ARF Evaluation W2

ARF Evaluation W3

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W2

8 Jan 2025
Table 15

SCIEACCESS: The Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE) has offered support to help consortia set up and develop their projects. Which, if any, of the following have you engaged with from SCIE?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	90*	22**	36*	32*	74*	3**	3**	10**	73*	12**	73*	24**	12**	33*	16**	39*
Email communications from SCIE	**45**	11	17	17	40	1	2	2	45	-	45	13	-	16	9	19
	50%	50%	47%	53%	54%	33%	67%	20%	62%	-	62%	54%	-	48%	56%	49%
Online roundtable sessions or webinars	**44**	10	20	14	37	1	2	3	44	-	44	18	-	14	7	22
	49%	45%	56%	44%	50%	33%	67%	30%	60%	-	60%	75%	-	42%	44%	56%
Email communications to SCIE e.g. ARF-related queries	**31**	8	14	9	29	-	-	2	31	-	31	14	-	14	4	12
	34%	36%	39%	28%	39%	-	-	20%	42%	-	42%	58%	-	42%	25%	31%
The ARF section on the SCIE website, including FAQs and information about the ARF	**31**	4	15	12	26	-	1	4	31	-	31	9	-	16	5	10
	34%	18%	42%	38%	35%	-	33%	40%	42%	-	42%	38%	-	48% p	31%	26%
Personalised or bespoke support for specific challenges within your consortium	**24**	5	13	6	21	-	-	3	24	-	24	24	-	9	1	13
	27%	23%	36%	19%	28%	-	-	30%	33%	-	33%	100%	-	27%	6%	33%
Communities of practice	**20**	4	10	6	15	-	1	3	20	-	20	6	-	9	4	7
	22%	18%	28%	19%	20%	-	33%	30%	27%	-	27%	25%	-	27%	25%	18%
Articles about the ARF in the SCIEline newsletter	**19**	5	7	7	16	-	-	3	19	-	19	3	-	9	4	6
	21%	23%	19%	22%	22%	-	-	30%	26%	-	26%	13%	-	27%	25%	15%
Support connecting local authorities and partners	**18**	7	8	3	17	1	-	1	18	-	18	8	-	7	5	6
	20%	32%	22%	9%	23%	33%	-	10%	25%	-	25%	33%	-	21%	31%	15%
Information at SCIE conferences about the ARF e.g. the NACSC conference	**10**	4	3	3	8	-	1	1	10	-	10	4	-	5	1	4
	11%	18%	8%	9%	11%	-	33%	10%	14%	-	14%	17%	-	15%	6%	10%
Support in delivering mid-grant reports	**6**	4	1	1	6	-	-	-	6	-	6	1	-	3	3	-
	7%	18%	3%	3%	8%	-	-	-	8%	-	8%	4%	-	9%	19%	-
SCIE social media posts about the ARF	**6**	3	2	1	5	-	-	1	6	-	6	1	-	4	2	-
	7%	14%	6%	3%	7%	-	-	10%	8%	-	8%	4%	-	12% p	13%	-
Other SCIE support related to the ARF	**4**	2	1	1	3	1	-	-	4	-	4	2	-	1	2	1
	4%	9%	3%	3%	4%	33%	-	-	5%	-	5%	8%	-	3%	13%	3%
I have not engaged with any SCIE support but plan to in the future	**5**	1	1	3	4	-	-	1	-	5	-	-	5	3	1	1
	6% ik	5%	3%	9%	5%	-	-	10%	-	42%	-	-	42%	9%	6%	3%
I have not engaged with any SCIE support related to the ARF and do not plan to in the future	**7**	2	3	2	5	1	1	1	-	7	-	-	7	2	2	3
	8% ik	9%	8%	6%	7%	33%	33%	10%	-	58%	-	-	58%	6%	13%	8%
I don't know	**5**	2	-	3	4	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3
	6% ik	9%	-	9%	5%	-	-	10%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	8%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: Nov-Dec 2024
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft3

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W2

8 Jan 2025
Table 53

ARFCHALLENGES: Are you facing any challenges in delivering your projects?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**87***	22**	35*	30*	72*	3**	3**	9**	70*	12**	70*	22**	12**	32*	15**	39*
Yes	**54**	12	22	20	46	2	1	6	47	6	47	17	6	22	8	24
	62%	55%	63%	67%	64%	67%	33%	67%	67%	50%	67%	77%	50%	69%	53%	62%
No	**23**	7	10	6	19	1	1	1	17	5	17	3	5	7	6	10
	26%	32%	29%	20%	26%	33%	33%	11%	24%	42%	24%	14%	42%	22%	40%	26%
I don't know	**4**	1	1	2	3	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	3
	5%	5%	3%	7%	4%	-	-	11%	1%	-	1%	5%	-	-	-	8%
I would prefer not to say	**6**	2	2	2	4	-	1	1	5	1	5	1	1	3	1	2
	7%	9%	6%	7%	6%	-	33%	11%	7%	8%	7%	5%	8%	9%	7%	5%
NS	**3**	-	1	2	2	-	-	1	3	-	3	2	-	1	1	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: Nov-Dec 2024
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft3

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 5

ARFPROJECTUPDATED: What is the main focus of your project? Summary

Base: All respondents

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	56	29	19	9	7
Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms	18	9	4	2	1
	32%	31%	21%	22%	14%
Identification and assessments for carers	27	11	6	3	2
	48%	38%	32%	33%	29%
Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers	16	6	6	3	2
	29%	21%	32%	33%	29%
Hospital discharge	15	2	1	1	1
	27%	7%	5%	11%	14%
Shared Lives	13	4	3	-	1
	23%	14%	16%	-	14%
Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks	7	8	5	3	2
	13%	28%	26%	33%	29%
Other	2	2	2	2	-
	4%	7%	11%	22%	-
I don't know	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 6

ARFPROJECTUPDATED: What is the main focus of your project? Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	**120**	18**	50*	52*	107	4**	2**	9**	104	7**	104	37*	7**	56*	17**	47*	34*	49*	33*	20**	21**	25**	10**	30*	55*	24**
Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms	**34**	4	16	14	32	-	-	2	31	-	31	12	-	17	3	14	34	12	8	5	6	5	3	7	18	6
	28%	22%	32%	27%	30%	-	-	22%	30%	-	30%	32%	-	30%	18%	30%	100%	24%	24%	25%	29%	20%	30%	23%	33%	25%
Identification and assessments for carers	**49**	9	19	21	41	-	2	6	43	1	43	13	1	26	3	20	12	49	7	12	4	10	2	12	24	11
	41%	50%	38%	40%	38%	-	100%	67%	41%	14%	41%	35%	14%	46%	18%	43%	35%	100%	21%	60%	19%	40%	20%	40%	44%	46%
Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers	**33**	4	15	14	32	2	-	1	28	2	28	12	2	14	6	13	8	7	33	3	7	5	3	10	8	12
	28%	22%	30%	27%	30%	50%	-	11%	27%	29%	27%	32%	29%	25%	35%	28%	24%	14%	100%	15%	33%	20%	30%	33%	15%	50%
Hospital discharge	**20**	6	9	5	14	-	-	6	17	1	17	6	1	10	3	7	5	12	3	20	3	2	1	7	10	2
	17%	33%	18%	10%	13%	-	-	67%	16%	14%	16%	16%	14%	18%	18%	15%	15%	24%	9%	100%	14%	8%	10%	23%	18%	8%
Shared Lives	**21**	3	10	8	18	4	-	1	18	3	18	5	3	9	7	5	6	4	7	3	21	2	2	6	9	3
	18%	17%	20%	15%	17%	100%	-	11%	17%	43%	17%	14%	43%	16%	41%	11%	18%	8%	21%	15%	100%	8%	20%	20%	16%	13%
Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks	**25**	2	12	11	22	-	2	1	23	-	23	3	-	13	3	9	5	10	5	2	2	25	1	7	10	7
	21%	11%	24%	21%	21%	-	100%	11%	22%	-	22%	8%	-	23%	18%	19%	15%	20%	15%	10%	10%	100%	10%	23%	18%	29%
Other	**8**	2	3	3	8	-	-	-	7	-	7	3	-	6	-	2	1	1	-	3	-	2	2	1	5	-
	7%	11%	6%	6%	7%	-	-	-	7%	-	7%	8%	-	11%	-	4%	3%	2%	-	15%	-	8%	20%	3%	9%	-
I don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 7

ARFPROJMAT: What stage is this project at currently? Summary

Base: All respondents

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	56	29	19	9	7
Scoping and design: establishing project aims and objectives.	1	3	4	2	-
	2%	10%	21%	22%	-
Implementation and set up: getting projects set up and acquiring necessary resources	17	8	2	2	1
	30%	28%	11%	22%	14%
Project delivery: delivering the service or tools but not yet observing any changes as a result	26	12	9	4	4
	46%	41%	47%	44%	57%
Impacts emerging from project delivery: changes have been measured as a result of the project delivery	11	6	4	1	2
	20%	21%	21%	11%	29%
I don't know	1	-	-	-	-
	2%	-	-	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 8

