detailed tables

1. During the Super Bowl, do you recall any ads linking money from illegal drugs to support for terrorism?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O

		Ge	nder		A	ge		Ra	ice		Re	gion		Met	ropolitan Sta	atus
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	White	Other	North-	Mid-	South	West	Urban	Subur-	Rural
										east	west				ban	
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	M	N	0
Base: Watched the Super Bowl	644	378	266	164	210	153	113	554	69	123	147	232	142	160	327	157
Weighted	638	362	276	210	209	128	87	521	95*	121	152	226	139	174	310	154
Yes	300	183	116	108	107	62	23	258	32	63	71	97	69	75	151	73
	47%	51% B	42%	52%	51%	48%	26%	50%	34%	52%	47%	43%	49%	43%	49%	47%
No	331	177	154	100	102	64	61	258	59	58	78	127	69	96	157	78
	52%	49%	56%	48%	49%	50%	70% CDE	50%	63% G	48%	51%	56%	49%	55%	51%	51%
(DK/NS)	8	2	6	1	0	2	4	5	3	1	2	3	2	3	2	3
	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	5%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
							CD									

detailed tables

1. During the Super Bowl, do you recall any ads linking money from illegal drugs to support for terrorism?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O

		Marital	Status	Chil	dren	Hou	sehold Inco	ome		Education		Pai	ty Identifica	tion	Registere	ed to Vote
	Total	Married	Other	Yes	No	Under	\$25K-	\$50K+	HS or	Some	College	Repub-	Demo-	Inde-	Yes	No
						\$25K	<\$50K		less	college	degree	licans	crats	pend- ents		
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
Base: Watched the Super Bowl	644	385	255	265	375	109	201	268	198	234	209	223	180	224	524	120
Weighted	638	357	277	285	349	147*	178	247	203	239	192	213	180	226	506	132
Yes	300	177	123	142	158	53	90	131	78	116	105	93	81	120	242	58
	47%	50%	44%	50%	45%	36%	51% E	53% E	38%	49% H	55% H	44%	45%	53%	48%	44%
No	331	175	152	140	187	90	84	116	120	121	87	118	96	104	258	73
	52%	49%	55%	49%	53%	61% FG	47%	47%	59% J	51%	45%	55%	53%	46%	51%	55%
(DK/NS)	8	5	2	3	5	3	3	0	5	2	0	2	3	2	6	1
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2% G	2% G	-	3% J	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%

detailed tables

1. During the Super Bowl, do you recall any ads linking money from illegal drugs to support for terrorism?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F - G/H - I/J

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		Super	Bowl		ng Drugs to orism		Advertising on Orug Use	How New App	oroach Will Work		se of Taxpayer ney
	Total	Watched	Did not watch	Recall ads	Do not recall ads	New approach	Old approach	Better	About the same/worse	Yes	No
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
Base: Watched the Super Bowl	644	644	0	306	338	215	86	122	90	331	294
Weighted	638	638	_**	300	338	212	83*	120	89*	319	299
Yes	300	300	0	300	0	212	83	120	89	165	127
	47%	47%	-	100% D	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	52% J	43%
No	331	331	0	0	331	0	0	0	0	150	168
	52%	52%	-	-	98% C	-	-	-	-	47%	56% I
(DK/NS)	8	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	3
	1%	1%	-	-	2% C	-	-	-	-	1%	1%

detailed tables

2a. Do you think this is a new way to discourage illegal drug use, or is it more of the same old thing?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O

' small base; ** ver	y small base	(under 30) ineligible i	for sig t	testing

		Ge	nder		A	ge		Ra	ice		Re	gion		Met	ropolitan St	atus
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	White	Other	North-	Mid-	South	West	Urban	Subur-	Rural
										east	west				ban	
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
Base: Recall ads	306	198	108	90	112	74	30	273	25	65	71	101	69	71	159	76
Weighted	300	183	116	108*	107	62*	23**	258	32**	63*	71*	97*	69*	75*	151	73*
New way	212	130	82	76	79	45	13	185	19	49	48	69	46	44	113	55
	71%	71%	71%	70%	73%	72%	58%	72%	60%	78%	67%	71%	67%	59%	74%	75%
															M	M
Same old thing	83	52	31	32	27	16	9	69	13	13	22	26	22	30	36	17
	28%	28%	27%	29%	25%	26%	39%	27%	40%	22%	30%	27%	32%	40%	24%	24%
														NO		
(DK/NS)	4	2	3	1	2	1	1	4	0	0	2	2	1	1	3	1
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%

detailed tables

2a. Do you think this is a new way to discourage illegal drug use, or is it more of the same old thing?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O * small base

