GambleAware Stigma Polling

Key findings November 2023

Ellie Morris Rebecca Flynn Steven Ginnis





Contents

Stigm	a 2 Polling: Key Findings	3
Ove	erview	3
Intr	oduction	3
Тес	hnical note	3
Key	/ Findings	4
Exp	perience of gambling problems	5
1.1	Those experiencing problems from gambling experience more than just finance related issues	
Bar	riers to discussing gambling problems	6
1.2	Most experiencing problems with gambling have not spoken to anyone about it	6
1.3	Stigma is a key barrier to opening up about problems with gambling	7
Exp	perience of those opening up around problems	8
1.4	Most who reached out felt that gambling was significantly impacting them	8
1.5	Motivations for opening up were varied, with negative impacts on mental health and finances the most common	8
1.6	There is often a delay before opening up about problems	9
1.7	People feel better after opening up, and many wish they had spoken to someone sooner	
1.8	Family and friends are important sources of support for those who open up1	1
Pub	blic perceptions towards gambling advertising and products1	2
1.9	The gambling product most likely to be perceived as addictive by the general public is instant win games1	2

Stigma 2 Polling: Key Findings

Overview

Introduction

GambleAware commissioned Ipsos to undertake survey research to inform the launch of the second burst of their stigma reduction campaign. The questions explore the extent to which stigma is a barrier to those experiencing gambling harm from opening up, and also uncovers the motivations and experience of those who do open up about their problems to someone. This is an important area as stigma is a significant barrier to accessing support among those experiencing gambling harms¹.

Technical note

Ipsos surveyed 4,207 adults aged 18-75 across Great Britain through the Ipsos iSay online panel. The fieldwork dates were 13th - 18th October 2023. The data was weighted to the known adult population of Great Britain, by age, gender and work status.

The Problem Gambling Severity Index (PGSI)² was used as a measure of gambling problems, with a follow up question asked of those who no longer gamble, or haven't experienced problems in the last 12 months, to identify those who had EVER experienced any level of problems. This method was used to capture a broad understanding of the experiences and attitudes towards opening up about gambling among both i) people who are currently or have recently experienced problems associated with gambling, and ii) those who have experienced problems longer than 12 months ago. The standard PGSI questions were also included for consistency with other GambleAware studies, and to ensure the ability to evaluate opinions across different key PSGI groups.

Audience	% of total sample	Sample size (n, unweighted)
General public	100%	4,207
Those who have gambled in last 12 months	65%	2,694
Those who have EVER experienced problems from gambling (includes those who have not gambled in the last 12 months)	27%	1,083
PGSI 8+ (experiencing 'problem gambling')	10%	410
PGSI 3-7 (experiencing a 'moderate level' of problems from gambling)	6%	252
PGSI 1-2 (experiencing a 'low level' of problems from gambling)	8%	333
PGSI 1+ (experiencing at least a 'low level' of problems from gambling)	24%	995

04/Summary%20of%20background%20research%20and%20data%20insights%20for%20stigma%20reduction%20campaign_0.pdf ² Gambling Commission, 2021. Problem gambling screens. Available from: <u>https://www.gamblingcommission.gov.uk/statistics-and-research/publication/problem-gambling-screens</u>

¹ GambleAware, 2023. Campaigns to reduce the stigma associated with gambling harm. Available from: <u>https://www.begambleaware.org/sites/default/files/2023-</u>

PGSI 0 (experiencing no problems from gambling)	41%	1,699	

Key Findings

Overall, the findings suggest that more action should be taken to reduce the stigma around gambling harms and encourage those who have experienced problems from gambling to open up. Opening up includes speaking to someone in any capacity, both informal conversations with friend/family as well as seeking formal support. Few have spoken to someone about the problems they have experienced; those who have opened up felt better for doing so and often wished they had spoken to someone sooner. There is often a delay in opening up, with concerns around stigma and discrimination key barriers to having a conversation.

