

TABLE ONE: MAYORALTY HORSERACE

"If the municipal election was held tomorrow, who would you personally be MOST likely to vote for as Mayor?"

		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: All respondents	400	200	200	146	187	65	50	89	67	152	93	131	176
Weighted	400	197	203	144	168	86	54	88	66	148	97	129	174
Bev Longstaff	113 28%	52 26%	61 30%	47 33%	42 25%	24 28%	20 37%	23 26%	21 32%	40 27%	27 28%	36 28%	50 28%
David Bronconnier	65 16%	31 16%	34 17%	19 13%	28 16%	19 22%	6 11%	11 12%	14 22%	29 19%	14 15%	18 14%	33 19%
Ray Clark	43 11%	23 12%	20 10%	13 9%	15 9%	13 15%	5 9%	15 17%	2 3%	13 9%	13 13%	14 11%	16 9%
Richard Magnus	27 7%	19 10%	8 4%	9	15 9%	4 5%	1 2%	5 6%	6 8%	13 9%	5 5%	9	13 8%
Allan Hunter	6 2%	3 2%	3 1%	2 1%	3 2%	1 2%	0	3 3%	0	2 1%	1 1%	4 3%	1 1%
Jim Delay	3 1%	3 1%	0	2 1%	1 1%	0	0	1 1%	0	2 1%	0	2 1%	1 1 1%
Ricky Boucher	2 0	1 0	1 0	0	2 1%	0	0	0	0	2 1%	0	1 1 1%	1 0
Someone else	8 2%	7 4%	1 0	2	5 3%	1 2%	0	1 2%	1 1%	6 4%	2 2%	2 1%	4 2%
None of these/wouldn't vote/spoil ballot	64 16%	33 17%	32 16%	27 18%	30 18%	8 9%	9	14 16%	8 13%	26 17%	17 18%	19 15%	28 16%
(DK/NS)	69 17%	26 13%	43 21%	24 17%	28 17%	16 18%	13 25%	16 18%	14 21%	16 11%	19 19%	24 19%	27 15%
DECIDED VOTERS SUMMARY	17/0	1370	21/0	17 /0	17/0	10 /0	25/0	10 /0	21/0	1170	1970	1976	13/0
Base: All respondents	265	139	126	95	122	47	29	59	44	108	58	87	120
Weighted	266	139	128	93	110	63	32	59	44	106	61	86	120
Bev Longstaff	113 42%	52 37%	61 48%	47 50%	42 38%	24 38%	20 63%	23 39%	21 48%	40 38%	27 44%	36 42%	50 41%
David Bronconnier	65 25%	31 23%	34 27%	19 20%	28 25%	19 30%	6 19%	11 19%	14 33%	29 27%	14 23%	18 21%	33 28%
Ray Clark	43 16%	23 17%	20 16%	13 14%	15 14%	13 21%	5 15%	15 26%	2 4%	13 12%	13 21%	14 16%	16 14%
Richard Magnus	27 10%	19 14%	8 6%	9	15 13%	4 6%	1 3%	5 8%	6 13%	13 13%	5 7%	9	13 11%
Allan Hunter	6 2%	3 2%	3 2%	2 2%	3 2%	1 2%	0 -	3 5%	0	2 2%	1 2%	4 5%	1 1%
Jim Delay	3 1%	3 2%	0 -	2 2%	1 1%	0	0 -	1 2%	0	2 2%	0 -	2 2%	1 1%
Ricky Boucher	2	1 1%	1 1%	0	2 2%	0	0	0	0	2 2%	0	1 1%	1 1 1%
Someone else	8 3%	7 5%	1 1 1%	2 2%	5 4%	1 2%	0	1 2%	1 2%	6 5%	2 3%	2 2%	4 3%



TABLE TWO: CANDIDATE AWARENESS

"When you think of possible candidates for Mayor, which ones come to mind?"

