. In what type of residence do you currently reside?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G

·				CMA			CONDO OWNERSHIP		
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No	
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962	
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964	
Detached/Semi-detached house	1050	310	154	263	153	170	0	1050	
	49%	55% BCE	45%	45%	63% ABCE	44%	-	53% F	
Condominium	161	42	37	33	19	29	161	0	
	8%	7%	11% C	6%	8%	8%	100% G	-	
Duplex/Townhouse	337	65	59	89	40	84	0	337	
·	16%	11%	17% A	15%	17% A	22% AC	-	17% F	
Apartment	503	139	71	188	22	83	0	503	
	24%	24% D	21% D	32% ABDE	9%	22% D	-	26% F	
Some other type of residence	73	13	24	11	10	16	0	73	
,,	3%	2%	7% AC	2%	4%	4%	-	4% F	
(Dk/Ns)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
,	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	

Prior to your current residence, have you ever lived in a condominium?

				CONDO OWNERSHIP			
Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
2018	489	398	367	432	332	56	1962
2020	542	323	560	230	366	56*	1964
522	126	118	101	81	96	24	497
26%	23%	36% ACF	18%	35% ACF	26% C	44% G	25%
1497	415	204	458	149	270	32	1466
74%	77% BD	63%	82% BDE	65%	74% BD	56%	75% F
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
	2018 2020 522 26%	A 489 2020 542 522 126 26% 23% 1497 415 74% 77% BD 1 0	A B 2018 489 398 2020 542 323 522 126 118 26% 23% 36% ACE 1497 415 204 74% 77% 63% BD 1 0 1	Total Toronto Vancouver Montreal A B C 2018 489 398 367 2020 542 323 560 522 126 118 101 26% 23% 36% 18% ACE 1497 415 204 458 74% 77% 63% 82% BD BDE 1 0 1 0	A B C D 2018 489 398 367 432 2020 542 323 560 230 522 126 118 101 81 26% 23% 36% 18% 35% ACE ACE 1497 415 204 458 149 74% 77% 63% 82% 65% BD BDE 1 0 1 0 0	Total Toronto Vancouver Montreal Calgary Ottawa 2018 489 398 367 432 332 2020 542 323 560 230 366 522 126 118 101 81 96 26% 23% 36% 18% 35% 26% ACE ACE C ACE C 1497 415 204 458 149 270 74% 77% 63% 82% 65% 74% BD BDE BD 1 0 0 0	Total Toronto Vancouver Montreal Calgary Ottawa Yes 2018 489 398 367 432 332 56 2020 542 323 560 230 366 56* 522 126 118 101 81 96 24 26% 23% 36% 18% 35% 26% 44% ACE ACE C G 1497 415 204 458 149 270 32 74% 77% 63% 82% 65% 74% 56% BD BDE BD BD BD BD



If you were thinking about purchasing a new home, how likely would you be to consider purchasing a condominium as your primary residence?

Proportions/Means. Columns rested (5%)	,			CMA			CONDO OWNERSHIP		
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No	
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962	
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964	
Very likely	253	72	64	49	32	36	64	188	
	12%	13% C	18% ACDE	8%	13% C	9%	40% G	10%	
Somewhat likely	501	166	98	95	46	97	49	452	
	24%	29% CD	28% CD	16%	19%	25% CD	31% G	23%	
Not very likely	584	140	91	175	72	106	32	551	
	27%	25%	26%	30%	30%	28%	20%	28% F	
Not at all likely	786	191	93	265	93	144	14	772	
	37%	34% B	27%	45% ABDE	38% B	38% B	9%	39% F	
(Dk/Ns)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
Summary	· ·	l					l .		
Top2Box - very/somewhat likely	754	238	161	144	78	132	114	640	
	35%	42% CDE	47% CDE	25%	32% C	35% C	71% G	33%	
Low2Box - not very/at all likely	1370	331	184	440	165	250	47	1323	
	64%	58%	53%	75% ABDE	68% AB	65% AB	29%	67% F	



Why do you say that you are LIKELY to consider purchasing a condominium as your primary residence?

