# INEQUALITIES AROUND THE GLOBE: WHAT THE WORLD SEES AS MOST SERIOUS





# Which types of inequality do people see as most serious?





Across the 28 countries, six in ten on average say inequalities in income and wealth are seen as the most serious. Area based inequalities between more and less deprived areas seen as the second most serious.


# Which three or four of the following types of inequality, if any, do you think are most serious in [country]?

In income and wealth 60% Between more and 42% less deprived areas In educational 32% outcomes for children In health and 31% life expectancies Between men 31% and women Between racial or 29% ethnic groups Global Country Average Between older and 24% younger generations



Women are more concerned than men about gender inequality and slightly more concerned about inequalities in health and life expectancies.


# Which three or four of the following types of inequality, if any, do you think are most serious in [COUNTRY]?





Concerns about income and wealth inequalities are higher among older people than those aged under 35.

Younger people are much more likely to be concerned about racial and ethnic inequalities and gender inequality than older people. Which three or four of the following types of inequality, if any, do you think are most serious in [COUNTRY]?





Where countries rank on concern about different types of inequality






People in Russia, South Korea and Peru are much more likely than average to be concerned about inequality between more and less deprived areas.

This is far less of an issue in Germany, Saudi Arabia and Japan.

#### % who say inequalities between more and less deprived areas are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country



People in Mexico, Turkey and Spain are most concerned about gender inequality.

On the other hand, concern about gender inequality is relatively low in Malaysia, Russia, Saudi Arabia and Hungary – yet these countries rank relatively low down on the gender equality index.

### % who say inequalities between men and women are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country


al Country Average	31%	Global ranking fo gender equality
Mexico	45%	25
Turkey	42%	130
Spain	42%	8
South Africa	41%	17
India	41%	112
Italy	40%	76
Chile	40%	57
France	39%	15
South Korea	38%	108
Belgium	37%	27
Sweden	37%	4
Brazil	35%	92
Germany	34%	10
Peru	34%	66
Netherlands	30%	38
United States	30%	53
Australia	29%	34
Canada	29%	19
Japan	25%	121
China	24%	106
Poland	24%	40
Argentina	24%	30
Great Britain	23%	21
Hungary	22%	105
Saudi Arabia	19%	146
Singapore	19%	54
Russia	15%	81
Malaysia	12%	104



Even though some countries – such as Spain, Sweden, France and Germany – rank highly on objective measures of gender equality, they still have greater levels of concern about this issue than other nations that fare much worse on this type of inequality – such as Russia, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia.

By contrast, Turkey is ranked 130<sup>th</sup> for equality between men and women – one of the worst countries in the world by this measure – yet people there are among the most concerned about this issue.

### % who say inequalities between men and women are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country






Two-thirds of South Africans and over half of Americans consider racial and ethnic inequalities to be among the most serious forms of inequality in their country.

Concerns about racial and ethnic inequality are much lower in South Korea, Argentina and Japan.

### % who say inequalities between racial or ethnic groups are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country





# *Concerns about income inequality are highest in Russia, South Korea and Hungary.*

Whereas people in Saudi Arabia, Poland and Sweden are least concerned.

### % who say income inequality are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country


obal Country Average	60%	Global ranking for income equality
Russia	83%	87
South Korea	80%	32
Hungary	77%	26
Turkey	75%	117
Chile	75%	131
Belgium	69%	10
Argentina	69%	113
Singapore	66%	_
Japan	65%	43
Brazil	64%	157
France	63%	31
Malaysia	63%	110
South Africa	62%	165
Mexico	60%	137
Germany	59%	34
Netherlands	59%	15
United States	57%	112
China	57%	92
Italy	56%	74
Great Britain	56%	61
Spain	56%	60
Canada	54%	47
Peru	54%	122
Australia	52%	59
India	51%	71
Sweden	41%	18
Poland	38%	22
Saudi Arabia	31%	_

There's no overall relationship between actual inequality and how serious a problem it's seen to be relative to other inequalities.

