

T1	Table 1	<a href="#">QEMBRYO - To what extent do you support or oppose the use of human embryos in scientific and medical research to help understand, and develop treatments for, congenital disease?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T2	Table 2	<a href="#">QEMBRYO - To what extent do you support or oppose the use of human embryos in scientific and medical research to help understand, and develop treatments for, congenital disease?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T3	Table 3	<a href="#">QGENOME - Genome editing: Support/oppose...</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T4	Table 4	<a href="#">QGENOME_1 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos for scientific and medical research to help understand or develop treatments for congenital disease (the research embryos will never be used to establish a pregnancy in a human being)</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T5	Table 5	<a href="#">QGENOME_1 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos for scientific and medical research to help understand or develop treatments for congenital disease (the research embryos will never be used to establish a pregnancy in a human being)</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T6	Table 6	<a href="#">QGENOME_2 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a severe or life-threatening condition (such as cystic fibrosis) in the resulting child where there may otherwise be a pre-disposition for that child to have the condition.</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T7	Table 7	<a href="#">QGENOME_2 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a severe or life-threatening condition (such as cystic fibrosis) in the resulting child where there may otherwise be a pre-disposition for that child to have the condition.</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T8	Table 8	<a href="#">QGENOME_3 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a common medically manageable condition (such as asthma) in the resulting child.</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T9	Table 9	<a href="#">QGENOME_3 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a common medically manageable condition (such as asthma) in the resulting child.</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T10	Table 10	<a href="#">Q14DAY - Do you think this 14-day rule is too long, too short, or about right?</a>	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T11	Table 11	<a href="#">Q14DAY - Do you think this 14-day rule is too long, too short, or about right?</a>	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T12	Table 12	<a href="#">QEXTEND - For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?</a>	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T13	Table 13	<a href="#">QEXTEND - For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?</a>	Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research
T14	Table 14	<a href="#">QSTATE_1 - Should the state offer funded/paid for fertility treatment for people in the Netherlands who are infertile and wish to conceive?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T15	Table 15	<a href="#">QSTATE_1 - Should the state offer funded/paid for fertility treatment for people in the Netherlands who are infertile and wish to conceive?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T16	Table 16	<a href="#">QSTATE_2 - Should people undergoing private (i.e. not paid for by the state) fertility treatment in the Netherlands be able to choose the biological sex of their child based on their personal pre</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T17	Table 17	<a href="#">QSTATE_2 - Should people undergoing private (i.e. not paid for by the state) fertility treatment in the Netherlands be able to choose the biological sex of their child based on their personal pre</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T18	Table 18	<a href="#">QLIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T19	Table 19	<a href="#">QLIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T20	Table 20	<a href="#">QLIMIT_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T21	Table 21	<a href="#">QLIMIT_2 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T22	Table 22	<a href="#">QLIMIT_2 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T23	Table 23	<a href="#">QAGE - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to...</a>	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T24	Table 24	<a href="#">QAGE_1 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth</a>	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T25	Table 25	<a href="#">QAGE_1 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth</a>	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T26	Table 26	<a href="#">QAGE_2 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)</a>	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T27	Table 27	<a href="#">QAGE_2 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)</a>	Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this
T28	Table 28	<a href="#">QDONATE - Under which, if any, of the following circumstances would you personally consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T29	Table 29	<a href="#">QDONATE - Under which, if any, of the following circumstances would you personally consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T30	Table 30	<a href="#">QID - If donor-conceived children were legally entitled to find out the identity of their donor(s) upon reaching the age of 18, would you still consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?</a>	Base: All who would donate in at least some circumstances
T31	Table 31	<a href="#">QID - If donor-conceived children were legally entitled to find out the identity of their donor(s) upon reaching the age of 18, would you still consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?</a>	Base: All who would donate in at least some circumstances
T32	Table 32	<a href="#">QPOST - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T33	Table 33	<a href="#">QPOST_1 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was the husband/wife/partner of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T34	Table 34	<a href="#">QPOST_1 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was the husband/wife/partner of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T35	Table 35	<a href="#">QPOST_2 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was a family member of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T36	Table 36	<a href="#">QPOST_2 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was a family member of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T37	Table 37	<a href="#">QPOST_3 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was not known to the person wishing to conceive (e.g. in a sperm or egg bank, etc.), but the deceased gave explicit consent for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T38	Table 38	<a href="#">QPOST_3 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was not known to the person wishing to conceive (e.g. in a sperm or egg bank, etc.), but the deceased gave explicit consent for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T39	Table 39	<a href="#">QIVF - Which, if any, of the following groups do you think IVF should be available to via the healthcare system?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T40	Table 40	<a href="#">QIVF - Which, if any, of the following groups do you think IVF should be available to via the healthcare system?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T41	Table 41	<a href="#">QSUR - Do you think that surrogacy should or should not be legally permitted in the Netherlands?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T42	Table 42	<a href="#">QSUR - Do you think that surrogacy should or should not be legally permitted in the Netherlands?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T43	Table 43	<a href="#">QEXP - Thinking about surrogacy, which ONE of the following statements do you agree with?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands
T44	Table 44	<a href="#">QEXP - Thinking about surrogacy, which ONE of the following statements do you agree with?</a>	Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands



**Table 1**  
**QEMBRYO** - To what extent do you support or oppose the use of human embryos in scientific and medical research to help understand, and develop treatments for, congenital disease?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE						WORKING STATUS			EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION							
	MAN (G)	WOMAN (B)	16-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65-75 (H)	FULL TIME (I)	PART TIME (J)	SELF EMPLOYED (K)	ANY WORKING (L)	NOT WORKING (M)	GRADUATES (N)	NON GRADUATES (O)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (P)	SINGLE (Q)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (R)	1 (S)	2 (T)	3 (U)	4+ (V)	YES (W)	NO (X)	1 (Y)	2 (Z)	3 (AA)	4+ (AB)	NORTH (AC)	EAST (AD)	WEST (AE)	SOUTH (AF)		
<b>UNWEIGHTED BASE</b>	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459	
<b>WEIGHTED BASE</b>	2174	1064	1081	265	397	367	322	410	353	860	548	180	1581	656	1127	1100	1390	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	39*	9**	213	451	1044	459	
<b>Strongly support</b>	379	204	173	39	77	53	59	83	67	159	82	38	280	99	169	209	229	113	37	103	152	55	69	77	302	41	31	4	1	43	91	184	62	
	17%	19%	16%	15%	19%	15%	16%	20%	19%	19%	15%	21%	18%	17%	16%	19%	16%	19%	18%	21%	19%	16%	13%	14%	19%	14%	14%	10%	12%	20%	20%	18%	13%	
<b>Tend to support</b>	674	346	324	81	114	117	116	127	110	283	169	56	509	165	294	380	460	164	51	141	264	103	165	176	498	85	73	15	3	53	134	333	153	
	31%	32%	30%	31%	29%	32%	30%	31%	34%	33%	31%	32%	28%	29%	33%	29%	33%	25%	20%	33%	33%	29%	31%	31%	29%	21%	23%	38%	37%	47%	29%	32%	33%	
<b>Neither support nor oppose</b>	401	209	181	46	93	65	61	59	37	159	100	35	294	107	189	263	241	125	36	93	140	88	100	112	269	63	40	8	1	26	80	204	91	
	18%	19%	18%	18%	23%	18%	16%	15%	16%	18%	18%	20%	19%	18%	15%	20%	17%	22%	17%	19%	17%	19%	19%	20%	18%	21%	18%	21%	14%	12%	17%	20%	20%	
<b>Tend to oppose</b>	341	123	118	27	28	47	50	46	33	104	64	21	188	53	100	141	161	64	26	45	96	36	65	72	169	32	32	7	1	27	58	101	55	
	16%	11%	11%	10%	10%	13%	13%	11%	9%	12%	12%	11%	12%	9%	12%	11%	12%	9%	12%	9%	12%	10%	13%	13%	11%	11%	14%	18%	15%	13%	13%	10%	12%	12%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	277	119	157	26	37	46	60	61	47	95	67	20	181	96	82	195	180	57	29	54	98	51	74	85	192	47	16	1	1	43	55	131	48	
	13%	11%	15%	10%	9%	13%	15%	13%	11%	11%	12%	11%	11%	10%	10%	15%	14%	10%	14%	11%	12%	14%	14%	15%	12%	16%	9%	13%	20%	12%	13%	15%	10%	
<b>NET: All support</b>	1053	550	497	120	191	170	175	210	186	443	251	94	788	264	463	589	680	276	88	244	416	158	234	253	800	126	104	19	4	96	235	517	215	
	48%	51%	46%	46%	48%	46%	51%	53%	53%	51%	46%	53%	50%	45%	55%	44%	48%	43%	49%	51%	45%	45%	45%	45%	43%	46%	48%	48%	49%	45%	49%	49%	47%	
<b>NET: All oppose</b>	518	242	275	54	75	94	109	107	79	188	111	41	169	149	182	336	351	112	55	99	194	86	139	157	361	79	68	8	2	70	113	212	103	
	24%	22%	25%	20%	19%	26%	29%	23%	23%	23%	24%	23%	23%	25%	21%	25%	25%	19%	27%	20%	24%	24%	27%	28%	22%	27%	30%	20%	28%	33%	25%	22%	22%	
<b>Don't know</b>	180	74	105	23	33	33	34	29	28	54	58	8	120	60	57	123	99	58	23	50	55	36	18	42	138	16	12	4	1	16	36	84	43	
	8%	7%	10%	9%	8%	9%	7%	8%	8%	8%	11%	4%	8%	10%	7%	9%	7%	10%	11%	10%	7%	10%	7%	7%	9%	9%	5%	11%	10%	8%	8%	8%	9%	
<b>Prefer not to answer</b>	22	9	13	3	5	5	3	5	2	6	8	2	16	6	6	16	11	7	4	10	4	4	4	4	18	3	1	-	-	5	4	6	7	
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/ABC - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulas used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 2  
QEMBRYO - To what extent do you support or oppose the use of human embryos in scientific and medical research to help understand, and develop treatments for, congenital disease?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Strongly support	379 17%	379 36%	-	-	338 31%	20 5%	16 3%	324 27%	25 8%	23 5%	277 29%	42 10%	49 8%	15 16%	159 24%	109 41%	332 21%	31 9%	36 20%	184 19%	97 22%	17 9%
Tend to support	674 31%	674 64%	-	-	500 46%	96 25%	53 10%	490 41%	81 24%	81 17%	397 42%	115 28%	138 21%	42 45%	350 52%	109 41%	563 36%	67 20%	54 30%	373 38%	142 32%	17 10%
Neither support nor oppose	401 18%	-	401 100%	-	142 13%	169 44%	64 12%	188 16%	136 40%	60 13%	138 15%	141 35%	97 15%	36 39%	158 24%	48 18%	269 17%	61 18%	35 19%	168 17%	87 20%	24 14%
Tend to oppose	241 11%	-	-	241 47%	38 4%	49 13%	152 28%	87 7%	46 14%	99 21%	58 6%	43 11%	134 21%	-	-	-	167 11%	54 16%	15 9%	113 12%	52 12%	28 16%
Strongly oppose	277 13%	-	-	277 53%	22 2%	17 4%	232 43%	50 4%	23 7%	187 40%	33 3%	30 7%	200 31%	-	-	-	139 9%	99 30%	35 20%	80 8%	42 10%	80 46%
NET: All support	1053 48%	1053 100%	-	-	838 78%	116 30%	69 13%	814 68%	106 32%	104 22%	675 71%	157 39%	187 29%	57 61%	510 76%	219 82%	894 57%	98 30%	90 50%	556 57%	239 54%	33 19%
NET: All oppose	518 24%	-	-	518 100%	60 6%	65 17%	383 71%	138 12%	69 20%	286 61%	91 10%	73 18%	334 52%	-	-	-	306 19%	153 46%	51 28%	193 20%	94 21%	108 62%
Don't know	180 8%	-	-	-	34 3%	32 8%	22 4%	54 5%	25 7%	18 4%	44 5%	31 8%	23 4%	-	-	-	94 6%	20 6%	5 3%	56 6%	21 5%	9 5%
Prefer not to answer	22 1%	-	-	-	1 *	2 *	2 *	3 *	1 *	1 *	3 *	2 *	1 *	-	-	-	8 1%	-	-	2 *	2 *	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
 6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
 PUBLIC  
 IPSOS

16 Feb 2026

**Table 3**  
**QGENOME - Genome editing: Support/oppose...**

**Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands**

	...research to help understand... congenital disease	...to transfer to womb to mitigate lifethreatening conditions	...to transfer to womb to mitigate common medically manageable conditions
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	2174	2174
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	2174	2174
Strongly support	374	460	303
Tend to support	702 32%	737 34%	648 30%
Neither support nor oppose	384 18%	337 15%	404 19%
Tend to oppose	249 11%	213 10%	319 15%
Strongly oppose	291 13%	257 12%	323 15%
NET: All support	1076 49%	1197 55%	950 44%
NET: All oppose	540 25%	470 22%	642 30%
Don't know	147 7%	144 7%	154 7%
Prefer not to answer	27 1%	26 1%	24 1%



**Table 4**  
**OSENOME\_1 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos for scientific and medical research to help understand or develop treatments for congenital disease (the research embryos will never be used to establish a pregnancy in a human being)**

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE							WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (a)	WOMAN (b)	16-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65-75 (h)	FULL TIME (i)	PART TIME (j)	SELF EMPLOYED (k)	ANY WORKING (l)	NOT WORKING (m)	GRADUATES (n)	NON GRADUATES (o)	AS (p)	SINGLE (q)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (r)	1 (s)	2 (t)	3 (u)	4+ (v)	YES (w)	NO (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	NORTH (ac)	EAST (ad)	WEST (ae)	SOUTH (af)		
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459	
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1081	305	397	367	382	410	353	869	548	180	1588	536	847	1127	1390	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	39*	9**	213	458	1044	459	
Strongly support	374	189	184	36	78	63	58	77	63	166	84	33	283	91	155	219	248	93	33	92	160	52	70	86	289	48	36	2	-	31	95	178	70	
	17%	17%	17%	13%	20%	17%	15%	19%	18%	19%	15%	18%	18%	15%	16%	17%	18%	16%	16%	19%	20%	15%	14%	15%	16%	16%	16%	6%	-	14%	21%	17%	15%	
Tend to support	702	380	318	97	128	104	121	127	125	278	173	64	515	186	304	398	457	198	47	142	262	117	181	190	512	94	77	18	1	46	148	359	148	
	32%	35%	29%	37%	30%	28%	32%	31%	33%	32%	30%	36%	32%	32%	30%	30%	33%	34%	23%	20%	32%	33%	33%	33%	32%	32%	34%	47%	12%	27%	32%	32%	34%	32%
Neither support nor oppose	384	184	197	63	74	70	70	54	58	154	97	22	273	111	188	247	269	124	53	110	130	58	91	95	290	57	30	5	4	45	59	184	87	
	18%	17%	18%	24%	19%	19%	18%	13%	15%	18%	18%	12%	17%	19%	15%	19%	15%	21%	25%	22%	16%	15%	18%	17%	18%	19%	13%	12%	41%	21%	13%	19%	19%	19%
Tend to oppose	349	139	120	26	33	50	41	59	41	100	60	26	186	63	98	151	178	46	25	48	90	40	72	79	169	34	38	5	2	13	58	102	61	
	16%	12%	11%	8%	14%	11%	14%	12%	11%	12%	11%	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	13%	8%	12%	10%	11%	11%	14%	14%	11%	12%	17%	13%	22%	13%	13%	10%	13%	
Strongly oppose	291	124	166	25	41	50	63	63	50	99	77	24	201	90	97	194	202	59	30	53	116	54	69	81	210	42	34	4	1	44	58	137	52	
	13%	11%	15%	9%	10%	14%	16%	15%	14%	12%	14%	14%	13%	15%	11%	15%	15%	10%	14%	11%	14%	15%	13%	14%	13%	14%	15%	11%	15%	20%	13%	13%	11%	11%
NET: All support	1076	568	503	133	206	166	178	204	188	444	257	97	798	278	459	617	705	291	80	234	422	169	252	276	800	142	112	21	1	77	243	537	219	
	49%	52%	46%	50%	52%	45%	47%	50%	48%	52%	47%	54%	50%	47%	51%	50%	50%	39%	47%	47%	52%	48%	49%	48%	50%	48%	50%	53%	12%	36%	53%	51%	48%	
NET: All oppose	349	253	286	50	73	100	104	122	91	199	137	50	386	153	194	345	380	105	55	100	205	93	141	160	379	76	71	10	3	72	116	239	113	
	16%	23%	26%	19%	18%	27%	27%	30%	24%	23%	21%	28%	24%	20%	23%	26%	27%	18%	27%	20%	21%	26%	27%	28%	24%	26%	13%	29%	37%	34%	25%	23%	25%	
Don't know	147	69	78	14	39	26	27	17	17	53	48	10	112	35	49	98	86	44	18	42	43	34	28	32	115	18	9	4	1	11	36	65	35	
	7%	6%	7%	5%	10%	7%	7%	5%	5%	6%	9%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	8%	9%	9%	5%	10%	5%	6%	7%	6%	4%	11%	10%	8%	6%	8%		
Prefer not to answer	27	9	18	5	5	5	4	3	3	9	9	18	9	9	7	19	11	15	1	10	10	3	4	6	21	4	2	-	-	9	3	9	5	
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	*	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	4%	1%	1%	1%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/A/B/C - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (gender 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 5  
QGENOME\_1 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos for scientific and medical research to help understand or develop treatments for congenital disease (the research embryos will never be used to establish a pregnancy in a human being)