		Marital	Status	Chil	dren	Hou	sehold Inco	ome		Education		Par	rty Identifica	tion	Registere	ed to Vote
	Total	Married	Other	Yes	No	Under	\$25K-	\$50K+	HS or	Some	College	Repub-	Demo-	Inde-	Yes	No
						\$25K	<\$50K		less	college	degree	licans	crats	pend-		
														ents		
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
Base: Recall ads	306	192	114	138	168	40	99	144	78	116	112	101	82	119	253	53
Weighted	300	177	123	142	158	53*	90*	131	78*	116	105	93*	81*	120	242	58*
New way	212	134	77	103	109	35	63	97	58	81	72	76	50	82	173	39
	71%	76% B	63%	73%	69%	65%	70%	74%	74%	70%	69%	81% LM	61%	69%	71%	67%
Same old thing	83	39	45	37	46	18	26	32	19	32	33	17	32	34	65	18
camo dia ming	28%	22%	36%	26%	29%	35%	29%	24%	24%	27%	31%	18%	39%	28%	27%	31%
(5)((1)(6))			Α		2								<u>K</u>			
(DK/NS)	4	4	1	2	3	0	1	2	1	3	0	1 1	0	4	4	1
	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	-	3%	1%	1%

detailed tables

2a. Do you think this is a new way to discourage illegal drug use, or is it more of the same old thing?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F - G/H - I/J

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		Super	Bowl		ng Drugs to orism		Advertising on Orug Use	How New App	proach Will Work		se of Taxpayer ney
	Total	Watched	Did not watch	Recall ads	Do not recall ads	New approach	Old approach	Better	About the same/worse	Yes	No
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
Base: Recall ads	306	306	0	306	0	215	86	122	90	174	127
Weighted	300	300	_**	300	_**	212	83*	120	89*	165	127
New way	212	212	0	212	0	212	0	120	89	139	65
	71%	71%	=	71%	=	100% F	-	100%	100%	84% J	51%
Same old thing	83	83	0	83	0	0	83	0	0	22	61
	28%	28%	-	28%	-	-	100% E	-	-	13%	48% I
(DK/NS)	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	1
	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%

detailed tables

2b. Do you think this new approach will work better, worse or about the same as the old approach?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		Ge	nder		Α	ge		Ra	ace		Re	egion		Met	ropolitan St	atus
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	White	Other	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	Urban	Subur- ban	Rural
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
Base: New way to discourage illegal drug use	215	139	76	62	83	52	18	194	14	50	47	71	47	42	118	55
Weighted	212	130	82*	76*	79*	45*	13**	185	19**	49*	48*	69*	46*	44*	113	55*
Better	120 57%	74 57%	46 56%	41 54%	45 57%	27 61%	7 55%	103 56%	13 66%	28 57%	25 53%	46 66% L	21 47%	26 58%	58 52%	36 66%
About the same	80 38%	46 36%	33 41%	30 39%	29 37%	15 34%	6 45%	71 38%	7 34%	18 38%	18 38%	23 33%	20 44%	15 34%	50 44% O	15 27%
Worse	9 4%	7 5%	2 3%	5 7%	4 5%	1 1%	0 -	8 4%	0 -	3 5%	3 7% K	0 -	3 7% K	3 6%	5 4%	2 3%
(DK/NS)	3 1%	3 2%	0 -	0 -	1 1%	2 4%	0 -	3 2%	0 -	0 -	1 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	0 -	2 3%

detailed tables

2b. Do you think this new approach will work better, worse or about the same as the old approach?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		Marital	Status	Chil	dren	Hou	sehold Inco	ome		Education		Par	rty Identifica	tion	Registere	ed to Vote
	Total	Married	Other	Yes	No	Under \$25K	\$25K- <\$50K	\$50K+	HS or less	Some college	College degree	Repub- licans	Demo- crats	Inde- pend- ents	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
Base: New way to discourage illegal drug use	215	145	70	102	113	25	68	107	58	82	75	79	49	84	179	36
Weighted	212	134	77*	103*	109	35**	63*	97	58*	81*	72*	76*	50*	82*	173	39*
Better	120 57%	77 58%	43 55%	63 61%	57 53%	22 62%	36 56%	54 56%	39 68% J	49 60%	32 44%	40 53%	30 60%	46 56%	102 59%	18 46%
About the same	80 38%	52 39%	28 36%	36 35%	44 40%	13 38%	24 39%	36 36%	17 30%	29 36%	33 45%	34 45%	19 38%	26 32%	64 37%	15 39%
Worse	9 4%	2 2%	7 9% A	3 3%	6 6%	0 -	3 5%	5 5%	1 2%	2 3%	6 8%	0 -	1 2%	8 10% K	5 3%	4 10%
(DK/NS)	3 1%	3 2%	0 -	1 1%	2 2%	0 -	0 -	3 3%	0 -	1 1%	2 3%	1 1%	0 -	2 2%	1 1%	2 5% N