				CMA			CONDO OWNERSHIP		
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No	
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	
Base: Likely to consider	770	213	193	95	150	119	116	654	
Weighted	754	238	161	144*	78	132	114	640	
asier to maintain/Don't want hassle of naintaining a house	517	179	99	91	56	91	87	431	
	69%	75% BC	61%	64%	72% B	69%	76%	67%	
ffordability - It's less expensive than a ouse	431	134	121	70	42	64	62	369	
	57%	56%	75% ACDE	49%	54%	48%	54%	58%	
ike condo lifestyle, amenities	339	128	58	56	37	61	66	274	
	45%	54% BC	36%	39%	47% B	46%	58% G	43%	
lot of places are within walking distance	292	107	61	45	30	48	60	232	
	39%	45% C	38%	32%	39%	36%	53% G	36%	
las building security	286	116	61	35	25	49	47	239	
and the grant of	38%	49% BCDE	38% C	24%	32%	37%	41%	37%	
ood starter home	267	92	61	56	18	40	23	244	
	35%	38% D	38% D	39% D	23%	30%	20%	38% F	
Ant to live downtown	223	81	37	40	23	41	42	181	
	30%	34% B	23%	28%	29%	31%	37%	28%	
loser to work	136	45	27	22	15	27	30	106	
	18%	19%	17%	15%	19%	21%	26% G	17%	
lan on a small family and won't need a ouse	100	38	18	21	10	12	21	79	
	13%	16%	11%	15%	13%	9%	18%	12%	
on't want to worry about noise, disturbing eighbours	89	37	17	19	6	11	12	77	
olgribouro	12%	15% D	11%	13%	7%	8%	10%	12%	
iood option for retirement/ downsizing	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	5	
	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	
/ant a garden/ yard	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	
ost/ too expensive	1 0	0 -	0	1 1%	0	0	0 -	1 0	
vislike condos (unspecified)		1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
islike collads (alispecillea)	1 0	0	U	U	-	U	0	0	



Why do you say that you are LIKELY to consider purchasing a condominium as your primary residence?

				CMA			CONDO O	WNERSHIP
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
No plans to move/ purchase a home	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Not a good investment/ resale value is poor	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Want neighbours who are also raising children	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Not enough room	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
Other	10	2	0	4	0	3	2	8
	1%	1%	-	3% B	1%	2%	2%	1%
Nothing	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1% G	-
(Dk/Ns)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	=	0	-	-	-	-	0



Why do you say that you are NOT LIKELY to consider purchasing a condominium as your primary residence?

Total	CONDO		
Base: Not Likely to consider 1354 300 231 288 307 228	Yes	No	
Meighted 1370	F	G	
Weighted 1370	47	1307	
63% 75% 64% 57% 61% 60% BCDE	47*	1323	
ot enough room 1717	25	840	
S2% S3% S9% 49% 61% 47% CE CE CE	53%	63%	
CE	27	690	
Author A	58%	52%	
Second Part	6	438	
Deal of the right environment for children 288	14%	33% F	
21% 22% 20% 21% 19% 21%	6	282	
21% 19% 18% 23% 21% 21%	14%	21%	
Vant proximity to schools, libraries, laygrounds, day-care	3	284	
Augyrounds, day-care 20% 21% 19% 17% 25% 22%	7%	21% F	
20% 21% 19% 17% 25% 22%	6	272	
Vant neighbours who are also raising hildren 15%	14%	21%	
15%	5	195	
113 35 8 10 14 46	12%	15%	
8%	5	108	
65 7 9 20 18 11 11 11 11 12 13 13 3 15 15 10 15 15	10%	8%	
5% 2% 5% 5% 11% 4%	2	64	
Loss of freedom/ making our own decisions	4%	5%	
14% 2% 3% 5% 3% 4% Dislike condo board/ strata board 42 7 13 13 3 5 3% 2% 7% 3% 2% 2% ACDE	4	45	
3% 2% 7% 3% 2% 2% ACDE	8%	3%	
ACDE	1	41	
	3%	3%	
	3	31	
2% 2% 1% 4% 2% 3%	6%	2%	
Cost/ too expensive 34 9 2 13 4 5	0	33	
2% 3% 1% 3% 2% 2%	1%	3%	
Dislike condos (unspecified) 21 6 2 8 3 2 2% 1% 2% 2% 1%	0 -	21 2%	



Why do you say that you are NOT LIKELY to consider purchasing a condominium as your primary residence?

