	378 63%	267 61%	393 76%ef	757 65%	415 62%	249 83%ef
MEAN	1.95	2.28d ef	2.28d ef	2.22e f	2.01e f	1.70f	1.39	2.28h	1.62	1.95i	2.06i	2.07i	1.71	1.99o	2.08o	1.49
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05

Proportions/Mean: Column Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 44
QWC13 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022,
how likely or unlikely do you think you would be to apply to pay for a ticket to attend a world cup match in England?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION													ETHNICITY	
TOTAL		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
Unweighted Base	2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240	
	2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271	
Weighted Base																			
Very likely	(4)	210 16%	29 11%	95 10%	22 14%	38 19%ik	20%ik l	17 15%	35 15%	18 10%	45 15%	20 11%	9 9%	30 16%	35 20%kl	12 19%l	278 15%	56 21%p	
Fairly likely	(3)	350 16%	195 21%bc	33 12%	123 13%	25 16%	28 14%	59 21%kl	19 22%kl	35 15%	32 18%k	49 17%k	16 9%	10 10%	27 14%	39 22%ekl	18%k	294 16%	56 21%
Not very likely	(2)	299 14%	132 14%	44 17%	122 13%	25 16%g	34 17%gi	39 14%g	5 6%	38 16%g	17 10%	32 11%	25 14%g	16 15%g	35 19%gi	26 15%g	7 11%	261 14%	38 14%
Not at all likely	(1)	1130 53%	385 41%	151 59%a	594 63%a	81 52%	99 50%	125 44%	48 56%ln	116 49%	112 62%ef	166 57%ln	121 66%de	66 64%ef	89 48%	75 43%	32 52%	1012 54%q	114 42%
Don't know		27 1%	11 1%	- -	16 2%	1 1%	* *	3 1%	- -	12 5%def	1 1%	1 *	- -	1 1%	6 3%ejk	1 1%	- -	21 1%	6 2%
NET: Likely	685 32%	405 43%bc	62 24%	218 23%	48 31%k	66 33%kl	114 41%hi klm	33 39%kl	71 30%k	50 28%	94 32%kl	36 20%	19 19%	57 30%k	74 42%adhi klm	23 37%kl	572 31%	112 41%p	
NET: Not likely	1429 67%	518 55%	195 76%a	716 75%a	106 69%lm	133 66%	164 58%	53 61%	153 65%	129 72%lm	198 68%lm	146 80%de lghjm o	81 80%ef ghjmn o	124 67%	101 57%	39 63%	1272 68%q	152 56%	
MEAN	1.95	2.25b c	1.77	1.70	1.93k l	2.03i kl	2.16i jkl	2.01k l	1.96k l	1.76	1.91k	1.64	1.63	1.98k l	2.19di jkl	2.04k l	1.91	2.20p	
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	*	0.01	
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.12	0.03	0.08	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de(ghij)klmnop - pq
Overlap formulas used - * small base



J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 45
QWC13 And if England were to host the men's football FIFA World Cup in 2022,
how likely or unlikely do you think you would be to apply to pay for a ticket to attend a world cup match in England?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
Very likely	(4) 335	24	31	180	27	278	283	52	311	168	286	123	194	308	27	32	195	255	22
	16%	9%	9%	23%ab	17%	15%	34%g	4%	28%	48%hijkl	29%h	39%hij	35%hj	31%n	2%	27%	29%	32%r	15%
Fairly likely	(3) 350	36	47	146	24	301	224	127	283	92	255	87	144	270	80	46	145	186	18
	16%	14%	14%	19%	15%	17%	26%g	10%	25%	26%	26%	27%	26%	27%n	7%	39%p	20%	23%r	12%
Not very likely	(2) 299	48	45	109	27	251	139	159	201	51	176	59	91	164	135	15	111	120	20
	14%	19%	13%	14%	17%	14%	16%g	12%	18%	15%	18%	18%	16%	16%n	12%	13%	15%	15%	14%
Not at all likely	(1) 1130	144	208	347	83	949	195	935	317	41	270	49	123	251	879	25	282	245	85
	53%	56%c	63%c	44%	51%	53%	23%	72%l	28%ijkl	12%	27%ikl	15%l	22%lk	25%	77%lm	21%	38%o	30%	57%q
Don't know	27	6	1	3	-	25	4	23	2	-	2	-	-	5	22	-	4	3	2
	1%	2%bc	-	-	-	1%	-	2%l	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%lm	-	1%	-	-
NET: Likely	685	59	78	325	51	579	507	178	594	261	541	209	338	578	107	78	341	442	40
	32%	23%	24%	41%ab	32%	32%	60%g	14%	53%	74%hijkl	55%h	66%hijl	61%hj	58%n	9%	66%p	46%	55%r	27%
NET: Not likely	1429	192	253	456	110	1200	334	1094	518	92	447	108	214	415	1014	40	394	365	105
	67%	75%c	76%c	56%	68%	67%	40%	84%l	46%ijkl	26%	45%kl	34%l	39%lk	42%	89%lm	34%	53%o	45%	71%q
MEAN	1.95	1.76	1.70	2.20ab	1.97	1.95	2.71g	1.45	2.53	3.10hjk	2.56h	2.89hij	2.74hj	2.64n	1.34	2.72p	2.35	2.56r	1.84
ERROR VARIANCE	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.01	*	*	0.01
STANDARD ERROR	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.10

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - de - fg - hijkl - mn - op - q
 Overlap formula used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 46
QWC14 Assuming you could get a ticket, which, if any, of these cities would you be willing to travel to in order to attend a world cup match?
BASE: All who would be likely to apply for a ticket to attend a World Cup match in England

