PUBLIC

Table 1

QFRT1- Before today, how much, if anything, have you heard or read about facial recognition technology in policing?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Unweighted base																														
Weighted base																														
A great deal																														
A fair amount																														
Not very much																														
Heard of it, knew nothing about it																														
Never heard of it																														
A great deal/fair amount (NET)																														
A great deal/fair amount/not very much (NET)																														
Heard of (NET)																														
Don't know																														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - C/D

Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

Table 2
QPAT2 - Overall, to what extent, if at all, do you support or oppose the use of facial recognition technology in policing?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - B/C
Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

Table 3

QNT3- The Government plans to fund 60 new Live Facial Recognition vans to scan faces in town centres and high crime areas to identify wanted criminals. To what extent, if at all, would you support or oppose this?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Unweighted base																															
Weighted base																															
Strongly support	222	120	102	72	69	80	50	70	53	41	7	157	64	133	88	86	135	27	43	67	78	202	20	50	66	20	29	8	91	61
Tend to support	408	186	235	95	192	164	112	121	89	67	21	277	123	248	162	166	243	59	76	97	108	349	44	69	155	40	49	25	196	206
Neither support nor oppose	148	63	85	54	65	28	33	38	36	20	11	80	68	93	55	51	97	31	29	44	28	137	24	24	31	10	12	14	69	60
Tend to oppose	98	53	45	58	35	20	16	36	25	14	7	72	26	53	46	57	51	11	28	28	26	79	19	10	25	8	10	17	41	25
Strongly oppose	69	35	35	32	30	7	14	23	18	9	4	47	16	34	27	50	26	9	13	16	22	58	11	6	26	6	7	9	38	14
Support (NET)	630	305	337	167	261	238	162	191	120	108	27	434	187	370	250	252	368	87	119	164	186	548	75	120	189	60	78	33	286	267
Oppose (NET)	168	98	70	61	70	36	30	39	53	23	11	124	44	80	88	72	97	19	42	53	50	136	24	16	53	14	17	21	68	41
Don't know	34	16	18	9	18	7	15	9	8	1	2	23	11	13	22	6	28	9	8	14	5	27	7		7	3	6	2	9	13

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 4

QP4T4 - If Live Facial Recognition cameras were deployed on your local high street, would this make you feel more or less safe, or would it make no difference?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £29999	£30000-£49999	£50000-£69999	£70000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)

Return to Index

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 5

QFRT5 - Thinking about the expansion of Live Facial Recognition technology in policing, which of the following comes closest to your view?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

		Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
	TOTAL	Man (a)	Woman (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55-75 (e)	North (f)	Midlands (g)	South (h)	Greater London (i)	Wales (j)	Working (k)	Not working (l)	ABC1 (m)	C2DE (n)	Graduates (o)	Non-Graduates (p)	Up to £19999 (q)	£20000-£34999 (r)	£35000-£54999 (s)	£55000+ (t)	White ethnic group (u)	Minority ethnic groups (v)	Cons (w)	Lab (x)	Lib Dems (y)	Reform UK (A)	Other party (B)	Remain (C)	Leave (D)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	**970**	486	484	270	355	345	250	271	250	145	54	679	291	691	279	564	406	112	172	250	350	814	129	157	310	99	94	76	463	239
WEIGHTED BASE	**970**	479	491	292	353	325	250	277	240	152	50*	660	310	545	425	379	591	140*	198	265	286	816	130*	159	285	88*	112*	69*	413	261
I am willing to accept a loss of personal privacy in public spaces if it helps the police catch more criminals	**518**	247	271	126	182	209	135	155	124	76	28	345	172	313	205	195	322	71	105	134	179	461	54	102	156	57	70	27	243	134
	53%	51%	55%	43%	52%	64% cd	54%	56%	52%	50%	55%	52%	56%	57% n	48%	51%	55%	51%	53%	50%	63% qs	56% v	41%	64% B	55% B	65% B	62% B	39%	59%	51%
Protecting the privacy of ordinary citizens is more important, even if it makes it harder for the police to catch criminals	**232**	125	107	92	93	46	52	59	60	49	11	168	63	135	96	111	121	31	49	70	60	170	52	35	74	14	14	22	87	63
	24%	26%	22%	32% e	26% e	14%	21%	21%	25%	32% fg	22%	25%	20%	25%	23%	29% p	20%	22%	25%	26%	21%	21%	40% u	22%	26% A	16%	13%	32% vA	21%	24%
I do not believe this technology will help reduce crime	**165**	88	77	52	62	52	51	46	41	21	6	117	48	67	98	54	111	27	39	44	39	141	17	14	46	13	23	15	59	54
	17%	18%	16%	18%	17%	16%	20%	17%	17%	14%	12%	18%	15%	12%	23% m	14%	19%	19%	20%	17%	14%	17%	13%	9%	16%	14%	20% w	22% w	14%	21%
Don't know	**56**	20	36	22	16	18	12	17	15	6	5	29	26	30	26	20	36	11	5	17	8	45	7	8	9	4	5	5	24	10
	6%	4%	7%	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	4%	11%	4%	9% k	5%	6%	5%	6%	8%	3%	6%	3%	6%	6%	5%	3%	4%	4%	8%	6%	4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/A/B - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