				CMA				WNERSHIP
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
Prefer country living	20	6	2	4	2	6	0	20
Prefer country living			2				U	20
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%
No privacy	19	1	4	6	6	3	0	18
' '	1%	0	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
	.,.		A	.,•	A	.,,	.,-	.,,
Parking/ no garage	13	3	3	0	4	2	2	11
	1%	1%	2%	_	3%	1%	4%	1%
			C		C		G	
Don't want to worry about noise, disturbing	12	0	2	9	1	0	0	12
neighbours		Ů			•	o l	O	
	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%
				AE				
Not a good investment/ resale value is poor	12	3	3	1	2	2	0	12
tot a good iii oo ailo talab ib pool	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	-	1%
	1 70	170	270	V	170	1 /0		1 70
Have pets/ animals	11	3	4	0	2	2	0	11
	1%	1%	2%	- -	1%	1%	-	1%
	. , ,	. , 0	C		C	. , ,		. 70
Too confined/ want our own space	10	2	2	3	2	1	0	10
100 Collined/ Wallt our Owlf Space	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	-	1%
	1 70	1 70	170	1 70	1 70	U	-	170
No plans to move/ purchase a home	10	2	3	1	2	2	0	10
·	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	-	1%
IA/- at - are ad- ar / are ad-		4	1	0		0	0	0
Want a garden/ yard	8	4	•	U	2	2	0	8
	1%	1%	0	-	1% C	1%	-	1%
Good option for retirement/ downsizing	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
acod option for retirement downsizing	0	-	0	Ö	Õ	· ·	-	0
	U	-	-	U	U	-	-	U
Want to live downtown	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	0
Other	34	6	7	14	2	5	1	33
01101	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	∠70	∠-70	470	370	1 70	2 70	4 70	∠70
Nothing	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	-	0	-	0	-	1%	0
(Dk/Ns)	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	4
(Dr/INS)	0		U	0	-	0	U	
	U	0	-	U	-	U	-	0



If you were planning to start a family and raise children, how likely would be to consider raising a family in a condominium? Would you say ...

				CMA			CONDO O	WNERSHIP
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964
Very likely to consider	88	28	25	17	6	12	23	65
	4%	5%	7% CDE	3%	2%	3%	14% G	3%
Somewhat likely to consider	336	102	71	61	34	68	40	296
	16%	18% C	20% CD	10%	14%	18% C	25% G	15%
Not very likely to consider	734	207	127	188	79	133	64	671
	35%	36%	37%	32%	33%	35%	40%	34%
Not at all likely to consider	965	232	123	317	124	169	34	932
	45%	41%	35%	54% ABE	51% AB	44% B	21%	47% F
(Dk/Ns)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Summary								
Top2Box - very/somewhat likely	424	130	96	78	40	81	63	361
	20%	23% CD	28% CDE	13%	16%	21% C	39% G	18%
Low2Box - not very/at all likely	1700	439	250	506	203	302	97	1602
·	80%	77%	72%	87% ABE	84% AB	79% B	61%	82% F



You indicated earlier that you would be willing to consider raising children in a condominium. What, if any, do you consider to be the maximum number of children you can raise in a condominium before you would have to move to a house?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

				CMA			CONDO O	WNERSHIP
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
Base: Have children under 18 and would consider raising family in condominium	186	51	44	18	38	35	23	163
Weighted	173	54*	35*	27**	18*	39*	24**	149
One child	48	12	11	12	3	11	4	44
	28%	22%	32%	43%	14%	28%	19%	29%
Two children	108	39	21	14	13	21	16	92
	62%	72%	60%	53%	74%	53%	68%	61%
Three children	13	2	3	1	2	5	3	10
	8%	4%	9%	5%	9%	13%	13%	7%
More than three children	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	1%
No real maximum	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	6%	-	2%



(Top2box AGREE Summary) I'm now going to read you a series of statements about condominium living in general. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with each.

