



T1	Table 1	QEMBRYO - To what extent do you support or oppose the use of human embryos in scientific and medical research to help understand, and develop treatments for, congenital disease?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T2	Table 2	QEMBRYO - To what extent do you support or oppose the use of human embryos in scientific and medical research to help understand, and develop treatments for, congenital disease?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T3	Table 3	QGENOME - Genome editing: Support/oppose...	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T4	Table 4	QGENOME_1 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos for scientific and medical research to help understand or develop treatments for congenital disease (the research embryos will never be used to establish a pregnancy in a human being)	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T5	Table 5	QGENOME_1 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos for scientific and medical research to help understand or develop treatments for congenital disease (the research embryos will never be used to establish a pregnancy in a human being)	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T6	Table 6	QGENOME_2 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a severe or life-threatening condition (such as cystic fibrosis) in the resulting child where there may otherwise be a pre-disposition for that child to have the condition.	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T7	Table 7	QGENOME_2 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a severe or life-threatening condition (such as cystic fibrosis) in the resulting child where there may otherwise be a pre-disposition for that child to have the condition.	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T8	Table 8	QGENOME_3 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a common medically manageable condition (such as asthma) in the resulting child.	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T9	Table 9	QGENOME_3 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a common medically manageable condition (such as asthma) in the resulting child.	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T10	Table 10	Q14DAY - Do you think this 14-day rule is too long, too short, or about right?	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T11	Table 11	Q14DAY - Do you think this 14-day rule is too long, too short, or about right?	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T12	Table 12	QEXTEND - For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T13	Table 13	QEXTEND - For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T14	Table 14	QSTATE_1 - Should the state offer funded/paid for fertility treatment for people in Spain who are infertile and wish to conceive?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T15	Table 15	QSTATE_1 - Should the state offer funded/paid for fertility treatment for people in Spain who are infertile and wish to conceive?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T16	Table 16	QSTATE_2 - Should people undergoing private (i.e. not paid for by the state) fertility treatment in Spain be able to choose the biological sex of their child based on their personal preference?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T17	Table 17	QSTATE_2 - Should people undergoing private (i.e. not paid for by the state) fertility treatment in Spain be able to choose the biological sex of their child based on their personal preference?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T18	Table 18	QLIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T19	Table 19	QLIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T20	Table 20	QLIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T21	Table 21	QLIMIT_2 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T22	Table 22	QLIMIT_2 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T23	Table 23	QAGE - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to...	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T24	Table 24	QAGE_1 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T25	Table 25	QAGE_1 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T26	Table 26	QAGE_2 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T27	Table 27	QAGE_2 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T28	Table 28	QDONATE - Under which, if any, of the following circumstances would you personally consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T29	Table 29	QDONATE - Under which, if any, of the following circumstances would you personally consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T30	Table 30	QID - If donor-conceived children were legally entitled to find out the identity of their donor(s) upon reaching the age of 18, would you still consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?	Base: All who would donate in at least some circumstances
T31	Table 31	QID - If donor-conceived children were legally entitled to find out the identity of their donor(s) upon reaching the age of 18, would you still consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?	Base: All who would donate in at least some circumstances
T32	Table 32	QPOST - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T33	Table 33	QPOST_1 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was the husband/wife/partner of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T34	Table 34	QPOST_1 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was the husband/wife/partner of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T35	Table 35	QPOST_2 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was a family member of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T36	Table 36	QPOST_2 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was a family member of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T37	Table 37	QPOST_3 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was not known to the person wishing to conceive (e.g. in a sperm or egg bank, etc.), but the deceased gave explicit consent for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T38	Table 38	QPOST_3 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was not known to the person wishing to conceive (e.g. in a sperm or egg bank, etc.), but the deceased gave explicit consent for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T39	Table 39	QIVF - Which, if any, of the following groups do you think IVF should be available to via the healthcare system?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T40	Table 40	QIVF - Which, if any, of the following groups do you think IVF should be available to via the healthcare system?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T41	Table 41	QSUR - Do you think that surrogacy should or should not be legally permitted in Spain?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T42	Table 42	QSUR - Do you think that surrogacy should or should not be legally permitted in Spain?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T43	Table 43	QEXP - Thinking about surrogacy, which ONE of the following statements do you agree with?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain
T44	Table 44	QEXP - Thinking about surrogacy, which ONE of the following statements do you agree with?	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 2
QEMBRYO - To what extent do you support or oppose the use of human embryos in scientific and medical research to help understand, and develop treatments for, congenital disease?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	936	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Strongly support	456 21%	456 44%	-	-	400 38%	29 6%	8 3%	388 34%	36 8%	11 4%	344 35%	52 10%	38 11%	30 21%	240 31%	69 46%	258 28%	154 20%	48 23%	104 21%	146 31%	105 22%
Tend to support	582 27%	582 56%	-	-	428 40%	90 19%	25 8%	426 37%	91 20%	32 12%	347 35%	124 24%	72 21%	60 42%	334 43%	42 28%	289 31%	211 28%	48 23%	175 35%	149 32%	113 24%
Neither support nor oppose	479 22%	-	479 100%	-	144 13%	222 47%	56 19%	159 14%	220 47%	49 19%	142 14%	212 41%	64 18%	54 37%	211 27%	39 26%	203 22%	142 19%	56 27%	120 24%	89 19%	64 14%
Tend to oppose	143 7%	-	-	143 43%	28 3%	41 9%	61 20%	46 4%	31 7%	54 21%	45 5%	37 7%	50 14%	-	-	-	44 5%	79 10%	17 8%	34 7%	17 4%	42 9%
Strongly oppose	192 9%	-	-	192 57%	22 2%	16 3%	134 45%	55 5%	24 5%	95 37%	48 5%	22 4%	106 30%	-	-	-	62 7%	102 13%	22 11%	32 6%	29 6%	83 18%
NET: All support	1039 48%	1039 100%	-	-	828 78%	118 25%	33 11%	813 71%	127 27%	44 17%	691 70%	176 34%	109 31%	90 63%	574 73%	111 74%	547 59%	365 48%	96 46%	279 57%	295 64%	218 46%
NET: All oppose	335 15%	-	-	335 100%	50 5%	57 12%	195 65%	102 9%	55 12%	149 58%	92 9%	58 11%	156 45%	-	-	-	105 11%	181 24%	39 19%	66 13%	45 10%	126 27%
Don't know	229 11%	-	-	-	33 3%	53 11%	12 4%	57 5%	47 10%	12 5%	49 5%	50 10%	16 4%	-	-	-	59 6%	64 8%	10 5%	23 5%	25 5%	50 11%
Prefer not to answer	89 4%	-	-	-	11 1%	17 4%	3 1%	11 1%	16 3%	4 2%	10 1%	18 3%	3 1%	-	-	-	19 2%	15 2%	6 3%	5 1%	9 2%	12 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.

[Return to Index](#)



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
 6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
 PUBLIC
 IPSOS

16 Feb 2026

Table 3
QGENOME - Genome editing: Support/oppose...

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	...research to help understand... congenital disease	...to transfer to womb to mitigate lifethreatening conditions	...to transfer to womb to mitigate common medically manageable conditions
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	2171	2171
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	2171	2171
Strongly support	445	520	369
Tend to support	619	623	614
	29%	29%	28%
Neither support nor oppose	467	463	514
	22%	21%	24%
Tend to oppose	170	136	179
	8%	6%	8%
Strongly oppose	130	122	169
	6%	6%	8%
NET: All support	1064	1143	983
	49%	53%	45%
NET: All oppose	300	258	348
	14%	12%	16%
Don't know	268	235	254
	12%	11%	12%
Prefer not to answer	72	72	71
	3%	3%	3%

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOs

Table 5
QGENOME_1 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos for scientific and medical research to help understand or develop treatments for congenital disease (the research embryos will never be used to establish a pregnancy in a human being)