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
	TOTAL	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	658	164	141	123	97	67	66	445	213	143	257	149	109	348	265	43
Weighted Base	685	143	163	143	119*	63*	55*	465	221	189	218	165	113*	387	251	44*
London	414 60%	93 65%e	97 60%	89 62%	74 62%	30 48%	31 55%	288 62%	126 57%	123 65%j	136 62%j	98 60%	56 50%	229 59%	159 63%	23 52%
Manchester	369 54%	73 51%	97 59%f	76 53%	66 55%	36 57%	22 39%	268 58%h	101 46%	111 59%	122 56%	80 49%	56 49%	217 56%	132 52%	20 44%
Liverpool	274 40%	61 43%	67 41%	50 35%	52 44%	25 39%	18 33%	204 44%h	70 32%	80 42%	84 39%	69 42%	40 35%	157 41%	103 41%	13 30%
Birmingham	231 34%	46 32%	54 33%	44 31%	44 37%	22 35%	21 39%	172 37%h	60 27%	76 40%j	83 38%j	53 32%j	20 18%	135 35%	82 33%	15 33%
Leeds	221 32%	41 29%	59 36%	36 25%	47 40%k	15 35%	22 27%	163 36%h	58 26%	80 42%jk	67 31%	50 30%	25 22%	131 34%	75 30%	15 34%
Newcastle	210 31%	30 21%	53 32%a	44 31%	47 39%a	22 36%a	14 25%	150 32%	60 27%	72 38%j	71 32%j	46 28%	21 19%	133 34%a	60 24%	17 39%
Sheffield	178 26%	32 23%	44 27%	35 24%	35 29%	20 32%	12 22%	130 28%	48 22%	58 31%j	61 28%j	43 26%j	15 13%	104 27%	59 23%	14 32%
Milton Keynes	157 23%	30 21%	40 25%e	35 24%e	34 29%e	7 11%	11 20%	108 23%	49 22%	54 29%j	46 21%	41 25%j	15 14%	94 24%	54 22%	8 19%
Sunderland	138 20%	22 16%	38 23%	24 17%	31 26%	12 19%	12 21%	107 23%h	31 14%	45 24%j	39 18%	41 25%j	13 12%	88 23%	42 17%	8 18%
Would like to attend a world cup match but not in any of those cities	28 4%	4 3%	5 3%	3 2%	5 4%	5 8%k	6 10%ac	20 4%	8 4%	7 4%	4 2%	5 3%	12 10%jk	16 4%	8 3%	3 7%
Don't know	1 *	- -	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	1 *	- -

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l/m/n/o
Overlap formulae used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 47
QWC14 Assuming you could get a ticket, which, if any, of these cities would you be willing to travel to in order to attend a world cup match?
BASE: All who would be likely to apply for a ticket to attend a World Cup match in England

	TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
		FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID-LANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID-LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
Unweighted Base	658	341	62	255	51	70	95	43	76	45	63	27	17	56	80	35	559	97
Weighted Base	685	405	62*	218	48*	66*	114*	33*	71*	50*	94*	36**	19**	57*	74*	23*	572	112*
London	414	245	39	129	25	58	102	12	20	19	74	22	8	29	30	14	336	76
		61%	64%	59%	53%h	87%dg	89%dg	37%	29%	38%	79%dg	62%	42%	51%h	41%	59%h	59%	68%
Manchester	369	236	32	101	25	28	52	17	56	32	27	16	13	32	55	17	306	61
	54%	58%c	51%	46%	52%j	25%	42%j	45%j	50%j	79%de	63%ej	29%	44%	69%	52%	74%def	74%def	55%
										fgjm						gim		
Liverpool	274	166	22	86	15	26	38	14	47	20	21	12	11	22	34	14	235	37
	40%	41%	36%	39%	31%	40%	33%	41%	47%	39%	23%	32%	54%	39%	46%	62%de	62%de	33%
									fjgm							fjm		
Birmingham	231	140	22	70	23	26	29	9	15	9	27	16	8	38	21	10	204	26
	34%	35%	35%	32%	48%fg	39%hi	25%	27%	21%	18%	29%	45%	41%	68%ef	28%	43%hi	36%iq	23%
					hijn									ghijn	o			
Leeds	221	139	18	63	20	16	20	21	25	13	16	8	3	6	64	9	200	20
	32%	34%	30%	29%	41%fj	25%	17%	62%ef	36%fj	26%	18%	22%	16%	10%	87%def	38%ij	35%q	18%
					m			hijm	m						m			
Newcastle	210	136	20	54	14	15	14	31	18	39	13	8	2	6	38	11	191	17
	31%	34%c	33%	25%	29%fm	22%	12%	94%de	25%f	77%de	14%	22%	10%	1%	52%def	48%ef	131%q	16%
								fhjmn		fhjmn					hjm	hjm		
Sheffield	178	109	16	52	26	14	19	12	15	9	14	4	1	8	48	8	157	20
	26%	27%	27%	24%	55%ef	20%	17%	36%fj	6%	18%	15%	12%	6%	14%	64%efg	34%ij	27%	18%
					hijm			m							hijmo	m		
Milton Keynes	157	99	18	40	10	30	25	6	6	5	41	5	1	10	11	7	138	19
	23%	24%	30%	18%	20%	45%df	22%h	78%	8%	10%	44%df	15%	6%	18%	15%	32%hi	24%	17%
						ghimn				ghimn					ghimn	n		
Sunderland	138	89	16	34	11	16	8	20	10	14	15	8	3	5	20	8	128	9
	20%	22%	25%	16%	23%l	24%lm	7%	61%de	14%	28%fm	16%	22%	14%	9%	28%fhm	35%fh	22%q	8%
								fhjmn								jm		
Would like to attend a world cup match but not in any of those cities	28	12	1	14	1	2	-	-	-	2	9	4	2	5	2	2	20	8
	4%	3%	2%	6%	3%	3%	-	-	-	4%	10%th	11%	10%	8%th	3%	7%th	3%	7%
Don't know	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
					2%													

