The Romanow Commission on the future of healthcare in Canada is likely to recommend that big parts of the federal government's future budget surplus should be spent on improving and expanding specific health services for Canadians. I'm going to read you some of these services. For each one, I'd like you to tell me if more spending in this area should be a top priority for more spending, an important priority for more spending, a moderate priority, or not much of a priority: Reducing waiting lists for diagnostic services like MRI's and CAT-Scans?

				REG	ION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
Should be a top priority for more spending	636 63%	88 66%	55 56%	44 65%	257 68%	145 59%	48 61%	172 59%	261 66%	191 65%	294 61%	342 66%
Should be an important priority for more spending	263	30	29	17	87	78	22	84	90	83	124	139
-	26%	23%	30%	25%	23%	32%	28%	29%	23%	28%	26%	27%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	83	10	11	6	29	20	7	31	34	15	53	30
	8%	8%	11%	9%	8%	8%	9%	11%	9%	5%	11%	6%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	13	2	3	1	4	2	1	6	5	1	10	3
	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0	2%	1%
Don't know/Refused	6	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	3	2	4	2
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0	1%	1%	1%	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Priority (Top2Box)	899	118	83	61	344	224	70	256	351	275	418	482
	90%	89%	86%	90%	91%	90%	90%	87%	89%	93%	86%	93%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	96	13	14	7	33	22	8	37	39	17	63	33
	10%	10%	14%	10%	9%	9%	10%	12%	10%	6%	13%	6%

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361
Should be a top priority for more spending	636	119	517	81	159	221	175	155	213	228
	63%	60%	64%	62%	68%	65%	60%	64%	64%	63%
Should be an important priority for more spending	263	61	202	35	61	85	81	70	85	92
	26%	31%	25%	27%	26%	25%	28%	29%	25%	26%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	83	18	65	10	13	32	27	13	36	29
	8%	9%	8%	8%	5%	9%	9%	5%	11%	8%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	13	1	12	2	0	2	7	2	1	10
	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	2%	1%	0	3%
Don't know/Refused	6	0	6	1	2	1	1	3	0	2
	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0	0	1%	-	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY				1						
Priority (Top2Box)	899	181	719	116	220	306	255	225	298	320
, , , ,	90%	91%	90%	90%	94%	90%	88%	92%	89%	89%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	96	19	77	12	13	35	34	15	37	39
	10%	9%	10%	9%	6%	10%	12%	6%	11%	11%



The Romanow Commission on the future of healthcare in Canada is likely to recommend that big parts of the federal government's future budget surplus should be spent on improving and expanding specific health services for Canadians. I'm going to read you some of these services. For each one, I'd like you to tell me if more spending in this area should be a top priority for more spending, an important priority for more spending, a moderate priority, or not much of a priority: Creating a new national HOMECARE program to help seniors and others who need healthcare services delivered at home?

				REG	ION				AGE		GE	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
Should be a top priority for more spending	487	58	41	27	199	117	45	106	197	177	217	271
	49%	44%	43%	40%	52%	47%	58%	36%	50%	60%	45%	52%
Should be an important priority for more spending	333	44	35	23	108	99	24	117	129	81	167	167
oponumg	33%	34%	36%	34%	28%	40%	31%	40%	33%	28%	34%	32%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	149	24	17	13	63	25	6	63	57	27	82	67
-Ferramag	15%	18%	18%	20%	17%	10%	8%	21%	15%	9%	17%	13%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	28	4	4	3	9	6	2	8	8	9	16	12
3	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Don't know/Refused	4	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	1
	0	1%	-	1%	0	-	-	-	0	0	1%	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Priority (Top2Box)	821	102	76	50	307	216	70	223	326	258	383	437
/ /	82%	77%	79%	74%	81%	87%	90%	76%	83%	88%	79%	85%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	178	29	21	17	72	32	8	71	66	36	98	79
, , , ,	18%	22%	21%	25%	19%	13%	10%	24%	17%	12%	20%	15%