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	936	473	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Strongly support	445	389	25	16	445	-	-	398	21	15	350	40	44	25	231	59	242	163	40	102	142	108
	21%	37%	5%	5%	42%	-	-	35%	5%	6%	36%	8%	13%	17%	29%	39%	26%	21%	19%	21%	31%	23%
		bc			ef			hi			kl				m	mn	q				rsu	
Tend to support	619	439	119	33	619	-	-	475	90	28	407	113	68	60	322	42	301	227	68	169	152	126
	29%	42%	25%	10%	58%	-	-	42%	19%	11%	41%	22%	19%	42%	41%	28%	32%	30%	33%	34%	33%	27%
		bc	c		ef			hi			kl				o						st	
Neither support nor oppose	467	118	222	57	-	467	-	135	273	47	115	277	55	33	160	29	198	126	50	127	74	58
	22%	11%	46%	17%	-	100%	-	12%	59%	18%	12%	54%	16%	23%	20%	19%	21%	16%	24%	26%	16%	12%
			ac	a		df		gi		g		jl					q		tu			
Tend to oppose	170	27	44	86	-	-	170	52	37	72	42	42	76	12	37	13	71	81	21	45	30	45
	8%	3%	9%	26%	-	-	57%	5%	8%	28%	4%	8%	22%	8%	5%	9%	8%	11%	10%	9%	6%	9%
		a	ab				de		g	gh		j	jk									
Strongly oppose	130	7	12	108	-	-	130	29	14	82	24	17	87	4	10	2	39	73	13	15	20	65
	6%	1%	3%	32%	-	-	43%	3%	3%	32%	2%	3%	25%	3%	1%	1%	4%	10%	6%	3%	4%	14%
		a	ab				de		gh		ik						p					rst
NET: All support	1064	828	144	50	1064	-	-	873	111	43	757	153	112	85	553	101	543	390	108	271	294	234
	49%	80%	30%	15%	100%	-	-	76%	24%	17%	77%	30%	32%	59%	70%	67%	58%	51%	52%	55%	63%	50%
		bc	c		ef			hi		i	kl				m		q				rsu	
NET: All oppose	300	33	56	195	-	-	300	81	50	154	65	59	163	16	47	15	111	155	33	60	49	110
	14%	3%	12%	58%	-	-	100%	7%	11%	60%	7%	11%	47%	11%	6%	10%	12%	20%	16%	12%	11%	23%
		a	ab				de		g	gh		j	jk		n		p					rst
Don't know	268	53	48	23	-	-	-	47	26	9	42	19	13	8	21	5	63	83	13	26	39	55
	12%	5%	10%	7%	-	-	-	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	7%	11%	6%	5%	8%	12%
		a															p					rs
Prefer not to answer	72	6	10	11	-	-	-	6	3	4	4	7	5	2	3	1	18	13	2	9	8	13
	3%	1%	2%	3%	-	-	-	1%	1%	2%	*	1%	1%	2%	*	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%
			a	a						g												

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPROS

16 Feb 2026

Table 7

QGENOME_2 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a severe or life-threatening condition (such as cystic fibrosis) in the resulting child where there may otherwise be a pre-disposition for that child to have the condition.

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	996	473	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Strongly support	520 24%	419 40%	35 7%	41 12%	436 41%	33 7%	29 10%	520 45%	-	-	433 44%	43 8%	29 8%	36 25%	239 30%	60 40%	278 30%	183 24%	52 25%	119 24%	161 35%	114 24%
Tend to support	623 29%	395 38%	124 26%	60 18%	438 41%	102 22%	52 17%	623 55%	-	-	424 43%	119 23%	48 14%	48 33%	301 38%	44 30%	304 33%	221 29%	53 26%	179 36%	146 32%	131 28%
Neither support nor oppose	463 21%	127 12%	220 46%	55 16%	111 10%	273 58%	50 17%	- 100%	463 -	-	82 8%	297 58%	62 18%	39 27%	171 22%	27 18%	193 21%	132 17%	56 27%	114 23%	78 17%	64 14%
Tend to oppose	136 6%	28 3%	40 8%	58 17%	28 3%	35 8%	68 23%	- -	136 53%	-	19 2%	28 5%	82 24%	12 8%	33 4%	8 5%	52 6%	68 9%	18 9%	36 7%	29 6%	31 7%
Strongly oppose	122 6%	16 2%	9 2%	91 27%	15 1%	12 3%	86 29%	- -	122 47%	-	6 1%	8 1%	107 31%	1 1%	11 1%	6 4%	34 4%	73 10%	11 5%	17 3%	15 3%	62 13%
NET: All support	1143 53%	813 78%	159 33%	102 30%	873 82%	135 29%	81 27%	1143 100%	-	-	856 87%	161 31%	77 22%	83 58%	540 69%	104 69%	582 62%	405 53%	105 51%	298 60%	307 66%	245 52%
NET: All oppose	258 12%	44 4%	49 10%	149 44%	43 4%	47 10%	154 51%	- -	258 100%	-	26 3%	35 7%	189 54%	13 9%	44 6%	14 9%	87 9%	142 19%	28 14%	53 11%	44 10%	93 20%
Don't know	235 11%	50 5%	41 9%	20 6%	31 3%	10 2%	11 4%	- -	- -	- -	15 2%	14 3%	18 5%	6 4%	26 3%	3 2%	58 6%	70 9%	16 8%	22 4%	26 6%	52 11%
Prefer not to answer	72 3%	5 *	10 2%	10 3%	6 1%	3 1%	3 1%	- -	- -	- -	3 *	6 1%	2 *	2 1%	3 *	2 2%	14 1%	17 2%	1 1%	7 1%	9 2%	15 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 9

QGENOME_3 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a common medically manageable condition (such as asthma) in the resulting child.

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	304	991	508	354	145	791	152	936	473	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Strongly support	369 17%	290 28%	32 7%	29 9%	301 28%	25 5%	27 9%	345 30%	10 2%	10 4%	369 38%	- -	- -	35 24%	167 21%	44 29%	220 24%	111 14%	37 18%	98 20%	118 25%	73 15%
Tend to support	614 28%	400 39%	110 23%	63 19%	455 43%	90 19%	39 13%	512 45%	72 16%	16 6%	614 62%	- -	- -	39 27%	299 38%	52 35%	311 33%	219 29%	56 27%	171 35%	149 32%	119 25%
Neither support nor oppose	514 24%	176 17%	212 44%	58 17%	153 14%	277 59%	59 20%	161 14%	297 64%	35 14%	- -	514 100%	- -	42 30%	188 24%	31 20%	206 22%	159 21%	57 28%	123 25%	97 21%	84 18%
Tend to oppose	179 8%	61 6%	48 10%	58 17%	64 6%	38 8%	68 23%	52 5%	41 9%	72 28%	- -	- -	179 51%	12 8%	58 7%	17 11%	76 8%	84 11%	26 13%	48 10%	33 7%	41 9%
Strongly oppose	169 8%	48 5%	16 3%	98 29%	48 4%	18 4%	94 32%	25 2%	21 5%	117 45%	- -	- -	169 49%	5 3%	38 5%	4 3%	53 6%	98 13%	13 6%	23 5%	29 6%	84 18%
NET: All support	983 45%	691 66%	142 30%	92 28%	757 71%	115 25%	65 22%	856 75%	82 18%	26 10%	983 100%	- -	- -	73 51%	466 59%	96 64%	531 57%	329 43%	94 45%	269 55%	267 58%	192 41%
NET: All oppose	348 16%	109 11%	64 13%	156 46%	112 11%	55 12%	163 54%	77 7%	62 13%	189 73%	- -	- -	348 100%	17 12%	96 12%	21 14%	128 14%	182 24%	40 19%	71 14%	62 13%	125 27%
Don't know	254 12%	59 6%	43 9%	19 6%	38 4%	13 3%	12 4%	43 4%	15 3%	7 3%	- -	- -	- -	8 6%	28 4%	2 1%	50 5%	78 10%	14 7%	19 4%	31 7%	58 12%
Prefer not to answer	71 3%	3 *	18 4%	10 3%	5 *	7 1%	1 *	5 *	7 2%	1 *	- -	- -	- -	3 2%	6 1%	1 1%	18 2%	17 2%	2 1%	11 2%	8 2%	11 2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 11
Q14DAY - Do you think this '14-day rule' is too long, too short, or about right?

