



Table of Contents

	Table Description
<u>1</u>	1. How much, if anything, would you say you know about the International Criminal Court?
<u>2</u>	1. How much, if anything, would you say you know about the International Criminal Court?
<u>3</u>	2_1. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - The United States is currently a member of the International Criminal Court
<u>4</u>	2_1. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - The United States is currently a member of the International Criminal Court
<u>5</u>	2_2. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is important for the United States to participate in international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals accountable for mass atrocities
<u>6</u>	2_2. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is important for the United States to participate in international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals accountable for mass atrocities
<u>7</u>	2_3. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - Joining international organizations concerned with human rights and holding individuals accountable for mass atrocities is a risk to the US because it could hurt our autonomy
<u>8</u>	2_3. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - Joining international organizations concerned with human rights and holding individuals accountable for mass atrocities is a risk to the US because it could hurt our autonomy
<u>9</u>	2_4. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - We should dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals accountable for mass atrocities
<u>10</u>	2_4. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - We should dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals accountable for mass atrocities
<u>11</u>	2_5. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is not in our best interests to dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to supporting international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals accountable for mass atrocities
<u>12</u>	2_5. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is not in our best interests to dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to supporting international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals accountable for mass atrocities
<u>13</u>	3. Which of these statements comes closer to your personal opinion?
<u>14</u>	3. Which of these statements comes closer to your personal opinion?
<u>15</u>	4_1. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should continue to dedicate moderate resources to supporting some actions of the ICC without formally joining, such as by providing satellite photos if our satellites are passing an area of interest to the ICC

<u>16</u>	4_1. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should continue to dedicate moderate resources to supporting some actions of the ICC without formally joining, such as by providing satellite photos if our satellites are passing an area of interest to the ICC
<u>17</u>	4_2. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - Joining the ICC would compromise America's sovereignty as a nation
<u>18</u>	4_2. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - Joining the ICC would compromise America's sovereignty as a nation
<u>19</u>	4_3. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become more engaged and involved in the ICC without becoming a member by making all forms of our vast governmental resources available to support the work of the ICC
<u>20</u>	4_3. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become more engaged and involved in the ICC without becoming a member by making all forms of our vast governmental resources available to support the work of the ICC
<u>21</u>	4_4. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become a full member of the International Criminal Court and robustly support all of its work.
<u>22</u>	4_4. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become a full member of the International Criminal Court and robustly support all of its work.
<u>23</u>	5A. The International Criminal Court (ICC) is investigating whether charges could be brought against individual citizens or nationals of ICC member countries, such as the United Kingdom, France, Jordan, and Tunisia, who may have committed international atrocity crimes in support of ISIS/The Islamic State. The US is not an ICC member country, which means it is not obligated to cooperate and assist the ICC on these potential cases against Islamic State, although it may volunteer to help. US law, however, currently forbids the United States from allocating money to assist the ICC, voluntarily or otherwise. Which of the following comes closer to your personal opinion?
<u>24</u>	5A. The International Criminal Court (ICC) is investigating whether charges could be brought against individual citizens or nationals of ICC member countries, such as the United Kingdom, France, Jordan, and Tunisia, who may have committed international atrocity crimes in support of ISIS/The Islamic State. The US is not an ICC member country, which means it is not obligated to cooperate and assist the ICC on these potential cases against Islamic State, although it may volunteer to help. US law, however, currently forbids the United States from allocating money to assist the ICC, voluntarily or otherwise. Which of the following comes closer to your personal opinion?
<u>25</u>	5B. Some people oppose US cooperation and assistance with the International Criminal Court and its cases out of concern that the International Criminal Court may one day also target American citizens or citizens of our allies (such as Israel). However, the ICC is now considering charges against individuals who have committed international atrocity crimes as part of ISIS/The Islamic State and who are citizens of ICC member countries (such as France or Jordan), yet US law makes it hard to support these cases with financial assistance. With this in mind, which of the following comes closer to your personal opinion?
<u>26</u>	5B. Some people oppose US cooperation and assistance with the International Criminal Court and its cases out of concern that the International Criminal Court may one day also target American citizens or citizens of our allies (such as Israel). However, the ICC is now considering charges against individuals who have committed international atrocity crimes as part of ISIS/The Islamic State and who are citizens of ICC member countries (such as France or Jordan), yet US law makes it hard to support these cases with financial assistance. With this in mind, which of the following comes closer to your personal opinion?
<u>27</u>	Household Income

28	Household Income
29	Gender
30	Gender
31	Age
32	Age
33	Region
34	Region
35	Education
36	Education
37	Age & Presence Of Children
38	Age & Presence Of Children
39	Marital Status
40	Marital Status
41	Employment
42	Employment
43	Race
44	Race
45	Are you of Hispanic Ethnicity?
46	Are you of Hispanic Ethnicity?

1. How much, if anything, would you say you know about the International Criminal Court?

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
A great deal	72	59	13	54	15	3	44	28	17	7	26	21
	7%	12%	3%	18%	4%	1%	11%	5%	9%	3%	7%	9%
		B		DE	E		G		I			I
A fair amount	82	55	27	49	26	7	39	43	18	19	27	17
	8%	11%	5%	16%	7%	2%	9%	7%	10%	9%	7%	7%
		B		DE	E							
A little bit	209	116	92	55	71	82	85	124	35	45	80	49
	21%	24%	18%	18%	20%	24%	21%	21%	19%	21%	21%	21%
		B										
Nothing at all	641	255	387	146	240	255	245	396	113	144	242	142
	64%	53%	74%	48%	68%	74%	59%	67%	62%	67%	65%	62%
			A		C	C		F				
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary												
Top 2 Box - (A Great Deal/A Fair Amount)	154	114	40	102	42	10	83	71	35	27	54	38
	15%	23%	8%	34%	12%	3%	20%	12%	19%	12%	14%	17%
		B		DE	E		G					
Bottom 2 Box - (A Little Bit/Nothing At All)	850	371	479	202	312	337	330	520	148	189	322	192
	85%	77%	92%	66%	88%	97%	80%	88%	81%	88%	86%	83%
			A		C	CD		F				