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361
Should be a top priority for more spending	487	101	387	75	130	151	130	134	174	147
	49%	50%	48%	58%	55%	44%	45%	55%	52%	41%
Should be an important priority for more spending	333	70	264	39	74	117	99	86	108	124
1,1	33%	35%	33%	30%	32%	34%	34%	35%	32%	34%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	149	26	124	13	28	62	43	21	45	74
-p	15%	13%	15%	10%	12%	18%	15%	9%	13%	20%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	28	4	25	1	2	10	15	2	7	14
openang .	3%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	5%	1%	2%	4%
Don't know/Refused	4	0	4	0	1	1	2	0	1	2
	0	-	0	-	0	0	1%	-	0	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Priority (Top2Box)	821	170	651	115	205	268	230	219	282	271
	82%	85%	81%	89%	87%	79%	79%	90%	84%	75%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	178	29	148	15	30	72	58	24	52	87
	18%	15%	18%	11%	13%	21%	20%	10%	16%	24%



The Romanow Commission on the future of healthcare in Canada is likely to recommend that big parts of the federal government's future budget surplus should be spent on improving and expanding specific health services for Canadians. I'm going to read you some of these services. For each one, I'd like you to tell me if more spending in this area should be a top priority for more spending, an important priority for more spending, a moderate priority, or not much of a priority: Investing in new, more efficient methods for providing primary healthcare services?

				REG	ION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
Should be a top priority for more spending	441	58	39	22	180	97	46	110	183	141	218	222
	44%	44%	40%	32%	47%	39%	59%	37%	46%	48%	45%	43%
Should be an important priority for more spending	366	44	33	28	129	111	21	127	128	103	174	192
	37%	33%	34%	42%	34%	45%	27%	43%	32%	35%	36%	37%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	162	22	20	17	63	33	8	53	64	41	78	83
	16%	16%	20%	24%	16%	13%	11%	18%	16%	14%	16%	16%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	25	6	3	1	7	6	2	2	15	6	10	15
	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%
Don't know/Refused	9	4	2	0	1	1	1	1	5	3	4	5
	1%	3%	2%	-	0	0	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Priority (Top2Box)	807	102	72	50	309	208	66	237	310	244	392	414
	80%	77%	74%	74%	81%	84%	85%	81%	79%	83%	81%	80%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	187	27	23	18	70	38	11	56	78	47	88	98
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	19%	21%	24%	26%	18%	16%	14%	19%	20%	16%	18%	19%

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361
Should be a top priority for more spending	441	91	350	68	102	147	122	113	148	152
	44%	46%	44%	53%	43%	43%	42%	47%	44%	42%
Should be an important priority for more spending	366	80	286	44	90	129	101	90	133	123
-pg	37%	40%	36%	34%	38%	38%	35%	37%	40%	34%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	162	28	134	15	37	59	50	34	45	73
	16%	14%	17%	12%	16%	17%	17%	14%	14%	20%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	25	1	24	2	5	5	13	5	7	9
.,	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	2%
Don't know/Refused	9	0	9	0	2	2	5	0	3	4
	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Priority (Top2Box)	807	171	636	112	192	276	223	203	280	275
- 7 (-1 7	80%	86%	79%	87%	81%	81%	77%	84%	84%	76%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	187	29	158	17	42	64	63	39	52	82
	19%	14%	20%	13%	18%	19%	22%	16%	16%	23%



The Romanow Commission on the future of healthcare in Canada is likely to recommend that big parts of the federal government's future budget surplus should be spent on improving and expanding specific health services for Canadians. I'm going to read you some of these services. For each one, I'd like you to tell me if more spending in this area should be a top priority for more spending, an important priority for more spending, a moderate priority, or not much of a priority: Investing in prevention services to make Canadians in general healthier?

				REG	SION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
Should be a top priority for more spending	420	57	38	29	169	92	35	111	161	139	211	209
	42%	43%	39%	43%	44%	37%	45%	38%	41%	47%	44%	40%
Should be an important priority for more spending	330	36	28	20	110	110	24	100	128	96	160	170
3	33%	27%	29%	30%	29%	44%	32%	34%	33%	33%	33%	33%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	213	28	27	16	85	41	16	75	85	50	98	115
oponag	21%	21%	28%	23%	22%	17%	20%	26%	22%	17%	20%	22%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	33	7	2	3	14	5	2	7	17	7	12	20
-h	3%	5%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	4%
Don't know/Refused	6	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	3	3
	1%	3%	2%	-	0	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
D: " (T 0D)					070			0.10				070
Priority (Top2Box)	750	94	66	50	279	202	60	212	289	235	371	379
	75%	71%	69%	73%	73%	82%	77%	72%	74%	80%	77%	73%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	245	35	29	18	100	45	18	82	101	57	110	136
	24%	27%	30%	27%	26%	18%	23%	28%	26%	19%	23%	26%