The survey also finds that public perceptions on the addictiveness of different types of gambling products are consistent with those who have gambled in the last 12 months. Most adults consider various forms of gambling activity to be at least fairly addictive.

Experience of gambling problems

1.1 Those experiencing problems from gambling experience more than just finance related issues

Three in five (62%) of those who have ever experienced a problem related to gambling have been negatively affected on a day-to-day basis as a result of gambling. The most common ways were being in a lower mood than usual (21%), having difficulties relaxing (18%), and feeling more tense than usual (17%).

Around a third (31%) of those who have ever experienced problems from gambling reported feeling that they have lost time to gambling, a figure which increased to almost three in five (58%) for those experiencing 'problem gambling' (i.e., score 8+ on the PGSI). Experiencing loss of time includes spending less time doing the things they used to enjoy (31%), spending less time with those close to them (29%), and missing out on key occasions (23%).



QLIFE. All adults who have ever had a problem with gambling, Base: Total = 1,083, PGSI 8+ Experiencing 'problem gambling' = 410, PGSI 3-7 Experiencing a moderate level of problems from gambling = 252, PGSI 1-2 Experiencing a low level of problems from gambling = 333. *Options that are included in NFT: Time lost

**Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

Barriers to discussing gambling problems

1.2 Most experiencing problems with gambling have not spoken to anyone about it

Almost two in three (64%) of those who have ever experienced a problem with gambling say they have not spoken to anyone about it, while just under a third (32%) claim to have opened up about their experiences by speaking to someone.

Those with higher levels of problems (denoted by higher PGSI scores) were more likely to have spoken to someone about it. Around one in ten (9%) of those experiencing a lower level of problems (PGSI 1-2) had spoken to someone about it, rising to just over half (53%) among those experiencing 'problem gambling' (PGSI 8+).

As this was the core question for the survey, an exploration of core demographic groups showed that certain communities were more likely than the average to not have spoken to someone. These included women (73%), those aged 45 or over (78%), those with a lower socioeconomic grade of DE^3 (73%), and those who live in rural areas (77%). However, it is challenging to say whether this is due to other factors (e.g., levels of PGSI vary across all groups which has a large impact on whether they had talked about it).



Q1. Have you ever spoken to someone about problems such as these as a result of your gambling ?

Q1. All adults who have ever had a problem with gambling, Base: Total = 1,083, PGSI 8+ Experiencing 'problem gambling' = 410, PGSI 3-7 Experiencing a moderate level of problems from gambling = 252, PGSI 1-2 Experiencing a low level of problems from gambling = 333. *Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

PGSI 3-7 Experiencing a moderate level of problems from gambling

PGSI 1-2 Experiencing a low level of problems from gambling

³ Social grade classification 'DE' refers to those employed in semi-skilled and unskilled manual occupations; unemployed and lowest grade occupations. https://www.ons.gov.uk/census/aboutcensus/censusproducts/approximatedsocialgradedata

1.3 Stigma is a key barrier to opening up about problems with gambling

Among those who have experienced any problem with gambling and did not to speak to someone about it, nearly two in five (39%) said this was due to concerns about stigma and discrimination. This includes those who reported feeling ashamed or guilty (17%), or were worried that others would judge them (13%). Reasons relating to stigma and discrimination were an even higher barrier for those experiencing 'problem gambling' (PGSI 8+, 71%), with the top two reasons feeling guilty and ashamed (31%), and not wanting people to know about their gambling (25%).

Aside from stigma, the most common reason for not speaking to someone among those who had ever had a problem was feeling able to deal with the problem themselves (24%). A third hadn't spoken to anyone as they didn't see their experience as a 'problem' (34%). Previous research suggests this could also potentially be linked to stigma, which can prevent people who experience gambling harms from identifying and acknowledging that they may have a problem as they may distance themselves from more 'extreme' stereotypes around gambling harms to avoid stigma⁴.