1. How much, if anything, would you say you know about the International Criminal Court?

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
A great deal	72	17	55	43	29	60	4	6	3	31	41	57	15
	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	12%	3%	3%	1%	5%	10%	7%	10%
						FGH					I		
A fair amount	82	34	48	42	40	51	9	15	7	44	37	69	13
	8%	13%	6%	7%	9%	11%	7%	8%	4%	7%	9%	8%	9%
		B				H							
A little bit	209	50	159	107	102	97	34	29	48	119	90	174	34
	21%	19%	22%	18%	24%	20%	25%	15%	24%	20%	22%	20%	24%
					C		G		G				
Nothing at all	641	163	478	386	255	272	88	140	141	401	240	563	79
	64%	62%	65%	67%	60%	57%	65%	74%	71%	67%	59%	65%	56%
				D				E	E	J		L	
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
Top 2 Box - (A Great Deal/A Fair Amount)	154	52	102	85	69	111	13	20	10	76	78	126	28
	15%	20%	14%	15%	16%	23%	10%	11%	5%	13%	19%	15%	20%
		B				FGH		H			I		
Bottom 2 Box - (A Little Bit/Nothing At All)	850	213	638	493	357	370	122	170	189	520	330	737	113
	85%	80%	86%	85%	84%	77%	90%	89%	95%	87%	81%	85%	80%
			A				E	E	EG	J			

2_1. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - The United States is currently a member of the International Criminal Court

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	347	209	138	134	97	116	145	202	68	72	125	83
	35%	43%	27%	44%	28%	33%	35%	34%	37%	33%	33%	36%
		B		DE								
Disagree	60	35	25	15	24	21	24	36	13	11	26	11
	6%	7%	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%
Don't know	597	241	356	155	232	210	244	353	103	133	225	137
	59%	50%	69%	51%	66%	61%	59%	60%	56%	61%	60%	59%
			A		C	C						
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Table of Contents](#)

2_1. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - The United States is currently a member of the International Criminal Court

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	347	85	262	186	161	186	44	48	69	189	158	293	53
	35%	32%	35%	32%	38%	39%	33%	25%	35%	32%	39%	34%	38%
						G			G		I		
Disagree	60	16	44	30	30	33	5	10	12	38	22	52	8
	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	4%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%
Don't know	597	163	434	362	235	261	86	132	118	368	229	518	79
	59%	62%	59%	63%	55%	54%	63%	70%	59%	62%	56%	60%	56%
				D				EH					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2_2. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is important for the United States to participate in international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals acco

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	599	290	309	164	204	231	216	383	113	136	221	130
	60%	60%	60%	54%	58%	67%	52%	65%	62%	63%	59%	56%
						CD		F				
Disagree	112	72	41	41	38	33	56	56	21	25	39	28
	11%	15%	8%	14%	11%	9%	14%	10%	11%	12%	10%	12%
		B										
Don't know	292	123	169	99	111	83	141	151	49	55	116	72
	29%	25%	33%	32%	31%	24%	34%	26%	27%	25%	31%	31%
			A	E	E		G					
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2_2. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is important for the United States to participate in international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals accountable for r

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	599	152	447	311	289	286	88	99	126	369	231	526	74
	60%	58%	60%	54%	68%	60%	65%	52%	63%	62%	56%	61%	52%
					C		G		G				
Disagree	112	24	88	71	41	58	12	17	25	61	51	96	16
	11%	9%	12%	12%	10%	12%	9%	9%	13%	10%	13%	11%	11%
Don't know	292	87	205	196	96	136	36	73	48	166	126	241	51
	29%	33%	28%	34%	23%	28%	26%	39%	24%	28%	31%	28%	36%
				D				EFH					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2_3. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - Joining international organizations concerned with human rights and holding individuals accountable for mass atrocities is a risk to the U:

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	227	132	94	75	79	72	84	143	51	53	71	51
	23%	27%	18%	25%	22%	21%	20%	24%	28%	25%	19%	22%
		B							J			
Disagree	399	200	199	119	125	156	151	249	70	86	156	88
	40%	41%	38%	39%	35%	45%	36%	42%	38%	40%	42%	38%
						D						
Don't know	378	152	226	110	149	118	178	200	62	77	148	91
	38%	31%	44%	36%	42%	34%	43%	34%	34%	35%	39%	40%
			A		E		G					
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2_3. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - Joining international organizations concerned with human rights and holding individuals accountable for mass atrocities is a risk to the US because it c

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	227	71	155	129	97	119	25	35	48	138	89	191	36
	23%	27%	21%	22%	23%	25%	18%	19%	24%	23%	22%	22%	25%
Disagree	399	81	318	203	197	193	56	71	79	234	165	350	49
	40%	31%	43%	35%	46%	40%	41%	38%	40%	39%	40%	41%	35%
			A		C								
Don't know	378	112	266	246	132	169	55	83	71	224	154	322	56
	38%	42%	36%	43%	31%	35%	40%	44%	36%	38%	38%	37%	40%
				D				E					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2_4. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - We should dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to international organizations that support human rights and that I

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	485	249	236	133	158	193	178	307	95	106	193	91
	48%	51%	46%	44%	45%	56%	43%	52%	52%	49%	51%	40%
						CD		F	K	K	K	
Disagree	158	97	61	66	50	42	77	81	27	35	52	44
	16%	20%	12%	22%	14%	12%	19%	14%	15%	16%	14%	19%
		B		DE			G					
Don't know	361	139	221	105	145	111	159	202	61	74	131	95
	36%	29%	43%	34%	41%	32%	38%	34%	33%	34%	35%	41%
			A		E							
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2_4. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - We should dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to international organizations that support human rights and that hold individuals responsible for human rights violations.

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	485	125	360	256	229	223	73	80	109	294	191	422	63
	48%	48%	49%	44%	54%	46%	54%	42%	55%	49%	47%	49%	45%
					C		G		EG				
Disagree	158	33	125	89	69	90	20	23	25	88	70	134	24
	16%	13%	17%	15%	16%	19%	15%	12%	13%	15%	17%	16%	17%
						G							
Don't know	361	106	255	232	128	167	43	87	65	214	147	307	54
	36%	40%	35%	40%	30%	35%	32%	46%	32%	36%	36%	36%	38%
				D				EFH					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2_5. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is not in our best interests to dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to supporting international organizations that

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	196	109	87	68	70	58	72	124	38	47	67	44
	20%	22%	17%	22%	20%	17%	17%	21%	21%	22%	18%	19%
		B										
Disagree	453	236	218	125	145	184	175	278	84	96	172	102
	45%	49%	42%	41%	41%	53%	42%	47%	46%	44%	46%	44%
		B				CD						
Don't know	354	140	214	111	139	105	166	189	61	73	137	84
	35%	29%	41%	37%	39%	30%	40%	32%	33%	34%	36%	37%
			A		E		G					
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Table of Contents](#)

2_5. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements below. - It is not in our best interests to dedicate US resources (financial, military, intelligence, etc) to supporting international organizations that support hum:

