

BC Reid Express - March 2004

Detailed tables

Municipal property taxes are the primary way to pay for services provided by your local government. Due to the increased cost of maintaining current service levels and infrastructure, your municipality must balance taxation and service delivery levels. To deal with this situation, which of the following four options would you most like your municipality to pursue?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/D/E - B/C/D/E - F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P
* small base

	Total	Region					Gender		Age			Education			Income		
		LM	Van	RLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-34	35-54	55+	HS	PSec	Unv	<30	30-60	60+
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Base: All respondents	800	500	127	373	106	194	400	400	182	349	255	199	318	276	158	217	348
Weighted	800	457	121	336	148*	195	391	409	281	220	291	215	317	262	169	232	332
Increase taxes - to enhance or expand services	227 28%	127 28%	44 36% C	83 25%	46 31%	54 28%	101 26%	126 31%	87 31%	64 29%	74 26%	58 27%	93 29%	75 29%	53 31%	63 27%	99 30%
Increase taxes - to maintain services at current levels	250 31%	146 32%	31 26%	115 34%	46 31%	59 30%	129 33%	121 30%	72 26%	64 29%	111 38% HI	62 29%	96 30%	88 33%	57 34%	72 31%	94 28%
Cut services - to maintain current tax level	123 15%	80 17%	23 19%	57 17%	21 14%	23 12%	57 14%	67 16%	50 18%	36 16%	37 13%	34 16%	50 16%	39 15%	16 10%	43 18% N	54 16%
Cut services - to reduce taxes	107 13%	60 13%	17 14%	44 13%	17 11%	30 15%	69 18%	37 9%	57 20%	25 12%	24 8%	35 17%	39 12%	32 12%	21 12%	31 13%	50 15%
None	83 10%	43 9%	7 5%	36 11%	17 11%	23 12%	31 8%	51 13%	16 6%	27 12%	38 13% H	22 10%	35 11%	25 10%	20 12%	20 9%	32 10%
(DK/NS)	10 1%	2 0	0 -	2 1%	3 2%	6 3% AC	4 1%	6 1%	0 -	3 2% H	7 2% H	3 1%	4 1%	3 1%	3 2%	3 1%	3 1%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Increase taxes)	477 60%	273 60%	75 62%	198 59%	91 62%	113 58%	230 59%	247 60%	159 56%	128 58%	185 64%	120 56%	189 60%	163 62%	109 65%	135 58%	192 58%
Low2Box (Cut services)	230 29%	140 31%	39 33%	101 30%	37 25%	53 27%	126 32%	104 25%	107 38% IJ	61 28%	61 21%	70 32%	89 28%	71 27%	37 22%	73 32%	104 31% N

BC Reid Express - March 2004

Detailed tables

In addition to adjusting the property tax/service delivery balance, your municipality has the option to generate other forms of revenue. Please tell me whether you would support or oppose your municipality using each of the following to pay for services and programs. The first/next one is Increased or new user fees on programs and services.

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/D/E - B/C/D/E - F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P
* small base

	Total	Region					Gender		Age			Education			Income		
		LM	Van	RLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-34	35-54	55+	HS	PSec	Unv	<30	30-60	60+
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Base: All respondents	800	500	127	373	106	194	400	400	182	349	255	199	318	276	158	217	348
Weighted	800	457	121	336	148*	195	391	409	281	220	291	215	317	262	169	232	332
Increased or new user fees on programs and services																	
Strongly Support	104 13%	62 14%	11 9%	51 15%	18 12%	23 12%	49 13%	54 13%	26 9%	27 12%	50 17% H	22 10%	50 16%	31 12%	13 8%	34 15%	52 16% N
Somewhat Support	308 39%	174 38%	49 41%	125 37%	63 42%	72 37%	160 41%	148 36%	118 42%	93 42% J	97 33%	80 37%	106 34%	123 47% L	58 34%	84 36%	145 44%
It Depends	18 2%	12 3%	4 3%	8 2%	4 3%	2 1%	11 3%	7 2%	7 3%	3 1%	7 2%	6 3%	3 1%	9 3%	2 1%	4 2%	7 2%
Somewhat Oppose	163 20%	100 22%	31 25%	69 20%	30 21%	33 17%	62 16%	101 25% F	79 28% IJ	40 18%	43 15%	46 21%	74 23% M	40 15%	31 19%	54 23%	67 20%
Strongly Oppose	194 24%	101 22%	22 18%	79 24%	31 21%	62 32% AB	105 27%	89 22%	49 17%	56 25% H	86 29% H	58 27%	79 25%	55 21%	61 36% OP	53 23%	56 17%
(DK/NS)	13 2%	8 2%	3 3%	5 1%	2 1%	3 2%	4 1%	9 2%	3 1%	1 1%	9 3% I	3 1%	4 1%	5 2%	4 2%	3 1%	5 1%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Support)	412 51%	236 52%	61 50%	176 52%	80 54%	95 49%	210 54%	202 49%	144 51%	120 54%	147 50%	102 47%	157 49%	153 58% KL	71 42%	118 51%	197 59% N
Low2Box (Oppose)	357 45%	201 44%	53 44%	148 44%	61 42%	95 49%	167 43%	190 46%	127 45%	96 44%	128 44%	103 48% M	153 48% M	95 36%	92 55% P	106 46%	123 37%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/D/E - B/C/D/E - F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P
* small base

