Do you believe that the former Prime Minister Jean Chrétien should be called to testify and explain what he knows about the Sponsorship Program at a public enquiry?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

				REG	ION				AGE		GEN	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	ı	J	K
Base: All Respondents (Interviews completed	on/after Februar	y 12, 2004)										
Unweighted Base	737	148	73	43	318	94	61	203	312	204	375	362
Weighted Base	744	153	77*	32*	333	102*	48*	221	293	213	393	351
Yes	669	134	71	26	299	93	45	194	265	194	356	313
	90%	88%	93%	82%	90%	91%	95% C	88%	90%	91%	90%	89%
No	60	17	4	3	25	8	2	23	20	17	34	26
	8%	11%	5%	11%	8%	8%	4%	10%	7%	8%	9%	7%
Don't know/Refused	16	2	1	2	9	1	1	5	8	2	3	13
	2%	1%	2%	7% AE	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	4% J

Do you believe that the former Prime Minister Jean Chrétien should be called to testify and explain what he knows about the Sponsorship Program at a public enquiry?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDUC	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<hs< td=""><td>HS</td><td>Post sec</td><td>University</td><td><\$30K</td><td>\$30K-<\$60K</td><td>\$60K +</td></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
Base: All Respondents (Interviews completed	on/after February 1	2, 2004)								
Unweighted Base	588	464	124	58	144	231	154	143	176	189
Weighted Base	591	472	119	54*	144	237	155	147	171	194
Yes	533 90%	424 90%	109 92%	49 91%	133 93%	215 91%	135 87%	134 91%	159 93%	171 88%
No	45 8%	38 8%	7 6%	2 3%	8 5%	20 8%	16 10%	9 6%	8 5%	19 10%
Don't know/Refused	13 2%	11 2%	2 2%	3 6% E	3 2%	2 1%	5 3%	4 2%	4 2%	4 2%



Now based on what you have seen, read or heard whom do you think is MOST to blame for this?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K * small base

				REG	SION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K
Base: All Respondents (Interviews completed	on/after Februa	ry 12, 2004)										
Unweighted Base	737	148	73	43	318	94	61	203	312	204	375	362
Weighted Base	744	153	77*	32*	333	102*	48*	221	293	213	393	351
Jean Chrétien the Prime Minister at the time	218 29%	45 30%	22 29%	8 26%	103 31%	28 27%	11 23%	58 26%	84 29%	72 34%	126 32%	92 26%
Paul Martin the Finance Minister at the time	162 22%	36 24%	13 16%	2 7%	78 23%	23 22%	9 20%	58 26%	66 23%	36 17%	81 21%	81 23%
		С		1 70	С	С	2070	1	2370			
Alfonso Gagliano the Minister of Public Works at the time	116	30	12	4	45	16	8	33	44	37	68	47
	16%	20%	16%	12%	13%	16%	16%	15%	15%	17%	17%	13%
Public Servants who worked at the Department or Public Works	52	13	2	3	20	11	4	16	23	12	31	21
	7%	8%	2%	9%	6%	10%	9%	7%	8%	6%	8%	6%
All of the above	90	11	15	3	39	14	8	17	35	34	50	40
	12%	7%	19% A	10%	12%	13%	17% A	8%	12%	16% G	13%	11%
Don't know/Refused	108	17	13	11	48	11	7	40	41	23	37	71
	14%	11%	17%	36%	14%	11%	15%	18%	14%	11%	9%	20%
				ABDEF								J



Now based on what you have seen, read or heard whom do you think is MOST to blame for this?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I * small base

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
Base: All Respondents (Interviews completed of	on/after February 1	12, 2004)								
Unweighted Base	588	464	124	58	144	231	154	143	176	189
Weighted Base	591	472	119	54*	144	237	155	147	171	194
Jean Chr,tien the Prime Minister at the time	174	137	38	12	51	68	44	35	59	59
	30%	29%	32%	22%	35%	29%	28%	24%	35% G	30%
Paul Martin the Finance Minister at the time	122	107	15	13	33	52	23	35	34	41
	21%	23% B	13%	24%	23%	22%	15%	24%	20%	21%
Alfonso Gagliano the Minister of Public Works at the time	86	75	11	6	18	34	29	19	20	40
	15%	16%	9%	10%	12%	14%	19%	13%	12%	20% H
Public Servants who worked at the Department or Public Works	44	35	8	6	7	17	15	14	14	10
	7%	7%	7%	10%	5%	7%	10%	10%	8%	5%
All of the above	73	48	24	9	10	31	22	13	16	26
	12%	10%	20% A	16%	7%	13%	14%	9%	9%	13%
Don't know/Refused	92	70	22	9	25	35	22	30	28	18
	16%	15%	19%	17%	18%	15%	14%	20%	17%	9%
								1	1	