ARFPROJMAT: What stage is this project at currently? Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	**120**	18**	50*	52*	107	4**	2**	9**	104	7**	104	37*	7**	56*	17**	47*	34*	49*	33*	20**	21**	25**	10**	30*	55*	24**
Scoping and design: establishing project aims and objectives.	**10**	1	3	6	10	-	-	-	7	2	7	4	2	4	-	6	3	2	3	1	2	1	10	-	-	-
	8% v	6%	6%	12%	9%	-	-	-	7%	29%	7%	11%	29%	7%	-	13%	9%	4%	9%	5%	10%	4%	100%	-	-	-
Implementation and set up: getting projects set up and acquiring necessary resources	**30**	5	7	18	24	-	2	4	22	3	22	13	3	17	3	10	7	12	10	7	6	7	-	30	-	-
	25% y	28%	14%	35% c	22%	-	100%	44%	21%	43%	21%	35%	43%	30%	18%	21%	21%	24%	30%	35%	29%	28%	-	100% ay	-	-
Project delivery: delivering the service or tools but not yet observing any changes as a result	**55**	9	28	18	49	3	-	4	51	2	51	16	2	22	9	24	18	24	8	10	9	10	-	-	55	-
	46% sx	50%	56% d	35%	46%	75%	-	44%	49%	29%	49%	43%	29%	39%	53%	51%	53% s	49% s	24%	50%	43%	40%	-	-	100% ax	-
Impacts emerging from project delivery: changes have been measured as a result of the project deliverv	**24**	3	11	10	23	1	-	1	23	-	23	4	-	12	5	7	6	11	12	2	3	7	-	-	-	24
	20% xy	17%	22%	19%	21%	25%	-	11%	22%	-	22%	11%	-	21%	29%	15%	18%	22%	36%	10%	14%	28%	-	-	-	100%
I don't know	**1**	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 9

ARFPROJECTTYPE: Has the Fund been used primarily to set up new initiatives which haven't been done before in your area, or primarily to scale initiatives which were already in place in some form before the ARF?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	56*	11**	24**	21**	46*	3**	1**	8**	49*	3**	49*	18**	3**	29**	9**	18**
Primarily to set up new initiatives	**29**	6	11	12	24	1	1	4	26	1	26	11	1	29	-	-
	52%	55%	46%	57%	52%	33%	100%	50%	53%	33%	53%	61%	33%	100%	-	-
Primarily to scale existing initiatives to new groups or more people within your system	**9**	2	3	4	7	2	-	1	8	1	8	1	1	-	9	-
	16%	18%	13%	19%	15%	67%	-	13%	16%	33%	16%	6%	33%	-	100%	-
An equal mix of both	**18**	3	10	5	15	-	-	3	15	1	15	6	1	-	-	18
	32%	27%	42%	24%	33%	-	-	38%	31%	33%	31%	33%	33%	-	-	100%
I don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 11

SCIEACCESS: The Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE) has offered support to help consortia set up and develop their projects. Which, if any, of the following have you, or others within your consortium, engaged with from SCIE?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	56*	11**	24**	21**	46*	3**	1**	8**	49*	3**	49*	18**	3**	29**	9**	18**
Email communications from SCIE	**37**	5	17	15	32	2	-	5	37	-	37	15	-	20	6	11
	66%	45%	71%	71%	70%	67%	-	63%	76%	-	76%	83%	-	69%	67%	61%
Communities of practice webinars and ongoing engagement	**34**	6	17	11	28	1	-	6	34	-	34	14	-	18	6	10
	61%	55%	71%	52%	61%	33%	-	75%	69%	-	69%	78%	-	62%	67%	56%
Online roundtable sessions or webinars	**28**	6	12	10	23	1	-	5	28	-	28	12	-	14	6	8
	50%	55%	50%	48%	50%	33%	-	63%	57%	-	57%	67%	-	48%	67%	44%
The ARF section on the SCIE website, including FAQs and information about the ARF	**24**	2	12	10	19	1	-	5	24	-	24	9	-	12	4	8
	43%	18%	50%	48%	41%	33%	-	63%	49%	-	49%	50%	-	41%	44%	44%
Email communications to SCIE e.g. ARF-related queries	**22**	3	10	9	19	-	-	3	22	-	22	11	-	10	5	7
	39%	27%	42%	43%	41%	-	-	38%	45%	-	45%	61%	-	34%	56%	39%
Personalised or bespoke support for specific challenges within your consortium	**18**	3	9	6	14	-	-	4	18	-	18	18	-	11	1	6
	32%	27%	38%	29%	30%	-	-	50%	37%	-	37%	100%	-	38%	11%	33%
Articles about the ARF in the SCIEline newsletter	**14**	3	3	8	14	1	-	-	14	-	14	6	-	8	3	3
	25%	27%	13%	38%	30%	33%	-	-	29%	-	29%	33%	-	28%	33%	17%
SCIE social media posts about the ARF	**12**	2	6	4	9	1	-	3	12	-	12	4	-	6	3	3
	21%	18%	25%	19%	20%	33%	-	38%	24%	-	24%	22%	-	21%	33%	17%
Information at events or conferences SCIE has presented at, e.g. NCASC, State of Caring Conference	**10**	3	6	1	6	1	-	4	10	-	10	4	-	6	1	3
	18%	27%	25%	5%	13%	33%	-	50%	20%	-	20%	22%	-	21%	11%	17%
Support in delivering mid or end-grant reports	**3**	1	2	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	2	-	3	-	-
	5%	9%	8%	-	7%	-	-	-	6%	-	6%	11%	-	10%	-	-
Other SCIE support related to the ARF	**-**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
We have not engaged with any SCIE support related to the ARF and do not plan to in the future	**3**	-	-	3	2	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	3	1	1	1
	5%	-	-	14%	4%	33%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	3%	11%	6%
I don't know	**4**	2	1	1	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
	7%	18%	4%	5%	7%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	11%
I would prefer not to say	**-**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 14

SCIEENGAGED: How did you find out about the SCIE support you accessed?

Base: All respondents who have engaged with SCIE support offer

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**49***	9**	23**	17**	41*	2**	-**	8**	49*	-**	49*	18**	-**	26**	8**	15**
Generic email from SCIE (e.g. to all consortia in receipt of ARF funding)	**29**	4	15	10	25	2	-	4	29	-	29	9	-	13	6	10
	59%	44%	65%	59%	61%	100%	-	50%	59%	-	59%	50%	-	50%	75%	67%
Heard about it during a SCIE webinar or event	**15**	1	9	5	12	-	-	3	15	-	15	6	-	5	3	7
	31%	11%	39%	29%	29%	-	-	38%	31%	-	31%	33%	-	19%	38%	47%
Heard about it from DHSC	**12**	1	8	3	10	1	-	2	12	-	12	2	-	6	1	5
	24%	11%	35%	18%	24%	50%	-	25%	24%	-	24%	11%	-	23%	13%	33%
SCIE reached out specifically to me or somebody within my consortia	**11**	1	4	6	10	-	-	1	11	-	11	4	-	6	3	2
	22%	11%	17%	35%	24%	-	-	13%	22%	-	22%	22%	-	23%	38%	13%
Saw it on the SCIE website	**6**	1	3	2	4	-	-	2	6	-	6	1	-	3	2	1
	12%	11%	13%	12%	10%	-	-	25%	12%	-	12%	6%	-	12%	25%	7%
Other	**1**	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	-
	2%	-	-	6%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	6%	-	4%	-	-
I don't know	**3**	1	2	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	2	-	3	-	-
	6%	11%	9%	-	7%	-	-	-	6%	-	6%	11%	-	12%	-	-
I would prefer not to say	**-**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 15

SCIEVAL: How helpful or unhelpful did you find each of the following from SCIE? Summary

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer

	The ARF section on the SCIE website, including FAQs and information about the ARF	Email communications from SCIE	Email communications to SCIE e.g. ARF-related queries	Articles about the ARF in the SCIEline newsletter	SCIE social media posts about the ARF	Information at events or conferences SCIE has presented at, e.g. NCASC, State of Caring Conference	Support in delivering mid or end-grant reports	Online roundtable sessions or webinars	Communities of practice webinars and ongoing engagement	Personalised or bespoke support for specific challenges within your consortium	Other SCIE support related to the ARF
Total	23	36	21	14	9	10	3	27	33	18	-
Very helpful	6	11	7	3	3	2	1	3	7	7	-
	26%	31%	33%	21%	33%	20%	33%	11%	21%	39%	-
Quite helpful	13	16	8	5	3	5	1	15	18	5	-
	57%	44%	38%	36%	33%	50%	33%	56%	55%	28%	-
Neither helpful nor unhelpful	-	4	4	3	2	1	1	4	3	4	-
	-	11%	19%	21%	22%	10%	33%	15%	9%	22%	-
Quite unhelpful	3	3	2	2	1	-	-	4	2	-	-
	13%	8%	10%	14%	11%	-	-	15%	6%	-	-
Very unhelpful	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	2	1	-
	-	3%	-	7%	-	10%	-	-	6%	6%	-
I don't know	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-
	4%	3%	-	-	-	10%	-	-	3%	6%	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-
NS	1	1	1	-	3	-	-	1	1	-	-
NET: Very/Quite helpful	19	27	15	8	6	7	2	18	25	12	-
	83%	75%	71%	57%	67%	70%	67%	67%	76%	67%	-
NET: Quite/Very unhelpful	3	4	2	3	1	1	-	4	4	1	-
	13%	11%	10%	21%	11%	10%	-	15%	12%	6%	-

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 16

SCIEVAL: How helpful or unhelpful did you find each of the following from SCIE? Summary - Type level

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer (number of types)