Q9. All adults who have never spoken to someone about gambling problems. Base: Total = 690. PSGI 8+ Experiencing 'problem gambling' = 168, PGSI 3-7 Experiencing a moderate level of problems gambling = 179, PGSI 1-2 Experiencing a low level of problems gambling = 291.

*Options that are included in NET: Stigma & Discrimination **Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

https://www.begambleaware.org/sites/default/files/2023-

⁴ GambleAware, 2023. Campaign to reduce the stigma associated with gambling harm. Available from:

^{04/}Summary%20of%20background%20research%20and%20data%20insights%20for%20stigma%20reduction%20campaign_0.pdf

Experience of those opening up around problems

This section includes questions that were only asked of those who said they have opened up to someone about the problems they were experiencing from gambling. Due to smaller base sizes, data is only presented among those who have opened up about their problem(s), alongside those who are experiencing 'problem gambling' (PGSI 8+) and have opened up.

1.4 Most who reached out felt that gambling was significantly impacting them

Three in five (61%) felt that the problems they were experiencing with gambling were significantly impacting them. This was particularly the case for those who were experiencing 'problem gambling' (PGSI 8+, 68%).



1.5 Motivations for opening up were varied, with negative impacts on mental health and finances the most common

The main motivations for reaching out were due to negative impacts to mental health (23%), finances (22%) and wanting support with reducing or stopping gambling behaviour (21%). Those who were experiencing 'problem gambling' (PGSI 8+) were more likely to be motivated by multiple reasons, the most common of which was the negative impact on finances (26%).

Q7. Which of these, if any, made you want to speak to someone about any problems you were experiencing with gambling?



*Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

1.6 There is often a delay before opening up about problems

Of those who spoke to someone about the problems they were experiencing from gambling, 13% first spoke to someone less than a month after experiencing the problem, 19% 1-3 months after, 19% 4-6 months after and 16% after 7-12 months. Just under three in ten (28%) did not speak to someone until over a year after first experiencing the problem, resulting in an average of 20 months overall.



Q2. How long had you been experiencing problems from gambling before you first spoke to someone?

Q2. All adults who have ever spoken to someone about gambling problems, Base: Total = 351, PGSI 8+ Experiencing 'problem gambling' = 224. *Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

1.7 People feel better after opening up, and many wish they had spoken to someone sooner

Three quarters (76%) of those who had spoken to someone about their problems with gambling said they felt better as a result of this.





Q6. All adults who have ever spoken to someone about gambling problems, Base: Total = 351, PGSI 8+ Experiencing 'problem gambling' = 224. *Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

Of all those who had spoken to someone about the problems they had experienced with gambling, over three in five (63%) thought it would have been better if they had done it sooner.





Q3. All adults who have ever spoken to someone about gambling problems, Base: Total = 351, PSGI 8+ Experiencing 'problem gambling' = 22 *Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for Market Research, ISO 20252, and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions which can be found at https://ipsos.uk/terms. © [GambleAware]

1.8 Family and friends are important sources of support for those who open up

A third of those who had spoken to someone about problems related to gambling reached out to a family member first (any family member, 34%). A quarter spoke to their partner first (26%), and one in five (22%) spoke to a friend first.

Those experiencing 'problem gambling' (PGSI 8+) were more likely to open up to family members (38%).



Q5. Who did you first speak to about any problems you were experiencing from gambling?