Base: All support/neutral on use of emryos in research

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1514	1047	467	-	979	336	90	987	337	86	840	383	172	145	791	152	753	511	153	391	389	288
WEIGHTED BASE	1518	1039	479	-**	972	340	90*	973	346	92*	832	388	174	143	785	150	751	508	152	399	384	282
Far too long	25 2%	13 1%	12 2%	-	14 1%	4 1%	4 4%	14 1%	4 1%	3 4%	9 1%	6 2%	2 1%	25 17%	-	-	11 1%	9 2%	4 3%	8 2%	4 1%	6 2%
A little too long	119 8%	77 7%	42 9%	-	71 7%	29 8%	12 13%	69 7%	35 10%	10 11%	64 8%	36 9%	15 8%	119 83%	-	-	75 10%	28 6%	18 12%	44 11%	23 6%	10 4%
About right	785 52%	574 55%	211 44%	-	553 57%	160 47%	47 53%	540 56%	171 49%	44 48%	466 56%	188 48%	96 55%	-	785 100%	-	404 54%	283 56%	78 52%	218 55%	214 56%	161 57%
A little too short	109 7%	76 7%	34 7%	-	65 7%	25 7%	13 15%	73 7%	21 6%	11 12%	65 8%	24 6%	18 10%	-	-	109 73%	53 7%	41 8%	11 7%	28 7%	32 8%	19 7%
Far too short	41 3%	35 3%	5 1%	-	35 4%	4 1%	2 2%	31 3%	5 2%	3 3%	30 4%	7 2%	3 2%	-	-	41 27%	28 4%	11 2%	2 2%	10 2%	17 4%	10 3%
NET: Too long	143 9%	90 9%	54 11%	-	85 9%	33 10%	16 17%	83 9%	39 11%	13 14%	73 9%	42 11%	17 10%	143 100%	-	-	86 11%	37 7%	23 15%	52 13%	28 7%	16 6%
NET: Too short	150 10%	111 11%	39 8%	-	101 10%	29 8%	15 17%	104 11%	27 8%	14 15%	96 11%	31 8%	21 12%	-	-	150 100%	81 11%	53 10%	13 8%	38 9%	49 13%	29 10%
Don't know	415 27%	262 25%	153 32%	-	229 24%	108 32%	10 11%	241 25%	97 28%	18 19%	193 23%	116 30%	37 21%	-	-	-	172 23%	128 25%	36 24%	85 21%	91 24%	72 26%
Prefer not to answer	25 2%	3 *	22 5%	-	4 *	11 3%	2 2%	4 *	12 4%	3 3%	4 *	11 3%	3 1%	-	-	-	8 1%	7 1%	2 1%	6 2%	3 1%	4 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Table 12
 QUESTIONS: For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?

Base: All support/neutral on use of embryo in research

	SEXES			AGE							WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION				MARRIAGE/STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION												ANNUAL INCOME (GBP)			
	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75+	FULL TIME	PART TIME	SELF EMPLOYED	ANY WORKING	NOT WORKING	GRADUATES	NON GRADUATES	UNMARRIED	MARRIED	WIDOWED	SEPARATED	1	2	3	4+	0	1	2	3	4+	NORTH EAST	YORKSHIRE	SOUTH EAST	CENTRAL	NORTH WEST	NORTH	MIDLANDS	SOUTH WEST	WILTSHIRE	OF 10 000	10K-20K	20K-30K	30K-40K							
Improving medical understanding of children (i.e. from 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	440	324	355	45	81	91	113	85	66	335	37	13	301	170	260	290	734	121	45	30	120	140	160	187	263	123	58	5	3	44	74	113	34	40	30	77	63	93	161	122	122	90								
Improving scientific understanding of human development	400	244	231	51	74	96	115	87	14	256	30	14	302	178	290	130	108	112	41	46	113	115	145	189	281	132	40	7	-	48	72	109	17	41	35	74	64	103	161	124	124	97								
Improving medical understanding of miscarriage (i.e. from 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	444	214	228	55	66	77	108	72	65	220	27	15	302	182	276	168	287	122	35	33	106	140	165	175	269	115	54	5	1	40	79	111	28	41	34	60	50	93	161	124	124	29								
Improving fertility treatment (such as IVF)	340	175	171	46	67	87	55	35	202	119	7	228	130	209	139	234	89	24	35	81	110	131	150	188	168	46	5	1	30	46	84	22	27	28	55	56	73	116	92	92	25									
Other reason	38	18	20	4	5	7	13	4	1	26	3	1	23	11	21	17	25	10	3	3	14	14	7	7	14	24	8	2	1	4	7	8	1	2	2	8	5	12	13	10	1									
Not justifiable to extend this time limit by 14 days for any reason	180	46	55	13	27	33	17	17	44	9	5	60	42	63	39	57	31	14	17	34	18	33	40	63	23	12	5	-	5	19	27	9	10	9	12	11	21	52	18	8										
Don't know	76	6%	7%	6%	6%	9%	6%	8%	6%	10%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	10%	11%	8%	4%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	21%	-	4%	8%	7%	8%	8%	5%	6%	7%	6%	5%	7%									
Prefer not to answer	206	142	161	25	34	37	63	72	53	135	21	11	172	111	211	121	184	88	31	32	100	94	78	80	224	55	22	3	-	37	33	81	29	30	26	37	31	74	110	58	24									

Proportions/Means: Column Totals (25-04176-06- FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026) - 4TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
 Missing: 0
 Weighting: 1
 Base: All support/neutral on use of embryo in research

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 13

QEXTEND - For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?

Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1514	1047	467	-	979	336	90	987	337	86	840	383	172	145	791	152	753	511	153	391	389	288
WEIGHTED BASE	1518	1039	479	-**	972	340	90*	973	346	92*	832	388	174	143	785	150	751	508	152	399	384	282
Finding new treatments for congenital diseases	634	511	124	-	486	98	26	506	81	26	421	120	64	40	354	92	338	235	57	167	193	138
	42%	49%	26%	-	50%	29%	29%	52%	23%	28%	51%	31%	37%	28%	45%	61%	45%	46%	38%	42%	50%	49%
		b			ef			hi			kl			m	mn		rs		f			r
Improving medical understanding of stillbirth (i.e. loss from 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	480	402	78	-	382	68	15	389	62	15	328	87	41	26	279	72	273	163	48	127	157	93
	32%	39%	16%	-	39%	20%	16%	40%	18%	16%	39%	22%	24%	18%	36%	48%	36%	32%	32%	32%	41%	33%
		b			ef			hi			kl			m	mn		su				su	
Increasing scientific understanding of human development	480	392	88	-	383	68	15	374	76	18	337	82	44	34	269	79	280	157	51	128	157	89
	32%	38%	18%	-	39%	20%	17%	38%	22%	19%	40%	21%	25%	24%	34%	53%	37%	31%	34%	32%	41%	32%
		b			ef			hi			kl			m	mn		q				su	
Improving medical understanding of miscarriage (i.e. loss before 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	444	357	87	-	345	61	12	349	61	17	307	80	35	27	250	75	254	145	38	124	149	81
	29%	34%	18%	-	35%	18%	13%	36%	18%	19%	37%	21%	20%	19%	32%	50%	34%	29%	25%	31%	39%	29%
		b			ef			hi			kl			m	mn		rsu				rsu	
Improving fertility treatment (such as IVF)	348	275	73	-	248	62	14	262	63	10	234	68	26	39	177	53	214	101	29	105	104	56
	23%	26%	15%	-	26%	18%	15%	27%	18%	11%	28%	18%	15%	27%	23%	35%	29%	20%	19%	26%	27%	20%
		b			ef			hi			kl			n		q					u	
Other reason	38	24	14	-	22	9	3	24	9	4	21	12	3	5	19	5	19	11	3	6	11	5
	2%	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%
		a			df			g			jl			o		p					t	
Not justifiable to extend this time limit to 28 days for any reason	102	66	36	-	62	18	16	55	22	17	38	32	28	27	59	4	53	38	12	24	29	25
	7%	6%	7%	-	6%	5%	18%	6%	6%	18%	5%	8%	16%	19%	7%	2%	7%	8%	8%	6%	8%	9%
		a			de			gh			i			no		o					p	
Don't know	304	169	134	-	152	92	12	152	85	17	120	98	28	11	95	6	97	91	24	58	42	57
	20%	16%	28%	-	16%	27%	13%	16%	24%	18%	14%	25%	16%	7%	12%	4%	13%	18%	16%	15%	11%	20%
		a			df			g			jl			o		p					t	
Prefer not to answer	35	9	27	-	8	17	-	10	19	-	7	18	2	2	11	1	5	11	-	7	3	5
	2%	1%	6%	-	1%	5%	-	1%	5%	-	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%
		a			df			g			jl					*	p					

