

538/Washington Post/Ipsos Republican Post-Debate Survey

Conducted by Ipsos using KnowledgePanel® A survey of likely Republican primary voters and debate watchers (ages 18+) Interview dates: November 8-9, 2023 Number of interviews: 2,682

Margin of error: +/- 2.1 percentage points at the 95% confidence level for all respondents Margin of error: +/- 4.1 percentage points at the 95% confidence level for debate watchers

NOTE: All results show percentages among all respondents, unless otherwise labeled. Reduced bases are unweighted values.

NOTE: * = less than 0.5%, - = no respondents, N/A = not applicable

Annotated Questionnaire:

Q1. Thanks for taking our survey! First, did you watch the Republican primary debate on Wednesday, November 8th?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Yes (net) | 27% |
| Yes, I watched all of it | 10% |
| Yes, I watched some of it | 17% |
| No, I did not watch it | 73% |
| Skipped | - |





Q2. What did you do instead of watching the debate on Wednesday from 8 to 10 p.m. Eastern Time? (Select all that apply)

Base: Did not watch debate

| | Did not watch the debate (N=1,951) |
|---|--|
| Watched something else on TV or watched a movie | 36% |
| Spent time with a family member | 22% |
| Slept | 12% |
| Worked | 10% |
| Ate | 10% |
| Cleaned or did other housework | 8% |
| Cooked or baked | 6% |
| Watched Donald Trump's rally in Florida | 6% |
| Read a book | 5% |
| Took care of an animal or pet | 5% |
| Attended a meeting | 4% |
| Drove/Traveled | 3% |
| Exercised | 3% |
| Worked on a craft | 2% |
| Paid bills | 2% |
| Shopped | 2% |
| Attended class | 1% |
| Worked on a vehicle | * |
| Something else | 16% |
| None of these | 3% |
| Skipped | - |

Q3. Did you watch any of Trump's speech in Florida on Wednesday, November 8? Base: Did not watch the debate, watched some of the debate, or watched Trump's rally in Florida

| | Watched some of the debate/Did not watch the debate or watched Trump's rally in Florida (N=2,295) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Yes (net) | 8% |
| Yes, I watched all of it | 1% |
| Yes, I watched some of it | 7% |
| No, I did not watch it | 92% |
| Skipped | * |

2020 K Street, NW, Suite 410Contact:Chris JacksonWashington DC 20006Senior Vice Pres+1 202 463-7300Email:chris.jackson@ii

Contact: Chris Jackson Senior Vice President, US, Public Affairs Email: <u>chris.jackson@ipsos.com</u> Tel: +1 202 420-2025





Base for Q4-Q10: Watched all or some of the debate

Q4. What moment of the debate was most memorable to you? *Open-ended responses provided separately.*

Q5. No matter how you plan to vote, who do you think performed best in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|-----------------|---|
| Nikki Haley | 34% |
| Ron DeSantis | 23% |
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 14% |
| Chris Christie | 8% |
| Tim Scott | 5% |
| Don't know | 9% |
| None of these | 7% |

Q6. Why do you think [INSERT RESPONSE Q5] performed the best in the Republican debate on Wednesday?

Open-ended responses provided separately.

Q7. Who do you think performed the worst in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|-----------------|---|
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 29% |
| Chris Christie | 24% |
| Tim Scott | 12% |
| Ron DeSantis | 6% |
| Nikki Haley | 5% |
| None of these | 7% |
| Don't know | 16% |
| Skipped | * |





Q8_Sum1. Summary of Excellent/Very good - And thinking more specifically, how would you rate the performance of each of the candidates who participated in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|-----------------|---|
| Nikki Haley | 55% |
| Ron DeSantis | 53% |
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 33% |
| Tim Scott | 28% |
| Chris Christie | 26% |