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	196	55	142	115	81	114	12	34	36	119	77	169	28
	20%	21%	19%	20%	19%	24%	9%	18%	18%	20%	19%	20%	20%
						F		F	F				
Disagree	453	99	355	237	216	202	78	67	106	267	187	391	62
	45%	37%	48%	41%	51%	42%	58%	35%	53%	45%	46%	45%	44%
			A		C		EG		EG				
Don't know	354	111	244	226	129	164	45	89	57	210	145	303	51
	35%	42%	33%	39%	30%	34%	33%	47%	29%	35%	35%	35%	36%
			B		D			EFH					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

3. Which of these statements comes closer to your personal opinion?

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
The US should become more involved in or fully join the ICC	373	192	181	133	122	118	159	214	73	81	137	82
	37%	40%	35%	44%	35%	34%	39%	36%	40%	38%	36%	36%
				DE								
The US should not join the ICC	246	140	106	67	81	98	95	151	40	59	88	59
	25%	29%	20%	22%	23%	28%	23%	26%	22%	27%	24%	26%
		B										
Don't know	385	153	232	104	151	130	158	226	70	76	150	89
	38%	32%	45%	34%	43%	38%	38%	38%	38%	35%	40%	39%
			A		C							
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

3. Which of these statements comes closer to your personal opinion?

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
The US should become more involved in or fully join the ICC	373	103	270	185	188	201	52	58	62	208	165	319	54
	37%	39%	37%	32%	44%	42%	38%	31%	31%	35%	41%	37%	38%
					C	GH							
The US should not join the ICC	246	65	181	152	94	109	26	46	65	149	97	223	23
	25%	25%	24%	26%	22%	23%	19%	24%	32%	25%	24%	26%	16%
									EF			L	
Don't know	385	96	289	241	144	170	57	86	72	239	146	321	64
	38%	36%	39%	42%	34%	35%	42%	45%	36%	40%	36%	37%	46%
				D				E					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

4_1. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should continue to dedicate moderate resources to supporting some actions of the ICC without formally joinir

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	472	249	223	149	154	170	175	298	94	103	168	108
	47%	51%	43%	49%	44%	49%	42%	50%	51%	48%	45%	47%
		B						F				
Disagree	185	101	84	58	66	61	83	101	30	42	72	41
	18%	21%	16%	19%	19%	18%	20%	17%	16%	19%	19%	18%
Don't know	347	135	212	98	134	115	155	192	60	71	136	81
	35%	28%	41%	32%	38%	33%	38%	32%	33%	33%	36%	35%
			A									
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

4_1. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should continue to dedicate moderate resources to supporting some actions of the ICC without formally joining, such as by Proportions/Means: - Column Proportions:
 Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
 Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
 - Column Means:
 Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
 Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	472	129	344	260	213	228	65	76	103	293	180	409	63
	47%	49%	46%	45%	50%	48%	48%	40%	52%	49%	44%	47%	45%
									G				
Disagree	185	47	138	99	86	96	21	35	33	102	83	160	25
	18%	18%	19%	17%	20%	20%	16%	18%	17%	17%	20%	18%	18%
Don't know	347	88	258	220	127	156	49	78	63	201	146	294	52
	35%	33%	35%	38%	30%	33%	36%	41%	32%	34%	36%	34%	37%
				D				E					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

4_2. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - Joining the ICC would compromise America's sovereignty as a nation

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	286	165	121	95	101	90	107	179	54	57	101	74
	28%	34%	23%	31%	29%	26%	26%	30%	30%	26%	27%	32%
		B										
Disagree	304	156	148	93	96	115	121	183	56	73	117	57
	30%	32%	29%	30%	27%	33%	29%	31%	31%	34%	31%	25%
										K		
Don't know	414	164	250	117	156	142	185	229	73	86	157	99
	41%	34%	48%	38%	44%	41%	45%	39%	40%	40%	42%	43%
			A									
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Table of Contents](#)

4_2. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - Joining the ICC would compromise America's sovereignty as a nation

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	286	91	195	178	107	152	28	50	56	173	113	247	39
	28%	35%	26%	31%	25%	32%	21%	26%	28%	29%	28%	29%	27%
		B				F							
Disagree	304	69	234	136	168	156	48	36	64	178	126	261	43
	30%	26%	32%	23%	39%	32%	36%	19%	32%	30%	31%	30%	31%
				C		G	G		G				
Don't know	414	104	311	264	151	173	59	104	79	245	170	355	59
	41%	39%	42%	46%	35%	36%	43%	55%	40%	41%	42%	41%	42%
				D				EFH					
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

4_3. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become more engaged and involved in the ICC without becoming a member by making all forms of our Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
 Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
 Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
 - Column Means:
 Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
 Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	316	177	140	120	112	84	124	192	72	65	108	71
	31%	36%	27%	39%	32%	24%	30%	33%	39%	30%	29%	31%
		B		E	E				J			
Disagree	275	140	135	63	90	123	105	170	46	65	103	62
	27%	29%	26%	21%	25%	36%	26%	29%	25%	30%	27%	27%
						CD						
Don't know	413	168	245	122	151	139	184	229	66	85	165	97
	41%	35%	47%	40%	43%	40%	44%	39%	36%	40%	44%	42%
			A									
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Table of Contents](#)

4_3. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become more engaged and involved in the ICC without becoming a member by making all forms of our vast governn

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	316	110	206	166	150	165	42	55	54	190	126	263	53
	31%	42%	28%	29%	35%	34%	31%	29%	27%	32%	31%	30%	38%
		B			C								
Disagree	275	49	226	144	131	128	35	45	67	164	112	245	31
	27%	19%	31%	25%	31%	27%	26%	24%	34%	27%	27%	28%	22%
			A		C				G				
Don't know	413	105	307	268	145	187	58	89	78	241	171	355	57
	41%	40%	42%	46%	34%	39%	43%	47%	39%	41%	42%	41%	41%
				D									
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

4_4. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become a full member of the International Criminal Court and robustly support all of its work.

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Agree	249	133	116	89	76	84	99	151	48	56	96	49
	25%	27%	22%	29%	22%	24%	24%	26%	26%	26%	26%	21%
				D								
Disagree	319	185	134	100	100	118	137	182	59	71	111	78
	32%	38%	26%	33%	28%	34%	33%	31%	32%	33%	29%	34%
		B										
Don't know	436	168	268	116	177	144	178	258	76	88	169	102
	43%	35%	52%	38%	50%	42%	43%	44%	42%	41%	45%	45%
			A		CE							
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

4_4. For each of the statements below, please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the statement. - The US should become a full member of the International Criminal Court and robustly support all of its work.

