BC Reid Express - October 2002 (06-0584-83)

detailed tables

The most recent statistics show that the Lower Mainland has grown by approximately 25% over the last ten years and is expected to reach a total population of 2.7 million by 2020. Do you think your local government is doing a good job or poor job accommodating growth and development while protecting the livability of your neighbourhood in terms of green space and traffic?

* small base

		Region					Gender Age			Union Household			Education			Income				
	Total	LM	V/B	SLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-	35-	55+	Priv	Pub	No	HS	PSe	Unv	<30	30-	60+
									34	54						С			60	
Base: Lower Mainland respondents only	500	500	240	260	0	0	246	254	123	220	149	57	86	350	152	162	185	101	138	211
Weighted	446	446	194	252	_**	-**	219	226	159	173	108	51*	75*	313	138	148	159	91*	128	185
Very good job	11%	11%	14%	8%	-	-	10%	12%	9%	12%	11%	4%	20%	10%	8%	12%	12%	6%	6%	17%
Somewhat good job	44%	44%	46%	42%	-	-	44%	44%	49%	36%	48%	35%	31%	47%	45%	44%	42%	50%	42%	39%
Somewhat poor job	27%	27%	23%	30%	-	-	27%	27%	26%	31%	23%	38%	28%	25%	28%	26%	27%	24%	30%	28%
Very poor job	18%	18%	15%	20%	-	-	18%	17%	16%	20%	16%	23%	20%	16%	18%	16%	19%	19%	22%	14%
(DK/NS)	1%	1%	2%	0	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Summary																				
Top Box - Good job	54%	54%	60%	50%	-	-	53%	55%	57%	48%	59%	39%	51%	57%	52%	56%	54%	56%	47%	56%
Low Box - Poor job	45%	45%	38%	50%	-	-	45%	44%	42%	51%	39%	61%	49%	41%	46%	42%	45%	43%	52%	43%

Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from your local municipality, would you say that overall you get good value or poor value for your tax dollars?

* small hase

				Region			Gender		Age			Union Household			Education			Income		
	Total	LM	V/B	SLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-	35-	55+	Priv	Pub	No	HS	PSe	Unv	<30	30-	60+
									34	54						С			60	
Base: All respondents	800	500	240	260	101	199	401	399	193	356	235	96	130	563	261	279	258	180	230	311
Weighted	800	446	194	252	145*	209	387	413	269	313	204	95*	130	563	269	289	241	189	238	302
Very good value	11%	11%	12%	10%	14%	9%	12%	10%	7%	10%	18%	9%	14%	11%	10%	9%	14%	15%	9%	10%
Fairly good value	56%	56%	54%	58%	59%	55%	55%	57%	51%	57%	62%	53%	55%	57%	52%	56%	62%	49%	54%	63%
Fairly poor value	20%	20%	20%	21%	16%	22%	20%	20%	27%	19%	12%	21%	23%	19%	20%	26%	13%	21%	24%	17%
Very poor value	10%	11%	10%	11%	7%	9%	10%	9%	11%	11%	6%	11%	7%	10%	14%	7%	8%	13%	10%	8%
Don't pay taxes	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0
(DK/NS)	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	-	3%	4%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%
Summary																				
Top Box - Good value	67%	67%	66%	67%	72%	64%	67%	68%	58%	68%	80%	62%	69%	68%	62%	65%	76%	64%	62%	73%
Low Box - Poor value	30%	31%	30%	32%	24%	31%	30%	30%	38%	30%	18%	33%	30%	29%	34%	33%	21%	33%	34%	25%

Now, as you may or may not know, many municipalities across BC are finding it more and more challenging to maintain services at current levels. There are a number of different options to choose from in order to deal with this situation. If it came right down to it, would you prefer that your municipality?

* small base

Region Gender Age Union Household Education Income SLM Isl 35-55+ HS PSe 30-60+ Total LM V/B Int М 18-Priv Pub No Unv <30 34 54 60 235 261 258 Base: All respondents 500 240 260 199 401 399 356 130 563 279 180 230 311 800 101 193 96 Weighted 800 446 194 252 145' 209 387 413 269 313 204 95* 130 563 269 289 241 189 238 302 Raise property taxes to maintain the SAME 47% 50% 56% 45% 46% 41% 48% 46% 45% 49% 46% 46% 49% 47% 41% 46% 55% 44% 46% 51% level of city services you now receive 47% 45% 45% 45% 47% Keep property taxes at the same level and 37% 51% 47% 52% 45% 49% 50% 48% 46% 52% 48% 41% 51% 47% 43% reduce some of the services (DK/NS) 6% 6% 7% 5% 7% 7% 7% 5% 4% 6% 9% 7% 6% 6% 7% 7% 5% 5% 7% 6%

BC Reid Express - October 2002 (06-0584-83)

detailed tables

In general, do you support or oppose the idea of designating a certain amount of existing green space in your local parks so that dog owners can have off-leash areas for their pets?
* small base

		Region					Gender Age			Union Household			Education			Income				
	Total	LM	V/B	SLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-	35-	55+	Priv	Pub	No	HS	PSe	Unv	<30	30-	60+
									34	54						С			60	
Base: All respondents	800	500	240	260	101	199	401	399	193	356	235	96	130	563	261	279	258	180	230	311
Weighted	800	446	194	252	145*	209	387	413	269	313	204	95*	130	563	269	289	241	189	238	302
Strongly support	42%	41%	46%	37%	44%	43%	35%	48%	46%	40%	39%	40%	39%	43%	41%	43%	42%	43%	42%	43%
Somewhat support	33%	36%	33%	37%	29%	29%	36%	30%	31%	33%	34%	40%	31%	32%	34%	31%	33%	29%	36%	32%
Somewhat oppose	9%	7%	6%	8%	13%	11%	10%	9%	10%	8%	10%	8%	9%	10%	9%	10%	9%	12%	7%	9%
Strongly oppose	13%	14%	12%	15%	12%	14%	16%	11%	11%	16%	12%	10%	19%	13%	13%	14%	13%	12%	14%	13%
(DK/NS)	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%
Summary	•	,	,	•	•	,	,	,	,	•	•	,	•	,	•	,	,	•	,	
Top Box - Support	75%	76%	79%	74%	73%	72%	71%	78%	77%	74%	73%	80%	71%	74%	76%	74%	75%	72%	77%	75%
Low Box - Oppose	23%	21%	18%	23%	24%	25%	26%	20%	22%	24%	23%	18%	28%	22%	22%	24%	21%	24%	21%	23%