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Strongly support	374	332	24	12	374	-	-	335	16	19	295	37	35	9	139	110	326	26	32	188	101	11
	17%	32%	6%	2%	35%	-	-	28%	5%	4%	31%	9%	5%	10%	21%	41%	21%	8%	18%	19%	23%	6%
Tend to support	702	506	118	48	702	-	-	566	59	64	460	112	111	26	345	99	583	71	61	374	154	24
	32%	48%	29%	9%	65%	-	-	47%	17%	14%	48%	28%	17%	29%	52%	37%	37%	22%	34%	38%	35%	14%
Neither support nor oppose	384	116	169	65	-	384	-	145	177	44	96	182	87	27	116	36	265	60	26	160	79	25
	18%	11%	42%	13%	-	100%	-	12%	53%	9%	10%	45%	14%	30%	17%	13%	17%	18%	14%	16%	18%	14%
Tend to oppose	249	43	44	145	-	-	249	75	48	108	46	39	155	14	35	9	166	54	22	108	50	24
	11%	4%	11%	28%	-	-	46%	6%	14%	23%	5%	10%	24%	15%	5%	3%	11%	16%	12%	11%	11%	14%
Strongly oppose	291	26	20	238	-	-	291	38	19	224	28	21	236	8	22	3	146	107	29	94	38	86
	13%	2%	5%	46%	-	-	54%	3%	6%	48%	3%	5%	37%	8%	3%	1%	9%	32%	16%	10%	9%	49%
NET: All support	1076	838	142	60	1076	-	-	900	75	83	754	149	147	35	485	209	908	97	93	562	256	35
	49%	80%	35%	12%	100%	-	-	75%	22%	18%	79%	37%	23%	38%	73%	79%	58%	29%	52%	58%	58%	20%
NET: All oppose	540	69	64	383	-	-	540	113	68	332	74	60	391	22	58	12	312	161	51	202	88	110
	25%	7%	16%	74%	-	-	100%	9%	20%	71%	8%	15%	61%	23%	9%	5%	20%	48%	28%	21%	20%	63%
Don't know	147	17	25	7	-	-	-	36	14	7	23	11	13	7	10	3	75	10	9	45	17	2
	7%	2%	6%	1%	-	-	-	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	8%	1%	1%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	1%
Prefer not to answer	27	12	2	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	3	2	4	1	-	7	12	5	2	5	4	2
	1%	1%	*	1%	-	-	-	*	1%	1%	*	*	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base



**Table 6**  
**OSENOME\_2 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a severe or life-threatening condition (such as cystic fibrosis) in the resulting child where there may otherwise be a pre-disposition for that child to have the condition.**

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE								WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION				
	MAN (G)	WOMAN (H)	16-24 (I)	25-34 (J)	35-44 (K)	45-54 (L)	55-64 (M)	65-75 (N)	FULL TIME (O)	PART TIME (P)	SELF EMPLOYED (Q)	ANY WORKING (R)	NOT WORKING (S)	GRADUATES (T)	NON GRADUATES (U)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (V)	SINGLE (W)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (X)	1 (Y)	2 (Z)	3 (AA)	4+ (AB)	YES (AC)	NO (AD)	1 (AE)	2 (AF)	3 (AG)	4+ (AH)	NORTH (AI)	EAST (AJ)	WEST (AK)	SOUTH (AL)				
<b>UNWEIGHTED BASE</b>	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058	2174	1058
<b>Strongly support</b>	460	221	211	235	53	91	84	73	83	77	178	111	46	335	126	206	255	288	133	39	121	168	61	111	107	354	43	57	6	1	22%	24%	18%	21%		
<b>Tend to support</b>	797	384	352	401	134	112	128	140	122	289	184	63	545	192	311	426	486	188	64	158	288	125	186	198	539	111	66	19	2	56	157	359	165			
<b>Neither support nor oppose</b>	587	289	288	318	53	70	58	61	42	158	92	22	252	85	111	225	208	95	24	71	129	38	78	95	242	59	21	4	1	31	62	122	71			
<b>Tend to oppose</b>	313	115	96	88	21	28	37	37	52	39	92	54	15	162	51	85	127	139	51	23	48	81	34	50	62	151	27	28	4	2	25	39	107	42		
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	257	119	137	18	41	41	55	51	51	90	64	24	178	79	86	171	171	59	26	51	107	39	59	71	186	34	32	4	1	37	56	118	45			
<b>NET: All support</b>	1197	605	587	636	225	196	202	223	199	476	295	109	880	318	516	681	774	321	103	279	436	186	298	305	893	154	124	24	3	102	266	583	247			
<b>NET: All oppose</b>	470	235	233	39	69	78	91	103	90	182	118	40	339	130	172	298	310	110	50	99	188	73	110	132	337	61	60	8	3	61	96	225	87			
<b>Don't know</b>	144	67	76	17	26	32	41	27	17	51	38	8	97	47	41	103	81	41	18	38	46	32	27	34	110	22	8	3	1	12	31	57	44			
<b>Prefer not to answer</b>	26	8	17	3	5	4	1	8	5	12	6	1	20	7	6	20	15	10	2	8	10	5	3	2	24	-	2	-	-	7	3	7	10			

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/A/B/C - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (gender 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 7

QGENOME\_2 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a severe or life-threatening condition (such as cystic fibrosis) in the resulting child where there may otherwise be a pre-disposition for that child to have the condition.

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	460	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Strongly support	460	382	35	31	397	29	27	460	-	-	385	38	31	19	187	97	401	31	36	239	117	12
	21%	36%	9%	6%	37%	8%	5%	38%	-	-	41%	9%	5%	21%	28%	36%	25%	9%	20%	24%	26%	7%
Tend to support	737	432	153	107	504	116	87	737	-	-	474	133	105	32	298	92	613	74	65	384	159	22
	34%	41%	38%	21%	47%	30%	16%	62%	-	-	50%	33%	16%	35%	45%	35%	39%	22%	36%	39%	36%	13%
Neither support nor oppose	337	106	136	69	75	177	68	-	337	-	59	191	79	24	94	47	227	48	28	129	74	23
	15%	10%	34%	13%	7%	46%	13%	-	100%	-	6%	47%	12%	26%	14%	18%	14%	14%	15%	13%	17%	13%
Tend to oppose	213	70	33	96	59	29	118	-	-	213	15	26	170	9	49	14	133	58	20	106	40	26
	10%	7%	8%	19%	5%	7%	22%	-	-	45%	2%	6%	26%	10%	7%	5%	8%	17%	11%	11%	9%	15%
Strongly oppose	257	34	27	190	24	16	214	-	-	257	10	11	232	7	26	9	117	104	24	71	35	84
	12%	3%	7%	37%	2%	4%	40%	-	-	55%	1%	3%	36%	7%	4%	3%	7%	31%	13%	7%	8%	48%
NET: All support	1197	814	188	138	900	145	113	1197	-	-	859	171	136	51	484	189	1013	105	101	623	275	34
	55%	77%	47%	27%	84%	38%	21%	100%	-	-	90%	42%	21%	56%	73%	71%	64%	32%	56%	64%	62%	19%
NET: All oppose	470	104	60	286	83	44	332	-	-	470	25	37	402	16	75	23	250	161	44	177	75	109
	22%	10%	15%	55%	8%	12%	62%	-	-	100%	3%	9%	63%	17%	11%	8%	16%	49%	24%	18%	17%	62%
Don't know	144	23	16	16	15	17	17	-	-	-	6	5	21	1	14	8	72	15	5	43	15	8
	7%	2%	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	-	-	-	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%
Prefer not to answer	26	5	1	9	2	1	9	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	1	-	10	3	3	3	4	1
	1%	1%	*	2%	*	*	2%	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	1%	2%	*	1%	1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base



**Table 8**  
**OENOME\_3 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a common medically manageable condition (such as asthma) in the resulting child.**

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE								WORKING STATUS			EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (g)	WOMAN (h)	16-24 (i)	25-34 (j)	35-44 (k)	45-54 (l)	55-64 (m)	65-75 (n)	FULL TIME (o)	PART TIME (p)	SELF EMPLOYED (q)	ANY WORKING (r)	NOT WORKING (s)	GRADUATES (t)	NON GRADUATES (u)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (v)	SINGLE (w)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	YES (ac)	NO (ad)	1 (ae)	2 (af)	3 (ag)	4+ (ah)	NORTH (ai)	EAST (aj)	WEST (ak)	SOUTH (al)		
<b>UNWEIGHTED BASE</b>	2174	1058	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459		
<b>WEIGHTED BASE</b>	2174	1058	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459		
<b>Strongly support</b>	303	170	129	32	69	41	43	63	54	118	69	32	218	84	117	185	189	84	29	77	118	40	68	56	246	24	30	2	-	28	84	135	55	
	14%	16%	12%	12%	18%	11%	11%	15%	14%	14%	18%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	15%	14%	16%	15%	11%	13%	10%	15%	8%	13%	6%	-	13%	18%	13%	12%		
<b>Tend to support</b>	648	355	312	79	131	109	104	107	119	285	156	51	492	156	275	373	437	155	56	131	241	109	167	197	450	102	76	15	4	50	128	324	145	
	30%	31%	29%	20%	33%	30%	27%	26%	34%	33%	28%	31%	27%	32%	28%	31%	27%	25%	20%	26%	30%	31%	32%	28%	33%	34%	39%	42%	24%	28%	31%	32%		
<b>Neither support nor oppose</b>	404	203	201	75	81	80	85	67	37	152	101	29	208	118	140	204	235	130	48	97	147	63	99	101	299	65	14	5	1	38	74	195	96	
	19%	19%	19%	28%	15%	16%	22%	16%	16%	18%	19%	16%	18%	17%	17%	20%	17%	21%	24%	20%	18%	17%	19%	19%	22%	15%	13%	1%	18%	16%	19%	21%		
<b>Tend to oppose</b>	319	158	158	37	48	66	59	71	38	136	76	32	244	75	149	169	200	93	26	80	106	54	79	87	231	39	39	7	2	30	69	158	62	
	15%	15%	15%	14%	12%	18%	15%	17%	11%	16%	14%	15%	13%	14%	16%	13%	14%	16%	13%	16%	13%	15%	14%	15%	14%	13%	17%	17%	26%	14%	15%	15%	13%	
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	323	136	185	24	44	58	65	67	65	102	93	27	222	101	154	209	225	70	29	64	141	50	68	81	242	40	35	5	1	49	61	159	54	
	15%	13%	17%	9%	11%	16%	17%	16%	18%	12%	17%	15%	14%	17%	13%	16%	16%	12%	14%	13%	17%	14%	13%	14%	15%	13%	16%	13%	10%	23%	13%	15%	12%	
<b>NET: All support</b>	950	504	441	111	201	150	147	170	172	403	225	81	710	240	392	559	626	240	85	208	359	149	234	254	697	126	106	17	4	78	212	459	201	
	46%	47%	41%	42%	53%	41%	38%	41%	49%	47%	41%	46%	41%	46%	46%	42%	45%	41%	41%	42%	44%	42%	45%	45%	43%	43%	47%	44%	42%	37%	46%	44%	44%	
<b>NET: All oppose</b>	642	294	344	60	92	124	124	138	102	238	169	59	466	176	263	378	424	163	55	144	247	103	148	168	474	79	74	12	3	80	130	316	116	
	30%	27%	32%	23%	23%	34%	33%	34%	29%	31%	33%	29%	30%	28%	31%	28%	31%	28%	27%	29%	30%	29%	29%	30%	29%	27%	33%	30%	30%	37%	28%	30%	25%	
<b>Don't know</b>	154	73	81	15	38	30	26	28	18	58	40	9	107	47	46	108	92	45	17	41	50	36	28	36	118	23	7	8	4	1	10	38	69	37
	7%	7%	8%	6%	9%	8%	7%	5%	5%	7%	5%	7%	8%	7%	6%	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	6%	10%	5%	6%	6%	6%	1%	13%	1%	10%	5%	8%	7%	8%
<b>Prefer not to answer</b>	24	9	14	4	5	3	1	8	3	8	10	8	18	6	6	18	12	11	1	6	7	5	6	5	19	3	2	-	-	7	3	4	10	
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	*	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	3%	1%	*	2%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/A/B/C - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 9  
QGENOME\_3 - Genome editing: Support/oppose... In human embryos that will be transferred to a human womb to establish pregnancy, to help eliminate a common medically manageable condition (such as asthma) in the resulting child.

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Strongly support	303	254	22	18	273	13	14	288	7	7	303	-	-	17	116	76	272	16	29	151	82	7
	14%	24%	6%	4%	25%	3%	3%	24%	2%	1%	32%	-	-	18%	17%	29%	17%	5%	16%	16%	18%	4%
Tend to support	648	420	116	73	481	83	60	571	52	18	648	-	-	28	282	90	538	63	52	335	150	20
	30%	40%	29%	14%	45%	22%	11%	48%	15%	4%	68%	-	-	30%	42%	34%	34%	19%	29%	34%	34%	11%
Neither support nor oppose	404	157	141	73	149	182	60	171	191	37	-	404	-	23	123	50	281	51	22	167	93	16
	19%	15%	35%	14%	14%	47%	11%	14%	57%	8%	-	100%	-	25%	18%	19%	18%	15%	12%	17%	21%	9%
Tend to oppose	319	123	60	120	100	64	142	113	56	139	-	-	319	16	86	27	224	68	32	158	55	33
	15%	12%	15%	ab	9%	17%	26%	9%	17%	30%	-	-	50%	17%	13%	10%	14%	21%	18%	16%	12%	19%
Strongly oppose	323	64	37	214	46	23	249	23	23	263	-	-	323	9	43	16	162	121	35	110	43	95
	15%	6%	9%	41%	4%	6%	46%	2%	7%	56%	-	-	50%	10%	6%	6%	10%	36%	19%	11%	10%	55%
NET: All support	950	675	138	91	754	96	74	859	59	25	950	-	-	45	398	166	810	80	81	486	232	27
	44%	64%	34%	18%	70%	25%	14%	72%	17%	5%	100%	-	-	48%	60%	62%	52%	24%	45%	50%	52%	15%
NET: All oppose	642	187	97	334	147	87	391	136	79	402	-	-	642	25	129	43	387	189	66	268	98	128
	30%	18%	24%	64%	14%	23%	72%	11%	23%	86%	-	-	100%	27%	19%	16%	25%	57%	37%	27%	22%	73%
Don't know	154	29	22	16	24	17	10	30	6	6	-	-	-	16	8	8	87	11	10	49	17	4
	7%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%	-	-	-	2%	3%	3%	6%	3%	5%	5%	4%	2%
Prefer not to answer	24	5	3	5	2	3	4	1	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	8	1	1	4	3	-
	1%	*	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	*	1%	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	1%	*	1%	*	1%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 10  
Q14DAY: Do you think this "4-day rule" is too long, too short, or about right?

Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research

	GENDER		AGE								WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (G)	WOMAN (H)	16-24 (I)	25-34 (J)	35-44 (K)	45-54 (L)	55-64 (M)	65-75 (N)	FULL TIME (O)	PART TIME (P)	SELF EMPLOYED (Q)	ANY WORKING (R)	NOT WORKING (S)	GRADUATES (T)	NON GRADUATES (U)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (V)	SINGLE (W)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (X)	1 (Y)	2 (Z)	3 (AA)	4+ (AB)	YES (AC)	NO (AD)	1 (AE)	2 (AF)	3 (AG)	4+ (AH)	NORTH (AI)	EAST (AJ)	WEST (AK)	SOUTH (AL)		
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1479	757	185	299	230	248	264	253	585	340	133	1054	421	810	669	949	405	125	147	567	233	332	1113	1113	193	143	281	4	139	208	728	314		
WEIGHTED BASE	1454	728	186	294	231	256	269	269	603	352	129	1082	372	692	822	929	401	121	132	557	226	334	1055	1059	189	144	222**	5**	122	204	721	306		
Far too long	16	10	5	3	3	4	-	1	8	4	1	12	4	6	10	10	5	1	5	4	2	6	6	10	2	5	4	-	-	-	3	10	2	
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	
A little too long	77	42	33	17	23	14	10	6	36	14	16	66	10	27	49	47	21	8	19	22	10	25	28	47	10	18	1	1	8	15	36	17		
	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	4%	2%	6%	4%	12%	6%	3%	5%	8%	5%	5%	7%	6%	4%	5%	7%	8%	4%	5%	12%	5%	10%	7%	5%	5%	6%		
About right	488	344	222	107	125	99	96	110	289	171	49	481	176	250	417	442	175	53	151	262	116	158	179	489	100	61	14	4	45	130	356	127		
	46%	45%	47%	38%	44%	42%	40%	43%	45%	49%	38%	45%	47%	42%	49%	48%	44%	42%	39%	47%	52%	47%	49%	45%	53%	42%	42%	50%	81%	37%	43%	49%	45%	
A little too short	226	131	95	47	28	38	46	36	96	47	17	161	66	100	126	135	72	20	57	81	45	44	50	177	30	18	2	-	23	42	113	48		
	16%	17%	14%	16%	17%	17%	16%	17%	16%	13%	13%	15%	18%	17%	15%	15%	18%	16%	17%	15%	20%	13%	14%	16%	16%	16%	12%	6%	-	19%	14%	16%	16%	
Far too short	40	26	13	2	13	7	6	8	21	3	4	28	13	24	16	21	17	3	13	13	8	6	7	33	3	2	2	-	2	13	13	12		
	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	7%	-	2%	4%	2%	4%		
NET: Too long	92	53	39	22	25	17	14	6	44	17	17	78	14	34	59	57	27	9	24	26	12	31	35	57	12	21	1	1	8	18	47	19		
	6%	7%	6%	12%	9%	7%	6%	2%	7%	5%	13%	7%	4%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%	5%	9%	10%	5%	6%	15%	3%	19%	7%	6%	6%	6%		
NET: Too short	267	156	108	32	60	35	44	54	117	50	21	188	78	124	142	156	88	22	70	94	52	50	56	210	33	20	3	-	25	55	126	60		
	18%	21%	16%	17%	23%	15%	19%	20%	20%	14%	16%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	22%	18%	21%	17%	23%	15%	19%	17%	17%	14%	12%	-	20%	18%	17%	20%		
Don't know	415	202	210	24	72	82	81	91	187	109	42	118	56	192	223	208	108	38	106	171	44	94	82	323	42	40	8	-	43	100	188	84		
	29%	27%	30%	13%	26%	35%	34%	34%	28%	31%	33%	29%	26%	32%	26%	29%	27%	31%	31%	31%	19%	28%	25%	30%	22%	28%	14%	-	35%	33%	26%	27%		
Prefer not to answer	13	3	10	1	2	2	2	4	3	2	1	6	2	2	10	6	4	3	6	3	1	2	3	10	2	1	1	-	1	1	5	5		
	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	2%		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/A/B/C - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulae used. \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 11  
Q14DAY - Do you think this '14-day rule' is too long, too short, or about right?

Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1479	1087	392	-	1006	285	136	1040	233	160	827	298	299	94	664	278	1195	160	119	752	329	61
WEIGHTED BASE	1454	1053	401	-**	980	285	133	1002	242	165	813	299	284	92*	668	267	1163	159	125	724	326	57*
Far too long	16 1%	8 1%	8 2%	-	4 *	5 2%	4 3%	8 1%	3 1%	4 3%	7 1%	2 1%	7 2%	16 17%	-	-	10 1%	6 4%	5 4%	4 *	3 1%	4 7%
A little too long	77 5%	48 5%	28 7%	-	31 3%	22 8%	18 13%	44 4%	21 9%	11 7%	38 5%	20 7%	18 6%	77 83%	-	-	62 5%	9 6%	13 10%	29 4%	16 5%	5 9%
About right	668 46%	510 48%	158 39%	-	485 49%	116 41%	58 43%	484 48%	94 39%	75 45%	398 49%	123 41%	129 45%	-	668 100%	-	566 49%	66 42%	62 50%	361 50%	150 46%	18 32%
A little too short	226 16%	180 17%	46 11%	-	174 18%	31 11%	12 9%	157 16%	44 18%	19 12%	135 17%	44 15%	41 14%	-	-	226 85%	189 16%	25 15%	18 14%	114 16%	60 18%	8 15%
Far too short	40 3%	38 4%	2 *	-	35 4%	5 2%	-	32 3%	3 1%	3 2%	32 4%	6 2%	2 1%	-	-	40 15%	30 3%	11 7%	4 3%	17 2%	6 2%	7 12%
NET: Too long	92 6%	57 5%	36 9%	-	35 4%	27 10%	22 16%	51 5%	24 10%	16 10%	45 5%	23 8%	25 9%	92 100%	-	-	72 6%	15 9%	18 14%	33 5%	19 6%	9 16%
NET: Too short	267 18%	219 21%	48 12%	-	209 21%	36 13%	12 9%	189 19%	47 19%	23 14%	166 20%	50 17%	43 15%	-	-	267 100%	218 19%	35 22%	22 17%	131 18%	65 20%	15 26%
Don't know	415 29%	262 25%	153 38%	-	248 25%	101 36%	40 30%	275 27%	73 30%	50 30%	201 25%	100 33%	85 30%	-	-	-	300 26%	42 27%	23 19%	194 27%	90 28%	13 23%
Prefer not to answer	13 1%	5 1%	7 2%	-	3 *	5 2%	2 1%	2 *	5 2%	2 1%	4 *	3 1%	3 1%	-	-	-	7 1%	1 *	-	4 1%	2 1%	1 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Table 12  
QEXTEND - For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?

Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research

	GENDER		AGE							WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	TOTAL	MAN (G)	WOMAN (B)	16-24 (C)	25-34 (E)	35-44 (G)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (K)	65-75 (M)	FULL TIME (N)	PART TIME (O)	SELF EMPLOYED (P)	ANY WORKING (Q)	NOT WORKING (R)	GRADUATE (S)	NON GRADUATE (T)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (U)	SINGLE (V)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (W)	1 (X)	2 (Y)	3 (Z)	4+ (AA)	YES (AB)	NO (AC)	1 (AD)	2 (AE)	3 (AF)	4+ (AG)	NORTH (AH)	EAST (AI)	WEST (AJ)	SOUTH (AK)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1479	757	715	185	299	230	248	264	253	585	340	133	1058	421	810	669	949	405	125	147	567	233	332	366	1113	193	143	28	4	139	208	728	314
WEIGHTED BASE	1454	728	698	186	294	232	256	269	244	601	352	129	1082	372	660	852	929	401	121	132	557	226	334	365	1089	189	144	22**	5**	132	204	721	306
Finding new treatments for congenital diseases	483	328	352	83	135	110	108	139	109	282	164	61	507	177	332	352	445	189	49	152	261	113	158	167	516	84	72	11	-	57	139	340	148
	47%	43%	51%	45%	48%	47%	46%	52%	45%	47%	47%	47%	47%	48%	55%	41%	48%	47%	40%	45%	47%	50%	47%	46%	47%	45%	50%	41%	-	47%	46%	47%	48%
Improving medical understanding of miscarriage (i.e. loss before 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	557	279	276	77	128	100	85	97	71	239	140	47	425	132	292	305	359	167	51	113	202	105	137	156	401	80	64	11	1	46	125	262	123
	38%	37%	40%	41%	45%	42%	36%	36%	29%	40%	40%	36%	39%	35%	42%	36%	39%	42%	25%	34%	36%	46%	41%	43%	37%	43%	44%	39%	23%	38%	41%	36%	40%
Improving medical understanding of stillbirth (i.e. loss from 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	545	275	266	82	124	96	80	94	69	240	136	47	423	122	256	289	345	168	32	121	196	99	129	144	401	80	53	10	1	43	115	260	126
	37%	36%	39%	44%	44%	41%	34%	35%	28%	40%	39%	36%	39%	33%	42%	34%	37%	42%	26%	36%	35%	44%	39%	39%	37%	43%	37%	37%	15%	35%	38%	36%	41%
Increasing scientific understanding of human development	497	262	232	70	120	79	92	83	52	236	105	46	386	110	247	249	310	156	30	101	187	86	123	125	371	63	49	12	1	38	112	260	87
	34%	35%	34%	38%	42%	34%	30%	31%	21%	30%	30%	36%	36%	30%	41%	29%	33%	39%	25%	30%	34%	38%	37%	34%	34%	33%	34%	44%	23%	31%	37%	36%	28%
Improving fertility treatment (such as IVF)	402	209	191	65	102	68	59	65	42	187	114	21	322	80	176	225	258	119	24	78	150	65	108	107	295	51	48	7	-	36	102	182	82
	28%	28%	28%	35%	36%	29%	25%	24%	17%	31%	32%	16%	30%	21%	29%	26%	28%	30%	20%	23%	27%	29%	32%	29%	27%	27%	33%	27%	-	29%	34%	25%	27%
Other reason	51	30	19	10	16	5	8	8	4	23	10	4	37	13	15	36	33	12	5	8	19	12	11	15	36	6	7	1	1	6	10	23	11
	3%	4%	3%	5%	7%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	19%	5%	3%	3%	4%
Not justifiable to extend this time limit to 28 days for any reason	162	73	88	16	19	18	31	37	40	49	47	18	114	47	59	103	110	29	23	33	64	29	36	44	118	25	16	1	2	21	35	72	34
	11%	10%	13%	9%	7%	8%	13%	14%	17%	8%	13%	14%	11%	13%	10%	12%	12%	7%	18%	10%	12%	13%	11%	12%	11%	13%	11%	3%	43%	17%	11%	10%	11%
Don't know	246	127	117	9	32	46	48	50	61	94	56	17	170	77	84	163	161	56	30	73	103	20	51	51	195	25	21	5	-	22	44	133	48
	17%	17%	17%	5%	11%	20%	20%	19%	25%	16%	17%	13%	16%	21%	14%	19%	17%	14%	24%	22%	19%	11%	16%	14%	18%	13%	15%	18%	-	18%	15%	18%	16%
Prefer not to answer	19	8	11	-	7	3	1	6	1	7	1	2	10	9	3	17	7	11	2	12	6	2	-	2	17	2	-	-	-	3	5	5	7
	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	2%	2%	1%	1%

Proportions/Menus: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/BC - D/E/H/G - H/I/L/K  
Overlap format used. \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig. testing



Table 13  
QEXTEND - For which, if any, reasons do you think it would be justifiable to extend this time limit from 14 days to 28 days?

Base: All support/neutral on use of embryos in research

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1479	1087	392	-	1006	285	136	1040	233	160	827	298	299	94	664	278	1195	160	119	752	329	61
WEIGHTED BASE	1454	1053	401	-**	980	285	133	1002	242	165	813	299	284	92*	668	267	1163	159	125	724	326	57*
Finding new treatments for congenital diseases	683	578	105	-	563	86	29	562	67	44	462	105	102	20	289	190	582	58	63	360	162	23
	47%	55%	26%	-	57%	30%	22%	56%	28%	27%	57%	35%	36%	22%	43%	71%	50%	36%	50%	50%	50%	40%
Improving medical understanding of miscarriage (i.e. loss before 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	557	464	92	-	459	63	26	452	60	31	391	82	69	23	237	156	493	38	40	304	149	12
	38%	44%	23%	-	47%	22%	19%	45%	25%	19%	48%	28%	24%	25%	36%	58%	42%	24%	32%	42%	46%	22%
Improving medical understanding of stillbirth (i.e. loss from 24 completed weeks of pregnancy)	545	449	95	-	447	63	27	449	56	30	372	85	74	24	232	148	479	36	44	278	151	13
	37%	43%	24%	-	46%	22%	20%	45%	23%	18%	46%	28%	26%	26%	35%	55%	41%	23%	36%	38%	46%	23%
Increasing scientific understanding of human development	497	423	74	-	420	52	18	390	53	42	337	77	71	13	196	155	435	36	37	250	149	14
	34%	40%	18%	-	43%	18%	14%	39%	22%	25%	41%	26%	25%	14%	29%	58%	37%	22%	30%	34%	46%	25%
Improving fertility treatment (such as IVF)	402	348	54	-	324	51	20	324	41	26	281	62	49	21	155	121	362	24	31	206	111	8
	28%	33%	13%	-	33%	18%	15%	32%	17%	16%	35%	21%	17%	23%	23%	46%	31%	15%	25%	28%	34%	14%
Other reason	51	34	17	-	34	11	6	33	10	6	32	8	10	7	20	13	42	7	9	22	10	4
	3%	3%	4%	-	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	7%	3%	5%	4%	5%	7%	3%	3%	7%
Not justifiable to extend this time limit to 28 days for any reason	162	101	61	-	75	47	33	87	26	44	59	30	66	21	102	4	110	41	15	83	32	15
	11%	10%	15%	-	8%	17%	25%	9%	11%	27%	7%	10%	23%	22%	15%	2%	9%	26%	12%	11%	10%	27%
Don't know	246	138	108	-	110	82	24	136	65	28	96	81	44	9	90	7	168	22	18	108	38	3
	17%	13%	27%	-	11%	29%	18%	14%	27%	17%	12%	27%	15%	10%	13%	3%	14%	14%	14%	15%	12%	5%
Prefer not to answer	19	6	13	-	4	7	3	6	10	1	5	6	4	3	5	5	13	2	3	8	-	-
	1%	1%	3%	-	*	3%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Table 14  
 QSTATE\_1 - Should the state offer funds/ paid for fertility treatment for people in the Netherlands who are infertile and wish to conceive?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE								WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (a)	WOMAN (b)	16-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65-75 (h)	FULL TIME (i)	PART TIME (j)	SELF EMPLOYED (k)	ANY WORKING (l)	NOT WORKING (m)	GRADUATES (n)	NON GRADUATES (o)	AS (p)	SINGLE (q)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (r)	1 (s)	2 (t)	3 (u)	4+ (v)	YES (w)	NO (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	NORTH (ac)	EAST (ad)	WEST (ae)	SOUTH (af)	
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	107	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1081	265	397	367	302	410	353	869	548	180	1581	586	847	1127	1390	578	206	496	810	353	115	568	1606	296	214	39*	9**	213	451	1044	459
Yes, definitely	818	219	291	70	112	86	81	86	78	203	130	41	374	139	169	344	335	135	43	118	183	75	136	132	381	64	55	11	2	47	131	240	95
	34%	20%	27%	26%	28%	23%	21%	21%	22%	24%	24%	23%	24%	24%	20%	26%	24%	23%	21%	24%	23%	21%	26%	23%	24%	21%	24%	28%	27%	22%	29%	23%	21%
Yes, probably	667	350	313	99	136	109	119	101	102	273	166	52	491	176	290	377	427	177	63	144	222	125	175	207	460	113	77	16	1	57	135	314	160
	31%	32%	29%	27%	34%	30%	31%	25%	25%	32%	29%	31%	30%	34%	34%	28%	31%	31%	30%	29%	27%	36%	34%	34%	29%	38%	34%	41%	10%	17%	29%	30%	35%
No, probably not	363	201	162	26	60	68	65	84	33	159	62	27	269	91	161	202	233	98	32	85	140	64	74	97	266	52	38	4	3	36	80	174	71
	17%	19%	15%	13%	15%	18%	17%	21%	15%	19%	15%	15%	17%	16%	15%	15%	17%	17%	16%	17%	17%	18%	14%	17%	17%	17%	17%	10%	97%	17%	18%	17%	16%
No, definitely not	346	188	155	20	41	59	68	73	76	129	81	38	249	97	129	217	222	92	32	74	156	43	73	67	279	34	28	3	1	37	63	175	71
	16%	17%	14%	11%	10%	16%	18%	18%	22%	15%	15%	15%	17%	16%	15%	16%	16%	15%	15%	15%	12%	14%	14%	12%	17%	12%	13%	8%	13%	18%	14%	17%	13%
NET: All should	1179	569	604	169	248	195	200	187	181	476	296	92	864	315	459	721	762	312	105	262	406	201	311	339	841	177	132	27	3	104	266	554	255
	54%	52%	56%	64%	63%	53%	52%	46%	51%	55%	54%	51%	54%	54%	54%	54%	55%	54%	51%	53%	50%	51%	51%	50%	52%	60%	59%	69%	36%	49%	58%	53%	56%
NET: All should not	709	390	318	66	101	126	133	157	127	288	164	65	518	192	291	418	455	190	64	159	295	107	148	164	545	86	67	7	4	73	143	349	144
	33%	36%	29%	24%	26%	34%	35%	38%	36%	34%	30%	36%	33%	33%	34%	32%	33%	33%	31%	32%	36%	30%	29%	29%	29%	30%	30%	18%	49%	34%	31%	33%	31%
Don't know	261	115	144	26	43	44	48	61	39	87	84	22	193	68	92	169	162	67	32	66	102	42	52	61	200	29	25	5	1	27	48	130	55
	12%	11%	13%	10%	11%	12%	15%	15%	11%	10%	15%	12%	12%	13%	11%	13%	12%	12%	10%	13%	13%	12%	10%	11%	12%	10%	11%	13%	14%	13%	11%	12%	12%
Prefer not to answer	25	10	15	0	4	2	2	5	2	8	5	1	12	12	6	19	11	10	4	9	9	4	5	5	20	4	1	-	-	8	-	11	5
	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

Proportions (Matrix Column Total) (Risk level): a/b = c/d/n1/n2 - 1/2/n1/n2 - n1/n2 - p/q/r - v/h/u/v - w/x - y/z/BC - D1/D2/D3 - N1/N2  
 Overlap formula used: \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 50) (marginable for sig testing)

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 15  
QSTATE\_1 - Should the state offer funded/ paid for fertility treatment for people in the Netherlands who are infertile and wish to conceive?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	996	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Yes, definitely	513 24%	312 30%	68 17%	104 20%	316 29%	75 19%	101 19%	360 30%	60 18%	69 15%	306 32%	74 18%	109 17%	28 30%	192 29%	62 23%	436 28%	44 13%	39 22%	250 26%	137 31%	22 12%
Yes, probably	667 31%	366 35%	125 31%	125 24%	393 37%	120 31%	125 23%	428 36%	109 32%	97 21%	349 37%	141 35%	145 23%	28 30%	249 37%	101 38%	552 35%	61 18%	47 26%	350 36%	149 34%	25 14%
No, probably not	363 17%	166 16%	77 19%	105 20%	165 15%	73 19%	106 20%	185 15%	63 19%	98 21%	129 14%	78 19%	138 22%	16 18%	108 16%	50 19%	262 17%	70 21%	40 22%	182 19%	70 16%	28 16%
No, definitely not	346 16%	127 12%	62 15%	135 26%	127 12%	52 13%	152 28%	124 10%	44 13%	160 34%	93 10%	50 12%	189 30%	13 14%	73 11%	37 14%	174 11%	128 39%	43 24%	104 11%	51 12%	91 52%
NET: All should	1179 54%	679 64%	193 48%	229 44%	709 66%	195 51%	225 42%	788 66%	169 50%	166 35%	654 69%	215 53%	253 39%	56 61%	442 66%	162 61%	988 63%	105 32%	86 48%	600 62%	286 65%	47 27%
NET: All should not	709 33%	293 28%	138 35%	240 46%	291 27%	125 33%	258 48%	310 26%	107 32%	259 55%	222 23%	128 32%	327 51%	30 32%	181 27%	87 33%	436 28%	199 60%	82 46%	286 29%	121 27%	119 68%
Don't know	261 12%	74 7%	66 16%	43 8%	74 7%	61 16%	50 9%	96 8%	55 16%	41 9%	73 8%	58 14%	54 8%	7 7%	44 7%	17 6%	140 9%	25 8%	10 6%	85 9%	34 8%	6 3%
Prefer not to answer	25 1%	7 1%	4 1%	6 1%	2 *	4 1%	6 1%	4 *	5 1%	4 1%	1 *	4 1%	7 1%	-	1 *	* *	9 1%	3 1%	1 1%	3 *	1 *	3 2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 16  
 QSTATE\_2 - Should people undergoing private (i.e. not paid for by the state) fertility treatment in the Netherlands be able to choose the biological sex of their child based on their personal pre

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE							WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (a)	WOMAN (b)	16-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65-75 (h)	FULL TIME (i)	PART TIME (j)	SELF EMPLOYED (k)	ANY WORKING (l)	NOT WORKING (m)	GRADUATES (n)	NON GRADUATES (o)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (p)	SINGLE (q)	WIDOW/ DIV/ SEP (r)	1 (s)	2 (t)	3 (u)	4+ (v)	YES (w)	NO (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	NORTH (ac)	EAST (ad)	WEST (ae)	SOUTH (af)		
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1018	262	411	351	394	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1194	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459		
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1018	262	411	351	394	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1194	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459		
Yes, definitely	113	78	34	27	35	18	18	8	58	12	12	81	32	39	74	55	47	10	28	26	22	37	33	80	16	14	3	-	15	26	45	27		
Yes, probably	273	199	73	72	46	29	33	21	157	48	18	224	49	82	191	156	98	19	56	82	46	89	100	173	52	38	8	2	19	59	133	61		
No, probably not	348	180	108	45	84	53	69	35	149	96	39	267	82	147	201	220	95	33	88	118	39	83	84	264	49	21	5	-	24	71	174	80		
No, definitely not	1225	593	716	92	183	216	236	266	532	341	111	860	365	532	703	832	276	117	268	496	189	272	313	911	155	133	20	5	129	262	699	284		
NET: All should	386	277	107	99	107	63	47	41	215	59	30	305	81	121	265	212	145	29	84	107	68	126	133	253	67	52	11	2	35	85	178	89		
NET: All should not	1573	682	884	136	247	269	304	328	558	439	130	1127	446	670	903	1052	370	151	356	614	248	355	397	1176	203	164	25	5	153	333	773	314		
Don't know	193	115	78	25	36	33	27	40	80	43	18	141	51	52	140	116	53	23	47	80	36	30	35	157	22	9	3	1	17	40	86	50		
Prefer not to answer	22	10	13	3	7	1	4	5	6	7	2	8	8	4	18	10	10	3	8	18	2	4	3	19	3	-	-	-	8	-	8	6		
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	