Proportions: Means: columns tested at % risk level: * abc - design(n)/k/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used: * small base; ** very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 48
QWC14 Assuming you could get a ticket, which, if any, of these cities would you be willing to travel to in order to attend a world cup match?
BASE: All who would be likely to apply for a ticket to attend a World Cup match in England

	INCOME				SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Unweighted Base	658	68	83	288	51	554	488	170	572	251	523	203	339	557	101	70	328	428	36
Weighted Base	685	59*	78*	325	51*	579	507	178	594	261	541	209	338	578	107*	78*	341	442	40*
London	414	43	37	204	25	345	318	96	362	182	325	135	217	364	50	43	221	279	28
	60%	72% ^b	47%	63% ^b	49%	60%	63%	54%	61%	70% ^{hjl}	60%	65%	64% ^j	63% ^{kn}	47%	56%	65%	63%	70%
Manchester	369	28	37	204	27	321	296	73	332	173	302	132	206	328	41	30	203	258	22
	54%	48%	48%	63% ^{ab}	53%	55%	58% ^g	41%	56%	68% ^{hjl}	56%	63% ^{hjl}	61% ^{hjl}	57% ^{kn}	38%	39%	60% ^o	58%	54%
Liverpool	274	22	26	140	19	238	222	52	243	131	224	98	151	247	27	25	147	189	18
	40%	37%	33%	43%	36%	41%	44% ^g	29%	41%	50% ^{hjl}	41%	47%	45% ^h	43% ^{kn}	25%	32%	43%	43%	45%
Birmingham	231	19	20	126	11	205	186	45	209	124	191	92	140	209	22	15	143	166	17
	34%	31%	25%	39% ^b	21%	35%	37% ^g	25%	35%	48% ^{hjl}	35%	44% ^{hjl}	42% ^{hjl}	36% ^{kn}	21%	19%	42% ^o	38%	42%
Leeds	221	18	24	117	14	200	178	43	202	115	183	94	137	199	22	16	127	151	14
	32%	30%	30%	36%	27%	35%	35% ^g	24%	34%	44% ^h	34%	45% ^{hjl}	40% ^{hjl}	35% ^{kn}	20%	20%	37% ^o	34%	36%
Newcastle	210	14	20	115	11	193	173	37	188	114	176	89	131	187	23	15	114	147	15
	31%	24%	25%	35%	21%	33%	34% ^g	21%	32%	44% ^h	33%	43% ^{hjl}	39% ^{hjl}	32% ^{kn}	22%	19%	34% ^o	33%	36%
Sheffield	178	16	20	97	6	162	140	37	164	101	150	74	107	160	18	9	108	125	14
	26%	27%	25%	30%	13%	28% ^d	28%	21%	28%	39% ^{hjl}	28%	36% ^{hjl}	32% ^{hjl}	28% ^{kn}	17%	11%	32% ^o	28%	35%
Milton Keynes	157	17	12	84	7	137	134	23	143	87	132	63	90	141	17	14	87	110	9
	23%	29%	16%	26%	14%	24%	26% ^g	13%	24%	33% ^{hjl}	24%	30% ^{hjl}	27%	24%	15%	19%	26%	25%	23%
Sunderland	138	11	13	77	9	125	120	18	124	83	116	66	86	126	13	14	79	96	11
	20%	19%	17%	24%	18%	22%	24% ^g	10%	21%	32% ^{hjl}	22%	32% ^{hjl}	25% ^{hjl}	22% ^{kn}	12%	18%	23%	22%	28%
Would like to attend a world cup match but not in any of these cities	28	2	6	6	5	23	17	11	22	5	21	5	11	21	7	4	7	9	*
	4%	4%	8% ^c	2%	10% ^e	4%	3%	6%	4% ⁱ	2%	4% ⁱ	3%	3%	4%	6%	5%	2%	2%	1%
Don't know	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1% ^m	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - abc - dle - fg - hijkl - mn - op - qv
 Overlap formula used - *small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 49
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
		15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Unweighted Base	2141	345	324	298	292	318	564	1081	1058	456	737	416	532	1102	692	339
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
AGE																
15-24	315 15%	315 100%bcd ef	-	-	-	-	-	161 15%	153 14%	39 7%	108 18% _i	68 15% _i	100 19% _i	33 3% _o	279 42%mo	-
25-34	356 17%	-	356 100%acd ef	-	-	-	-	178 17%	176 16%	82 14%	118 20% _{il}	89 20% _{il}	66 13%	216 19% _o	131 19% _o	7 2%
35-44	329 15%	-	-	329 100%abd ef	-	-	-	163 16%	165 15%	103 18%	91 15%	65 15%	70 13%	238 21%no	76 11% _o	13 4%
45-54	365 17%	-	-	-	365 100%abc ef	-	-	180 17%	185 17%	116 20% _{ij}	86 14%	86 20% _{ij}	77 15%	243 21%no	82 12%	37 12%
55-64	304 14%	-	-	-	-	304 100%abc df	-	149 14%	154 14%	95 16%	86 14%	55 12%	68 13%	196 17% _{un}	54 8%	52 17% _{un}
65+	473 22%	-	-	-	-	-	473 100%abc cde	215 21%	258 24%	145 25% _{jk}	114 19%	76 17%	138 27% _{ijk}	233 20% _n	51 8%	189 63% _{kmn}
GENDER																
MALE	1046 49%	161 51%	178 50%	163 50%	180 49%	149 49%	215 45%	1046 100% _h	-	297 51%	278 46%	236 54% _{ijl}	235 45%	613 53% _o	338 50% _o	88 29%
FEMALE	1093 51%	153 49%	176 50%	165 50%	185 51%	154 51%	258 55%	-	1093 100% _g	283 49%	324 54% _{kk}	202 46%	283 55% _{kk}	545 47%	334 50%	211 71% _{kmn}
SOCIAL GRADE																
AB	580 27%	39 12%	82 23% _a	103 31% _{ab}	116 32% _{ab}	95 31% _{ab}	145 31% _{ab}	297 28%	283 26%	580 100% _{ikl}	-	-	-	391 34% _{no}	120 18%	62 21%
C1	603 28%	108 34% _{df}	118 33% _{df}	91 28%	86 24%	86 28%	114 24%	278 27%	324 30%	-	603 100% _{ikl}	-	-	323 28%	194 29%	86 29%
C2	439 20%	68 21%	89 25% _{ef}	65 20%	86 23% _l	55 18%	76 16%	236 23% _{kh}	202 18%	-	-	439 100% _{ijl}	-	263 23% _o	135 20% _o	39 13%
DE	519 24%	100 32% _{bc} de	66 19%	70 21%	77 21%	68 22%	138 29% _{bc} de	235 22%	283 26%	-	-	-	519 100% _{ijl} k	182 16%	223 33% _{mn}	112 38% _{kmn}
MARITAL STATUS																
MAR/ LIVING AS	1160 54%	33 11%	216 61% _{af}	238 72% _{ab} f	243 67% _{af}	196 65% _{af}	233 49% _{aa}	613 59% _h	545 50%	391 67% _{ijk} l	323 54% _l	263 60% _l	182 35%	1160 100% _{no}	-	-
SINGLE	672 31%	279 89% _{bc} def	131 37% _{cd} ef	76 23% _l	82 23% _l	54 18% _l	51 11%	338 32%	334 31%	120 21%	194 32% _l	135 31% _l	223 43% _{ijk}	-	672 100% _{mo}	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
 Overlap formula used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 49
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

		AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE				MARITAL STATUS		
	TOTAL	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)
Weighted Base	2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299
WID/ DIV/ SEP	299		7	13	37	52	189	88	211	62	86	39	112	-	-	299
14%		-	2%a	4%a	10%ab	17%ab	40%abc	8%	19%g	11%	14%k	9%	22%klj	-	-	100%mn
WORKING STATUS																
FULL TIME	934	87	240	219	242	123	23	590	344	303	285	228	118	614	266	51
44%		28%ef	67%ae	66%ae	66%ae	41%af	5%	59%h	31%	52%j	47%j	52%j	23%	53%no	40%o	17%
PART TIME	257	44	44	55	45	49	19	53	203	69	71	63	54	151	86	19
12%		14%ef	12%l	17%l	12%l	16%l	4%	5%	19%g	12%	12%	14%	10%	13%o	13%o	6%
NOT WORKING	950	184	71	55	77	131	431	403	546	209	247	147	347	395	320	229
44%		58%bc	20%	17%	21%	43%bc	91%ab	39%	50%g	36%	41%k	34%	67%klj	34%	48%lm	77%mn
GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION																
EAST MIDLANDS	154	18	24	29	24	26	34	76	79	41	29	38	47	96	36	22
	7%	6%	7%	9%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	9%j	9%j	8%n	5%	7%
EASTERN	200	23	35	43	41	16	42	98	102	35	71	53	40	118	54	27
9%		7%	10%a	13%ae	11%e	5%	9%	9%	9%	6%	12%il	12%il	8%	10%	8%	9%
LONDON	282	51	68	45	41	30	45	140	142	82	71	49	80	116	118	42
13%		16%ef	19%de	14%	11%	10%	10%	13%	13%	14%	12%	11%	15%	10%	18%lm	14%lm
NORTH EAST	86	10	15	12	18	15	15	42	44	18	23	21	25	51	22	13
4%		3%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	4%
NORTH WEST	236	54	42	46	27	26	41	115	120	37	62	51	86	121	86	28
11%		17%de	12%	14%df	7%	9%	9%	11%	11%	6%	10%j	12%j	17%klj	10%	13%	9%
SCOTLAND	180	20	32	33	32	25	37	86	93	57	51	34	38	105	53	21
8%		6%	9%	10%	9%	8%	8%	8%	8%	10%	9%	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%
SOUTH EAST	293	36	42	36	51	41	86	143	150	74	98	74	47	155	90	46
14%		11%	12%	11%	14%	13%	18%ab	14%	14%	13%	16%j	17%j	9%	13%	13%	15%
SOUTH WEST	182	20	18	13	45	41	47	89	93	98	28	33	23	114	44	24
9%		6%	5%	4%	12%ab	13%ab	10%bc	9%	9%	17%klj	5%	7%	4%	10%n	7%	8%
WALES	102	3	14	15	12	18	40	50	52	46	32	14	11	62	25	15
5%		1%	4%a	5%a	3%	6%a	8%abc	5%	5%	8%kl	5%j	3%	2%	5%	4%	5%
WEST MIDLANDS	187	38	20	23	32	28	45	92	95	34	53	25	75	93	66	28
9%		12%bc	6%	7%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	6%	9%	6%	14%klj	8%	10%	9%
YORKS AND HUMBR	176	34	32	22	28	28	32	86	90	45	68	27	37	96	60	20
8%		11%f	9%	7%	8%	9%	7%	8%	8%	8%	11%kl	6%	7%	8%	9%	7%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f - g/h - i/j/k/l - m/n/o
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