Total Maile Female 18-34 35-54 55+ 4\$30K \$30K \$50K \$50K \$10K \$			Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
Meight Mo		Total	Male	Female	18-34		55+	<\$30K			\$70K+		Post Sec.	Univ.
DAVID BRONCONNIER	Base: All respondents	400	200	200	146	187	65	50	89	67	152	93	131	176
Total Awareness	Weighted	400	197	203	144	168	86	54	88	66	148	97	129	174
Chaided Awareness	DAVID BRONCONNIER	l l												
-Unaided Awareness 108 52 56 29 46 32 8 16 19 54 15 32 61 -Aided Awareness 145 75 69 34 75 36 15 30 22 56 41 44 60 -Aided Awareness 147 70 78 81 46 18 31 42 25 38 41 53 34 -Aided Awareness 37 37 38 38 44 45 38 38 44 45 38 -Aided Awareness 78 78 78 81 46 18 31 42 25 38 41 53 54 -Aided Awareness 78 78 78 81 46 18 31 42 25 38 41 53 54 -Aided Awareness 78 78 78 78 78 88 78 88 79 88 88	Total Awareness													
- Aided Awareness														
-Aided Awareness	- Unaided Awareness													
Name														
Namare 147 70 78 81 46 18 31 42 25 38 41 53 54 37% 35% 3	- Aided Awareness							-						
RAY CLARK Total Awareness 76% 28% 21% 57% 48% 38% 26% 42% 41% 31% 31% 26% 26% 42% 41% 31% 31% 26% 26% 26% 42% 41% 31% 31% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26	Harmen													
RAY CLARK Total Awareness 303 153 150 98 128 76 88% 73% 68% 82% 77% 77% 77% 81% 72% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 88% 73% 68% 82% 77% 77% 81% 72% 77% 77% 81% 72% 77% 77% 81% 72% 77% 77% 97% 68% 78% 14% 88% 4% 78% 88% 7	Unaware													
Total Awareness 303 153 150 98 128 76 39 60 54 113 74 104 125	DAYCIADK	37%	35%	38%	5/%	28%	21%	5/%	48%	38%	26%	42%	41%	31%
- Unaided Awareness		202	152	150	00	120	76	20	60	ΕΛ	112	7.1	104	105
-Unaided Awareness 29 18 11 3 14 12 4 3 5 12 5 11 13 13 14 12 4 3 5 12 5 11 13 13 14 12 4 3 5 12 5 5 11 13 13 14 14 15 15 15 14 15 15	Total Awareness													
- Aided Awareness	Unaided Awareness													
-Aided Awareness	- Offaided Awareness													
Heath	- Aided Awareness													
Unaware 97	7 Hada 7 Waldilood													
ALLAN HUNTER	Unaware													
Total Awareness														
-Unaided Awareness	ALLAN HUNTER													
- Unaided Awareness	Total Awareness	49	29	20	16	23	11	8	11	9	15	4	19	26
- Aided Awareness		12%	15%	10%	11%	13%	12%	14%	12%	13%	10%	4%	15%	15%
- Aided Awareness	- Unaided Awareness	3	1	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	0
12% 14% 9% 11% 12% 11% 14% 11% 13% 10% 4% 13% 15%		1%	1%			1%	2%	-		-		-		-
Unaware 350 87% 167 183 127 145 76 46 77 46 77 57 132 93 108 149 96% 149 85% 140 90 140	- Aided Awareness													
BFV LONGSTAFF S5%														
DK/NS 1	Unaware													
Description			85%											
BEV LONGSTAFF	(DK/NS)		1					_				_	-	
Total Awareness 354 88% 175 88% 178 88% 119 88% 154 82% 80 92% 45 92% 72 83% 60 82% 139 90% 78 94% 117 81% 158 91% 91%	DEVI ONOCTATE	0	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	
- Unaided Awareness		054	1 475	470	440	454		1 4-	70	00	100	70	447	450
- Unaided Awareness	i otai Awareness													
- Aided Awareness 26% 26% 26% 18% 35% 25% 16% 15% 31% 36% 16% 22% 35% - Aided Awareness 249 123 125 93 95 58 36 59 39 87 63 89 97 62% 62% 62% 65% 57% 68% 67% 66% 59% 59% 65% 69% 55% Unaware 46 22 24 26 14 7 9 16 6 8 19 11 16	Unaided Awaranasa													
- Aided Awareness 249 123 125 93 95 58 36 59 39 87 63 89 97 62% 62% 62% 65% 57% 68% 67% 66% 59% 59% 65% 69% 55% Unaware 46 22 24 26 14 7 9 16 6 8 19 11 16	- Unalued Awareness													
62% 62% 62% 65% 57% 68% 67% 66% 59% 59% 65% 69% 55% Unaware 46 22 24 26 14 7 9 16 6 8 19 11 16	- Aided Awareness													
Unaware 46 22 24 26 14 7 9 16 6 8 19 11 16	- Alueu Awalelless													
	Unaware													
	- Onamaio	12%	11%	12%	18%	8%	8%	17%	18%	10%	6%	19%	9%	9%

