lpsos

IPSOS / REUTERS POLL DATA

Prepared by Ipsos Public Affairs

Ipsos Poll Conducted for Reuters

Russia Topline 10.28.2016

These are findings from an Ipsos poll conducted October 18-24, 2016 on behalf Thomson Reuters. For the survey, a sample of roughly 2,008 adults age 18+ from the continental U.S., Alaska and Hawaii was interviewed online in English. The sample includes 860 Democrats, 741 Republicans, and 235 Independents.

The sample for this study was randomly drawn from Ipsos's online panel (see link below for more info on "Access Panels and Recruitment"), partner online panel sources, and "river" sampling (see link below for more info on the Ipsos "Ampario Overview" sample method) and does not rely on a population frame in the traditional sense. Ipsos uses fixed sample targets, unique to each study, in drawing sample. After a sample has been obtained from the Ipsos panel, Ipsos calibrates respondent characteristics to be representative of the U.S. Population using standard procedures such as raking-ratio adjustments. The source of these population targets is U.S. Census 2015 American Community Survey data. The sample drawn for this study reflects fixed sample targets on demographics. Post-hoc weights were made to the population characteristics on gender, age, region, race/ethnicity and income.

Statistical margins of error are not applicable to online polls. All sample surveys and polls may be subject to other sources of error, including, but not limited to coverage error and measurement error. Where figures do not sum to 100, this is due to the effects of rounding. The precision of Ipsos online polls is measured using a credibility interval. In this case, the poll has a credibility interval of plus or minus 2.5 percentage points for all respondents (see link below for more info on Ipsos online polling "Credibility Intervals"). Ipsos calculates a design effect (DEFF) for each study based on the variation of the weights, following the formula of Kish (1965). This study had a credibility interval adjusted for design effect of the following (n=2,008, DEFF=1.5, adjusted Confidence Interval=4).

The poll also has a credibility interval plus or minus 3.8 percentage points for Democrats, plus or minus 4.1 percentage points for Republicans, and plus or minus 7.3 percentage points for Independents (see link below for more info on Ipsos online polling "Credibility Intervals").

For more information about Ipsos online polling methodology, please go here http://goo.ql/yJBkuf

		<u>Total</u>	<u>Democrat</u>	Republican	Independent
AD10 220 Auguston Democratic Deuts	Yes	73%	78%	77%	66%
AB10_228 - AwarenessDemocratic Party	No	27%	22%	23%	34%
officials' emails being hacked	Total	2008	860	741	235
	Very favorable	11%	15%	9%	6%
	Somewhat favorable	18%	25%	10%	22%
	Lean towards favorable	41%	42%	42%	33%
TR15_1 - TM2_1_Scale - Brazil : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	17%	13%	24%	15%
	Somewhat unfavorable	7%	3%	8%	12%
	Very unfavorable	6%	2%	7%	13%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Van fava valda	70/	00/	40/	40/
	Very favorable	7%	9%	4%	4%
TR15_2 - TM2_2_Scale - China :	Somewhat favorable	11%	19%	5%	8%
*Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards favorable	25%	28%	24%	17%



