[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable

Total sample

[T2B - Summary] - How concerned are you about the current state of housing affordability in

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
The GTA, overall	96
	85%
	*
Your area of the GTA	90
	80%
	*

Overlap formula used

- Column Means:

   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,

   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Proportions:

   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,

   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
How likely are you to leave the GTA to live in another jurisdiction
due to affordability reasons within the next 5 years?

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
	32
Top 2 Box (Net)	29%
	*
	15
Very likely (4)	13%
	*
	17
Somewhat likely (3)	15%
	*
	80
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	71%
	BDEHJK*
	33
Not very likely (2)	30%
	*
	47
Not at all likely (1)	42%
	BCDEFHIJK*
	112
Sigma	100%
	2
Mean	*
	1.05
Std. Dev.	
	0.1
Std. Err.	

Overlap formula used
- Column Means:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[Reducing or capping municipal development charges to help lower
the cost of new housing?] - Do you support or oppose the following

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Top 2 Box (Net)	101
	90%
	CH*
Strongly support (4)	34
	30%
	*
Somewhat support (3)	67
	60%
	K*
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	11
	10%
	*
Somewhat oppose (2)	11
	10%
	*
Strongly oppose (1)	-
	-
	*
Sigma	112
	100%
Mean	3.21
	*
Std. Dev.	0.6
Std. Err.	0.06

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[Better align Canada's immigration strategy with our capacity to
build housing?] - Do you support or oppose the following as

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Top 2 Box (Net)	106
	94%
	EK*
Strongly support (4)	51
	45%
	*
Somewhat support (3)	55
	49%
	EHK*
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	6
	6%
	*
Somewhat oppose (2)	5
	4%
	*
Strongly oppose (1)	1
	1%
	*
Sigma	112
	100%
Mean	3.38
	*
Std. Dev.	0.64
Std. Err.	0.06

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[Governments are doing a good job addressing housing affordability] - To what
extent do you agree or disagree with the following

	Total	Durham
	A	G
Unweighted Base	**2000**	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**2000**	**112**
Top 2 Box (Net)	591	31
	30%	28%
		*
Strongly agree (4)	152	6
	8%	6%
		*
Somewhat agree (3)	439	25
	22%	22%
		*
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	1409	81
	70%	72%
		*
Somewhat disagree (2)	791	43
	40%	38%
		*
Strongly disagree (1)	619	38
	31%	34%
		*
Sigma	2000	112
	100%	100%
Mean	2.06	1.99
		*
Std. Dev.	0.91	0.89
Std. Err.	0.02	0.08

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
    Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R, S/T, U/V
    Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
    Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R, S/T, U/V
    Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[We need to do everything we can to make housing more affordable] - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Top 2 Box (Net)	105
	93%
	FL*
Strongly agree (4)	58
	51%
	CFI*
Somewhat agree (3)	47
	42%
	*
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	7
	7%
	*
Somewhat disagree (2)	6
	6%
	*
Strongly disagree (1)	1
	1%
	*
Sigma	112
	100%
Mean	3.44
	CFIL*
Std. Dev.	0.65
Std. Err.	0.06

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[I am worried about the next generation's ability to afford a home] -
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Top 2 Box (Net)	102
	90%
	*
Strongly agree (4)	66
	58%
	CFI*
Somewhat agree (3)	36
	32%
	*
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	11
	10%
	*
Somewhat disagree (2)	8
	7%
	*
Strongly disagree (1)	3
	3%
	*
Sigma	112
	100%
Mean	3.46
	CI*
Std. Dev.	0.75
Std. Err.	0.07

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
Do you believe that this is a reasonable or unreasonable amount of
taxes, fees and levies to pay on an average new single-detached

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Top 2 Box (Net)	33
	29%
	*
Very reasonable (4)	7
	6%
	*
Somewhat reasonable (3)	26
	23%
	*
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	80
	71%
	*
Not very reasonable (2)	31
	27%
	*
Not at all reasonable (1)	49
	43%
	F*
Sigma	112
	100%
Mean	1.92
	*
Std. Dev.	0.96
Std. Err.	0.09

Overlap formula used
- Column Means:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
Would you support or oppose your local municipality reducing
development charges on new homes by 50%?