Proportions (Matrix Column Total) (Risk level): a/b = C/(M+N)/[C/(M+N) + D/(O+V)] = n/a/b = p/q/r = v/h/u/v = w/x = y/N/M/C = D/(H/G) = N/(U/K)  
 Overlap formula used: \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 50) (marginable for sig testing)

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 17

QSTATE\_2 - Should people undergoing private (i.e. not paid for by the state) fertility treatment in the Netherlands be able to choose the biological sex of their child based on their personal pre

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Yes, definitely	113	69	18	15	74	17	16	80	16	12	82	18	9	16	39	20	86	15	11	34	33	5
	5%	7%	5%	3%	7%	5%	3%	7%	5%	3%	9%	4%	1%	17%	6%	7%	5%	4%	6%	3%	7%	3%
Yes, probably	273	154	64	42	172	51	42	174	55	35	160	67	38	24	121	44	229	30	20	126	82	10
	13%	15%	16%	8%	16%	13%	8%	15%	16%	7%	17%	17%	6%	26%	18%	16%	15%	9%	11%	13%	19%	5%
No, probably not	348	175	80	76	190	78	64	223	67	44	175	70	78	18	124	53	277	42	35	178	71	13
	16%	17%	20%	15%	18%	20%	12%	19%	20%	9%	18%	17%	12%	19%	19%	20%	18%	13%	19%	18%	16%	7%
No, definitely not	1225	598	179	364	580	184	396	646	149	362	466	199	496	30	358	131	882	224	107	585	227	138
	56%	57%	45%	70%	54%	48%	73%	54%	44%	77%	49%	49%	77%	32%	54%	49%	56%	67%	59%	60%	51%	79%
NET: All should	386	224	82	58	245	69	58	254	72	47	241	85	47	39	160	63	315	45	31	160	115	15
	18%	21%	20%	11%	23%	18%	11%	21%	21%	10%	25%	21%	7%	43%	24%	24%	20%	13%	17%	16%	26%	8%
NET: All should not	1573	772	260	441	769	263	460	869	215	406	641	269	574	47	482	184	1159	266	142	763	298	151
	72%	73%	65%	85%	71%	68%	85%	73%	64%	86%	67%	67%	89%	51%	72%	69%	74%	80%	79%	78%	67%	86%
Don't know	193	53	53	18	60	44	20	71	41	15	64	44	20	6	26	17	91	19	7	49	26	8
	9%	5%	13%	3%	6%	11%	4%	6%	12%	3%	7%	11%	3%	6%	4%	6%	6%	6%	4%	5%	6%	5%
Prefer not to answer	22	4	7	2	2	2	4	4	8	1	4	6	1	-	1	3	6	2	-	3	3	1
	1%	+	2%	+	+	2%	+	+	2%	+	+	2%	+	-	+	1%	+	1%	-	+	+	1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
 6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
 PUBLIC  
 IPSOS

16 Feb 2026

Table 18

QLIMIT\_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	A biological female, who will give birth	A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	2174
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	2174
There should not be an upper age-limit	356	375
There should be an upper age-limit	1243	1099
	57%	51%
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	136	158
	6%	7%
None of these	122	151
	6%	7%
Don't know	286	367
	13%	17%
Prefer not to answer	31	25
	1%	1%



Table 19  
QLIMIT\_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE					WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION					
	MAN (g)	WOMAN (h)	16-24 (i)	25-34 (j)	35-44 (k)	45-54 (l)	55-64 (m)	65-75 (n)	FULL TIME (o)	PART TIME (p)	SELF EMPLOYED (q)	ANY WORKING (r)	NOT WORKING (s)	GRADUATES (t)	NON GRADUATES (u)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (v)	SINGLE (w)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	YES (ac)	NO (ad)	1 (ae)	2 (af)	3 (ag)	4+ (ah)	NORTH (ai)	EAST (aj)	WEST (ak)	SOUTH (al)	
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1018	1107	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1018	1081	265	397	367	312	410	353	869	548	180	1588	586	847	1127	1309	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	39**	9**	213	458	1044	459
There should not be an upper age limit	356	201	156	57	78	81	62	45	33	168	97	25	290	66	164	192	221	122	13	76	101	71	108	108	248	61	37	10	1	35	66	176	79
There should be an upper age limit	1818	817	625	208	329	286	250	365	320	701	421	155	1298	582	947	835	1188	466	193	420	712	287	409	553	1358	235	181	31	6	191	381	866	380
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	136	82	54	36	26	17	15	29	14	62	26	11	100	36	43	92	76	46	13	35	47	21	33	34	101	14	19	2	-	16	28	59	32
None of these	122	75	46	30	28	17	20	13	19	51	30	12	93	29	30	92	76	35	12	24	47	19	32	36	86	20	11	3	2	11	16	61	34
Don't know	286	142	141	27	67	52	53	50	37	120	62	24	206	80	105	181	176	75	35	71	109	46	61	76	210	42	27	6	1	32	52	130	72
Prefer not to answer	116	73	43	28	20	14	14	12	10	44	24	8	56	18	28	46	39	17	10	24	26	13	16	16	49	10	6	1	1	12	11	12	7

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/ABC - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base, \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 20

QUIMIT\_1 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological female, who will give birth

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
There should not be an upper age-limit	356	193	50	93	199	51	91	223	49	64	184	53	102	14	112	60	303	35	34	164	98	21
	16%	18%	13%	18%	18%	13%	17%	19%	15%	14%	19%	13%	16%	16%	17%	22%	19%	11%	19%	17%	22%	12%
There should be an upper age-limit	1243	658	206	287	692	190	290	760	154	273	598	202	375	37	436	158	953	180	104	643	250	85
	57%	63%	51%	55%	64%	49%	54%	63%	46%	58%	63%	50%	58%	40%	65%	59%	61%	54%	58%	66%	56%	49%
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	136	56	28	45	48	34	51	55	28	48	40	33	54	18	36	16	79	46	16	37	31	28
	6%	5%	7%	9%	4%	9%	10%	5%	8%	10%	4%	8%	8%	20%	5%	6%	5%	14%	9%	4%	7%	16%
None of these	122	44	37	30	43	37	35	48	31	33	42	35	39	12	40	8	66	34	12	34	18	21
	6%	4%	9%	6%	4%	10%	6%	4%	9%	7%	4%	9%	6%	13%	6%	3%	4%	10%	6%	4%	4%	12%
Don't know	286	92	73	55	92	67	65	107	67	45	82	75	62	10	41	18	161	30	13	96	42	16
	13%	9%	18%	11%	9%	17%	12%	9%	20%	10%	9%	19%	10%	10%	6%	7%	10%	9%	7%	10%	10%	9%
Prefer not to answer	31	10	7	8	3	6	8	4	8	7	6	6	9	1	3	6	10	7	1	1	4	3
	1%	1%	2%	2%	*	2%	1%	*	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	2%	1%	2%	*	*	1%	2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 21  
 Q1.M1T.2 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE								WORKING STATUS			EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (g)	WOMAN (h)	16-24 (i)	25-34 (j)	35-44 (k)	45-54 (l)	55-64 (m)	65-75 (n)	FULL TIME (o)	PART TIME (p)	SELF EMPLOYED (q)	ANY WORKING (r)	NOT WORKING (s)	GRADUATES (t)	NON GRADUATES (u)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (v)	SINGLE (w)	WIDOW/ DIV/ SEP (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	YES (ac)	NO (ad)	1 (ae)	2 (af)	3 (ag)	4+ (ah)	NORTH (ai)	EAST (aj)	WEST (ak)	SOUTH (al)			
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1018	1107	262	411	351	384	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1194	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459		
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1018	1081	265	397	367	392	410	353	869	548	180	1581	586	817	1127	1190	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	39**	9**	213	458	1044	459		
There should not be an upper age limit	375	208	166	55	80	71	72	52	43	175	102	34	311	63	172	202	237	120	17	81	125	66	103	102	272	54	37	8	2	48	72	180	75		
There should be an upper age limit	1799	810	651	195	173	179	296	229	207	694	295	89	798	311	438	641	726	280	123	248	420	175	255	283	815	144	117	19	4	87	251	547	214		
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	158	103	54	42	27	21	18	32	17	77	29	11	116	42	51	107	87	54	17	45	54	22	38	43	115	24	15	1	2	17	35	68	38		
None of these	151	92	57	44	20	24	24	22	22	61	34	12	106	44	35	116	93	6	13	38	52	26	35	39	112	21	13	5	-	14	24	73	39		
Don't know	367	179	186	40	72	71	59	68	57	138	81	34	253	114	125	242	238	100	29	74	155	59	78	95	272	48	40	6	-	39	75	165	88		
Prefer not to answer	15	9	17	4	2	4	6	3	7	6	7	-	13	13	6	20	9	10	7	11	3	5	7	6	20	4	2	-	-	9	1	10	5		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/A/B/C - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base, \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 22

QUIMIT\_2 - Thinking about self-funded fertility treatment, do you think there should be an upper age limit when it comes to each of the following, or not? A biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
There should not be an upper age-limit	375	215	51	91	224	50	88	250	40	69	199	54	104	15	129	55	325	29	27	190	105	13
	17%	20%	13%	18%	21%	13%	16%	21%	12%	15%	21%	13%	16%	17%	19%	21%	21%	9%	15%	20%	24%	8%
There should be an upper age-limit	1099	600	172	247	611	172	252	671	146	233	538	176	324	36	382	155	842	166	100	558	227	79
	51%	57%	43%	48%	57%	45%	47%	56%	43%	50%	57%	44%	50%	38%	57%	58%	54%	50%	55%	57%	51%	45%
Self-funded fertility treatment should not be permitted	158	62	28	60	56	40	60	59	25	70	47	37	71	15	46	14	85	63	21	46	29	40
	7%	6%	7%	12%	5%	11%	11%	5%	7%	15%	5%	9%	11%	17%	7%	5%	5%	19%	12%	5%	7%	23%
None of these	151	51	43	41	54	40	46	63	42	32	49	45	47	11	43	10	97	27	14	49	24	19
	7%	5%	11%	8%	5%	10%	9%	5%	12%	7%	5%	11%	7%	12%	7%	4%	6%	8%	8%	5%	5%	11%
Don't know	367	119	98	76	126	78	90	149	76	63	112	86	92	15	63	29	213	44	17	127	56	22
	17%	11%	24%	15%	12%	20%	17%	12%	23%	13%	12%	21%	14%	16%	9%	11%	14%	13%	10%	13%	13%	13%
Prefer not to answer	25	5	9	3	5	4	3	6	7	2	4	7	4	-	5	3	9	2	1	4	2	-
	1%	*	a	1%	*	1%	1%	*	2%	*	*	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	*	*	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
 6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
 PUBLIC  
 IPSOS

16 Feb 2026

Table 23

QAGE - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to...

Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this

	The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth	The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/ partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1257	1127
WEIGHTED BASE	1243	1099
25 years old	19	17
30 years old	26 2%	13 1%
35 years old	145 12%	74 7%
40 years old	436 35%	230 21%
45 years old	420 34%	358 33%
50 years old	127 10%	263 24%
55 years old	16 1%	65 6%
60 years old	12 1%	32 3%
65 years old or older	5 *	13 1%
NET: 40 years old or younger	625 50%	335 30%
NET: 45 years old or younger	1046 84%	692 63%
NET: 50 years old or younger	1172 94%	956 87%
NET: 60 years old or younger	1201 97%	1053 96%
None of these	3 *	7 1%
Don't know	34 3%	26 2%



Table 24  
QAGE\_1 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth

Base: All who believe there should be an age limit on this

	GENDER		AGE					WORKING STATUS			EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION							
	MALE (G)	FEMALE (H)	16-24 (I)	25-34 (J)	35-44 (K)	45-54 (L)	55-64 (M)	65-75 (N)	FULL TIME (O)	PART TIME (P)	SELF EMPLOYED (Q)	ANY WORKING (R)	NOT WORKING (S)	GRADUATES (T)	NON GRADUATES (U)	MARRIED/LIVING AS PARTNERS (V)	SINGLE (W)	WIDOW/SEPARATED (X)	1 (Y)	2 (Z)	3 (AA)	4+ (AB)	YES (AC)	NO (AD)	1 (AE)	2 (AF)	3 (AG)	4+ (AH)	NORTH (AI)	EAST (AJ)	WEST (AK)	SOUTH (AL)	
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1257	570	682	113	203	191	239	268	244	436	311	110	857	400	666	593	640	291	126	285	504	196	272	107	950	155	128	20	4	121	288	606	242
WEIGHTED BASE	1143	568	575	113	193	196	228	270	240	445	320	107	865	379	696	627	678	308	126	278	498	190	275	107	936	156	129	18	4	109	294	625	236
25 years old	19	9	10	5	14	9	1	2	1	12	1	2	14	5	2	17	11	8	-	3	10	-	5	6	13	2	4	-	-	3	2	11	2
	2%	2%	1%	5%	4%	4%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%
30 years old	26	12	13	5	7	2	3	9	1	10	3	3	16	10	6	20	18	7	1	4	10	2	10	4	21	1	2	2	-	2	4	15	4
	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%	1%	10%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
35 years old	145	75	70	11	20	17	21	38	37	48	41	12	101	44	88	107	99	33	14	28	69	18	28	32	114	21	11	-	-	14	43	58	23
	12%	13%	10%	10%	10%	9%	9%	14%	15%	11%	12%	12%	12%	12%	8%	14%	12%	11%	11%	10%	14%	10%	10%	10%	12%	13%	8%	-	-	13%	15%	9%	14%
40 years old	436	193	241	31	52	60	91	163	99	149	121	34	304	131	159	276	308	74	54	92	188	69	87	113	332	62	42	7	2	40	110	200	86
	35%	34%	36%	28%	27%	31%	40%	38%	31%	41%	40%	37%	32%	30%	32%	37%	41%	26%	43%	33%	38%	36%	32%	37%	34%	40%	33%	38%	51%	37%	37%	33%	37%
45 years old	420	175	242	27	72	74	74	92	69	154	108	42	304	116	197	223	286	96	38	91	160	73	97	110	310	51	52	7	-	34	100	214	72
	34%	31%	36%	24%	24%	24%	24%	34%	34%	35%	33%	39%	35%	32%	40%	40%	30%	35%	33%	33%	32%	38%	35%	36%	33%	33%	40%	39%	-	31%	34%	35%	31%
50 years old	127	59	68	21	21	25	25	18	16	46	29	9	81	43	60	67	73	42	12	35	41	19	32	32	94	14	14	2	1	11	20	75	21
	10%	10%	10%	16%	11%	11%	11%	7%	7%	10%	9%	9%	8%	12%	12%	9%	9%	15%	9%	12%	8%	10%	12%	11%	10%	9%	11%	13%	29%	11%	7%	12%	9%
55 years old	16	10	6	3	5	3	2	1	2	8	6	-	14	2	11	5	5	8	3	7	4	2	3	2	14	2	-	-	1	1	9	5	
	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
60 years old	12	8	4	1	2	-	1	1	1	3	3	1	6	4	4	5	3	2	1	1	11	-	1	1	11	-	1	-	-	1	3	5	3
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
65 years old or older	5	5	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	2	3	-	3	1	3	1	-	1	1	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	5	-
	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	20%	1%	-	1%	1%
NET: 40 years old or younger	625	290	334	52	87	80	116	152	139	219	166	51	436	190	205	421	435	122	69	128	277	90	130	155	470	86	59	9	2	59	159	282	125
	50%	51%	50%	46%	43%	41%	51%	56%	57%	41%	51%	47%	50%	52%	41%	56%	52%	42%	55%	46%	56%	47%	47%	50%	50%	55%	45%	48%	51%	54%	54%	47%	53%
NET: 45 years old or younger	1046	465	576	79	159	153	190	244	220	373	275	92	740	306	401	644	721	218	107	219	437	163	227	265	781	137	110	16	2	93	259	496	197
	84%	82%	86%	70%	82%	78%	83%	90%	91%	84%	84%	86%	84%	84%	81%	86%	87%	76%	85%	79%	88%	85%	83%	86%	83%	88%	85%	87%	51%	85%	88%	82%	84%
NET: 50 years old or younger	1172	524	643	100	180	178	215	262	237	419	303	101	823	349	461	711	794	260	119	254	477	182	259	298	875	151	125	18	3	104	279	571	218
	94%	92%	96%	89%	93%	91%	94%	97%	98%	94%	92%	93%	94%	90%	93%	95%	94%	90%	94%	91%	96%	95%	94%	97%	94%	97%	97%	100%	80%	96%	95%	94%	93%
NET: 60 years old or younger	1201	542	654	111	186	183	217	264	240	429	312	102	844	357	475	725	804	273	124	263	485	185	268	301	899	154	126	18	3	106	284	585	227
	97%	95%	98%	90%	93%	93%	95%	98%	99%	95%	95%	96%	96%	97%	95%	97%	97%	95%	98%	94%	97%	97%	97%	98%	98%	99%	99%	100%	80%	97%	97%	97%	96%
None of these	3	2	1	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	1	3	-	2	1	2	-	1	-	2	-	1	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	-
	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	1%	3%	-	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
Don't know	34	19	15	1	6	5	3	13	15	3	3	4	16	19	21	11	2	13	10	6	5	5	30	2	2	-	-	3	10	13	8	-	
	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	-	-	3%	3%	2%	3%	

Proportions/Mean: Column Totals Risk level: a/b = c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/BC - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulas used: \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the International quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 25

QAGE\_1 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological female, who will give birth