	Lean towards unfavorable	26%	28%	28%	24%
	Somewhat unfavorable	17%	9%	19%	31%
	Very unfavorable	13%	7%	19%	16%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Varu favorable	19%	25%	16%	13%
	Very favorable				
	Somewhat favorable	29%	34%	30%	24%
	Lean towards favorable	30%	30%	30%	26%
TR15_3 - TM2_3_Scale - France : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	13%	9%	15%	18%
	Somewhat unfavorable	6%	2%	4%	13%
	Very unfavorable	4%	1%	4%	8%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	20%	27%	18%	14%
	Somewhat favorable	28%	36%	28%	16%
	Lean towards favorable	29%	26%	30%	35%
TR15_4 - TM2_4_Scale - Germany : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	11%	6%	15%	15%
	Somewhat unfavorable	6%	3%	4%	13%
	Very unfavorable	5%	2%	5%	6%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	5%	7%	2%	2%
	Somewhat favorable	6%	10%	3%	6%
	Lean towards favorable	13%	23%	4%	4%
TR15_5 - TM2_5_Scale - Iran : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	22%	24%	17%	33%
	Somewhat unfavorable	16%	12%	18%	26%
	Very unfavorable	37%	23%	55%	29%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	11%	15%	7%	7%
	Somewhat favorable	15%	23%	11%	11%
	Lean towards favorable	27%	33%	18%	30%
TR15_6 - TM2_6_Scale - Mexico : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	26%	19%	34%	23%
	Somewhat	12%	6%	17%	18%
	unfavorable	12/5			
		10%	3%	14%	11%
	unfavorable		3% 277	14% 276	11% 81
	unfavorable Very unfavorable Total	10% 708	277	276	81
	unfavorable Very unfavorable Total Very favorable	10% 708	277 6%	276 4%	81 6%
TD45 7 TM2 7 C 1 7	unfavorable Very unfavorable Total Very favorable Somewhat favorable	10% 708	277	276	81
TR15_7 - TM2_7_Scale - Russia : *Removed on 10/20/2016	unfavorable Very unfavorable Total Very favorable	10% 708	277 6%	276 4%	81 6%



	Somewhat	17%	12%	18%	22%
	unfavorable				
	Very unfavorable Total	20% 708	20% 277	20% 276	21% 81
	Very favorable	9%	11%	7%	4%
	Somewhat favorable	18%	26%	15%	11%
	Lean towards favorable	36%	38%	38%	32%
TR15_8 - TM2_8_Scale - South Africa : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	21%	18%	21%	23%
	Somewhat unfavorable	10%	4%	10%	19%
	Very unfavorable	6%	2%	8%	12%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	31%	38%	28%	18%
	Somewhat favorable	30%	29%	33%	33%
	Lean towards favorable	26%	25%	26%	26%
TR15_9 - TM2_9_Scale - United Kingdom : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	6%	5%	8%	3%
	Somewhat unfavorable	4%	1%	3%	14%
	Very unfavorable	2%	1%	2%	6%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Marris facility	E 40/	FF0/	C20/	2024
	Very favorable Somewhat favorable	54% 21%	55% 19%	62% 22%	36% 36%
	Lean towards	21%	19%	ZZ%	26%
	favorable	17%	20%	10%	24%
TR15_10 - TM2_10_Scale - United States : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	3%	3%	2%	2%
	Somewhat unfavorable	4%	2%	3%	10%
	Very unfavorable	2%	1%	1%	3%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	\\am. fa - -	00/	130/	F0/	F0/
	Very favorable Somewhat favorable	8% 15%	12% 17%	5% 16%	5% 16%
	Lean towards	15%	17%	16%	16%
TR15 11 - TM2 11 Scale - Venezuela :	favorable Lean towards	32%	37%	26%	26%
*Removed on 10/20/2016	unfavorable Somewhat	22%	17%	28%	27%
	unfavorable	12%	11%	11%	16%
	Very unfavorable	10%	5%	13%	9%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	20%	12%	31%	13%
	Somewhat favorable	20%	23%	23%	13%
	Lean towards favorable	25%	28%	20%	22%
TR15_12 - TM2_12_Scale - Israel : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards unfavorable	16%	20%	11%	22%
	Somewhat unfavorable	9%	6%	8%	21%
	Very unfavorable	10%	12%	7%	9%



