

BCREA Housing and Community Priority Study (60-5087-01)



SCREENER

[1](#) Market:

QUALITY OF LIFE AND TOP OF MIND CONCERNS

[2](#) q1. To begin, what does the phrase 'quality of life' mean to you?

[3](#) q2. In your view, what is the most important issue facing your community: that is, the one issue you feel should receive the greatest attention from your local leaders? FIRST MENTION

[4](#) q2. In your view, what is the most important issue facing your community: that is, the one issue you feel should receive the greatest attention from your local leaders? q2a. Are there any other important local issues? TOTAL MENTIONS

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

[5](#) q3. There has been a lot of discussion about the level of importance governments should place on managing growth and development. By growth and development I mean an increase in the number of people, houses, and businesses in an area. When compared to all the issues currently facing British Columbia these days, do you think that managing growth and development should be a very high priority for government, a high priority, a mid-level priority, a low priority, or a very low priority?

[6](#) q4. In your view, what is the most important issue related to GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT in your community?

[7](#) q5_1. And in general, do you support or oppose Building houses, condominiums, and apartments closer together, with more stories and smaller lots? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[8](#) q5_2. And in general, do you support or oppose Building commercial, retail, and residential buildings all in the same neighbourhood? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[9](#) q5_3. And in general, do you support or oppose increasing construction of multi-family dwellings as opposed to single-family homes? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[10](#) q5_4. And in general, do you support or oppose Building new roads and widening existing roads? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[11](#) q5_5. And in general, do you support or oppose Building or expanding transit systems? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[12](#) q5_6. And in general, do you support or oppose Encouraging retail and commercial development? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[13](#) q5_7. And in general, do you support or oppose Encouraging growth that results in the expansion of outlying communities? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[14](#) q5_8. And in general, do you support or oppose Replacing agricultural land with residential developments? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

[15](#) q5. And in general, do you support or oppose ...? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? SUPPORT SUMMARY TABLE

[16](#) q5. And in general, do you support or oppose ...? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? OPPOSE SUMMARY TABLE

GOVERNMENT

[17](#) q6. Overall, how good a job do you think the provincial government has done in managing growth and development in British Columbia? Would you say it has done a ...?

[18](#) q7_1. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. The overall level and quality of the services provided by your municipality

[19](#) q7_2. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Providing convenient and accessible public transportation

[20](#) q7_3. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Easing traffic congestion on local roads and highways

[21](#) q7_4. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Taking steps to ensure there are homes to buy at affordable prices

[22](#) q7_5. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Taking steps to ensure your community is safe and free of violent crime

[23](#) q7_6. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Encouraging economic investment that brings jobs to your area

[24](#) q7_7. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Providing parks and other recreational services or facilities

[25](#) q7_8. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Maintaining or upgrading the condition of local streets and highways

[26](#) q7. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. SATISFIED (VERY/SOMEWHAT) SUMMARY TABLE

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| 27 | q7. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. NOT SATISFIED (NOT AT ALL/NOT VERY) SUMMARY TABLE |
| 28 | q8. As you may know, governments must often balance taxation and service delivery levels. If you could choose, would you rather the government ...? |
| 29 | q9a. Which taxes would you be willing to raise? |
| 30 | q9b. In order to reduce taxes, which of the following services would you be willing to cut? |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| 31 | q10. I am going to read you different pairs of factors that you might consider when deciding to live in one neighbourhood over another. For each pair, please indicate which factor is more important in your decision of where to live. T Test Suppressed Because No Base Row |
| 32 | q11. How likely are you to purchase a home in British Columbia within the next two years? Would you say you are... |
| 33 | q12. Which of the following are you most likely to buy? |
| 34 | q13_1. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. The type of home |
| 35 | q13_2. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. A large yard |
| 36 | q13_3. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. A garage or other parking facilities |
| 37 | q13_4. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. The age of the home |
| 38 | q13_5. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Uniqueness, that is, all the homes in the neighbourhood do not look alike |
| 39 | q13_6. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Price |
| 40 | q13_7. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. A view |
| 41 | q13_8. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Privacy from neighbours |
| 42 | q13_9. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Condition, for example, are renovations needed? |
| 43 | q13. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. IMPORTANT (VERY/SOMEWHAT) SUMMARY TABLE |
| 44 | q13. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. NOT IMPORTANT (NOT AT ALL/NOT VERY) SUMMARY TABLE |

AWARENESS AND PERCEPTIONS OF BCREA

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| 45 | q14. Have you ever heard of the British Columbia Real Estate Association (BCREA)? |
| 46 | q15. And have you seen, read, or heard anything about the BCREA within the past 12 months? |
| 47 | q16. What specifically did you see, read, or hear? |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS REAL ESTATE AGENTS