	Total	Region					Gender		Age			Education			Income		
		LM	Van	RLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-34	35-54	55+	HS	PSec	Unv	<30	30-60	60+
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Base: All respondents	800	500	127	373	106	194	400	400	182	349	255	199	318	276	158	217	348
Weighted	800	457	121	336	148*	195	391	409	281	220	291	215	317	262	169	232	332
Gambling revenues such as casinos or slot machines																	
Strongly Support	259 32%	152 33%	40 33%	113 34%	41 28%	66 34%	148 38% G	111 27%	114 41% J	71 32%	74 25%	74 34%	114 36% M	69 26%	44 26%	77 33%	120 36% N
Somewhat Support	189 24%	104 23%	19 16%	85 25% B	43 29% B	42 22%	92 24%	96 24%	62 22%	54 25%	71 24%	57 27%	67 21%	62 24%	37 22%	62 27%	70 21%
It Depends	8 1%	6 1%	0 -	6 2%	2 1%	0 -	4 1%	3 1%	1 0	1 0	6 2%	4 2% M	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	4 2% P	0 -
Somewhat Oppose	83 10%	51 11%	18 15%	33 10%	11 7%	22 11%	25 6%	58 14% F	33 12%	20 9%	31 11%	20 9%	36 11%	28 11%	13 8%	31 13%	35 10%
Strongly Oppose	257 32%	144 31%	45 37%	99 29%	49 33%	64 33%	122 31%	135 33%	69 25%	74 34% H	108 37% H	57 27%	96 30%	102 39% KL	73 43% OP	55 24%	105 32%
(DK/NS)	4 1%	1 0	0 -	1 0	3 2%	1 0	0 -	4 1%	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	1 0	1 0	0 -	3 1%	2 1%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Support)	448 56%	256 56%	59 49%	198 59%	83 56%	108 56%	240 61% G	208 51%	176 62% J	125 57%	144 50%	131 61% M	181 57%	132 50%	81 48%	139 60% N	190 57%
Low2Box (Oppose)	340 42%	194 42%	62 51% C	132 39%	60 41%	86 44%	147 37%	193 47% F	102 36%	94 43%	139 48% H	77 36%	132 42%	130 49% K	86 51% O	86 37%	140 42%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/D/E - B/C/D/E - F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P
 * small base

		Region					Gender		Age			Education			Income		
	Total	LM	Van	RLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-34	35-54	55+	HS	PSec	Unv	<30	30-60	60+
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Base: All respondents	800	500	127	373	106	194	400	400	182	349	255	199	318	276	158	217	348
Weighted	800	457	121	336	148*	195	391	409	281	220	291	215	317	262	169	232	332
Expanded or increased pay parking																	
Strongly Support	97 12%	50 11%	24 20% C	26 8%	15 10%	31 16% C	45 11%	52 13%	47 17% J	27 12% J	21 7%	25 12%	30 9%	42 16% L	24 14%	26 11%	44 13%
Somewhat Support	225 28%	123 27%	29 24%	94 28%	45 31%	57 29%	108 28%	117 29%	85 30%	59 27%	79 27%	51 24%	95 30%	79 30%	39 23%	74 32%	95 29%
It Depends	11 1%	5 1%	2 1%	4 1%	4 3%	1 0	4 1%	7 2%	5 2%	2 1%	3 1%	4 2%	2 1%	4 1%	5 3% P	1 1%	2 1%
Somewhat Oppose	155 19%	95 21%	21 18%	73 22%	26 18%	34 17%	67 17%	88 21%	57 20%	41 18%	57 19%	50 23% L	49 16%	50 19%	28 17%	45 19%	67 20%
Strongly Oppose	302 38%	179 39%	44 36%	135 40%	56 38%	67 34%	161 41%	141 35%	87 31%	89 41% H	123 42% H	83 39% M	133 42% M	87 33%	68 40%	87 37%	120 36%
(DK/NS)	10 1%	5 1%	0 -	5 1%	0 -	6 3%	6 1%	4 1%	1 0	1 0	8 3% I	2 1%	7 2%	1 0	5 3% O	0 -	5 2%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Support)	322 40%	174 38%	54 45%	120 36%	61 41%	87 45% C	153 39%	169 41%	132 47% J	87 39%	100 35%	75 35%	125 39%	121 46% K	63 37%	100 43%	138 42%
Low2Box (Oppose)	457 57%	274 60%	65 54%	208 62% E	83 56%	101 52%	229 58%	229 56%	143 51%	130 59%	179 62% H	133 62%	182 58%	137 52%	96 57%	131 57%	186 56%

Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from your local municipality, would you say that overall you get good value or poor value for your tax dollars?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/D/E - B/C/D/E - F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P
 * small base

		Region					Gender		Age			Education			Income		
	Total	LM	Van	RLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-34	35-54	55+	HS	PSec	Unv	<30	30-60	60+
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Base: All respondents	800	500	127	373	106	194	400	400	182	349	255	199	318	276	158	217	348
Weighted	800	457	121	336	148*	195	391	409	281	220	291	215	317	262	169	232	332
Very good value	130 16%	87 19% E	23 19%	64 19% E	21 14%	23 12%	70 18%	61 15%	31 11%	32 14%	67 23% HI	30 14%	40 12%	59 23% KL	19 11%	34 15%	64 19% N
Fairly good value	432 54%	251 55%	63 52%	188 56%	82 55%	100 51%	213 55%	219 54%	147 52%	125 57%	154 53%	113 53%	167 53%	148 57%	87 52%	132 57%	180 54%
Fairly poor value	159 20%	85 18%	24 20%	60 18%	28 19%	47 24%	74 19%	85 21%	70 25% J	45 20%	43 15%	44 21%	77 24% M	37 14%	33 20%	45 19%	65 20%
Very poor value	63 8%	32 7%	11 9%	21 6%	10 7%	21 11%	30 8%	32 8%	25 9%	16 7%	21 7%	19 9%	28 9%	16 6%	25 15% OP	16 7%	18 5%
Don't pay taxes	8 1%	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	4 3%	1 1%	3 1%	5 1%	5 2%	0 -	3 1%	4 2%	4 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%
(DK/NS)	8 1%	1 0	0 -	1 0	4 3% AC	3 2% A	1 0	6 2%	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%	4 2%	1 0	3 1%	1 1%	4 2%	2 1%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Good value)	563 70%	338 74% E	86 71%	252 75% E	103 69%	123 63%	283 72%	280 68%	178 63%	157 71%	221 76% H	143 67%	207 65%	207 79% KL	107 63%	166 72%	244 74% N
Low2Box (Poor value)	222 28%	117 26%	35 29%	82 24%	37 25%	67 35% AC	104 27%	117 29%	95 34% J	61 28%	64 22%	63 30% M	105 33% M	52 20%	59 35% P	61 26%	83 25%

BC Reid Express - March 2004

Detailed tables

Please rate how satisfied you are with the overall level and quality of services provided by your local municipality. Our scale is very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied.

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/D/E - B/C/D/E - F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P

* small base

	Total	Region					Gender		Age			Education			Income		
		LM	Van	RLM	Isl	Int	M	F	18-34	35-54	55+	HS	PSec	Unv	<30	30-60	60+
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Base: All respondents	800	500	127	373	106	194	400	400	182	349	255	199	318	276	158	217	348
Weighted	800	457	121	336	148*	195	391	409	281	220	291	215	317	262	169	232	332
Very satisfied	186 23%	123 27% E	25 21%	98 29% E	31 21%	32 17%	98 25%	88 22%	54 19%	48 22%	83 29% H	53 25%	66 21%	66 25%	31 19%	57 24%	81 25%
Somewhat satisfied	476 59%	274 60%	77 63%	197 59%	87 59%	115 59%	226 58%	249 61%	179 64% J	136 62% J	155 53%	119 56%	193 61%	159 61%	97 57%	136 58%	206 62%
Not very satisfied	91 11%	46 10%	15 13%	31 9%	15 10%	30 15%	47 12%	44 11%	33 12%	26 12%	31 11%	26 12%	39 12%	25 10%	29 17% P	28 12%	29 9%
Not at all satisfied	32 4%	13 3%	4 3%	9 3%	6 4%	12 6%	14 4%	17 4%	13 5%	6 3%	12 4%	9 4%	14 4%	8 3%	8 5%	8 3%	9 3%
(DK/NS)	16 2%	1 0	0 -	1 0	9 6% ABC	6 3% AC	6 2%	10 2%	3 1%	3 1%	10 3%	7 3%	5 2%	3 1%	4 2%	4 2%	7 2%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Very/Somewhat satisfied)	662 83%	397 87% E	102 84%	294 88% E	118 80%	147 76%	324 83%	338 83%	232 83%	184 84%	239 82%	172 80%	259 82%	226 86%	128 76%	192 83%	287 87% N
Low2Box (Not very/Not at all satisfied)	122 15%	59 13%	19 16%	40 12%	21 14%	42 22% AC	61 16%	61 15%	46 16%	33 15%	42 15%	35 16%	53 17%	33 13%	37 22% P	36 15%	38 11%