Base: All who believe there should be an age limit on this

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1257	679	208	287	699	199	294	778	157	270	595	209	391	39	430	170	973	183	102	657	250	88
WEIGHTED BASE	1243	658	206	287	692	190	290	760	154	273	598	202	375	37*	436	158	953	180	104*	643	250	85*
25 years old	19 2%	12 2%	3 2%	4 1%	11 2%	1 1%	6 2%	12 2%	3 2%	4 2%	14 2%	2 1%	3 1%	1 2%	12 3%	- -	17 2%	2 1%	1 1%	12 2%	4 2%	2 2%
30 years old	26 2%	15 2%	3 2%	5 2%	12 2%	2 1%	8 3%	11 1%	4 2%	10 4%	10 2%	3 2%	10 3%	- -	15 3%	1 1%	19 2%	4 2%	1 1%	9 1%	5 2%	1 1%
35 years old	145 12%	57 9%	31 15%	46 16%	70 10%	23 12%	40 14%	78 10%	20 13%	41 15%	65 11%	20 10%	48 13%	5 15%	38 9%	18 11%	89 9%	36 20%	13 13%	60 9%	29 12%	21 24%
40 years old	436 35%	220 33%	72 35%	105 37%	245 35%	61 32%	101 35%	268 35%	54 35%	96 35%	208 35%	68 34%	136 36%	10 28%	150 34%	49 31%	317 33%	78 43%	34 32%	229 36%	76 30%	40 47%
45 years old	420 34%	240 36%	67 32%	92 32%	252 36%	57 30%	97 34%	263 35%	48 31%	93 34%	207 35%	73 36%	126 34%	11 30%	150 34%	64 41%	348 37%	46 26%	38 u	230 u	87 u	19 22%
50 years old	127 10%	73 11%	20 10%	27 9%	65 9%	34 18%	24 8%	82 11%	16 10%	22 8%	58 10%	22 11%	38 10%	6 18%	41 9%	24 15%	107 11%	9 5%	8 8%	74 12%	29 12%	2 3%
55 years old	16 1%	10 2%	4 2%	1 1%	11 2%	3 2%	2 1%	13 2%	3 2%	1 *	10 2%	5 2%	2 *	1 2%	9 2%	2 2%	13 1%	2 1%	- -	9 1%	6 2%	- -
60 years old	12 1%	8 1%	2 1%	2 1%	9 1%	2 1%	1 *	9 1%	2 1%	1 *	9 2%	* *	2 1%	- -	7 2%	- -	12 1%	- -	4 3%	5 1%	2 1%	- -
65 years old or older	5 *	4 1%	1 *	- -	2 *	1 1%	2 1%	3 *	1 1%	1 *	1 *	1 1%	3 1%	- -	4 1%	- -	5 1%	- -	- -	3 *	1 1%	- -
NET: 40 years old or younger	625 50%	303 46%	110 53%	160 56%	338 49%	87 46%	155 53%	368 48%	80 52%	151 55%	297 50%	93 46%	197 53%	17 45%	214 49%	67 43%	442 46%	120 66%	49 48%	310 48%	113 45%	63 74%
NET: 45 years old or younger	1046 84%	544 83%	177 86%	251 88%	589 85%	144 76%	252 87%	631 83%	128 83%	243 89%	505 84%	166 82%	323 86%	28 75%	365 84%	132 83%	790 83%	166 92%	88 84%	540 84%	200 80%	81 96%
NET: 50 years old or younger	1172 94%	616 94%	197 95%	278 97%	654 95%	178 94%	276 95%	713 94%	144 94%	265 97%	563 94%	188 93%	361 96%	34 92%	406 93%	155 98%	897 94%	175 97%	96 93%	615 96%	229 92%	83 98%
NET: 60 years old or younger	1201 97%	635 97%	202 98%	282 98%	674 97%	183 96%	280 97%	735 97%	148 97%	267 98%	582 97%	193 96%	365 97%	35 95%	423 97%	158 100%	923 97%	177 98%	100 96%	628 98%	237 95%	83 98%
None of these	3 *	3 *	- -	- -	2 *	- -	1 *	2 *	- -	1 *	2 *	- -	1 *	2 5%	1 *	- -	2 *	1 *	1 1%	1 *	- -	1 1%
Don't know	34 3%	16 2%	3 2%	5 2%	14 2%	6 3%	7 3%	20 3%	4 3%	4 1%	12 2%	8 4%	6 2%	- -	8 2%	- -	23 2%	3 1%	3 3%	11 2%	12 5%	1 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 26  
QAGE\_2 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)

Base: All who believe their should be an age limit on this

	GENDER			AGE							WORKING STATUS					EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	TOTAL	MAN (G)	WOMAN (B)	16-24 (G)	25-34 (B)	35-44 (G)	45-54 (B)	55-64 (G)	65-75 (B)	FULL TIME (G)	PART TIME (B)	SELF EMPLOYED (G)	ANY WORKING (B)	NOT WORKING (G)	GRADUATES (G)	NON GRADUATES (B)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (G)	SINGLE (B)	WID/DIV/SEP (G)	1 (G)	2 (B)	3 (G)	4+ (B)	YES (G)	NO (B)	1 (G)	2 (B)	3 (G)	4+ (B)	NORTH (G)	EAST (B)	WEST (G)	SOUTH (B)				
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1127	503	618	110	182	175	218	233	209	404	280	91	777	150	613	514	744	261	122	261	426	183	257	283	844	144	115	20	4	100	252	554	221				
WEIGHTED BASE	1009	492	602	105	171	170	206	207	207	404	295	89	768	111	498	645	726	250	121	248	400	175	235	283	855	144	117	19**	4**	117	251	547	214				
25 years old	17	11	6	7	6	1	-	2	1	8	1	4	13	5	3	14	9	8	-	2	10	1	5	3	14	2	1	-	-	-	2	14	1	21			
	2%	2%	1%	7%	4%	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	5%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	3%	1%	1%			
30 years old	13	8	5	-	4	-	3	5	1	6	2	1	9	4	4	9	8	3	2	4	2	3	4	3	10	1	1	1	-	1	-	9	3	3			
	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%			
35 years old	74	43	22	7	5	10	11	23	17	25	24	5	53	21	16	58	61	8	5	10	39	5	20	18	37	9	9	-	-	9	20	27	18	18			
	7%	9%	5%	7%	3%	6%	6%	10%	8%	6%	8%	5%	7%	7%	4%	9%	8%	3%	4%	4%	9%	3%	8%	6%	7%	6%	7%	-	-	10%	20	6%	5%	8%			
40 years old	330	122	116	25	41	29	39	51	45	91	54	15	160	70	84	146	159	43	28	46	100	34	68	62	168	35	21	6	-	F	53	104	53	53			
	21%	23%	19%	24%	24%	16%	19%	22%	22%	23%	17%	20%	22%	23%	18%	23%	22%	17%	23%	19%	24%	19%	19%	22%	21%	24%	18%	33%	-	23%	21%	19%	23%	23%			
45 years old	358	147	208	21	47	64	73	82	74	131	101	30	262	96	157	201	230	79	49	86	124	63	84	89	259	50	46	3	1	26	80	178	73	73			
	23%	26%	21%	26%	28%	14%	16%	16%	16%	23%	14%	14%	23%	13%	14%	21%	13%	11%	40%	15%	30%	16%	13%	15%	12%	15%	16%	21%	10%	11%	11%	13%	13%	14%			
50 years old	363	100	163	22	44	52	56	43	45	95	69	22	186	77	118	145	172	67	24	64	94	42	63	68	195	32	30	5	1	20	58	139	45	45			
	24%	20%	27%	21%	26%	29%	27%	19%	22%	24%	23%	25%	24%	25%	26%	23%	24%	27%	19%	26%	22%	24%	25%	24%	24%	22%	26%	24%	31%	23%	23%	25%	21%	21%			
55 years old	65	34	30	9	14	12	9	11	10	27	20	4	51	15	37	28	41	20	4	13	21	13	18	17	49	9	4	3	1	4	13	38	10	10			
	6%	7%	5%	9%	8%	7%	4%	5%	5%	7%	7%	4%	6%	5%	8%	4%	6%	8%	4%	5%	5%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	4%	14%	26%	5%	5%	7%	4%	4%			
60 years old	32	9	23	6	2	6	5	7	4	4	8	2	17	15	16	16	19	7	6	8	7	9	7	10	23	6	3	1	-	2	12	13	4	4			
	3%	2%	4%	6%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	-	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%			
65 years old or older	13	9	4	4	4	1	2	2	1	7	2	1	10	3	7	6	8	4	1	4	8	-	1	1	12	-	-	-	1	1	1	11	-	-			
	1%	2%	1%	4%	4%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21%	1%	-	2%	-				
NET: 40 years old or younger	355	174	159	39	57	40	53	62	64	130	81	25	236	99	108	227	236	63	36	63	153	42	77	86	249	46	32	7	-	30	75	154	76	76			
	25%	23%	26%	28%	22%	22%	25%	23%	21%	27%	20%	28%	26%	23%	24%	26%	23%	23%	22%	25%	26%	24%	26%	26%	24%	26%	22%	27%	-	25%	26%	26%	26%	26%			
NET: 45 years old or younger	682	320	367	60	104	101	127	144	137	260	182	55	498	196	265	428	467	141	84	149	277	104	161	185	508	96	78	10	1	56	156	322	149	149			
	63%	65%	61%	57%	61%	56%	61%	71%	66%	64%	62%	62%	63%	63%	65%	67%	64%	57%	69%	60%	66%	60%	65%	65%	62%	67%	67%	53%	21%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%			
NET: 50 years old or younger	956	421	530	83	148	153	183	207	182	355	251	78	684	272	383	573	639	208	108	213	371	148	224	252	703	128	108	14	2	76	214	471	194	194			
	87%	86%	88%	79%	86%	85%	88%	88%	88%	88%	85%	87%	87%	88%	84%	89%	88%	83%	88%	86%	88%	84%	88%	89%	86%	89%	92%	77%	53%	88%	85%	86%	86%	86%			
NET: 60 years old or younger	1053	464	583	97	164	172	197	223	199	387	279	85	751	302	436	616	698	236	119	235	399	170	249	278	774	143	114	18	3	82	239	523	208	208			
	96%	94%	97%	93%	96%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	94%	97%	94%	95%	95%	98%	98%	95%	98%	98%	95%	79%	95%	95%	96%	97%	97%			
None of these	7	4	2	1	-	-	4	-	2	2	1	1	4	2	3	4	4	1	1	1	3	-	2	1	6	-	1	-	-	2	2	3	-	-			
	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-			
Don't know	26	14	12	3	4	7	4	5	6	8	13	2	23	3	12	14	15	9	2	9	9	5	3	3	23	1	2	1	-	2	9	9	5	5			
	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	5%	-	2%	4%	2%	3%				

Proportions/Mean: Column Totalled (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/ABC - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulas used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the International quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 27

QAGE\_2 - Which, if any, of the following do you think the upper age limit should be for people to be permitted to have self-funded fertility treatment when it comes to... The maximum age of the biological male in a relationship/partnership where they are having children via IVF (but are not the person giving birth)

Base: All who believe there should be an age limit on this

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1127	628	176	250	626	182	259	695	149	236	543	189	340	39	381	166	876	169	98	580	231	82
WEIGHTED BASE	1099	600	172	247	611	172	252	671	146	233	538	176	324	36*	382	155	842	166	100*	558	227	79*
25 years old	17 2%	14 2%	2 1%	1 *	13 2%	- -	4 1%	15 2%	- -	2 1%	12 2%	1 1%	4 1%	3 7%	8 2%	3 2%	14 2%	3 2%	1 1%	10 2%	3 1%	3 4%
30 years old	13 1%	6 1%	4 3%	2 1%	6 1%	2 1%	4 2%	7 1%	2 1%	3 1%	5 1%	3 1%	5 1%	- -	8 2%	* *	9 1%	3 2%	1 1%	2 *	5 2%	2 2%
35 years old	74 7%	35 6%	13 7%	20 8%	39 6%	10 6%	18 7%	42 6%	12 8%	19 8%	37 7%	8 4%	23 7%	3 7%	20 5%	11 7%	47 6%	15 9%	11 st	24 4%	9 4%	11 st
40 years old	230 21%	118 20%	45 26%	51 21%	124 20%	32 19%	57 22%	137 20%	28 19%	54 23%	113 21%	35 20%	70 22%	3 8%	89 23%	28 18%	164 19%	42 25%	18 18%	105 19%	55 24%	17 22%
45 years old	358 33%	201 34%	49 28%	81 33%	200 33%	56 33%	88 35%	211 32%	48 33%	85 37%	175 33%	61 35%	106 33%	14 40%	121 32%	44 29%	276 33%	56 34%	34 30%	204 37%	65 29%	26 32%
50 years old	263 24%	149 25%	40 23%	60 24%	159 26%	41 24%	54 21%	170 25%	34 23%	48 21%	125 23%	46 26%	77 24%	6 17%	90 24%	51 33%	219 26%	32 19%	20 20%	145 26%	57 25%	14 18%
55 years old	65 6%	40 7%	9 6%	14 6%	39 6%	15 9%	11 4%	46 7%	11 7%	7 3%	42 8%	8 4%	14 4%	4 12%	22 6%	14 9%	53 6%	8 5%	3 3%	37 7%	15 7%	4 5%
60 years old	32 3%	20 3%	4 2%	7 3%	19 3%	5 3%	6 3%	20 3%	5 4%	5 2%	15 3%	6 3%	10 3%	1 4%	12 3%	2 1%	27 3%	2 1%	2 2%	19 3%	6 3%	1 2%
65 years old or older	13 1%	8 1%	3 2%	1 *	5 1%	6 3%	2 1%	8 1%	3 2%	2 1%	6 1%	3 2%	4 1%	- -	9 2%	- -	13 2%	- -	4 s	6 1%	2 1%	- -
NET: 40 years old or younger	335 30%	173 29%	64 37%	74 30%	182 30%	44 26%	82 33%	201 30%	41 28%	78 33%	167 31%	46 26%	102 32%	8 23%	124 33%	43 28%	235 28%	63 38%	30 30%	140 25%	71 31%	33 41%
NET: 45 years old or younger	692 63%	374 62%	113 65%	155 63%	382 63%	100 58%	170 67%	412 61%	89 61%	163 70%	342 64%	108 61%	209 64%	22 62%	245 64%	87 57%	511 61%	119 72%	64 64%	344 62%	137 60%	58 74%
NET: 50 years old or younger	956 87%	523 87%	153 89%	215 87%	540 89%	141 82%	223 89%	582 87%	123 84%	211 91%	468 87%	154 87%	286 88%	28 79%	335 88%	138 89%	730 87%	151 91%	84 84%	490 88%	194 85%	73 92%
NET: 60 years old or younger	1053 96%	584 97%	166 96%	236 95%	598 98%	160 93%	241 95%	649 97%	139 95%	223 96%	524 97%	168 95%	310 96%	34 95%	369 97%	154 100%	810 96%	161 97%	89 89%	545 98%	215 95%	78 98%
None of these	7 1%	2 *	1 *	4 2%	4 1%	1 *	2 1%	3 *	- -	4 2%	2 *	1 *	4 1%	2 5%	1 *	- -	4 *	1 *	1 1%	2 *	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know	26 2%	6 1%	3 2%	6 3%	4 1%	5 3%	8 3%	11 2%	5 3%	5 2%	6 1%	5 3%	7 2%	- -	3 1%	1 *	15 2%	4 3%	5 5%	6 1%	9 4%	1 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 28  
QDONATE: Under which, if any, of the following circumstances would you personally consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE						WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (a)	WOMAN (b)	16-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65-75 (h)	FULL TIME (i)	PART TIME (j)	SELF EMPLOYED (k)	ANY WORKING (l)	NOT WORKING (m)	GRADUATES (n)	NON GRADUATES (o)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (p)	SINGLE (q)	WIDOW/ DIV/ SEP (r)	1 (s)	2 (t)	3 (u)	4+ (v)	YES (w)	NO (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	NORTH (ac)	EAST (ad)	WEST (ae)	SOUTH (af)	
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459	
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1081	265	397	362	302	410	353	829	548	180	1528	647	1127	1190	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	206	214	39*	9**	213	458	1044	459	
I would not consider donating sperm/eggs under any circumstances	834	274	359	52	86	105	130	140	121	223	161	51	435	199	229	130	452	148	54	146	279	97	112	134	500	70	57	5	2	62	132	318	123
	29%	25%	33%	19%	22%	29%	34%	34%	34%	26%	29%	28%	27%	34%	27%	30%	31%	26%	26%	30%	35%	27%	22%	24%	31%	24%	25%	13%	22%	29%	29%	30%	27%
If I was asked by a family member	568	215	352	67	103	95	107	103	92	225	159	51	436	132	262	306	373	137	58	122	198	94	154	161	407	86	58	17	-	46	110	305	106
	26%	20%	33%	25%	26%	26%	28%	25%	26%	26%	29%	29%	27%	23%	31%	23%	27%	24%	28%	25%	24%	27%	28%	25%	29%	26%	26%	43%	-	22%	24%	29%	23%
If I was asked by a friend	546	271	271	72	107	107	96	85	78	233	136	48	417	129	261	284	344	154	48	120	181	90	155	160	366	87	58	14	1	48	113	285	104
	25%	25%	25%	27%	27%	29%	23%	21%	22%	27%	25%	27%	26%	23%	31%	21%	25%	27%	23%	24%	22%	25%	30%	29%	24%	29%	26%	36%	10%	23%	25%	27%	23%
If I was paid any expenses incurred	270	155	113	55	94	54	32	26	12	136	60	17	212	58	109	161	140	113	17	65	62	54	89	86	184	41	41	3	1	18	49	135	68
	12%	14%	10%	21%	24%	14%	8%	6%	3%	16%	11%	9%	13%	10%	13%	12%	10%	20%	8%	13%	8%	15%	17%	15%	11%	14%	18%	9%	12%	8%	11%	13%	15%
If I thought it would help somebody I do not know, and if I could remain anonymous	267	185	82	56	49	43	36	48	36	134	45	20	199	68	107	159	171	78	18	50	96	43	78	81	185	38	37	6	-	24	54	136	53
	12%	17%	8%	21%	24%	12%	17%	9%	12%	16%	8%	11%	13%	12%	13%	12%	12%	13%	9%	10%	12%	12%	15%	14%	12%	13%	17%	15%	-	11%	12%	13%	12%
If I thought it would help somebody I do not know, even if the donor/conceived person had the legal right to know my identity once they become an adult	195	121	72	26	53	36	29	24	26	101	41	15	157	38	83	112	117	57	21	55	59	34	47	59	136	40	19	-	-	15	27	102	50
	9%	11%	7%	10%	13%	10%	8%	6%	7%	12%	8%	8%	10%	6%	10%	8%	8%	10%	10%	11%	7%	10%	9%	10%	8%	14%	8%	-	-	7%	6%	10%	11%
I would not donate sperm/eggs for biological/medical reasons	142	78	64	24	31	20	24	26	16	51	43	17	110	32	50	92	92	40	10	31	46	24	41	49	94	22	25	1	-	18	28	59	37
	7%	7%	6%	9%	8%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	8%	9%	7%	5%	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%	7%	8%	9%	6%	8%	11%	3%	-	9%	6%	6%	8%
If I could have contact with the child	126	79	47	21	36	34	12	10	13	58	35	12	105	22	60	67	75	44	8	27	42	25	32	39	87	22	13	3	1	12	22	65	28
	6%	7%	4%	8%	9%	9%	3%	3%	4%	7%	6%	6%	7%	4%	7%	5%	5%	8%	4%	5%	5%	7%	6%	7%	5%	8%	6%	7%	12%	6%	5%	6%	6%
I would under other circumstances	70	48	21	15	18	13	15	5	4	31	17	8	56	14	27	43	42	21	6	12	18	10	30	28	42	13	9	2	3	6	13	34	17
	3%	4%	2%	6%	5%	4%	4%	1%	1%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	6%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	39%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Don't know	276	129	144	23	43	40	56	63	52	90	72	26	188	88	101	175	170	76	30	71	102	47	56	60	216	36	15	7	2	29	83	102	63
	13%	12%	13%	9%	11%	11%	15%	15%	15%	10%	13%	15%	12%	15%	12%	13%	12%	13%	14%	14%	13%	13%	11%	11%	13%	12%	7%	18%	29%	14%	18%	10%	14%
Prefer not to answer	50	27	22	16	12	1	3	7	10	16	12	2	29	21	11	39	27	19	4	12	17	9	12	11	39	8	3	-	-	11	7	21	11
	2%	2%	2%	6%	3%	*	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	-	-	-	5%	2%	2%	2%