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| 48 | q17. What is your overall impression of the real estate profession? Would you say... |
| 49 | q18a. Why do you have a favourable impression of the real estate profession? |
| 50 | q18b. Why do you have an unfavourable impression of the real estate profession? |
| 51 | q19_1. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating The rights of property owners? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? |
| 52 | q19_2. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Environmental conservation? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? |
| 53 | q19_3. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating The elimination of the Property Transfer Tax? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? |
| 54 | q19_4. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Responsible fiscal management? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? |
| 55 | q19_5. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Government action to reduce crime? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? |
| 56 | q19_6. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Diverse housing options? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| 57 | q19. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating ...? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? SUPPORT (STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT) SUMMARY TABLE |
| 58 | q19. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating ...? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose? OPPOSE (STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT) SUMMARY TABLE |
| 59 | q20. Are you aware that real estate agents are active as volunteers in your community? |
| 60 | q21. What impact, if any, would knowing this have on your impression of the real estate profession overall? Would it make you feel much more favourably, somewhat more favourably, somewhat less favourably, much less favourably, or would it have no impact? |
| DEMOGRAPHICS | |
| 61 | q22. Age (Asked as: In what year were you born?) |
| 62 | q23. Do you own or rent your current place of residence? |
| 63 | q24. What type of housing do you currently occupy? Is it.. |
| 64 | q25. Which of the following broad categories best describes your family income? That is the combined total income before taxes of all persons in your household? Please stop me when I've reached your category. |
| 65 | Gender: |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q3. There has been a lot of discussion about the level of importance governments should place on managing growth and development. By growth and development I mean an increase in the number of people, houses, and businesses in an area. When compared to all the issues currently facing British Columbia these days, do you think that managing growth and development should be a very high priority for government, a high priority, a mid-level priority, a low priority, or a very low priority?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Very high priority | 149 12% | 91 15% B | 58 9% G | 75 14% G | 21 11% | 17 11% | 18 11% | 17 8% | 40 9% | 41 12% | 67 15% | 97 12% | 51 13% | 95 12% | 22 9% | 32 16% | 27 11% | 48 12% | 63 13% |
| High priority | 543 43% | 281 46% | 262 41% | 249 47% | 72 39% | 69 44% | 66 42% | 88 40% | 189 43% | 166 48% J | 183 40% | 367 44% | 175 43% | 354 45% | 109 43% | 80 39% | 99 40% | 167 40% | 228 48% |
| Mid-level priority | 407 33% | 161 26% | 246 39% A | 165 31% | 54 29% | 51 33% | 51 32% | 85 39% | 159 36% | 108 31% | 135 30% | 272 32% | 134 33% | 242 31% | 93 37% | 70 34% | 86 34% | 144 35% | 140 29% |
| Low priority | 102 8% | 48 8% | 54 9% | 32 6% | 24 13% C | 15 10% | 14 9% | 17 8% | 35 8% | 22 6% | 46 10% I | 73 9% | 29 7% | 68 9% | 18 7% | 17 8% | 18 7% | 42 10% | 33 7% |
| Very low priority | 33 3% | 22 4% | 12 2% | 6 1% | 7 4% C | 4 2% | 5 3% | 12 5% C | 11 3% | 7 2% | 14 3% | 21 2% | 13 3% | 23 3% | 8 3% | 2 1% | 13 5% QR | 6 1% | 10 2% |
| (DK/NS) | 15 1% | 9 1% | 7 1% | 4 1% | 6 3% G | 1 1% | 4 3% G | 0 0% | 4 1% | 2 1% | 8 2% | 9 1% | 4 1% | 9 1% | 1 1% | 5 2% | 5 2% | 6 1% | 3 1% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very high/high Priority | 692 55% | 373 61% B | 319 50% | 324 61% DG | 93 50% | 86 55% | 84 53% | 105 48% | 230 52% | 207 60% | 251 55% | 465 55% | 227 56% | 449 57% | 131 52% | 112 55% | 127 51% | 214 52% | 291 61% PQ |
| Very low/low Priority | 136 11% | 70 11% | 66 10% | 38 7% | 31 17% C | 19 12% | 19 12% | 28 13% C | 46 11% | 29 8% | 60 13% I | 94 11% | 42 10% | 91 12% | 26 10% | 19 9% | 32 13% | 48 12% | 43 9% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q4. In your view, what is the most important issue related to GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT in your community?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/ Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30K-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Employment | 136 11% | 70 11% | 66 10% | 40 8% | 22 12% | 22 14% | 37 23% CDEG | 15 7% | 51 12% | 36 11% | 47 10% | 92 11% | 44 11% | 92 12% | 23 9% | 21 10% | 32 13% | 59 14% R | 37 8% |
| Affordable housing | 107 9% | 41 7% | 65 10% | 55 10% F | 13 7% F | 15 9% F | 3 2% | 21 9% F | 45 10% | 31 9% | 30 7% | 48 6% | 59 15% K | 49 6% K | 36 14% M | 22 11% M | 30 12% R | 40 10% R | 26 5% |
| Preserving the environment/ wilderness | 99 8% | 37 6% | 61 10% | 35 7% | 12 7% | 13 8% | 7 4% | 32 14% CDF | 45 10% | 27 8% | 26 6% | 67 8% | 31 8% | 76 10% O | 16 6% | 7 3% | 14 6% | 38 9% | 37 8% |
| Housing/ proper housing (unspecified) | 83 7% | 30 5% | 53 8% A | 40 8% | 11 6% | 9 6% | 8 5% | 14 7% | 42 10% J | 22 6% | 17 4% | 45 5% | 38 9% K | 48 6% K | 20 8% | 15 7% | 16 6% | 37 9% | 28 6% |
| Overcrowding/ overpopulation | 75 6% | 46 8% | 29 5% | 57 11% DEFG | 8 4% F | 3 2% | 0 - | 7 3% F | 27 6% | 20 6% | 27 6% | 51 6% | 24 6% | 40 5% | 24 10% M | 10 5% | 12 5% | 28 7% | 31 7% |
| Attracting business/ new business | 75 6% | 35 6% | 40 6% | 15 3% | 8 4% | 16 10% CD | 24 15% CDG | 12 6% | 27 6% | 23 7% | 25 5% | 55 7% | 20 5% | 48 6% | 12 5% | 15 8% | 13 5% | 32 8% | 26 5% |
| Transportation | 60 5% | 40 7% B | 19 3% | 41 8% FG | 9 5% F | 7 4% F | 0 - | 3 1% | 12 3% | 16 5% | 31 7% H | 47 6% | 13 3% | 36 5% | 7 3% | 16 8% | 6 2% | 19 5% | 34 7% P |
| Urban planning/ managed growth/ careful planning of development (incl. long-term community planning, organized growth) | 57 5% | 31 5% | 26 4% | 29 5% | 7 4% | 3 2% | 3 2% | 14 7% F | 15 3% | 15 4% | 26 6% | 44 5% | 13 3% | 36 5% | 9 4% | 11 6% | 4 2% | 19 5% | 28 6% P |
| Sustainability/ sustainable growth and development (incl. balanced growth) | 47 4% | 29 5% | 18 3% | 23 4% | 9 5% | 2 2% | 2 2% | 9 4% | 23 5% | 14 4% | 10 2% | 29 3% | 18 4% | 35 4% O | 11 4% O | 1 0% | 8 3% | 8 2% | 25 5% Q |
| Healthcare | 45 4% | 14 2% | 31 5% A | 13 2% | 7 4% | 7 4% | 11 7% C | 7 3% | 12 3% | 15 4% | 18 4% | 35 4% | 11 3% | 30 4% | 6 2% | 9 4% | 8 3% | 17 4% | 18 4% |
| Education | 41 3% | 16 3% | 25 4% | 23 4% | 4 2% | 5 3% | 3 2% | 6 3% | 11 3% | 18 5% J | 11 2% | 28 3% | 13 3% | 25 3% | 9 4% | 6 3% | 6 2% | 15 4% | 17 4% |
| Infrastructure | 39 3% | 24 4% | 15 2% | 14 3% | 9 5% | 8 5% | 3 2% | 5 2% | 7 2% | 16 5% H | 16 4% | 32 4% | 7 2% | 35 4% | 3 1% | 2 1% | 1 0% | 9 2% | 22 5% P |
| Less/ controlled/ slower growth and development (incl. stopping growth and development) | 38 3% | 19 3% | 19 3% | 15 3% | 6 3% | 2 2% | 4 3% | 10 5% | 11 3% | 9 3% | 18 4% | 35 4% L | 2 1% | 32 4% | 3 1% | 3 2% | 5 2% | 13 3% | 17 4% |
| Natural resource management/ more value-added industry (incl. less importation of goods, ownership of natural resources) | 36 3% | 24 4% | 13 2% | 5 1% | 4 2% | 5 3% | 17 11% CDEG | 5 2% | 10 2% | 10 3% | 16 4% | 24 3% | 12 3% | 23 3% | 7 3% | 6 3% | 10 4% | 6 1% | 15 3% |
| Development/ upgrading of roads | 35 3% | 19 3% | 15 2% | 13 2% | 12 6% CG | 5 3% | 4 2% | 2 1% | 9 2% | 8 2% | 17 4% | 32 4% L | 2 1% | 27 3% | 2 1% | 6 3% | 2 1% | 13 3% | 12 2% |
| Community services/ programs (unspecified) | 33 3% | 11 2% | 23 4% | 21 4% | 5 3% | 2 1% | 4 2% | 2 1% | 23 5% IJ | 5 1% | 6 1% | 18 2% | 15 4% | 22 3% | 7 3% | 4 2% | 1 1% | 6 2% | 21 4% PQ |
| Traffic/ traffic congestion | 33 3% | 19 3% | 14 2% | 22 4% | 4 2% | 1 1% | 1 1% | 5 2% | 3 1% | 11 3% H | 19 4% H | 26 3% | 7 2% | 23 3% | 3 1% | 7 3% | 6 2% | 11 3% | 13 3% |
| Increased growth and development (incl. development (unspecified)) | 33 3% | 23 4% B | 10 2% | 12 2% | 6 3% | 2 1% | 6 4% | 7 3% | 10 2% | 8 2% | 15 3% | 20 2% | 13 3% | 24 3% | 3 1% | 6 3% | 7 3% | 11 3% | 13 3% |
| Managing ALR (Agricultural Land Reserve) | 30 2% | 12 2% | 18 3% | 10 2% | 13 7% CEFG | 3 2% | 1 1% | 2 1% | 3 1% | 8 2% | 19 4% H | 28 3% L | 2 0% | 22 3% | 2 1% | 6 3% | 3 1% | 9 2% | 15 3% |
| Crime/ crime prevention | 30 2% | 21 3% B | 9 1% | 16 3% F | 7 4% F | 3 2% | 0 - | 4 2% | 16 4% J | 9 3% | 4 1% | 18 2% | 11 3% | 16 2% | 10 4% | 3 2% | 6 2% | 10 2% | 11 2% |
| Taxation levels | 24 2% | 14 2% | 10 2% | 13 2% | 6 3% | 1 0% | 1 1% | 3 2% | 6 1% | 11 3% | 6 1% | 18 2% | 5 1% | 17 2% | 6 2% | 1 1% | 2 1% | 3 1% | 14 3% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q4. In your view, what is the most important issue related to GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT in your community?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Avoiding urban sprawl | 23 2% | 15 2% | 8 1% | 8 1% | 5 3% | 2 1% | 0 0% | 8 4% | 2 1% | 11 3% | 10 2% | 21 3% | 2 0% | 17 2% | 0 0% | 5 3% | 1 1% | 3 1% | 17 4% |
| More input from populace on decisions (incl. listen to the people more than the developers/ corporations) | 14 1% | 9 1% | 6 1% | 2 0% | 4 2% | 4 2% | 1 1% | 3 1% | 1 0% | 4 1% | 9 2% | 13 2% | 1 0% | 9 1% | 1 0% | 4 2% | 4 1% | 3 1% | 5 1% |
| Entertainment/ recreation opportunities | 13 1% | 3 0% | 10 2% | 4 1% | 2 1% | 4 3% | 1 1% | 1 1% | 5 1% | 4 1% | 4 1% | 7 1% | 6 2% | 12 1% | 1 0% | 0 0% | 3 1% | 4 1% | 4 1% |
| Financial support/ funding (incl. fewer cutbacks) | 11 1% | 4 1% | 7 1% | 3 0% | 1 0% | 2 1% | 1 1% | 5 2% | 4 1% | 4 1% | 2 1% | 8 1% | 3 1% | 7 1% | 1 0% | 3 1% | 2 1% | 5 1% | 4 1% |
| Political integrity/ improve the government | 11 1% | 8 1% | 2 0% | 3 1% | 3 1% | 0 - | 0 - | 5 2% | 2 1% | 3 1% | 5 1% | 5 1% | 4 1% | 5 1% | 1 0% | 4 2% | 2 1% | 4 1% | 3 1% |
| Less red tape/ bureaucracy/ government regulations | 10 1% | 7 1% | 3 1% | 1 0% | 0 0% | 1 1% | 1 1% | 6 3% | 2 0% | 2 1% | 6 1% | 8 1% | 2 0% | 10 1% | 0 - | 0 - | 1 1% | 0 0% | 6 1% |
| Other | 118 9% | 54 9% | 65 10% | 55 10% | 14 8% | 17 11% | 13 8% | 19 9% | 36 8% | 36 10% | 44 10% | 77 9% | 39 10% | 76 10% | 19 7% | 22 11% | 27 11% | 33 8% | 47 10% |
| Depends | 23 2% | 10 2% | 12 2% | 9 2% | 5 3% | 4 2% | 4 3% | 0 - | 5 1% | 5 1% | 12 3% | 14 2% | 8 2% | 10 1% | 8 3% | 4 2% | 9 4% | 8 2% | 5 1% |
| Nothing | 12 1% | 6 1% | 6 1% | 3 1% | 2 1% | 5 3% | 2 1% | 1 0% | 4 1% | 3 1% | 4 1% | 7 1% | 4 1% | 11 1% | 0 - | 1 1% | 4 2% | 5 1% | 1 0% |
| (DK/NS) | 122 10% | 44 7% | 78 12% | 45 9% | 17 9% | 15 9% | 17 11% | 27 13% | 57 13% | 22 6% | 40 9% | 75 9% | 47 12% | 62 8% | 33 13% | 27 13% | 33 13% | 36 9% | 40 8% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_1. And in general, do you support or oppose Building houses, condominiums, and apartments closer together, with more stories and smaller lots? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Building houses, condominiums, and apartments closer together, with more stories and smaller lots | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 179 14% | 114 19% B | 65 10% | 95 18% | 20 11% | 20 13% | 18 12% | 26 12% | 63 14% | 53 15% | 62 14% | 114 14% | 65 16% | 110 14% | 35 14% | 34 16% | 39 16% | 53 13% | 78 16% |
| Somewhat support | 323 26% | 169 28% | 154 24% | 160 30% F | 43 23% | 39 25% F | 24 15% | 56 26% F | 128 29% | 90 26% | 102 23% | 206 24% | 117 29% | 187 24% | 81 32% M | 55 27% | 51 21% | 133 32% PR | 113 24% |
| Somewhat oppose | 324 26% | 139 23% | 186 29% A | 129 24% | 48 26% | 44 28% | 43 27% | 60 28% | 133 30% | 85 24% | 104 23% | 219 26% | 105 26% | 218 28% | 61 24% | 46 22% | 64 26% | 102 25% | 128 27% |
| Strongly oppose | 401 32% | 174 28% | 227 36% A | 137 26% | 72 39% C | 53 34% | 66 41% C | 73 33% | 113 26% | 114 33% | 169 37% H | 283 34% | 115 28% | 262 33% | 73 29% | 65 32% | 92 37% | 122 30% | 148 31% |
| (DK/NS) | 23 2% | 16 3% | 7 1% | 10 2% | 1 1% | 1 1% | 7 5% DE | 4 2% | 2 0% | 4 1% | 17 4% HI | 19 2% | 4 1% | 15 2% | 1 1% | 6 3% | 4 1% | 2 1% | 10 2% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 502 40% | 284 46% B | 218 34% | 256 48% DEFG | 62 34% | 60 38% F | 43 27% | 82 37% F | 191 43% | 143 41% | 165 36% | 319 38% | 182 45% | 297 38% | 116 46% | 89 43% | 91 36% | 185 45% | 191 40% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 725 58% | 313 51% | 413 65% A | 266 50% | 121 66% C | 97 61% C | 109 69% C | 134 61% C | 247 56% | 199 58% | 273 60% | 501 60% | 220 54% | 479 61% | 134 53% | 111 54% | 155 62% | 224 54% | 276 58% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_2. And in general, do you support or oppose Building commercial, retail, and residential buildings all in the same neighbourhood? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|--|------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Building commercial, retail, and residential buildings all in the same neighbourhood | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 309 25% | 167 27% | 143 22% | 147 28% | 35 19% | 32 20% | 32 20% | 63 29% D | 119 27% | 86 25% | 103 23% | 188 22% | 122 30% K | 181 23% | 80 32% M | 49 24% | 56 22% | 106 26% | 120 25% |
| Somewhat support | 468 37% | 216 35% | 251 39% | 221 42% G | 62 34% | 58 37% | 57 36% | 71 32% | 187 43% J | 125 36% | 151 33% | 318 38% | 147 36% | 299 38% | 94 38% | 73 35% | 92 37% | 165 40% | 169 36% |
| Somewhat oppose | 235 19% | 116 19% | 119 19% | 80 15% | 53 29% CFG | 36 23% C | 27 17% | 39 18% | 78 18% | 63 18% | 93 20% | 163 19% | 70 17% | 141 18% | 47 19% | 47 23% | 47 19% | 76 18% | 95 20% |
| Strongly oppose | 212 17% | 94 15% | 118 18% | 70 13% | 31 17% | 30 19% | 36 23% C | 44 20% C | 52 12% | 66 19% H | 90 20% H | 153 18% | 59 14% | 156 20% N | 22 9% | 34 16% | 48 19% | 59 14% | 82 17% |
| (DK/NS) | 26 2% | 19 3% B | 7 1% | 13 3% | 3 2% | 2 1% | 6 4% G | 1 1% | 3 1% | 5 1% | 18 4% HI | 17 2% | 9 2% | 14 2% | 8 3% | 4 2% | 6 3% | 6 1% | 10 2% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 777 62% | 383 63% | 394 62% | 368 69% DEF | 96 52% | 89 57% | 89 56% | 134 61% | 306 70% IJ | 212 61% | 254 56% | 506 60% | 269 66% | 480 61% | 174 69% | 122 59% | 148 59% | 271 66% | 290 61% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 447 36% | 210 34% | 237 37% | 150 28% | 84 46% C | 66 42% C | 63 40% C | 83 38% C | 130 30% | 129 37% | 182 40% H | 317 38% | 128 32% | 297 38% N | 69 27% | 80 39% N | 96 38% | 135 33% | 177 37% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_3. And in general, do you support or oppose ncreasing construction of multi-family dwellings as opposed to single-family homes? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| ncreasing construction of multi-family dwellings as opposed to single-family homes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 332 27% | 151 25% | 181 28% | 162 30% F | 44 24% | 46 29% | 32 20% | 49 22% | 128 29% | 89 26% | 112 25% | 201 24% | 130 32% K | 175 22% | 90 36% M | 67 33% M | 65 26% | 114 28% | 125 26% |
| Somewhat support | 533 43% | 270 44% | 263 41% | 230 43% | 66 36% | 69 44% | 59 37% | 108 49% DF | 195 44% | 139 40% | 195 43% | 358 43% | 175 43% | 345 44% | 105 42% | 83 40% | 104 42% | 186 45% | 204 43% |
| Somewhat oppose | 203 16% | 99 16% | 104 16% | 66 12% | 43 23% CG | 28 18% | 39 25% CG | 26 12% | 75 17% | 68 20% J | 60 13% | 145 17% | 57 14% | 140 18% | 32 13% | 31 15% | 37 15% | 61 15% | 86 18% |
| Strongly oppose | 129 10% | 60 10% | 69 11% | 53 10% | 24 13% E | 10 6% | 19 12% | 23 10% | 25 6% | 37 11% H | 64 14% H | 97 12% L | 28 7% | 97 12% N | 15 6% | 16 8% | 30 12% | 42 10% | 44 9% |
| (DK/NS) | 53 4% | 32 5% | 21 3% | 20 4% | 7 4% | 5 3% | 9 6% | 13 6% | 17 4% | 13 4% | 24 5% | 38 5% | 15 4% | 35 4% | 9 4% | 9 5% | 13 5% | 9 2% | 19 4% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 865 69% | 422 69% | 443 70% | 392 74% DF | 110 60% | 115 73% DF | 91 58% | 157 72% DF | 323 73% | 228 66% | 307 68% | 559 67% | 306 75% K | 519 66% | 195 78% M | 150 73% | 170 68% | 300 73% | 328 69% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 332 27% | 158 26% | 173 27% | 119 22% | 67 37% CEG | 38 24% | 58 37% CEG | 49 22% | 100 23% | 105 30% H | 124 27% | 242 29% L | 85 21% N | 237 30% N | 47 19% | 46 22% | 67 27% | 103 25% | 130 27% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_4. And in general, do you support or oppose Building new roads and widening existing roads? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Building new roads and widening existing roads | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 629 50% | 328 54% | 301 47% | 246 46% | 111 61% CG | 81 52% | 88 56% | 102 47% | 205 47% | 182 53% | 237 52% | 445 53% L | 183 45% | 401 51% | 112 44% | 115 56% | 137 55% Q | 180 44% | 250 52% Q |
| Somewhat support | 384 31% | 171 28% | 212 33% | 153 29% | 53 29% | 59 38% | 48 30% | 70 32% | 158 36% J | 111 32% J | 111 24% | 247 29% | 137 34% | 252 32% O | 85 34% | 47 23% | 63 25% | 151 37% P | 141 30% |
| Somewhat oppose | 128 10% | 57 9% | 71 11% | 74 14% DE | 11 6% | 7 4% | 13 8% | 23 11% E | 49 11% | 29 8% | 48 10% | 79 9% | 47 11% | 71 9% | 34 13% | 24 12% | 24 10% | 42 10% | 51 11% |
| Strongly oppose | 91 7% | 45 7% | 46 7% | 49 9% D | 5 3% | 8 5% | 7 5% | 22 10% D | 24 6% | 21 6% | 45 10% I | 53 6% | 37 9% | 59 7% | 19 7% | 13 6% | 20 8% | 37 9% | 27 6% |
| (DK/NS) | 18 1% | 10 2% | 8 1% | 10 2% | 3 2% | 2 1% | 1 1% | 2 1% | 2 0% | 3 1% | 14 3% HI | 15 2% | 3 1% | 9 1% | 2 1% | 7 3% | 5 2% | 2 0% | 7 1% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 1013 81% | 500 82% | 513 80% | 400 75% | 165 89% CG | 141 89% CG | 136 86% C | 172 78% | 364 83% | 293 85% J | 347 77% | 691 82% | 320 79% | 653 82% | 196 78% | 162 79% | 200 80% | 331 80% | 391 82% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 219 18% | 102 17% | 117 18% | 122 23% DEF | 16 9% | 15 9% | 21 13% | 45 21% DE | 74 17% | 50 15% | 93 21% I | 133 16% | 83 21% | 130 16% | 52 21% | 37 18% | 45 18% | 79 19% | 78 16% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_5. And in general, do you support or oppose Building or expanding transit systems? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Building or expanding transit systems | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 821 66% | 398 65% | 424 66% | 391 74% EFG | 130 71% EF | 90 57% | 77 49% | 134 61% F | 299 68% | 232 67% | 283 62% | 536 64% | 281 69% | 491 62% | 190 76% M | 138 67% | 156 62% | 270 65% | 328 69% |
| Somewhat support | 293 23% | 145 24% | 148 23% | 99 19% | 40 22% | 49 31% | 44 28% C | 61 28% C | 106 24% | 81 23% | 104 23% | 201 24% | 92 23% | 208 26% N | 43 17% | 42 21% | 60 24% | 94 23% | 111 23% |
| Somewhat oppose | 65 5% | 35 6% | 31 5% | 20 4% | 7 4% | 8 5% | 14 9% C | 16 7% C | 17 4% | 15 4% | 33 7% | 49 6% | 17 4% | 46 6% | 5 2% | 14 7% N | 16 7% | 24 6% | 17 3% |
| Strongly oppose | 46 4% | 22 4% | 24 4% | 16 3% | 7 4% | 5 3% | 12 7% C | 7 3% | 12 3% | 13 4% | 21 5% | 32 4% | 13 3% | 28 3% | 9 4% | 9 4% | 13 5% | 20 5% | 10 2% |
| (DK/NS) | 24 2% | 12 2% | 12 2% | 6 1% | 0 0% | 5 3% DG | 12 7% CDG | 1 0% | 6 1% | 5 1% | 14 3% | 21 3% | 3 1% | 18 2% | 4 2% | 2 1% | 4 2% | 4 1% | 12 2% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 1115 89% | 543 89% | 572 90% | 490 92% F | 169 92% F | 139 88% F | 121 76% | 195 89% F | 404 92% J | 313 90% J | 387 85% | 738 88% | 373 92% | 699 88% | 233 93% | 181 88% | 216 86% | 363 88% | 439 92% P |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 111 9% | 57 9% | 55 9% | 36 7% | 14 8% | 13 8% | 26 16% CDE | 23 10% | 29 7% | 29 8% | 54 12% H | 81 10% | 30 7% | 74 9% | 14 6% | 23 11% | 29 12% R | 45 11% R | 27 6% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_6. And in general, do you support or oppose Encouraging retail and commercial development? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Encouraging retail and commercial development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 470 38% | 271 44% B | 198 31% | 159 30% | 79 43% | 76 49% C | 81 51% CG | 75 34% | 169 39% | 127 37% | 172 38% | 338 40% L | 131 32% | 313 40% | 91 36% | 65 31% | 89 35% | 144 35% | 196 41% |
| Somewhat support | 524 42% | 244 40% | 279 44% | 246 46% | 75 41% | 60 38% | 59 37% | 83 38% | 182 42% | 157 45% | 180 40% | 342 41% | 182 45% | 311 39% | 116 46% | 97 47% | 89 36% | 190 46% P | 195 41% |
| Somewhat oppose | 159 13% | 53 9% | 106 17% A | 83 16% F | 18 10% | 14 9% | 9 6% | 34 16% F | 68 15% | 37 11% | 52 11% | 93 11% | 65 16% K | 101 13% | 35 14% | 22 11% | 48 19% R | 53 13% | 47 10% |
| Strongly oppose | 68 5% | 26 4% | 42 7% | 33 6% | 6 3% | 4 2% | 6 4% | 20 9% DEF | 12 3% | 19 6% | 36 8% H | 45 5% | 21 5% | 49 6% N | 4 2% | 13 6% N | 17 7% | 18 4% | 25 5% |
| (DK/NS) | 30 2% | 17 3% | 13 2% | 11 2% | 6 3% | 3 2% | 3 2% | 7 3% | 9 2% | 6 2% | 16 3% | 21 3% | 7 2% | 17 2% | 5 2% | 9 4% | 7 3% | 7 2% | 12 3% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 994 79% | 516 84% B | 478 75% | 405 76% | 154 84% G | 137 87% CG | 140 88% CG | 158 72% | 352 80% | 284 82% | 351 77% | 680 81% | 313 77% | 625 79% | 207 83% | 162 79% | 178 71% | 334 81% P | 392 82% P |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 226 18% | 79 13% | 147 23% A | 116 22% DEF | 24 13% | 18 11% | 15 9% | 54 25% DEF | 79 18% | 56 16% | 87 19% | 138 16% | 86 21% | 150 19% | 39 15% | 36 17% | 65 26% QR | 71 17% | 73 15% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_7. And in general, do you support or oppose Encouraging growth that results in the expansion of outlying communities? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|--|------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Encouraging growth that results in the expansion of outlying communities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 418 33% | 227 37% B | 191 30% | 154 29% | 58 31% | 67 43% CDG | 69 44% CDG | 70 32% | 157 36% | 113 33% | 145 32% | 287 34% | 129 32% | 279 35% | 67 27% | 71 34% | 74 30% | 128 31% | 170 36% |
| Somewhat support | 544 43% | 252 41% | 292 46% | 231 43% | 88 48% | 76 48% G | 68 43% | 81 37% | 191 43% | 155 45% | 193 42% | 369 44% | 175 43% | 334 42% | 124 49% | 86 42% | 110 44% | 194 47% | 196 41% |
| Somewhat oppose | 139 11% | 65 11% | 74 12% | 69 13% EF | 20 11% E | 4 3% | 8 5% | 38 17% EF | 43 10% | 41 12% | 54 12% | 99 12% | 39 10% | 92 12% | 25 10% | 23 11% | 35 14% | 37 9% | 59 12% |
| Strongly oppose | 106 8% | 58 9% | 48 8% | 52 10% | 11 6% | 9 6% | 8 5% | 26 12% EF | 29 7% | 29 8% | 48 11% | 63 7% | 43 10% | 71 9% | 22 9% | 13 6% | 22 9% | 41 10% | 38 8% |
| (DK/NS) | 43 3% | 10 2% | 33 5% A | 25 5% | 6 3% | 2 1% | 6 4% | 4 2% | 20 5% | 8 2% | 15 3% | 22 3% | 21 5% | 16 2% | 12 5% | 14 7% M | 9 4% | 12 3% | 15 3% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 962 77% | 479 78% | 483 76% | 385 72% | 146 79% G | 143 91% CDG | 137 86% CG | 151 69% | 347 79% | 268 77% | 338 74% | 656 78% | 304 75% | 612 77% | 191 76% | 157 76% | 184 74% | 322 78% | 365 77% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 245 20% | 123 20% | 122 19% | 121 23% EF | 31 17% E | 13 8% | 16 10% | 64 29% DEF | 72 16% | 70 20% | 102 22% | 162 19% | 82 20% | 163 21% | 47 19% | 35 17% | 57 23% | 78 19% | 96 20% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