Q8_Sum2. Summary of Poor/Terrible - And thinking more specifically, how would you rate the performance of each of the candidates who participated in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|-----------------|---|
| Chris Christie | 35% |
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 34% |
| Tim Scott | 21% |
| Ron DeSantis | 15% |
| Nikki Haley | 12% |

Q8_1. Ron DeSantis - And thinking more specifically, how would you rate the performance of each of the candidates who participated in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 53% |
| Excellent | 17% |
| Very good | 36% |
| About average | 28% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 15% |
| Poor | 8% |
| Terrible | 7% |
| Don't know | 3% |
| Skipped | * |





Q8_2. Chris Christie - And thinking more specifically, how would you rate the performance of each of the candidates who participated in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 26% |
| Excellent | 7% |
| Very good | 19% |
| About average | 34% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 35% |
| Poor | 20% |
| Terrible | 14% |
| Don't know | 5% |
| Skipped | * |

Q8_3. Nikki Haley - And thinking more specifically, how would you rate the performance of each of the candidates who participated in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 55% |
| Excellent | 23% |
| Very good | 32% |
| About average | 28% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 12% |
| Poor | 7% |
| Terrible | 5% |
| Don't know | 4% |
| Skipped | * |

Q8_4. Vivek Ramaswamy - And thinking more specifically, how would you rate the performance of each of the candidates who participated in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 33% |
| Excellent | 11% |
| Very good | 22% |
| About average | 28% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 34% |
| Poor | 18% |
| Terrible | 16% |
| Don't know | 5% |
| Skipped | - |

2020 K Street, NW, Suite 410Contact:Chris JacksonWashington DC 20006Senior Vice Pres+1 202 463-7300Email:chris.jackson@ig

Contact: Chris Jackson Senior Vice President, US, Public Affairs Email: <u>chris.jackson@ipsos.com</u> Tel: +1 202 420-2025





Q8_5. Tim Scott - And thinking more specifically, how would you rate the performance of each of the candidates who participated in the Republican primary debate on Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 28% |
| Excellent | 5% |
| Very good | 23% |
| About average | 44% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 21% |
| Poor | 16% |
| Terrible | 5% |
| Don't know | 7% |
| Skipped | 1% |

Q9. How would you rate the performance of the moderators of the Republican primary debate, held Wednesday?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 40% |
| Excellent | 10% |
| Very good | 29% |
| About average | 41% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 15% |
| Poor | 9% |
| Terrible | 6% |
| Don't know | 4% |
| Skipped | * |





Q10_Sum1. Summary of Excellent/Very good - Do you think the Republican primary debate on Wednesday did a good or poor job covering the following topics?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|--|---|
| Dealing with immigration | 35% |
| How to build the American economy | 32% |
| Reducing crime and promoting public safety | 18% |
| Wokeness or political correctness | 17% |
| Donald Trump's indictments | 12% |

Q10_Sum2. Summary of Poor/Terrible - Do you think the Republican primary debate on Wednesday did a good or poor job covering the following topics?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|--|---|
| Donald Trump's indictments | 33% |
| Wokeness or political correctness | 29% |
| Reducing crime and promoting public safety | 24% |
| Dealing with immigration | 18% |
| How to build the American economy | 16% |

Q10_1. How to build the American economy - Do you think the Republican primary debate on Wednesday did a good or poor job covering the following topics?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 32% |
| Excellent | 6% |
| Very good | 26% |
| About average | 45% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 16% |
| Poor | 14% |
| Terrible | 3% |
| Don't know | 6% |
| Skipped | - |





Q10_2. Dealing with immigration - Do you think the Republican primary debate on Wednesday did a good or poor job covering the following topics?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 35% |
| Excellent | 9% |
| Very good | 26% |
| About average | 40% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 18% |
| Poor | 14% |
| Terrible | 4% |
| Don't know | 7% |
| Skipped | * |