	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	4%	6%	3%	2%
	Somewhat favorable	5%	9%	3%	3%
	Lean towards				
	favorable	17%	26%	7%	13%
TR15_13 - TM2_13_Scale - Iraq :	Lean towards	24%	24%	25%	21%
*Removed on 10/20/2016	unfavorable	2470	2470	23/0	21/0
	Somewhat	21%	14%	26%	34%
	unfavorable				
	Very unfavorable	29%	21%	36%	26%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	9%	12%	8%	6%
	Somewhat favorable	15%	19%	12%	14%
	Lean towards				
	favorable	40%	47%	42%	30%
TR15_14 - TM2_14_Scale - Argentina:	Lean towards	19%	14%	20%	28%
*Removed on 10/20/2016	unfavorable	15%	1470	20%	28%
	Somewhat	9%	6%	9%	13%
	unfavorable				
	Very unfavorable	7%	2%	9%	10%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Very favorable	9%	11%	8%	4%
	Somewhat favorable	15%	21%	11%	13%
	Lean towards				
	favorable	37%	40%	36%	31%
TR15_15 - TM2_15_Scale - Egypt : *Removed on 10/20/2016	Lean towards	2.10/		2521	2=2/
	unfavorable	21%	14%	26%	27%
	Somewhat	11%	9%	11%	15%
	unfavorable	1170	970	1170	15%
	Very unfavorable	7%	4%	8%	11%
	Total	708	277	276	81
	Manufaccalla	00/	400/	60/	40/
	Very favorable Somewhat favorable	8% 1.49/	10%	6% 7%	4% 12%
	Lean towards	14%	23%	7%	12%
	favorable	29%	37%	24%	26%
TR15_16 - TM2_16_Scale - Cuba:	Lean towards				
*Removed on 10/20/2016	unfavorable	23%	18%	25%	26%
. ,	Somewhat	1.40/	COV	200/	220/
	unfavorable	14%	6%	20%	22%
	Very unfavorable	12%	5%	17%	10%
	Total	708	277	276	81
			بيم		_ :
	No threat	5%	6%	4%	7%
TM512Y15_1 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	2	7% 1.7%	10%	3%	9% 16%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	3 4	17% 26%	22% 25%	13% 30%	16% 27%
threat, how much of a threat	4 Imminent threat	26% 30%	25% 22%	30% 45%	27% 26%
doesIranpose to the United States?	Not sure	30% 14%	22% 15%	45% 6%	26% 15%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	. 5 (4)				
TM512Y15_2 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	No threat	8%	10%	4%	11%
INDIZITO Z - OH a Scale OF L to 5, WITH OHE					
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	2	10%	12%	8%	10%
		10% 18%	12% 21%	8% 17%	10% 17%



	Imminent threat Not sure	23% 17%	19% 18%	33% 10%	20% 17%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	Total	2000	000	741	233
	No threat	11%	13%	6%	13%
	2	13%	16%	10%	11%
TM512Y15_4 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	3	25%	25%	28%	24%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	4	22%	19%	27%	20%
threat, how much of a threat	Imminent threat	14%	11%	19%	17%
doesChinapose to the United States?	Not sure	15%	15%	9%	15%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	Total	2000	800	741	233
	No threat	12%	13%	10%	16%
	2	16%	20%	12%	17%
TM512Y15_5 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	3	22%	20%	25%	22%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	4	17%	16%	21%	16%
threat, how much of a threat doesSaudi	Imminent threat	15%	12%	21%	13%
Arabiapose to the United States?	Not sure	18%	20%	11%	16%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	TOtal	2006	800	741	233
	No threat	6%	6%	3%	8%
	2	9%	6%	12%	14%
TM512Y15_6 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	3	20%	18%	23%	20%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	4	27%	28%	29%	20%
threat, how much of a threat	Imminent threat	24%	28%	25%	25%
doesRussiapose to the United States?	Not sure	14%	14%	8%	13%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	Total	2000	800	741	233
	No threat	37%	31%	47%	36%
	2	13%	16%	13%	11%
TM512Y15_7 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	3	15%	16%	14%	19%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	4	8%	9%	7%	9%
threat, how much of a threat	Imminent threat	10%	12%	9%	8%
doesIsraelpose to the United States?	Not sure	17%	18%	10%	17%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	Total	2000	800	741	233
	No threat	6%	7%	2%	10%
	2	6%	7%	4%	8%
TM512Y15_11 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	3	15%	16%	14%	14%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	4	26%	25%	29%	24%
threat, how much of a threat doesNorth	Imminent threat	33%	31%	42%	30%
Koreapose to the United States?	Not sure	15%	14%	10%	14%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	No threat	30%	38%	21%	30%
	2	19%	18%	22%	22%
TM512Y15_16 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	3	20%	16%	25%	18%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	4	9%	6%	13%	6%
threat, how much of a threat	Imminent threat	5% 5%	5%	6%	6%
doesCubapose to the United States?	Not sure	18%	17%	13%	18%
	Total	2008	860	741	235
	i Otai	2000	000	, ¬1	233
	No threat	13%	14%	10%	19%
TM512Y15_17 - On a scale of 1 to 5, with one	2	12%	15%	10%	13%
being no threat and 5 being an imminent	3	20%	21%	21%	20%
threat, how much of a threat	3 4				
doesYemenpose to the United States?		16%	16%	19%	11%
	Imminent threat	14%	10%	20%	15%