q5_8. And in general, do you support or oppose Replacing agricultural land with residential developments? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Replacing agricultural land with residential developments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 83 7% | 52 8% B | 32 5% | 38 7% | 14 8% | 12 8% | 10 6% | 10 4% | 29 7% | 19 5% | 35 8% | 65 8% | 18 4% | 59 7% | 8 3% | 17 8% | 15 6% | 22 5% | 40 8% |
| Somewhat support | 185 15% | 102 17% | 83 13% | 68 13% | 28 15% | 29 19% | 30 19% | 29 13% | 71 16% | 54 16% | 59 13% | 125 15% | 60 15% | 121 15% | 37 15% | 27 13% | 35 14% | 66 16% | 73 15% |
| Somewhat oppose | 304 24% | 146 24% | 158 25% | 134 25% | 41 22% | 36 23% | 44 28% | 49 22% | 147 34% | 81 23% | 75 17% | 189 23% | 115 28% | 169 21% | 80 32% | 55 27% | 55 22% | 104 25% | 128 27% |
| Strongly oppose | 658 53% | 305 50% | 353 55% | 282 53% | 100 54% | 78 49% | 71 45% | 127 58% | 181 41% | 188 54% | 281 62% | 450 54% | 204 50% | 433 55% | 120 48% | 104 50% | 135 54% | 217 53% | 229 48% |
| (DK/NS) | 19 2% | 7 1% | 12 2% | 9 2% | 1 0% | 2 1% | 3 2% | 4 2% | 10 2% | 4 1% | 4 1% | 10 1% | 8 2% | 10 1% | 5 2% | 4 2% | 10 4% | 3 1% | 5 1% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 268 21% | 154 25% B | 115 18% | 106 20% | 42 23% | 41 26% | 40 25% | 39 18% | 100 23% | 72 21% | 93 21% | 190 23% | 78 19% | 180 23% | 45 18% | 43 21% | 49 20% | 88 21% | 114 24% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 963 77% | 451 74% | 512 80% A | 416 78% | 141 77% | 114 73% | 115 73% | 176 80% | 329 75% | 269 78% | 356 78% | 639 76% | 320 79% | 601 76% | 200 80% | 159 77% | 190 76% | 320 78% | 358 75% |