Q10_3. Reducing crime and promoting public safety - Do you think the Republican primary debate on Wednesday did a good or poor job covering the following topics?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 18% |
| Excellent | 4% |
| Very good | 14% |
| About average | 46% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 24% |
| Poor | 19% |
| Terrible | 5% |
| Don't know | 13% |
| Skipped | - |

Q10_4. Wokeness or political correctness - Do you think the Republican primary debate on Wednesday did a good or poor job covering the following topics?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 17% |
| Excellent | 4% |
| Very good | 13% |
| About average | 39% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 29% |
| Poor | 22% |
| Terrible | 7% |
| Don't know | 14% |
| Skipped | * |

2020 K Street, NW, Suite 410Contact:Chris JacksonWashington DC 20006Senior Vice Pres+1 202 463-7300Email:chris.jackson@ig

Contact: Chris Jackson Senior Vice President, US, Public Affairs Email: <u>chris.jackson@ipsos.com</u> Tel: +1 202 420-2025





Q10_5. Donald Trump's indictments - Do you think the Republican primary debate on Wednesday did a good or poor job covering the following topics?

| | Watched all or some of the debate (N=731) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Excellent/Very good (net) | 12% |
| Excellent | 2% |
| Very good | 9% |
| About average | 33% |
| Poor/Terrible (net) | 33% |
| Poor | 22% |
| Terrible | 11% |
| Don't know | 22% |
| Skipped | * |





Q11_Sum1. Summary of Favorable - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total |
|------------------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Donald Trump | 62% |
| Ron DeSantis | 55% |
| Nikki Haley | 47% |
| Tim Scott | 38% |
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 32% |
| Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. | 28% |
| Chris Christie | 23% |
| Doug Burgum | 11% |
| Asa Hutchinson | 9% |

Q11_Sum2. Summary of Unfavorable - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| Chris Christie | 54% |
| Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. | 34% |
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 34% |
| Donald Trump | 32% |
| Asa Hutchinson | 28% |
| Ron DeSantis | 28% |
| Nikki Haley | 24% |
| Tim Scott | 22% |
| Doug Burgum | 20% |

Q11_1. Donald Trump - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 62% |
| Very favorable | 40% |
| Somewhat favorable | 22% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 32% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 9% |
| Very unfavorable | 23% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 3% |
| Never heard of | * |
| Skipped | 2% |

2020 K Street, NW, Suite 410Contact:Chris JacksonWashington DC 20006Senior Vice Pres+1 202 463-7300Email:chris.jackson@ig





Q11_2. Ron DeSantis - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 55% |
| Very favorable | 22% |
| Somewhat favorable | 33% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 28% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 13% |
| Very unfavorable | 15% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 11% |
| Never heard of | 4% |
| Skipped | 2% |

Q11_3. Doug Burgum - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 11% |
| Very favorable | 2% |
| Somewhat favorable | 9% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 20% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 11% |
| Very unfavorable | 10% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 27% |
| Never heard of | 40% |
| Skipped | 2% |

Q11_4. Chris Christie - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 23% |
| Very favorable | 4% |
| Somewhat favorable | 19% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 54% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 22% |
| Very unfavorable | 31% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 15% |
| Never heard of | 7% |
| Skipped | 2% |

2020 K Street, NW, Suite 410Contact:Chris JacksonWashington DC 20006Senior Vice Pres+1 202 463-7300Email:chris.jackson@ig





Q11_5. Nikki Haley - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 47% |
| Very favorable | 19% |
| Somewhat favorable | 28% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 24% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 13% |
| Very unfavorable | 11% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 15% |
| Never heard of | 13% |
| Skipped | 2% |

Q11_6. As a Hutchinson - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 9% |
| Very favorable | 1% |
| Somewhat favorable | 7% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 28% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 12% |
| Very unfavorable | 16% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 33% |
| Never heard of | 29% |
| Skipped | 2% |

Q11_7. Vivek Ramaswamy - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 32% |
| Very favorable | 10% |
| Somewhat favorable | 23% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 34% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 16% |
| Very unfavorable | 17% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 14% |
| Never heard of | 18% |
| Skipped | 2% |