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361
Should be a top priority for more spending	420	78	343	58	85	133	143	105	141	154
	42%	39%	43%	45%	36%	39%	49%	43%	42%	43%
Should be an important priority for more spending	330	73	257	45	95	100	87	88	112	110
	33%	36%	32%	35%	40%	29%	30%	36%	33%	30%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	213	40	172	22	44	95	51	45	74	77
-pg	21%	20%	21%	17%	19%	28%	18%	18%	22%	21%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	33	9	24	3	10	10	8	4	8	17
3	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%
Don't know/Refused	6	0	6	1	1	2	2	0	0	3
	1%	-	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	-	-	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Priority (Top2Box)	750	150	600	103	180	234	230	194	254	263
(TOPESON)	75%	75%	75%	80%	76%	68%	79%	80%	76%	73%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	245	49	196	25	55	105	59	49	82	95
riot a priority (Lonebox)	24%	25%	24%	20%	23%	31%	20%	20%	24%	26%



The Romanow Commission on the future of healthcare in Canada is likely to recommend that big parts of the federal government's future budget surplus should be spent on improving and expanding specific health services for Canadians. I'm going to read you some of these services. For each one, I'd like you to tell me if more spending in this area should be a top priority for more spending, an important priority for more spending, a moderate priority, or not much of a priority: Creating a new national PHARMACARE program to help people pay for their prescription drugs?

				REG	SION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
Should be a top priority for more spending	329	45	26	25	134	67	31	90	124	107	151	177
	33%	34%	27%	37%	35%	27%	41%	31%	32%	36%	31%	34%
Should be an important priority for more spending	300	34	28	22	113	82	21	93	108	92	138	162
	30%	26%	29%	32%	30%	33%	26%	32%	27%	31%	29%	31%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	262	38	34	18	91	61	20	89	110	59	142	120
3	26%	29%	35%	27%	24%	25%	26%	30%	28%	20%	29%	23%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	107	14	8	3	40	38	5	21	50	33	52	55
	11%	10%	9%	4%	11%	15%	7%	7%	13%	11%	11%	11%
Don't know/Refused	4	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	3
	0	1%	1%	-	0	-	-	-	0	1%	0	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY		I										
Priority (Top2Box)	629	79	54	47	247	149	52	183	232	199	289	339
, , , ,	63%	60%	56%	69%	65%	60%	67%	62%	59%	68%	60%	66%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	370	52	42	21	131	98	25	110	160	92	194	175
, , ,	37%	39%	43%	31%	35%	40%	33%	38%	41%	31%	40%	34%

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361
Should be a top priority for more spending	329	62	267	62	84	99	80	107	113	91
	33%	31%	33%	48%	36%	29%	28%	44%	34%	25%
Should be an important priority for more spending	300	73	226	41	72	93	93	83	99	99
	30%	37%	28%	32%	31%	27%	32%	34%	30%	28%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	262	49	213	15	57	113	77	40	82	121
	26%	25%	26%	12%	24%	33%	27%	17%	25%	34%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	107	15	93	10	20	37	40	12	40	47
- F	11%	7%	12%	8%	8%	11%	14%	5%	12%	13%
Don't know/Refused	4	0	4	1	3	0	0	1	0	2
	0	-	0	1%	1%	-	-	0	-	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Priority (Top2Box)	629	135	494	104	156	192	173	190	212	190
(,	63%	68%	62%	80%	66%	56%	59%	78%	63%	53%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	370	64	305	25	76	150	118	53	123	168
(20.2201)	37%	32%	38%	19%	32%	44%	41%	22%	37%	47%



The Romanow Commission on the future of healthcare in Canada is likely to recommend that big parts of the federal government's future budget surplus should be spent on improving and expanding specific health services for Canadians. I'm going to read you some of these services. For each one, I'd like you to tell me if more spending in this area should be a top priority for more spending, an important priority for more spending, a moderate priority, or not much of a priority: More money for nurses?