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Agree	249	72	178	126	123	130	29	44	46	142	107	210	39
	25%	27%	24%	22%	29%	27%	22%	23%	23%	24%	26%	24%	28%
					C								
Disagree	319	80	239	182	137	152	35	56	76	187	131	278	41
	32%	30%	32%	31%	32%	32%	26%	30%	38%	31%	32%	32%	29%
									F				
Don't know	436	112	324	269	167	199	71	89	77	266	170	375	61
	43%	43%	44%	47%	39%	41%	52%	47%	39%	45%	42%	43%	43%
				D			EH						
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

5A. The International Criminal Court (ICC) is investigating whether charges could be brought against individual citizens or nationals of ICC member countries, such as the United Kingdom, France, Jordan, and Tunisia, who may h:

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
 Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
 Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:
 Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
 Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
The US should amend US laws to allow funding to assist the ICC in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocitv crimes as part of	417	215	202	109	142	166	157	260	85	86	168	78
	42%	44%	39%	36%	40%	48%	38%	44%	46%	40%	45%	34%
						CD			K		K	
The US should not get involved in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocity crimes as part of ISIS/The Islamic State	197	114	83	84	55	59	84	113	35	49	64	50
	20%	24%	16%	28%	16%	17%	20%	19%	19%	23%	17%	22%
		B		DE								
Don't know	390	155	235	111	157	122	171	218	63	80	144	102
	39%	32%	45%	37%	44%	35%	41%	37%	35%	37%	38%	44%
			A		E							H
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

5A. The International Criminal Court (ICC) is investigating whether charges could be brought against individual citizens or nationals of ICC member countries, such as the United Kingdom, France, Jordan, and Tunisia, who may have committed atrocities/Means: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
The US should amend US laws to allow funding to assist the ICC in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocity crimes as part of	417	104	313	213	204	202	51	70	94	256	161	364	53
	42%	40%	42%	37%	48%	42%	38%	37%	47%	43%	39%	42%	38%
The US should not get involved in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocity crimes as part of ISIS/The Islamic State	197	53	145	124	73	107	24	30	36	112	86	169	29
	20%	20%	20%	21%	17%	22%	18%	16%	18%	19%	21%	20%	20%
Don't know					C				G				
	390	107	283	241	149	170	60	90	69	228	162	331	59
	39%	41%	38%	42%	35%	35%	44%	48%	35%	38%	40%	38%	42%
Sigma				D				EH					
	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

5B. Some people oppose US cooperation and assistance with the International Criminal Court and its cases out of concern that the International Criminal Court may one day also target American citizens or citizens of our allies (

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
The US should amend US laws to allow funding to assist the ICC in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocitv crimes as part of	395	213	183	104	131	161	148	248	85	80	155	76
	39%	44%	35%	34%	37%	46%	36%	42%	46%	37%	41%	33%
		B				CD			K			
The US should not get involved in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocity crimes as part of ISIS/The Islamic State	217	119	97	92	66	59	95	121	36	51	77	53
	22%	25%	19%	30%	19%	17%	23%	21%	20%	24%	20%	23%
		B		DE								
Don't know	392	153	239	109	157	126	170	222	62	85	144	101
	39%	31%	46%	36%	44%	36%	41%	38%	34%	39%	38%	44%
			A		CE							H
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

5B. Some people oppose US cooperation and assistance with the International Criminal Court and its cases out of concern that the International Criminal Court may one day also target American citizens or citizens of our allies (such as Israel

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
The US should amend US laws to allow funding to assist the ICC in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocity crimes as part of	395	100	295	197	198	196	51	56	93	248	147	345	50
	39%	38%	40%	34%	47%	41%	38%	29%	47%	42%	36%	40%	36%
The US should not get involved in prosecuting individuals who have committed atrocity crimes as part of ISIS/The Islamic State	217	57	160	136	81	120	27	37	34	116	101	183	33
	22%	21%	22%	24%	19%	25%	20%	19%	17%	19%	25%	21%	24%
Don't know					C	G			G				
	392	107	285	245	147	164	58	97	72	231	160	335	57
	39%	41%	38%	42%	35%	34%	43%	51%	36%	39%	39%	39%	41%
Sigma				D				EH					
	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Household Income
Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Under \$15K	101	67	34	51	34	16	101	-	11	20	44	26
	10%	14%	7%	17%	10%	5%	24%	-	6%	9%	12%	11%
		B		DE	E		G				H	
\$15K to less than \$20K	32	24	9	15	9	8	32	-	7	4	14	8
	3%	5%	2%	5%	3%	2%	8%	-	4%	2%	4%	3%
		B					G					
\$20K to less than \$25K	52	25	27	16	18	18	52	-	8	9	22	14
	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	13%	-	4%	4%	6%	6%
							G					
\$25K to less than \$30K	58	27	32	19	18	21	58	-	11	10	28	10
	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	14%	-	6%	5%	7%	4%
							G					
\$30K to less than \$40K	102	47	55	36	37	29	102	-	21	20	45	17
	10%	10%	11%	12%	11%	8%	25%	-	11%	9%	12%	7%
							G					
\$40K to less than \$50K	67	24	44	23	19	25	67	-	10	16	24	18
	7%	5%	8%	8%	5%	7%	16%	-	5%	7%	7%	8%
			A				G					
\$50K to less than \$75K	185	72	113	43	58	84	-	185	31	47	64	43
	18%	15%	22%	14%	17%	24%	-	31%	17%	22%	17%	19%
			A			CD		F				
\$75K to less than \$100K	134	66	68	35	51	48	-	134	27	33	38	36
	13%	14%	13%	12%	14%	14%	-	23%	15%	15%	10%	16%
								F				
\$100K to less than \$150K	180	85	95	37	73	70	-	180	36	41	58	45
	18%	18%	18%	12%	21%	20%	-	30%	20%	19%	16%	19%
					C	C		F				
\$150K or more	92	49	43	29	36	27	-	92	21	17	39	15
	9%	10%	8%	9%	10%	8%	-	16%	12%	8%	10%	7%
								F				
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary												
Under \$50K	413	213	200	161	135	118	413	-	68	78	177	91
	41%	44%	39%	53%	38%	34%	100%	-	37%	36%	47%	40%
				DE			G				HI	
\$50K +	591	272	319	143	219	229	-	591	116	138	199	138
	59%	56%	61%	47%	62%	66%	-	100%	63%	64%	53%	60%
					C	C		F	J	J		

Mean (,000)	75.2	75	75.4	68.6	79.1	77	25.8	109.8	82.3	78.4	72.2	71.5
								F				
STD. DEV.	61.06	66.81	55.22	69.73	60.79	52.28	13.06	57.57	62.9	64.43	62.89	52.45
STD. ERR.	1.93	3.03	2.42	4	3.23	2.81	0.64	2.37	4.65	4.39	3.25	3.46