Table 49
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

Weighted Base

ETHNICITY

WHITE

BAME

INCOME

UP TO 11499

11500 24999

25000 PLUS

TOTAL	AGE						GENDER		SOCIAL GRADE					MARITAL STATUS		
	15-24 (a)	25-34 (b)	35-44 (c)	45-54 (d)	55-64 (e)	65+ (f)	MALE (g)	FE- MALE (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	MAR/ LIVI- NG AS (m)	SI- NGLE (n)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (o)	
2141	315	356	329	365	304	473	1046	1093	580	603	439	519	1160	672	299	
1865 87%	257 82%	293 82%	268 81%	309 85%	289 95%ab cd	449 95%ab cd	901 86%	962 88%	532 92%ijl	519 86%	383 87%	431 83%	1032 89%kn	550 82%	275 92%	
271 13%	57 18%efl	61 17%efl	60 18%efl	55 15%efl	14 5%	24 5%	143 14%	128 12%	47 8%	81 14%j	54 12%	88 17%j	126 11%	120 18%mo	25 8%	
258 12%	49 15%bcd	25 7%	28 9%	33 9%	35 12%	87 18%bcd e	89 9%	168 15%g	15 3%	65 11%ij	54 12%j	123 24%ijk	63 5%	123 18%km	71 24%km	
332 15%	31 10%	46 13%	44 13%	57 16%a	56 18%a	97 20%ab c	153 15%	177 16%	49 9%	98 16%j	83 19%j	102 20%j	150 13%	106 16%	74 25%km	
785 37%	56 18%	167 47%af	166 50%af	157 43%af	131 43%af	108 23%	437 42%h	348 32%	342 59%jk	215 36%j	135 31%j	93 16%	589 51%no	141 21%	54 18%	

Proportions/Mean: Column Tested (5% risk level) - ab/cd/ef - gh - i/j/k - mno
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 50
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base

AGE
15-24

25-34

35-44

45-54

55-64

65+

GENDER

MALE

FEMALE

SOCIAL GRADE

AB

C1

C2

DE

TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MIDLANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT-LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MIDLANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRE-LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
2141	797	239	1105	168	239	245	117	260	177	216	139	94	197	189	100	1895	240
2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
315 15%	87 9%	44 17%a	184 19%a	18 12%l	23 12%l	51 18%l	10 12%l	54 23%de gijkl o	20 11%l	36 12%l	20 11%l	3 3%	38 21%de ijkl	34 19%eil	8 12%l	257 14%	57 21%p
356 17%	240 26%bc	44 17%c	71 8%	24 15%	35 18%k	68 24%dj klm	15 18%	42 18%km	32 18%	42 15%	18 10%	14 13%	20 11%	32 18%k	13 21%km	293 16%	61 23%p
329 15%	219 23%c	55 21%c	55 6%	29 19%k	43 22%ijk mn	45 16%k	12 14%	46 19%jk m	33 19%k	36 12%	13 7%	15 15%	23 12%	22 13%	11 18%k	268 14%	60 22%p
365 17%	242 26%bc	45 18%c	77 8%	24 16%	41 20%h	41 15%	18 21%h	27 11%	32 18%	51 17%	45 24%fh l	12 12%	32 17%	28 16%	12 20%	309 17%	55 20%
304 14%	123 13%	49 19%ac	131 14%	26 17%e	16 8%	30 11%	15 17%e	26 11%	25 14%	41 14%	41 22%ef h	18 18%e	28 15%e	28 16%e	10 16%e	289 15%q	14 5%
473 22%	23 2%	19 7%a	431 45%ab	34 22%	42 21%	45 16%	15 18%	41 17%	37 21%	86 30%fg hno	47 26%fo	40 39%de ghik mno	45 24%f	32 18%	9 14%	449 24%q	24 9%
1046 49%	590 63%bc	53 21%	403 42%b	76 49%	98 49%	140 49%	42 49%	115 49%	86 48%	143 49%	89 49%	50 49%	92 49%	86 49%	30 49%	901 48%	143 53%
1093 51%	344 37%	203 79%ac	546 57%a	79 51%	102 51%	142 51%	44 51%	120 51%	93 51%	150 51%	93 51%	52 51%	95 51%	90 51%	32 51%	962 52%	128 47%
580 27%	303 32%c	69 27%	209 22%	41 26%eh	35 18%	82 29%eh m	18 21%	37 15%	57 32%eh m	74 25%h	98 54%de fghij mno	46 45%de fghij	34 18%	45 25%h	14 22%	532 29%q	47 17%
603 28%	285 31%c	71 28%	247 26%	29 19%	71 35%df hk	71 25%k	23 26%k	62 26%k	51 29%k	98 33%dk	28 15%	32 31%dk	53 28%k	68 38%dfg hkm	18 28%k	519 28%	81 30%
439 20%	228 24%c	63 25%c	147 15%	38 25%klm n	53 27%fl mn	49 17%	21 24%lm	51 22%lm	34 19%	74 25%lm n	33 18%	14 13%	25 13%	27 15%	21 33%fh klmn	383 21%	54 20%
519 24%	118 13%	54 21%a	347 37%ab	47 30%ej klo	40 20%	80 28%ej klo	25 29%jk lo	86 37%el jkno	38 21%l	47 16%	23 13%	11 11%	75 40%ef ijkl o	37 21%l	10 17%	431 23%	88 33%p

Proportions/Means: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - dle(fgh)klmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 50
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