Proportions/Mean: Column Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/BC - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overline formulas used: \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 50) (not eligible for sig testing)



Table 29  
QDONATE - Under which, if any, of the following circumstances would you personally consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	1998	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
I would not consider donating sperm/eggs under any circumstances	634	242	115	222	243	114	233	268	97	212	208	109	263	14	167	59	369	170	66	250	102	109
	29%	23%	29%	43%	23%	30%	43%	22%	29%	45%	22%	27%	41%	15%	25%	22%	23%	51%	37%	26%	23%	62%
If I was asked by a family member	568	338	96	105	344	86	108	385	78	85	309	95	141	18	206	81	510	37	35	332	137	15
	26%	32%	24%	20%	32%	22%	20%	32%	23%	18%	33%	23%	22%	20%	31%	30%	32%	11%	20%	34%	31%	8%
If I was asked by a friend	546	314	90	106	322	90	102	358	70	90	296	91	129	17	176	93	495	27	37	296	146	13
	25%	30%	23%	20%	30%	23%	19%	30%	21%	19%	31%	22%	20%	19%	26%	35%	31%	8%	21%	30%	33%	7%
If I was paid any expenses incurred	270	149	67	41	166	50	40	178	38	45	158	44	51	24	93	52	235	20	17	111	111	6
	12%	14%	17%	8%	15%	13%	7%	15%	11%	10%	17%	11%	8%	26%	14%	19%	15%	6%	10%	11%	25%	3%
If I thought it would help somebody I do not know, and if I could remain anonymous	267	176	47	33	179	44	33	169	42	45	147	43	63	18	111	47	227	21	17	138	70	6
	12%	17%	12%	6%	17%	11%	6%	14%	12%	9%	15%	11%	10%	20%	17%	17%	14%	6%	10%	14%	16%	3%
If I thought it would help somebody I do not know, even if the donorconceived person had the legal right to know my identity once they become an adult	195	123	26	39	119	23	41	134	22	34	104	38	42	15	68	36	172	18	16	94	58	5
	9%	12%	6%	8%	11%	6%	8%	11%	6%	7%	11%	9%	7%	16%	10%	13%	11%	5%	9%	10%	13%	3%
I would not donate sperm/eggs for biological/medical reasons	142	77	25	36	68	29	37	82	21	34	57	36	43	15	46	16	110	23	12	69	31	11
	7%	7%	6%	7%	6%	8%	7%	7%	6%	7%	6%	9%	7%	16%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%
If I could have contact with the child	126	72	23	28	74	20	25	88	18	16	68	24	30	9	45	21	103	17	11	61	40	4
	6%	7%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	7%	5%	3%	7%	6%	5%	9%	7%	8%	7%	5%	6%	6%	9%	2%
I would under other circumstances	70	37	19	12	38	14	14	44	12	14	45	12	13	5	35	11	50	15	4	26	24	5
	3%	4%	5%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	3%
Don't know	276	99	62	53	110	56	57	137	54	40	104	58	66	8	55	26	163	34	16	93	38	15
	13%	9%	15%	10%	10%	14%	11%	11%	16%	9%	11%	14%	10%	9%	8%	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%	8%
Prefer not to answer	50	14	9	10	15	9	14	18	10	11	14	12	13	1	10	1	16	9	5	7	7	4
	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	*	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 30  
 Q10 - If donor-conceived children were legally entitled to find out the identity of their donor(s) upon reaching the age of 18, would you still consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?

Base: All who would donate in at least some circumstances

	GENDER		AGE					WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION					
	MAN (g)	WOMAN (h)	16-24 (i)	25-34 (j)	35-44 (k)	45-54 (l)	55-64 (m)	65-75 (n)	FULL TIME (o)	PART TIME (p)	SELF EMPLOYED (q)	ANY WORKING (r)	NOT WORKING (s)	GRADUATES (t)	NON GRADUATES (u)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (v)	SINGLE (w)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	YES (ac)	NO (ad)	1 (ae)	2 (af)	3 (ag)	4+ (ah)	NORTH (ai)	EAST (aj)	WEST (ak)	SOUTH (al)	
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1094	568	522	149	243	196	178	157	468	254	87	809	285	611	483	685	301	108	243	374	184	293	318	776	164	124	27	3	100	209	555	228	
WEIGHTED BASE	1072	576	492	150	234	201	174	154	479	252	86*	825	247	456	616	669	296	102**	235	365	177	294	315	757	160	114	26**	4**	91*	209	544	236	
Yes, would definitely consider donating sperm or eggs	289	143	145	25	59	47	48	58	53	120	72	25	218	71	115	174	183	71	35	72	104	48	66	75	214	41	26	7	-	26	50	161	52
	27%	25%	29%	17%	26%	23%	28%	33%	34%	25%	28%	30%	26%	29%	25%	28%	27%	24%	30%	28%	27%	22%	24%	28%	26%	21%	28%	-	28%	24%	30%	23%	
Yes, would probably consider donating sperm or eggs	435	241	191	64	105	85	74	64	64	213	103	35	351	85	195	240	270	127	95	133	68	139	139	296	69	54	15	1	39	90	206	100	
	41%	42%	39%	43%	47%	42%	44%	37%	28%	44%	39%	41%	42%	34%	43%	39%	40%	43%	41%	36%	38%	47%	44%	39%	43%	44%	58%	24%	42%	43%	38%	44%	
No, would probably not consider donating sperm or eggs	150	87	63	38	20	29	19	20	25	59	38	10	106	44	55	96	80	54	33	44	32	41	45	105	24	18	2	2	13	31	69	37	
	14%	15%	13%	25%	9%	14%	11%	16%	11%	12%	14%	11%	13%	18%	12%	16%	12%	18%	14%	12%	18%	14%	14%	14%	15%	14%	6%	56%	14%	15%	13%	16%	
No, would definitely not consider donating sperm or eggs	119	69	50	35	22	20	19	25	55	24	11	90	29	54	65	89	48	11	14	56	16	32	38	80	17	19	2	-	11	22	68	18	
	11%	12%	10%	9%	10%	10%	12%	11%	16%	12%	9%	13%	11%	12%	12%	10%	13%	6%	11%	11%	9%	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	16%	8%	-	12%	10%	12%	8%
NET: All definitely/probably would	724	384	336	90	163	131	122	121	97	333	176	60	569	156	310	414	453	199	72	167	236	115	206	214	510	110	80	22	1	65	141	368	151
	68%	67%	68%	60%	73%	65%	72%	70%	63%	69%	67%	72%	69%	63%	68%	67%	68%	67%	67%	71%	65%	65%	70%	68%	67%	69%	65%	86%	24%	69%	67%	68%	67%
NET: All definitely/probably would not	269	156	113	51	42	49	39	39	49	114	62	20	196	73	109	160	169	72	28	47	101	48	73	83	185	41	37	4	2	24	53	137	55
	25%	27%	23%	34%	19%	24%	23%	22%	32%	24%	23%	24%	24%	30%	24%	26%	25%	24%	26%	30%	28%	27%	25%	26%	24%	25%	30%	14%	56%	26%	25%	25%	24%
Don't know	76	34	43	8	19	19	10	13	8	32	24	4	40	17	36	41	46	23	7	20	27	14	15	18	60	9	6	-	1	5	13	40	19
	7%	6%	9%	6%	8%	10%	6%	7%	5%	7%	4%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%	9%	7%	8%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	-	20%	-	6%	7%	9%
Prefer not to answer	3	2	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	2	-	1	1	1	-	1	1	2	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	-
	*	-	*	3%	-	1%	-	*	-	-	*	-	1%	-	-	*	1%	-	1%	*	-	*	*	*	*	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-

Proportions/Margins: Column Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/n/t/g/h - i/j/n/t/m - r/s - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/n/b/c - d/e/h/g - h/i/j/k  
 Overlap formulae used: \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Table 31

Q1D - If donor-conceived children were legally entitled to find out the identity of their donor(s) upon reaching the age of 18, would you still consider donating sperm or eggs to help others have children?

Base: All who would donate in at least some circumstances

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	1094	645	194	196	655	185	201	723	154	171	576	193	270	57	389	175	939	98	76	583	268	38
WEIGHTED BASE	1072	621	191	196	640	177	198	694	155	173	567	188	256	55*	389	165	914	96*	81*	556	265	36*
Yes, would definitely consider donating sperm or eggs	289	183	40	50	188	35	52	202	39	41	171	45	60	9	107	51	257	19	23	161	64	5
	27%	29%	21%	25%	29%	20%	26%	29%	25%	24%	30%	24%	23%	17%	27%	31%	28%	20%	29%	29%	24%	15%
Yes, would probably consider donating sperm or eggs	435	257	80	78	255	85	78	294	61	68	231	87	102	28	162	61	388	31	31	241	115	9
	41%	41%	42%	40%	40%	48%	40%	42%	39%	39%	41%	46%	40%	51%	42%	37%	42%	32%	39%	43%	43%	25%
No, would probably not consider donating sperm or eggs	150	82	34	27	92	29	23	86	26	25	78	20	42	5	63	26	115	26	14	63	40	7
	14%	13%	18%	14%	14%	16%	12%	12%	17%	14%	14%	11%	16%	10%	16%	16%	13%	27%	17%	11%	15%	20%
No, would definitely not consider donating sperm or eggs	119	66	19	31	70	15	30	69	15	29	53	25	36	9	34	20	94	16	10	55	30	11
	11%	11%	10%	16%	11%	9%	15%	10%	9%	17%	9%	13%	14%	16%	9%	12%	10%	16%	12%	10%	11%	31%
NET: All definitely/ probably would	724	439	120	128	443	120	130	496	100	109	401	131	162	37	269	112	645	51	55	402	179	14
	68%	71%	63%	65%	69%	68%	66%	71%	65%	63%	71%	70%	63%	68%	69%	68%	71%	53%	68%	72%	68%	40%
NET: All definitely/ probably would not	269	148	53	58	162	44	53	155	41	54	131	45	78	14	97	47	209	41	24	118	71	18
	25%	24%	28%	29%	25%	25%	27%	22%	26%	31%	23%	24%	31%	26%	25%	28%	23%	43%	29%	21%	27%	51%
Don't know	76	33	18	10	34	12	14	41	14	10	34	12	15	3	24	6	58	4	3	34	14	3
	7%	5%	9%	5%	5%	7%	7%	6%	9%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%	9%
Prefer not to answer	3	1	-	1	1	1	1	2	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	2	1	-
	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	*	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
 6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
 PUBLIC  
 IPSOS

16 Feb 2026

Table 32

QPOST - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	Deceased = partner, with evidence of intention	Deceased = family member, with evidence of intention	Deceased = not known, but explicit consent provided
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	2174	2174
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	2174	2174
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	703	480	358
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	647	657	576
	30%	30%	27%
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	204	286	283
	9%	13%	13%
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	272	349	486
	13%	16%	22%
NET: All should	1349	1138	934
	62%	52%	43%
NET: All should not	476	636	769
	22%	29%	35%
Don't know	318	366	441
	15%	17%	20%
Prefer not to answer	30	34	31
	1%	2%	1%



**Table 33**  
**QPOST\_1 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was the husband/wife/partner of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way**

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE							WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (G)	WOMAN (B)	16-24 (C)	25-34 (E)	35-44 (G)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (K)	65-75 (M)	FULL TIME (N)	PART TIME (O)	SELF EMPLOYED (P)	ANY WORKING (Q)	NOT WORKING (R)	GRADUATES (S)	NON GRADUATES (T)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (U)	SINGLE (V)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (Y)	1 (Z)	2 (AA)	3 (AB)	4+ (AC)	YES (AD)	NO (AE)	1 (AF)	2 (AG)	3 (AH)	4+ (AI)	NORTH (AJ)	EAST (AK)	WEST (AL)	SOUTH (AM)			
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	394	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1603	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459		
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1081	265	397	367	392	410	353	869	548	180	1588	656	1117	1127	1399	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	39**	9**	213	458	1044	459		
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	703	310	391	54	134	119	132	140	122	241	205	72	518	185	298	405	476	157	69	152	259	118	173	196	506	97	85	12	2	72	139	359	132		
	32%	29%	36%	20%	34%	33%	35%	34%	35%	28%	37%	40%	33%	32%	35%	31%	34%	27%	34%	31%	32%	33%	34%	35%	32%	33%	38%	30%	25%	34%	30%	34%	29%		
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	647	335	309	81	149	112	108	119	107	283	147	42	471	175	281	386	411	180	56	150	250	86	160	162	484	83	66	13	-	52	153	314	128		
	30%	31%	29%	30%	28%	31%	28%	32%	30%	33%	27%	23%	30%	30%	31%	29%	30%	31%	27%	30%	31%	24%	31%	29%	30%	28%	30%	33%	-	24%	33%	30%	28%		
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	204	133	71	55	44	41	25	26	13	106	42	9	158	46	79	125	114	72	18	53	52	41	58	65	138	36	26	3	-	18	42	91	53		
	9%	12%	7%	21%	11%	6%	6%	4%	4%	12%	8%	5%	10%	11%	8%	9%	8%	12%	9%	11%	6%	11%	11%	12%	9%	12%	12%	8%	-	9%	9%	9%	11%		
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	272	139	133	30	41	34	50	45	59	105	67	17	189	83	92	181	179	72	21	52	112	47	62	70	203	38	26	4	2	26	59	125	62		
	13%	13%	12%	15%	10%	11%	13%	11%	17%	12%	12%	10%	12%	14%	11%	14%	13%	12%	10%	10%	14%	13%	12%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	27%	12%	13%	12%	14%		
NET: All should	1349	644	700	134	243	232	240	270	230	524	351	111	889	360	559	791	886	337	124	302	509	204	333	359	991	180	152	25	2	124	292	672	261		
	62%	59%	65%	35%	63%	63%	63%	63%	65%	61%	64%	63%	62%	61%	60%	60%	64%	58%	61%	61%	63%	58%	60%	63%	62%	61%	68%	64%	25%	3%	18%	64%	64%	57%	
NET: All should not	476	272	204	94	84	80	75	71	72	212	109	27	347	120	208	306	283	144	39	104	165	87	120	135	341	74	52	7	2	45	101	216	115		
	22%	25%	19%	35%	21%	22%	20%	17%	20%	25%	20%	15%	22%	22%	20%	23%	21%	25%	19%	24%	20%	25%	23%	24%	21%	25%	23%	18%	27%	21%	22%	21%	25%		
Don't know	318	155	162	33	60	52	66	63	45	115	79	39	212	86	110	208	195	87	36	79	123	56	60	71	248	41	20	7	3	35	62	146	75		
	15%	14%	15%	12%	15%	14%	17%	15%	13%	13%	14%	14%	15%	15%	13%	16%	14%	15%	18%	16%	15%	16%	12%	12%	15%	14%	9%	18%	34%	16%	14%	14%	16%		
Prefer not to answer	30	13	16	5	9	3	2	6	6	9	9	1	19	11	8	22	15	11	4	11	12	6	2	4	27	1	1	-	1	10	2	10	9		
	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	*	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	*	1%	2%	*	*	-	14%	8%	1%	1%	2%		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) : a/b - c/d/n1/n2/n - i/j/n1/n2 - p/q/r - s/t/n1/n2 - w/x - y/n1/n2 - D/E/H/G - H1/H2/K  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 34

QPOST\_1 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was the husband/wife/partner of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	703	438	93	125	442	98	128	502	67	104	407	110	146	22	232	122	619	48	52	381	172	27
	32%	42%	23%	24%	41%	26%	24%	42%	20%	22%	43%	27%	23%	24%	35%	46%	39%	14%	29%	39%	39%	15%
		bc			ef			hi			kl				mn		q		u	ru	ru	
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	647	345	128	140	353	125	140	377	114	132	289	129	198	23	245	80	500	91	52	342	134	29
	30%	33%	32%	27%	33%	32%	26%	31%	34%	28%	30%	32%	31%	25%	37%	30%	32%	27%	29%	35%	30%	17%
		c			f						m								u	u	u	
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	204	81	47	65	89	36	69	109	38	48	74	39	79	20	64	21	144	45	30	84	47	15
	9%	8%	12%	12%	8%	9%	13%	9%	11%	10%	8%	10%	12%	22%	10%	8%	9%	14%	16%	9%	11%	9%
		a		a	d						j			no			p		s	s		
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	272	84	43	129	88	38	133	84	37	134	77	41	143	11	56	20	130	112	25	80	40	87
	13%	8%	11%	25%	8%	10%	25%	7%	11%	29%	8%	10%	22%	12%	8%	7%	8%	34%	14%	8%	9%	50%
		ab			de						g		gh				p		s	s		rst
NET: All should	1349	783	222	265	796	223	268	879	181	236	696	239	345	45	476	201	1120	139	104	723	306	56
	62%	74%	55%	51%	74%	58%	50%	73%	54%	50%	73%	59%	54%	49%	71%	75%	71%	42%	58%	74%	69%	32%
		bc			ef			hi			kl				m	m	q		u	ru	ru	
NET: All should not	476	165	90	194	177	75	201	193	75	182	151	80	222	31	121	41	274	157	55	164	87	102
	22%	16%	22%	37%	16%	19%	37%	16%	22%	39%	16%	20%	35%	33%	18%	15%	17%	47%	30%	17%	20%	59%
		a		ab	de			e		gh			jk	no			p		st	st		rst
Don't know	318	96	81	55	98	78	63	116	75	48	97	77	69	16	63	22	167	33	18	85	46	16
	15%	9%	20%	11%	9%	20%	12%	10%	22%	10%	10%	19%	11%	17%	9%	8%	11%	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%
		ac			df			gi			jl			no								
Prefer not to answer	30	8	9	4	5	9	7	9	6	4	6	8	6	1	8	2	11	3	2	3	4	1
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%
		a			d			j			j									*		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