[Table of Contents](#)

Household Income

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Under \$15K	101	14	87	82	19	34	14	40	12	18	83	76	25
	10%	5%	12%	14%	4%	7%	11%	21%	6%	3%	20%	9%	18%
			A	D				EFH			I		K
\$15K to less than \$20K	32	3	30	22	10	7	6	9	10	6	27	31	2
	3%	1%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	5%	5%	1%	7%	4%	1%
			A					E	E		I		
\$20K to less than \$25K	52	11	41	40	12	17	12	13	10	14	38	37	15
	5%	4%	6%	7%	3%	4%	9%	7%	5%	2%	9%	4%	11%
				D			E				I		K
\$25K to less than \$30K	58	16	42	47	11	16	14	16	12	23	35	51	8
	6%	6%	6%	8%	3%	3%	10%	8%	6%	4%	9%	6%	5%
				D			E	E			I		
\$30K to less than \$40K	102	26	76	83	20	41	14	28	19	42	61	85	18
	10%	10%	10%	14%	5%	8%	10%	15%	10%	7%	15%	10%	13%
				D				E			I		
\$40K to less than \$50K	67	19	48	47	20	29	10	14	15	39	28	54	13
	7%	7%	7%	8%	5%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	9%
				D									
\$50K to less than \$75K	185	42	143	109	76	91	22	25	47	126	59	164	20
	18%	16%	19%	19%	18%	19%	16%	13%	24%	21%	14%	19%	14%
									G	J			
\$75K to less than \$100K	134	40	94	59	75	80	14	17	23	104	30	120	14
	13%	15%	13%	10%	18%	17%	11%	9%	11%	18%	7%	14%	10%
					C	G				J			
\$100K to less than \$150K	180	57	123	67	113	102	19	19	40	151	30	163	17
	18%	22%	17%	12%	27%	21%	14%	10%	20%	25%	7%	19%	12%
					C	G			G	J			
\$150K or more	92	36	56	22	70	63	10	8	10	73	19	82	9
	9%	14%	8%	4%	16%	13%	8%	4%	5%	12%	5%	10%	7%
		B			C	GH				J			
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
Under \$50K	413	88	325	321	92	144	69	120	80	142	271	333	80
	41%	33%	44%	56%	22%	30%	51%	63%	40%	24%	66%	39%	57%
			A	D			EH	EFH	E		I		K
\$50K +	591	176	415	257	334	336	66	70	119	454	137	530	60
	59%	67%	56%	44%	78%	70%	49%	37%	60%	76%	34%	61%	43%
		B			C	FGH	G		FG	J		L	
Mean (,000)	75.2	89.7	70	56.1	101.1	89.4	66.2	51	70.1	93.4	48.7	77.5	61.3

		B			C	FGH	G		G	J		L	
STD. DEV.	61.06	67.06	57.95	45.73	69.19	66.59	59.97	47	49.81	61.6	49.51	61.04	59.58
STD. ERR.	1.93	4.13	2.13	1.9	3.35	3.04	5.16	3.41	3.53	2.52	2.45	2.08	5.02

[Table of Contents](#)

Gender
Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Male	485	485	-	152	173	159	213	272	93	100	178	114
	48%	100%	-	50%	49%	46%	52%	46%	51%	46%	47%	50%
		B										
Female	519	-	519	152	180	187	200	319	90	116	198	116
	52%	-	100%	50%	51%	54%	48%	54%	49%	54%	53%	50%
		A										
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Gender

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Male	485	113	372	270	215	264	62	58	100	267	218	412	73
	48%	43%	50%	47%	50%	55%	46%	31%	51%	45%	53%	48%	52%
						G	G		G		I		
Female	519	151	368	308	211	216	74	131	98	328	191	451	68
	52%	57%	50%	53%	50%	45%	54%	69%	49%	55%	47%	52%	48%
								EFH		J			
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[illegible]

Age

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
18 - 34 (Net)	304	112	192	159	145	183	44	72	4	135	170	247	57
	30%	42%	26%	28%	34%	38%	33%	38%	2%	23%	42%	29%	41%
		B			C	H	H	H			I		K
18 - 24	66	11	55	52	14	23	9	30	3	9	56	48	18
	7%	4%	7%	9%	3%	5%	7%	16%	1%	2%	14%	6%	13%
				D		H	H	EFH			I		K
25 - 34	238	101	138	108	131	160	35	42	1	125	113	199	39
	24%	38%	19%	19%	31%	33%	26%	22%	1%	21%	28%	23%	28%
		B			C	GH	H	H			I		
35 - 54 (Net)	353	138	215	213	140	211	42	84	16	216	137	296	57
	35%	52%	29%	37%	33%	44%	31%	44%	8%	36%	34%	34%	41%
		B				FH	H	FH					
35 - 44	154	85	69	84	69	99	13	39	2	99	54	126	28
	15%	32%	9%	15%	16%	21%	10%	21%	1%	17%	13%	15%	20%
		B				FH	H	FH					
45 - 54	200	54	146	129	71	111	29	45	14	117	83	170	29
	20%	20%	20%	22%	17%	23%	22%	24%	7%	20%	20%	20%	21%
				D		H	H	H					
55 + (Net)	346	14	332	206	141	86	48	33	179	245	102	320	26
	35%	5%	45%	36%	33%	18%	36%	17%	90%	41%	25%	37%	19%
			A				EG		EFG	J		L	
55 - 64	194	10	185	111	83	68	33	31	63	137	57	176	18
	19%	4%	25%	19%	19%	14%	24%	16%	31%	23%	14%	20%	13%
			A				E		EG	J			
65+	152	4	148	94	58	18	16	2	116	108	45	144	8
	15%	2%	20%	16%	14%	4%	12%	1%	58%	18%	11%	17%	6%
			A				EG		EFG	J		L	
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
Mean	46.8	38.4	49.7	47.5	45.7	41.9	46.3	40.4	64.8	49.4	42.9	47.7	40.9
			A				EG		EFG	J		L	
STD. DEV.	15.53	9.46	16.18	15.96	14.89	12.29	15.24	13.42	10.14	14.73	15.88	15.51	14.39
STD. ERR.	0.49	0.59	0.59	0.65	0.74	0.57	1.31	0.95	0.7	0.6	0.78	0.53	1.22
Median	47	36	53	49	44	40	48	40	65	50.34	41	48.17	38.17