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 15
QSTATE_1 - Should the state offer funded/ paid for fertility treatment for people in Spain who are infertile and wish to conceive?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	986	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Yes, definitely	569 26%	362 35%	75 16%	84 25%	345 32%	87 19%	72 24%	394 34%	79 17%	43 17%	332 34%	96 19%	78 22%	41 28%	218 28%	42 28%	306 33%	182 24%	49 24%	150 30%	170 37%	114 24%
Yes, probably	781 36%	394 38%	212 44%	95 28%	421 40%	186 40%	92 31%	453 40%	189 41%	68 26%	396 40%	201 39%	116 33%	66 46%	327 42%	51 34%	391 42%	249 33%	78 38%	223 45%	173 37%	137 29%
No, probably not	269 12%	124 12%	73 15%	53 16%	123 12%	73 16%	47 16%	120 11%	73 16%	58 22%	107 11%	82 16%	59 17%	19 13%	117 15%	22 14%	99 11%	134 17%	36 17%	57 12%	56 12%	72 15%
No, definitely not	217 10%	91 9%	37 8%	63 19%	106 10%	28 6%	60 20%	93 8%	36 8%	63 24%	83 8%	44 9%	66 19%	9 6%	71 9%	25 17%	65 7%	127 17%	29 14%	27 6%	34 7%	99 21%
NET: All should	1350 62%	756 73%	287 60%	178 53%	766 72%	273 58%	164 55%	847 74%	268 58%	111 43%	727 74%	297 58%	194 56%	107 74%	546 70%	93 62%	697 75%	432 56%	127 61%	372 75%	342 74%	251 53%
NET: All should not	486 22%	215 21%	110 23%	116 34%	229 22%	102 22%	107 36%	214 19%	110 24%	120 47%	189 19%	126 25%	125 36%	28 19%	187 24%	47 31%	164 18%	261 34%	65 32%	85 17%	90 19%	171 36%
Don't know	275 13%	63 6%	71 15%	32 10%	63 6%	78 17%	24 8%	75 7%	72 15%	20 8%	63 6%	74 14%	23 7%	8 6%	47 6%	8 5%	68 7%	60 8%	12 6%	30 6%	29 6%	36 8%
Prefer not to answer	59 3%	5 1%	11 2%	9 3%	7 1%	14 3%	5 2%	7 1%	14 3%	7 3%	4 *	17 3%	6 2%	1 1%	6 1%	2 2%	5 1%	14 2%	2 1%	6 1%	2 *	12 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 17
QSTATE_2 - Should people undergoing private (i.e. not paid for by the state) fertility treatment in Spain be able to choose the biological sex of their child based on their personal preference?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	996	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Yes, definitely	207	135	34	26	136	31	28	144	33	19	144	34	18	36	74	24	143	44	22	61	69	28
	10%	13%	7%	8%	13%	7%	9%	13%	7%	7%	15%	7%	5%	25%	9%	16%	15%	6%	11%	12%	15%	6%
		bc			e			hi			kl			n		n	q		u		u	u
Yes, probably	483	268	127	55	273	120	55	294	120	38	272	135	47	48	231	32	302	119	59	141	144	52
	22%	26%	27%	16%	26%	26%	18%	26%	26%	15%	28%	26%	13%	34%	29%	21%	32%	16%	28%	29%	31%	11%
		c			f			i			l			o		q		u		u	u	u
No, probably not	426	209	102	74	213	108	60	235	109	47	199	117	70	30	174	33	179	165	47	117	90	75
	20%	20%	21%	22%	20%	23%	20%	21%	24%	18%	20%	23%	20%	21%	22%	22%	19%	22%	23%	24%	19%	16%
																u		u				
No, definitely not	598	301	101	134	312	93	125	324	85	124	240	109	178	20	218	45	180	343	53	116	97	248
	28%	29%	21%	40%	29%	20%	42%	28%	18%	48%	24%	21%	51%	14%	28%	30%	19%	45%	26%	23%	21%	53%
		b		ab	e		de	h		gh			jk		m	m		p				rst
NET: All should	690	403	161	81	409	151	83	438	153	57	416	169	65	84	305	56	445	164	81	201	214	80
	32%	39%	34%	24%	38%	32%	28%	38%	33%	22%	42%	33%	19%	59%	39%	37%	48%	21%	39%	41%	46%	17%
		c			ef			i			kl			no		q		u		u	u	u
NET: All should not	1023	509	202	209	526	201	185	559	194	172	438	226	248	50	392	78	358	508	101	233	187	323
	47%	49%	42%	62%	49%	43%	62%	49%	42%	67%	45%	44%	71%	35%	50%	52%	38%	66%	49%	47%	40%	69%
		b		ab	e		de	h		gh			jk		m	m		p				rst
Don't know	388	115	98	38	117	101	26	136	98	24	122	97	30	7	77	12	115	84	22	52	54	58
	18%	11%	21%	11%	11%	22%	9%	12%	21%	9%	12%	19%	9%	5%	10%	8%	12%	11%	11%	11%	12%	12%
			ac		df			gi			jl							t				
Prefer not to answer	70	11	18	8	13	15	6	10	18	5	7	22	6	2	10	5	16	11	4	7	9	8
	3%	1%	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
			a		d			g			j											

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
 6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
 PUBLIC
 IPSOS

16 Feb 2026

Table 18

QLIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	A biological female, who will give birth	A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	2171
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	2171
There should not be an upper age-limit	413	433
There should be an upper age-limit	990	903
	46%	42%
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	148	164
	7%	8%
None of these	146	122
	7%	6%
Don't know	408	477
	19%	22%
Prefer not to answer	66	72
	3%	3%



Table 20

QUIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	996	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
There should not be an upper age-limit	413	232	75	72	230	82	59	238	75	57	207	82	79	32	180	28	224	132	30	122	114	89
	19%	22%	16%	21%	22%	18%	20%	21%	16%	22%	21%	16%	23%	22%	23%	18%	24%	17%	14%	25%	25%	19%
		b		b				h			k		k				q			ru	ru	
There should be an upper age-limit	990	553	183	160	582	174	131	627	179	109	522	218	163	56	417	73	437	411	100	228	232	251
	46%	53%	38%	48%	55%	37%	44%	55%	39%	42%	53%	42%	47%	39%	53%	48%	47%	54%	48%	46%	50%	53%
		b		b	ef			hi			k		k		m		p				s	s
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	148	60	50	30	55	43	37	53	55	28	60	44	36	20	63	12	73	60	31	44	25	26
	7%	6%	10%	9%	5%	9%	12%	5%	12%	11%	6%	8%	10%	14%	8%	8%	8%	8%	15%	9%	5%	6%
		a		a	d		d	g		g	n		j						stu	t		
None of these	146	54	45	32	50	45	31	60	44	28	57	43	29	21	41	15	68	51	20	41	31	20
	7%	5%	9%	9%	5%	10%	10%	5%	9%	11%	6%	8%	8%	15%	5%	10%	7%	7%	10%	8%	7%	4%
		a		a	d		d	g		g	n		n						u	u		
Don't know	408	126	117	35	139	109	35	151	97	32	127	114	37	12	79	21	124	97	25	51	59	70
	19%	12%	24%	11%	13%	23%	12%	13%	21%	12%	13%	22%	11%	9%	10%	14%	13%	13%	12%	10%	13%	15%
		ac			df			gi			jl								s		s	s
Prefer not to answer	66	13	9	8	8	14	7	14	14	5	9	13	3	2	6	2	8	16	1	8	2	13
	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	*	3%
		d		d	d		d	g		g	j		j				p		t		t	t

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 22

QUIMIT_2 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	936	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
There should not be an upper age-limit	433	238	80	78	242	86	69	266	87	45	227	95	72	34	188	31	256	130	42	138	121	77
	20%	23%	17%	23%	23%	18%	23%	23%	19%	17%	23%	18%	21%	24%	24%	21%	27%	17%	20%	28%	26%	16%
		b		b				i			k						q			ru	u	
There should be an upper age-limit	903	527	158	138	537	164	119	576	155	106	479	191	159	48	393	77	397	381	87	207	222	239
	42%	51%	33%	41%	50%	35%	40%	50%	33%	41%	49%	37%	46%	34%	50%	51%	43%	50%	42%	42%	48%	51%
		bc		b				hi		h	k		k		m	m	p					rs
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	164	70	52	32	78	40	33	69	44	38	64	51	37	33	60	15	88	62	30	51	29	31
	8%	7%	11%	10%	7%	9%	11%	6%	10%	15%	6%	10%	11%	23%	8%	10%	9%	8%	15%	10%	6%	7%
		a		a			d		g	gh		j		no					tu	tu		
None of these	122	39	43	28	35	42	27	37	44	26	47	33	26	10	44	8	52	42	9	34	29	19
	6%	4%	9%	8%	3%	9%	9%	3%	10%	10%	5%	6%	8%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%	4%	7%	6%	4%
		a		a		d	d		g	g		j										
Don't know	477	154	134	49	163	125	45	183	122	38	157	130	49	15	94	17	131	138	36	56	60	90
	22%	15%	28%	15%	15%	27%	15%	16%	26%	15%	16%	25%	14%	10%	12%	11%	14%	18%	18%	11%	13%	19%
		ac				df		gi			jl						p		s			st
Prefer not to answer	72	11	11	10	9	11	7	12	12	6	10	15	5	3	6	2	9	14	3	8	3	14
	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%
		a		a		d	d		g		j								t			t

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
 6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
 PUBLIC
 IPSOS

16 Feb 2026

Table 23

QAGE - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to...

Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this

	The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth	The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/ partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	983	901
WEIGHTED BASE	990	903
25 years old	9	7
30 years old	12 1%	8 1%
35 years old	92 9%	55 6%
40 years old	253 26%	163 18%
45 years old	360 36%	283 31%
50 years old	176 18%	215 24%
55 years old	36 4%	78 9%
60 years old	10 1%	43 5%
65 years old or older	3 *	8 1%
NET: 40 years old or younger	366 37%	233 26%
NET: 45 years old or younger	726 73%	517 57%
NET: 50 years old or younger	902 91%	731 81%
NET: 60 years old or younger	948 96%	853 94%
None of these	2 *	4 *
Don't know	38 4%	39 4%



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 25

QAGE_1 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth

Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...					
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)	
UNWEIGHTED BASE	983	556	175	160	581	171	130	629	171	109	520	217	164	57	416	75	432	413	100	219	239	256	
WEIGHTED BASE	990	553	183	160	582	174	131	627	179	109*	522	218	163	56*	417	73*	437	411	100*	228	232	251	
25 years old	9 1%	7 1%	1 1%	-	5 1%	4 2%	-	6 1%	1 1%	1 1%	5 1%	1 1%	1 1%	5 9%	4 1%	-	7 2%	-	3 3%	5 2%	2 1%	-	
30 years old	12 1%	9 2%	1 1%	2 1%	9 2%	1 1%	-	10 2%	-	1 1%	10 2%	2 1%	-	2 4%	3 1%	3 4%	6 1%	5 1%	1 1%	4 2%	3 1%	4 2%	
35 years old	92 9%	35 6%	25 14%	21 13%	46 8%	18 10%	16 12%	52 8%	17 9%	13 12%	50 10%	18 8%	14 9%	3 6%	35 8%	4 5%	48 11%	34 8%	8 8%	23 9%	21 9%	26 10%	
40 years old	253 26%	158 29%	29 16%	47 29%	142 24%	46 26%	39 30%	166 27%	43 24%	28 26%	134 26%	58 27%	45 28%	11 20%	113 27%	18 25%	113 26%	105 25%	30 30%	53 23%	64 28%	62 25%	
45 years old	360 36%	205 37%	68 37%	51 32%	219 38%	59 34%	39 30%	233 37%	58 32%	34 31%	186 36%	78 36%	53 32%	14 25%	157 38%	32 43%	153 35%	147 36%	35 34%	78 34%	88 38%	96 38%	
50 years old	176 18%	101 18%	40 22%	22 14%	116 20%	29 17%	20 15%	120 19%	35 19%	13 12%	102 20%	41 19%	24 15%	14 25%	73 18%	14 19%	81 19%	80 20%	18 18%	43 19%	41 18%	41 16%	
55 years old	36 4%	16 3%	5 3%	10 6%	19 3%	7 4%	8 6%	18 3%	10 6%	7 6%	14 3%	9 4%	11 7%	2 4%	17 4%	1 2%	11 2%	17 4%	2 2%	11 5%	7 3%	11 4%	
60 years old	10 1%	6 1%	1 *	2 1%	5 1%	2 1%	1 1%	4 1%	1 1%	4 3%	1 *	3 1%	6 4%	1 2%	2 *	1 2%	4 1%	5 1%	1 1%	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	
65 years old or older	3 *	1 *	2 a	-	2 *	-	-	1 *	2 1%	-	2 *	-	-	1 2%	2 *	-	-	2 *	1 1%	-	-	-	1 *
NET: 40 years old or younger	366 37%	210 38%	57 31%	69 43%	203 35%	69 40%	55 42%	234 37%	61 34%	44 40%	198 38%	79 36%	61 37%	22 39%	155 37%	25 34%	173 40%	144 35%	42 42%	85 37%	89 39%	92 37%	
NET: 45 years old or younger	726 73%	415 75%	124 68%	120 75%	421 72%	128 73%	94 72%	467 75%	119 66%	78 71%	385 74%	157 72%	114 70%	36 64%	312 75%	56 77%	326 75%	291 71%	76 76%	163 72%	177 76%	188 75%	
NET: 50 years old or younger	902 91%	517 93%	164 90%	142 89%	537 92%	156 90%	114 87%	587 94%	153 86%	91 83%	487 93%	197 90%	138 84%	50 89%	385 92%	70 96%	406 93%	371 90%	94 94%	206 90%	218 94%	229 91%	
NET: 60 years old or younger	948 96%	539 97%	170 93%	154 96%	562 96%	165 95%	123 94%	610 97%	165 92%	101 93%	503 96%	209 96%	155 95%	53 94%	404 97%	73 100%	421 97%	394 96%	97 96%	219 96%	228 98%	243 97%	
None of these	2 *	1 *	1 *	-	2 *	-	-	1 *	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	2 *	-	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	
Don't know	38 4%	13 2%	10 5%	6 4%	17 3%	9 5%	8 6%	16 3%	12 7%	7 6%	18 3%	9 4%	7 4%	2 4%	9 2%	-	14 3%	14 4%	2 2%	8 10%	4 2%	6 2%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 27

QAQE_2 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)

Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	901	529	152	142	539	160	120	576	153	106	476	193	161	49	393	79	392	387	85	199	229	247
WEIGHTED BASE	903	527	158	138	537	164	119	576	155	106*	479	191	159	48*	393	77*	397	381	87*	207	222	239
25 years old	7 1%	6 1%	1 1%	- -	6 1%	1 1%	- -	6 1%	- -	1 1%	6 1%	1 1%	- -	3 7%	3 1%	- -	3 1%	4 1%	2 3%	2 1%	1 *	2 1%
30 years old	8 1%	7 1%	1 1%	- -	4 1%	3 2%	1 1%	4 1%	3 2%	- -	5 1%	- -	3 2%	2 4%	2 1%	3 3%	4 1%	4 1%	2 2%	2 1%	1 *	3 1%
35 years old	55 6%	20 4%	16 10%	16 11%	26 5%	13 8%	14 12%	21 4%	16 11%	12 12%	26 5%	14 7%	13 8%	1 2%	21 5%	6 7%	28 7%	23 6%	7 8%	14 7%	10 4%	19 8%
40 years old	163 18%	98 19%	29 18%	28 20%	93 17%	28 17%	25 21%	109 19%	21 14%	23 21%	88 18%	35 18%	30 19%	6 11%	76 19%	12 16%	72 18%	71 19%	17 19%	39 19%	44 20%	46 19%
45 years old	283 31%	177 34%	40 25%	42 30%	180 34%	52 31%	25 21%	190 33%	40 26%	31 29%	144 30%	60 31%	53 34%	16 32%	133 34%	23 30%	122 31%	123 32%	24 28%	63 30%	67 30%	81 34%
50 years old	215 24%	127 24%	36 22%	34 25%	125 23%	38 23%	34 29%	144 25%	39 25%	22 21%	126 26%	46 24%	28 18%	13 27%	90 23%	19 24%	97 25%	82 22%	20 22%	55 27%	59 27%	50 21%
55 years old	78 9%	43 8%	15 10%	10 7%	46 9%	16 10%	8 7%	51 9%	11 7%	8 8%	38 8%	16 9%	14 9%	5 10%	31 8%	12 15%	36 9%	31 8%	7 8%	14 7%	22 10%	18 8%
60 years old	43 5%	26 5%	8 5%	6 4%	28 5%	7 4%	6 5%	26 4%	12 7%	4 4%	23 5%	11 6%	9 6%	2 4%	17 4%	2 3%	17 4%	21 6%	6 7%	7 3%	13 6%	10 4%
65 years old or older	8 1%	6 1%	1 1%	- -	6 1%	1 1%	- -	5 1%	3 2%	- -	5 1%	- -	2 1%	- -	7 1%	1 2%	4 1%	4 1%	1 2%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%
NET: 40 years old or younger	233 26%	130 25%	47 30%	44 31%	129 24%	45 27%	39 33%	139 24%	41 26%	36 34%	125 26%	49 26%	46 29%	12 24%	103 26%	20 27%	107 27%	102 27%	28 32%	57 28%	55 25%	70 29%
NET: 45 years old or younger	517 57%	308 58%	87 55%	85 62%	309 58%	96 59%	65 55%	330 57%	81 52%	67 64%	269 56%	109 57%	100 63%	27 57%	236 60%	43 56%	229 58%	226 59%	52 60%	120 58%	122 55%	151 63%
NET: 50 years old or younger	711 81%	435 83%	122 77%	120 87%	435 81%	134 82%	99 89%	473 82%	120 78%	89 84%	395 82%	155 81%	128 80%	40 83%	326 83%	62 80%	327 82%	308 81%	71 82%	175 85%	181 82%	201 84%
NET: 60 years old or younger	853 94%	504 96%	146 92%	135 98%	509 95%	157 96%	113 95%	550 96%	143 92%	101 96%	456 95%	182 96%	151 95%	47 98%	374 95%	76 99%	380 96%	360 95%	84 97%	196 95%	216 97%	229 96%
None of these	4 *	2 *	1 *	- -	2 *	- -	1 1%	3 *	- -	- -	1 *	2 1%	- -	- -	1 *	- -	3 1%	1 *	- -	2 1%	1 *	- -
Don't know	39 4%	15 3%	10 6%	3 2%	20 4%	6 4%	4 4%	18 3%	9 6%	5 4%	17 4%	6 3%	6 4%	1 2%	12 3%	- -	11 3%	16 4%	1 1%	7 3%	4 2%	9 4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested [5% risk level] - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 29
QDONATE - Under which, if any, of the following circumstances would you personally consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	991	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	996	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
If I was asked by a family member	488	308	88	54	306	86	53	329	90	39	280	108	66	24	233	38	292	129	49	138	145	69
	22%	30%	18%	16%	29%	18%	18%	29%	19%	15%	28%	21%	19%	17%	30%	25%	31%	17%	24%	28%	31%	15%
I would not consider donating sperm/eggs under any circumstances	468	169	99	132	187	92	106	200	89	104	168	92	124	21	130	17	135	250	51	65	72	186
	22%	16%	21%	39%	18%	20%	35%	18%	19%	40%	17%	18%	36%	15%	17%	12%	14%	33%	25%	13%	16%	40%
If I thought it would help somebody I do not know, and if I could remain anonymous	442	278	69	58	298	59	48	312	64	38	281	73	55	21	186	47	222	159	44	121	130	84
	20%	27%	14%	17%	28%	13%	16%	27%	14%	15%	29%	14%	16%	15%	24%	31%	24%	21%	21%	25%	28%	18%
If I was asked by a friend	377	234	74	40	234	77	41	262	69	26	214	88	54	32	168	34	210	119	44	102	93	70
	17%	22%	16%	12%	22%	16%	14%	22%	15%	10%	22%	17%	15%	23%	21%	23%	23%	16%	21%	21%	20%	15%
If I was paid any expenses incurred	371	219	86	33	225	76	38	236	76	31	219	89	34	28	172	46	213	104	31	96	127	60
	17%	21%	18%	10%	21%	16%	13%	21%	16%	12%	22%	17%	10%	19%	22%	31%	23%	14%	15%	20%	27%	13%
If I thought it would help somebody I do not know, even if the donor/conceived person had the legal right to know my identity once they become an adult	245	143	65	26	140	59	33	146	61	24	149	59	28	25	128	24	152	63	31	78	63	27
	11%	14%	14%	8%	13%	13%	11%	13%	13%	9%	15%	11%	8%	18%	16%	16%	16%	8%	15%	16%	14%	6%
If I could have contact with the child	113	55	29	21	55	28	21	61	25	19	52	30	20	21	41	9	75	26	8	38	38	12
	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	5%	5%	7%	5%	6%	6%	14%	5%	6%	8%	3%	4%	8%	8%	3%
I would not donate sperm/eggs for biological/medical reasons	104	49	23	23	52	19	18	54	19	14	41	23	27	12	36	6	40	50	10	21	26	27
	5%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	8%	8%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	4%	6%	6%
I would under other circumstances	88	39	30	12	46	22	17	43	23	15	37	24	22	14	33	11	49	25	12	27	19	14
	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	9%	4%	7%	5%	3%	6%	6%	4%	3%
Don't know	311	119	65	29	109	80	20	128	75	21	103	77	32	9	70	12	94	81	11	49	41	49
	14%	11%	14%	9%	10%	17%	7%	11%	16%	8%	10%	15%	9%	6%	9%	8%	10%	11%	5%	10%	9%	10%
Prefer not to answer	95	14	20	8	17	24	10	18	25	8	14	34	5	4	3	5	17	17	7	11	6	12
	4%	1%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%	5%	3%	1%	7%	1%	3%	*	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 31

Q1D - If donor-conceived children were legally entitled to find out the identity of their donor(s) upon reaching the age of 18, would you still consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?

Base: All who would donate in at least some circumstances

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1206	697	267	150	709	251	151	665	254	113	665	287	165	98	551	112	646	383	129	345	322	207
WEIGHTED BASE	1193	688	272	143	700	252	146	743	256	111	658	288	161	97*	545	110	648	369	128	347	319	196
Yes, would definitely consider donating sperm or eggs	237	148	51	25	159	38	29	172	35	18	156	43	25	26	116	21	163	53	28	79	69	25
	20%	22%	19%	18%	23%	15%	20%	23%	14%	16%	24%	15%	16%	26%	21%	19%	25%	14%	22%	23%	22%	13%
Yes, would probably consider donating sperm or eggs	390	221	104	43	227	100	43	243	94	37	215	111	48	39	204	25	229	98	50	126	101	47
	33%	32%	38%	30%	32%	40%	29%	33%	37%	33%	33%	39%	30%	40%	38%	23%	35%	27%	39%	36%	31%	24%
No, would probably not consider donating sperm or eggs	264	162	57	37	152	53	37	155	63	31	145	58	45	23	122	23	129	109	25	83	65	52
	22%	23%	21%	25%	22%	21%	26%	21%	25%	28%	22%	20%	28%	23%	22%	21%	20%	30%	20%	24%	20%	27%
No, would definitely not consider donating sperm or eggs	146	95	23	19	94	23	20	103	22	13	85	30	20	5	65	29	68	62	10	32	49	38
	12%	14%	9%	13%	13%	9%	14%	14%	9%	12%	13%	10%	12%	5%	12%	26%	10%	17%	8%	9%	16%	19%
NET: All definitely/ probably would	628	369	154	68	386	138	72	415	129	55	371	154	74	65	321	46	392	151	78	205	170	72
	53%	54%	57%	48%	55%	55%	50%	56%	51%	49%	56%	54%	46%	67%	59%	42%	61%	41%	61%	59%	53%	37%
NET: All definitely/ probably would not	409	256	81	55	246	75	58	258	85	45	230	88	65	28	186	52	197	171	36	115	114	90
	34%	37%	30%	39%	35%	30%	39%	35%	33%	40%	35%	31%	41%	28%	34%	48%	30%	46%	28%	33%	36%	46%
Don't know	151	62	37	19	66	37	16	68	40	12	56	44	22	5	38	11	57	44	14	27	34	32
	13%	9%	14%	13%	9%	15%	11%	9%	15%	10%	8%	15%	14%	5%	7%	10%	9%	12%	11%	8%	11%	16%
Prefer not to answer	5	-	-	1	1	2	-	2	2	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	2
	*	-	-	1%	*	1%	-	*	1%	-	*	1%	-	-	-	-	*	1%	-	-	*	1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