Some people say that the Government should not call a federal election until an investigation is complete and what happened with Sponsorship Program has been fully explained to Canadians. Other people say the Government can call an election before the issue is fully investigated because this will give Canadians the ability to have their say on the issue. Which statement is closer to your personal point of view?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K * small base

				REG	ION				AGE		GEN	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J	K
Base: All Respondents (Interviews completed	on/after Februar	ry 12, 2004)										
Unweighted Base	737	148	73	43	318	94	61	203	312	204	375	362
Weighted Base	744	153	77*	32*	333	102*	48*	221	293	213	393	351
Should not call a federal election until an investigation is complete	483	93	51	20	222	62	33	144	188	137	239	243
, g	65%	61%	67%	63%	67%	61%	70%	65%	64%	64%	61%	69% J
Government can call an election before the issue is fully investigated	242	57	24	11	102	34	13	74	97	68	144	98
, ,	32%	37%	31%	33%	31%	34%	27%	33%	33%	32%	37% K	28%
Don't know/Refused	20 3%	2 2%	1 2%	1 4%	8 3%	5 5%	1 3%	3 1%	8 3%	8 4%	10 3%	10 3%

Some people say that the Government should not call a federal election until an investigation is complete and what happened with Sponsorship Program has been fully explained to Canadians. Other people say the Government can call an election before the issue is fully investigated because this will give Canadians the ability to have their say on the issue. Which statement is closer to your personal point of view?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
Base: All Respondents (Interviews completed	on/after February 1	2, 2004)								
Unweighted Base	588	464	124	58	144	231	154	143	176	189
Weighted Base	591	472	119	54*	144	237	155	147	171	194
Should not call a federal election until an investigation is complete	382	300	82	34	95	160	92	99	106	127
	65%	64%	69%	62%	66%	67%	60%	68%	62%	65%
Government can call an election before the issue is fully investigated	193	159	34	18	45	72	57	42	61	64
, 0	33%	34%	28%	34%	31%	31%	36%	29%	36%	33%
Don't know/Refused	16 3%	13 3%	3 3%	2 4%	3 2%	5 2%	6 4%	5 4%	4 2%	3 1%



Thinking of how you feel right now, if FEDERAL election were held tomorrow, which of the following parties' candidates would you, yourself, be most likely to support?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

				REG	SION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K
DECIDED VOTER (Leaners Included)												
Base: Decided Voters - Leaners Include												
Unweighted Base	928	117	90	80	352	206	83	256	383	270	441	487
Weighted Base	875	118	87*	56*	339	212	64*	261	345	253	437	438
The Conservative Party	234	37	50	16	90	20	20	45	104	81	131	102
	27%	32%	58%	28%	26%	10%	32%	17%	30%	32%	30%	23%
		E	ACDEF	E	E		E		G	G	K	
The Liberals	302	32	17	16	140	66	31	92	118	85	139	163
	35%	27%	20%	29%	41%	31%	47%	35%	34%	34%	32%	37%
					ABCE		ABCE					
The New Democratic Party	152	31	7	19	70	17	8	52	59	40	74	78
	17%	27%	8%	34%	21%	8%	12%	20%	17%	16%	17%	18%
		BEF		BDEF	BE							
The Bloc Québécois	95	0	0	0	0	95	0	33	34	27	52	43
	11%	-	-	-	-	45%	-	13%	10%	11%	12%	10%
						ABCDF						
The Green Party	41	10	1	1	23	6	1	22	12	6	17	24
	5%	9%	2%	2%	7%	3%	1%	9%	3%	3%	4%	5%
		BEF			E			HI				
Other party	51	7	11	3	17	8	5	17	18	14	24	27
	6%	6%	13%	6%	5%	4%	8%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%
			DE									