Region

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Northeast	183	93	90	62	65	56	68	116	183	-	-	-
	18%	19%	17%	20%	19%	16%	16%	20%	100%	-	-	-
									IJK			
Midwest	216	100	116	48	87	81	78	138	-	216	-	-
	21%	21%	22%	16%	25%	23%	19%	23%	-	100%	-	-
					C	C				HJK		
South	375	178	198	115	131	129	177	199	-	-	375	-
	37%	37%	38%	38%	37%	37%	43%	34%	-	-	100%	-
							G				HIK	
West	230	114	116	80	70	80	91	138	-	-	-	230
	23%	24%	22%	26%	20%	23%	22%	23%	-	-	-	100%
												HIJ
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Region
Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Northeast	183	69	115	92	91	99	28	28	29	119	64	159	24
	18%	26%	16%	16%	21%	21%	20%	15%	15%	20%	16%	18%	17%
		B			C								
Midwest	216	61	155	120	95	101	23	42	49	135	80	196	19
	21%	23%	21%	21%	22%	21%	17%	22%	24%	23%	20%	23%	14%
												L	
South	375	84	291	218	158	172	51	77	76	210	165	311	64
	37%	32%	39%	38%	37%	36%	37%	41%	38%	35%	40%	36%	45%
			A										K
West	230	51	179	148	82	109	34	42	45	131	99	196	34
	23%	19%	24%	26%	19%	23%	25%	22%	23%	22%	24%	23%	24%
				D									
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Education
Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Grade School	30	27	3	22	8	-	30	-	4	3	12	10
	3%	6%	1%	7%	2%	-	7%	-	2%	2%	3%	4%
		B		DE	E		G					
Some High School	25	16	10	14	7	4	23	2	1	2	14	9
	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	1%	6%	*	*	1%	4%	4%
				E			G				H	HI
Graduated High School	190	91	99	34	73	83	111	79	40	38	72	40
	19%	19%	19%	11%	21%	24%	27%	13%	22%	17%	19%	17%
					C	C	G					
Some College	216	88	127	62	73	80	112	104	28	51	81	56
	21%	18%	25%	20%	21%	23%	27%	18%	15%	23%	22%	24%
			A				G			H		H
Associate's degree (for example: AA, AS)	117	48	69	26	52	39	46	71	19	27	38	33
	12%	10%	13%	9%	15%	11%	11%	12%	11%	12%	10%	14%
					C							
Bachelor's degree (for example: BA, BS)	253	115	138	77	91	85	57	197	53	55	98	48
	25%	24%	27%	25%	26%	24%	14%	33%	29%	25%	26%	21%
								F				
Post Graduate Degree	173	100	73	68	49	56	36	137	38	41	60	34
	17%	21%	14%	22%	14%	16%	9%	23%	21%	19%	16%	15%
		B		D				F				
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary												
No college degree	578	270	308	159	213	206	321	257	92	120	218	148
	58%	56%	59%	52%	60%	59%	78%	43%	50%	56%	58%	64%
							G					H
College degree	426	215	211	145	140	141	92	334	91	95	158	82
	42%	44%	41%	48%	40%	41%	22%	57%	50%	44%	42%	36%
								F	K			

Education

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Grade School	30	2	28	30	-	21	2	4	3	4	26	27	3
	3%	1%	4%	5%	-	4%	1%	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	2%
			A	D							I		
Some High School	25	4	21	25	-	9	4	9	4	5	20	20	6
	3%	2%	3%	4%	-	2%	3%	5%	2%	1%	5%	2%	4%
				D							I		
Graduated High School	190	33	157	190	-	56	34	49	51	118	72	165	25
	19%	13%	21%	33%	-	12%	25%	26%	26%	20%	18%	19%	18%
			A	D			E	E	E				
Some College	216	60	156	216	-	83	31	50	51	124	92	184	32
	21%	23%	21%	37%	-	17%	23%	26%	26%	21%	23%	21%	23%
				D				E	E				
Associate's degree (for example: AA, AS)	117	35	82	117	-	50	12	32	23	70	47	103	14
	12%	13%	11%	20%	-	10%	9%	17%	12%	12%	12%	12%	10%
				D				EF					
Bachelor's degree (for example: BA, BS)	253	77	177	-	253	150	33	29	41	161	92	219	35
	25%	29%	24%	-	59%	31%	24%	15%	21%	27%	23%	25%	25%
					C	GH	G						
Post Graduate Degree	173	53	120	-	173	110	20	17	26	113	60	146	27
	17%	20%	16%	-	41%	23%	15%	9%	13%	19%	15%	17%	19%
					C	GH							
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
No college degree	578	134	444	578	-	219	82	144	132	321	257	499	79
	58%	51%	60%	100%	-	46%	61%	76%	66%	54%	63%	58%	56%
			A	D			E	EFH	E		I		
College degree	426	130	296	-	426	261	53	46	67	275	152	364	62
	42%	49%	40%	-	100%	54%	39%	24%	34%	46%	37%	42%	44%
		B			C	FGH	G		G	J			

Age & Presence Of Children

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Under 6 only	68	27	42	50	19	-	24	44	24	9	23	12
	7%	5%	8%	16%	5%	-	6%	7%	13%	4%	6%	5%
				DE	E				IJK			
6-12 Only	49	25	25	22	26	1	20	30	10	14	11	14
	5%	5%	5%	7%	7%	*	5%	5%	6%	6%	3%	6%
				E	E					J		
13-17 Only	64	27	37	3	50	12	17	47	13	19	23	9
	6%	5%	7%	1%	14%	3%	4%	8%	7%	9%	6%	4%
					CE	C		F		K		
Under 6 and 6-12	37	16	21	25	11	1	12	25	11	6	14	6
	4%	3%	4%	8%	3%	*	3%	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%
				DE	E							
Under 6 and 13-17	7	2	6	1	6	-	4	3	1	2	2	2
	1%	*	1%	*	2%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%
					E							
6-12 and 13-17	26	13	13	6	20	-	6	20	8	5	8	4
	3%	3%	3%	2%	6%	-	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%
				E	CE							
All 3	12	5	7	5	7	-	4	7	1	5	2	3
	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
				E	E							
None Under 18	740	372	368	192	215	332	325	415	115	155	291	179
	74%	77%	71%	63%	61%	96%	79%	70%	63%	72%	78%	78%
						CD	G				H	H
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary												
With Kids	264	113	151	112	138	14	88	176	69	61	84	51
	26%	23%	29%	37%	39%	4%	21%	30%	37%	28%	22%	22%
				E	E			F	JK			
No Kids	740	372	368	192	215	332	325	415	115	155	291	179
	74%	77%	71%	63%	61%	96%	79%	70%	63%	72%	78%	78%
						CD	G				H	H