GOVERNMENT

q7_1. Now using a scale of very satified, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. The overall level and quality of the services provided by your municipality

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| The overall level and quality of the services provided by your municipality | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 260 21% | 144 23% | 116 18% | 111 21% | 51 28% EF | 28 18% | 23 15% | 47 22% | 80 18% | 64 18% | 114 25% I | 191 23% | 68 17% | 184 23% O | 47 19% | 29 14% | 35 14% | 80 19% | 117 24% P |
| Somewhat satisfied | 749 60% | 360 59% | 388 61% | 330 62% | 98 53% | 94 60% | 95 60% | 131 60% | 266 61% | 217 63% | 258 57% | 505 60% | 243 60% | 470 59% | 157 63% | 121 59% | 150 60% | 244 59% | 295 62% |
| Not very satisfied | 166 13% | 73 12% | 93 15% | 60 11% | 28 15% | 24 15% | 20 13% | 34 15% | 70 16% | 41 12% | 54 12% | 108 13% | 57 14% | 99 12% | 30 12% | 35 17% | 42 17% R | 57 14% | 47 10% |
| Not at all satisfied | 59 5% | 26 4% | 34 5% | 21 4% | 6 3% | 10 6% | 16 10% CDG | 6 3% | 16 4% | 21 6% | 22 5% | 29 3% | 29 7% K | 36 5% | 7 3% | 17 8% N | 15 6% | 27 7% R | 14 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 16 1% | 9 1% | 7 1% | 9 2% | 1 0% | 2 1% | 4 3% G | 0 0% | 7 2% | 3 1% | 6 1% | 7 1% | 9 2% | 3 0% | 10 4% M | 4 2% M | 7 3% | 4 1% | 4 1% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 1008 81% | 504 82% | 504 79% | 441 83% F | 149 81% | 122 77% | 118 74% | 178 81% | 346 79% | 281 81% | 372 82% | 696 83% L | 311 77% | 654 83% O | 204 81% | 150 73% | 185 74% | 324 79% | 411 86% PQ |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 226 18% | 99 16% | 127 20% | 81 15% | 34 19% | 34 22% | 36 23% C | 40 18% | 86 20% | 62 18% | 76 17% | 137 16% | 86 21% | 135 17% | 37 15% | 52 25% MN | 58 23% R | 84 20% R | 61 13% |

GOVERNMENT

q7_2. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Providing convenient and accessible public transportation

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Providing convenient and accessible public transportation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 194 16% | 109 18% | 85 13% | 89 17% | 24 13% | 23 15% | 21 13% | 38 17% | 60 14% | 50 15% | 81 18% | 110 13% | 84 21% K | 109 14% | 55 22% M | 30 14% | 43 17% | 66 16% | 64 13% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 594 47% | 283 46% | 310 49% | 261 49% E | 81 44% | 61 39% | 75 47% | 116 53% E | 230 52% J | 164 47% | 195 43% | 411 49% | 182 45% | 368 46% | 131 52% | 95 46% | 130 52% | 184 45% | 230 48% |
| Not very satisfied | 267 21% | 123 20% | 144 23% | 116 22% | 46 25% | 39 25% | 27 17% | 38 17% | 93 21% | 76 22% | 97 21% | 183 22% | 82 20% | 171 22% | 46 18% | 48 23% | 40 16% | 99 24% P | 101 21% |
| Not at all satisfied | 144 12% | 73 12% | 71 11% | 56 11% | 27 14% | 23 15% | 20 12% | 18 8% | 43 10% | 43 13% | 55 12% | 91 11% | 51 13% | 105 13% N | 14 5% | 26 12% N | 26 10% | 50 12% | 61 13% |
| (DK/NS) | 51 4% | 23 4% | 28 4% | 9 2% | 6 3% | 11 7% C | 16 10% CDG | 9 4% | 13 3% | 12 3% | 26 6% | 44 5% L | 7 2% | 38 5% | 5 2% | 8 4% | 11 4% | 13 3% | 20 4% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 788 63% | 393 64% | 395 62% | 350 66% E | 105 57% | 84 53% | 96 60% | 154 70% DE | 291 66% | 214 62% | 276 61% | 521 62% | 267 66% | 477 60% | 187 74% MO | 125 60% | 173 69% | 250 61% | 295 62% |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 411 33% | 197 32% | 214 34% | 173 32% | 73 40% G | 63 40% G | 47 29% | 56 26% | 135 31% | 120 35% | 152 33% | 274 33% | 133 33% | 276 35% N | 59 24% | 74 36% N | 66 26% | 149 36% P | 162 34% |

GOVERNMENT

q7_3. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Easing traffic congestion on local roads and highways

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Easing traffic congestion on local roads and highways | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 178 14% | 85 14% | 94 15% | 41 8% | 30 16% C | 34 21% C | 30 19% C | 43 20% C | 65 15% | 44 13% | 68 15% | 112 13% | 67 16% | 118 15% | 34 14% | 26 13% | 52 21% QR | 50 12% | 58 12% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 530 42% | 256 42% | 274 43% | 187 35% | 90 49% C | 77 49% C | 82 52% C | 94 43% | 202 46% | 135 39% | 188 42% | 370 44% | 160 39% | 341 43% | 110 44% | 79 38% | 110 44% | 181 44% | 187 39% |
| Not very satisfied | 333 27% | 154 25% | 178 28% | 181 34% DEFG | 40 22% | 33 21% | 24 15% | 55 25% F | 114 26% | 92 27% | 124 27% | 229 27% | 101 25% | 191 24% | 70 28% | 70 34% M | 42 17% | 130 31% P | 130 27% P |
| Not at all satisfied | 189 15% | 111 18% B | 78 12% | 116 22% DEFG | 23 12% | 11 7% | 15 9% | 24 11% | 51 12% | 69 20% HJ | 66 15% | 116 14% | 72 18% | 127 16% | 34 14% | 27 13% | 41 16% | 45 11% | 92 19% Q |
| (DK/NS) | 20 2% | 7 1% | 14 2% | 5 1% | 1 1% | 2 2% | 8 5% CDG | 3 1% | 7 2% | 6 2% | 8 2% | 13 2% | 7 2% | 14 2% | 2 1% | 4 2% | 5 2% | 6 2% | 9 2% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 708 57% | 340 56% | 368 58% | 228 43% | 120 65% C | 111 70% C | 112 71% C | 137 63% C | 267 61% I | 179 52% | 256 56% | 482 57% | 226 56% | 459 58% | 144 57% | 105 51% | 162 65% R | 231 56% | 245 51% |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 521 42% | 265 43% | 256 40% | 298 56% DEFG | 62 34% | 44 28% | 38 24% | 79 36% F | 165 38% | 161 46% H | 190 42% | 345 41% | 173 43% | 318 40% | 104 42% | 97 47% | 83 33% | 174 42% | 223 47% P |

GOVERNMENT

q7_4. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Taking steps to ensure there are homes to buy at affordable prices

| Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Taking steps to ensure there are homes to buy at affordable prices | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 98 8% | 53 9% | 46 7% | 22 4% | 26 14% CG | 13 8% | 21 14% C | 16 7% | 28 6% | 21 6% | 49 11% I | 78 9% L | 20 5% | 64 8% | 16 7% | 18 9% | 21 8% | 29 7% | 37 8% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 448 36% | 216 35% | 232 36% | 170 32% | 75 41% | 63 40% | 67 43% C | 73 33% | 155 35% | 122 35% | 167 37% | 337 40% L | 110 27% | 305 38% | 77 31% | 67 32% | 89 36% | 123 30% | 194 41% Q |
| Not very satisfied | 426 34% | 192 31% | 234 37% | 207 39% DF | 42 23% | 54 34% D | 39 25% | 84 38% DF | 169 36% J | 124 36% J | 129 28% | 260 31% K | 164 40% | 258 33% | 94 37% | 73 35% | 80 32% | 167 41% R | 144 30% |
| Not at all satisfied | 211 17% | 111 18% | 100 16% | 112 21% EG | 28 15% | 20 13% | 23 15% | 28 13% | 74 17% | 66 19% | 69 15% | 112 13% K | 97 24% | 117 15% | 54 22% | 40 19% | 46 18% | 70 17% | 82 17% |
| (DK/NS) | 66 5% | 40 6% | 27 4% | 20 4% | 13 7% | 8 5% | 7 5% | 18 8% C | 13 3% | 13 4% | 40 9% HI | 51 6% | 15 4% | 48 6% | 9 4% | 9 4% | 14 6% | 22 5% | 20 4% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 546 44% | 269 44% | 278 44% | 192 36% | 101 55% CG | 76 48% C | 89 56% CG | 88 40% | 183 42% | 143 41% | 216 47% | 416 50% L | 130 32% | 368 47% | 94 37% | 85 41% | 110 44% | 152 37% | 230 48% Q |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 637 51% | 304 50% | 333 52% | 319 60% DEF | 69 38% | 74 47% | 62 39% | 112 51% DF | 242 55% J | 190 55% J | 198 44% | 372 44% K | 261 64% K | 375 47% | 148 59% M | 113 55% | 125 50% | 238 58% R | 226 47% |