detailed tables

TABLE TWO: CANDIDATE AWARENESS

"When you think of possible candidates for Mayor, which ones come to mind?"

		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K-	\$50K-	\$70K+	HS or	Post Sec.	Univ.
								<\$50K	<\$70K		Less		
RICHARD MAGNUS													
Total Awareness	194	108	85	50	97	47	15	42	40	75	41	65	88
	48%	55%	42%	35%	58%	54%	28%	47%	61%	51%	42%	50%	51%
- Unaided Awareness	40	20	20	8	23	8	4	2	8	21	6	12	21
	10%	10%	10%	6%	14%	9%	8%	3%	13%	14%	6%	9%	12%
- Aided Awareness	154	88	66	42	73	39	11	39	32	54	35	53	67
	39%	45%	32%	29%	44%	45%	21%	45%	48%	37%	36%	41%	38%
Unaware	204	89	116	94	70	40	39	47	26	72	56	64	84
	51%	45%	57%	65%	41%	46%	72%	53%	39%	49%	58%	50%	48%
(DK/NS)	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	0	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
DEREK WILKEN													
Total Awareness	30	16	15	17	9	4	6	9	6	7	11	9	10
	8%	8%	7%	12%	5%	5%	12%	10%	9%	5%	11%	7%	6%
- Aided Awareness	30	16	15	17	9	4	6	9	6	7	11	9	10
	8%	8%	7%	12%	5%	5%	12%	10%	9%	5%	11%	7%	6%
Unaware	370	182	188	127	159	82	48	80	60	140	86	120	164
	92%	92%	93%	88%	95%	95%	88%	90%	91%	95%	89%	93%	94%
JIM DELAY													
Total Awareness	61	38	24	23	26	12	7	14	11	27	12	24	26
	15%	19%	12%	16%	15%	14%	13%	16%	16%	18%	12%	18%	15%
- Aided Awareness	61	38	24	23	26	12	7	14	11	27	12	24	26
	15%	19%	12%	16%	15%	14%	13%	16%	16%	18%	12%	18%	15%
Unaware	338	160	178	121	141	74	47	74	55	120	85	105	148
	84%	81%	88%	84%	84%	86%	87%	84%	84%	81%	88%	82%	85%
(DK/NS)	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
RICKY BOUCHER													
Total Awareness	58	23	35	25	19	13	9	13	9	23	13	15	30
	15%	12%	17%	18%	11%	15%	17%	14%	13%	16%	14%	12%	17%
- Aided Awareness	58	23	35	25	19	13	9	13	9	23	13	15	30
	15%	12%	17%	18%	11%	15%	17%	14%	13%	16%	14%	12%	17%
Unaware	341	174	167	119	148	73	45	76	57	125	83	114	145
	85%	88%	82%	82%	88%	85%	83%	86%	87%	84%	85%	88%	83%
(DK/NS)	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-