Age & Presence Of Children

Proportions/Means: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Under 6 only	68	68	-	36	32	40	6	21	1	54	14	61	7
	7%	26%	-	6%	7%	8%	5%	11%	1%	9%	3%	7%	5%
		B				H	H	FH		J			
6-12 Only	49	49	-	23	26	33	5	10	2	39	11	36	13
	5%	19%	-	4%	6%	7%	4%	5%	1%	6%	3%	4%	10%
		B				H	H	H		J			K
13-17 Only	64	64	-	38	26	38	7	14	5	53	11	58	6
	6%	24%	-	7%	6%	8%	5%	7%	2%	9%	3%	7%	4%
		B				H		H		J			
Under 6 and 6-12	37	37	-	12	25	25	6	5	1	30	7	32	5
	4%	14%	-	2%	6%	5%	4%	2%	*	5%	2%	4%	4%
		B			C	H	H			J			
Under 6 and 13-17	7	7	-	4	4	3	1	4	-	7	-	6	2
	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	1%
		B								J			
6-12 and 13-17	26	26	-	11	15	11	8	7	-	22	4	23	4
	3%	10%	-	2%	3%	2%	6%	4%	-	4%	1%	3%	2%
		B				H	H	H		J			
All 3	12	12	-	10	2	6	1	5	-	10	2	12	-
	1%	5%	-	2%	*	1%	1%	3%	-	2%	*	1%	-
		B						H					
None Under 18	740	-	740	444	296	324	101	125	191	380	360	636	104
	74%	-	100%	77%	69%	67%	74%	66%	96%	64%	88%	74%	74%
			A	D					EFG		I		
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
With Kids	264	264	-	134	130	156	35	65	8	215	49	228	37
	26%	100%	-	23%	31%	33%	26%	34%	4%	36%	12%	26%	26%
		B			C	H	H	H		J			
No Kids	740	-	740	444	296	324	101	125	191	380	360	636	104
	74%	-	100%	77%	69%	67%	74%	66%	96%	64%	88%	74%	74%
			A	D					EFG		I		

Marital Status

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Single	217	134	83	128	66	22	160	57	37	41	82	57
	22%	28%	16%	42%	19%	6%	39%	10%	20%	19%	22%	25%
		B		DE	E		G					
Domestic Partnership	65	35	30	32	24	9	33	32	13	8	30	13
	6%	7%	6%	10%	7%	3%	8%	5%	7%	4%	8%	6%
				E	E							
Married	596	267	328	135	216	245	142	454	119	135	210	131
	59%	55%	63%	44%	61%	71%	34%	77%	65%	63%	56%	57%
			A		C	CD		F				
Widowed	30	8	22	1	4	25	20	10	2	12	8	8
	3%	2%	4%	*	1%	7%	5%	2%	1%	6%	2%	3%
			A			CD	G			HJ		
Divorced or separated	97	41	56	8	43	46	58	39	12	18	45	21
	10%	8%	11%	3%	12%	13%	14%	7%	7%	9%	12%	9%
					C	C	G					
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary												
Married	596	267	328	135	216	245	142	454	119	135	210	131
	59%	55%	63%	44%	61%	71%	34%	77%	65%	63%	56%	57%
			A		C	CD		F				
Other	408	218	191	170	137	102	271	137	64	80	165	99
	41%	45%	37%	56%	39%	29%	66%	23%	35%	37%	44%	43%
		B		DE	E		G					

Marital Status

Proportions/Means: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Single	217	20	197	138	79	114	28	58	17	-	217	160	57
	22%	8%	27%	24%	19%	24%	21%	31%	8%	-	53%	19%	40%
			A			H	H	H			I		K
Domestic Partnership	65	13	52	37	28	33	12	13	6	-	65	59	6
	6%	5%	7%	6%	7%	7%	9%	7%	3%	-	16%	7%	4%
						H	H				I		
Married	596	215	380	321	275	285	79	99	133	596	-	536	60
	59%	82%	51%	56%	64%	59%	58%	52%	67%	100%	-	62%	42%
		B			C				G	J		L	
Widowed	30	2	28	24	7	4	5	4	18	-	30	28	2
	3%	1%	4%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%	9%	-	7%	3%	2%
			A	D			E		EG		I		
Divorced or separated	97	14	83	59	38	44	11	15	27	-	97	80	17
	10%	5%	11%	10%	9%	9%	8%	8%	13%	-	24%	9%	12%
			A								I		
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
Married	596	215	380	321	275	285	79	99	133	596	-	536	60
	59%	82%	51%	56%	64%	59%	58%	52%	67%	100%	-	62%	42%
		B			C				G	J		L	
Other	408	49	360	257	152	195	57	90	66	-	408	327	81
	41%	18%	49%	44%	36%	41%	42%	48%	33%	-	100%	38%	58%
			A	D				H			I		K

Employment
Proportions/Means: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Employed - full-time	430	231	199	174	188	68	126	304	90	90	153	97
	43%	48%	38%	57%	53%	20%	30%	52%	49%	42%	41%	42%
		B		E	E			F				
Employed - part-time	114	51	63	42	35	38	61	53	27	19	41	28
	11%	10%	12%	14%	10%	11%	15%	9%	15%	9%	11%	12%
							G					
Self-Employed	71	44	27	12	30	29	27	44	9	16	29	17
	7%	9%	5%	4%	8%	8%	6%	8%	5%	7%	8%	7%
		B			C	C						
Retired	199	100	98	4	16	179	80	119	29	49	76	45
	20%	21%	19%	1%	4%	52%	19%	20%	16%	23%	20%	20%
					C	CD						
Student	28	10	18	26	2	-	19	9	3	9	8	8
	3%	2%	3%	8%	1%	-	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%
				DE			G					
Military	4	2	2	2	2	-	2	2	1	-	3	-
	*	*	*	1%	1%	-	*	*	*	-	1%	-
Homemaker	79	4	75	21	43	15	35	45	15	17	34	13
	8%	1%	15%	7%	12%	4%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	6%
			A		CE							
Currently Unemployed	71	38	33	18	36	17	58	12	8	16	29	18
	7%	8%	6%	6%	10%	5%	14%	2%	4%	7%	8%	8%
					E		G					
(Dk/Ns)	8	5	3	6	1	1	7	1	1	1	3	3
	1%	1%	1%	2%	*	*	2%	*	*	1%	1%	1%
				DE			G					
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary												
Full Time	480	264	216	183	211	86	144	336	99	101	172	109
	48%	55%	42%	60%	60%	25%	35%	57%	54%	47%	46%	47%
		B		E	E			F				
Part Time	135	62	74	44	42	48	69	66	28	23	51	34
	13%	13%	14%	15%	12%	14%	17%	11%	15%	11%	13%	15%
							G					
Not Emp.	190	58	131	72	84	33	120	70	28	42	77	42
	19%	12%	25%	24%	24%	10%	29%	12%	15%	20%	21%	18%
			A	E	E		G					

