

Do you have a valid driver's license (learner's, full, commercial, motorcycle, etc.)?

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Impaired driving is a serious public safety issue.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - All levels of government in Canada are doing enough to enforce impaired driving.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - T2B Summary

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME				HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$40K	\$40K - \$60K	\$60K - \$100K	\$100K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Impaired driving is a serious public safety issue.	1840	251	215	112	714	423	124	500	325	436	419	406	1434
	93%	94%	96%	91%	93%	89%	94%	90%	94%	95%	94%	91%	93%
			E		E					G			
All levels of government in Canada are doing enough to enforce impaired driving.	951	126	82	66	352	264	60	268	183	244	196	213	738
	48%	47%	37%	54%	46%	56%	45%	48%	53%	53%	44%	48%	48%
				B	B	BDF			J	J			

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - B2B Summary

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME				HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$60K	\$60K - <\$100K	\$100K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Impaired driving is a serious public safety issue.	120	14	7	10	43	40	5	50	14	18	25	35	84
	6%	5%	3%	8%	6%	8%	4%	9%	4%	4%	6%	8%	5%
						B		HI					
All levels of government in Canada are doing enough to enforce impaired driving.	829	99	114	44	341	175	56	232	130	166	225	196	633
	42%	37%	51%	36%	45%	37%	42%	42%	37%	36%	50%	44%	41%
			ACE		E						GHI		

Before today, were you aware that police can demand a breath sample of any driver they lawfully stop, i.e. for speeding, or at a sobriety check stop, to determine if the driver is impaired by alcohol?

This procedure is known as Mandatory Alcohol Screening (MAS). Have you or someone you know been subjected to MAS?

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Police should be able to demand a breath sample to determine impairment from any driver involved in a collision.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Police should be able to demand a breath sample from anyone operating a personal vessel/boat.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - T2B Summary

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME				HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$60K	\$60K - <\$100K	\$100K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Police should be able to demand a breath sample to determine impairment from any driver involved in a collision.	1882	257	215	117	712	450	130	524	329	436	425	410	1471
	95%	97%	96%	95%	93%	95%	98%	94%	95%	95%	95%	92%	96%
													K
Police should be able to demand a breath sample from anyone operating a personal vessel/boat.	1763	243	198	111	664	420	126	478	317	412	399	394	1369
	89%	92%	88%	90%	87%	89%	95%	86%	91%	90%	89%	88%	89%
							DE						

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - B2B Summary

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME				HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$60K	\$60K - <\$100K	\$100K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Police should be able to demand a breath sample to determine impairment from any driver involved in a collision.	103	9	9	6	52	24	3	32	18	21	23	38	66
	5%	3%	4%	5%	7%	5%	2%	6%	5%	5%	5%	8%	4%
												L	
Police should be able to demand a breath sample from anyone operating a personal vessel/boat.	222	23	26	12	100	54	7	77	30	45	49	54	168
	11%	8%	12%	10%	13%	11%	5%	14%	9%	10%	11%	12%	11%
					F	F							

Do you agree or disagree that police have the ability to detect drug impaired drivers?

Before today were you aware that police can use a roadside device to detect the presence of cannabis in a driver?

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - IRP allows law enforcement to immediately remove impaired drivers from the road, which can lead to a reduction in alcohol-related accidents and fatalities.

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - By handling many cases administratively rather than through the courts, there is a decreased burden on the judicial system.

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - Studies suggest that IRP results in reduced cases of impaired driving, as the immediacy and certainty of the consequences deters potential offenders.

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - IRPs take a fraction of the time of a criminal investigation, allowing police to take more impaired drivers off the road, respond to other calls, and be more proactive in their enforcement of traffic safety.

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - An administrative penalty system could give the impression of "decriminalizing" impaired driving.

Under Immediate Roadside Prohibition (IRP) programs, first-time alcohol impaired drivers who did not cause any injury or death and did not have a child in the car, are immediately dealt with through administrative penalties issued by law enforcement at roadside, rather than through Criminal Code charges at the police station. IRP programs have been found to be constitutional. Would knowing the following make you more or less supportive of IRP or have no impact on your support? - Since an IRP is not a criminal charge, a person who receives one avoids a criminal record, and this may not adequately deter dangerous behaviour.

Are you aware that every province and territory, except Quebec, has a non-criminal level of impaired driving for fully licensed non-commercial drivers, which is .05 BAC (or .04 in Saskatchewan)?

Do you think your Provincial Government should adopt a similar law?

Do you agree or disagree with the proposal that drivers who cause death while impaired should be required to install ignition interlocks in their cars for their lifetime ensuring that they are able to drive legally and insured?

Before today, were you aware that a law was passed in the US that requires car manufacturers to install passive impairment detection technology in all new cars by 2030? This technology refers to systems designed to identify and assess if an individual is impaired, without requiring the active participation of the user. Experts in the US predict this could save thousands of lives every year.

Do you agree or disagree that the Canadian Government should pass a similar law?

Have you heard of MADD Canada?

Have you seen or heard any MADD Canada messaging?