159 158
150
158
34 22%
55 35%
15
9% 23
14% 31
20%
89 56%
38 24%

		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: Aware of this candidate	250	126	124	63	135	51	21	46	41	112	52	77	121
Weighted	253	128	125	63	121	68	23	46	41	110	56	76	121
DAVID BRONCONNIER													
Strongly approve	60	26	34	17	21	21	5	15	15	22	12	20	28
	24%	20%	27%	27%	18%	31%	21%	33%	36%	20%	22%	26%	23%
Somewhat approve	88	49	39	22	43	23	8	12	11	45	14	29	45
	35%	38%	31%	34%	36%	33%	34%	25%	26%	41%	25%	38%	37%
Somewhat disapprove	19	11	8	6	7	5	1	4	4	8	5	5	8
	7%	9%	6%	10%	6%	8%	6%	9%	10%	7%	10%	7%	7%
Strongly disapprove	21	12	9	3	13	5	2	4	3	10	5	5	11
	8%	9%	7%	5%	10%	8%	9%	8%	6%	9%	9%	7%	9%
(DK/NS)	66	30	36	15	37	13	7	12	9	25	20	17	29
,	26%	23%	28%	24%	30%	20%	31%	26%	22%	23%	35%	22%	24%
Summary	•	•									•		
Top Box - Approve	147	75	73	39	65	44	13	27	25	67	26	49	72
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	58%	58%	58%	62%	53%	65%	54%	58%	62%	61%	47%	64%	60%
Low Box - Disapprove	40	23	17	9	20	11	3	8	7	18	10	11	19
••	16%	18%	13%	14%	17%	16%	15%	16%	16%	17%	18%	14%	16%



		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: Aware of this candidate	301	154	147	100	142	57	36	61	54	116	70	106	125
Weighted	303	153	150	98	128	76	39	60	54	113	74	104	125
RAY CLARK													
Strongly approve	33 11%	16 11%	17 11%	7 7%	14 11%	12 16%	4 11%	9 15%	5 9%	12 10%	10 13%	11 10%	12 10%
Somewhat approve	116 38%	65 42%	51 34%	42 43%	42 33%	32 42%	16 41%	24 40%	23	42 37%	26 34%	39 37%	51 41%
Somewhat disapprove	46	23	23	10	21	15	5	6	43% 6	23	10	13	22
Strongly disapprove	15% 17	15% 7	15% 10	10% 4	16% 8	19% 4	13%	9% 2	12% 4	20% 7	14%	13% 6	18% 7
	6%	4%	7%	4%	6%	5%	4%	3%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%
(DK/NS)	92 30%	43 28%	49 33%	35 36%	44 34%	13 17%	12 30%	19 32%	16 29%	30 27%	25 34%	35 33%	33 26%
Summary													
Top Box - Approve	149	81	68	49	55	44	21	33	28	53	35	50	64
	49%	53%	45%	50%	43%	58%	52%	55%	52%	47%	48%	48%	51%
Low Box - Disapprove	62	29	33	14	29	19	7	8	10	30	14	20	29
	21%	19%	22%	14%	22%	25%	18%	13%	19%	26%	19%	19%	23%

		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Danie Access of this are distant.	404	400	00	54	407	0.5	4.4	40	40	77	20	67	00
Base: Aware of this candidate	194	108	86	51	107	35	14	43	40	77	39	67	88
Weighted	194	108	85	50	97	47	15	42	40	75	41	65	88
RICHARD MAGNUS													
Strongly approve	27	17	10	5	14	8	1	6	8	9	6	8	13
37	14%	16%	11%	10%	14%	17%	9%	14%	20%	12%	15%	12%	14%
Somewhat approve	57	37	20	14	26	17	4	10	10	27	12	17	29
	30%	34%	23%	29%	27%	37%	27%	25%	26%	36%	29%	26%	32%
Somewhat disapprove	32	20	12	9	15	8	3	6	5	15	6	15	12
	17%	18%	14%	17%	16%	17%	21%	14%	13%	20%	13%	23%	13%
Strongly disapprove	14	5	10	3	5	5	2	6	1	4	4	4	7
0, 11	7%	4%	12%	6%	5%	11%	14%	13%	2%	5%	9%	6%	8%
(DK/NS)	63	30	34	19	36	8	5	14	16	21	14	21	28
,	33%	27%	40%	38%	38%	17%	29%	33%	39%	28%	34%	33%	32%
Summary							•						
Top Box - Approve	84	54	29	19	39	25	5	16	19	36	18	25	41
•	43%	50%	34%	39%	41%	54%	36%	39%	46%	48%	44%	38%	47%
Low Box - Disapprove	47	24	22	12	21	13	5	12	6	18	9	19	19
• •	24%	23%	26%	24%	21%	29%	35%	28%	15%	25%	22%	29%	21%