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**194**	34*	91*	69*	161	8**	-**	33*	194	-**	194	93*	-**	102	35*	57*
Very helpful	**50**	11	35	4	39	2	-	11	50	-	50	26	-	23	15	12
	26%	32%	38%	6%	24%	25%	-	33%	26%	-	26%	28%	-	23%	43%	21%
	d	d	ad												anp	
Quite helpful	**89**	13	44	32	69	2	-	20	89	-	89	50	-	43	14	32
	46%	38%	48%	46%	43%	25%	-	61%	46%	-	46%	54%	-	42%	40%	56%
Neither helpful nor unhelpful	**26**	5	8	13	25	-	-	1	26	-	26	9	-	10	3	13
	13%	15%	9%	19%	16%	-	-	3%	13%	-	13%	10%	-	10%	9%	23%
																n
Quite unhelpful	**17**	4	-	13	17	-	-	-	17	-	17	6	-	15	2	-
	9%	12%	-	19%	11%	-	-	-	9%	-	9%	6%	-	15%	6%	-
	cp	c		ac										p		
Very unhelpful	**6**	-	-	6	6	-	-	-	6	-	6	1	-	5	1	-
	3%	-	-	9%	4%	-	-	-	3%	-	3%	1%	-	5%	3%	-
				c												
I don't know	**5**	-	4	1	5	4	-	-	5	-	5	1	-	5	-	-
	3%	-	4%	1%	3%	50%	-	-	3%	-	3%	1%	-	5%	-	-
I would prefer not to say	**1**	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	1%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
								e								
NS	**8**	-	3	5	6	-	-	2	8	-	8	2	-	6	-	2
NET: Very/Quite helpful	**139**	24	79	36	108	4	-	31	139	-	139	76	-	66	29	44
	72%	71%	87%	52%	67%	50%	-	94%	72%	-	72%	82%	-	65%	83%	77%
	d		abd					ae							n	
NET: Quite/Very unhelpful	**23**	4	-	19	23	-	-	-	23	-	23	7	-	20	3	-
	12%	12%	-	28%	14%	-	-	-	12%	-	12%	8%	-	20%	9%	-
	chp	c		ac	h									p	p	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 17

SCIEAM.A: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Identify challenges to embedding or scaling innovation for your specific project(s)

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**3**	-	2	1	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	2	-	2	-	1
	6%	-	8%	6%	7%	-	-	-	7%	-	7%	11%	-	8%	-	6%
A fair amount	**6**	1	5	-	6	-	-	-	5	-	5	2	-	4	1	1
	12%	10%	21%	-	15%	-	-	-	11%	-	11%	11%	-	15%	14%	6%
Not very much	**16**	3	8	5	13	-	-	3	16	-	16	7	-	10	1	5
	32%	30%	33%	31%	32%	-	-	38%	35%	-	35%	39%	-	38%	14%	29%
Not at all	**16**	4	4	8	13	1	-	3	16	-	16	4	-	7	4	5
	32%	40%	17%	50%	32%	50%	-	38%	35%	-	35%	22%	-	27%	57%	29%
I don't know	**5**	1	2	2	4	-	1	-	2	-	2	1	-	2	-	3
	10%	10%	8%	13%	10%	-	100%	-	4%	-	4%	6%	-	8%	-	18%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**4**	1	3	-	2	1	-	2	4	-	4	2	-	1	1	2
	8%	10%	13%	-	5%	50%	-	25%	9%	-	9%	11%	-	4%	14%	12%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**9**	1	7	1	9	-	-	-	8	-	8	4	-	6	1	2
	18%	10%	29%	6%	22%	-	-	-	17%	-	17%	22%	-	23%	14%	12%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**32**	7	12	13	26	1	-	6	32	-	32	11	-	17	5	10
	64%	70%	50%	81%	63%	50%	-	75%	70%	-	70%	61%	-	65%	71%	59%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 18

SCIEAM.B: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Identify solutions to overcome barriers to embedding or scaling innovation for your specific project(s)

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**2**	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	2	-	1	-	1
	4%	10%	4%	-	5%	-	-	-	4%	-	4%	11%	-	4%	-	6%
A fair amount	**7**	1	5	1	6	-	-	1	6	-	6	2	-	4	2	1
	14%	10%	21%	6%	15%	-	-	13%	13%	-	13%	11%	-	15%	29%	6%
Not very much	**19**	4	9	6	17	-	-	2	19	-	19	7	-	11	1	7
	38%	40%	38%	38%	41%	-	-	25%	41%	-	41%	39%	-	42%	14%	41%
Not at all	**13**	2	5	6	11	1	-	2	13	-	13	3	-	6	3	4
	26%	20%	21%	38%	27%	50%	-	25%	28%	-	28%	17%	-	23%	43%	24%
I don't know	**5**	1	1	3	3	-	1	1	2	-	2	2	-	3	-	2
	10%	10%	4%	19%	7%	-	100%	13%	4%	-	4%	11%	-	12%	-	12%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**4**	1	3	-	2	1	-	2	4	-	4	2	-	1	1	2
	8%	10%	13%	-	5%	50%	-	25%	9%	-	9%	11%	-	4%	14%	12%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**9**	2	6	1	8	-	-	1	8	-	8	4	-	5	2	2
	18%	20%	25%	6%	20%	-	-	13%	17%	-	17%	22%	-	19%	29%	12%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**32**	6	14	12	28	1	-	4	32	-	32	10	-	17	4	11
	64%	60%	58%	75%	68%	50%	-	50%	70%	-	70%	56%	-	65%	57%	65%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 19

SCIEAM.C: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Facilitate collaborative partnerships within your consortium

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**3**	2	1	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	2	1	-	1	1	1
	6%	20%	4%	-	7%	-	-	-	4%	-	4%	6%	-	4%	14%	6%
A fair amount	**11**	1	7	3	10	-	-	1	11	-	11	5	-	5	1	5
	22%	10%	29%	19%	24%	-	-	13%	24%	-	24%	28%	-	19%	14%	29%
Not very much	**9**	-	6	3	8	-	-	1	9	-	9	2	-	5	1	3
	18%	-	25%	19%	20%	-	-	13%	20%	-	20%	11%	-	19%	14%	18%
Not at all	**19**	5	6	8	16	1	-	3	19	-	19	7	-	12	3	4
	38%	50%	25%	50%	39%	50%	-	38%	41%	-	41%	39%	-	46%	43%	24%
I don't know	**4**	1	1	2	3	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	2	-	2
	8%	10%	4%	13%	7%	-	100%	-	2%	-	2%	6%	-	8%	-	12%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**4**	1	3	-	1	1	-	3	4	-	4	2	-	1	1	2
	8%	10%	13%	-	2%	50%	-	38%	9%	-	9%	11%	-	4%	14%	12%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**14**	3	8	3	13	-	-	1	13	-	13	6	-	6	2	6
	28%	30%	33%	19%	32%	-	-	13%	28%	-	28%	33%	-	23%	29%	35%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**28**	5	12	11	24	1	-	4	28	-	28	9	-	17	4	7
	56%	50%	50%	69%	59%	50%	-	50%	61%	-	61%	50%	-	65%	57%	41%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 20

SCIEAM.I: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? 'Facilitate collaborative relationships with local system partners

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**1**	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	2%	10%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-
A fair amount	**9**	3	4	2	8	-	-	1	9	-	9	4	-	3	3	3
	18%	30%	17%	13%	20%	-	-	13%	20%	-	20%	22%	-	12%	43%	18%
Not very much	**12**	1	7	4	9	-	-	3	12	-	12	6	-	8	1	3
	24%	10%	29%	25%	22%	-	-	38%	26%	-	26%	33%	-	31%	14%	18%
Not at all	**20**	4	8	8	18	1	-	2	20	-	20	5	-	11	3	6
	40%	40%	33%	50%	44%	50%	-	25%	43%	-	43%	28%	-	42%	43%	35%
I don't know	**4**	1	1	2	3	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	2	-	2
	8%	10%	4%	13%	7%	-	100%	-	2%	-	2%	6%	-	8%	-	12%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**4**	-	4	-	2	1	-	2	4	-	4	2	-	1	-	3
	8%	-	17%	-	5%	50%	-	25%	9%	-	9%	11%	-	4%	-	18%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**10**	4	4	2	9	-	-	1	9	-	9	4	-	4	3	3
	20%	40%	17%	13%	22%	-	-	13%	20%	-	20%	22%	-	15%	43%	18%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**32**	5	15	12	27	1	-	5	32	-	32	11	-	19	4	9
	64%	50%	63%	75%	66%	50%	-	63%	70%	-	70%	61%	-	73%	57%	53%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 21

SCIEAM.D: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Involve local populations with lived experience in developing your projects (co-production)

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**2**	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	4%	10%	-	6%	5%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	4%	-	6%
A fair amount	**8**	1	3	4	7	-	-	1	8	-	8	5	-	7	1	-
	16%	10%	13%	25%	17%	-	-	13%	17%	-	17%	28%	-	27%	14%	-
Not very much	**6**	2	4	-	4	-	-	2	6	-	6	1	-	4	2	-
	12%	20%	17%	-	10%	-	-	25%	13%	-	13%	6%	-	15%	29%	-
Not at all	**24**	4	12	8	22	1	-	2	24	-	24	8	-	11	3	10
	48%	40%	50%	50%	54%	50%	-	25%	52%	-	52%	44%	-	42%	43%	59%
I don't know	**5**	1	1	3	3	-	1	1	2	-	2	1	-	2	1	2
	10%	10%	4%	19%	7%	-	100%	13%	4%	-	4%	6%	-	8%	14%	12%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**5**	1	4	-	3	1	-	2	5	-	5	3	-	1	-	4
	10%	10%	17%	-	7%	50%	-	25%	11%	-	11%	17%	-	4%	-	24%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**10**	2	3	5	9	-	-	1	9	-	9	5	-	8	1	1
	20%	20%	13%	31%	22%	-	-	13%	20%	-	20%	28%	-	31%	14%	6%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**30**	6	16	8	26	1	-	4	30	-	30	9	-	15	5	10
	60%	60%	67%	50%	63%	50%	-	50%	65%	-	65%	50%	-	58%	71%	59%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 22

SCIEAM.E: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Engage with unpaid carers and address their specific needs