	•			CMA			CONDO O	WNERSHIP
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964
Living in a condo is only suitable for people who have no children living at home	1426	381	215	419	163	247	94	1332
	67%	67%	62%	72% BE	67%	65%	58%	68% F
iving in a condo is suitable for families with erry young children	905	269	169	189	103	176	92	813
	43%	47% C	49% C	32%	42% C	46% C	57% G	41%
Living in a condo is suitable for families with school-age children	764	228	134	170	87	145	78	685
	36%	40% C	39% C	29%	36% C	38% C	49% G	35%
Most condos in my city have features and imenities that would be important to people with families.	972	327	178	182	93	191	81	891
	46%	57% CDE	51% CD	31%	38% C	50% CD	50%	45%
iving in a condo isn't suitable for people	1685	443	286	467	198	291	123	1562
	79%	78%	83% E	80%	81%	76%	77%	80%
Not having to worry about home naintenance is a key benefit to living in a condo	1812	506	298	451	216	342	145	1667
	85%	89% C	86% C	77%	89% C	89% C	90%	85%
condos are generally too small for my eeds	1331	357	210	376	162	226	61	1270
	63%	63%	61%	64%	66% E	59%	38%	65% F



(Low2box DISAGREE Summary) I'm now going to read you a series of statements about condominium living in general. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with each.

				CMA			CONDO OV	WNERSHIP
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964
Living in a condo is only suitable for people who have no children living at home	698	188	130	165	80	136	67	631
Ç .	33%	33%	38% C	28%	33%	35% C	42% G	32%
Living in a condo is suitable for families with very young children	1219	300	177	395	141	207	69	1150
ion, young amaion	57%	53%	51%	68% ABDE	58% B	54%	43%	59% F
Living in a condo is suitable for families with school-age children	1360	341	211	414	156	238	82	1278
	64%	60%	61%	71% ABDE	64%	62%	51%	65% F
Most condos in my city have features and amenities that would be important to people with families.	1152	242	167	402	150	191	80	1072
	54%	43%	48%	69% ABDE	62% ABE	50% A	50%	55%
Living in a condo isn't suitable for people who have more than 2 children	439	126	59	116	45	92	37	401
	21%	22%	17%	20%	19%	24% B	23%	20%
Not having to worry about home maintenance is a key benefit to living in a condo	312	63	47	132	28	41	16	296
	15%	11%	14%	23% ABDE	11%	11%	10%	15%
ondos are generally too small for my	793	212	135	208	82	156	100	693
	37%	37%	39%	36%	34%	41% D	62% G	35%



				CMA	_			WNERSHIP
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964
eatures [NET]:	703	289	138	97	60	118	56	647
	33%	51% BCDE	40% CDE	17%	25% C	31% C	35%	33%
- 24 hour security/ security cameras	243	113	49	28	17	36	30	213
	11%	20% BCDE	14% CDE	5%	7%	9% C	19% G	11%
- Pool/ swimming pool	191	80	28	32	9	42	7	184
	9%	14% BCD	8% D	5%	4%	11% CD	4%	9% F
- Gym/ fitness equipment	187	95	39	23	7	23	12	175
	9%	17% BCDE	11% CDE	4%	3%	6%	7%	9%
Mulit-purpose room/ room for entertaining etc.	84	44	20	4	6	9	2	82
encontaining oter	4%	8% CDE	6% CDE	1%	3% C	2%	1%	4%
- Park/ common area outside	69	25	10	12	12	11	5	64
	3%	4%	3%	2%	5% C	3%	3%	3%
- Area/ play area for kids	58	19	10	10	6	13	2	56
	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%
- Balcony	51	19	11	10	2	8	1	50
	2%	3% D	3% D	2%	1%	2%	0	3%
- Storage/ plenty of storage/ large closets	35	5	8	12	4	6	5	30
cioseis	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%
- On-site facilities	26	12	A 4	2	2	6	4	22
	1%	2% C	1%	0	1%	1%	3%	1%
- Patio/ terrace/ deck	23	6	7	3	3	3	0	23
	1%	1%	2% C	1%	1%	1%	-	1%
- View/ nice view	21	5	6	3	3	4	2	19
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
- Hottub/ jacuzzi/ sauna	15	2	5	2	1	5	1	14
	1%	0	2% C	0	0	1%	1%	1%
- Daycare	11	5	1	4	0	1	1	10
	0	1%	0	1%	0	0	1%	0