	Not sure Total	25% 2008	25% 860	21% 741	23% 235
TM1048Y16_1 - Do you think that the leader	Hillary Clinton	42%	42%	50%	41%
of Saudi Arabia is supporting either Hillary Clinton or Donald Trump in the presidential	Donald Trump Neither candidate	13% 44%	13% 46%	14% 36%	16%
election?	Total	2008	46% 860	36% 741	43% 235
election:	TOLAI	2008	800	741	233
	Hillary Clinton	34%	35%	38%	30%
TM1048Y16_2 - Do you think that the leader	Donald Trump	18%	19%	19%	18%
of China is supporting either Hillary Clinton or Donald Trump in the presidential election?	Neither candidate	48%	46%	43%	52%
Or Donald Trump in the presidential elections	Total	2008	860	741	235
		0.60/	222/		2221
TM1048Y16_3 - Do you think that the leader	Hillary Clinton Donald Trump	36%	32% 16%	49% 11%	30% 13%
of Iran is supporting either Hillary Clinton or	Neither candidate	13% 51%	53%	41%	13% 57%
Donald Trump in the presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
	10tai	2000		, 12	
TNA1040V1C 4 Do you think that the lands	Hillary Clinton	15%	17%	14%	17%
TM1048Y16_4 - Do you think that the leader of Russia is supporting either Hillary Clinton	Donald Trump	51%	62%	48%	46%
or Donald Trump in the presidential election?	Neither candidate	33%	21%	38%	37%
- Donaid Trump in the presidential election:	Total	2008	860	741	235
TRA1040V1C F. Do wow think that the lands	Hillam Climban	C20/	710/	C10/	F00/
TM1048Y16_5 - Do you think that the leader of Mexico is supporting either Hillary	Hillary Clinton	62%	71%	61% 11%	58% 7%
Clinton or Donald Trump in the presidential	Donald Trump Neither candidate	7% 31%	4% 25%	28%	7% 34%
election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
				<u></u>	
TM1048Y16_6 - Do you think that the leader	Hillary Clinton	44%	65%	26%	42%
of United Kingdom is supporting either	Donald Trump	14%	7%	26%	10%
Hillary Clinton or Donald Trump in the	Neither candidate	42%	28%	48%	48%
presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
TM1048Y16_7 - Do you think that the leader	Hillary Clinton	37%	52%	24%	38%
of Germany is supporting either Hillary	Donald Trump	16%	10%	25%	18%
Clinton or Donald Trump in the presidential	Neither candidate	47%	38%	51%	44%
election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
TM1049Y16_1 - Do you think that the	Yes	30%	22%	44%	34%
government of Saudi Arabia is trying to	No .	70%	78%	56%	66%
influence the presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
TM1049Y16_2 - Do you think that the	Yes	30%	25%	38%	33%
government of China is trying to influence	No	70%	75%	62%	67%
the presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
TM1049Y16_3 - Do you think that the	Yes	31%	23%	45%	31%
government of Iran is trying to influence the	No	69%	77%	55%	69%
presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
TM1040V16 4 De + h-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	V	FF0/	CEO/	F10/	F.C.C.
TM1049Y16_4 - Do you think that the government of Russia is trying to influence	Yes No	55% 45%	65% 35%	51% 49%	56% 44%
the presidential election?	Total	2008	860	49% 741	235
and presidential elections	1000	_000	300	7.14	
TM1049Y16_5 - Do you think that the	Yes	32%	27%	43%	27%
government of Mexico is trying to influence	No	68%	73%	57%	73%
the presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235