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**2**	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	4%	10%	-	6%	5%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	4%	-	6%
A fair amount	**4**	-	2	2	4	-	-	-	4	-	4	1	-	4	-	-
	8%	-	8%	13%	10%	-	-	-	9%	-	9%	6%	-	15%	-	-
Not very much	**13**	3	8	2	11	-	-	2	13	-	13	4	-	6	3	4
	26%	30%	33%	13%	27%	-	-	25%	28%	-	28%	22%	-	23%	43%	24%
Not at all	**19**	3	9	7	17	1	-	2	19	-	19	7	-	11	2	6
	38%	30%	38%	44%	41%	50%	-	25%	41%	-	41%	39%	-	42%	29%	35%
I don't know	**5**	1	1	3	4	-	1	-	2	-	2	1	-	2	1	2
	10%	10%	4%	19%	10%	-	100%	-	4%	-	4%	6%	-	8%	14%	12%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**7**	2	4	1	3	1	-	4	7	-	7	5	-	2	1	4
	14%	20%	17%	6%	7%	50%	-	50%	15%	-	15%	28%	-	8%	14%	24%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**6**	1	2	3	6	-	-	-	5	-	5	1	-	5	-	1
	12%	10%	8%	19%	15%	-	-	-	11%	-	11%	6%	-	19%	-	6%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**32**	6	17	9	28	1	-	4	32	-	32	11	-	17	5	10
	64%	60%	71%	56%	68%	50%	-	50%	70%	-	70%	61%	-	65%	71%	59%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 23

SCIEAM.F: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Develop project plans to meet the needs in your system

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**1**	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	-
	2%	-	4%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	6%	-	4%	-	-
A fair amount	**6**	1	5	-	3	-	-	3	5	-	5	3	-	4	-	2
	12%	10%	21%	-	7%	-	-	38%	11%	-	11%	17%	-	15%	-	12%
Not very much	**13**	3	5	5	13	-	-	-	13	-	13	4	-	5	2	6
	26%	30%	21%	31%	32%	-	-	-	28%	-	28%	22%	-	19%	29%	35%
Not at all	**20**	3	9	8	18	1	-	2	20	-	20	6	-	13	3	4
	40%	30%	38%	50%	44%	50%	-	25%	43%	-	43%	33%	-	50%	43%	24%
I don't know	**5**	1	1	3	3	-	1	1	2	-	2	1	-	2	1	2
	10%	10%	4%	19%	7%	-	100%	13%	4%	-	4%	6%	-	8%	14%	12%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**5**	2	3	-	3	1	-	2	5	-	5	3	-	1	1	3
	10%	20%	13%	-	7%	50%	-	25%	11%	-	11%	17%	-	4%	14%	18%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**7**	1	6	-	4	-	-	3	6	-	6	4	-	5	-	2
	14%	10%	25%	-	10%	-	-	38%	13%	-	13%	22%	-	19%	-	12%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**33**	6	14	13	31	1	-	2	33	-	33	10	-	18	5	10
	66%	60%	58%	81%	76%	50%	-	25%	72%	-	72%	56%	-	69%	71%	59%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 24

SCIEAM.G: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Engage in peer-to-peer support and share learning with other consortia

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**5**	-	3	2	5	-	-	-	5	-	5	1	-	3	-	2
	10%	-	13%	13%	12%	-	-	-	11%	-	11%	6%	-	12%	-	12%
A fair amount	**17**	3	10	4	14	-	-	3	16	-	16	9	-	6	4	7
	34%	30%	42%	25%	34%	-	-	38%	35%	-	35%	50%	-	23%	57%	41%
Not very much	**9**	2	4	3	7	-	-	2	9	-	9	4	-	6	-	3
	18%	20%	17%	19%	17%	-	-	25%	20%	-	20%	22%	-	23%	-	18%
Not at all	**12**	3	4	5	11	1	-	1	12	-	12	2	-	6	3	3
	24%	30%	17%	31%	27%	50%	-	13%	26%	-	26%	11%	-	23%	43%	18%
I don't know	**4**	2	1	1	3	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	3	-	1
	8%	20%	4%	6%	7%	-	100%	-	2%	-	2%	6%	-	12%	-	6%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**3**	-	2	1	1	1	-	2	3	-	3	1	-	2	-	1
	6%	-	8%	6%	2%	50%	-	25%	7%	-	7%	6%	-	8%	-	6%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**22**	3	13	6	19	-	-	3	21	-	21	10	-	9	4	9
	44%	30%	54%	38%	46%	-	-	38%	46%	-	46%	56%	-	35%	57%	53%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**21**	5	8	8	18	1	-	3	21	-	21	6	-	12	3	6
	42%	50%	33%	50%	44%	50%	-	38%	46%	-	46%	33%	-	46%	43%	35%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 25

SCIEAM.H: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Overcome barriers to successful project implementation

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	24**	16**	41*	2**	1**	8**	46*	-**	46*	18**	-**	26**	7**	17**
A great deal	**3**	1	2	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	2	2	-	3	-	-
	6%	10%	8%	-	7%	-	-	-	4%	-	4%	11%	-	12%	-	-
A fair amount	**7**	-	5	2	5	-	-	2	7	-	7	4	-	3	1	3
	14%	-	21%	13%	12%	-	-	25%	15%	-	15%	22%	-	12%	14%	18%
Not very much	**12**	1	7	4	10	-	-	2	12	-	12	5	-	6	2	4
	24%	10%	29%	25%	24%	-	-	25%	26%	-	26%	28%	-	23%	29%	24%
Not at all	**15**	4	4	7	13	1	-	2	15	-	15	3	-	9	2	4
	30%	40%	17%	44%	32%	50%	-	25%	33%	-	33%	17%	-	35%	29%	24%
I don't know	**8**	2	3	3	7	-	1	-	5	-	5	1	-	4	1	3
	16%	20%	13%	19%	17%	-	100%	-	11%	-	11%	6%	-	15%	14%	18%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**5**	2	3	-	3	1	-	2	5	-	5	3	-	1	1	3
	10%	20%	13%	-	7%	50%	-	25%	11%	-	11%	17%	-	4%	14%	18%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**10**	1	7	2	8	-	-	2	9	-	9	6	-	6	1	3
	20%	10%	29%	13%	20%	-	-	25%	20%	-	20%	33%	-	23%	14%	18%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**27**	5	11	11	23	1	-	4	27	-	27	8	-	15	4	8
	54%	50%	46%	69%	56%	50%	-	50%	59%	-	59%	44%	-	58%	57%	47%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 26

SCIEAIM: To what extent, if at all, has SCIE supported your consortium to do the following? Summary

Base: All who have engaged with SCIE support offer, or did not know if they had been involved

	Identify challenges to embedding or scaling innovation for your specific project (s)	Identify solutions to overcome barriers to embedding or scaling innovation for your specific project (s)	Facilitate collaborative partnerships within your consortium	Facilitate collaborative relationships with local system partners	Involve local populations with lived experience in developing your projects (co-production)	Engage with unpaid carers and address their specific needs	Develop project plans to meet the needs in your system	Engage in peer-to-peer support and share learning with other consortia	Overcome barriers to successful project implementation
Total	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
A great deal	3	2	3	1	2	2	1	5	3
	6%	4%	6%	2%	4%	4%	2%	10%	6%
A fair amount	6	7	11	9	8	4	6	17	7
	12%	14%	22%	18%	16%	8%	12%	34%	14%
Not very much	16	19	9	12	6	13	13	9	12
	32%	38%	18%	24%	12%	26%	26%	18%	24%
Not at all	16	13	19	20	24	19	20	12	15
	32%	26%	38%	40%	48%	38%	40%	24%	30%
I don't know	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	8
	10%	10%	8%	8%	10%	10%	10%	8%	16%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	4	4	4	4	5	7	5	3	5
	8%	8%	8%	8%	10%	14%	10%	6%	10%
NS	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	9	9	14	10	10	6	7	22	10
	18%	18%	28%	20%	20%	12%	14%	44%	20%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	32	32	28	32	30	32	33	21	27
	64%	64%	56%	64%	60%	64%	66%	42%	54%

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 27

ARFAIM.A: To what extent, if at all, has the Fund itself supported your consortium to do the following? Develop ways of measuring impacts from the project and building the evidence base around the impact of specific innovations(s)

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
A great deal	**13** **25%**	3 30%	7 29%	3 16%	11 26%	1 33%	- -	2 25%	11 24%	1 33%	11 24%	3 17%	1 33%	10 37%	1 13%	2 11%
A fair amount	**24** **45%**	4 40%	12 50%	8 42%	19 44%	2 67%	- -	4 50%	21 46%	1 33%	21 46%	8 44%	1 33%	10 37%	3 38%	11 61%
Not very much	**9** **17%**	2 20%	2 8%	5 26%	8 19%	- -	- -	1 13%	9 20%	- -	9 20%	4 22%	- -	5 19%	2 25%	2 11%
Not at all	**1** **2%**	- -	- -	1 5%	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 2%	- -	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 13%	- -
I don't know	**5** **9%**	1 10%	2 8%	2 11%	3 7%	- -	1 100%	1 13%	3 7%	1 33%	3 7%	2 11%	1 33%	2 7%	1 13%	2 11%
I would prefer not to say	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**1** **2%**	- -	1 4%	- -	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 2%	- -	1 2%	1 6%	- -	- -	- -	1 6%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**37** **70%**	7 70%	19 79%	11 58%	30 70%	3 100%	- -	6 75%	32 70%	2 67%	32 70%	11 61%	2 67%	20 74%	4 50%	13 72%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**10** **19%**	2 20%	2 8%	6 32%	9 21%	- -	- -	1 13%	10 22%	- -	10 22%	4 22%	- -	5 19%	3 38%	2 11%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 28

ARFAIM.B: To what extent, if at all, has the Fund itself supported your consortium to do the following? Engage with peer-to-peer support and share learning with other consortia

