Income Trust Study

Detailed Tables

As you may know, the federal government is thinking about new taxes on a type of investment called an income trust. Some people say this is a good idea because the Government claims it may be losing tax revenues from income trusts. Others say this is a bad idea because the Government is unfairly changing the rules on investors, including retirees and pensioners, who made investment decisions based on the previous rules. Which statement is closest to your point of view?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

| | | REGION | N TYPE | | EDUC | CATION | | INCOME | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|--|----------|----------|------------|--------|--------------|---------|--|
| | TOTAL | Urban | Rural | <hs< td=""><td>HS</td><td>Post sec</td><td>University</td><td><\$30K</td><td>\$30K-<\$60K</td><td>\$60K +</td></hs<> | HS | Post sec | University | <\$30K | \$30K-<\$60K | \$60K + | |
| | | Α | В | С | D | Е | H | G | Н | 1 | |
| Base: All Respondents | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 784 | 216 | 94 | 202 | 356 | 339 | 197 | 295 | 392 | |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 785 | 215 | 92* | 207 | 361 | 331 | 200 | 296 | 388 | |
| Good idea (Government claims it may be losing tax revenues from income trusts) | 171 | 133 | 38 | 17 | 33 | 46 | 74 | 40 | 52 | 70 | |
| , | 17% | 17% | 18% | 18% | 16% | 13% | 22% E | 20% | 18% | 18% | |
| Bad idea (Government is unfairly changing the rules on investors, including retirees and pensioners, who made investment decisions based on the previous rules | 659 | 525 | 134 | 61 | 144 | 250 | 199 | 121 | 203 | 257 | |
| ' | 66% | 67% | 62% | 67% | 70% F | 69% F | 60% | 60% | 69% | 66% | |
| Don't know/Refused | 171 | 127 | 44 | 14 | 29 | 66 | 59 | 39 | 41 | 61 | |
| | 17% | 16% | 20% | 15% | 14% | 18% | 18% | 20% | 14% | 16% | |

As you may know, the federal government is thinking about new taxes on a type of investment called an income trust. Some people say this is a good idea because the Government is unfairly changing the rules on investors, including retirees and pensioners, who made investment decisions based on the previous rules. Which statement is closest to your point of view?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

| * small base | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-----|----------|----------|
| | | | REGION | | | | | | AGE | | GEN | NDER |
| | TOTAL | BC | ALB | SK/MN | ONT | QUE | ATL | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female |
| | | Α | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | I | J | K |
| Base: All Respondents | | I | | | | | | I | | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 132 | 97 | 68 | 379 | 247 | 77 | 237 | 442 | 302 | 518 | 482 |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 132* | 97* | 68* | 379 | 247 | 77* | 293 | 396 | 294 | 483 | 517 |
| Good idea (Government claims it may be losing tax revenues from income trusts) | 171 | 19 | 16 | 7 | 62 | 57 | 11 | 55 | 67 | 47 | 102 | 69 |
| | 17% | 14% | 16% | 11% | 16% | 23% CD | 14% | 19% | 17% | 16% | 21% K | 13% |
| Bad idea (Government is unfairly changing the rules on investors, including retirees and pensioners, who made investment decisions based on the previous rules | 659 | 91 | 70 | 49 | 236 | 162 | 51 | 187 | 272 | 188 | 317 | 341 |
| | 66% | 69% | 72% | 72% | 62% | 66% | 66% | 64% | 69% | 64% | 66% | 66% |
| Don't know/Refused | 171 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 81 | 28 | 16 | 51 | 58 | 58 | 64 | 106 |
| | 17% | 17% | 12% | 17% | 21% BE | 11% | 21% E | 17% | 15% | 20% | 13% | 21% J |

Income Trust Study

Detailed Tables

As you may know, the federal government is thinking about new taxes on a type of investment called an income trust. Some people say this is a good idea because the Government claims it may be losing tax revenues from income trusts. Others say this is a bad idea because the Government is unfairly changing the rules on investors, including retirees and pensioners, who made investment decisions based on the previous rules. Which statement is closest to your point of view?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B

| | | OWN INCOME TRU | JST INVESTMENTS |
|--|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| | TOTAL | Yes | No |
| | | A | В |
| Base: All Respondents | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 235 | 720 |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 226 | 727 |
| Good idea (Government claims it may be losing tax revenues from income trusts) | 171 | 27 | 140 |
| , | 17% | 12% | 19% A |
| Bad idea (Government is unfairly changing the rules on investors, including retirees and pensioners, who made investment decisions based on the previous rules | 659 | 179 | 454 |
| | 66% | 79% B | 62% |
| Don't know/Refused | 171 | 20 | 133 |
| | 17% | 9% | 18% |
| | | | Α |

There's been a recent significant drop in the value of income trust investments. Would you say this drop was caused mostly by statements from the Minister of Finance about changing the tax treatment of income trusts, or, mostly because of regular economic forces?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

| | | REGION | N TYPE | | EDUC | CATION | | INCOME | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|--|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--|
| | TOTAL | Urban | Rural | <hs< td=""><td>HS</td><td>Post sec</td><td>University</td><td><\$30K</td><td>\$30K-<\$60K</td><td>\$60K +</td></hs<> | HS | Post sec | University | <\$30K | \$30K-<\$60K | \$60K + | |
| | | Α | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | I | |
| Base: All Respondents | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 784 | 216 | 94 | 202 | 356 | 339 | 197 | 295 | 392 | |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 785 | 215 | 92* | 207 | 361 | 331 | 200 | 296 | 388 | |
| Caused mostly by statements from the Minister of Finance | 332 | 264 | 67 | 34 | 55 | 117 | 121 | 57 | 87 | 148 | |
| | 33% | 34% | 31% | 37% | 27% | 32% | 37% D | 29% | 30% | 38% GH | |
| Caused mostly by regular economic forces | 461 | 367 | 94 | 35 | 120 | 170 | 135 | 97 | 148 | 172 | |
| | 46% | 47% | 44% | 38% | 58% CEF | 47% | 41% | 49% | 50% | 44% | |
| Don't know/Refused | 207 21% | 153 19% | 54 25% | 23 25% | 32 15% | 75 21% | 75 23% | 46 23% | 61 20% | 68 18% | |