**25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS**

16 Feb 2026

Table 32

QPOST - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	Deceased = partner, with evidence of intention	Deceased = family member, with evidence of intention	Deceased = not known, but explicit consent provided
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	2171	2171
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	2171	2171
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	575	494	495
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	654	642	679
	30%	30%	31%
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	248	263	238
	11%	12%	11%
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	245	275	265
	11%	13%	12%
NET: All should	1229	1137	1174
	57%	52%	54%
NET: All should not	492	538	503
	23%	25%	23%
Don't know	366	405	402
	17%	19%	19%
Prefer not to answer	83	92	92
	4%	4%	4%



Table 34

QPOST_1 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was the husband/wife/partner of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	996	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	575	387	91	64	388	74	64	421	73	45	361	94	82	40	269	54	346	165	48	164	192	93
	26%	37%	19%	19%	36%	16%	21%	37%	16%	17%	37%	18%	24%	28%	34%	36%	37%	22%	23%	33%	41%	20%
		bc			ef			hi			kl						q			ru	rsu	
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	654	362	145	93	373	154	70	404	145	56	347	165	87	55	282	46	330	235	67	185	158	132
	30%	35%	30%	28%	35%	33%	23%	35%	31%	22%	35%	32%	25%	38%	36%	30%	35%	31%	33%	38%	34%	28%
		c			f	f		i	i		l	l					q		u			
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	248	101	77	51	97	74	66	106	72	57	91	83	59	23	107	23	99	114	28	68	48	51
	11%	10%	16%	15%	9%	16%	22%	9%	16%	22%	9%	16%	17%	16%	14%	16%	11%	15%	14%	14%	10%	11%
		a		a	d	d		g	g		gh	gh					p					
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	245	89	52	79	99	44	65	90	46	73	78	46	87	13	69	18	61	147	30	30	32	115
	11%	9%	11%	23%	9%	9%	22%	8%	10%	28%	8%	9%	25%	9%	9%	12%	7%	19%	14%	6%	7%	24%
		ab		ab	de	de		gh	gh		jk	jk					p		st			rst
NET: All should	1229	749	235	157	762	228	134	825	218	101	707	259	169	95	551	99	675	399	116	349	350	226
	57%	72%	49%	47%	72%	49%	45%	72%	47%	39%	72%	50%	49%	66%	70%	66%	72%	52%	56%	71%	76%	48%
		bc		ef	ef		hi	hi		kl	kl						q		ru	ru		
NET: All should not	492	190	130	129	196	118	131	196	119	130	169	129	146	37	176	42	160	261	58	97	80	165
	23%	18%	27%	39%	18%	25%	44%	17%	26%	51%	17%	25%	42%	26%	22%	28%	17%	34%	28%	20%	17%	35%
		a	ab	ab	d	d		e	e		gh	gh					p		st			st
Don't know	366	87	100	38	88	102	29	107	104	21	92	104	27	10	48	9	84	89	30	42	29	64
	17%	8%	21%	11%	8%	22%	10%	9%	22%	8%	9%	20%	8%	7%	6%	6%	9%	12%	15%	8%	6%	14%
		ac		ac	df	df		gi	gi		jl	jl					st		st			st
Prefer not to answer	83	12	14	11	19	19	6	15	23	5	14	22	5	2	10	-	14	16	3	5	4	15
	4%	1%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	5%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%
		a	a	a	d	d		gi	gi		jl	jl					st		st			st

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 36

QPOST_2 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was a family member of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	936	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	494	340	73	57	342	62	51	371	62	33	325	83	53	39	232	45	310	123	36	147	176	66
	23%	33%	15%	17%	32%	13%	17%	32%	13%	13%	33%	16%	15%	27%	30%	30%	33%	16%	17%	30%	38%	14%
		bc			ef			hi			kl						q			ru	rsu	
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	642	358	154	80	369	158	70	401	140	58	358	147	90	52	287	53	346	214	72	193	154	113
	30%	34%	32%	24%	35%	34%	23%	35%	30%	22%	36%	29%	26%	36%	37%	35%	37%	28%	35%	39%	33%	24%
		c	c		f	f		i	i		kl						q		u	u	u	
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	263	121	63	61	124	63	63	127	68	55	98	90	63	21	113	23	102	126	35	66	57	64
	12%	12%	13%	18%	12%	13%	21%	11%	15%	21%	10%	17%	18%	14%	14%	15%	11%	16%	17%	13%	12%	14%
		a			de			gh			j						p					
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	275	99	58	88	108	56	69	103	56	78	86	49	97	16	80	18	66	171	31	36	38	133
	13%	10%	12%	26%	10%	12%	23%	9%	12%	30%	9%	9%	28%	11%	10%	12%	7%	22%	15%	7%	8%	28%
		ab			de			gh			jk						p		st			rst
NET: All should	1137	698	226	137	711	220	120	771	202	90	683	230	143	91	519	98	656	337	108	340	330	180
	52%	67%	47%	41%	67%	47%	40%	67%	44%	35%	69%	45%	41%	63%	66%	65%	70%	44%	52%	69%	71%	38%
		bc			ef			hi	i		kl						q		u	ru	ru	
NET: All should not	538	220	122	149	232	119	132	231	124	133	184	138	160	36	193	41	168	298	65	102	95	197
	25%	21%	25%	44%	22%	25%	44%	20%	27%	52%	19%	27%	46%	25%	25%	27%	18%	39%	32%	21%	20%	42%
		ab			de			gh	g		jk						p		st			rst
Don't know	405	107	115	36	105	107	36	124	111	29	105	119	36	13	64	12	95	108	31	44	34	74
	19%	10%	24%	11%	10%	23%	12%	11%	24%	11%	11%	23%	10%	9%	8%	8%	10%	14%	15%	9%	7%	16%
		ac			df			gi			jl						p		st			st
Prefer not to answer	92	14	16	13	17	22	11	17	26	6	11	27	9	3	9	-	14	23	3	7	5	20
	4%	1%	3%	4%	2%	5%	4%	1%	6%	2%	1%	5%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%
		a	a		d	d		gi			j						p		p			st

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 38

QPOST_3 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was not known to the person wishing to conceive (e.g. in a sperm or egg bank, etc.), but the deceased gave explicit consent for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	996	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	495	348	70	50	360	57	44	370	65	29	312	84	66	35	234	53	305	138	41	145	173	76
	23%	34%	15%	15%	34%	12%	15%	32%	14%	11%	32%	16%	19%	25%	30%	35%	33%	18%	20%	29%	37%	16%
		bc			ef			hi			kl						q			ru	rsu	
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	679	374	159	89	388	156	76	419	137	70	367	163	90	58	284	53	352	229	71	199	154	134
	31%	36%	33%	27%	36%	33%	25%	37%	30%	27%	37%	32%	26%	41%	36%	35%	38%	30%	34%	40%	33%	28%
		c			f	f		hi			kl						q			tu		
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	238	98	71	53	103	57	62	95	83	50	92	71	66	23	100	18	91	109	32	58	43	59
	11%	9%	15%	16%	10%	12%	21%	8%	18%	20%	9%	14%	19%	16%	13%	12%	10%	14%	15%	12%	9%	12%
		a		a			de	g	g		j	j					p		t			
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	265	95	53	94	97	55	83	112	45	80	90	51	91	12	83	18	66	167	32	33	44	118
	12%	9%	11%	28%	9%	12%	28%	10%	10%	31%	9%	10%	26%	8%	11%	12%	7%	22%	15%	7%	10%	25%
		ab			de			gh			jk						p		st			rst
NET: All should	1174	723	230	139	748	213	120	788	202	99	679	247	156	94	518	106	657	367	111	344	327	210
	54%	70%	48%	41%	70%	45%	40%	69%	44%	39%	69%	48%	45%	65%	66%	70%	70%	48%	54%	70%	71%	45%
		bc			ef			hi			kl						q		u	ru	ru	
NET: All should not	503	194	124	147	200	111	145	207	128	130	182	122	157	35	183	36	158	276	63	91	87	177
	23%	19%	26%	44%	19%	24%	48%	18%	28%	51%	18%	24%	45%	24%	23%	24%	17%	36%	31%	18%	19%	38%
		a	ab		d	de		g	g		jk						p		st			st
Don't know	402	107	112	37	100	122	27	131	109	23	107	120	29	13	74	8	100	107	29	50	40	70
	19%	10%	23%	11%	9%	26%	9%	11%	24%	9%	11%	23%	8%	9%	9%	5%	11%	14%	14%	10%	9%	15%
		ac			df			gi			jl						p		t			st
Prefer not to answer	92	15	13	13	16	22	8	16	24	6	16	24	7	2	10	-	20	17	3	8	9	13
	4%	1%	3%	4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	5%	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
		a		a		d		g	g		jl						2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 40