Table 6

5 Feb 2026

QBOT3 - Some police forces have started using AI chatbots to handle non-urgent online enquiries from the public, such as reporting minor incidents or requesting user updates. To what extent do you think this would make the service the public receives from the police better or worse, or would it make no difference?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man (a)	Women (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55-75 (e)	North (f)	Midlands (g)	South (h)	Greater London (i)	Wales (j)	Working (k)	Not working (l)	ABC1 (m)	C2DE (n)	Graduates (o)	Non-Graduates (p)	Up to £19999 (q)	£20000-£34999 (r)	£35000-£54999 (s)	£55000+ (t)	White ethnic group (u)	Minority ethnic groups (v)	Cons (w)	Lab (x)	Lib Dems (y)	Reform UK (z)	Other party (aa)	Remain (E1)	Leave (E2)
Unweighted base																														
Weighted base																														
A lot better	61	39	22	23	18	21	12	11	14	20	3	46	15	40	21	26	35	7	9	15	30	51	9	12	22	9	6	2	29	15
A little better	230	113	97	83	82	65	47	46	43	67	7	159	51	129	81	120	110	18	43	58	80	160	45	45	57	20	26	13	90	52
No difference	187	98	90	56	69	62	41	42	50	20	15	132	55	101	86	60	127	36	37	39	48	160	23	41	39	9	17	20	72	48
A little worse	227	101	126	66	80	87	73	45	55	26	7	139	88	133	92	93	134	56	46	65	60	198	21	26	74	23	93	16	100	62
A lot worse	236																													
Better (NET)	271	152	118	106	99	66	59	76	58	87	11	205	66	169	102	128	145	26	46	73	117	211	54	58	79	29	32	15	118	66
Worse (NET)	442	208	234	111	160	168	137	126	110	50	19	290	152	233	209	172	270	68	93	135	110	387	43	49	192	47	62	29	186	154
Don't know	69	22	48	19	21	29	13	13	23	15	6	32	37	20	29	22	48	12	12	18	12	58	10	12	18	2	2	6	29	31

PUBLIC
25-061746-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

Table 7

QBOT2 - How comfortable or uncomfortable, if at all, would you feel if, when contacting police about a non-urgent matter, you were initially responded to by an AI chatbot rather than a person?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

		Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
	TOTAL	Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A1)	(B1)	(C1)	(D1)
Very comfortable	51	32	19	21	16	14	14	13	7	16		43	8	32	20	23	28	9	5	12	24	38	14	12	20	4	9	5	24	16
Fairly comfortable	189	97	92	68	70	50	46	52	38	45	8	124	65	123	66	81	108	24	35	45	80	158	29	51	57	22	11	12	98	89
Neither comfortable nor uncomfortable	185	89	96	49	61	56	31	35	43	23	13	107	58	92	73	64	102	36	33	42	49	155	25	28	39	9	18	17	68	59
Fairly uncomfortable	280	127	152	76	97	106	76	73	85	33	13	187	92	162	118	106	174	43	64	77	67	169	21	56	78	27	42	21	106	70
Very uncomfortable	269	125	144	73	100	95	79	78	63	33	16	172	97	125	144	102	167	41	57	95	61	225	38	33	86	28	33	12	90	92
Comfortable (NET)	240	130	111	90	86	64	62	65	45	61	9	167	74	155	86	105	136	27	40	57	109	195	43	62	77	26	18	17	123	56
Uncomfortable (NET)	548	252	296	149	200	200	155	151	148	66	29	359	189	286	262	208	341	84	121	162	128	470	59	89	164	55	75	34	216	163
Don't know	14	6	7	3	7	6	2	7	3	6		7	9	12	4	6	13	3	3	3	1	13	3		6		3	1	8	6

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A1 - C1/D1
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 8
QCENT1 - Under new proposals, the Home Secretary would be given the power to directly dismiss Chief Constables if their force persistently fails to meet standards and does not improve. To what extent, if at all, would you support or oppose this?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
Unweighted base																														
Weighted base																														
Strongly support																														
Tend to support																														
Neither support nor oppose																														
Tend to oppose																														
Strongly oppose																														
Support (NET)																														
Oppose (NET)																														
Don't know																														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

Table 9

5 Feb 2026

QCENT2: Which, if any, of the following comes closest to your view on who should have the power to remove a Chief Constable if a police force is failing?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Unweighted base																														
Weighted base																														
The Home Secretary should have this power - it ensures national standards are maintained																														
This decision should remain with local elected officials - they are closer to their communities																														
Don't know																														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/B - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

Table 10

QPOLICE1 - Currently, there are 43 separate police forces in England and Wales. The government proposes reducing this number significantly to create fewer, larger police forces. To what extent, if at all, would you support or oppose this?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

		Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
	TOTAL	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave

Unweighted base

Weighted base

Strongly support

Tend to support

Neither support nor oppose

Tend to oppose

Strongly oppose

Support (NET)

Oppose (NET)

Don't know

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - C/D

Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 11

QFORCE2 - Thinking about the potential impact of merging police forces, how likely or unlikely do you think each of the following would be? Summary Table

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	Savings that could be reinvested into frontline policing	Better specialist services (e.g. for serious crime, cyber crime)	Police losing local knowledge and community connections	Slower police response times in your area
UNWEIGHTED BASE	970	970	970	970
WEIGHTED BASE	970	970	970	970
(4) Very likely	117	136	382	305
	12%	14%	39%	31%
(3) Fairly likely	328	374	367	363
	34%	39%	38%	37%
(2) Fairly unlikely	232	215	128	125
	24%	22%	13%	13%
(1) Very unlikely	154	97	34	48
	16%	10%	4%	5%
Mean	2.49	2.67	3.2	3.1
Likely (NET)	445	510	749	669
	46%	53%	77%	69%
Unlikely (NET)	386	312	162	173
	40%	32%	17%	18%
Don't know	139	148	59	128
	14%	15%	6%	13%

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 12
QPOWER2_1 - Thinking about the potential impact of merging police forces, how likely or unlikely do you think each of the following would be? Savings that could be reinvested into frontline policing

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £20999	£21000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(C)	(D)

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

Table 14
QFORCE2_2 - Thinking about the potential impact of merging police forces, how likely or unlikely do you think each of the following would be? Better specialist services (e.g. for serious crime, cyber crime)

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

		Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
	TOTAL	Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduate	Non-Graduate	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)

