

# Detailed Tables

*Would you say that you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not at all concerned that your children or other children, if they are qualified and choose to do so, will not be able to attend a publicly funded university in Ontario due to issues such as increased fees or lack of available spaces?*

	Total	AGE			EDUCATION			GENDER		INCOME		
		18 to 34 years	35 to 54 years	55 or older	<=HS	Post. Sec.	Univ.	Male	Female	<\$30K	\$30K to \$60K	\$60K +
Base: All respondents Weighted	1002	258	435	298	301	350	346	501	501	189	318	405
	1002	372	346	276	313	359	327	485	517	200	323	395
Very concerned	654	236	243	172	193	258	202	297	357	142	208	252
	65%	63%	70%	62%	62%	72%	62%	61%	69%	71%	64%	64%
Somewhat concerned	257	101	80	74	89	81	85	134	123	44	88	104
	26%	27%	23%	27%	29%	23%	26%	28%	24%	22%	27%	26%
Not very concerned	47	20	12	15	15	13	19	26	22	9	15	19
	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%
Not at all concerned	41	15	11	13	13	6	21	26	14	4	12	19
	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	2%	6%	5%	3%	2%	4%	5%
(DK/NS)	3	0	1	2	2	1	0	2	1	1	0	1
	0	-	0	1%	1%	0	-	0	0	1%	-	0
Summary												
Top2Box [Concerned]	911	337	323	246	282	339	287	431	480	186	296	356
	91%	91%	93%	89%	90%	95%	88%	89%	93%	93%	92%	90%
Low2Box [Not concerned]	88	35	22	28	28	19	40	52	36	13	27	38
	9%	9%	6%	10%	9%	5%	12%	11%	7%	7%	8%	10%

	Total	REGION						REGION TYPE		AREA CODE	
		Ham/Niag	South West	Eastern	Northern	Ont (ex. GTA)	GTA	Urban	Rural	416	905
Base: All respondents Weighted	1002	175	175	150	100	600	402	848	154	208	192
	1002	88	252	200	82	622	380	846	156	199	179
Very concerned	654	63	171	118	61	413	241	549	105	138	102
	65%	72%	68%	59%	74%	66%	63%	65%	68%	69%	57%
Somewhat concerned	257	19	63	53	14	149	108	223	34	46	61
	26%	21%	25%	27%	17%	24%	28%	26%	22%	23%	34%
Not very concerned	47	3	11	14	3	32	15	37	10	7	8
	5%	4%	5%	7%	4%	5%	4%	4%	7%	4%	5%
Not at all concerned	41	3	5	15	4	27	13	34	6	8	6
	4%	4%	2%	7%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%
(DK/NS)	3	0	1	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	2
	0	-	0	-	-	0	1%	0	-	-	1%
Summary											
Top2Box [Concerned]	911	81	234	171	75	562	349	772	139	185	163
	91%	93%	93%	86%	91%	90%	92%	91%	89%	93%	91%
Low2Box [Not concerned]	88	6	17	29	7	59	29	71	17	15	14
	9%	7%	7%	14%	9%	9%	8%	8%	11%	7%	8%

# Detailed Tables

*Would you say that you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not at all concerned that your children or other children, if they are qualified and choose to do so, will not be able to attend a publicly funded university in Ontario due to issues such as increased fees or lack of available spaces?*

	Total	CHILDREN <=18 IN HOUSEHOLD			POST-SECONDARY ATTENDANCE			
		Ages 0-13	Ages 14-15	Ages 16-18	Children	Personally	No one	
Base: All respondents	1002	268	87	96	116	115	780	
Weighted	1002	265	75	85	108	136	767	
Very concerned	654 65%	182 69%	50 67%	54 64%	70 65%	101 74%	485 63%	
Somewhat concerned	257 26%	59 22%	22 30%	22 26%	26 24%	33 24%	204 27%	
Not very concerned	47 5%	18 7%	3 3%	5 6%	6 5%	1 1%	40 5%	
Not at all concerned	41 4%	6 2%	0 -	2 3%	5 4%	2 1%	35 5%	
(DK/NS)	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	2 0	
Summary								
Top2Box [Concerned]	911 91%	241 91%	72 97%	76 90%	97 90%	134 98%	689 90%	
Low2Box [Not concerned]	88 9%	24 9%	3 3%	8 9%	11 10%	3 2%	75 10%	

# Detailed Tables

*In your opinion has there been an increase or decrease in the quality of post-secondary education in Ontario since the Conservatives were first elected in 1995?*

