

Do you have a valid driver's license (learner's, full, commercial, motorcycle, etc.)?

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Impaired driving is a serious public safety issue.

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - All levels of government in Canada are doing enough to enforce impaired driving.

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - T2B Summary

		Gender			AGE			EDUCATION				Generation			
	Total	Male	Female	Other/Pref er not to answer	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Gen Z	Millennial	Gen X	Boomer
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Impaired driving is a serious public safety issue.	1840	890	936	15	492	641	707	240	516	630	454	257	542	486	555
	93%	92%	93%	96%	88%	93%	95%	83%	94%	95%	94%	87%	92%	94%	95%
				**		D	D	*	G	G	G			K	KL
All levels of government in Canada are doing enough to enforce impaired driving.	951	543	406	2	242	339	370	136	258	314	244	129	278	248	296
	48%	56%	40%	16%	43%	49%	50%	47%	47%	47%	51%	43%	47%	48%	51%
		B		**				*							

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - B2B Summary

		Gender			AGE			EDUCATION				Generation			
	Total	Male	Female	Other/Pref er not to answer	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Gen Z	Millennial	Gen X	Boomer
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Impaired driving is a serious public safety issue.	120	66	54	-	55	34	31	50	22	28	21	32	38	24	25
	6%	7%	5%	-	10%	5%	4%	17%	4%	4%	4%	11%	6%	5%	4%
				**	EF			HIJ*				MN			
All levels of government in Canada are doing enough to enforce impaired driving.	829	349	469	10	230	290	308	118	214	298	198	110	256	219	243
	42%	36%	47%	66%	41%	42%	42%	41%	39%	45%	41%	37%	43%	42%	42%
			A	**				*							

Before today, were you aware that police can demand a breath sample of any driver they lawfully stop, i.e. for speeding, or at a sobriety check stop, to determine if the driver is impaired by alcohol?

[illegible]

This procedure is known as Mandatory Alcohol Screening (MAS). Have you or someone you know been subjected to MAS?

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Police should be able to demand a breath sample to determine impairment from any driver involved in a collision.

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Police should be able to demand a breath sample from anyone operating a personal vessel/boat.

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - T2B Summary

		Gender			AGE			EDUCATION				Generation			
	Total	Male	Female	Other/Pref er not to answer	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Gen Z	Millennial	Gen X	Boomer
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Police should be able to demand a breath sample to determine impairment from any driver involved in a collision.	1882	913	954	15	514	651	717	268	520	629	464	282	539	496	565
	95%	95%	95%	96%	92%	95%	97%	93%	95%	95%	96%	95%	91%	96%	97%
				**			D	*						L	L
Police should be able to demand a breath sample from anyone operating a personal vessel/boat.	1763	833	916	15	461	609	692	246	480	594	442	242	505	469	546
	89%	86%	91%	96%	83%	89%	93%	85%	88%	89%	92%	82%	85%	91%	94%
			A	**		D	DE	*						KL	KL

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - B2B Summary

		Gender			AGE			EDUCATION				Generation			
	Total	Male	Female	Other/Pref er not to answer	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Gen Z	Millennial	Gen X	Boomer
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Police should be able to demand a breath sample to determine impairment from any driver involved in a collision.	103	50	52	1	44	36	23	22	28	36	18	14	52	20	17
	5%	5%	5%	4%	8%	5%	3%	7%	5%	5%	4%	5%	9%	4%	3%
				**	F			*					MN		
Police should be able to demand a breath sample from anyone operating a personal vessel/boat.	222	131	91	1	96	78	48	43	68	71	40	54	86	47	35
	11%	14%	9%	4%	17%	11%	7%	15%	12%	11%	8%	18%	15%	9%	6%
		B		**	EF	F		*				MN	MN		

Do you agree or disagree that police have the ability to detect drug impaired drivers?

[illegible]

Before today were you aware that police can use a roadside device to detect the presence of cannabis in a driver?

[illegible]

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - IRP allows law enforcement to immediately remove impaired drivers from the road, which can lead to a reduction in alcohol-related accidents and fatalities.

[illegible]

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - By handling many cases administratively rather than through the courts, there is a decreased burden on the judicial system.

[illegible]

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - Studies suggest that IRP results in reduced cases of impaired driving, as the immediacy and certainty of the consequences deters potential offenders.

[illegible]

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - IRPs take a fraction of the time of a criminal investigation, allowing police to take more impaired drivers off the road, respond to other calls, and be more proactive in their enforcement of traffic safety.

[illegible]

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - An administrative penalty system could give the impression of "decriminalizing" impaired driving.

[illegible]

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - Since an IRP is not a criminal charge, a person who receives one avoids a criminal record, and this may not adequately deter dangerous behaviour.

[illegible]

Are you aware that every province and territory, except Quebec, has a non-criminal level of impaired driving for fully licensed non-commercial drivers, which is .05 BAC (or .04 in Saskatchewan)?

[illegible]

Do you think your Provincial Government should adopt a similar law?

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree with the proposal that drivers who cause death while impaired should be required to install ignition interlocks in their cars for their lifetime ensuring that they are able to drive legally and insured?

[illegible]

Before today, were you aware that a law was passed in the US that requires car manufacturers to install passive impairment detection technology in all new cars by 2030? This technology refers to systems designed to identify and assess if an individual is impaired, without requiring the active participation of the user. Experts in the US predict this could save thousands of lives every year.

[illegible]

Do you agree or disagree that the Canadian Government should pass a similar law?

[illegible]

Have you heard of MADD Canada?

[illegible]

Have you seen or heard any MADD Canada messaging?

[illegible]