GOVERNMENT

q7_5. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Taking steps to ensure your community is safe and free of violent crime

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Taking steps to ensure your community is safe and free of violent crime | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 224 18% | 112 18% | 112 18% | 94 18% | 20 11% | 27 17% | 31 20% D | 52 24% D | 89 20% I | 45 13% | 89 20% I | 129 15% | 94 23% K | 143 18% | 45 18% | 36 17% | 56 22% | 67 16% | 78 16% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 591 47% | 296 48% | 295 46% | 262 49% | 74 40% | 81 51% | 69 43% | 106 49% | 184 42% | 177 51% H | 223 49% | 419 50% L | 171 42% | 374 47% | 112 45% | 104 50% | 100 40% | 191 46% | 248 52% P |
| Not very satisfied | 289 23% | 132 21% | 157 25% | 112 21% | 62 33% CEG | 32 20% | 42 26% | 41 19% | 120 27% J | 76 22% | 91 20% | 197 23% | 91 22% | 178 22% | 66 26% | 45 22% | 55 22% | 107 26% | 102 21% |
| Not at all satisfied | 138 11% | 71 12% | 67 10% | 62 12% | 27 15% | 15 10% | 14 9% | 19 9% | 44 10% | 46 13% | 48 11% | 87 10% | 49 12% | 89 11% | 27 11% | 21 10% | 37 15% | 47 11% | 44 9% |
| (DK/NS) | 9 1% | 2 0% | 7 1% | 2 0% | 1 1% | 2 1% | 3 2% | 1 0% | 3 1% | 2 1% | 4 1% | 7 1% | 1 0% | 7 1% | 1 1% | 0 - | 2 1% | 1 0% | 4 1% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 815 65% | 408 67% | 407 64% | 355 67% D | 94 51% | 107 68% D | 100 63% D | 158 72% D | 273 62% | 222 64% | 312 69% | 548 65% | 265 65% | 517 65% | 157 63% | 140 68% | 156 62% | 258 63% | 326 68% |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 426 34% | 203 33% | 224 35% | 174 33% | 89 48% CEFG | 48 30% | 56 35% | 60 27% | 164 37% | 122 35% | 139 31% | 284 34% | 140 35% | 267 34% | 93 37% | 66 32% | 92 37% | 153 37% | 146 31% |

GOVERNMENT

q7_6. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Encouraging economic investment that brings jobs to your area

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Encouraging economic investment that brings jobs to your area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 173 14% | 100 16% B | 73 11% | 60 11% | 35 19% CG | 22 14% | 31 20% CG | 25 11% | 48 11% | 38 11% | 85 19% HI | 136 16% L | 37 9% | 127 16% O | 28 11% | 19 9% | 22 9% | 40 10% | 93 20% PQ |
| Somewhat satisfied | 625 50% | 293 48% | 331 52% | 310 58% EFG | 90 49% | 65 41% | 62 39% | 98 45% | 226 51% | 185 53% J | 209 46% | 422 50% | 202 50% | 380 48% | 132 53% | 112 54% | 125 50% | 207 50% | 236 49% |
| Not very satisfied | 291 23% | 137 22% | 154 24% | 100 19% | 44 24% | 50 31% | 39 25% | 58 27% C | 119 27% J | 80 23% | 88 19% | 185 22% | 104 26% | 185 23% | 63 25% | 41 20% | 61 25% | 110 27% R | 94 20% |
| Not at all satisfied | 125 10% | 69 11% | 56 9% | 41 8% | 13 7% | 19 12% | 23 14% CD | 30 14% C | 36 8% | 41 12% | 48 11% | 70 8% | 53 13% K | 84 11% | 20 8% | 21 10% | 37 15% R | 42 10% | 40 8% |
| (DK/NS) | 37 3% | 13 2% | 24 4% | 21 4% | 2 1% | 2 1% | 4 2% | 8 4% | 10 2% | 3 1% | 24 5% I | 26 3% | 11 3% | 15 2% | 8 3% | 13 6% M | 5 2% | 13 3% | 14 3% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 798 64% | 394 64% | 404 63% | 369 70% EFG | 125 68% EG | 87 55% | 93 59% | 123 56% | 274 62% | 222 64% | 294 65% | 558 66% L | 239 59% | 507 64% | 160 64% | 131 64% | 147 59% | 246 60% | 329 69% PQ |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 416 33% | 206 34% | 210 33% | 141 26% | 57 31% | 68 43% CD | 62 39% C | 88 40% C | 155 35% | 121 35% | 136 30% | 256 30% | 157 39% K | 269 34% | 82 33% | 62 30% | 98 39% R | 153 37% R | 134 28% |

GOVERNMENT

q7_7. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Providing parks and other recreational services or facilities

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Providing parks and other recreational services or facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 498 40% | 252 41% | 246 39% | 214 40% | 78 43% F | 56 35% | 49 31% | 101 46% EF | 173 39% | 136 39% | 186 41% | 337 40% | 161 40% | 309 39% | 116 46% | 73 35% | 86 35% | 143 35% | 217 45% PQ |
| Somewhat satisfied | 571 46% | 271 44% | 300 47% | 259 49% | 77 42% | 73 47% | 70 44% | 92 42% | 217 49% | 147 43% | 200 44% | 389 46% | 180 44% | 352 45% | 115 46% | 102 50% | 118 47% | 208 51% R | 192 40% |
| Not very satisfied | 119 9% | 59 10% | 60 9% | 40 8% | 21 11% | 17 11% | 24 15% CG | 17 8% | 36 8% | 38 11% | 43 9% | 69 8% | 47 12% | 79 10% | 16 6% | 23 11% | 30 12% | 38 9% | 47 10% |
| Not at all satisfied | 58 5% | 29 5% | 30 5% | 19 4% | 6 3% | 10 6% | 14 9% CD | 9 4% | 11 2% | 23 7% H | 24 5% | 41 5% | 18 4% | 48 6% N | 4 1% | 7 3% | 15 6% | 21 5% | 18 4% |
| (DK/NS) | 4 0% | 2 0% | 2 0% | 0 - | 1 1% | 2 1% C | 2 1% C | 0 - | 2 0% | 1 0% | 1 0% | 3 0% | 1 0% | 2 0% | 1 0% | 1 1% | 0 - | 1 0% | 3 1% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 1069 86% | 522 85% | 547 86% | 472 89% EF F | 156 85% F | 129 82% | 119 75% | 193 88% F I | 390 89% | 283 82% | 386 85% | 726 86% | 341 84% | 661 84% | 231 92% M | 175 85% | 204 82% | 351 85% | 409 86% |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 177 14% | 87 14% | 89 14% | 59 11% | 27 15% | 27 17% | 38 24% CDG | 26 12% | 47 11% | 61 18% H | 67 15% | 110 13% | 65 16% | 127 16% N | 20 8% | 30 14% | 46 18% | 60 14% | 65 14% |

GOVERNMENT

q7_8. Now using a scale of very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied, please tell me how satisfied you are with your local government's performance in each of the following areas. Maintaining or upgrading the condition of local streets and highways

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | | REGION | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| | | Male A | Female B | Greater Vancouver C | Fraser Valley D | South Interior E | North Interior F | Vancouver Is G | 18-34 H | 35-54 I | 55+ J | Own K | Rent L | Detached house M | Apartment N | Townhouse/ Duplex/Other O | <\$30K P | \$30K-<\$60K Q | \$60K+ R | |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 | |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 | |
| Maintaining or upgrading the condition of local streets and highways | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very satisfied | 238 19% | 127 21% | 111 17% | 102 19% F | 44 24% F | 24 15% F | 11 7% | 56 26% EF | 88 20% | 59 17% | 90 20% | 152 18% | 86 21% | 148 19% | 56 22% | 34 16% | 43 17% | 73 18% | 95 20% | |
| Somewhat satisfied | 590 47% | 280 46% | 309 48% | 272 51% F | 95 52% F | 69 44% F | 48 30% | 104 48% F | 201 46% | 156 45% | 227 50% | 403 48% | 186 46% | 353 45% | 131 52% | 105 51% | 129 52% | 193 47% | 222 46% | |
| Not very satisfied | 287 23% | 136 22% | 151 24% | 107 20% | 35 19% | 45 28% CG | 59 37% CDG | 42 19% | 105 24% | 87 25% | 93 20% | 200 24% | 86 21% | 193 24% | 43 17% | 52 25% | 45 18% | 105 25% | 108 23% | |
| Not at all satisfied | 131 10% | 69 11% | 62 10% | 47 9% | 10 5% | 18 11% | 39 25% CDEG | 17 8% | 42 9% | 44 13% | 44 10% | 85 10% | 44 11% | 96 12% O | 20 8% | 13 6% | 32 13% | 38 9% | 51 11% | |
| (DK/NS) | 5 0% | 0 0% | 4 1% | 3 1% | 0 - | 2 1% | 0 0% | 0 - | 4 1% | 0 0% | 0 - | 0 0% | 4 1% K | 0 0% | 2 1% | 3 1% M | 2 1% | 3 1% | 0 0% | |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satisfied (Very/Somewhat) | 827 66% | 407 66% | 421 66% | 375 71% EF | 139 76% EF | 94 59% F | 60 38% | 160 73% EF | 289 66% | 215 62% | 317 70% I | 554 66% | 272 67% | 501 63% | 187 75% M | 139 67% | 171 69% | 266 65% | 317 67% | |
| Not Satisfied (Not at all/Not very) | 418 33% | 205 33% | 213 33% | 154 29% CDG | 45 24% | 62 40% CDG | 98 62% CDEG | 59 27% | 146 33% | 131 38% J | 137 30% | 285 34% | 130 32% | 290 37% N | 62 25% | 64 31% | 77 31% | 143 35% | 159 33% | |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q10. I am going to read you different pairs of factors that you might consider when deciding to live in one neighbourhood over another. For each pair, please indicate which factor is more important in your decision of where to live.