				REG	ION				AGE		GEN	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
Should be a top priority for more spending	313	37	21	16	135	70	33	88	107	110	141	172
	31%	28%	22%	24%	36%	28%	43%	30%	27%	37%	29%	33%
Should be an important priority for more spending	297	36	29	19	107	85	22	99	117	79	143	154
3	30%	27%	30%	28%	28%	34%	28%	34%	30%	27%	30%	30%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	291	34	33	27	108	73	17	81	125	80	150	141
3	29%	26%	34%	39%	28%	29%	22%	28%	32%	27%	31%	27%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	92	23	14	6	26	17	5	24	40	24	45	47
3	9%	17%	14%	9%	7%	7%	6%	8%	10%	8%	9%	9%
Don't know/Refused	9	2	0	0	4	3	1	2	5	2	5	4
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Priority (Top2Box)	610	74	50	35	242	154	55	187	224	188	284	326
	61%	56%	51%	52%	64%	62%	71%	64%	57%	64%	59%	63%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	383	57	47	33	134	90	22	105	165	104	195	188
	38%	43%	49%	48%	35%	36%	28%	36%	42%	35%	40%	36%

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361
Should be a top priority for more spending	313	56	257	55	73	96	87	91	100	100
	31%	28%	32%	43%	31%	28%	30%	38%	30%	28%
Should be an important priority for more spending	297	65	232	31	68	101	96	70	99	113
	30%	33%	29%	24%	29%	29%	33%	29%	30%	31%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	291	64	227	36	73	111	71	65	104	104
-F	29%	32%	28%	27%	31%	33%	24%	27%	31%	29%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	92	14	78	8	19	29	34	15	29	40
- F	9%	7%	10%	6%	8%	9%	12%	6%	9%	11%
Don't know/Refused	9	0	9	0	3	4	3	1	3	4
	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Priority (Top2Box)	610	121	489	86	141	197	183	161	199	212
	61%	61%	61%	67%	60%	58%	63%	66%	59%	59%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	383	78	305	43	92	141	105	80	133	144
p (20.1220/)	38%	39%	38%	33%	39%	41%	36%	33%	40%	40%



The Romanow Commission on the future of healthcare in Canada is likely to recommend that big parts of the federal government's future budget surplus should be spent on improving and expanding specific health services for Canadians. I'm going to read you some of these services. For each one, I'd like you to tell me if more spending in this area should be a top priority for more spending, an important priority for more spending, a moderate priority, or not much of a priority: More money for doctors?

				REG	SION			AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
Should be a top priority for more spending	181	21	11	12	78	35	23	46	64	65	74	107
	18%	16%	12%	18%	21%	14%	29%	16%	16%	22%	15%	21%
Should be an important priority for more spending	258	26	22	23	95	69	23	74	94	85	118	141
4.4.4.9	26%	20%	23%	35%	25%	28%	30%	25%	24%	29%	24%	27%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	369	48	44	22	130	103	22	106	152	105	188	181
-p	37%	36%	45%	32%	34%	42%	28%	36%	39%	36%	39%	35%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	189	35	19	11	76	39	10	67	81	39	102	88
	19%	26%	20%	16%	20%	16%	13%	23%	21%	13%	21%	17%
Don't know/Refused	5	3	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	1	4	1
	0	2%	-	-	0	0	-	0	1%	0	1%	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY								l				
District (Texasple)	400	40	0.4	0.5	470	404	10	440	450	450	404	0.40
Priority (Top2Box)	439	48	34	35	173	104	46	119	158	150	191	248
Not a side to the ODE)	44%	36%	35%	52%	46%	42%	59%	41%	40%	51%	39%	48%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	558 569/	82 62%	63 65%	33 48%	206 54%	143 58%	32 41%	173	233 59%	144	289 60%	269 52%
	56%	02%	05%	46%	54%	56%	41%	59%	59%	49%	00%	52%

	REGION TYPE			EDU	CATION	INCOME				
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361
Should be a top priority for more spending	181	39	142	40	41	60	40	53	68	48
	18%	20%	18%	31%	18%	17%	14%	22%	20%	13%
Should be an important priority for more spending	258	51	208	34	55	84	82	62	77	96
	26%	25%	26%	26%	23%	25%	28%	26%	23%	27%
Should be a moderate priority for more spending	369	79	289	36	97	136	99	88	129	135
	37%	40%	36%	28%	41%	40%	34%	36%	38%	37%
Should be not much of a priority for more spending	189	30	160	19	41	59	69	39	59	79
	19%	15%	20%	15%	17%	17%	24%	16%	17%	22%
Don't know/Refused	5	1	4	1	1	2	1	1	2	2
	0	0	0	1%	0	1%	0	0	1%	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Priority (Top2Box)	439	90	350	73	97	144	122	115	146	144
(TOPEDON)	44%	45%	44%	57%	41%	42%	42%	48%	43%	40%
Not a priority (Low2Box)	558	109	449	55	138	195	167	126	188	214
Not a priority (LOWZDOX)	56%	55%	56%	42%	59%	57%	58%	52%	56%	59%