Thinking of how you feel right now, if FEDERAL election were held tomorrow, which of the following parties' candidates would you, yourself, be most likely to support?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1
DECIDED VOTER (Leaners Included)										
Base: Decided Voters - Leaners Included										
Unweighted Base	928	731	197	100	225	340	261	236	293	296
Weighted Base	875	700	175	92*	210	325	247	226	274	280
The Conservative Party	234	179	55	23	51	96	64	45	70	89
	27%	26%	31%	25%	24%	30%	26%	20%	26%	32%
										G
The Liberals	302	255	47	36	66	101	98	86	82	99
	35%	36%	27%	39%	32%	31%	40%	38%	30%	35%
		В					E			
The New Democratic Party	152	116	37	17	35	57	42	45	52	43
	17%	17%	21%	18%	17%	18%	17%	20%	19%	15%
The Black O. (b.(ce))	05	70	40	44	07	00	00	00	40	05
The Bloc Québécois	95	79	16	11	27	28	29	20	42	25
	11%	11%	9%	12%	13%	9%	12%	9%	15%	9%
T. 0 B.			40				•	•	GI	
The Green Party	41	32	10	1	11	20	9	8	14	16
	5%	5%	6%	1%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	6%
						C				
Other party	51	40	11	4	20	22	5	23	15	8
	6%	6%	6%	5%	9%	7%	2%	10%	5%	3%



As you may or may not be aware, Auditor General Sheila Fraser recently released her audit of the Federal Government's Sponsorship Program. The audit showed that the Government paid out \$100 million to a handful of advertising firms that resulted in little or no value to Canadians. Serious questions have been raised about where this money went and who was responsible. Would you say that you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware or not at all aware of the Auditor General's report?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

^{*} small base

				REG	SION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K
Base: All Respondents (Interviews completed	d on/after Februa	ry 12, 2004)										
Unweighted Base	737	148	73	43	318	94	61	203	312	204	375	362
Weighted Base	744	153	77*	32*	333	102*	48*	221	293	213	393	351
Very aware	260	60	26	11	132	16	16	33	101	119	150	111
	35%	39% E	34% E	35% E	40% F	16%	32% E	15%	35% G	56% GH	38%	31%
Somewhat aware	224	47	25	7	93	34	16	61	100	58	123	101
	30%	31%	33%	22%	28%	34%	34%	28%	34%	27%	31%	29%
Not very aware	94	17	8	4	27	31	7	44	35	13	42	52
	13%	11%	11%	12%	8%	30% ABCDF	15%	20% HI	12% I	6%	11%	15%
Not at all aware	162	27	18	10	78	20	9	82	55	21	75	87
	22%	18%	23%	31%	23%	20%	18%	37% HI	19% I	10%	19%	25%
Don't know/Refused	4	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	1	3	1
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	0	1%	1%	1%	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Aware (Top2Box)	484	107	51	18	225	51	32	94	201	177	273	212
/ware (Top2Box)	65%	70%	66%	57%	68%	50%	67%	43%	69%	83%	69%	60%
		E	E		E		E		G	GH	K	
Not aware (Low2Box)	256	45	26	14	105	51	16	126	90	34	117	139
	34%	29%	34%	43%	31%	50%	33%	57%	31%	16%	30%	39%
						ABDF		HI				J



As you may or may not be aware, Auditor General Sheila Fraser recently released her audit of the Federal Government's Sponsorship Program. The audit showed that the Government paid out \$100 million to a handful of advertising firms that resulted in little or no value to Canadians. Serious questions have been raised about where this money went and who was responsible. Would you say that you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware or not at all aware of the Auditor General's report?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