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Top 2 Box (Net)	100
	89%
	CF*
Strongly support (4)	49
	44%
	F*
Somewhat support (3)	50
	45%
	*
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	13
	11%
	*
Somewhat oppose (2)	11
	10%
	*
Strongly oppose (1)	1
	1%
	*
Sigma	112
	100%
Mean	3.31
	CF*
Std. Dev.	0.71
Std. Err.	0.07

Overlap formula used
- Column Means:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[Semi-detached homes] - Thinking about the availability of homes
in the GTA, do you believe there are too many, not enough or

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
	13
Too many	11%
	*
	57
About the right amount	50%
	*
	43
Not enough	38%
	*
	112
Sigma	100%

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[Duplexes or triplexes] - Thinking about the availability of homes in the GTA, do you believe there are too many, not enough or about

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Too many	17
	16%
	*
About the right amount	44
	39%
	*
Not enough	51
	45%
	*
Sigma	112
	100%

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
   Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
   Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[Row homes, townhomes or stacked townhomes] - Thinking about
the availability of homes in the GTA, do you believe there are too

	Durham
	G
Unweighted Base	**104**
Base: Total Answering	**112**
Too many	34
	30%
	BCDEFI*
About the right amount	43
	38%
	*
Not enough	36
	32%
	*
Sigma	112
	100%

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
    Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
    Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
    Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R,
    Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[25-065843-01 - TRREB Advocacy]

Weight variable
Total sample
[High-rise condos] - Thinking about the availability of homes in the GTA, do you believe there are too many, not enough or about the right amount of the following types of homes available for purchase?

	Total	Regions											Provincial Voter Q2				Likely to buy home Q4		Likely to leave GTA Q6		Homeowner Q3	
		GTA 416	GTA 905	Toronto	Peel	York	Durham	Simcoe	Halton	Missisauga	Brampton	Vaughan	Conservative	Liberal	NDP	Green	T2B	B2B	T2B	B2B	Own a home/investment property/recreational	Renter
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Unweighted Base	**2000**	**750**	**356**	**750**	**569**	**331**	**104**	**103**	**143**	**285**	**268**	**141**	**636**	**579**	**241**	**66**	**231**	**392**	**811**	**1189**	**1384**	**543**
Base: Total Answering	**2000**	**900**	**385**	**900**	**475**	**332**	**112**	**45**	**136**	**240**	**220**	**100**	**775**	**679**	**288**	**58**	**247**	**396**	**855**	**1145**	**1366**	**578**
	966	483	177	483	193	152	53	20	64	116	68	50	364	317	150	19	86	215	386	580	666	256
Too many	48%	54%	46%	54%	41%	46%	48%	45%	47%	48%	31%	50%	47%	47%	52%	32%	35%	54%	45%	51%	49%	44%
		EK	K	EK	K	K	K*	K*	K*	EK		K*			P	*		Q				
	705	273	148	273	181	133	43	21	53	89	89	42	290	252	86	20	89	109	309	396	514	192
About the right amount	35%	30%	38%	30%	38%	40%	38%	47%	39%	37%	40%	42%	37%	37%	30%	35%	36%	27%	36%	35%	38%	33%
			BD		BD	BD	*	BD*	*		BD	BD*				*						
	329	144	60	144	101	47	16	4	18	35	63	8	121	109	53	19	72	73	161	169	186	131
Not enough	16%	16%	16%	16%	21%	14%	14%	8%	13%	15%	29%	8%	16%	16%	18%	33%	29%	18%	19%	15%	14%	23%
					FHJL	L	*	*	*		BCDEFGHIJL	*				MNO*	R					U
	2000	900	385	900	475	332	112	45	136	240	220	100	775	679	288	58	247	396	855	1145	1366	578
Sigma	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Grid overlap formula used
- Column Means:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R, S/T, U/V
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Proportions:
  Columns Tested (5%): A, B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K/L, M/N/O/P, Q/R, S/T, U/V
  Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)