Weighted Base

MARITAL STATUS

MAR/ LIVING AS

SINGLE

WID/ DIV/ SEP

WORKING STATUS

FULL TIME

PART TIME

NOT WORKING

GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION

EAST MIDLANDS

EASTERN

LONDON

NORTH EAST

NORTH WEST

TOTAL	WORKING STATUS			GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MID- LANDS (d)	EAST- ERN (e)	LON- DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOT- LAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MID- LANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH- ERN IRE- LAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)
2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271
1160 54%	614 66% _c	151 59% _c	395 42%	96 62% _f m	118 59% _f	116 41%	51 59% _f	121 51% _f	105 58% _f	155 53% _f	114 62% _f m	62 60% _f	93 50%	96 55% _f	34 55% _f	1032 55% _q	126 46%
672 31%	266 28%	86 33%	320 34% _a	36 24%	54 27%	118 42% _d gijkl o	22 26%	86 37% _d gkl	53 30%	90 31%	44 24%	25 25%	66 35% _d	60 34% _d	16 26%	550 29%	120 44% _p
299 14%	51 5%	19 7%	229 24% _a	22 14%	27 14%	42 15%	13 15%	28 12%	21 12%	46 16%	24 13%	15 15%	28 15%	20 11%	12 19%	275 15% _q	25 9%
934 44%	934 100% _{bc}	-	-	77 50% _{hm}	95 47% _{hm}	127 45% _h	43 50% _{hm}	80 34%	84 47% _h	127 43%	89 49% _{hm}	38 38%	67 36%	76 43%	32 51% _{hm}	801 43%	128 47%
257 12%	-	257 100% _{ac}	-	15 10%	30 15%	38 14%	11 12%	34 14%	24 13%	34 11%	19 10%	9 8%	16 9%	16 9%	13 20% _{dj} kmm	225 12%	32 12%
950 44%	-	-	950 100% _{ab}	63 41%	75 38%	117 42% _o	32 38%	122 52% _d fgiko	73 40%	132 45% _o	75 41%	55 54% _d gio	103 55% _d o	84 48% _o	18 28%	839 45%	110 41%
154 7%	77 8%	15 6%	63 7%	154 100% _{efg} hijklmno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129 7%	26 9%
200 9%	95 10%	30 12%	75 8%	-	200 100% _d fgijklmno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183 10%	16 6%
282 13%	127 14%	38 15%	117 12%	-	-	282 100% _d egijklmno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	167 9%	114 42% _p
86 4%	43 5%	11 4%	32 3%	-	-	-	86 100% _d efijklmno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84 4% _q	3 1%
236 11%	80 9%	34 13%	122 13% _a	-	-	-	-	236 100% _d efgijklmno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	207 11%	29 11%

Proportions: Measure: column % Total (95% risk level) - abc - defghijklmnop - pq
Overlap formula used: * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table 50
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	WORKING STATUS				GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION												ETHNICITY	
TOTAL	FULL TIME (a)	PART TIME (b)	NOT WORKING (c)	EAST MIDLANDS (d)	EAST-ERN (e)	LON-DON (f)	NORTH EAST (g)	NORTH WEST (h)	SCOTLAND (i)	SOUTH EAST (j)	SOUTH WEST (k)	WALES (l)	WEST MIDLANDS (m)	YORKS AND HUMBR (n)	NORTH-ERN IRELAND (o)	WHITE (p)	BAME (q)	
2141	934	257	950	154	200	282	86	236	180	293	182	102*	187	176	62*	1865	271	
180 8%	84 9%	24 9%	73 8%	-	-	-	-	-	180 100%def ghiklmno	-	-	-	-	-	-	170 9%q	9 3%	
293 14%	127 14%	34 13%	132 14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	293 100%def ghiklmno	-	-	-	-	-	271 15%q	22 8%	
182 9%	89 9%	19 7%	75 8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	182 100%def ghijklmno	-	-	-	-	175 9%q	6 2%	
102 5%	38 4%	9 3%	55 6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102 100%def ghiklmno	-	-	-	101 5%q	1 *	
187 9%	67 7%	16 6%	103 11%ab	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	187 100%def ghijklno	-	-	166 9%	21 8%	
176 8%	76 8%	16 6%	84 9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	176 100%defg hijklmo	-	152 8%	24 9%	
1665 87%	801 86%	225 87%	839 88%	129 83%fd	183 92%df	167 59%	84 97%df hmn	207 88%fd	170 94%df hn	271 92%df n	175 96%df hmn	101 99%de fhjmn	166 89%fd	152 86%fd	61 98%df fhmn	1865 100%q	-	
271 13%	128 14%	32 13%	110 12%	26 17%agi jklo	16 8%lo	114 40%deg hijklmno	3 3%	29 12%gik lo	9 5%o	22 8%lo	6 3%	1 1%	21 11%gi klo	24 14%gijk lo	-	-	271 100%p	
258 12%	31 3%	44 17%a	184 19%a	13 8%	30 15%dk	34 12%	7 8%	26 11%	23 13%	38 13%	13 7%	8 6%	37 20%df ghklo	25 14%	4 7%	220 12%	38 14%	
332 15%	127 14%	49 19%	156 16%	13 8%	29 15%	28 10%	16 18%df	27 11%	24 13%	62 21%df h	30 16%df	27 26%de fhim	29 15%	38 22%dfh i	9 14%	307 16%q	24 9%	
785 37%	487 52%bc	89 35%cd	209 22%	53 34%kmn	84 42%jmn	122 43%jmn	38 44%jmn	89 38%kmn	71 39%kmn	88 30%	86 47%dfj mn	40 39%kmn	42 23%	47 27%	24 39%kmn	700 38%	84 31%	

Populations: Male: column 1 total (9% risk level) - abc - defghijklmnop - pq
Overlap formulae used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