**Table 35**  
**QPOST 2 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was a family member of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way**

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE						WORKING STATUS			EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION					
	MAN (G)	WOMAN (B)	16-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65-75 (H)	FULL TIME (I)	PART TIME (J)	SELF EMPLOYED (K)	ANY WORKING (L)	NOT WORKING (M)	GRADUATES (N)	NON GRADUATES (O)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (P)	SINGLE (Q)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (R)	1 (S)	2 (T)	3 (U)	4+ (V)	YES (W)	NO (X)	1 (Y)	2 (Z)	3 (AA)	4+ (AB)	NORTH (AC)	EAST (AD)	WEST (AE)	SOUTH (AF)		
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	1111	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1603	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459	
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1081	265	397	1081	307	410	353	809	548	180	1581	586	847	1127	1390	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	29**	9**	213	458	1044	459	
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	480	217	261	46	101	84	88	82	79	175	131	45	351	129	197	283	126	114	40	99	177	86	119	142	339	77	56	8	1	45	103	252	81	
	22%	20%	24%	17%	25%	23%	23%	20%	22%	20%	24%	25%	22%	22%	23%	21%	23%	20%	20%	20%	22%	24%	23%	25%	21%	26%	25%	21%	15%	21%	22%	24%	18%	
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	657	334	320	84	113	117	109	130	105	269	161	42	472	185	270	387	411	182	65	160	241	98	158	165	482	80	69	13	3	56	129	319	153	
	30%	31%	30%	32%	28%	32%	28%	32%	30%	31%	29%	23%	30%	32%	32%	29%	30%	31%	32%	32%	30%	28%	31%	29%	31%	27%	31%	33%	37%	26%	28%	31%	33%	
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	286	151	133	61	65	48	38	43	32	125	79	20	224	62	118	168	182	88	16	49	98	54	86	89	197	48	34	7	-	21	68	134	64	
	13%	14%	12%	23%	16%	13%	10%	10%	9%	15%	14%	11%	14%	13%	14%	13%	13%	15%	8%	10%	12%	15%	17%	16%	12%	16%	15%	17%	-	10%	15%	13%	14%	
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	349	180	169	48	44	55	67	68	72	143	76	30	248	101	129	220	219	96	34	79	140	53	77	80	269	40	36	4	-	46	78	160	66	
	16%	17%	16%	16%	11%	15%	18%	16%	21%	17%	14%	16%	16%	17%	15%	17%	16%	17%	16%	16%	17%	15%	15%	14%	17%	14%	16%	10%	-	21%	17%	15%	14%	
NET: All should	1138	552	581	130	214	205	197	212	185	445	292	87	823	314	467	671	737	295	105	259	418	184	277	307	831	157	125	21	4	101	212	571	234	
	52%	51%	54%	49%	54%	55%	51%	52%	52%	53%	49%	52%	54%	51%	50%	51%	53%	51%	51%	52%	52%	52%	54%	54%	52%	53%	50%	54%	52%	52%	47%	51%	55%	51%
NET: All should not	836	311	302	104	109	103	106	110	104	268	154	50	472	164	247	389	401	185	49	128	238	106	163	169	466	80	70	11	-	67	146	293	130	
	29%	31%	28%	30%	27%	28%	28%	30%	30%	31%	28%	30%	28%	28%	29%	29%	29%	32%	24%	26%	29%	30%	32%	30%	29%	30%	31%	27%	-	31%	12%	28%	28%	
Don't know	366	184	181	28	66	62	73	82	55	136	95	40	271	95	126	241	231	88	47	95	139	61	71	88	279	47	29	7	4	37	76	168	86	
	17%	17%	17%	11%	17%	17%	19%	20%	15%	16%	17%	22%	17%	16%	15%	18%	17%	15%	23%	19%	17%	17%	14%	15%	17%	16%	13%	18%	48%	17%	17%	16%	19%	
Prefer not to answer	34	17	17	3	8	2	7	5	9	11	8	2	21	14	7	27	20	11	4	13	14	2	5	4	30	3	1	-	-	9	4	13	9	
	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	*	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	*	-	-	4%	1%	1%	2%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level): a/b - c/d/n1/n2/n - i/j/n1/n2 - p/q/r - s/t/n1/n2 - w/x - y/n1/n2 - D1/E1/F1 - H1/I1/K  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOs

Table 36

QPOST\_2 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was a family member of the person wishing to conceive, and there is evidence that the deceased intended for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...					
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)	
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	436	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175	
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	480	315	60	78	329	61	70	365	36	66	305	64	90	15	179	81	427	28	39	262	123	13	
	22%	30%	15%	15%	31%	16%	13%	30%	11%	14%	32%	16%	14%	16%	27%	30%	27%	8%	22%	27%	28%	7%	
		bc			ef			hi			kl				m		q		u				
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	657	358	133	132	373	126	131	410	114	111	318	130	179	29	248	91	542	69	55	344	150	22	
	30%	34%	33%	25%	35%	33%	24%	34%	34%	24%	33%	32%	28%	32%	37%	34%	34%	21%	31%	35%	34%	13%	
		c			f			i			l				o		r		s				
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	286	123	67	79	127	57	93	143	57	77	112	56	111	21	98	37	211	57	27	129	61	26	
	13%	12%	17%	15%	12%	15%	17%	12%	17%	16%	12%	14%	17%	23%	15%	14%	13%	17%	15%	13%	14%	15%	
		a			d			g			j												
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	349	123	45	160	121	42	168	127	37	160	95	56	175	12	68	29	181	135	34	121	49	96	
	16%	12%	11%	31%	11%	11%	31%	11%	11%	34%	10%	14%	27%	13%	10%	11%	11%	41%	19%	12%	11%	55%	
		ab			de			gh			jk								st				
NET: All should	1138	674	193	210	702	187	200	775	151	177	623	194	269	44	427	172	969	97	94	606	273	35	
	52%	64%	48%	40%	65%	49%	37%	65%	45%	38%	66%	48%	42%	48%	64%	65%	62%	29%	52%	62%	62%	20%	
		bc			ef			hi			kl				m		q		u				
NET: All should not	636	246	112	239	247	99	261	270	94	237	207	111	286	33	166	66	392	192	61	250	111	123	
	29%	23%	28%	46%	23%	26%	48%	23%	28%	50%	22%	28%	45%	35%	25%	25%	25%	58%	34%	26%	25%	70%	
		ab			de			gh			jk				n		p		st			rs	
Don't know	366	122	87	63	119	91	70	142	85	52	111	91	79	15	70	25	199	41	22	113	56	15	
	17%	12%	22%	12%	11%	24%	13%	12%	25%	11%	12%	23%	12%	16%	10%	9%	13%	12%	12%	12%	13%	9%	
		ac			df			gi			jl												
Prefer not to answer	34	11	8	6	7	7	8	11	7	3	9	7	7	1	5	4	12	2	2	5	4	1	
	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



**Table 37**  
**QPOST\_3 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was not known to the person wishing to conceive (e.g. in a sperm or egg bank, etc.), but the deceased gave explicit consent for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way**

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE								WORKING STATUS			EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (g)	WOMAN (h)	16-24 (i)	25-34 (j)	35-44 (k)	45-54 (l)	55-64 (m)	65-75 (n)	FULL TIME (o)	PART TIME (p)	SELF EMPLOYED (q)	ANY WORKING (r)	NOT WORKING (s)	GRADUATES (t)	NON GRADUATES (u)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (v)	SINGLE (w)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	YES (ac)	NO (ad)	1 (ae)	2 (af)	3 (ag)	4+ (ah)	NORTH (ai)	EAST (aj)	WEST (ak)	SOUTH (al)		
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	394	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1603	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459	
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1081	265	397	362	392	410	353	809	548	180	1581	656	1117	1127	1390	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	39*	9**	213	458	1044	459	
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	358	180	176	35	80	62	61	67	51	142	84	42	269	89	160	198	243	83	32	74	134	61	89	104	253	50	47	8	-	42	66	185	64	
16%	17%	16%	13%	20%	17%	16%	16%	15%	17%	15%	15%	24%	17%	15%	19%	14%	16%	15%	17%	17%	17%	17%	18%	16%	17%	21%	19%	-	20%	14%	18%	14%		
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	576	302	270	92	120	110	96	85	73	256	152	34	442	134	220	346	353	168	55	139	193	87	158	175	401	85	79	11	-	37	122	280	138	
27%	28%	25%	35%	30%	30%	25%	21%	21%	21%	30%	28%	19%	28%	22%	27%	26%	25%	29%	27%	28%	24%	25%	31%	25%	29%	35%	29%	-	17%	27%	27%	20%	13%	
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	283	162	121	57	53	41	41	55	38	121	72	16	208	75	113	170	166	96	22	62	92	57	72	73	210	46	20	5	2	20	65	137	61	
13%	15%	11%	21%	13%	11%	11%	11%	13%	11%	14%	13%	9%	13%	13%	13%	13%	12%	17%	11%	13%	11%	16%	13%	13%	16%	9%	13%	27%	10%	14%	13%	13%		
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	486	221	263	141	61	78	88	103	115	176	122	39	337	148	185	301	330	156	40	105	202	74	105	112	374	55	47	8	2	70	116	216	83	
22%	20%	24%	15%	15%	21%	23%	21%	23%	23%	20%	22%	22%	21%	25%	22%	23%	24%	20%	19%	21%	25%	21%	20%	20%	23%	18%	21%	21%	25%	33%	25%	21%	18%	
NET: All should	934	482	446	128	200	172	156	152	125	388	237	76	711	223	390	544	596	251	87	213	327	147	247	279	654	135	125	19	-	79	188	465	202	
43%	45%	41%	48%	51%	47%	41%	41%	37%	35%	46%	43%	42%	46%	41%	43%	43%	42%	42%	42%	43%	42%	40%	40%	41%	46%	56%	48%	-	17%	41%	45%	44%		
NET: All should not	760	383	384	98	111	110	120	118	152	296	194	55	546	223	298	470	495	212	61	167	294	131	177	185	583	101	67	13	4	90	181	353	144	
35%	35%	36%	37%	29%	32%	34%	34%	43%	43%	34%	35%	31%	34%	35%	36%	37%	36%	37%	30%	34%	36%	34%	33%	36%	34%	30%	33%	52%	42%	40%	34%	31%		
Don't know	441	205	231	35	76	74	93	65	68	157	110	47	314	127	148	292	282	106	53	106	175	72	87	99	341	57	31	7	4	16	85	215	105	
20%	19%	22%	13%	19%	20%	24%	23%	19%	18%	18%	20%	26%	22%	22%	18%	22%	20%	18%	26%	21%	22%	20%	17%	17%	21%	19%	14%	19%	48%	17%	19%	21%	23%	
Prefer not to answer	11	14	17	5	8	2	4	5	8	8	8	2	17	14	10	21	17	10	4	10	14	3	4	4	27	3	1	-	-	9	4	10	8	
1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	*	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	*	-	-	4%	1%	1%	2%		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level): a/b - c/d/h/i/j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/aa/ab - ac/ad/ae - af/ag/ah - ai/aj/ak - al  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 38

QPOST\_3 - If a person has passed away, should it be permissible for their stored sperm or eggs to be used for conceiving in each of the following circumstances? If the deceased was not known to the person wishing to conceive (e.g. in a sperm or egg bank, etc.), but the deceased gave explicit consent for their stored sperm or eggs to be used in this way

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Yes, should definitely still be used for conceiving	358	247	44	50	246	36	56	273	35	40	232	47	61	13	134	66	322	22	27	188	105	9
	16%	23%	11%	10%	23%	9%	10%	23%	10%	9%	24%	12%	10%	14%	20%	25%	20%	7%	15%	19%	24%	5%
		bc			ef			hi			kl						q		u	ru		
Yes, should probably still be used for conceiving	576	328	103	114	344	110	107	372	91	92	298	117	134	31	217	77	491	48	52	277	155	14
	27%	31%	26%	22%	32%	28%	20%	31%	27%	20%	31%	29%	21%	34%	32%	29%	31%	15%	29%	28%	35%	8%
		c			f	f		i	i		l	i					q		u	su		
No, should probably not still be used for conceiving	283	139	69	60	143	70	61	158	58	58	125	62	89	18	107	45	222	44	31	143	64	13
	13%	13%	17%	12%	13%	18%	11%	13%	17%	12%	13%	15%	14%	20%	16%	17%	14%	13%	17%	15%	14%	8%
		c			df												u		u	u		
No, should definitely not still be used for conceiving	486	165	74	213	167	60	232	186	54	218	125	71	260	11	101	43	267	170	41	201	54	124
	22%	16%	18%	41%	16%	16%	43%	16%	16%	47%	13%	17%	41%	12%	15%	16%	17%	51%	23%	21%	12%	71%
		ab			de			gh					jk				p		t	t		
NET: All should	934	574	147	164	590	146	162	645	125	132	531	163	195	45	351	143	813	71	78	465	259	23
	43%	55%	37%	32%	55%	38%	30%	54%	37%	28%	56%	40%	30%	48%	53%	54%	52%	21%	44%	48%	59%	13%
		bc			ef	f		hi	i		kl	i					q		u	u	rsu	
NET: All should not	769	304	143	273	310	130	294	344	112	276	250	133	349	29	209	88	489	214	72	344	118	137
	35%	29%	36%	53%	29%	34%	54%	29%	33%	59%	26%	33%	49%	31%	31%	33%	31%	64%	40%	35%	27%	78%
		a		ab	de			gh			j		jk				p		t	t		rs
Don't know	441	169	102	73	167	104	77	200	91	57	163	101	90	18	104	34	261	43	27	162	62	14
	20%	16%	25%	14%	16%	27%	14%	17%	27%	12%	17%	25%	14%	19%	16%	13%	17%	13%	15%	17%	14%	8%
		ac			df			i	gi		jl						u		u	u		
Prefer not to answer	31	5	10	7	9	5	7	7	8	5	7	8	7	1	5	2	10	4	3	4	3	1
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	*	1%	1%
		a						g														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 39  
Q1V - Which, if any, of the following groups do you think IVF should be available to via the healthcare system?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE						WORKING STATUS			EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION				
	MAN (a)	WOMAN (b)	16-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65-75 (h)	FULL TIME (i)	PART TIME (j)	SELF EMPLOYED (k)	ANY WORKING (l)	NOT WORKING (m)	GRADUATES (n)	NON GRADUATES (o)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (p)	SINGLE (q)	WIDOW/ DIV/ SEP (r)	1 (s)	2 (t)	3 (u)	4+ (v)	YES (w)	NO (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	NORTH (ac)	EAST (ad)	WEST (ae)	SOUTH (af)		
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1058	1107	262	411	351	394	401	355	829	517	180	262	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	565	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459
WEIGHTED BASE	11340	605	729	141	237	240	243	239	219	514	350	115	979	360	596	744	886	330	124	289	500	224	327	368	971	189	148	27	5	125	282	664	269	
Heterosexual couples, where one or both people are infertile, and neither person has a child	62%	56%	67%	53%	60%	65%	64%	63%	62%	60%	64%	64%	62%	61%	70%	56%	64%	57%	60%	58%	62%	63%	64%	65%	60%	64%	66%	68%	53%	59%	62%	64%	59%	
Heterosexual couples, where, together, they already have a naturally conceived child but have not been able to conceive a second child	1088	520	564	118	201	200	190	206	172	441	274	86	802	287	483	606	714	273	102	238	394	185	271	311	777	165	117	25	4	96	224	532	237	
	50%	48%	52%	44%	51%	55%	50%	50%	49%	51%	50%	48%	50%	49%	57%	46%	51%	47%	49%	48%	49%	52%	53%	55%	48%	56%	52%	65%	49%	45%	49%	51%	52%	
Heterosexual couples, where one person is infertile, and the other person has a child from another relationship	1081	493	581	126	208	201	205	196	145	431	285	89	805	276	504	577	698	284	98	230	390	181	280	308	775	152	124	25	5	96	241	528	216	
	50%	45%	54%	47%	52%	55%	54%	48%	41%	50%	52%	50%	51%	47%	59%	43%	50%	49%	48%	46%	48%	51%	54%	54%	48%	51%	55%	63%	53%	45%	53%	51%	47%	
Same sex couples	940	369	563	103	161	182	167	183	144	342	273	81	695	245	461	479	593	258	89	217	337	171	215	249	691	131	95	20	3	99	202	456	183	
	43%	34%	52%	39%	43%	50%	44%	45%	41%	40%	46%	44%	44%	42%	54%	36%	43%	45%	43%	44%	42%	48%	42%	44%	44%	44%	42%	52%	39%	46%	44%	44%	40%	
Single people	794	303	486	89	149	158	152	140	106	292	232	65	589	206	389	406	480	231	83	197	286	144	167	207	587	122	68	15	2	74	181	389	150	
	37%	28%	45%	33%	38%	43%	40%	34%	30%	34%	40%	37%	39%	31%	46%	31%	39%	40%	41%	40%	33%	41%	33%	36%	37%	41%	30%	39%	27%	33%	40%	37%	33%	
Transgender people	597	208	383	78	108	135	114	94	67	217	175	49	441	156	323	276	367	177	54	137	221	105	134	155	442	82	56	13	3	53	111	308	105	
	27%	19%	35%	30%	27%	37%	30%	23%	19%	25%	32%	27%	27%	21%	38%	21%	26%	31%	26%	28%	27%	30%	26%	27%	28%	28%	25%	34%	24%	39%	25%	29%	29%	23%
Those older than their early forties	349	159	188	31	78	81	68	49	41	147	91	26	265	84	177	171	215	112	22	84	109	63	93	88	261	47	29	9	2	32	71	179	67	
	16%	15%	17%	12%	20%	22%	18%	12%	12%	17%	17%	15%	17%	14%	21%	13%	15%	19%	11%	17%	13%	18%	18%	15%	16%	16%	13%	23%	24%	15%	16%	17%	14%	
Don't know	307	172	136	27	51	47	66	65	51	120	78	24	223	85	94	214	193	86	28	76	118	44	70	61	246	30	27	4	-	33	67	139	68	
	14%	16%	13%	10%	13%	13%	17%	16%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	11%	16%	14%	14%	14%	15%	15%	12%	14%	11%	15%	10%	12%	11%	-	16%	15%	13%	15%	
IVF should not be available to any of these groups	144	77	67	9	16	24	32	38	26	62	30	12	103	41	52	93	97	35	13	37	56	23	29	33	111	17	14	2	-	13	34	67	30	
	7%	7%	6%	3%	4%	7%	8%	9%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	-	6%	8%	6%	7%	
Prefer not to answer	57	30	27	13	12	8	8	2	14	18	17	4	40	18	11	46	28	24	6	19	19	11	9	12	46	7	4	-	1	10	11	27	10	
	3%	3%	3%	9%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	10%	5%	2%	3%	2%	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/n/t/g/h - i/j/n/t/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/h/n/v - w/x - y/z/A/B/C - D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



