

Now, I want to discuss some issues concerning Canada and the United States. Please tell me whether you think Canada should...

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested
(5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	132	97	68	380	247	77	287	377	281	506	495
Weighted Base	1001	132	97*	68*	379	247	77*	294	362	294	484	517
Have closer, more friendly ties with the US	347	49	32	32	132	63	39	86	129	116	182	165
	35%	37% E	33% E	48% DE	35% E	25% BDE	51% BDE	29%	36%	39% G	38%	32%
Have a more distant, more independent relationship with the US	310	51	31	19	105	85	19	93	113	87	140	170
	31%	39% D	32%	28%	28%	34%	25%	32%	31%	30%	29%	33%
No change the relationship as it exists at all	314	31	31	15	128	90	19	104	113	82	150	165
	31%	23% A	32%	23% A	34% AC	36% AC	24%	35%	31%	28%	31%	32%
Don't know/Refused	30	1	3	1	15	10	0	11	7	9	12	18
	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	4%	-	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%

Now, I want to discuss some issues concerning Canada and the United States. Please tell me whether you think Canada should...

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested
(5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1001	798	203	93	243	294	349	197	315	329
Weighted Base	1001	799	202	92*	247	294	346	203	315	324
Have closer, more friendly ties with the US	347	271	76	33	93	104	115	68	104	124
	35%	34%	37%	36%	37%	35%	33%	34%	33%	38%
Have a more distant, more independent relationship with the US	310	241	69	28	71	100	103	62	98	96
	31%	30%	34%	30%	29%	34%	30%	30%	31%	30%
No change the relationship as it exists at all	314	260	55	27	75	85	120	61	105	102
	31%	33%	27%	29%	30%	29%	35%	30%	33%	31%
Don't know/Refused	30	27	3	4	8	5	9	13	8	2
	3%	3%	1%	5%	3%	2%	3%	6% HI	3%	1%

Within the next few days, the US Secretary of State Condoleezza [CON-DOE-LEEZA] Rice will visit Canada and meet with Prime Minister Paul Martin for wide ranging talks. [Random/Rotate]
Do you think that Ms. Rice's visit to Canada is a really genuine effort on behalf of President George Bush to show that the United States government cares about issues such as trade and energy affecting our two countries and really wants to resolve them, or do you think that her visit is really a big public relations exercise and President Bush and Ms. Rice really don't care about resolving issues between our two countries.

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	132	97	68	380	247	77	287	377	281	506	495
Weighted Base	1001	132	97*	68*	379	247	77*	294	362	294	484	517
Really wants to resolve	326 33%	39 29%	41 43% AE	20 29%	126 33%	76 31%	24 31%	80 27%	128 35% G	99 34%	167 35%	159 31%
Visit is just a big Public Relations PR effort	598 60%	89 67% B	52 53%	40 59%	216 57%	151 61%	51 66%	195 66% HI	208 58%	170 58%	282 58%	316 61%
Don't know/Refused	77 8%	5 4%	4 4%	8 12% AF	37 10% AF	20 8%	2 3%	18 6%	25 7%	25 8%	35 7%	42 8%

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1001	798	203	93	243	294	349	197	315	329
Weighted Base	1001	799	202	92*	247	294	346	203	315	324
Really wants to resolve	326 33%	263 33%	63 31%	36 40%	84 34%	94 32%	107 31%	67 33%	108 34%	99 31%
Visit is just a big Public Relations PR effort	598 60%	475 59%	124 61%	45 49%	139 56%	185 63% C	218 63% C	119 59%	189 60%	211 65%
Don't know/Refused	77 8%	61 8%	16 8%	10 11% E	25 10% E	14 5%	22 6%	17 8%	18 6%	14 4%