Table S1
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

																				IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
		INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL				INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR						
	TOTAL	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 TO 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)		
Unweighted Base	2141	298	349	712	172	1791	870	1271	1136	349	1012	330	587	1008	1133	111	742	808	142		
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148		
AGE																					
15-24	315	49	31	56	32	245	125	190	177	54	152	40	95	152	162	19	86	120	26		
	15%	19%bc	9%	7%	20%e	14%	15%	15%	16%	15%	13%	13%	17%k	15%	14%	16%	12%	15%	17%		
25-34	356	25	46	167	33	300	129	226	190	68	160	54	94	180	176	33	96	130	25		
	17%	10%	14%	21%ab	20%	17%	15%	17%	17%j	19%	16%	17%	17%	18%	15%	28%p	13%	16%	17%		
35-44	329	28	44	166	37	259	140	189	178	69	155	52	93	166	163	24	113	143	27		
	15%	11%	13%	21%ab	23%e	14%	17%	15%	16%	20%hj	16%	16%	17%	17%	14%	20%	15%	18%	18%		
45-54	365	33	57	157	19	322	154	211	190	68	178	62	89	183	182	18	131	155	16		
	17%	13%	17%	20%a	12%	18%	16%	17%	19%	18%h	18%	20%	16%	18%	16%	15%	18%	19%r	11%		
55-64	304	35	56	131	13	272	114	189	147	47	137	54	73	134	169	10	125	111	21		
	14%	14%	17%	17%	8%	15%cd	14%	15%	13%	13%	14%	17%hi	13%	13%	15%	8%	17%a	14%	14%		
65+	473	87	97	108	27	407	182	291	232	46	208	56	109	183	291	14	187	151	33		
	22%	34%cd	29%cd	14%	17%	23%	22%	22%	21%ci	13%	21%ci	18%ci	20%ci	18%	25%cm	12%	25%cd	19%	22%		
GENDER																					
MALE	1046	89	153	437	75	883	610	436	748	270	673	264	440	684	363	74	490	477	82		
	49%	35%	46%a	56%ab	47%	49%	72%g	34%	67%	77%hj	68%	83%hij	80%hj	69%kn	32%	63%	66%	59%	56%		
FEMALE	1093	168	177	348	85	920	233	860	365	82	316	54	111	314	779	43	249	333	66		
	51%	65%bc	53%cd	44%	53%	51%	28%	66%fi	33%ikl	23%ka	32%kl	17%	20%	31%	68%cm	37%	34%	41%	44%		
SOCIAL GRADE																					
AB	580	15	49	342	17	519	214	367	294	127	253	108	176	276	304	27	278	237	55		
	27%	6%	15%a	44%ab	10%	29%cd	25%	28%	26%	36%hj	26%	34%hij	32%hj	28%	27%	23%	38%o	29%	37%		
C1	603	65	98	215	60	488	254	349	339	116	309	101	176	305	298	31	236	259	41		
	28%	25%	30%	27%	37%e	27%	30%	27%	30%	33%	31%	32%	32%	31%h	26%	26%	32%	32%	28%		
C2	439	54	83	135	30	371	200	239	240	70	214	66	112	221	217	30	122	170	27		
	20%	21%	25%cd	17%	24%g	21%	22%	18%	22%	20%	22%	21%	22%	25%p	22%	25%p	17%	21%	18%		
DE	519	123	102	93	54	426	178	342	241	40	213	41	88	195	324	30	103	144	25		
	24%	48%bc	31%cd	12%	34%e	24%	21%	26%fi	22%ikl	11%	22%kl	13%	16%ci	20%	28%cm	26%p	14%	18%	17%		
MARITAL STATUS																					
MARRIED LIVING AS	1160	63	150	589	85	988	484	675	627	216	559	206	320	573	587	67	447	488	73		
	54%	25%	45%a	75%ab	53%	55%	57%g	52%	56%	61%hj	56%	65%hijl	58%	57%kn	51%	57%	61%	60%r	50%		
SINGLE	672	123	106	141	58	547	247	425	340	101	299	74	163	298	374	39	200	236	53		
	31%	48%bc	32%cd	18%	36%	30%	29%	33%	30%k	29%ka	30%ka	23%	30%kl	30%	33%	33%	27%	29%	36%		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Totals (5% risk level) - abc - de - fg - hijkl - mn - op - qv
Overlap formula used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