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
A great deal	**15** **28%**	6 60%	4 17%	5 26%	12 28%	2 67%	- -	2 25%	12 26%	2 67%	12 26%	4 22%	2 67%	11 41%	2 25%	2 11%
A fair amount	**24** **45%**	2 20%	12 50%	10 53%	21 49%	1 33%	- -	3 38%	23 50%	- -	23 50%	9 50%	- -	12 44%	4 50%	8 44%
Not very much	**7** **13%**	1 10%	3 13%	3 16%	7 16%	- -	- -	- -	6 13%	- -	6 13%	1 6%	- -	2 7%	1 13%	4 22%
Not at all	**2** **4%**	- -	2 8%	- -	1 2%	- -	- -	1 13%	2 4%	- -	2 4%	2 11%	- -	1 4%	- -	1 6%
I don't know	**3** **6%**	1 10%	1 4%	1 5%	1 2%	- -	1 100%	1 13%	1 2%	1 33%	1 2%	1 6%	1 33%	1 4%	1 13%	1 6%
I would prefer not to say	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**2** **4%**	- -	2 8%	- -	1 2%	- -	- -	1 13%	2 4%	- -	2 4%	1 6%	- -	- -	- -	2 11%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**39** **74%**	8 80%	16 67%	15 79%	33 77%	3 100%	- -	5 63%	35 76%	2 67%	35 76%	13 72%	2 67%	23 85%	6 75%	10 56%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**9** **17%**	1 10%	5 21%	3 16%	8 19%	- -	- -	1 13%	8 17%	- -	8 17%	3 17%	- -	3 11%	1 13%	5 28%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 29

ARFAIM.C: To what extent, if at all, has the Fund itself supported your consortium to do the following? Make your leadership and working culture more open to embedding or scaling innovation

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
A great deal	**19** **36%**	7 70%	7 29%	5 26%	17 40%	1 33%	- -	2 25%	16 35%	1 33%	16 35%	4 22%	1 33%	12 44%	1 13%	6 33%
A fair amount	**22** **42%**	2 20%	12 50%	8 42%	17 40%	2 67%	- -	4 50%	20 43%	1 33%	20 43%	9 50%	1 33%	12 44%	4 50%	6 33%
Not very much	**5** **9%**	- -	1 4%	4 21%	5 12%	- -	- -	- -	5 11%	- -	5 11%	- -	- -	- -	2 25%	3 17%
Not at all	**2** **4%**	- -	1 4%	1 5%	2 5%	- -	- -	- -	2 4%	- -	2 4%	2 11%	- -	2 7%	- -	- -
I don't know	**3** **6%**	1 10%	1 4%	1 5%	1 2%	- -	1 100%	1 13%	1 2%	1 33%	1 2%	1 6%	1 33%	1 4%	1 13%	1 6%
I would prefer not to say	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	**2** **4%**	- -	2 8%	- -	1 2%	- -	- -	1 13%	2 4%	- -	2 4%	2 11%	- -	- -	- -	2 11%
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	**41** **77%**	9 90%	19 79%	13 68%	34 79%	3 100%	- -	6 75%	36 78%	2 67%	36 78%	13 72%	2 67%	24 89%	5 63%	12 67%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	**7** **13%**	- -	2 8%	5 26%	7 16%	- -	- -	- -	7 15%	- -	7 15%	2 11%	- -	2 7%	2 25%	3 17%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025

Table 30

ARFAIM:To what extent, if at all, has the Fund itself supported your consortium to do the following? Summary

Base: All respondents

	Develop ways of measuring impacts from the project and building the evidence base around the impact of specific innovations (s)	Engage with peer-to-peer support and share learning with other consortia	Make your leadership and working culture more open to embedding or scaling innovation
Total	53	53	53
A great deal	13 25%	15 28%	19 36%
A fair amount	24 45%	24 45%	22 42%
Not very much	9 17%	7 13%	5 9%
Not at all	1 2%	2 4%	2 4%
I don't know	5 9%	3 6%	3 6%
I would prefer not to say	- -	- -	- -
Not applicable, we did not need support with this	1 2%	2 4%	2 4%
NS	3	3	3
NET: A great deal/Fair amount	37 70%	39 74%	41 77%
NET: Not very much/Not at all	10 19%	9 17%	7 13%

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 31

ARFCOLLAB.A: Are your ARF project teams currently collaborating with the following organisations more or less than you were before the ARF was set up? Local systems partners (Local Authorities, NHS organisations)

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
Collaborating much more	**20**	5	10	5	14	1	-	5	18	1	18	10	1	13	2	5
	38%	50%	42%	26%	33%	33%	-	63%	39%	33%	39%	56%	33%	48%	25%	28%
Collaborating a bit more	**17**	1	7	9	15	1	1	1	15	1	15	5	1	8	3	6
	32%	10%	29%	47%	35%	33%	100%	13%	33%	33%	33%	28%	33%	30%	38%	33%
Collaborating about the same amount	**15**	4	7	4	13	1	-	2	12	1	12	3	1	5	3	7
	28%	40%	29%	21%	30%	33%	-	25%	26%	33%	26%	17%	33%	19%	38%	39%
Collaborating a bit less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Collaborating much less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I don't know	**1**	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	2%	-	-	5%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	4%	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: Much more/A bit more	**37**	6	17	14	29	2	1	6	33	2	33	15	2	21	5	11
	70%	60%	71%	74%	67%	67%	100%	75%	72%	67%	72%	83%	67%	78%	63%	61%
NET: Much less/A bit less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 32

ARFCOLLAB.B: Are your ARF project teams currently collaborating with the following organisations more or less than you were before the ARF was set up? Voluntary, Community, and Social Enterprise (VCSE) organisations

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
Collaborating much more	**16**	1	9	6	14	-	-	2	16	-	16	7	-	12	1	3
	30%	10%	38%	32%	33%	-	-	25%	35%	-	35%	39%	-	44%	13%	17%
Collaborating a bit more	**15**	5	2	8	14	1	-	1	12	-	12	4	-	7	3	5
	28%	50%	8%	42%	33%	33%	-	13%	26%	-	26%	22%	-	26%	38%	28%
Collaborating about the same amount	**17**	4	11	2	11	2	1	4	15	1	15	5	1	6	3	8
	32%	40%	46%	11%	26%	67%	100%	50%	33%	33%	33%	28%	33%	22%	38%	44%
Collaborating a bit less	**1**	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
	2%	-	4%	-	-	-	-	13%	2%	-	2%	6%	-	-	-	6%
Collaborating much less	**-**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I don't know	**3**	-	1	2	3	-	-	-	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
	6%	-	4%	11%	7%	-	-	-	4%	33%	4%	6%	33%	4%	13%	6%
I would prefer not to say	**1**	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-
	2%	-	-	5%	2%	-	-	-	-	33%	-	-	33%	4%	-	-
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: Much more/A bit more	**31**	6	11	14	28	1	-	3	28	-	28	11	-	19	4	8
	58%	60%	46%	74%	65%	33%	-	38%	61%	-	61%	61%	-	70%	50%	44%
NET: Much less/A bit less	**1**	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
	2%	-	4%	-	-	-	-	13%	2%	-	2%	6%	-	-	-	6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 33

ARFCOLLAB.C: Are your ARF project teams currently collaborating with the following organisations more or less than you were before the ARF was set up? Care providers

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
Collaborating much more	**9** **17%**	2 20%	5 21%	2 11%	7 16%	1 33%	- -	2 25%	9 20%	- -	9 20%	3 17%	- -	6 22%	1 13%	2 11%
Collaborating a bit more	**14** **26%**	1 10%	7 29%	6 32%	13 30%	- -	- -	1 13%	14 30%	- -	14 30%	7 39%	- -	8 30%	1 13%	5 28%
Collaborating about the same amount	**24** **45%**	7 70%	10 42%	7 37%	19 44%	2 67%	- -	4 50%	20 43%	1 33%	20 43%	6 33%	1 33%	9 33%	6 75%	9 50%
Collaborating a bit less	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Collaborating much less	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
I don't know	**5** **9%**	- -	2 8%	3 16%	3 7%	- -	1 100%	1 13%	3 7%	1 33%	3 7%	2 11%	1 33%	3 11%	- -	2 11%
I would prefer not to say	**1** **2%**	- -	- -	1 5%	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 33%	- -	- -	1 33%	1 4%	- -	- -
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: Much more/A bit more	**23** **43%**	3 30%	12 50%	8 42%	20 47%	1 33%	- -	3 38%	23 50%	- -	23 50%	10 56%	- -	14 52%	2 25%	7 39%
NET: Much less/A bit less	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 34

ARFCOLLAB.D: Are your ARF project teams currently collaborating with the following organisations more or less than you were before the ARF was set up? Other consortia in receipt of ARF funding

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
Collaborating much more	**9** **17%**	3 30%	2 8%	4 21%	8 19%	1 33%	- -	1 13%	8 17%	- -	8 17%	5 28%	- -	7 26%	1 13%	1 6%
Collaborating a bit more	**21** **40%**	4 40%	13 54%	4 21%	15 35%	- -	- -	6 75%	20 43%	- -	20 43%	8 44%	- -	9 33%	2 25%	10 56%
Collaborating about the same amount	**14** **26%**	3 30%	7 29%	4 21%	13 30%	1 33%	- -	1 13%	13 28%	- -	13 28%	4 22%	- -	7 26%	2 25%	5 28%
Collaborating a bit less	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Collaborating much less	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
I don't know	**8** **15%**	- -	2 8%	6 32%	6 14%	1 33%	1 100%	- -	5 11%	2 67%	5 11%	1 6%	2 67%	3 11%	3 38%	2 11%
I would prefer not to say	**1** **2%**	- -	- -	1 5%	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 33%	- -	- -	1 33%	1 4%	- -	- -
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: Much more/A bit more	**30** **57%**	7 70%	15 63%	8 42%	23 53%	1 33%	- -	7 88%	28 61%	- -	28 61%	13 72%	- -	16 59%	3 38%	11 61%
NET: Much less/A bit less	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025