Now, as you may know, the American and Canadian governments have been involved in a long dispute about Canadian exports of softwood lumber to the United States. Since May 2002, the United States has imposed about \$4 billion in tariffs on softwood lumber from Canada after producers there complained Canadian provinces charge companies below-market rates to harvest government-owned forests. In August, a North American Free Trade agreement panel ruled that the tariffs imposed by the United States violate the laws of the trade agreement between the two countries. The United States is refusing to pay the tariffs back to Canadian companies affected and recently Prime Minister Paul Martin hinted to Americans that if the decision of the panel is not honoured and the money not repaid by the US government, Canada may start restricting oil and gas shipments to the United States and instead start providing it to other world market countries like China and India. With this in mind, please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements: Canada should restrict energy exports to the United States if the government there does not abide by the panel ruling and pay back the almost four billion owing to the softwood lumber industry in Canada ?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	132	97	68	380	247	77	287	377	281	506	495
Weighted Base	1001	132	97*	68*	379	247	77*	294	362	294	484	517
Strongly agree	529 53%	80 61% D	50 52%	33 48%	188 50%	137 56%	40 52%	146 50%	209 58% G	152 52%	260 54%	269 52%
Somewhat agree	240 24%	25 19%	26 26%	18 27%	90 24%	58 23%	23 30%	92 31% HI	78 22%	61 21%	94 19%	146 28% J
Somewhat disagree	109 11%	21 16%	7 7%	7 11%	39 10%	28 12%	6 8%	27 9%	40 11%	36 12%	56 12%	53 10%
Strongly disagree	92 9%	6 5%	10 11%	6 9%	44 12% A	17 7%	8 10%	25 9%	28 8%	28 10%	62 13% K	30 6%
Don't know/Refused	31 3%	0 -	4 4% A	3 5% A	18 5% A	6 3%	0 -	3 1%	7 2%	17 6% GH	12 2%	19 4%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Agree (Top2Box)	769 77%	105 79%	76 78%	51 75%	279 73%	195 79%	63 82%	238 81% I	287 79% I	213 72%	354 73%	415 80% J
Disagree (Low2Box)	201 20%	27 21%	17 18%	13 20%	83 22%	46 19%	14 18%	53 18%	68 19%	64 22%	118 24% K	83 16%

Canada should restrict energy exports to the United States if the government there does not abide by the panel ruling and pay back the almost four billion owing to the softwood lumber industry in Canada ?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1001	798	203	93	243	294	349	197	315	329
Weighted Base	1001	799	202	92*	247	294	346	203	315	324
Strongly agree	529 53%	408 51%	121 60% A	45 49%	142 57% F	167 57% F	169 49%	107 53%	172 55%	179 55%
Somewhat agree	240 24%	201 25%	39 19%	25 27%	47 19%	75 25%	89 26%	57 28%	76 24%	70 21%
Somewhat disagree	109 11%	91 11%	18 9%	7 7%	29 12%	29 10%	42 12%	17 8%	33 11%	38 12%
Strongly disagree	92 9%	75 9%	17 9%	10 11%	25 10%	20 7%	33 9%	15 8%	27 9%	31 10%
Don't know/Refused	31 3%	24 3%	8 4%	5 5% E	5 2%	4 1%	14 4% E	7 3%	6 2%	7 2%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Agree (Top2Box)	769 77%	609 76%	159 79%	70 76%	189 76%	241 82% F	258 74%	164 81%	249 79%	248 77%
Disagree (Low2Box)	201 20%	166 21%	35 17%	17 19%	54 22%	49 17%	75 22%	32 16%	60 19%	69 21%

The Canadian government should actively look for other markets like India and China for our softwood lumber and energy exports even though Government officials in the United States say it could further damage the trade relationship between our two countries ?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	132	97	68	380	247	77	287	377	281	506	495
Weighted Base	1001	132	97*	68*	379	247	77*	294	362	294	484	517
Strongly agree	478 48%	82 62% BDEF	44 45%	34 49%	166 44%	117 47%	35 46%	126 43%	166 46%	164 56% GH	255 53% K	223 43%
Somewhat agree	304 30%	29 22%	29 30%	14 20%	122 32% AC	82 33% AC	29 38% AC	100 34% I	129 35% I	64 22%	135 28%	169 33%
Somewhat disagree	102 10%	8 6%	12 12%	12 18% A	40 11%	24 10%	6 8%	36 12%	30 8%	26 9%	32 7%	70 14% J
Strongly disagree	87 9%	12 9%	11 12%	7 10%	30 8%	20 8%	7 9%	23 8%	29 8%	31 11%	53 11% K	34 7%
Don't know/Refused	30 3%	1 1%	1 1%	2 3%	21 5% AEF	5 2%	0 -	8 3%	9 2%	9 3%	10 2%	20 4%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Agree (Top2Box)	782 78%	111 84% C	73 75%	47 69%	288 76%	199 80%	64 83%	227 77%	294 81%	228 78%	389 80%	393 76%
Disagree (Low2Box)	189 19%	20 15%	23 24%	19 28% A	70 19%	44 18%	13 17%	59 20%	59 16%	57 19%	85 18%	104 20%