Now I'd like to know, of the healthcare services that you have said should be a top priority for more money, which one should be the greatest priority?

		REGION							AGE	GENDER		
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
GREATEST PRIORITY SUMMARY TABLE												
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	150	100	100	300	252	100	291	416	275	483	519
Weighted Base	1002	132	97	68	380	247	77	294	393	294	484	518
More money for nurses	54	7	2	3	24	14	4	18	19	16	26	28
	5%	6%	2%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%
More money for doctors	39	4	0	4	18	10	4	11	11	17	16	24
·	4%	3%	-	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	3%	6%	3%	5%
Creating a new national PHARMACARE program to help people pay for their prescription drugs	74	13	5	3	28	13	11	21	24	24	37	37
, p	7%	10%	5%	4%	7%	5%	15%	7%	6%	8%	8%	7%
Creating a new national HOMECARE program to help seniors and others who need healthcare services delivered at home	152	15	12	7	59	46	14	25	65	59	65	87
	15%	11%	12%	10%	16%	18%	18%	8%	16%	20%	13%	17%
Reducing waiting lists for diagnostic services like MRI's and CAT-Scans	268	36	21	26	110	55	20	81	109	72	126	141
	27%	27%	21%	38%	29%	22%	26%	27%	28%	25%	26%	27%
Investing in prevention services to make Canadians in general healthier	146	23	22	12	45	36	8	52	52	40	74	72
odiladiano in general neditilei	15%	17%	23%	17%	12%	15%	10%	18%	13%	13%	15%	14%
Investing in new, more efficient methods for providing primary healthcare services	134	16	21	6	52	28	11	35	65	33	71	63
,	13%	12%	22%	8%	14%	11%	15%	12%	16%	11%	15%	12%
Don't know/Refused	135	18	14	8	44	46	5	52	49	32	70	66
	14%	14%	15%	12%	12%	18%	7%	18%	12%	11%	14%	13%



Now I'd like to know, of the healthcare services that you have said should be a top priority for more money, which one should be the greatest priority?

	REGION TYPE				EDU	CATION		INCOME			
	TOTAL	Rural	Urban	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +	
GREATEST PRIORITY SUMMARY TABLE											
Base: All Respondents											
Unweighted Base	1002	211	791	125	237	345	290	251	331	356	
Weighted Base	1002	199	803	129	235	341	290	243	335	361	
More money for nurses	54	13	42	5	14	23	13	13	19	19	
	5%	6%	5%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	5%	
More money for doctors	39 4%	10 5%	30 4%	11 9%	4 2%	17 5%	7 3%	13 5%	12 3%	14 4%	
Creating a new national PHARMACARE program to help people pay for their prescription drugs	74	13	61	17	19	20	16	29	26	11	
1 0	7%	6%	8%	13%	8%	6%	5%	12%	8%	3%	
Creating a new national HOMECARE orogram to help seniors and others who need healthcare services delivered at home	152	35	117	32	38	43	40	40	55	45	
	15%	18%	15%	24%	16%	13%	14%	16%	16%	12%	
Reducing waiting lists for diagnostic services ike MRI's and CAT-Scans	268	48	220	20	72	99	76	51	80	116	
	27%	24%	27%	16%	30%	29%	26%	21%	24%	32%	
nvesting in prevention services to make Canadians in general healthier	146	21	125	11	23	47	63	28	56	55	
· ·	15%	10%	16%	8%	10%	14%	22%	12%	17%	15%	
nvesting in new, more efficient methods for providing primary healthcare services	134	30	104	20	33	46	35	34	40	56	
-	13%	15%	13%	16%	14%	13%	12%	14%	12%	15%	
Don't know/Refused	135	30	105	14	34	46	39	35	48	45	
	14%	15%	13%	11%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	12%	