Table 35

ARFCOLLAB: Are your ARF project teams currently collaborating with the following organisations more or less than you were before the ARF was set up? Summary

Base: All respondents

	Local systems partners (Local Authorities, NHS organisations)	Voluntary, Community, and Social Enterprise (VCSE) organisations	Care providers	Other consortia in receipt of ARF funding
Total	53	53	53	53
Collaborating much more	20	16	9	9
	38%	30%	17%	17%
Collaborating a bit more	17	15	14	21
	32%	28%	26%	40%
Collaborating about the same amount	15	17	24	14
	28%	32%	45%	26%
Collaborating a bit less	-	1	-	-
	-	2%	-	-
Collaborating much less	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-
I don't know	1	3	5	8
	2%	6%	9%	15%
I would prefer not to say	-	1	1	1
	-	2%	2%	2%
NS	3	3	3	3
NET: Much more/A bit more	37	31	23	30
	70%	58%	43%	57%
NET: Much less/A bit less	-	1	-	-
	-	2%	-	-

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 36

ARFCOPROD: Are your ARF project teams currently co-producing solutions with people with lived experience more or less than you were before the ARF was set up?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
Co-producing much more	**18**	2	7	9	14	-	-	4	17	1	17	7	1	12	1	5
	34%	20%	29%	47%	33%	-	-	50%	37%	33%	37%	39%	33%	44%	13%	28%
Co-producing a bit more	**21**	5	11	5	19	2	1	-	17	1	17	6	1	9	4	8
	40%	50%	46%	26%	44%	67%	100%	-	37%	33%	37%	33%	33%	33%	50%	44%
Co-producing about the same amount	**12**	2	6	4	9	1	-	3	11	-	11	5	-	5	3	4
	23%	20%	25%	21%	21%	33%	-	38%	24%	-	24%	28%	-	19%	38%	22%
Co-producing a bit less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Co-producing much less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I don't know	**2**	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	1
	4%	10%	-	5%	2%	-	-	13%	2%	33%	2%	-	33%	4%	-	6%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: Much more/A bit more	**39**	7	18	14	33	2	1	4	34	2	34	13	2	21	5	13
	74%	70%	75%	74%	77%	67%	100%	50%	74%	67%	74%	72%	67%	78%	63%	72%
NET: Much less/A bit less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 37

ARFPEERLEARN: Are your ARF project teams currently engaging in peer learning about innovations in social care across systems more or less than you were before the ARF was set up?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**53***	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
Engaging in peer learning much more	**10**	5	2	3	8	1	-	2	9	1	9	4	1	6	1	3
	19%	50%	8%	16%	19%	33%	-	25%	20%	33%	20%	22%	33%	22%	13%	17%
Engaging in peer learning a bit more	**27**	5	13	9	22	2	-	4	23	1	23	10	1	13	5	9
	51%	50%	54%	47%	51%	67%	-	50%	50%	33%	50%	56%	33%	48%	63%	50%
Engaging in peer learning about the same amount	**11**	-	6	5	9	-	-	2	11	-	11	3	-	5	2	4
	21%	-	25%	26%	21%	-	-	25%	24%	-	24%	17%	-	19%	25%	22%
Engaging in peer learning a bit less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Engaging in peer learning much less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I don't know	**5**	-	3	2	4	-	1	-	3	1	3	1	1	3	-	2
	9%	-	13%	11%	9%	-	100%	-	7%	33%	7%	6%	33%	11%	-	11%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
NET: Much more/A bit more	**37**	10	15	12	30	3	-	6	32	2	32	14	2	19	6	12
	70%	100%	63%	63%	70%	100%	-	75%	70%	67%	70%	78%	67%	70%	75%	67%
NET: Much less/A bit less	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 38

ARFMEASURESETUP: Do you have a way of measuring any changes as a result of your ARF project(s)? Summary

Base: All respondents

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	53	28	19	9	7
Yes	34	15	10	4	6
	64%	54%	53%	44%	86%
Not yet, but plan on setting up a way to measure changes in the next few months	15	13	7	3	1
	28%	46%	37%	33%	14%
No, and not planning to set up a way to measure changes in the next few months	3	-	2	1	-
	6%	-	11%	11%	-
I don't know	1	-	-	1	-
	2%	-	-	11%	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
NS	3	1	-	-	-

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 39

ARFMEASURESETUP: Do you have a way of measuring any changes as a result of your ARF project(s)? Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	**116**	17**	50*	49*	103	4**	2**	9**	100	7**	100	37*	7**	53*	16**	47*	34*	46*	33*	18**	21**	24**	10**	29**	53*	23**
Yes	**69**	10	34	25	59	4	1	7	63	3	63	20	3	34	11	24	22	30	20	13	12	15	1	11	34	22
	59%	59%	68%	51%	57%	100%	50%	78%	63%	43%	63%	54%	43%	64%	69%	51%	65%	65%	61%	72%	57%	63%	10%	38%	64%	96%
Not yet, but plan on setting up a way to measure changes in the next few months	**39**	7	13	19	36	-	1	2	33	2	33	16	2	19	4	16	12	15	9	3	9	7	7	15	16	1
	34%	41%	26%	39%	35%	-	50%	22%	33%	29%	33%	43%	29%	36%	25%	34%	35%	33%	27%	17%	43%	29%	70%	52%	30%	4%
No, and not planning to set up a way to measure changes in the next few months	**6**	-	3	3	6	-	-	-	4	-	4	1	-	-	1	5	-	1	3	1	-	2	1	2	3	-
	5%	-	6%	6%	6%	-	-	-	4%	-	4%	3%	-	-	6%	11% n	-	2%	9%	6%	-	8%	10%	7%	6%	-
I don't know	**2**	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-
	2%	-	-	4%	2%	-	-	-	-	29%	-	-	29%	-	-	4%	-	-	3%	6%	-	-	10%	3%	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**4**	1	-	3	4	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	3	1	-	-	3	-	2	-	1	-	1	2	1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 40

ARFMEASURESYES: How are you measuring any changes as a result of your project(s)? Summary

Base: All respondents who have a way of measuring results

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	34	15	10	4	6
Data collection built into a digital tool (e.g. numbers of times a tool has been used or downloaded)	19	5	2	2	1
	56%	33%	20%	50%	17%
Monitoring data (e.g. number of people signed up to a scheme or registered as a carer)	30	11	9	4	6
	88%	73%	90%	100%	100%
Interviews or case studies	21	10	6	2	3
	62%	67%	60%	50%	50%
Surveys or feedback forms	21	8	6	-	2
	62%	53%	60%	-	33%
Data collected by partners	17	5	5	1	3
	50%	33%	50%	25%	50%
Other	1	-	-	-	-
	3%	-	-	-	-
I don't know	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 41

ARFMEASURESYES: How are you measuring any changes as a result of your project(s)? Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects where there is a way of measuring results

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	69*	10**	34*	25**	59*	4**	1**	7**	63*	3**	63*	20**	3**	34*	11**	24**	22**	30*	20**	13**	12**	15**	1**	11**	34*	22**
Data collection built into a digital tool (e.g. numbers of times a tool has been used or downloaded)	**29**	4	13	12	24	1	-	5	28	-	28	10	-	19	2	8	15	13	8	5	2	4	1	6	12	10
	42%	40%	38%	48%	41%	25%	-	71%	44%	-	44%	50%	-	56%	18%	33%	68%	43%	40%	38%	17%	27%	100%	55%	35%	45%
Monitoring data (e.g. number of people signed up to a scheme or registered as a carer)	**60**	10	27	23	52	4	1	5	54	3	54	18	3	28	11	21	19	26	20	11	10	12	1	10	31	18
	87%	100%	79%	92%	88%	100%	100%	71%	86%	100%	86%	90%	100%	82%	100%	88%	86%	87%	100%	85%	83%	80%	100%	91%	91%	82%
Interviews or case studies	**42**	5	17	20	37	-	-	5	41	1	41	14	1	23	3	16	15	19	12	7	5	11	1	8	20	13
	61%	50%	50%	80%	63%	-	-	71%	65%	33%	65%	70%	33%	68%	27%	67%	68%	63%	60%	54%	42%	73%	100%	73%	59%	59%
Surveys or feedback forms	**37**	6	15	16	31	-	1	5	35	1	35	16	1	21	6	10	15	17	10	9	3	7	1	10	12	14
	54%	60%	44%	64%	53%	-	100%	71%	56%	33%	56%	80%	33%	62%	55%	42%	68%	57%	50%	69%	25%	47%	100%	91%	35%	64%
Data collected by partners	**31**	7	14	10	29	2	-	2	30	1	30	13	1	16	5	10	9	12	14	4	5	8	-	5	12	13
	45%	70%	41%	40%	49%	50%	-	29%	48%	33%	48%	65%	33%	47%	45%	42%	41%	40%	70%	31%	42%	53%	-	45%	35%	59%
Other	**1**	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
	1%	-	3%	-	-	-	-	14%	2%	-	2%	5%	-	-	-	4%	5%	3%	-	8%	-	-	-	-	3%	-
I don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 42

ARFRESULTS: To date, have you observed any changes as a result of your ARF project(s)? Summary

Base: All respondents

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	53	28	19	9	7
Yes, we have observed changes using the tools we have for measuring them	13	4	3	1	1
	25%	14%	16%	11%	14%
Yes, we have observed changes informally	11	5	7	2	3
	21%	18%	37%	22%	43%
Not yet, but expect to observe changes in the next few months	23	17	6	4	3
	43%	61%	32%	44%	43%
No, and not expecting to observe changes in the next few months	4	2	2	1	-
	8%	7%	11%	11%	-
I don't know	2	-	1	1	-
	4%	-	5%	11%	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
NS	3	1	-	-	-
NET: Yes	24	9	10	3	4
	45%	32%	53%	33%	57%
NET: No	27	19	8	5	3
	51%	68%	42%	56%	43%