TM1049Y16_6 - Do you think that the	Yes	19%	22%	18%	19%
government of United Kingdom is trying to	No	81%	78%	82%	81%
influence the presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
TM1049Y16 7 - Do you think that the	Yes	15%	14%	18%	17%
government of Germany is trying to	No	85%	86%	82%	83%
influence the presidential election?	Total	2008	860	741	235
·					
TM1050Y16_1 - How do you think Russia is	No	55%	54%	52%	63%
trying to influence the presidential election?	Yes	45%	46%	48%	37%
Do you think Russia is Publicly endorsing					
Trump?					
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying	Total	809	468	227	82
to influence the election and is supporting					
Trump					
TM1050Y16_2 - How do you think Russia is	No	80%	82%	74%	84%
trying to influence the presidential election?	Yes	20%	18%	26%	16%
Do you think Russia is Publicly criticizing	. 65	2070	2070	2070	2070
Clinton?					
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying	Total	809	468	227	82
to influence the election and is supporting					
Trump					
TMAOFOVAC 2. How do you think Durate in	N -	200/	220/	200/	200/
TM1050Y16_3 - How do you think Russia is trying to influence the presidential election?	No Yes	29% 71%	22% 78%	39% 61%	38% 62%
Do you think Russia is Hacking and releasing	165	7170	7070	01%	02%
information on Clinton?					
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying	Total	809	468	227	82
to influence the election and is supporting					
Trump					
TM1050Y16_4 - How do you think Russia is	No	72%	66%	81%	77%
trying to influence the presidential election?	Yes	28%	34%	19%	23%
Do you think Russia is Giving money to the					
campaign of Trump? *Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying	Total	900	468	227	82
to influence the election and is supporting	TOTAL	809	408	221	82
Trump					
··					
TM1050Y16_5 - How do you think Russia is	No	93%	97%	89%	87%
trying to influence the presidential election?	Yes	7%	3%	11%	13%
Do you think Russia is doing none of these?					
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying	Total	809	468	227	82
to influence the election and is supporting	Total	809	400	221	02
Trump					
TM10EEV16 1 How do you think Bussia is	No	E 00/	E20/	CEO/	A00/
TM1055Y16_1 - How do you think Russia is trying to influence the presidential election?	No Yes	58% 42%	52% 48%	65% 35%	48% 52%
Do you think Russia is Publicly endorsing	163	42/0	40/0	JJ/0	JZ/0
Clinton?					
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying	Total	184	68	80	27
to influence the election and is supporting	. 5 531	-51			-
Clinton					
			_		
	No	87%	81%	90%	92%