25-061766-06 - FERTILITY - FEBRUARY 2026  
6TH - 14TH FEBRUARY 2026  
PUBLIC  
IPSOS

Table 40

Q1Vf - Which, if any, of the following groups do you think IVF should be available to via the healthcare system?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	TOTAL	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...			
		NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Heterosexual couples, where one or both people are infertile, and neither person has a child	1340	765	200	290	774	206	289	845	167	257	660	232	376	41	469	185	1105	137	105	707	315	61
	62%	73%	50%	56%	72%	53%	54%	71%	50%	55%	69%	57%	59%	44%	70%	69%	70%	41%	58%	73%	71%	35%
Heterosexual couples, where, together, they already have a naturally conceived child but have not been able to conceive a second child	1088	625	153	240	631	171	233	701	133	195	558	183	283	35	373	161	901	105	77	573	263	49
	50%	59%	38%	46%	59%	44%	43%	59%	40%	41%	59%	45%	44%	38%	56%	61%	57%	32%	43%	59%	59%	28%
Heterosexual couples, where one person is infertile, and the other person has a child from another relationship	1081	627	164	225	628	174	229	713	142	176	567	178	282	33	362	172	929	83	73	588	273	36
	50%	60%	41%	43%	58%	45%	42%	60%	42%	38%	60%	44%	44%	36%	54%	65%	59%	25%	41%	60%	62%	21%
Same-sex couples	940	595	136	154	592	139	168	642	105	149	497	157	242	31	347	143	837	56	56	538	225	16
	43%	57%	34%	30%	55%	36%	31%	54%	31%	32%	52%	39%	38%	33%	52%	54%	53%	17%	31%	55%	51%	9%
Single people	794	490	117	138	498	122	138	548	102	107	428	134	191	20	291	133	715	46	52	433	215	12
	37%	47%	29%	27%	46%	32%	26%	46%	30%	23%	45%	33%	30%	22%	44%	50%	45%	14%	29%	44%	48%	7%
Transgender people	597	383	82	96	387	81	105	416	63	88	320	98	149	20	200	95	540	29	30	352	151	7
	27%	36%	20%	18%	35%	21%	19%	35%	19%	19%	34%	24%	23%	21%	30%	36%	34%	9%	17%	36%	34%	4%
Those older than their early forties	349	211	53	61	213	52	71	244	43	43	203	46	80	19	129	50	310	22	20	179	100	8
	16%	20%	13%	12%	20%	14%	13%	20%	13%	9%	21%	11%	12%	21%	19%	19%	20%	7%	11%	18%	23%	5%
Don't know	307	94	78	59	97	76	67	119	66	59	94	81	64	12	48	21	160	35	17	90	38	15
	14%	9%	19%	11%	9%	20%	12%	10%	20%	13%	10%	20%	10%	13%	7%	8%	10%	11%	10%	9%	9%	8%
IVF should not be available to any of these groups	144	40	26	72	39	19	82	39	21	78	29	19	93	5	24	12	46	84	16	29	15	65
	7%	4%	6%	14%	4%	5%	15%	3%	6%	17%	3%	5%	14%	5%	4%	4%	3%	25%	9%	3%	3%	37%
Prefer not to answer	57	11	20	14	9	12	18	19	13	13	11	13	20	4	11	1	24	8	6	11	2	5
	3%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%	2%	*	2%	2%	3%	1%	*	3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 41  
 CSUR - Do you think that surrogacy should or should not be legally permitted in the Netherlands?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE							WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL/LIVING			HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (G)	WOMAN (B)	16-24 (C)	25-34 (E)	35-44 (G)	45-54 (I)	55-64 (K)	65-75 (M)	FULL TIME (N)	PART TIME (P)	SELF EMPLOYED (R)	ANY WORKING (S)	NOT WORKING (T)	GRADUATES (U)	NON GRADUATES (V)	MARRIED/LIVING AS (W)	SINGLE (X)	WID/ DIV/ SEP (Y)	1 (Z)	2 (AA)	3 (AB)	4+ (AC)	YES (AD)	NO (AE)	1 (AF)	2 (AG)	3 (AH)	4+ (AI)	NORTH (AJ)	EAST (AK)	WEST (AL)	SOUTH (AM)		
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1394	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459	
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1064	1081	265	397	367	302	410	353	829	548	180	1528	646	1117	1127	1392	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1605	296	214	39*	9**	213	458	1044	459	
Definitely should be permitted	766	385	397	98	136	132	142	135	123	289	208	69	566	200	300	466	479	214	73	177	281	117	182	197	570	103	80	12	1	74	153	401	138	
	35%	34%	37%	37%	34%	36%	37%	33%	35%	34%	38%	38%	36%	34%	35%	35%	34%	37%	35%	36%	35%	33%	37%	35%	35%	35%	36%	30%	15%	35%	34%	38%	30%	
Probably should be permitted	886	419	385	100	160	149	134	147	115	339	213	61	613	193	349	456	527	206	73	174	295	135	201	227	579	116	87	21	3	73	170	375	188	
	41%	39%	36%	38%	40%	41%	35%	36%	33%	39%	30%	34%	39%	33%	41%	34%	38%	38%	35%	35%	36%	38%	39%	40%	36%	39%	39%	34%	34%	34%	37%	36%	41%	
Probably should not be permitted	158	82	75	21	31	17	26	36	26	69	28	9	107	51	58	100	95	48	15	37	60	30	30	44	114	27	14	1	2	13	36	70	38	
	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	5%	7%	9%	7%	8%	5%	7%	9%	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	8%	6%	8%	7%	9%	6%	2%	27%	6%	8%	7%	8%	
Definitely should not be permitted	175	88	86	16	19	25	34	44	38	66	31	20	117	57	67	108	124	37	14	35	81	23	36	42	132	25	15	2	-	19	41	86	28	
	8%	8%	8%	6%	5%	7%	9%	11%	11%	8%	6%	11%	7%	10%	8%	8%	9%	6%	7%	7%	10%	7%	7%	7%	8%	9%	7%	4%	-	9%	9%	8%	6%	
NET: All should	1572	784	782	188	296	281	276	282	239	628	421	130	1179	393	649	913	1006	430	146	351	576	252	393	424	1148	219	167	33	4	147	333	775	327	
	72%	72%	72%	75%	70%	72%	69%	68%	68%	73%	77%	72%	74%	67%	72%	70%	72%	73%	71%	71%	71%	71%	76%	75%	72%	74%	74%	84%	49%	49%	69%	71%	74%	
NET: All should not	332	170	161	37	60	42	60	80	65	106	59	29	224	108	124	208	219	84	29	72	141	53	66	86	246	52	29	2	2	32	78	157	66	
	15%	16%	15%	14%	13%	11%	16%	20%	18%	16%	11%	16%	14%	18%	15%	16%	16%	15%	14%	15%	17%	15%	13%	15%	16%	18%	13%	6%	27%	15%	17%	15%	14%	
Don't know	247	121	124	23	44	42	46	46	46	89	63	19	171	76	67	180	157	62	29	63	88	40	56	57	190	23	28	4	2	29	55	104	58	
	11%	11%	11%	9%	11%	11%	12%	11%	13%	10%	12%	10%	11%	13%	8%	14%	11%	11%	14%	13%	11%	11%	11%	10%	12%	8%	12%	10%	24%	14%	12%	10%	13%	
Prefer not to say	23	9	14	7	7	3	1	1	3	6	5	2	13	9	6	16	8	13	2	10	4	8	1	2	21	2	-	-	-	5	2	8	8	
	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	*	*	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	*	*	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	*	1%	2%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/BC - D/E/H/G - H/U/I/K  
 Overlap format used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (order 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 42

QSUR - Do you think that surrogacy should or should not be legally permitted in the Netherlands?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	436	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Definitely should be permitted	766 35%	485 46%	107 27%	127 25%	479 45%	119 31%	133 25%	524 44%	96 29%	113 24%	442 47%	115 28%	169 26%	35 38%	267 40%	122 46%	766 49%	-	56 31%	409 42%	223 50%	-
Probably should be permitted	806 37%	410 39%	162 40%	180 35%	429 40%	145 38%	180 33%	489 41%	131 39%	137 29%	367 39%	166 41%	217 34%	37 40%	299 45%	97 36%	806 51%	-	80 44%	463 47%	164 37%	-
Probably should not be permitted	158 7%	65 6%	37 9%	44 9%	62 6%	34 9%	50 9%	71 6%	25 7%	52 11%	53 6%	36 9%	61 10%	5 6%	48 7%	20 8%	-	158 47%	30 17%	57 6%	25 6%	-
Definitely should not be permitted	175 8%	33 3%	24 6%	108 21%	35 3%	25 7%	110 20%	34 3%	23 7%	109 23%	27 3%	16 4%	128 20%	9 10%	18 3%	15 6%	-	175 53%	-	-	-	175 100%
NET: All should	1572 72%	894 85%	269 67%	306 59%	908 84%	265 69%	312 58%	1013 85%	227 67%	250 53%	810 85%	281 69%	387 60%	72 78%	566 85%	218 82%	1572 100%	-	136 76%	871 89%	386 87%	-
NET: All should not	332 15%	98 9%	61 15%	153 29%	97 9%	60 15%	161 30%	105 9%	48 14%	161 34%	80 8%	51 13%	189 29%	15 16%	66 10%	35 13%	-	332 100%	30 17%	57 6%	25 6%	175 100%
Don't know	247 11%	56 5%	63 16%	57 11%	68 6%	53 14%	62 12%	73 6%	57 17%	55 12%	57 6%	66 16%	63 10%	6 7%	33 5%	11 4%	-	-	14 8%	47 5%	32 7%	-
Prefer not to say	23 1%	4 *	8 2%	1 *	3 *	7 2%	4 1%	6 *	5 2%	3 1%	4 *	6 2%	3 *	-	2 *	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base



Table 43  
 QEXP - Thinking about surrogacy, which ONE of the following statements do you agree with?  
 Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	GENDER		AGE								WORKING STATUS				EDUCATION		MARITAL STATUS				HOUSEHOLD SIZE				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD				REGION			
	MAN (g)	WOMAN (b)	16-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65-75 (h)	FULL TIME (i)	PART TIME (j)	SELF EMPLOYED (k)	ANY WORKING (l)	NOT WORKING (m)	GRADUATES (n)	NON GRADUATES (o)	MARRIED/LIVING AS A COUPLE (p)	SINGLE (q)	WIDOW/ DIV/ SEP (r)	1 (s)	2 (t)	3 (u)	4+ (v)	YES (w)	NO (x)	1 (y)	2 (z)	3 (aa)	4+ (ab)	NORTH (ac)	EAST (ad)	WEST (ae)	SOUTH (af)				
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1107	262	411	351	304	401	355	829	517	180	1526	648	1111	1041	1194	578	202	496	813	358	507	561	1613	295	218	41	7	226	447	1042	459			
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1058	1081	265	397	367	382	410	353	869	548	180	1588	586	847	1127	1190	578	206	496	810	353	515	568	1606	296	214	39*	9**	213	458	1044	459			
Surrogates should not be paid anything at all for being a surrogate	180	106	73	22	33	32	16	43	35	68	41	20	128	51	66	113	123	44	13	46	72	26	36	41	139	21	17	3	-	15	37	93	35			
Surrogates should be paid for only their overall reasonable expenses for being a surrogate	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%	9%	4%	11%	10%	8%	7%	11%	8%	9%	8%	9%	9%	8%	7%	9%	9%	7%	7%	7%	9%	7%	8%	7%	-	7%	8%	9%	8%			
Surrogates should be paid for only their overall reasonable expenses for being a surrogate in addition to being paid for their overall reasonable expenses	97%	46%	50%	93%	168%	160%	186%	191%	175%	374%	264%	72%	710%	265%	404%	571%	650%	234%	90%	218%	383%	148%	226%	255%	719%	129%	100%	23%	4%	86%	201%	485%	203%			
Surrogates should be paid a salary for being a surrogate in addition to being paid for their overall reasonable expenses	45%	43%	47%	35%	42%	44%	49%	47%	50%	44%	48%	40%	45%	45%	48%	43%	47%	41%	44%	44%	47%	42%	44%	45%	45%	43%	44%	59%	47%	40%	44%	46%	44%			
Other	44%	20%	23%	97%	107%	76%	68%	60%	35%	198%	110%	39%	347%	95%	170%	273%	251%	151%	40%	97%	127%	83%	136%	141%	301%	76%	59%	6%	1%	40%	90%	223%	90%			
Don't know	39%	1%	2%	37%	27%	21%	18%	15%	10%	23%	20%	22%	23%	16%	20%	21%	18%	26%	19%	20%	16%	23%	26%	25%	19%	26%	26%	15%	14%	19%	20%	21%	20%			
Prefer not to answer	3%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	9%	2%	1%	14%	6%	5%	25%	14%	11%	25%	21%	12%	6%	11%	15%	9%	4%	6%	3%	5%	1%	-	-	5%	5%	18%	10%			
HIDDEN: SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	*	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%			
HIDDEN: SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED	17%	8%	8%	16%	19%	25%	34%	44%	38%	66%	31%	20%	117%	57%	67%	108%	124%	37%	14%	35%	81%	23%	36%	42%	132%	25%	15%	2%	-	19%	41%	86%	28%			
HIDDEN: SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED	8%	8%	8%	6%	5%	7%	9%	11%	11%	8%	6%	11%	7%	10%	8%	8%	9%	6%	7%	7%	10%	7%	7%	7%	8%	9%	7%	4%	-	9%	9%	8%	6%			

Proportions/Mean: Column Total (16 risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m - n/o - p/q/r - s/t/u/v - w/x - y/z/A/B/C - D/E/H/G - H/I/J/K  
 Overlap formulas used: \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 50) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.



Table 44  
QEXP - Thinking about surrogacy, which ONE of the following statements do you agree with?

Base: All adults aged 18-75 in the Netherlands

	EMBRYOS IN RESEARCH			GENOME EDITING: RESEARCH (PREVENT CONGENITAL DISEASE)			GENOME EDITING: MITIGATE LIFE THREATENING CONDITIONS			GENOME EDITING: COMMON CONDITIONS			14-DAY RULE			SHOULD SURROGACY BE PERMITTED		SURROGATES SHOULD...				
	TOTAL	NET: SUPPORT (a)	NEITHER (b)	NET: OPPOSE (c)	NET: SUPPORT (d)	NEITHER (e)	NET: OPPOSE (f)	NET: SUPPORT (g)	NEITHER (h)	NET: OPPOSE (i)	NET: SUPPORT (j)	NEITHER (k)	NET: OPPOSE (l)	NET: TOO LONG (m)	ABOUT RIGHT (n)	NET: TOO SHORT (o)	NET: SHOULD (p)	NET: SHOULD NOT (q)	...NOT BE PAID (r)	...BE PAID EXPENSES ONLY (s)	...BE PAID SALARY (t)	SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED (u)
UNWEIGHTED BASE	2174	1087	392	508	1093	385	536	1230	327	460	956	403	653	94	664	278	1598	333	170	1005	436	177
WEIGHTED BASE	2174	1053	401	518	1076	384	540	1197	337	470	950	404	642	92*	668	267	1572	332	180	975	443	175
Surrogates should not be paid anything at all for being a surrogate	180	90	35	51	93	26	51	101	28	44	81	22	66	18	62	22	136	30	180	-	-	-
	8%	9%	9%	10%	9%	7%	9%	8%	8%	9%	9%	5%	10%	19%	9%	8%	9%	9%	100%	-	-	-
Surrogates should be paid for only their overall reasonable expenses for being a surrogate	975	556	168	193	562	160	202	623	129	177	486	167	268	33	361	131	871	57	-	975	-	-
	45%	53%	42%	37%	52%	42%	38%	52%	38%	38%	51%	41%	42%	36%	54%	49%	55%	17%	-	100%	-	-
Surrogates should be paid a salary for being a surrogate in addition to being paid for their overall reasonable expenses	443	239	87	94	256	79	88	275	74	75	232	93	98	19	150	65	386	25	-	-	443	-
	20%	23%	22%	18%	24%	20%	16%	23%	22%	16%	24%	23%	15%	21%	22%	24%	25%	7%	-	-	100%	-
Other	39	22	7	6	19	10	9	19	10	8	15	12	9	1	14	6	22	13	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	-	-	-	-
Don't know	329	107	68	63	104	73	73	136	65	53	105	83	67	12	55	24	149	32	-	-	-	-
	15%	10%	17%	12%	10%	19%	14%	11%	19%	11%	11%	21%	10%	13%	8%	9%	9%	10%	-	-	-	-
Prefer not to answer	35	6	14	4	8	12	6	10	9	4	4	12	6	-	7	3	7	1	-	-	-	-
	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	*	3%	1%	-	1%	1%	*	*	-	-	-	-
HIDDEN: SURROGACY SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED	175	33	24	108	35	25	110	34	23	109	27	16	128	9	18	15	-	175	-	-	-	175
	8%	3%	6%	21%	3%	7%	20%	3%	7%	23%	3%	4%	20%	10%	3%	6%	-	53%	-	-	-	100%
			a	ab		d	de		g	gh			jk	n		n		p				rst

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b/c - d/e/f - g/h/i - j/k/l - m/n/o - p/q - r/s/t/u  
Overlap formulae used. \* small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos Terms and Conditions.