	Total	AGE			EDUCATION			GENDER		INCOME		
		18 to 34 years	35 to 54 years	55 or older	<=HS	Post. Sec.	Univ.	Male	Female	<\$30K	\$30K to \$60K	\$60K +
Base: All respondents	1002	258	435	298	301	350	346	501	501	189	318	405
Weighted	1002	372	346	276	313	359	327	485	517	200	323	395
Increase in quality	223	100	68	53	84	79	59	125	98	47	78	83
	22%	27%	20%	19%	27%	22%	18%	26%	19%	23%	24%	21%
Decrease in quality	474	172	173	127	140	175	158	210	264	103	156	183
	47%	46%	50%	46%	45%	49%	48%	43%	51%	51%	48%	46%
Stayed the same	196	58	71	66	60	63	72	112	84	34	63	87
	20%	16%	20%	24%	19%	17%	22%	23%	16%	17%	20%	22%
(DK/NS)	109	42	34	30	29	42	37	39	70	17	25	42
	11%	11%	10%	11%	9%	12%	11%	8%	14%	8%	8%	11%
Summary												
Net increase [Increase - Decrease]	-251	-72	-105	-73	-56	-97	-99	-85	-166	-56	-79	-100
	-25%	-19%	-30%	-27%	-18%	-27%	-30%	-17%	-32%	-28%	-24%	-25%

	Total	REGION						REGION TYPE		AREA CODE	
		Ham/Niag	South West	Eastern	Northern	Ont (ex. GTA)	GTA	Urban	Rural	416	905
Base: All respondents	1002	175	175	150	100	600	402	848	154	208	192
Weighted	1002	88	252	200	82	622	380	846	156	199	179
Increase in quality	223	23	53	51	23	150	74	189	34	31	42
	22%	26%	21%	26%	28%	24%	19%	22%	22%	16%	24%
Decrease in quality	474	39	119	95	36	289	185	400	74	108	76
	47%	45%	47%	48%	43%	46%	49%	47%	47%	54%	42%
Stayed the same	196	17	58	36	15	126	70	160	36	28	41
	20%	20%	23%	18%	18%	20%	18%	19%	23%	14%	23%
(DK/NS)	109	8	22	18	9	57	52	97	12	32	19
	11%	9%	9%	9%	11%	9%	14%	11%	8%	16%	11%
Summary											
Net increase [Increase - Decrease]	-251	-16	-66	-44	-13	-139	-112	-211	-40	-77	-34
	-25%	-18%	-26%	-22%	-16%	-22%	-29%	-25%	-25%	-38%	-19%

# Detailed Tables

Using a grading scale ranging from A to F where A represents an 'outstanding' grade and F represents a 'failing' grade, how would you grade the Ontario government's performance in dealing with the funding of post-secondary education such as universities in Ontario?

	Total	AGE			EDUCATION			GENDER		INCOME		
		18 to 34 years	35 to 54 years	55 or older	<=HS	Post. Sec.	Univ.	Male	Female	<\$30K	\$30K to \$60K	\$60K +
Base: All respondents Weighted	1002	258	435	298	301	350	346	501	501	189	318	405
	1002	372	346	276	313	359	327	485	517	200	323	395
A Outstanding	36 4%	11 3%	7 2%	17 6%	17 6%	8 2%	10 3%	21 4%	15 3%	9 5%	15 5%	8 2%
B	118 12%	49 13%	39 11%	29 11%	37 12%	38 11%	44 13%	70 14%	49 9%	22 11%	31 10%	54 14%
C	333 33%	146 39%	114 33%	70 26%	108 35%	131 36%	92 28%	152 31%	180 35%	67 33%	116 36%	128 32%
D	214 21%	83 22%	69 20%	61 22%	64 20%	71 20%	80 24%	107 22%	108 21%	28 14%	82 25%	92 23%
E	65 6%	13 3%	25 7%	27 10%	15 5%	26 7%	23 7%	37 8%	28 5%	14 7%	21 6%	27 7%
F Failing	200 20%	66 18%	79 23%	55 20%	52 17%	73 20%	75 23%	84 17%	116 22%	50 25%	49 15%	80 20%
(DK/NS)	35 4%	5 1%	12 3%	17 6%	20 6%	12 3%	3 1%	14 3%	21 4%	11 5%	9 3%	7 2%
Summary												
Top3Box [A-C]	488 49%	206 55%	161 47%	117 42%	162 52%	177 49%	146 45%	243 50%	244 47%	98 49%	163 50%	190 48%
Top2Box [A-B]	155 15%	60 16%	47 14%	46 17%	54 17%	46 13%	54 16%	91 19%	64 12%	31 16%	47 14%	63 16%
Low3Box [D-F]	479 48%	161 43%	173 50%	143 52%	131 42%	170 47%	178 54%	227 47%	252 49%	92 46%	151 47%	198 50%
Low2Box [E-F]	265 26%	79 21%	104 30%	82 30%	67 22%	100 28%	98 30%	121 25%	144 28%	64 32%	69 22%	106 27%

# Detailed Tables

Using a grading scale ranging from A to F where A represents an 'outstanding' grade and F represents a 'failing' grade, how would you grade the Ontario government's performance in dealing with the funding of post-secondary education such as universities in Ontario?