		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: Aware of this candidate	49	29	20	16	25	8	8	11	9	15	4	20	25
Weighted	49	29	20	16	23	11	8	11	9	15	4	19	26
ALLAN HUNTER											l.		
Strongly approve	4	1	3	1	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	3	1
	8%	5%	14%	7%	8%	13%	-	18%	-	6%	-	16%	4%
Somewhat approve	11	9	2	2	6	4	0	4	3	4	1	4	7
	23%	31%	11%	11%	25%	37%	-	39%	32%	29%	23%	19%	27%
Somewhat disapprove	7	4	3	3	3	1	2	0	2	1	0	2	5
	14%	14%	14%	18%	12%	13%	23%	-	25%	7%	-	9%	20%
Strongly disapprove	5	4	1	1	3	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	4
	10%	14%	4%	6%	12%	13%	29%	9%	10%	6%	-	7%	14%
(DK/NS)	22	11	11	9	10	3	4	4	3	8	3	10	9
,	44%	36%	56%	58%	44%	25%	48%	35%	33%	52%	77%	49%	35%
Summary													
Top Box - Approve	16	11	5	3	7	5	0	6	3	5	1	7	8
	32%	36%	25%	18%	32%	50%	-	57%	32%	34%	23%	35%	31%
Low Box - Disapprove	12	8	4	4	5	3	4	1	3	2	0	3	9
	24%	28%	19%	24%	24%	25%	52%	9%	35%	13%	-	16%	34%

		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: Aware of this candidate	31	17	14	18	10	3	6	9	6	8	10	10	11
Weighted	30	16	15	17	9	4	6	9	6	7	11	9	10
DEREK WILKEN													
Strongly approve	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	3%	6%	-	5%	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	9%
Somewhat approve	10	7	3	9	2	0	3	5	0	3	3	4	4
	34%	47%	21%	49%	20%	-	45%	53%	-	37%	27%	39%	37%
Somewhat disapprove	8	5	3	4	3	1	1	3	1	2	2	5	1
	26%	30%	22%	22%	30%	33%	20%	33%	16%	25%	22%	49%	9%
Strongly disapprove	2	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
	8%	-	16%	6%	-	33%	20%	-	18%	-	12%	12%	-
(DK/NS)	9	3	6	3	4	1	1	1	3	3	4	0	5
	29%	18%	41%	18%	50%	33%	15%	15%	51%	37%	39%	-	45%
Summary													
Top Box - Approve	11	8	3	9	2	0	3	5	1	3	3	4	5
	37%	53%	21%	54%	20%	-	45%	53%	15%	37%	27%	39%	45%
Low Box - Disapprove	10	5	6	5	3	3	3	3	2	2	4	6	1
	34%	30%	38%	28%	30%	67%	40%	33%	34%	25%	34%	61%	9%



		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: Aware of this candidate	62	39	23	24	28	9	7	14	10	28	11	25	26
Weighted	61	38	24	23	26	12	7	14	11	27	12	24	26
JIM DELAY													
Strongly approve	1 2%	0	1 6%	0	0	1 11%	1 18%	0	0	0	0	1 6%	0
Somewhat approve	17	10	7	10	6	1	2	5	3	7	4	8	6
Somewhat disapprove	29% 9	27% 4	31% 5	43% 3	22% 4	11% 3	28% 1	38% 1	26% 3	25% 4	36% 2	32% 3	22% 4
Strongly disapprove	15% 1	10%	23%	13% 1	14% 0	22% 0	18% 1	6% 0	29% 0	14% 0	18% 0	12% 0	16% 1
	1%	2%	-	4%	-	- 7	13%	-	-	-	-	-	4%
(DK/NS)	32 53%	23 61%	9 40%	9 41%	16 64%	7 56%	2 24%	8 56%	5 45%	16 61%	6 46%	12 50%	15 59%
Summary				•			•						
Top Box - Approve	19 31%	10 27%	9 37%	10 43%	6 22%	3 22%	3 46%	5 38%	3 26%	7 25%	4 36%	9 38%	6 22%
Low Box - Disapprove	10 16%	5 12%	5 23%	4 17%	4 14%	3 22%	2 31%	1 6%	3 29%	4 14%	2 18%	3 12%	5 19%

