

Ipsos Poll Conducted for Thomson Reuters

Core Political Data

JUNE 12, 2019

© 2019 Ipsos. All rights reserved. Contains Ipsos' Confidential and Proprietary information and may not be disclosed or reproduced without the prior written consent of Ipsos.

Core Political Data

These are findings from an Ipsos poll conducted

for



date

June 10-11, 2019



For the survey,

a sample of

1,119
Americans

including

983
Registered
Voters

412
Democratic
Registered
Voters

331
Republican
Registered
Voters

192
Independent
Registered
Voters

ages

18+

were interviewed online

Core Political Data

The precision of the Reuters/Ipsos online polls is measured using a credibility interval.

In this case, the poll has a credibility interval of plus or minus the following percentage points




For more information about credibility intervals, please see the appendix.

Core Political Data

- The data were weighted to the U.S. current population data by:
 - Gender
 - Age
 - Education
 - Ethnicity
 - Region
- Statistical margins of error are not applicable to online polls.
- All sample surveys and polls may be subject to other sources of error, including, but not limited to coverage error and measurement error.
- Figures marked by an asterisk (*) indicate a percentage value of greater than zero but less than one half of one per cent.
- Where figures do not sum to 100, this is due to the effects of rounding.
- To see more information on this and other Reuters/Ipsos polls, please visit: <http://polling.reuters.com/>

Right Direction/Wrong Track

Generally speaking, would you say things in this country are heading in the right direction, or are they off on the wrong track?



Most Important Problem Facing America


In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing the U.S. today?

	All Adults	All Registered Voters	Democratic Registered Voters	Republican Registered Voters	Independent Registered Voters
Economy generally	12%	12%	13%	12%	13%
Unemployment / lack of jobs	4%	4%	4%	2%	8%
War / foreign conflicts	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%
Immigration	17%	18%	8%	36%	9%
Terrorism / terrorist attacks	6%	5%	4%	8%	4%
Healthcare	22%	21%	26%	16%	20%
Energy issues	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%
Morality	8%	9%	3%	12%	13%
Education	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%
Crime	6%	6%	8%	3%	6%
Environment	7%	8%	12%	2%	8%
Other	9%	10%	14%	4%	10%
Don't know	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%

ALL ADULT AMERICANS

Most Important Problem Facing America

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing the U.S. today?



Donald Trump's Approval



Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Donald Trump is handling his job as President?

Is that strongly (approve/disapprove) or somewhat (approve/disapprove)? (Asked of those who selected "approve" or "disapprove")

Q2b. If you had to choose, do you lean more towards approve or disapprove? (Asked of those who selected "don't know")


	All Adults	Registered Voters	Democratic Registered Voters	Republican Registered Voters	Independent Registered Voters
Strongly approve	22%	23%	3%	55%	14%
Somewhat approve	16%	16%	6%	29%	14%
Lean towards approve	2%	2%	0%	2%	4%
Lean towards disapprove	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Somewhat disapprove	10%	10%	13%	4%	15%
Strongly disapprove	47%	48%	77%	9%	50%
Not sure	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%
TOTAL APPROVE	40%	41%	9%	86%	32%
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	57%	58%	91%	13%	65%

ALL ADULT AMERICANS

Donald Trump's Weekly Approval



Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Donald Trump is handling his job as President?



Political Party Approach


In your opinion, which political party has a better plan, policy or approach to each of the following?

	Democratic Party	Republican Party	Independents	Other	None	Don't know
Healthcare	42%	25%	5%	2%	14%	13%
The war on terror	26%	35%	4%	1%	14%	21%
Iran	23%	30%	3%	1%	13%	29%
The U.S. economy	31%	35%	5%	1%	11%	15%
Immigration	35%	35%	4%	1%	11%	14%
Social Security	36%	24%	5%	1%	15%	19%
Medicare	39%	23%	5%	2%	14%	16%
Taxes	31%	33%	6%	2%	12%	16%
Gay marriage	42%	14%	6%	2%	17%	19%
Jobs and employment	33%	37%	5%	1%	9%	14%
The federal government deficit	27%	28%	5%	2%	19%	19%
Supporting small businesses	31%	31%	8%	2%	10%	18%
Education	39%	23%	7%	3%	13%	16%
Foreign policy	32%	32%	5%	1%	10%	20%
Women's rights	44%	19%	6%	1%	10%	19%
The environment	43%	20%	7%	2%	11%	17%
Israel	23%	32%	4%	2%	13%	27%
Syria	22%	27%	3%	2%	14%	32%
Energy policy	37%	28%	6%	2%	9%	18%
Dealing with sexual harassment	34%	19%	5%	2%	19%	21%

ALL ADULT AMERICANS

Political Identity

With which political party do you most identify?