TM1055Y16_2 - How do you think Russia is trying to influence the presidential election? Do you think Russia is Publicly criticizing	Yes	13%	19%	10%	8%
Trump? *Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying to influence the election and is supporting Clinton	Total	184	68	80	27
TM1055Y16_3 - How do you think Russia is trying to influence the presidential election? Do you think Russia is Hacking and releasing information on Trump?	No Yes	75% 25%	79% 21%	65% 35%	84% 16%
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying to influence the election and is supporting Clinton	Total	184	68	80	27
TM1055Y16_4 - How do you think Russia is	No	69%	82%	56%	70%
trying to influence the presidential election? Do you think Russia is Giving money to the campaign of Clinton?	Yes	31%	18%	44%	30%
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying to influence the election and is supporting Clinton	Total	184	68	80	27
TM1055Y16_5 - How do you think Russia is	No	76%	78%	81%	68%
trying to influence the presidential election? Do you think Russia is doing none of these?	Yes	24%	22%	19%	32%
*Asked of those that feel that Russia is trying to influence the election and is supporting Clinton	Total	184	68	80	27
TM1051Y16 - Which of the following statements is closer to your opinion?	Donald Trump is working with Russia to release unflattering information on Hillary Clinton Donald Trump has no	43%	63%	16%	32%
*Asked of those who said that Russia is supporting Trump	involvement in Russia's release of unflattering information on Hillary Clinton	57%	37%	84%	68%
	Total	1067	552	362	104
TM1052Y16 - Which of the following	Hillary Clinton is working with Russia to release unflattering information on Donald Trump	47%	34%	67%	55%
statements is closer to your opinion? *Asked of those who said that Russia is supporting Clinton	Hillary Clinton has no involvement in Russia's release of unflattering information on Donald Trump	53%	66%	33%	45%
	Total	315	139	118	39
	Strongly encourage	7%	5%	13%	5%



TM1053Y16 - Should Donald Trump	Somewhat encourage	18%	8%	30%	25%
encourage or condemn Russia's actions in	Somewhat condemn	28%	25%	33%	24%
support of his campaign?	Strongly condemn	46%	63%	24%	46%
*Asked of those that said that Russia is					
supporting Trump and is trying to influence	Total	1014	538	334	97
the election in favor of Trump					
TM1054Y16 - Should Hillary Clinton	Strongly encourage	21%	31%	8%	19%
encourage or condemn Russia's actions in	Somewhat encourage	23%	36%	8%	13%
support of her campaign?	Somewhat condemn	23%	18%	23%	30%
*Asked of those that said that Russia is	Strongly condemn	33%	14%	60%	38%
supporting Clinton and is trying to influence the election in favor of Clinton	Total	281	128	104	34



IPSOS / REUTERS POLL DATA

Prepared by Ipsos Public Affairs

How to Calculate Bayesian Credibility Intervals

The calculation of credibility intervals assumes that Y has a binomial distribution conditioned on the parameter θ \, i.e., Y | θ ^Bin(n, θ), where n is the size of our sample. In this setting, Y counts the number of "yes", or "1", observed in the sample, so that the sample mean (\overline{y}) is a natural estimate of the true population proportion θ . This model is often called the likelihood function, and it is a standard concept in both the Bayesian and the Classical framework. The Bayesian ¹ statistics combines both the prior distribution and the likelihood function to create a posterior distribution. The posterior distribution represents our opinion about which are the plausible values for θ adjusted after observing the sample data. In reality, the posterior distribution is one's knowledge base updated using the latest survey information. For the prior and likelihood functions specified here, the posterior distribution is also a beta distribution ($\pi(\theta/y)^{\sim}\theta(y+a,n-y+b)$), but with updated hyper-parameters.

Our credibility interval for ϑ is based on this posterior distribution. As mentioned above, these intervals represent our belief about which are the most plausible values for ϑ given our updated knowledge base. There are different ways to calculate these intervals based on $\pi(\theta/y)$. Since we want only one measure of precision for all variables in the survey, analogous to what is done within the Classical framework, we will compute the largest possible credibility interval for any observed sample. The worst case occurs when we assume that a=1 and b=1 and y=n/2. Using a simple approximation of the posterior by the normal distribution, the 95% credibility interval is given by, approximately:

$$\bar{y} \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

For this poll, the Bayesian Credibility Interval was adjusted using standard weighting design effect 1+L=1.3 to account for complex weighting²

Examples of credibility intervals for different base sizes are below. Ipsos does not publish data for base sizes (sample sizes) below 100.

Sample size	Credibility intervals
2,000	2.5
1,500	2.9
1,000	3.5
750	4.1
500	5.0
350	6.0
200	7.9
100	11.2