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 43

ARFRESULTS: To date, have you observed any changes as a result of your ARF project(s)? Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	**116**	17**	50*	49*	103	4**	2**	9**	100	7**	100	37*	7**	53*	16**	47*	34*	46*	33*	18**	21**	24**	10**	29**	53*	23**
Yes, we have observed changes using the tools we have for measuring them	**22**	4	11	7	20	-	-	2	21	-	21	5	-	13	5	4	8	11	11	4	2	7	-	1	4	17
	19%	24%	22%	14%	19%	-	-	22%	21%	-	21%	14%	-	25% p	31%	9%	24%	24%	33%	22%	10%	29%	-	3%	8%	74%
Yes, we have observed changes informally	**28**	3	17	8	26	1	-	2	27	-	27	5	-	9	5	14	6	12	5	4	5	7	-	2	22	4
	24%	18%	34% d	16%	25%	25%	-	22%	27%	-	27%	14%	-	17%	31%	30%	18%	26%	15%	22%	24%	29%	-	7%	42% a	17%
Not yet, but expect to observe changes in the next few months	**53**	9	18	26	45	3	2	4	44	4	44	23	4	27	5	21	17	21	11	8	10	10	5	21	25	2
	46%	53%	36%	53%	44%	75%	100%	44%	44%	57%	44%	62%	57%	51%	31%	45%	50%	46%	33%	44%	48%	42%	50%	72%	47%	9%
No, and not expecting to observe changes in the next few months	**9**	1	2	6	8	-	-	1	6	1	6	4	1	3	1	5	2	2	4	2	3	-	4	4	1	-
	8%	6%	4%	12%	8%	-	-	11%	6%	14%	6%	11%	14%	6%	6%	11%	6%	4%	12%	11%	14%	-	40%	14%	2%	-
I don't know	**4**	-	2	2	4	-	-	-	2	2	2	-	2	1	-	3	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	1	1	-
	3%	-	4%	4%	4%	-	-	-	2%	29%	2%	-	29%	2%	-	6%	3%	-	6%	-	5%	-	10%	3%	2%	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**4**	1	-	3	4	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	3	1	-	-	3	-	2	-	1	-	1	2	1
NET: Yes	**50**	7	28	15	46	1	-	4	48	-	48	10	-	22	10	18	14	23	16	8	7	14	-	3	26	21
	43%	41%	56% d	31%	45%	25%	-	44%	48%	-	48% l	27%	-	42%	63%	38%	41%	50%	48%	44%	33%	58%	-	10%	49%	91%
NET: No	**62**	10	20	32	53	3	2	5	50	5	50	27	5	30	6	26	19	23	15	10	13	10	9	25	26	2
	53%	59%	40%	65% c	51%	75%	100%	56%	50%	71%	50%	73% ak	71%	57%	38%	55%	56%	50%	45%	56%	62%	42%	90%	86%	49%	9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 50

ARFCHALLENGES: Are you facing any challenges in delivering your projects?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	53*	10**	24**	19**	43*	3**	1**	8**	46*	3**	46*	18**	3**	27**	8**	18**
Yes	**37**	7	17	13	30	2	-	6	34	2	34	11	2	15	8	14
	70%	70%	71%	68%	70%	67%	-	75%	74%	67%	74%	61%	67%	56%	100%	78%
No	**13**	3	6	4	12	1	-	1	11	-	11	6	-	10	-	3
	25%	30%	25%	21%	28%	33%	-	13%	24%	-	24%	33%	-	37%	-	17%
I don't know	**3**	-	1	2	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	-	1
	6%	-	4%	11%	2%	-	100%	13%	2%	33%	2%	6%	33%	7%	-	6%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**3**	1	-	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	2	1	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025

Table 53

FUTUREPLAN_A: How likely is the following? The project will stop or be reduced back to its pre-ARF activities once the ARF funding has been spent Summary

Base: All respondents

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	50	25	17	9	7
Very likely	8	2	3	1	2
	16%	8%	18%	11%	29%
Quite likely	10	3	1	2	1
	20%	12%	6%	22%	14%
Neither likely nor unlikely	5	3	2	1	-
	10%	12%	12%	11%	-
Quite unlikely	14	8	3	3	2
	28%	32%	18%	33%	29%
Very unlikely	11	7	5	-	1
	22%	28%	29%	-	14%
I don't know	2	2	3	2	1
	4%	8%	18%	22%	14%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
NS	6	4	2	-	-
NET: Very/Quite likely	18	5	4	3	3
	36%	20%	24%	33%	43%
NET: Quite/Very unlikely	25	15	8	3	3
	50%	60%	47%	33%	43%

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 54

FUTUREPLAN_A: How likely is the following? The project will stop or be reduced back to its pre-ARF activities once the ARF funding has been spent Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	**108**	17**	48*	43*	97*	4**	-**	9**	94*	7**	94*	34*	7**	45*	16**	47*	31*	41*	33*	18**	19**	20**	7**	25**	52*	23**
Very likely	**16**	3	6	7	13	2	-	1	12	3	12	2	3	5	7	4	2	6	6	5	3	2	-	2	9	5
	15%	18%	13%	16%	13%	50%	-	11%	13%	43%	13%	6%	43%	11%	44%	9%	6%	15%	18%	28%	16%	10%	-	8%	17%	22%
Quite likely	**17**	5	6	6	14	-	-	3	14	-	14	2	-	5	3	9	4	7	6	4	4	2	1	5	6	5
	16%	29%	13%	14%	14%	-	-	33%	15%	-	15%	6%	-	11%	19%	19%	13%	17%	18%	22%	21%	10%	14%	20%	12%	22%
Neither likely nor unlikely	**11**	1	7	3	10	1	-	1	9	1	9	3	1	5	1	5	5	6	5	2	2	-	2	2	7	-
	10%	6%	15%	7%	10%	25%	-	11%	10%	14%	10%	9%	14%	11%	6%	11%	16%	15%	15%	11%	11%	-	29%	8%	13%	-
Quite unlikely	**30**	3	14	13	29	1	-	1	29	-	29	12	-	15	1	14	11	14	7	4	5	8	1	6	18	5
	28%	18%	29%	30%	30%	25%	-	11%	31%	-	31%	35%	-	33%	6%	30%	35%	34%	21%	22%	26%	40%	14%	24%	35%	22%
Very unlikely	**24**	2	13	9	22	-	-	2	22	1	22	11	1	12	3	9	8	4	8	2	3	6	1	6	10	7
	22%	12%	27%	21%	23%	-	-	22%	23%	14%	23%	32%	14%	27%	19%	19%	26%	10%	24%	11%	16%	30%	14%	24%	19%	30%
I don't know	**10**	3	2	5	9	-	-	1	8	2	8	4	2	3	1	6	1	4	1	1	2	2	2	4	2	1
	9%	18%	4%	12%	9%	-	-	11%	9%	29%	9%	12%	29%	7%	6%	13%	3%	10%	3%	6%	11%	10%	29%	16%	4%	4%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**12**	1	2	9	10	-	2	-	10	-	10	3	-	11	1	-	3	8	-	2	2	5	3	5	3	1
	ps			c										ap				s								
NET: Very/Quite likely	**33**	8	12	13	27	2	-	4	26	3	26	4	3	10	10	13	6	13	12	9	7	4	1	7	15	10
	31%	47%	25%	30%	28%	50%	-	44%	28%	43%	28%	12%	43%	22%	63%	28%	19%	32%	36%	50%	37%	20%	14%	28%	29%	43%
	i																									
NET: Quite/Very unlikely	**54**	5	27	22	51	1	-	3	51	1	51	23	1	27	4	23	19	18	15	6	8	14	2	12	28	12
	50%	29%	56%	51%	53%	25%	-	33%	54%	14%	54%	68%	14%	60%	25%	49%	61%	44%	45%	33%	42%	70%	29%	48%	54%	52%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025

Table 55

FUTUREPLAN_B: How likely is the following? The project will continue to be delivered to the same people and in the same area as it has been during the ARF-funding period Summary

Base: All respondents

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	50	25	17	9	7
Very likely	16	4	3	-	-
	32%	16%	18%	-	-
Quite likely	12	8	5	2	4
	24%	32%	29%	22%	57%
Neither likely nor unlikely	4	4	3	2	-
	8%	16%	18%	22%	-
Quite unlikely	7	5	2	2	1
	14%	20%	12%	22%	14%
Very unlikely	7	1	1	1	2
	14%	4%	6%	11%	29%
I don't know	4	3	3	2	-
	8%	12%	18%	22%	-
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
NS	6	4	2	-	-
NET: Very/Quite likely	28	12	8	2	4
	56%	48%	47%	22%	57%
NET: Quite/Very unlikely	14	6	3	3	3
	28%	24%	18%	33%	43%