The Canadian government should actively look for other markets like India and China for our softwood lumber and energy exports even though Government officials in the United States say it could further damage the trade relationship between our two countries ?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1001	798	203	93	243	294	349	197	315	329
Weighted Base	1001	799	202	92*	247	294	346	203	315	324
Strongly agree	478 48%	371 46%	107 53%	36 39%	112 45%	134 46%	189 55% CDE	92 45%	147 47%	172 53%
Somewhat agree	304 30%	247 31%	57 28%	29 32%	75 30%	96 33%	98 28%	55 27%	106 34%	92 28%
Somewhat disagree	102 10%	89 11%	14 7%	13 14% F	23 9%	37 12% F	26 7%	30 15% I	29 9%	21 7%
Strongly disagree	87 9%	68 9%	19 9%	11 12% F	30 12% F	26 9%	18 5%	18 9%	27 9%	33 10%
Don't know/Refused	30 3%	25 3%	5 2%	2 2%	7 3%	2 1%	15 4% E	9 4%	6 2%	6 2%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Agree (Top2Box)	782 78%	618 77%	164 81%	65 71%	187 76%	230 78%	288 83% CD	147 72%	253 80% G	264 81% G
Disagree (Low2Box)	189 19%	157 20%	33 16%	24 27% F	53 21% F	63 21% F	43 13%	48 23%	57 18%	54 17%

The US is our best friend and a very secure market for our goods, and we should let them keep the \$4 billion and move on to other issues?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	132	97	68	380	247	77	287	377	281	506	495
Weighted Base	1001	132	97*	68*	379	247	77*	294	362	294	484	517
Strongly agree	60 6%	7 5%	3 3%	6 9%	24 6%	17 7%	4 5%	15 5%	17 5%	25 9% H	32 7%	28 5%
Somewhat agree	101 10%	9 7%	7 7%	6 9%	40 11%	31 12%	8 10%	35 12%	37 10%	25 9%	52 11%	48 9%
Somewhat disagree	218 22%	13 10%	16 17%	17 25% A	84 22% A	70 28% AB	17 22% A	80 27% I	75 21%	52 18%	97 20%	121 23%
Strongly disagree	595 59%	101 76% CDEF	69 71% CDE	36 53%	218 58%	124 50%	47 61%	161 55%	227 63% G	179 61%	293 61%	302 58%
Don't know/Refused	27 3%	2 2%	2 2%	3 4%	13 3%	6 2%	1 2%	4 1%	6 2%	12 4% GH	8 2%	19 4%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Agree (Top2Box)	161 16%	16 12%	10 10%	12 18%	64 17%	47 19% B	12 16%	50 17%	54 15%	50 17%	85 17%	77 15%
Disagree (Low2Box)	813 81%	114 86%	85 88% E	53 78%	303 80%	194 78%	64 83%	240 82%	302 83%	231 79%	391 81%	422 82%

The US is our best friend and a very secure market for our goods, and we should let them keep the \$4 billion and move on to other issues?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1001	798	203	93	243	294	349	197	315	329
Weighted Base	1001	799	202	92*	247	294	346	203	315	324
Strongly agree	60 6%	46 6%	14 7%	9 10% EF	21 8% E	12 4%	16 5%	17 9%	20 6%	14 4%
Somewhat agree	101 10%	86 11%	15 8%	14 16% F	23 9%	33 11%	29 8%	28 14%	31 10%	29 9%
Somewhat disagree	218 22%	181 23%	38 19%	17 18%	49 20%	71 24%	76 22%	48 23%	72 23%	65 20%
Strongly disagree	595 59%	466 58%	129 64%	44 48%	148 60% C	175 60% C	219 63% C	98 48%	191 60% G	214 66% G
Don't know/Refused	27 3%	21 3%	6 3%	8 8% DEF	6 2%	3 1%	7 2%	12 6% HI	3 1%	2 1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Agree (Top2Box)	161 16%	132 16%	30 15%	23 26% EF	44 18%	45 15%	45 13%	45 22% I	50 16%	44 13%
Disagree (Low2Box)	813 81%	647 81%	166 82%	60 66%	198 80% C	246 84% C	295 85% C	146 72%	262 83% G	279 86% G

I value and respect the United States and its Citizens-it's just that I disagree fundamentally with their government?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	132	97	68	380	247	77	287	377	281	506	495
Weighted Base	1001	132	97*	68*	379	247	77*	294	362	294	484	517
Strongly agree	484 48%	81 61% CDE	50 52%	25 36%	180 47%	108 44%	40 52%	156 53%	177 49%	132 45%	240 50%	244 47%
Somewhat agree	231 23%	29 22%	24 25%	23 34% E	90 24%	48 19%	17 22%	71 24%	90 25%	57 19%	104 22%	127 25%
Somewhat disagree	108 11%	7 5%	10 10%	8 12%	43 11%	31 13%	9 12%	30 10%	34 9%	40 13%	45 9%	63 12%
Strongly disagree	146 15%	13 9%	10 10%	9 13%	54 14%	54 22% ABDF	8 10%	30 10%	52 14%	56 19% G	80 16%	67 13%
Don't know/Refused	32 3%	3 3%	3 3%	3 5%	13 3%	6 2%	3 4%	7 2%	9 3%	9 3%	15 3%	17 3%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Agree (Top2Box)	715 71%	109 83% DE	74 77% E	48 71%	270 71% E	156 63%	57 74%	227 77% I	267 74% I	189 64%	344 71%	371 72%
Disagree (Low2Box)	254 25%	19 15%	20 20%	16 24%	97 25% A	85 34% ABDF	17 22%	60 20%	86 24%	96 33% GH	125 26%	129 25%