^{*} small base

		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
Base: All Respondents (Interviews con	npleted on/after February 1	2, 2004)								
Unweighted Base	588	464	124	58	144	231	154	143	176	189
Weighted Base	591	472	119	54*	144	237	155	147	171	194
Very aware	204	170	34	11	45	79	69	35	51	84
	35%	36%	28%	20%	31%	34% C	44% CDE	24%	30%	43% GH
Somewhat aware	168	131	37	15	38	70	45	31	56	63
Somewhat aware	28%	28%	31%	27%	26%	30%	29%	21%	33%	32%
									G	G
Not very aware	85	67	18	6	23	31	24	26	24	24
	14%	14%	15%	11%	16%	13%	15%	18%	14%	12%
Not at all aware	131	102	29	21	38	55	17	52	39	23
	22%	22%	24%	38% EF	26% F	23% F	11%	35% HI	23% I	12%
Don't know/Refused	3	2	1	2	0	1	0	2	<u> </u>	0
	1%	0	1%	4%	-	0	-	1%	1%	-
				DEF						
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Aware (Top2Box)	372	301	71	25	83	149	114	67	107	147
/Waic (Topzbox)	63%	64%	60%	47%	58%	63%	74%	45%	62%	76%
		3770	3370	1770	3070	С	CDE		G	GH
Not aware (Low2Box)	216	169	47	27	61	86	41	78	64	47
	36%	36%	39%	49%	42%	36%	26%	53%	37%	24%
				F	F	F		HI		



Do you think that current Prime Minister Paul Martin knew a lot, knew something, knew a little or knew nothing about the Sponsorship Program, where the \$100 million went and who was responsible?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

				REG	SION				AGE		GEI	NDER
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	!	J	K
Base: All Respondents (Interviews complete	d on/after Februa	ry 12, 2004)										
Unweighted Base	737	148	73	43	318	94	61	203	312	204	375	362
Weighted Base	744	153	77*	32*	333	102*	48*	221	293	213	393	351
A lot	244	49	19	5	98	58	15	69	105	66	142	102
	33%	32% C	24%	16%	29%	57% ABCDF	31%	31%	36%	31%	36% K	29%
Something	253	62	28	11	105	30	18	80	102	68	120	133
-	34%	41%	36%	35%	31%	29%	37%	36%	35%	32%	31%	38% J
A little	130	23	21	3	68	4	10	44	37	43	73	57
	17%	15% E	27% ACE	10%	20% E	4%	21% E	20% H	13%	20% H	18%	16%
Nothing	61	13	1	6	37	2	2	16	22	23	33	28
	8%	8% BE	1%	19% BEF	11% BE	2%	4%	7%	8%	11%	8%	8%
Don't know/Refused	57	6	9	6	25	7	3	13	27	13	25	31
	8%	4%	12% A	21% ADEF	7%	7%	7%	6%	9%	6%	6%	9%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
A lot/Something (Top2Box)	498	111	46	16	203	89	32	149	207	134	262	235
Triousomeaning (Top2Dux)	67%	73% CD	60%	51%	61%	87% ABCDF	68%	67%	71%	63%	67%	67%
A little/Nothing (Low2Box)	190	36	22	9	105	7	12	60	59	66	105	85
	26%	23% E	28% E	29% E	32% E	6%	25% E	27%	20%	31% H	27%	24%



Do you think that current Prime Minister Paul Martin knew a lot, knew something, knew a little or knew nothing about the Sponsorship Program, where the \$100 million went and who was responsible?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

SITIALI DASE		REGIO	N TYPE		EDU	CATION			INCOME	
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post sec</th><th>University</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K-<\$60K</th><th>\$60K +</th></hs<>	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
Base: All Respondents (Interviews complete	d on/after February	12, 2004)								
Unweighted Base	588	464	124	58	144	231	154	143	176	189
Weighted Base	591	472	119	54*	144	237	155	147	171	194
A lot	201	168	33	21	47	84	50	55	52	70
	34%	36%	28%	38%	33%	35%	32%	37%	30%	36%
Something	202	160	42	15	44	83	59	47	64	71
	34%	34%	35%	28%	31%	35%	38%	32%	37%	37%
A little	96	73	23	5	30	38	22	24	29	28
	16%	15%	20%	10%	21%	16%	14%	16%	17%	14%
Nothing	42	35	7	5	13	12	12	15	10	11
	7%	7%	6%	10%	9%	5%	8%	10%	6%	5%
Don't know/Refused	50	36	14	7	9	20	13	6	17	14
	8%	8%	11%	13%	6%	9%	8%	4%	10%	7%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
A lot/Something (Top2Box)	403	328	75	36	91	166	108	102	116	142
3 (68%	70%	63%	67%	64%	70%	70%	70%	68%	73%
A little/Nothing (Low2Box)	138	108	31	11	43	50	34	38	39	39
•	23%	23%	26%	20%	30%	21%	22%	26%	23%	20%