Test Suppressed Because No Base Row

| | GENDER | | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|--|--------|------|--------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------|-------|-----|-----------|------|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | Total | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/ Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| NUMBER OF TIMES EACH CHOICE WAS PICKED AS PERCENTAGE OF NUMBER OF TIMES IT WAS PRESENTED | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The level of crime | 58 | 54 | 62 | 59 | 62 | 48 | 61 | 55 | 64 | 58 | 51 | 58 | 57 | 59 | 53 | 57 | 60 | 55 | 60 |
| Proximity to schools | 37 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 40 | 37 | 42 | 38 | 49 | 41 | 23 | 37 | 38 | 41 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 36 | 41 |
| The level of traffic | 38 | 39 | 37 | 33 | 44 | 47 | 36 | 41 | 32 | 45 | 39 | 42 | 30 | 40 | 32 | 37 | 31 | 37 | 42 |
| Proximity to amenities such as retail stores, parks, etc | 50 | 50 | 49 | 55 | 45 | 46 | 40 | 51 | 51 | 48 | 50 | 47 | 56 | 46 | 58 | 55 | 53 | 49 | 50 |
| Affordability of homes | 65 | 66 | 65 | 62 | 68 | 74 | 60 | 67 | 70 | 60 | 64 | 63 | 70 | 64 | 65 | 69 | 69 | 68 | 60 |
| Access to doctors, hospitals, and other health care services | 50 | 50 | 49 | 45 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 53 | 36 | 41 | 70 | 54 | 41 | 49 | 53 | 48 | 62 | 51 | 42 |
| Access to public transportation | 31 | 29 | 32 | 42 | 26 | 19 | 14 | 29 | 34 | 24 | 32 | 25 | 43 | 25 | 48 | 33 | 41 | 33 | 24 |
| Proximity to your work or your spouse's work | 48 | 50 | 47 | 55 | 39 | 52 | 49 | 39 | 55 | 56 | 37 | 46 | 54 | 47 | 55 | 47 | 35 | 51 | 53 |
| Being a family-oriented neighbourhood | 64 | 63 | 65 | 59 | 65 | 68 | 75 | 68 | 56 | 71 | 66 | 68 | 56 | 70 | 51 | 59 | 55 | 61 | 70 |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_1. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. The type of home

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | Male A | Female B | Greater Vancouver C | Fraser Valley D | South Interior E | North Interior F | Vancouver Is G | 18-34 H | 35-54 I | 55+ J | Own K | Rent L | Detached house M | Apartment N | Townhouse/ Duplex/Other O | <\$30K P | \$30k-<\$60K Q | \$60K+ R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| The type of home | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 764 61% | 350 57% | 415 65% A | 294 55% | 118 64% | 102 65% C | 97 61% | 153 70% C | 260 59% | 221 64% | 275 61% | 536 64% L | 224 55% | 529 67% NO | 134 53% | 99 48% | 145 58% | 229 56% | 314 66% Q |
| Somewhat important | 349 28% | 180 29% | 169 27% | 171 32% EG | 50 27% | 32 20% | 47 30% E | 49 22% | 125 28% | 97 28% | 125 28% | 235 28% | 113 28% | 210 27% | 69 27% | 70 34% | 68 27% | 127 31% | 124 26% |
| Not very important | 99 8% | 53 9% | 46 7% | 50 9% | 12 6% | 16 10% | 10 6% | 11 5% | 48 11% | 21 6% | 30 7% | 50 6% | 49 12% K | 36 5% | 35 14% M | 28 14% M | 20 8% | 46 11% R | 30 6% |
| Not at all important | 33 3% | 26 4% B | 7 1% | 17 3% | 3 2% | 6 4% | 2 1% | 5 2% | 7 2% | 6 2% | 19 4% I | 14 2% | 18 5% K | 13 2% | 13 5% M | 7 3% | 15 6% QR | 7 2% | 9 2% |
| (DK/NS) | 5 0% | 3 1% | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 0% | 1 1% | 3 2% CG | 0 - | 0 - | 0 0% | 4 1% | 4 0% | 1 0% | 3 0% | 1 0% | 1 1% | 1 0% | 3 1% | 0 - |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 1113 89% | 529 87% | 584 91% A | 465 88% | 168 91% | 134 85% | 144 91% | 203 93% E | 385 88% | 318 92% | 401 88% | 771 92% L | 338 83% | 739 93% NO | 203 81% | 169 82% | 213 85% | 356 86% | 438 92% PQ |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 132 11% | 79 13% B | 53 8% | 66 12% | 15 8% | 23 14% FG | 12 7% | 16 7% | 54 12% | 27 8% | 49 11% | 64 8% | 68 17% K | 49 6% | 47 19% M | 35 17% M | 35 14% R | 54 13% | 39 8% |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_2. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. A large yard

| Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/ Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30K-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| A large yard | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 450 36% | 204 33% | 247 39% | 145 27% | 78 42% C | 64 40% C | 75 48% C | 89 41% C | 186 42% J | 127 37% J | 134 29% | 303 36% | 144 35% | 332 42% NO | 57 23% | 59 29% | 101 40% | 134 33% | 186 39% |
| Somewhat important | 418 33% | 218 36% | 200 31% | 173 32% | 59 32% | 51 32% | 58 37% | 78 35% | 155 35% | 125 36% J | 132 29% | 279 33% | 138 34% | 281 36% | 78 31% | 59 28% | 73 29% | 150 36% | 152 32% |
| Not very important | 279 22% | 138 23% | 141 22% | 152 29% FG | 39 21% F | 34 21% F | 17 11% | 37 17% | 86 20% | 80 23% | 112 25% | 178 21% | 101 25% | 141 18% | 81 32% M | 57 28% M | 52 21% | 93 22% | 108 23% |
| Not at all important | 102 8% | 52 9% | 50 8% | 62 12% DF | 8 4% | 10 6% | 7 5% | 15 7% | 11 3% | 15 4% | 76 17% HI | 80 9% | 23 6% | 36 5% | 34 14% M | 32 15% M | 24 10% | 35 9% | 31 6% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 868 69% | 422 69% | 446 70% | 317 60% | 137 74% C | 114 73% C | 134 84% CDE | 166 76% C | 342 78% J | 251 73% J | 266 59% | 582 69% | 282 69% | 614 78% NO | 135 54% | 117 57% | 174 70% | 284 69% | 338 71% |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 382 31% | 190 31% | 192 30% | 214 40% DEFG | 47 26% F | 43 27% F | 25 16% | 52 24% | 97 22% | 95 27% | 188 41% HI | 258 31% | 124 31% | 177 22% | 115 46% M | 89 43% M | 76 30% | 128 31% | 139 29% |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_3. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. A garage or other parking facilities

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| A garage or other parking facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 621 50% | 297 49% | 324 51% | 260 49% | 113 62% CEFG | 75 47% | 71 45% | 103 47% | 167 38% | 168 49% | 280 62% HI | 459 55% L | 158 39% | 407 51% | 110 44% | 102 50% | 107 43% | 204 50% | 251 53% P |
| Somewhat important | 421 34% | 223 36% | 198 31% | 175 33% | 50 27% | 63 40% D | 66 41% D | 69 31% | 176 40% J | 122 35% J | 120 26% | 264 31% | 157 39% K | 249 31% | 104 41% M | 68 33% | 84 34% | 148 36% | 151 32% |
| Not very important | 149 12% | 63 10% | 86 13% | 62 12% | 20 11% | 13 9% | 18 11% | 36 17% E | 77 18% IJ | 39 11% J | 32 7% | 87 10% | 63 15% K | 106 13% | 27 11% | 17 8% | 32 13% | 46 11% | 61 13% |
| Not at all important | 58 5% | 28 5% | 29 5% | 34 6% D | 1 1% | 7 4% D | 4 3% | 11 5% D | 19 4% | 16 5% | 22 5% | 30 4% | 27 7% | 30 4% | 9 4% | 19 9% M | 26 10% QR | 14 3% | 13 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 1 0 | 0 - | 1 0 | 1 0 | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 1 0 | 0 - | 0 - | 1 0 | 0 - | 1 0 | 0 - | 1 0 | 0 - | 0 - |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 1043 83% | 520 85% | 522 82% | 434 82% | 163 89% G | 137 87% G | 136 86% | 172 78% | 343 78% | 290 84% | 400 88% H | 723 86% L | 316 78% | 656 83% | 214 85% | 171 83% | 191 76% | 352 85% P | 403 84% P |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 207 17% | 92 15% | 115 18% | 96 18% | 21 11% | 20 13% | 22 14% | 47 22% DE | 96 22% J | 55 16% | 54 12% | 117 14% | 90 22% K | 135 17% | 36 14% | 35 17% | 58 23% QR | 60 15% | 74 16% |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_4. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. The age of the home

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| The age of the home | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 290 23% | 153 25% | 137 21% | 118 22% | 44 24% | 35 22% | 40 25% | 54 25% | 86 20% | 69 20% | 132 29% | 198 24% | 90 22% | 182 23% | 57 23% | 49 24% | 72 29% | 91 22% | 94 20% |
| Somewhat important | 579 46% | 269 44% | 310 49% | 234 44% | 88 48% | 79 50% | 77 49% | 100 46% | 219 50% | 172 50% | 182 40% | 383 46% | 194 48% | 366 46% | 116 46% | 98 47% | 101 41% | 196 47% | 227 48% |
| Not very important | 296 24% | 139 23% | 157 25% | 138 26% | 44 24% | 31 20% | 33 21% | 50 23% | 116 26% | 75 22% | 104 23% | 199 24% | 97 24% | 193 24% | 53 21% | 50 24% | 51 20% | 108 26% | 120 25% |
| Not at all important | 83 7% | 50 8% | 33 5% | 40 8% | 8 4% | 12 8% | 8 5% | 14 7% | 19 4% | 29 8% | 35 8% | 59 7% | 24 6% | 50 6% | 23 9% | 10 5% | 24 10% | 17 4% | 36 8% |
| (DK/NS) | 2 0% | 0 - | 2 0% | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 2 0% | 1 0% | 1 0% | 0 - | 2 1% | 0 - | 2 1% | 0 - | 0 - |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 869 70% | 422 69% | 446 70% | 352 66% | 132 72% | 114 72% | 117 74% | 154 70% | 305 69% | 242 70% | 314 69% | 581 69% | 284 70% | 548 69% | 173 69% | 147 71% | 173 69% | 287 70% | 321 67% |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 380 30% | 190 31% | 190 30% | 179 34% | 52 28% | 44 28% | 41 26% | 65 30% | 135 31% | 104 30% | 138 30% | 257 31% | 121 30% | 243 31% | 76 30% | 60 29% | 75 30% | 125 30% | 156 33% |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_5. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Uniqueness, that is, all the homes in the neighbourhood do not look alike

| Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Uniqueness, that is, all the homes in the neighbourhood do not look alike | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 377 30% | 177 29% | 200 31% | 157 30% | 54 29% | 48 30% | 43 27% | 74 34% | 127 29% | 108 31% | 138 30% | 249 30% | 126 31% | 261 33% O | 73 29% | 40 20% | 90 36% Q | 106 26% | 141 30% |
| Somewhat important | 436 35% | 224 37% | 212 33% | 190 36% | 60 32% | 53 34% | 56 35% | 77 35% | 133 30% | 128 37% | 168 37% | 304 36% | 130 32% | 295 37% O | 88 35% | 53 26% | 69 28% | 157 38% P | 170 36% |
| Not very important | 309 25% | 143 23% | 165 26% | 129 24% | 48 26% | 42 27% | 39 25% | 50 23% | 139 32% IJ | 73 21% | 96 21% | 196 23% | 112 28% | 161 20% | 68 27% | 79 38% M | 64 25% | 109 26% | 115 24% |
| Not at all important | 127 10% | 67 11% | 60 9% | 56 11% | 22 12% | 14 9% | 18 11% | 17 8% | 39 9% | 36 11% | 50 11% | 90 11% | 37 9% | 73 9% | 21 8% | 34 16% MN | 25 10% | 40 10% | 50 11% |
| (DK/NS) | 2 0% | 1 0% | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 2 1% C | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 2 0% | 1 0% | 1 0% | 1 0% | 1 0% | 0 - | 2 1% | 0 - | 0 - |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 812 65% | 400 65% | 412 65% | 347 65% | 114 62% | 101 64% | 99 63% | 151 69% | 261 59% | 237 68% H | 306 67% | 553 66% | 256 63% | 556 70% O | 161 64% O | 93 45% | 159 64% | 263 64% | 311 65% |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 436 35% | 210 34% | 226 35% | 185 35% | 70 38% | 56 36% | 57 36% | 67 31% | 179 41% IJ | 109 32% | 146 32% | 286 34% | 149 37% | 234 30% | 89 35% | 113 55% MN | 89 36% | 149 36% | 166 35% |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_6. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Price