		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: Aware of this candidate	57	24	33	25	21	10	8	12	8	24	12	15	30
Weighted	58	23	35	25	19	13	9	13	9	23	13	15	30
RICKY BOUCHER													
Strongly approve	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1%	-	2%	-	5%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	3%
Somewhat approve	11	3	8	4	4	3	5	3	2	1	5	5	2
	19%	12%	24%	16%	24%	20%	50%	23%	23%	4%	34%	31%	7%
Somewhat disapprove	17	7	10	9	3	4	1	3	2	7	3	3	11
	29%	29%	29%	36%	15%	30%	12%	28%	26%	32%	25%	19%	36%
Strongly disapprove	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	2%	-	5%	-	-	-	-	4%	-	6%	-
(DK/NS)	29	14	15	12	10	7	4	5	4	14	6	7	16
	49%	59%	42%	47%	52%	50%	38%	43%	50%	61%	41%	44%	55%
Summary													
Top Box - Approve	12	3	9	4	5	3	5	4	2	1	5	5	3
	21%	12%	27%	16%	28%	20%	50%	30%	23%	4%	34%	31%	10%
Low Box - Disapprove	18	7	11	9	4	4	1	3	2	8	3	4	11
	30%	29%	31%	36%	19%	30%	12%	28%	26%	36%	25%	25%	36%



TABLE FOUR: BALLOT NEGATIVITY

" Thinking about this same list of possible candidates for Mayor, is there anyone who you feel you COULD NEVER VOTE FOR?"

		Ge	nder		Age			Inco	ome			Education	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$30K- <\$50K	\$50K- <\$70K	\$70K+	HS or Less	Post Sec.	Univ.
Base: All respondents	400	200	200	146	187	65	50	89	67	152	93	131	176
Weighted	400	197	203	144	168	86	54	88	66	148	97	129	174
Bev Longstaff	50	29	20	13	23	13	4	8	12	20	10	19	20
	12%	15%	10%	9%	14%	15%	7%	9%	18%	14%	11%	15%	12%
David Bronconnier	17	9	8	2	10	5	1	4	3	6	3	9	5
	4%	4%	4%	1%	6%	6%	2%	5%	4%	4%	3%	7%	3%
Ricky Boucher	17	7	10	2	7	8	1	3	2	7	3	6	9
	4%	4%	5%	1%	4%	9%	2%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	5%
Richard Magnus	16	5	11	6	8	3	3	4	4	3	1	11	5
•	4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%	2%	1%	8%	3%
Ray Clark	16	8	8	3	9	4	1	3	3	8	3	7	6
•	4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	5%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%
Derek Wilken	13	8	6	0	5	8	1	2	1	7	0	3	11
	3%	4%	3%	-	3%	9%	3%	3%	2%	5%	-	2%	6%
Allan Hunter	13	6	7	1	6	5	1	3	2	5	2	1	9
	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%
Jim Delay	12	9	3	1	5	7	1	1	1	8	0	2	10
•	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	8%	3%	1%	2%	5%	-	1%	6%
Someone else	17	9	9	7	6	4	2	3	0	9	6	5	6
	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	-	6%	6%	4%	4%
None/Could vote for any of them	156	76	80	63	54	39	23	37	29	52	42	44	70
•	39%	38%	40%	44%	32%	45%	42%	42%	44%	35%	43%	34%	40%
(DK/NS)	120	57	63	47	55	17	18	28	16	44	31	35	54
,	30%	29%	31%	33%	33%	20%	33%	32%	24%	30%	31%	27%	31%