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 56

FUTUREPLAN_B: How likely is the following? The project will continue to be delivered to the same people and in the same area as it has been during the ARF-funding period Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	**108**	17**	48*	43*	97*	4**	-**	9**	94*	7**	94*	34*	7**	45*	16**	47*	31*	41*	33*	18**	19**	20**	7**	25**	52*	23**
Very likely	**23**	-	14	9	21	-	-	2	20	2	20	9	2	9	3	11	6	5	7	1	4	5	2	6	9	6
	21%	-	29%	21%	22%	-	-	22%	21%	29%	21%	26%	29%	20%	19%	23%	19%	12%	21%	6%	21%	25%	29%	24%	17%	26%
Quite likely	**31**	5	12	14	31	2	-	-	28	-	28	8	-	15	3	13	11	17	11	5	8	7	-	7	17	7
	29%	29%	25%	33%	32%	50%	-	-	30%	-	30%	24%	-	33%	19%	28%	35%	41%	33%	28%	42%	35%	-	28%	33%	30%
Neither likely nor unlikely	**13**	1	6	6	10	1	-	2	8	3	8	4	3	4	2	7	3	4	4	3	2	2	3	1	7	2
	12%	6%	13%	14%	10%	25%	-	22%	9%	43%	9%	12%	43%	9%	13%	15%	10%	10%	12%	17%	11%	10%	43%	4%	13%	9%
Quite unlikely	**17**	5	7	5	14	1	-	2	16	1	16	6	1	6	3	8	6	8	2	3	1	1	1	1	14	1
	16%	29%	15%	12%	14%	25%	-	22%	17%	14%	17%	18%	14%	13%	19%	17%	19%	20%	6%	17%	5%	5%	14%	4%	27%	4%
Very unlikely	**12**	2	5	5	11	-	-	1	11	-	11	3	-	8	3	1	3	3	7	4	1	2	-	3	3	6
	11%	12%	10%	12%	11%	-	-	11%	12%	-	12%	9%	-	18% p	19%	2%	10%	7%	21%	22%	5%	10%	-	12%	6%	26%
I don't know	**12**	4	4	4	10	-	-	2	11	1	11	4	1	3	2	7	2	4	2	2	3	3	1	7	2	1
	11%	24%	8%	9%	10%	-	-	22%	12%	14%	12%	12%	14%	7%	13%	15%	6%	10%	6%	11%	16%	15%	14%	28%	4%	4%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**12** ps	1	2	9 c	10	-	2	-	10	-	10	3	-	11 ap	1	-	3	8 s	-	2	2	5	3	5	3	1
NET: Very/Quite likely	**54**	5	26	23	52	2	-	2	48	2	48	17	2	24	6	24	17	22	18	6	12	12	2	13	26	13
	50%	29%	54%	53%	54%	50%	-	22%	51%	29%	51%	50%	29%	53%	38%	51%	55%	54%	55%	33%	63%	60%	29%	52%	50%	57%
NET: Quite/Very unlikely	**29**	7	12	10	25	1	-	3	27	1	27	9	1	14	6	9	9	11	9	7	2	3	1	4	17	7
	27%	41%	25%	23%	26%	25%	-	33%	29%	14%	29%	26%	14%	31%	38%	19%	29%	27%	27%	39%	11%	15%	14%	16%	33%	30%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025

Table 57

FUTUREPLAN_C: How likely is the following? The project will be expanded, being delivered to more people or a larger than it has been during the ARF-funding period Summary

Base: All respondents

	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
Total	50	25	17	9	7
Very likely	5	2	2	-	1
	10%	8%	12%	-	14%
Quite likely	14	8	5	1	-
	28%	32%	29%	11%	-
Neither likely nor unlikely	8	4	3	1	1
	16%	16%	18%	11%	14%
Quite unlikely	11	4	-	3	2
	22%	16%	-	33%	29%
Very unlikely	9	3	5	1	2
	18%	12%	29%	11%	29%
I don't know	3	4	2	3	1
	6%	16%	12%	33%	14%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
NS	6	4	2	-	-
NET: Very/Quite likely	19	10	7	1	1
	38%	40%	41%	11%	14%
NET: Quite/Very unlikely	20	7	5	4	4
	40%	28%	29%	44%	57%

Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 58

FUTUREPLAN_C: How likely is the following? The project will be expanded, being delivered to more people or a larger than it has been during the ARF-funding period Summary - PROJECT LEVEL

Base: All projects

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type			Project focus						Project stage			
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)	Setting up or implementing technology or digital platforms (q)	Identification and assessments for carers (r)	Providing carer breaks, respite or other forms of support for carers (s)	Hospital discharge (t)	Shared Lives (u)	Community-based care models, such as social prescribing or local care networks (v)	Scoping a design (w)	Implementation and set up (x)	Project delivery (y)	Impacts emerging from project delivery (z)
Total	**108**	17**	48*	43*	97*	4**	-**	9**	94*	7**	94*	34*	7**	45*	16**	47*	31*	41*	33*	18**	19**	20**	7**	25**	52*	23**
Very likely	**10**	1	5	4	10	-	-	-	8	2	8	4	2	7	1	2	4	2	3	2	2	2	1	3	4	2
	9%	6%	10%	9%	10%	-	-	-	9%	29%	9%	12%	29%	16%	6%	4%	13%	5%	9%	11%	11%	10%	14%	12%	8%	9%
Quite likely	**28**	4	12	12	25	2	-	2	24	1	24	9	1	11	4	13	11	13	7	5	4	7	2	6	13	7
	26%	24%	25%	28%	26%	50%	-	22%	26%	14%	26%	26%	14%	24%	25%	28%	35%	32%	21%	28%	21%	35%	29%	24%	25%	30%
Neither likely nor unlikely	**17**	1	8	8	15	2	-	1	12	4	12	6	4	6	2	9	6	3	9	2	6	2	2	4	9	2
	16%	6%	17%	19%	15%	50%	-	11%	13%	57%	13%	18%	57%	13%	13%	19%	19%	7%	27% r	11%	32%	10%	29%	16%	17%	9%
Quite unlikely	**20**	1	14	5	17	-	-	3	18	-	18	4	-	6	3	11	5	11	5	5	4	3	1	4	13	2
	19%	6%	29% d	12%	18%	-	-	33%	19%	-	19%	12%	-	13%	19%	23%	16%	27%	15%	28%	21%	15%	14%	16%	25%	9%
Very unlikely	**20**	7	6	7	18	-	-	2	19	-	19	7	-	10	5	5	3	8	6	4	1	3	-	3	9	8
	19%	41%	13%	16%	19%	-	-	22%	20%	-	20%	21%	-	22%	31%	11%	10%	20%	18%	22%	5%	15%	-	12%	17%	35%
I don't know	**13**	3	3	7	12	-	-	1	13	-	13	4	-	5	1	7	2	4	3	-	2	3	1	5	4	2
	12%	18%	6%	16%	12%	-	-	11%	14%	-	14%	12%	-	11%	6%	15%	6%	10%	9%	-	11%	15%	14%	20%	8%	9%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**12**	1	2	9	10	-	2	-	10	-	10	3	-	11	1	-	3	8	-	2	2	5	3	5	3	1
	ps			c										ap				s								
NET: Very/Quite likely	**38**	5	17	16	35	2	-	2	32	3	32	13	3	18	5	15	15	15	10	7	6	9	3	9	17	9
	35%	29%	35%	37%	36%	50%	-	22%	34%	43%	34%	38%	43%	40%	31%	32%	48%	37%	30%	39%	32%	45%	43%	36%	33%	39%
NET: Quite/Very unlikely	**40**	8	20	12	35	-	-	5	37	-	37	11	-	16	8	16	8	19	11	9	5	6	1	7	22	10
	37%	47%	42%	28%	36%	-	-	56%	39%	-	39%	32%	-	36%	50%	34%	26%	46%	33%	50%	26%	30%	14%	28%	42%	43%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p - a/q/r/s/t/u/v - a/w/x/y/z
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1

Return to Index

ARF Evaluation W3

30 May 2025
Table 61

FUTURENEWAYS: How likely or unlikely is it that new ways of working which were established as a result of ARF funding will become business as usual once the ARF funding has stopped?

Base: All respondents

		Region			Organisation				SCIE support		SCIE support type			Project type		
	Total (a)	North (b)	Midlands and East (c)	South (d)	Local authority (e)	Adult social care provider (f)	NHS provider / ICB (g)	VCSE (h)	Engaged with SCIE support (i)	Not engaged with SCIE support (j)	General SCIE support (k)	Personalised SCIE support (l)	Not engaged with SCIE support (m)	New initiative (n)	Scaling existing initiative (o)	Equal mix of both (p)
Total	**50***	10**	23**	17**	41*	3**	-**	8**	44*	3**	44*	17**	3**	24**	8**	18**
Very likely	**15**	1	9	5	12	-	-	3	13	1	13	4	1	8	3	4
	30%	10%	39%	29%	29%	-	-	38%	30%	33%	30%	24%	33%	33%	38%	22%
Quite likely	**21**	8	6	7	17	3	-	3	19	1	19	9	1	12	3	6
	42%	80%	26%	41%	41%	100%	-	38%	43%	33%	43%	53%	33%	50%	38%	33%
Neither likely nor unlikely	**9**	1	6	2	7	-	-	2	8	-	8	3	-	2	2	5
	18%	10%	26%	12%	17%	-	-	25%	18%	-	18%	18%	-	8%	25%	28%
Quite unlikely	**2**	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	1	-	1
	4%	-	4%	6%	5%	-	-	-	5%	-	5%	-	-	4%	-	6%
Very unlikely	**1**	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	-
	2%	-	-	6%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	6%	-	4%	-	-
I don't know	**2**	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	2
	4%	-	4%	6%	5%	-	-	-	2%	33%	2%	-	33%	-	-	11%
I would prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NS	**6**	1	1	4	5	-	1	-	5	-	5	1	-	5	1	-
NET: Very/Quite likely	**36**	9	15	12	29	3	-	6	32	2	32	13	2	20	6	10
	72%	90%	65%	71%	71%	100%	-	75%	73%	67%	73%	76%	67%	83%	75%	56%
NET: Quite/Very unlikely	**3**	-	1	2	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	1	-	2	-	1
	6%	-	4%	12%	7%	-	-	-	7%	-	7%	6%	-	8%	-	6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d - a/e/f/g/h - a/i/j - a/k/l/m - a/n/o/p
*** small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing**
Fieldwork dates: 28 April to 23 May 2025
Respondent type: Local Authorities
Source: Ipsos (JN 24-002183-01) draft1