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Price | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 917 73% | 431 70% | 486 76% | 424 80% EFG | 134 73% | 104 66% | 107 67% | 147 67% | 350 80% J | 253 73% | 307 68% | 579 69% | 333 82% K | 561 71% | 195 78% | 159 77% | 197 79% R | 317 77% R | 318 67% |
| Somewhat important | 296 24% | 153 25% | 142 22% | 88 17% | 47 25% | 46 29% | 47 30% | 67 31% C | 87 20% | 85 25% | 121 27% | 230 27% L | 66 16% | 202 26% | 51 20% | 42 21% | 47 19% | 88 21% | 138 29% PQ |
| Not very important | 17 1% | 12 2% | 5 1% | 8 2% | 0 0% | 5 3% | 0 - | 4 2% | 2 0% | 4 1% | 10 2% | 17 2% L | 0 0% | 16 2% | 0 - | 1 1% | 0 0% | 2 0% | 14 3% PQ |
| Not at all important | 14 1% | 12 2% B | 2 0% | 8 1% | 1 1% | 3 2% | 2 1% | 0 - | 1 0% | 2 1% | 11 2% HI | 9 1% | 4 1% | 8 1% | 3 1% | 3 1% | 3 1% | 3 1% | 7 2% |
| (DK/NS) | 7 1% | 4 1% | 2 0% | 2 0% | 1 1% | 0 - | 2 1% | 1 0% | 0 - | 1 0% | 6 1% | 4 1% | 2 1% | 4 0% | 2 1% | 1 0% | 3 1% | 2 1% | 0 - |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 1212 97% | 584 95% | 628 98% A | 513 97% | 181 98% | 150 95% | 154 97% | 214 98% | 437 99% J | 339 98% J | 427 94% | 809 96% | 399 98% | 764 97% | 245 98% | 201 98% | 243 98% | 405 98% R | 456 96% |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 31 2% | 24 4% B | 7 1% | 16 3% | 2 1% | 7 5% | 2 1% | 4 2% | 3 1% | 6 2% | 21 5% HI | 26 3% | 5 1% | 24 3% | 3 1% | 4 2% | 4 1% | 4 1% | 21 4% Q |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_7. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. A view

| Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|--|
| | | GENDER | | | | REGION | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
| | Total | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/ Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30K-<\$60K | \$60K+ | |
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R | | |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 | |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 | |
| A view | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 234 19% | 116 19% | 118 18% | 90 17% | 35 19% | 30 19% | 38 24% | 41 19% | 52 12% | 66 19% H | 112 25% H | 165 20% | 68 17% | 150 19% | 46 18% | 38 18% | 50 20% | 78 19% | 86 18% | |
| Somewhat important | 551 44% | 282 46% | 269 42% | 232 44% | 84 46% | 67 43% | 72 45% | 95 44% | 213 49% | 148 43% | 185 41% | 366 44% | 183 45% | 333 42% | 118 47% | 100 49% | 111 45% | 174 42% | 210 44% | |
| Not very important | 343 27% | 155 25% | 188 29% | 157 30% | 45 24% | 44 28% | 35 22% | 63 29% | 139 32% J | 101 29% J | 101 22% | 218 26% | 123 30% | 221 28% | 70 28% | 50 24% | 57 23% | 128 31% | 132 28% | |
| Not at all important | 121 10% | 57 9% | 63 10% | 52 10% | 20 11% | 17 11% | 13 8% | 20 9% | 35 8% | 31 9% | 55 12% | 89 11% | 32 8% | 86 11% | 17 7% | 18 9% | 30 12% | 32 8% | 50 10% | |
| (DK/NS) | 1 0% | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 1 1% | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 1 0% | 1 0% | 0 - | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - | 1 1% | 0 - | 0 - | |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 785 63% | 398 65% | 387 61% | 322 61% | 120 65% | 97 61% | 110 69% | 136 62% | 265 60% | 214 62% | 297 65% | 532 63% | 251 62% | 483 61% | 164 65% | 138 67% | 161 64% | 252 61% | 295 62% | |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 464 37% | 213 35% | 251 39% | 209 39% F | 64 35% | 61 39% | 47 30% | 83 38% | 174 40% | 132 38% | 155 34% | 307 37% | 156 38% | 307 39% | 87 35% | 68 33% | 88 35% | 160 39% | 182 38% | |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_8. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Privacy from neighbours

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Privacy from neighbours | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 609 49% | 274 45% | 335 52% A | 229 43% | 96 52% | 81 52% | 85 53% C | 118 54% C | 199 45% | 183 53% | 221 49% | 411 49% | 195 48% | 415 52% O | 114 45% | 78 38% | 149 60% QR | 174 42% | 229 48% |
| Somewhat important | 498 40% | 261 43% | 237 37% | 223 42% | 76 41% | 61 39% | 58 37% | 81 37% | 180 41% | 132 38% | 182 40% | 349 42% | 147 36% | 307 39% | 101 40% | 90 43% | 74 30% | 187 45% P | 191 40% P |
| Not very important | 112 9% | 55 9% | 58 9% | 60 11% | 10 5% | 12 7% | 12 8% | 18 8% | 53 12% | 27 8% | 32 7% | 62 7% | 51 13% K | 53 7% | 27 11% | 33 16% M | 22 9% | 42 10% | 43 9% |
| Not at all important | 30 2% | 23 4% B | 7 1% | 20 4% | 2 1% | 3 2% | 3 2% | 2 1% | 7 2% | 4 1% | 19 4% I | 18 2% | 12 3% | 16 2% | 8 3% | 5 3% | 4 2% | 9 2% | 15 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 1 0% | 0 - | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - | 1 0% | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - | 0 - | 1 0% | 0 - | 1 0% | 0 - | 1 1% | 0 - | 1 0% | 0 - | 0 - |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 1106 89% | 535 87% | 572 90% | 452 85% | 172 93% C | 142 90% | 143 90% | 198 91% | 379 86% | 315 91% | 402 89% | 760 91% L | 342 84% | 722 91% O | 215 86% | 168 82% | 223 89% | 360 87% | 419 88% |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 142 11% | 77 13% | 65 10% | 80 15% D | 12 7% | 15 9% | 15 10% | 20 9% | 61 14% | 31 9% | 50 11% | 79 9% | 63 15% K | 69 9% | 35 14% | 38 18% M | 26 10% | 52 13% | 58 12% |

DECISION DRIVERS AND MOTIVATORS

q13_9. I'm going to read you a list of housing features that you might consider when buying a home. Please tell me how important each factor is in your decision of whether to purchase a particular home, using a scale of very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important. Condition, for example, are renovations needed?

| Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Condition, for example, are renovations needed? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very important | 567 45% | 254 41% | 313 49% A | 243 46% | 87 47% | 73 47% | 73 46% | 91 42% | 189 43% | 155 45% | 217 48% | 367 44% | 197 49% | 350 44% | 119 48% | 96 47% | 127 51% | 185 45% | 200 42% |
| Somewhat important | 449 36% | 222 36% | 227 36% | 187 35% | 66 36% | 54 34% | 59 37% | 84 38% | 168 38% | 136 39% J | 142 31% | 309 37% | 138 34% | 294 37% | 78 31% | 77 37% | 83 33% | 156 38% | 173 36% |
| Not very important | 178 14% | 105 17% B | 73 11% | 78 15% | 25 14% | 24 15% | 19 12% | 31 14% | 73 17% | 46 13% | 59 13% | 127 15% | 51 12% | 112 14% | 40 16% | 26 13% | 27 11% | 52 13% | 86 18% P |
| Not at all important | 47 4% | 28 5% | 19 3% | 17 3% | 6 3% | 6 4% | 7 5% | 11 5% | 9 2% | 8 2% | 29 6% HI | 31 4% | 16 4% | 29 4% | 10 4% | 8 4% | 11 4% | 14 3% | 16 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 9 1% | 3 1% | 5 1% | 6 1% | 0 - | 0 - | 1 0% | 2 1% | 0 - | 1 0% | 8 2% HI | 5 1% | 4 1% | 5 1% | 3 1% | 0 - | 2 1% | 5 1% | 1 0% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Important (Very/Somewhat) | 1016 81% | 476 78% | 540 85% A | 430 81% | 152 83% | 127 81% | 132 83% | 175 80% | 357 81% | 290 84% | 359 79% | 676 81% | 336 83% | 644 81% | 197 79% | 173 84% | 210 84% | 341 83% | 373 78% |
| Not important (Not at all/Not very) | 225 18% | 133 22% B | 93 15% | 96 18% | 31 17% | 30 19% | 26 16% | 42 19% | 82 19% | 55 16% | 88 19% | 159 19% | 67 16% | 142 18% | 50 20% | 33 16% | 37 15% | 67 16% | 103 22% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS REAL ESTATE AGENTS

q19_1. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating The rights of property owners? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| The rights of property owners | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 747 60% | 355 58% | 392 61% | 296 56% | 121 66% | 96 61% | 93 59% | 141 64% | 262 60% | 191 55% | 286 63% | 524 62% | 221 54% | 489 62% | 136 54% | 120 58% | 148 59% | 249 60% | 282 59% |
| Somewhat support | 355 28% | 174 28% | 181 28% | 169 32% | 45 25% | 41 26% | 41 26% | 58 27% | 134 30% | 112 32% | 108 24% | 220 26% | 134 33% | 209 26% | 87 35% | 59 29% | 69 28% | 114 28% | 142 30% |
| Somewhat oppose | 70 6% | 37 6% | 33 5% | 35 7% | 7 4% | 12 7% | 6 4% | 10 5% | 29 7% | 20 6% | 20 4% | 47 6% | 23 6% | 49 6% | 10 4% | 10 5% | 11 4% | 28 7% | 28 6% |
| Strongly oppose | 35 3% | 21 3% | 15 2% | 13 2% | 5 3% | 4 3% | 7 5% | 6 3% | 9 2% | 13 4% | 13 3% | 20 2% | 15 4% | 20 2% | 8 3% | 8 4% | 8 3% | 12 3% | 12 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 43 3% | 26 4% | 17 3% | 19 4% | 6 3% | 4 3% | 11 7% | 4 2% | 5 1% | 10 3% | 27 6% | 28 3% | 13 3% | 25 3% | 9 4% | 9 4% | 13 5% | 10 2% | 13 3% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 1102 88% | 529 86% | 573 90% | 464 87% | 166 90% | 138 87% | 134 85% | 199 91% | 396 90% | 303 88% | 394 87% | 744 89% | 355 87% | 698 88% | 223 89% | 179 87% | 217 87% | 363 88% | 424 89% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 105 8% | 57 9% | 48 8% | 48 9% | 12 7% | 16 10% | 13 8% | 16 7% | 38 9% | 33 10% | 33 7% | 67 8% | 38 9% | 69 9% | 19 7% | 18 9% | 19 8% | 39 10% | 40 8% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS REAL ESTATE AGENTS