QIVF - Which, if any, of the following groups do you think IVF should be available to via the healthcare system?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	936	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Heterosexual couples, where one or both people are infertile, and neither person has a child	1098	653	206	152	671	188	141	725	184	107	605	233	170	53	477	94	522	415	97	280	299	257
	51%	63%	43%	45%	63%	40%	47%	63%	40%	42%	62%	45%	49%	37%	61%	62%	56%	54%	47%	57%	65%	55%
Heterosexual couples, where one person is infertile, and the other person has a child from another relationship	815	516	135	111	526	119	99	573	120	73	486	159	110	40	370	66	424	285	67	219	233	179
	38%	50%	28%	33%	49%	26%	33%	50%	26%	28%	49%	31%	32%	28%	47%	44%	45%	37%	32%	44%	50%	38%
Heterosexual couples, where, together, they already have a naturally conceived child but have not been able to conceive a second child	791	477	144	113	493	124	101	540	111	90	455	150	125	51	345	61	386	284	71	207	216	174
	36%	46%	30%	34%	46%	26%	34%	47%	24%	35%	46%	29%	36%	36%	44%	40%	41%	37%	34%	42%	47%	37%
Single people	762	478	139	94	469	133	82	519	123	66	428	153	112	56	326	57	382	259	72	204	215	155
	35%	46%	29%	28%	44%	29%	27%	45%	27%	26%	44%	30%	32%	39%	42%	38%	41%	34%	35%	41%	46%	33%
Same-sex couples	719	466	117	86	466	111	76	504	109	58	416	149	98	49	324	55	350	266	68	182	201	160
	33%	45%	24%	26%	44%	24%	25%	44%	23%	23%	42%	29%	28%	34%	41%	37%	37%	35%	33%	37%	43%	34%
Those older than their early forties	542	347	91	66	347	84	58	374	87	45	323	99	69	29	240	46	277	184	45	152	148	114
	25%	33%	19%	20%	33%	18%	19%	33%	19%	17%	33%	19%	20%	20%	31%	31%	30%	24%	22%	31%	32%	24%
Transgender people	463	295	76	53	299	69	48	335	66	33	276	88	56	27	211	32	214	182	36	111	126	117
	21%	28%	16%	16%	28%	15%	16%	29%	14%	13%	28%	17%	16%	19%	27%	21%	23%	24%	17%	23%	27%	25%
Don't know	445	126	120	66	137	122	55	162	110	47	138	116	55	16	97	12	130	136	37	54	53	82
	21%	12%	25%	20%	13%	26%	18%	14%	24%	18%	14%	23%	16%	11%	12%	8%	14%	18%	18%	11%	11%	17%
Prefer not to answer	147	20	45	22	27	37	19	25	42	14	22	41	18	6	18	7	31	36	4	17	16	25
	7%	2%	9%	7%	3%	8%	6%	2%	9%	5%	2%	8%	5%	4%	2%	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	5%
IVF should not be available to any of these groups	136	56	15	45	63	17	37	63	22	34	54	22	44	4	43	13	40	81	13	14	27	63
	6%	5%	3%	13%	6%	4%	12%	6%	5%	13%	5%	4%	13%	3%	6%	9%	4%	11%	6%	3%	6%	14%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026
6TH - 12TH FEBRUARY 2026
PUBLIC
IPSOS

Table 42

QSUR - Do you think that surrogacy should or should not be legally permitted in Spain?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	996	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Definitely should be permitted	326	208	57	37	210	48	36	230	46	23	201	50	50	27	137	44	326	-	37	113	145	-
	15%	20%	12%	11%	20%	10%	12%	20%	10%	9%	20%	10%	14%	19%	17%	29%	35%	-	18%	23%	31%	-
Probably should be permitted	608	340	146	68	334	150	74	352	148	64	330	156	79	59	266	37	608	-	77	246	204	-
	28%	33%	30%	20%	31%	32%	25%	31%	32%	25%	34%	30%	23%	41%	34%	25%	65%	-	37%	50%	44%	-
Probably should not be permitted	297	147	78	55	155	68	45	159	69	48	138	76	57	21	122	24	-	297	62	84	62	-
	14%	14%	16%	16%	15%	15%	15%	14%	15%	19%	14%	15%	16%	15%	16%	16%	-	39%	30%	17%	13%	-
Definitely should not be permitted	470	218	64	126	234	58	110	245	64	93	192	84	125	16	161	29	-	470	-	-	-	470
	22%	21%	13%	37%	22%	12%	37%	21%	14%	36%	19%	16%	36%	11%	20%	19%	-	61%	-	-	-	100%
NET: All should	934	547	203	105	543	198	111	582	193	87	531	206	128	86	404	81	934	-	114	359	349	-
	43%	53%	42%	31%	51%	42%	37%	51%	42%	34%	54%	40%	37%	60%	51%	54%	100%	-	55%	73%	75%	-
NET: All should not	766	365	142	181	390	126	155	405	132	142	329	159	182	37	283	53	-	766	62	84	62	470
	35%	35%	30%	54%	37%	27%	52%	35%	29%	55%	33%	31%	52%	26%	36%	35%	-	100%	30%	17%	13%	100%
Don't know	386	116	111	40	116	118	30	139	114	23	108	121	36	18	84	16	-	-	31	51	53	-
	18%	11%	23%	12%	11%	25%	10%	12%	25%	9%	11%	24%	10%	12%	11%	11%	-	-	15%	10%	11%	-
Prefer not to say	85	10	22	9	16	24	5	16	24	6	15	27	2	2	14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	5%	2%	1%	5%	2%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%	*	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 44
QEXP - Thinking about surrogacy, which ONE of the following statements do you agree with?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in Spain

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2171	1047	467	344	1074	463	304	1159	451	260	991	508	354	145	791	152	936	778	209	484	473	483
WEIGHTED BASE	2171	1039	479	335	1064	467	300	1143	463	258	983	514	348	143	785	150	934	766	207	493	463	470
Surrogates should not be paid anything at all for being a surrogate	207	96	56	39	108	50	33	105	56	28	94	57	40	23	78	13	114	62	207	-	-	-
	10%	9%	12%	12%	10%	11%	11%	9%	12%	11%	10%	11%	11%	16%	10%	9%	12%	8%	100%	-	-	-
Surrogates should be paid for only their overall reasonable expenses for being a surrogate	493	279	120	66	271	127	60	298	114	53	269	123	71	52	218	38	359	84	-	493	-	-
	23%	27%	25%	20%	25%	27%	20%	26%	25%	21%	27%	24%	20%	37%	28%	25%	38%	11%	-	100%	-	-
Surrogates should be paid a salary for being a surrogate in addition to being paid for their overall reasonable expenses	463	295	89	45	294	74	49	307	78	44	267	97	62	28	214	49	349	62	-	-	463	-
	21%	28%	19%	14%	28%	16%	16%	27%	17%	17%	27%	19%	18%	19%	27%	32%	37%	8%	-	-	100%	-
Other	51	20	16	8	20	15	6	24	12	5	20	12	10	8	16	3	24	18	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	6%	2%	2%	3%	2%	-	-	-	-
Don't know	361	106	96	36	105	106	34	125	104	26	109	102	36	10	72	17	82	50	-	-	-	-
	17%	10%	ac	11%	10%	23%	11%	11%	22%	10%	11%	20%	10%	7%	9%	11%	9%	7%	-	-	-	-
Prefer not to answer	126	25	38	15	32	37	8	38	35	7	33	40	5	6	26	2	7	20	-	-	-	-
	6%	2%	8%	4%	3%	8%	3%	3%	8%	3%	3%	8%	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	3%	-	-	-	-
HIDDEN: SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED	470	218	64	126	234	58	110	245	64	93	192	84	125	16	161	29	-	470	-	-	-	470
	22%	21%	13%	37%	22%	12%	37%	21%	14%	36%	19%	16%	36%	11%	20%	19%	-	61%	-	-	-	100%
		b		ab	e		de	h		gh			jk		m			p				rst

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u
Overlap formulae used.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.