	Total	REGION						REGION TYPE		AREA CODE	
		Ham/Niag	South West	Eastern	Northern	Ont (ex. GTA)	GTA	Urban	Rural	416	905
Base: All respondents Weighted	1002	175	175	150	100	600	402	848	154	208	192
	1002	88	252	200	82	622	380	846	156	199	179
A Outstanding	36 4%	4 4%	12 5%	2 1%	4 5%	23 4%	14 4%	32 4%	5 3%	6 3%	8 5%
B	118 12%	8 9%	20 8%	27 14%	5 7%	61 10%	58 15%	108 13%	10 6%	28 14%	30 17%
C	333 33%	31 35%	87 35%	76 38%	26 32%	220 35%	112 30%	282 33%	51 33%	45 22%	67 38%
D	214 21%	17 20%	55 22%	42 21%	21 25%	136 22%	78 21%	177 21%	38 24%	55 28%	23 13%
E	65 6%	5 5%	15 6%	16 8%	5 6%	40 7%	24 6%	52 6%	13 8%	8 4%	15 8%
F Failing	200 20%	20 23%	51 20%	31 15%	19 24%	121 20%	79 21%	166 20%	34 22%	47 24%	31 17%
(DK/NS)	35 4%	2 3%	11 4%	6 3%	1 1%	20 3%	15 4%	29 3%	6 4%	10 5%	5 3%
Summary											
Top3Box [A-C]	488 49%	43 49%	119 47%	105 53%	36 44%	304 49%	184 48%	422 50%	66 42%	79 39%	105 59%
Top2Box [A-B]	155 15%	12 14%	32 13%	29 15%	10 12%	83 13%	72 19%	140 17%	15 9%	34 17%	38 21%
Low3Box [D-F]	479 48%	42 48%	121 48%	89 44%	45 55%	298 48%	181 48%	395 47%	84 54%	111 55%	69 38%
Low2Box [E-F]	265 26%	25 29%	66 26%	47 23%	24 30%	162 26%	103 27%	218 26%	47 30%	55 28%	46 25%

# Detailed Tables

Using a grading scale ranging from A to F where A represents an 'outstanding' grade and F represents a 'failing' grade, how would you grade the Ontario government's performance in dealing with the funding of post-secondary education such as universities in Ontario?

		CHILDREN <=18 IN HOUSEHOLD			POST-SECONDARY ATTENDANCE			TYPE OF POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION STUDENTS SHOULD GET	
	Total	Ages 0-13	Ages 14-15	Ages 16-18	Children	Personally	No one	College	University
Base: All respondents Weighted									
	1002	268	87	96	116	115	780	419	434
	1002	265	75	85	108	136	767	426	437
A Outstanding	36 4%	9 4%	2 3%	3 3%	2 2%	5 4%	29 4%	14 3%	19 4%
B	118 12%	26 10%	7 10%	8 9%	12 12%	11 8%	97 13%	49 12%	58 13%
C	333 33%	84 32%	24 32%	34 40%	38 35%	56 41%	243 32%	142 33%	140 32%
D	214 21%	63 24%	16 22%	16 19%	25 23%	29 21%	161 21%	101 24%	86 20%
E	65 6%	9 3%	5 6%	5 6%	6 6%	8 6%	51 7%	25 6%	29 7%
F Failing	200 20%	65 25%	17 23%	19 22%	24 22%	25 19%	151 20%	80 19%	92 21%
(DK/NS)	35 4%	8 3%	3 5%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	34 4%	16 4%	12 3%
Summary									
Top3Box [A-C]	488 49%	120 45%	33 44%	45 53%	53 49%	72 53%	369 48%	205 48%	217 50%
Top2Box [A-B]	155 15%	35 13%	9 13%	11 13%	15 14%	17 12%	126 16%	63 15%	77 18%
Low3Box [D-F]	479 48%	137 52%	38 51%	40 47%	55 51%	62 46%	364 47%	205 48%	208 48%
Low2Box [E-F]	265 26%	74 28%	22 29%	24 28%	30 28%	33 24%	202 26%	105 25%	121 28%