q19_2. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Environmental conservation? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Environmental conservation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 731 58% | 335 55% | 396 62% A | 319 60% F | 101 55% | 99 63% F | 76 48% | 137 62% F | 259 59% | 199 58% | 266 59% | 472 56% | 257 63% | 448 57% | 161 64% | 120 58% | 148 59% | 242 59% | 273 57% |
| Somewhat support | 386 31% | 196 32% | 190 30% | 162 31% | 57 31% | 45 28% | 58 37% | 64 29% | 138 31% | 102 29% | 145 32% | 281 33% L | 104 26% | 261 33% | 69 27% | 57 28% | 74 30% | 131 32% | 152 32% |
| Somewhat oppose | 68 5% | 39 6% | 29 4% | 21 4% | 19 10% CEG | 6 4% | 11 7% | 10 5% | 27 6% | 22 6% | 17 4% | 40 5% | 27 7% | 41 5% | 11 4% | 15 7% | 17 7% | 23 6% | 22 5% |
| Strongly oppose | 41 3% | 30 5% B | 11 2% | 19 4% | 4 2% | 4 3% | 7 4% | 6 3% | 13 3% | 19 5% J | 9 2% | 25 3% | 16 4% | 28 3% | 8 3% | 5 3% | 8 3% | 10 3% | 20 4% |
| (DK/NS) | 24 2% | 13 2% | 12 2% | 10 2% | 3 2% | 3 2% | 7 4% G | 1 1% | 3 1% | 4 1% | 17 4% HI | 22 3% L | 2 0% | 13 2% | 2 1% | 8 4% | 3 1% | 6 2% | 11 2% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 1118 89% | 531 87% | 587 92% A | 481 91% F | 158 86% | 143 91% | 134 84% | 201 92% F | 397 90% | 301 87% | 412 91% | 752 90% | 361 89% | 709 90% | 229 91% | 177 86% | 222 89% | 373 90% | 425 89% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 108 9% | 69 11% B | 40 6% | 40 8% | 23 13% | 11 7% | 18 11% | 16 7% | 40 9% | 41 12% J | 26 6% | 65 8% | 43 11% | 69 9% | 19 8% | 21 10% | 25 10% | 33 8% | 42 9% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS REAL ESTATE AGENTS

q19_3. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating The elimination of the Property Transfer Tax? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|--|------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| The elimination of the Property Transfer Tax | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 522 42% | 274 45% | 248 39% | 212 40% | 88 48% | 70 45% | 68 43% | 83 38% | 176 40% | 163 47% J | 179 39% | 415 49% L | 106 26% | 371 47% NO | 74 30% | 76 37% | 76 30% | 168 41% P | 231 48% P |
| Somewhat support | 332 27% | 160 26% | 171 27% | 153 29% | 39 21% | 39 25% | 37 24% | 63 29% | 127 29% | 96 28% | 104 23% | 194 23% | 137 34% K | 195 25% | 80 32% | 57 28% | 68 27% | 102 25% | 130 27% |
| Somewhat oppose | 122 10% | 59 10% | 63 10% | 53 10% | 18 10% | 12 8% | 16 10% | 23 10% | 42 10% | 35 10% | 44 10% | 77 9% | 44 11% | 74 9% | 24 10% | 24 12% | 29 12% | 35 8% | 48 10% |
| Strongly oppose | 74 6% | 48 8% B | 26 4% | 26 5% | 19 10% C | 10 6% | 7 4% | 12 6% | 17 4% | 17 5% | 39 9% HI | 52 6% | 22 5% | 44 6% | 16 6% | 14 7% | 22 9% R | 25 6% | 20 4% |
| (DK/NS) | 201 16% | 71 12% | 129 20% A | 87 16% | 20 11% | 26 17% | 30 19% | 37 17% | 77 17% I | 35 10% | 88 19% I | 101 12% | 97 24% K | 108 14% | 57 23% M | 36 17% | 54 22% R | 82 20% R | 48 10% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 854 68% | 434 71% | 420 66% | 365 69% | 127 69% | 109 69% | 106 67% | 147 67% | 303 69% | 259 75% J | 283 62% | 609 73% L | 243 60% | 565 71% N | 154 61% | 133 64% | 144 58% | 270 66% | 361 76% PQ |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 195 16% | 106 17% | 89 14% | 79 15% | 37 20% | 22 14% | 23 14% | 35 16% | 59 13% | 52 15% | 83 18% | 130 15% | 66 16% | 118 15% | 40 16% | 37 18% | 52 21% | 60 15% | 69 14% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS REAL ESTATE AGENTS

q19_4. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Responsible fiscal management? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Responsible fiscal management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 578 46% | 293 48% | 285 45% | 239 45% | 85 46% | 77 49% | 68 43% | 108 49% | 179 41% | 158 46% | 236 52% H | 420 50% L | 157 39% | 382 48% | 103 41% | 93 45% | 101 40% | 178 43% | 253 53% PQ |
| Somewhat support | 432 35% | 191 31% | 241 38% A | 184 35% | 65 36% | 56 36% | 58 37% | 68 31% | 179 41% J | 123 36% J | 128 28% | 262 31% | 169 42% K | 265 33% | 105 42% | 62 30% | 95 38% | 153 37% | 151 32% |
| Somewhat oppose | 82 7% | 49 8% | 33 5% | 38 7% | 9 5% | 9 6% | 9 6% | 17 8% | 26 6% | 26 7% | 30 7% | 55 7% | 27 7% | 50 6% | 17 7% | 15 7% | 24 10% | 27 6% | 29 6% |
| Strongly oppose | 45 4% | 28 5% | 17 3% | 20 4% | 7 4% | 5 3% | 7 5% | 5 2% | 12 3% | 17 5% | 14 3% | 28 3% | 15 4% | 25 3% | 10 4% | 8 4% | 10 4% | 16 4% | 13 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 114 9% | 52 8% | 62 10% | 49 9% | 18 10% | 10 7% | 15 10% | 21 10% | 43 10% | 22 6% | 46 10% | 74 9% | 37 9% | 70 9% | 16 6% | 28 14% N | 20 8% | 38 9% | 30 6% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 1009 81% | 484 79% | 526 82% | 423 80% | 150 82% | 133 84% | 127 80% | 176 81% | 358 82% | 280 81% | 364 80% | 682 81% | 327 80% | 646 82% | 208 83% | 155 75% | 196 79% | 331 80% | 404 85% |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 127 10% | 77 13% B | 50 8% | 59 11% | 16 9% | 14 9% | 17 10% | 21 10% | 38 9% | 43 12% | 44 10% | 83 10% | 42 10% | 75 9% | 27 11% | 23 11% | 34 14% | 43 10% | 42 9% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS REAL ESTATE AGENTS

q19_5. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Government action to reduce crime? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Government action to reduce crime | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 815 65% | 394 64% | 421 66% | 338 64% | 129 70% | 105 66% | 101 64% | 141 65% | 265 60% | 220 64% | 322 71% HI | 559 67% | 253 62% | 512 65% | 157 62% | 144 70% | 154 62% | 266 65% | 317 67% |
| Somewhat support | 311 25% | 145 24% | 166 26% | 137 26% | 40 22% | 37 24% | 38 24% | 58 26% | 136 31% J | 87 25% J | 83 18% | 204 24% | 106 26% | 199 25% | 69 28% | 42 20% | 58 23% | 104 25% | 124 26% |
| Somewhat oppose | 56 4% | 32 5% | 24 4% | 29 5% | 3 2% | 7 5% | 4 2% | 13 6% | 24 6% | 17 5% | 15 3% | 26 3% | 30 7% K | 32 4% | 18 7% | 5 3% | 18 7% | 20 5% | 16 3% |
| Strongly oppose | 38 3% | 28 5% B | 9 1% | 15 3% | 8 4% | 4 3% | 6 4% | 5 2% | 8 2% | 14 4% | 16 4% | 32 4% | 6 2% | 31 4% N | 1 1% | 5 3% | 8 3% | 14 3% | 12 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 31 2% | 13 2% | 18 3% | 12 2% | 3 2% | 4 3% | 10 6% CG | 2 1% | 6 1% | 8 2% | 17 4% | 18 2% | 11 3% | 16 2% | 5 2% | 10 5% | 12 5% R | 8 2% | 8 2% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 1125 90% | 538 88% | 587 92% A | 476 90% | 169 92% | 142 90% | 139 88% | 199 91% | 402 91% | 307 89% | 406 89% | 764 91% | 359 88% | 711 90% | 226 90% | 186 90% | 212 85% | 370 90% | 441 92% P |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 94 8% | 61 10% B | 33 5% | 43 8% | 11 6% | 11 7% | 10 6% | 18 8% | 32 7% | 31 9% | 31 7% | 58 7% | 36 9% | 64 8% | 20 8% | 11 5% | 26 10% | 34 8% | 28 6% |

PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS REAL ESTATE AGENTS

q19_6. In general, do you support or oppose the real estate profession advocating Diverse housing options? Is that strongly or somewhat support/oppose?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R

| | Total | GENDER | | REGION | | | | | AGE | | | RESIDENCE | | HOUSING | | | INCOME | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | Male | Female | Greater Vancouver | Fraser Valley | South Interior | North Interior | Vancouver Is | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Own | Rent | Detached house | Apartment | Townhouse/Duplex/Other | <\$30K | \$30k-<\$60K | \$60K+ |
| | | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| Base: All respondents | 1250 | 577 | 673 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 218 | 613 | 402 | 926 | 319 | 880 | 185 | 183 | 227 | 378 | 519 |
| Weighted | 1250 | 612 | 638 | 531 | 184 | 157 | 158 | 219 | 439 | 346 | 454 | 840 | 406 | 791 | 251 | 206 | 250 | 412 | 477 |
| Diverse housing options | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly support | 462 37% | 208 34% | 254 40% | 226 42% EF | 60 33% | 44 28% | 48 30% | 84 38% | 183 42% J | 129 37% | 146 32% | 302 36% | 160 39% | 286 36% | 100 40% | 75 37% | 78 31% | 156 38% | 186 39% |
| Somewhat support | 547 44% | 281 46% | 266 42% | 233 44% | 82 44% | 74 47% | 70 44% | 89 40% | 196 45% | 160 46% | 187 41% | 375 45% | 171 42% | 349 44% | 103 41% | 95 46% | 103 41% | 189 46% | 220 46% |
| Somewhat oppose | 86 7% | 51 8% | 36 6% | 25 5% | 18 10% C | 13 8% | 15 10% C | 15 7% | 29 6% | 22 6% | 35 8% | 61 7% | 25 6% | 62 8% | 11 4% | 14 7% | 25 10% | 25 6% | 30 6% |
| Strongly oppose | 32 3% | 22 4% | 10 2% | 11 2% | 5 3% | 4 3% | 6 4% | 6 3% | 0 - H | 15 4% H | 15 3% H | 23 3% | 8 2% | 23 3% | 5 2% | 3 1% | 7 3% | 8 2% | 15 3% |
| (DK/NS) | 122 10% | 50 8% | 72 11% | 37 7% | 18 10% | 21 13% C | 20 13% C | 26 12% | 32 7% | 19 5% | 71 16% HI | 78 9% | 42 10% | 71 9% | 32 13% | 19 9% | 37 15% QR | 34 8% | 26 5% |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support (Strongly/Somewhat) | 1009 81% | 489 80% | 520 82% | 458 86% DEFG | 142 77% | 119 76% | 117 74% | 172 79% | 379 86% J | 290 84% J | 333 73% | 677 81% | 331 81% | 635 80% | 203 81% | 170 83% | 181 72% | 346 84% P | 406 85% P |
| Oppose (Strongly/Somewhat) | 119 10% | 73 12% B | 46 7% | 36 7% | 23 13% C | 17 11% | 21 13% C | 21 10% | 29 6% | 37 11% | 51 11% | 84 10% | 33 8% | 85 11% | 16 6% | 16 8% | 32 13% | 33 8% | 45 9% |