detailed tables

2b. Do you think this new approach will work better, worse or about the same as the old approach?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F - G/H - I/J * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		Super	Bowl		ng Drugs to orism		Advertising on Orug Use	How New App	oroach Will Work	Appropriate Us Mo	se of Taxpayer ney
	Total	Watched	Did not watch	Recall ads	Do not recall ads	New approach	Old approach	Better	About the same/worse	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J
Base: New way to discourage illegal drug use	215	215	0	215	0	215	0	122	90	145	65
Weighted	212	212	_**	212	_**	212	_**	120	89*	139	65*
Better	120 57%	120 57%	0 -	120 57%	0 -	120 57%	0 -	120 100% H	0 -	88 63% J	26 40%
About the same	80 38%	80 38%	0 -	80 38%	0 -	80 38%	0 -	0 -	80 90% G	50 36%	28 43%
Worse	9 4%	9 4%	0 -	9 4%	0 -	9 4%	0 -	0 -	9 10% G	0 -	9 14% I
(DK/NS)	3 1%	3 1%	0 -	3 1%	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	2 3%

detailed tables

3. The Bush Administration aired two ads during (last night's/Sunday's) Super Bowl linking money from illegal drugs to terrorism. The message was that if you buy drugs, you support terrorism. The government spent nearly three and a half million dollars to air these ads. Do you think this is an appropriate use of the taxpayers' money, or not?

		Ge	nder		A	ge		Ra	ace		Re	gion		Met	ropolitan Sta	atus
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	White	Other	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	Urban	Subur- ban	Rural
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
Base: All respondents	1000	500	500	244	296	266	188	847	121	196	234	354	216	246	502	252
Weighted	1000	480	520	319	301	224	150	800	165	196	234	354	216	263	484	252
Yes	478	250	227	137	161	102	74	408	57	101	121	165	91	116	240	121
	48%	52% B	44%	43%	54% C	45%	50%	51% H	34%	51%	52% I	47%	42%	44%	50%	48%
No	482	211	271	171	129	110	68	365	99	89	101	176	116	138	220	124
	48%	44%	52%	53%	43%	49%	46%	46%	60%	45%	43%	50%	54%	52%	45%	49%
			Α	D					G				J			
(DK/NS)	40	19	21	11	10	12	7	28	9	7	11	13	9	9	24	7
	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%

В

221

41%

20

4%

256

56%

Α

21

5%

482

48%

40

4%

D

184

44%

12

3%

293

51%

С

25

4%

detailed tables

193

51%

K

16

4%

369

49%

27

4%

113

47%

14

6%

LM

94

31%

11

4%

176

62%

KM

10

3%

3. The Bush Administration aired two ads during (last night's/Sunday's) Super Bowl linking money from illegal drugs to terrorism. The message was that if you buy drugs, you support terrorism. The government spent nearly three and a half million dollars to air these ads. Do you think this is an appropriate use of the taxpayers' money, or not?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O Marital Status Children Household Income Education Party Identification Registered to Vote Total Married Other Yes No Under \$25K-\$50K+ HS or Some College Repub-Demo-Inde-Yes No \$25K <\$50K licans pendless college degree crats ents Α В С D Ε F G Н 1 J K L М Ν 0 1000 568 377 222 371 337 370 321 279 790 210 Base: All respondents 425 614 300 288 369 Weighted 1000 535 458 417 572 290 264 340 344 387 264 305 282 377 760 240 Yes 478 294 181 221 254 123 133 178 156 191 129 200 97 169 364 113 48% 55% 40% 53% 44% 43% 50% 52% 45% 49% 49% 66% 34% 45% 48% 47%

124

47%

7

3%

153

53%

13

4%

Ε

152

45%

10

3%

175

51%

12

4%

182

47%

14

4%

122

46%

12

5%

No

(DK/NS)

detailed tables

3. The Bush Administration aired two ads during (last night's/Sunday's) Super Bowl linking money from illegal drugs to terrorism. The message was that if you buy drugs, you support terrorism. The government spent nearly three and a half million dollars to air these ads. Do you think this is an appropriate use of the taxpayers' money, or not?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F - G/H - I/J * small base

		Super	Bowl		ng Drugs to orism		Advertising on Orug Use	How New App	oroach Will Work		se of Taxpayer ney
	Total	Watched	Did not watch	Recall ads	Do not recall ads	New approach	Old approach	Better	About the same/worse	Yes	No
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
Base: All respondents	1000	644	356	306	338	215	86	122	90	488	472
Weighted	1000	638	362	300	338	212	83*	120	89*	478	482
Yes	478 48%	319 50%	159 44%	165 55% D	154 45%	139 66% F	22 27%	88 73% H	50 57%	478 100% J	0 -
No	482 48%	299 47%	183 51%	127 42%	171 51% C	65 31%	61 73% F	26 22%	37 42% G	0 -	482 100%
(DK/NS)	40 4%	20 3%	20 6%	7 2%	13 4%	7 3%	0 -	6 5%	1 1%	0 -	0 -