Q5. All adults who have ever spoken to someone about gambling problems, Base: Total = 351, PGSI 8+ Experiencing 'problem gambling' = 224. *Options that are included in NET: Family

**Don't know and prefer not to say are not included in this chart

Public perceptions towards gambling advertising and products

1.9 The gambling product most likely to be perceived as addictive by the general public is instant win games

Of adults aged 18-75, the gambling product rated most addictive was instant win games (71% rated it "very" or "fairly" additive), with scratch cards rated the second most addictive (64%). All gambling products shown were highly likely to be rated as "very" or "fairly" addictive; the product rated to be the least 'addictive' was betting on e-sports/competitive video games (48%). Perceptions on the addictiveness of different gambling products by those who have gambled in the last 12 months is consistent with the general public.

nstant win games	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	71%			9%
istant win games	Gambled in the last 12 months	68%		25%	8%
cratch cards	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	64%		27%	10%
	Gambled in the last 12 months	61%		32%	7%
asino card games	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	62%		24%	14%
	Gambled in the last 12 months	57%		30%	12%
her casino games that are not	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	61%		25%	15%
irds	Gambled in the last 12 months	55%		31%	13%
etting on sport	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	61%		26%	12%
	Gambled in the last 12 months	56%		32%	11%
etting on horse or dog racing (not	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	59%		28%	13%
rtual	Gambled in the last 12 months	54%		35%	11%
	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	55%		37%	9%
	Gambled in the last 12 months	50%		44%	6%
etting on virtual racing / sports	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	53%		30%	18%
	Gambled in the last 12 months	47%		37%	16%
ngo	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	50%		37%	12%
	Gambled in the last 12 months	47%		43%	10%
tting on e-sports/competitive video	Total (All adults aged 18-75)	48%	3:	2%	20%
ming	Gambled in the last 12 months	41%	40%		19%

Q15. For each of the following types of gambling and betting activities, please indicate how addictive, or not, you perceive it to be for those that take part in the activity

Q15. All adults aged 18-75, Base: Total = 4,207, Gambled in the last 12 months = 2,694.

Very or fairly addictive
Not/not very addictive
Don't know

Our standards and accreditations

Ipsos' standards and accreditations provide our clients with the peace of mind that they can always depend on us to deliver reliable, sustainable findings. Our focus on quality and continuous improvement means we have embedded a "right first time" approach throughout our organisation.



ISO 20252

This is the international market research specific standard that supersedes BS 7911/MRQSA and incorporates IQCS (Interviewer Quality Control Scheme). It covers the five stages of a Market Research project. Ipsos was the first company in the world to gain this accreditation.



Market Research Society (MRS) Company Partnership

By being an MRS Company Partner, Ipsos endorses and supports the core MRS brand values of professionalism, research excellence and business effectiveness, and commits to comply with the MRS Code of Conduct throughout the organisation. We were the first company to sign up to the requirements and self-regulation of the MRS Code. More than 350 companies have followed our lead.



ISO 9001

This is the international general company standard with a focus on continual improvement through quality management systems. In 1994, we became one of the early adopters of the ISO 9001 business standard.



ISO 27001

This is the international standard for information security, designed to ensure the selection of adequate and proportionate security controls. Ipsos was the first research company in the UK to be awarded this in August 2008.



The UK General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the UK Data Protection Act (DPA) 2018

Ipsos is required to comply with the UK GDPR and the UK DPA. It covers the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy.



HMG Cyber Essentials

This is a government-backed scheme and a key deliverable of the UK's National Cyber Security Programme. Ipsos was assessment-validated for Cyber Essentials certification in 2016. Cyber Essentials defines a set of controls which, when properly implemented, provide organisations with basic protection from the most prevalent forms of threat coming from the internet.



Fair Data

Ipsos is signed up as a "Fair Data" company, agreeing to adhere to 10 core principles. The principles support and complement other standards such as ISOs, and the requirements of Data Protection legislation.

For more information

3 Thomas More Square London E1W 1YW

t: +44 (0)20 3059 5000

www.ipsos.com/en-uk http://twitter.com/lpsosUK

About Ipsos Public Affairs

Ipsos Public Affairs works closely with national governments, local public services and the not-for-profit sector. Its c.200 research staff focus on public service and policy issues. Each has expertise in a particular part of the public sector, ensuring we have a detailed understanding of specific sectors and policy challenges. Combined with our methods and communications expertise, this helps ensure that our research makes a difference for decision makers and communities.