Table 14

QFORCE2_4 - Thinking about the potential impact of merging police forces, how likely or unlikely do you think each of the following would be? Police being local knowledge and community connections

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

Table 16

5 Feb 2026

QFORCE2_4- Thinking about the potential impact of merging police forces, how likely or unlikely do you think each of the following would be? Slower police response times in your area

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

		Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
	TOTAL	Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - C/D

*Overlap formulae used. * small base*

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

Table 16

5 Feb 2026

QUC1 - The Government plans to introduce a 'Licence to Practise' for all police officers. This would require officers to undergo regular checks on their professional development and vetting standards to keep their job, similar to doctors. To what extent, if at all, would you support or oppose introducing a 'Licence to Practise' for police officers?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Women	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £24999	£25000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Unweighted base																														
Weighted base																														
Strongly support																														
Tend to support																														
Neither support nor oppose																														
Tend to oppose																														
Strongly oppose																														
Support (NET)																														
Oppose (NET)																														
Don't know																														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 17
QBOMB032 - How often, if at all, do you see police officers patrolling in your local area, either on foot or in vehicles?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
Unweighted Base																														
Weighted Base																														
Every day																														
Most days																														
About once or twice a week																														
About once or twice a month																														
About once every three months																														
About once every six months																														
About once a year																														
Less often than once a year																														
Never																														
Every day/ most days (NET)																														
At least once a week (NET)																														
At least once a month (NET)																														
At least once a year (NET)																														
Less often than once a year/ never (NET)																														
Don't know																														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 18
QBOBBS52 - Do you think you see police officers patrolling in your local area too often, not often enough, or about the right amount?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduate	Non-Graduate	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(A1)	(C)	(D)
Unweighted base																														
Weighted Base																														
Far too often																														
A little too often																														
About the right amount																														
A little too rarely																														
Far too rarely																														
Too often (NET)																														
Too rarely (NET)																														
Don't know																														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/A1 - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

Table 19

QPRIORITY1 - Which two or three of the following, if any, do you think the police in your local area currently prioritise?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

PUBLIC
25-061766-05 - POLICING WHITE PAPER
FEBRUARY 2026
IPSOS

5 Feb 2026

Table 20
QPRIORITY2- Which two or three of the following, if any, do you think the police in your local area should prioritise?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

		Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
	TOTAL	Men (a)	Women (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55-75 (e)	North (f)	Midlands (g)	South (h)	Greater London (i)	Wales (j)	Working (k)	Not working (l)	ABC1 (m)	C2DE (n)	Graduates (o)	Non-Graduates (p)	Up to £19999 (q)	£20000-£34999 (r)	£35000-£54999 (s)	£55000+ (t)	White ethnic group (u)	Minority ethnic groups (v)	Cons (w)	Lab (x)	Lib Dems (y)	Reform UK (z)	Other party (ab)	Remain (C)	Leave (D)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/ab - C/D
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

Return to index

Table 21
QSAF9 - How safe or unsafe do you feel walking alone in your local area after dark?
Base: All adults aged 18-75 in England & Wales

5 Feb 2026

	TOTAL	Gender		Age			Region					Employment Status		Social Grade		Education		Income				Ethnicity		GE 2024 Vote					EU Ref Vote	
		Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-75	North	Midlands	South	Greater London	Wales	Working	Not working	ABC1	C2DE	Graduates	Non-Graduates	Up to £19999	£20000-£34999	£35000-£54999	£55000+	White ethnic group	Minority ethnic groups	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Reform UK	Other party	Remain	Leave
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	(x)	(y)	(z)	(aa)	(C1)	(D1)
Unweighted base																														
Weighted base																														
Very unsafe																														
Fairly unsafe																														
Fairly safe																														
Very safe																														
Unsafe (NET)																														
Safe (NET)																														
Don't know																														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i/j - k/l - m/n - o/p - q/r/s/t - u/v - w/x/y/z/aa - C1/D1
Overlap formulae used. * small base
This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.