Retired	199	100	98	4	16	179	80	119	29	49	76	45
	20%	21%	19%	1%	4%	52%	19%	20%	16%	23%	20%	20%
					C	CD						

[Table of Contents](#)

Employment

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Employed - full-time	430	148	283	190	240	430	-	-	-	259	171	359	71
	43%	56%	38%	33%	56%	90%	-	-	-	44%	42%	42%	50%
		B			C	FGH							
Employed - part-time	114	31	83	73	41	-	114	-	-	65	50	102	13
	11%	12%	11%	13%	10%	-	84%	-	-	11%	12%	12%	9%
							EGH						
Self-Employed	71	12	59	38	33	50	21	-	-	40	31	61	10
	7%	5%	8%	7%	8%	10%	16%	-	-	7%	8%	7%	7%
						GH	GH						
Retired	199	8	191	132	67	-	-	-	199	133	66	188	11
	20%	3%	26%	23%	16%	-	-	-	100%	22%	16%	22%	8%
			A	D					EFG	J		L	
Student	28	4	24	20	8	-	-	28	-	6	22	20	8
	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	-	-	15%	-	1%	5%	2%	6%
								EFH			I		K
Military	4	1	3	2	2	-	-	4	-	1	3	3	1
	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	2%	-	*	1%	*	1%
								EH					
Homemaker	79	50	29	59	20	-	-	79	-	70	9	72	7
	8%	19%	4%	10%	5%	-	-	42%	-	12%	2%	8%	5%
		B		D				EFH		J			
Currently Unemployed	71	9	61	60	11	-	-	71	-	22	49	55	16
	7%	4%	8%	10%	3%	-	-	37%	-	4%	12%	6%	11%
			A	D				EFH			I		K
(Dk/Ns)	8	1	7	4	4	-	-	8	-	1	7	5	3
	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	-	-	4%	-	*	2%	1%	2%
								EFH			I		
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
Full Time	480	156	324	219	261	480	-	-	-	285	195	404	76
	48%	59%	44%	38%	61%	100%	-	-	-	48%	48%	47%	54%
		B			C	FGH							
Part Time	135	35	101	82	53	-	135	-	-	79	57	117	18
	13%	13%	14%	14%	12%	-	100%	-	-	13%	14%	14%	13%
							EGH						
Not Emp.	190	65	125	144	46	-	-	190	-	99	90	154	36
	19%	25%	17%	25%	11%	-	-	100%	-	17%	22%	18%	25%
		B		D				EFH			I		K
Retired	199	8	191	132	67	-	-	-	199	133	66	188	11

	20%	3%	26%	23%	16%	-	-	-	100%	22%	16%	22%	8%
			A	D					EFG	J		L	

[Table of Contents](#)

Race

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
White	863	412	451	247	296	320	333	530	159	196	311	196
	86%	85%	87%	81%	84%	92%	81%	90%	87%	91%	83%	85%
						CD		F		J		
Black	67	34	33	29	27	10	47	19	12	12	37	6
	7%	7%	6%	10%	8%	3%	11%	3%	6%	5%	10%	3%
				E	E		G				K	
Asian	28	12	16	7	15	6	9	19	2	2	9	15
	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	7%
												HIJ
Other	46	27	19	21	15	10	24	22	11	5	17	13
	5%	6%	4%	7%	4%	3%	6%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%
				E								
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary												
White	863	412	451	247	296	320	333	530	159	196	311	196
	86%	85%	87%	81%	84%	92%	81%	90%	87%	91%	83%	85%
						CD		F		J		
Other	141	73	68	57	57	26	80	60	24	19	64	34
	14%	15%	13%	19%	16%	8%	19%	10%	13%	9%	17%	15%
				E	E		G				I	

Race

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
White	863	228	636	499	364	404	117	154	188	536	327	863	-
	86%	86%	86%	86%	85%	84%	87%	81%	94%	90%	80%	100%	-
									EFG	J		L	
Black	67	15	51	45	22	39	8	14	5	20	47	-	67
	7%	6%	7%	8%	5%	8%	6%	7%	3%	3%	11%	-	47%
						H		H			I		K
Asian	28	9	19	11	17	17	2	8	1	19	10	-	28
	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	-	20%
					C	H		H					K
Other	46	12	34	23	23	20	8	14	5	21	24	-	46
	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	7%	2%	4%	6%	-	33%
								H					K
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
White	863	228	636	499	364	404	117	154	188	536	327	863	-
	86%	86%	86%	86%	85%	84%	87%	81%	94%	90%	80%	100%	-
									EFG	J		L	
Other	141	37	104	79	62	76	18	36	11	60	81	-	141
	14%	14%	14%	14%	15%	16%	13%	19%	6%	10%	20%	-	100%
						H	H	H			I		K

Are you of Hispanic Ethnicity?

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G,H/I/J/K

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Gender		Age			Household Income		Region			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Under \$50K	\$50K+	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	452	552	247	396	361	433	571	194	256	330	224
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
Yes	122	80	42	84	28	11	83	40	17	17	45	43
	12%	17%	8%	28%	8%	3%	20%	7%	9%	8%	12%	19%
		B		DE	E		G					HIJ
No	869	397	472	216	322	331	322	548	165	198	322	184
	87%	82%	91%	71%	91%	96%	78%	93%	90%	92%	86%	80%
			A		C	CD		F	K	JK		
(Dk/Ns)	12	8	5	4	3	5	9	4	2	-	8	3
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%
							G				I	
Sigma	1004	485	519	304	353	346	413	591	183	216	375	230
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Table of Contents](#)

Are you of Hispanic Ethnicity?

Proportions/Mean: - Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D,E/F/G/H,I/J,K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

		Children in Household		Education		Employment Status				Marital Status		Race	
	Total	Yes	No	No college degree	College degree	Full Time	Part Time	Not Emp.	Retired	Married	Other	White	Other
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	261	743	603	401	458	136	201	209	593	411	864	140
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
Yes	122	34	88	71	52	72	19	22	9	54	69	92	31
	12%	13%	12%	12%	12%	15%	14%	12%	5%	9%	17%	11%	22%
						H	H	H			I		K
No	869	228	641	499	371	404	114	163	188	536	333	768	101
	87%	86%	87%	86%	87%	84%	84%	86%	95%	90%	82%	89%	72%
									EFG	J		L	
(Dk/Ns)	12	2	10	9	4	3	3	4	2	6	7	3	9
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	*	6%
													K
Sigma	1004	264	740	578	426	480	135	190	199	596	408	863	141
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%