Income Trust Study

Detailed Tables

There's been a recent significant drop in the value of income trust investments. Would you say this drop was caused mostly by statements from the Minister of Finance about changing the tax treatment of income trusts, or, mostly because of regular economic forces?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

| | | REGION | | | | | | | AGE | | GENDER | |
|---|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|-------|-------|-----|--------|----------|
| | TOTAL | BC | ALB | SK/MN | ONT | QUE | ATL | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female |
| | | Α | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | I | J | K |
| Base: All Respondents | | Į. | | | | | | l | | | l | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 132 | 97 | 68 | 379 | 247 | 77 | 237 | 442 | 302 | 518 | 482 |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 132* | 97* | 68* | 379 | 247 | 77* | 293 | 396 | 294 | 483 | 517 |
| Caused mostly by statements from the Minister of Finance | 332 | 51 | 41 | 25 | 133 | 58 | 24 | 83 | 136 | 105 | 172 | 159 |
| | 33% | 38% E | 42% E | 37% E | 35% E | 23% | 31% | 28% | 34% | 36% | 36% | 31% |
| Caused mostly by regular economic forces | 461 | 51 | 40 | 32 | 152 | 153 | 34 | 151 | 183 | 124 | 233 | 228 |
| | 46% | 38% | 42% | 47% | 40% | 62% ABCDF | 44% | 52% | 46% | 42% | 48% | 44% |
| Don't know/Refused | 207 | 31 | 15 | 11 | 94 | 36 | 20 | 60 | 78 | 64 | 78 | 129 |
| | 21% | 23% | 16% | 16% | 25% E | 15% | 26% E | 20% | 20% | 22% | 16% | 25% J |

There's been a recent significant drop in the value of income trust investments. Would you say this drop was caused mostly by statements from the Minister of Finance about changing the tax treatment of income trusts, or, mostly because of regular economic forces?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B

| | | OWN INCOME TRU | JST INVESTMENTS |
|--|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| | TOTAL | Yes | No |
| | | A | В |
| Base: All Respondents | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 235 | 720 |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 226 | 727 |
| Caused mostly by statements from the Minister of Finance | 332 | 101 | 224 |
| | 33% | 44% B | 31% |
| Caused mostly by regular economic forces | 461 | 110 | 332 |
| | 46% | 48% | 46% |
| Don't know/Refused | 207 | 16 | 171 |
| | 21% | 7% | 23% |
| | | | Α |

Detailed Tables Income Trust Study

Do you, or does any member of your household own any income trust investments?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

| | | REGION | N TYPE | | EDU | CATION | | INCOME | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|--------|--------|--|-----|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--|
| | TOTAL | Urban | Rural | <hs< td=""><td>HS</td><td>Post sec</td><td>University</td><td><\$30K</td><td>\$30K-<\$60K</td><td>\$60K +</td></hs<> | HS | Post sec | University | <\$30K | \$30K-<\$60K | \$60K + | |
| | | Α | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | I | |
| Base: All Respondents | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 784 | 216 | 94 | 202 | 356 | 339 | 197 | 295 | 392 | |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 785 | 215 | 92* | 207 | 361 | 331 | 200 | 296 | 388 | |
| Yes | 226 | 177 | 50 | 17 | 49 | 84 | 74 | 22 | 65 | 115 | |
| | 23% | 23% | 23% | 19% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 11% | 22% G | 30% GH | |
| No | 727 | 570 | 157 | 68 | 148 | 265 | 240 | 168 | 220 | 257 | |
| | 73% | 73% | 73% | 74% | 72% | 73% | 73% | 84% HI | 74% I | 66% | |
| Don't know/Refused | 46 | 38 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 11 | 16 | |
| | 5% | 5% | 4% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 5% | 5% | 4% | 4% | |

Do you, or does any member of your household own any income trust investments?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K * small base

| | | REGION | | | | | | | AGE | GENDER | | |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | TOTAL | BC | ALB | SK/MN | ONT | QUE | ATL | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female |
| | | Α | В | С | D | Е | F | G | Н | I | J | K |
| Base: All Respondents | ' | | | | | | | I. | | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 1000 | 132 | 97 | 68 | 379 | 247 | 77 | 237 | 442 | 302 | 518 | 482 |
| Weighted Base | 1000 | 132* | 97* | 68* | 379 | 247 | 77* | 293 | 396 | 294 | 483 | 517 |
| Yes | 226 23% | 18 13% | 30 31% | 20 29% | 84 22% | 66 27% | 10 13% | 37 13% | 106 27% | 78 26% | 112 23% | 114 22% |
| | | | AF | AF | | AF | | | G | G | | |
| No | 727 | 110 | 66 | 42 | 266 | 178 | 65 | 241 | 283 | 194 | 350 | 378 |
| | 73% | 83% BCD | 68% | 62% | 70% | 72% | 84% BCDE | 82% HI | 72% | 66% | 72% | 73% |
| Don't know/Refused | 46 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 29 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 22 | 21 | 25 |
| | 5% | 4% | 1% | 9% BE | 8% BE | 1% | 3% | 5% H | 2% | 7% H | 4% | 5% |