2020 K Street, NW, Suite 410Contact:Chris JacksonWashington DC 20006Senior Vice Press+1 202 463-7300Email:chris.jackson@ig





Q11_8. Tim Scott - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total |
|----------------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Favorable (net) | 38% |
| Very favorable | 8% |
| Somewhat favorable | 29% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 22% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 14% |
| Very unfavorable | 8% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 20% |
| Never heard of | 18% |
| Skipped | 2% |

Q11_9. Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. - After the primary debate Wednesday, how favorable or unfavorable is your opinion of each of the following presidential candidates?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Favorable (net) | 28% |
| Very favorable | 4% |
| Somewhat favorable | 24% |
| Unfavorable (net) | 34% |
| Somewhat unfavorable | 19% |
| Very unfavorable | 15% |
| Heard of, no opinion | 30% |
| Never heard of | 6% |
| Skipped | 2% |





Q12_Sum1. Summary of Considering - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Donald Trump | 63% |
| Ron DeSantis | 48% |
| Nikki Haley | 38% |
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 20% |
| Tim Scott | 19% |
| Chris Christie | 15% |
| Doug Burgum | 4% |
| Asa Hutchinson | 4% |

Q12_Sum2. Summary of Not considering - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Asa Hutchinson | 74% |
| Doug Burgum | 72% |
| Chris Christie | 70% |
| Vivek Ramaswamy | 61% |
| Tim Scott | 59% |
| Nikki Haley | 44% |
| Ron DeSantis | 38% |
| Donald Trump | 29% |

Q12_1. Donald Trump - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Considering | 63% |
| Not considering | 29% |
| Don't know | 7% |
| Skipped | 1% |





Q12_2. Ron DeSantis - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Considering | 48% |
| Not considering | 38% |
| Don't know | 13% |
| Skipped | 1% |

Q12_4. Doug Burgum - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Considering | 4% |
| Not considering | 72% |
| Don't know | 23% |
| Skipped | 1% |

Q12_5. Chris Christie - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Considering | 15% |
| Not considering | 70% |
| Don't know | 14% |
| Skipped | 1% |

Q12_6. Nikki Haley - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total (N=2,682) |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Considering | 38% |
| Not considering | 44% |
| Don't know | 18% |
| Skipped | 1% |





Q12_8. As a Hutchinson - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Considering | 4% |
| Not considering | 74% |
| Don't know | 21% |
| Skipped | 1% |

Q12_9. Vivek Ramaswamy - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Considering | 20% |
| Not considering | 61% |
| Don't know | 19% |
| Skipped | 1% |

Q12_10. Tim Scott - And now, which of the following Republican candidates for president are you considering voting for in the Republican primary?

| | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|
| | (N=2,682) |
| Considering | 19% |
| Not considering | 59% |
| Don't know | 20% |
| Skipped | 1% |



About the Study

This 538/Washington Post/Ipsos post-debate poll was conducted November 8-9, 2023, by Ipsos using the probability-based KnowledgePanel[®]. The pre-debate wave of this poll was based on a nationally-representative probability sample of adults age 18 or older fielded October 30 to November 7, 2023, screened to those that indicated they are likely to vote in the upcoming Republican primary or caucus (N=5,339). This post-debate wave, which this topline reports, was administered to the same sample of respondents who took part in the pre-debate wave. Of those, 2,682 responded to the post-wave survey and 731 reported watching all or part of the debate.

The margin of sampling error among all those that responded to the post debate poll is 2.1 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. The margin of sampling error among those who watched all or part of the debate is plus or minus 4.1 percentage points. The margin of sampling error takes into account the design effect, which was 1.28 for all respondents and 1.27 for debate watchers. The margin of sampling error is higher and varies for results based on sub-samples. Sampling error is only one potential source of error. There may be other unmeasured non-sampling error in this or any poll. In our reporting of the findings, percentage points are rounded off to the nearest whole number. As a result, percentages in a given table column may total slightly higher or lower than 100%. In questions that permit multiple responses, columns may total substantially more than 100%, depending on the number of different responses offered by each respondent.