6 Mar 2019

Table S1
DEMOGRAPHICS
 BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

	TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL				INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP		
		UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
Weighted Base	2141	258	332	785	151	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810	148
WID/ DIV/ SEP	299	71	74	54	15	266	110	190	143	32	130	35	65	122	177	11	88	86	21
	14%	28% ^c	22% ^c	7%	10%	15%	13%	15%	13% ^d	9%	13% ^d	11%	12%	12%	15% ^m	9%	12%	11%	14%
WORKING STATUS																			
FULL TIME	934	31	127	487	67	799	427	507	552	199	501	174	287	508	426	67	341	397	71
	44%	12%	38% ^a	62% ^{ab}	41%	44%	50% ^g	39%	50%	57% ^h	51%	55% ^h	52%	51% ⁿ	37%	57% ^p	46%	49%	48%
PART TIME	257	44	49	89	20	218	65	192	102	36	84	24	44	93	164	15	61	91	16
	12%	17% ^c	15%	11%	13%	12%	8%	15% ^d	9% ^j	10%	9%	7%	8%	9%	14% ^m	13%	8%	11%	11%
NOT WORKING	950	184	156	209	74	787	353	597	460	117	405	119	221	396	554	35	337	322	61
	44%	71% ^{bc}	47% ^c	27%	46%	44%	42%	46%	41% ^d	33%	41% ^d	38%	40% ^d	40%	48% ^m	30%	46% ^p	40%	41%
GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION																			
EAST MIDLANDS	154	13	13	53	5	132	54	101	73	22	62	20	39	66	89	14	39	59	18
	7%	5%	4%	7%	3%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	8%	12% ^p	5%	7%	12%
EASTERN	200	30	29	84	25	146	80	119	110	41	93	35	69	100	100	12	72	80	13
	9%	12%	9%	11%	15% ^e	8%	10%	9%	10%	12%	9%	11%	13% ^h	10%	9%	11%	10%	10%	9%
LONDON	282	34	28	122	13	199	117	165	160	45	135	37	77	158	124	27	84	101	27
	13%	13%	8%	16% ^b	8%	11%	14%	13%	14%	13%	14%	12%	14%	16% ⁿ	11%	23% ^p	11%	12%	18%
NORTH EAST	86	7	16	38	1	81	39	47	48	17	44	20	29	44	42	3	27	36	3
	4%	3%	5%	5%	*	4% ^d	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	2%
NORTH WEST	236	26	27	89	13	213	94	141	125	31	113	32	59	111	125	8	76	85	14
	11%	10%	8%	11%	8%	12%	11%	11%	11%	9%	11%	10%	11%	11%	11%	7%	10%	10%	9%
SCOTLAND	180	23	24	71	18	157	84	96	97	35	88	30	58	77	103	11	64	55	19
	8%	9%	7%	9%	11%	9%	10%	7%	9%	10%	9%	10%	10% ^h	8%	9%	10%	9%	7%	13% ^q
SOUTH EAST	293	38	62	88	11	265	125	168	148	45	141	34	45	137	156	12	108	111	13
	14%	15%	19% ^c	11%	7%	15% ^d	15%	13%	13% ^d	13% ^d	14% ^h	11%	8%	14%	14%	10%	15%	14%	9%
SOUTH WEST	182	13	30	86	15	167	54	129	72	30	60	18	37	66	116	8	84	78	13
	9%	5%	9%	11% ^a	9%	9%	6%	10% ^f	6%	8%	6%	6%	7%	7%	10% ^m	7%	11%	10%	9%
WALES	102	8	27	40	1	100	27	76	47	13	44	15	25	37	65	1	45	41	8
	5%	3%	8% ^a	5%	1%	6% ^d	3%	6% ^f	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6% ^m	1%	6% ^o	5%	5%
WEST MIDLANDS	187	37	29	42	33	144	71	116	94	28	82	25	36	76	111	15	62	67	14
	9%	14% ^{bc}	9%	5%	20% ^e	9%	8%	9%	8% ^d	8%	8%	7%	8%	10%	12%	8%	8%	8%	9%
YORKS AND HUMBR	176	25	38	47	16	149	75	102	102	30	93	37	56	96	81	4	61	75	8
	8%	10%	12% ^c	6%	10%	8%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%	12%	10%	10% ⁿ	7%	4%	8%	9%	6%

Proportions/Mean: columns tested (95% risk level) - abc - de - fg - hijkl - mn - op - qv
 Overlap formula used - * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

For further information, phone the Ipsos MORI Omnibus team on +44 (0) 20 8861 8084



Ipsos MORI

J17017017-46-03 16-NOV - 25-NOV 2018
PUBLIC
QATAR WORLD CUP RESEARCH

Table S1
DEMOGRAPHICS
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 15+

Weighted Base

ETHNICITY

WHITE

BAME

INCOME

UP TO 11499

11500 24999

25000 PLUS

TOTAL	INCOME			SEXUALITY		INTEREST IN FOOTBALL		ACTIVE INTEREST IN FOOTBALL					INTEREST IN WORLD CUP		DECISION TO HOLD 2022 WORLD CUP IN QATAR		IN FAVOUR OF PUTTING ENGLAND FORWARD TO HOST THE 2022 WORLD CUP	
	UP TO 11499 (a)	11500 - 24999 (b)	25000 PLUS (c)	BISEXUAL/ HOMOSEXUAL/ LESBIAN (d)	HETEROSEXUAL (e)	INTERESTED (f)	NOT INTERESTED (g)	ANY (h)	ATTEND MATCHES (i)	WATCH MATCHES (j)	LISTEN TO MATCHES (k)	READ ABOUT/ FOLLOW ONLINE (l)	INTERESTED (m)	NOT INTERESTED (n)	RIGHT (o)	WRONG (p)	IN FAVOUR (q)	OPPOSE (r)
	2141	258	332	785	161	1804	845	1296	1115	352	990	317	552	997	1144	117*	738	810
1865 87%	220 85%	307 93%a	700 89%	135 84%	1608 89% ^d	729 86%	1136 88%	963 86%	326 93% ^{hjl}	857 87%	292 92% ^{hjl}	493 89% ^{hj}	851 85%	1014 89% ^m	88 75%	689 93% ^o	700 86%	126 86%
271 13%	38 15% ^b	24 7%	84 11%	26 16% ^e	191 11%	115 14%	155 12%	150 13% ^{ikl}	26 7%	132 13% ^{ikl}	24 8%	58 11% ^{kl}	145 14% ⁿ	126 11%	30 25% ^p	48 7%	108 13%	21 14%
258 12%	258 100% ^{bc}	-	-	25 16%	211 12%	95 11%	163 13%	122 11%	33 9%	109 11%	30 10%	52 9%	105 11%	153 13%	11 10%	77 10%	86 11%	18 12%
332 15%	-	332 100% ^{ac}	-	8 5%	298 17% ^d	124 15%	208 16%	162 15% ^{il}	36 10%	147 15% ^{il}	43 14%	68 12%	134 13%	197 17% ^m	23 20%	95 13%	111 14%	17 12%
785 37%	-	-	785 100% ^{ab}	39 24%	688 38% ^d	333 39%	453 35%	450 40%	183 52% ^{hj}	401 41%	162 51% ^{hj}	270 49% ^h	422 42% ⁿ	363 32%	38 32%	348 47% ^o	344 42%	58 39%

Proportions/Mean: Column Totals (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i/j/k - m/n - o/p - q/r
Overlap formula used - *small base