How to Calculate Bayesian Credibility Intervals

The calculation of credibility intervals assumes that Y has a binomial distribution conditioned on the parameter θ , i.e., $Y|\theta \sim \text{bin}(n, \theta)$, where n is the size of our sample. In this setting, Y counts the number of “yes”, or “1”, observed in the sample, so that the sample mean (\bar{Y}) is a natural estimate of the true population proportion θ . This model is often called the likelihood function, and it is a standard concept in both the bayesian and the classical framework. The bayesian 1 statistics combines both the prior distribution and the likelihood function to create a posterior distribution.

The posterior distribution represents our opinion about which are the plausible values for θ adjusted after observing the sample data. In reality, the posterior distribution is one’s knowledge base updated using the latest survey information. For the prior and likelihood functions specified here, the posterior distribution is also a beta distribution ($\pi(\frac{\theta}{y}) \sim \beta(y+a, n-y+b)$), but with updated hyper-parameters.

Our credibility interval for θ is based on this posterior distribution. As mentioned above, these intervals represent our belief about which are the most plausible values for θ given our updated knowledge base. There are different ways to calculate these intervals based on $\pi(\frac{\theta}{y})$. Since we want only one measure of precision for all variables in the survey, analogous to what is done within the classical framework, we will compute the largest possible credibility interval for any observed sample. The worst case occurs when we assume that $a=1$ and $b=1$ and $y=n/2$. Using a simple approximation of the posterior by the normal distribution, the 95% credibility interval is given by, approximately: $\bar{Y} \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$

How to Calculate Bayesian Credibility Intervals

FOR THIS POLL

The Bayesian credibility interval was adjusted using standard weighting design effect $1+L=1.3$ to account for complex weighting²

Examples of credibility intervals for different base sizes are below:

	SAMPLE SIZE	CREDIBILITY INTERVALS
	2,000	2.5
	1,500	2.9
	1,000	3.5
Ipsos does not publish data for base sizes (sample sizes) below 100.	750	4.1
	500	5.0
	350	6.0
	200	7.9
	100	11.2

¹ *Bayesian Data Analysis, Second Edition*, Andrew Gelman, John B. Carlin, Hal S. Stern, Donald B. Rubin, Chapman & Hall/CRC | ISBN: 158488388X | 2003

² Kish, L. (1992). *Weighting for unequal Pi*. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 8, 2, 183200.

ABOUT IPSOS

Ipsos ranks third in the global research industry. With a strong presence in 87 countries, Ipsos employs more than 16,000 people and has the ability to conduct research programs in more than 100 countries. Founded in France in 1975, Ipsos is controlled and managed by research professionals. They have built a solid Group around a multi-specialist positioning – Media and advertising research; Marketing research; Client and employee relationship management; Opinion & social research; Mobile, Online, Offline data collection and delivery.

Ipsos is listed on Eurolist – NYSE – Euronext. The company is part of the SBF 120 and the Mid-60 index and is eligible for the Deferred Settlement Service (SRD).

ISIN code FR0000073298, Reuters ISOS.PA, Bloomberg IPS:FP

www.ipsos.com

GAME CHANGERS

At Ipsos we are passionately curious about people, markets, brands and society. We deliver information and analysis that makes our complex world easier and faster to navigate and inspires our clients to make smarter decisions.

We believe that our work is important. Security, simplicity, speed and substance applies to everything we do.

Through specialisation, we offer our clients a unique depth of knowledge and expertise. Learning from different experiences gives us perspective and inspires us to boldly call things into question, to be creative.

By nurturing a culture of collaboration and curiosity, we attract the highest calibre of people who have the ability and desire to influence and shape the future.

“GAME CHANGERS” – our tagline – summarises our ambition.