The post-debate survey weight factors are the same as those from the pre-debate. As such, the weighted total for post-debate respondents and debate watchers do not match their respective sample sizes. The weights for the post-debate respondents were not adjusted to account for the nonresponding pre-debate sample.

The survey was conducted using KnowledgePanel, the largest and most well-established online probability-based panel that is representative of the adult U.S. population. Our recruitment process employs a scientifically developed addressed-based sampling methodology using the latest Delivery Sequence File of the USPS – a database with full coverage of all delivery points in the U.S. Households invited to join the panel are randomly selected from all available households in the U.S. Persons in the sampled households are invited to join and participate in the panel. Those selected who do not already have internet access are provided a tablet and internet connection at no cost to the panel member. Those who join the panel and who are selected to participate in a survey are sent a unique password-protected log-in used to complete surveys online. As a result of our recruitment and sampling methodologies, samples from KnowledgePanel cover all households regardless of their phone or internet status and findings can be reported with a margin of sampling error and projected to the general population. KnowledgePanel members receive a per survey incentive, usually the equivalent of \$1 (though for some it is \$2) in points, that can be redeemed for cash or prizes. No prenotification email for this study was sent prior to field. Panelists receive a unique login to the survey and are only able to complete it one time. Non-responders were sent reminders to increase participation.

The study was conducted in both English and Spanish. The full sample, pre screening, was weighted to adjust for gender by age, race/ethnicity, education, Census region, metropolitan status, household income, and party identification. The demographic benchmarks came from 2022 Current Population Survey (CPS) from the U.S. Census Bureau. Party ID benchmarks are from recent ABC News/Washington Post telephone polls. The weighting categories were as follows:

 2020 K Street, NW, Suite 410
 Contact:
 Chris Jackson

 Washington DC 20006
 Senior Vice President, US, Public Affairs

 +1 202 463-7300
 Email:
 chris.jackson@ipsos.com

 Tel:
 +1 202 420-2025





- Gender (Male, Female) by Age (18–29, 30–44, 45–59, and 60+)
- Race/Hispanic Ethnicity (White Non-Hispanic, Black Non-Hispanic, Other or 2+ Races Non-Hispanic, Hispanic)
- Education (High School graduate or less, Some College, Bachelor and beyond)
- Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West)
- Metropolitan status (Metro, non-Metro)
- Household Income (Under \$25,000, \$25,000-\$49,999, \$50,000-\$74,999, \$75,000-\$99,999, \$100,000-\$149,999, \$150,000+)
- Party ID (Democrat, Republican, Independent, Something else)

No respondents were removed from the final data for refusing all of the survey items.





For more information on this news release, please contact:

Chris Jackson Senior Vice President, US Public Affairs +1 202 420-2025 Chris.Jackson@ipsos.com





About Ipsos

Ipsos is one of the largest market research and polling companies globally, operating in 90 markets and employing over 18,000 people.

Our passionately curious research professionals, analysts and scientists have built unique multi-specialist capabilities that provide true understanding and powerful insights into the actions, opinions and motivations of citizens, consumers, patients, customers or employees. Our 75 solutions are based on primary data from our surveys, social media monitoring, and qualitative or observational techniques.

Our tagline "Game Changers" sums up our ambition to help our 5,000 customers move confidently through a rapidly changing world.

Founded in France in 1975, Ipsos has been listed on the Euronext Paris since July 1, 1999. The company is part of the SBF 120 and Mid-60 indices and is eligible for the Deferred Settlement Service (SRD).ISIN code FR0000073298, Reuters ISOS.PA, Bloomberg IPS:FP <u>www.ipsos.com</u>