I value and respect the United States and its Citizens-it's just that I disagree fundamentally with their government?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1001	798	203	93	243	294	349	197	315	329
Weighted Base	1001	799	202	92*	247	294	346	203	315	324
Strongly agree	484 48%	394 49%	90 45%	40 43%	99 40%	150 51% D	188 54% D	89 44%	158 50%	169 52%
Somewhat agree	231 23%	188 23%	44 22%	20 22%	66 27%	61 21%	77 22%	46 23%	71 23%	78 24%
Somewhat disagree	108 11%	85 11%	23 11%	12 13%	20 8%	41 14% D	32 9%	28 14%	30 10%	37 11%
Strongly disagree	146 15%	110 14%	36 18%	16 18%	55 22% EF	37 12%	37 11%	33 16%	48 15%	36 11%
Don't know/Refused	32 3%	23 3%	9 4%	3 3%	8 3%	5 2%	13 4%	7 4%	8 2%	4 1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Agree (Top2Box)	715 71%	581 73%	134 66%	60 66%	165 67%	211 72%	265 77% CD	135 66%	229 73%	247 76% G
Disagree (Low2Box)	254 25%	195 24%	59 29%	28 31% F	75 30% F	78 26%	68 20%	61 30%	78 25%	73 22%

Now, the ruling by the NAFTA panel is considered final and Canada has won all of the decisions to date. Still, President Bush and his officials say that while that may be true, Canadian and American are best to resolve this by negotiating the matter further to come to some resolution. The Prime Minister and his officials disagree and indicate that to do so would be to violate the trade dispute mechanism negotiated over a decade ago. Given this stand-off by the United States, do you think that the Prime Minister and his officials should do what President Bush and his US Ambassador to Canada want and for the sake of the broader relationship sit down at a negotiating table and work something out, or do you think the Prime Minister and his officials refuse to do so and if the United States doesn't comply with the ruling take retaliatory trade actions against the United States even if it damages the overall relationship between the two countries?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	132	97	68	380	247	77	287	377	281	506	495
Weighted Base	1001	132	97*	68*	379	247	77*	294	362	294	484	517
Negotiate a Resolution	484 48%	51 38%	46 47%	34 51%	196 52%	116 47%	41 53%	148 50%	163 45%	147 50%	209 43%	275 53%
Take Retaliatory Actions	480 48%	74 56%	47 49%	30 45%	168 44%	126 51%	34 44%	136 46%	185 51%	138 47%	259 53%	221 43%
Don't know/Refused	37 4%	8 6%	4 4%	3 5%	15 4%	6 2%	2 2%	10 4%	14 4%	9 3%	17 3%	21 4%

Now, the ruling by the NAFTA panel is considered final and Canada has won all of the decisions to date. Still, President Bush and his officials say that while that may be true, Canadian and American are best to resolve this by negotiating the matter further to come to some resolution. The Prime Minister and his officials disagree and indicate that to do so would be to violate the trade dispute mechanism negotiated over a decade ago. Given this stand-off by the United States, do you think that the Prime Minister and his officials should do what President Bush and his US Ambassador to Canada want and for the sake of the broader relationship sit down at a negotiating table and work something out, or do you think the Prime Minister and his officials refuse to do so and if the United States doesn't comply with the ruling take retaliatory trade actions against the United States even if it damages the overall relationship between the two countries?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1001	798	203	93	243	294	349	197	315	329
Weighted Base	1001	799	202	92*	247	294	346	203	315	324
Negotiate a Resolution	484 48%	391 49%	93 46%	54 59%	135 54%	139 47%	143 41%	108 53%	159 51%	139 43%
Take Retaliatory Actions	480 48%	376 47%	104 51%	36 39%	106 43%	147 50%	185 53%	91 45%	144 46%	172 53%
Don't know/Refused	37 4%	31 4%	6 3%	2 2%	7 3%	7 3%	19 6%	4 2%	12 4%	13 4%