Sweden ranks highly on objective measures of income equality. In line with this, people there have comparatively very low levels of concern about this issue.

Belgium and the Netherlands, on the other hand, rank even higher for income equality, yet those countries are much more likely to be worried about disparities in income, underscoring that how serious people perceive this type of inequality to be seems unrelated to actual performance on this issue.

This dynamic can also be seen with the US and South Africa – two countries which in reality fare very poorly on measures of income equality but nonetheless have relatively average levels of concern about it. Selected countries' ranking for income equality vs % who say income inequality is one of the most serious types of inequality in their country






South Korea, Japan and Singapore come top for concern about generational inequality in their countries.

Levels of concern lower in South Africa, Turkey, Brazil and Germany.

# % who say inequalities between older generations and younger generations are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country









People in Turkey, Chile and Peru are most likely to think that inequalities in educational outcomes for children area among the most serious in their country.

*This is much less of a concern in Poland, Italy and Saudi Arabia.* 

### % who say inequalities in educational outcomes for children are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country







Across all the countries surveyed, people in Chile, Peru and Brazil are most likely to say health disparities are an especially problematic form of inequality in their country.

By comparison, people in South Korea, Japan and Malaysia are less than half as likely to cite such inequalities as a serious problem.

### % who say inequalities in health and life expectancies are one of the three or four most serious types of inequality in their country

Global Country Average 31% Chile 64% Peru 56% Brazil 50% Hungary 49% Mexico 43% Russia 40% Poland 38% India 37% South Africa 35% Argentina 33% Sweden 33% France 31% China 31% Great Britain 30% Belgium 29% Italy 26% Turkey 26% Spain 25% Singapore 24% Netherlands 24% Australia 24% United States 23% Saudi Arabia 20% Canada 19% Germany 19% Malaysia 19% Japan 14% South Korea 10%

### **Technical details**

These are the results of a 28-market survey conducted by Ipsos on its Global Advisor online platform. Ipsos interviewed a total of 23,004 adults aged 18-74 in the United States, Canada, Malaysia, South Africa, and Turkey, 21-74 in Singapore, and 16-74 in 22 other markets between Wednesday, December 23, 2020 and Friday, January 8, 2021.

The sample consists of approximately 1,000 individuals in each of Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, mainland China, France, Germany, Great Britain, Italy, Japan, Spain, and the U.S., and 500 individuals in each of Argentina, Chile, Hungary, India, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, Peru, Poland, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, Sweden, and Turkey.

The samples in Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Great Britain, Hungary, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Poland, South Korea, Spain, Sweden, and the U.S. can be taken as representative of their general adult population under the age of 75.

The samples in Brazil, Chile, mainland China, India, Malaysia, Mexico, Peru, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, and Turkey are more urban, more educated, and/or more affluent than the general population. The survey results for these countries should be viewed as reflecting the views of the more "connected" segment of their population.

The data is weighted so that each country's sample composition best reflects the demographic profile of the adult population according to the most recent census data.

Where results do not sum to 100 or the 'difference' appears to be +/-1 more/less than the actual, this may be due to rounding, multiple responses, or the exclusion of "don't know" or not stated responses.

The precision of Ipsos online polls is calculated using a credibility interval with a poll of 1,000 accurate to +/- 3.5 percentage points and of 500 accurate to +/- 5.0 percentage points. For more information on Ipsos' use of credibility intervals, please visit the Ipsos website.

The publication of these findings abides by local rules and regulations.

Sources for rankings:

Slide 8 &9 : World Economic Forum Global Gender Gap Index 2020 http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\_GGGR\_2020.pdf

Slide 11 &12: Gini index ranking https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SI.POV.GINI?most\_recent\_value\_desc=true



## FOR MORE INFORMATION

Kelly Beaver Managing Director Ipsos MORI Public Affairs kelly.beaver@ipsos.com

Kully Kaur-Ballagan Research Director Ipsos MORI Public Affairs kully.kaur-ballagan@ipsos.com

### **Bobby Duffy**

Director The Policy Institute bobby.duffy@kcl.ac.uk