If you were to live in a condominium, which condominium feature, benefit or amenity would you consider to be most important?

	CMA							CONDO OWNERSHIP		
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No		
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G		
- Dishwasher	6	2	1	0	1	2	0	6		
	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0		
- Air conditioning	5	2	0	1	0	1	2	2		
	0	0	-	0	-	0	1% G	0		
- Other features mentions	49	15	11	11	7	6	3	47		
	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%		
cation [NET]:	338	90	65	81	38	64	38	301		
	16%	16%	19%	14%	16%	17%	23% G	15%		
- Location (unspecified)	101	27	22	20	10	22	16	85		
	5%	5%	6% C	3%	4%	6%	10% G	4%		
- Close to downtown/ other amenities	88	24	8	31	11	14	11	78		
	4%	4%	2%	5% B	5% B	4%	7%	4%		
- Area/ neighbourhood	64	12	12	23	6	11	6	58		
	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%		
- Close to shopping	60	21	13	4	7	15	5	55		
	3%	4% C	4% C	1%	3% C	4% C	3%	3%		
- Close to transportation (subway/ transit)	33	18	5	2	4	4	6	27		
,	2%	3% CE	2% C	0	2% C	1%	4% G	1%		
- Close to schools	30	11	6	4	5	4	1	29		
	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		
- Close to work	26	6	6	6	4	5	2	25		
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		
- Good location	15	2	1	5	2	5	2	13		
	1%	0	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		



If you were to live in a condominium, which condominium feature, benefit or amenity would you consider to be most important?

			CONDO OWNERSHIP					
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G
- Other location mentions	14	4	6	2	1	2	2	12
	1%	1%	2% C	0	0	1%	2%	1%
.ayout/ Design [NET]:	237	56	34	87	33	27	14	223
	11%	10%	10%	15% ABE	13% E	7%	9%	11%
- Sound proofing/ quiet	115	13	14	64	17	8	8	107
	5%	2%	4%	11% ABE	7% AE	2%	5%	5%
- In-suite laundry	37	15	14	2	4	3	3	34
	2%	3% CE	4% CDE	0	2% C	1%	2%	2%
- Layout/ design	26	6	2	7	4	7	2	24
	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%
- Number of bedrooms	17	5	2	6	2	2	1	16
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%
- Windows/ lots of windows/ natural light	14	6	1	5	1	1	0	14
-	1%	1%	0	1%	0	0	=	1%
- Number of bathrooms	7	4	1	1	1	0	0	7
	0	1%	0	0	0	-	-	0
- Corner unit	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	3
	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
- Full size appliances	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0
- Other layout/ design mentions	47	17	7	7	7	8	1	45
	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%



	CMA						CONDO OWNERSHIP		
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No	
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	
Size [NET]:	203	53	44	52	25	30	9	194	
	10%	9%	13% E	9%	10%	8%	5%	10%	
- Size/ square footage	196	50	41	52	25	28	9	188	
	9%	9%	12% E	9%	10%	7%	5%	10%	
- Other size mentions	10	2	4	0	0	3	1	9	
	0	0	1% CD	-	-	1%	0	0	
Parking [NET]:	118	47	15	21	12	24	14	104	
	6%	8% BC	4%	4%	5%	6%	9%	5%	
- Parking (unspecified)	61	23	8	12	3	14	4	57	
	3%	4% D	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	
- Indoor parking	29	8	2	7	6	7	7	23	
	1%	1%	0	1%	2% B	2%	4% G	1%	
- More than one parking spot/ parking space	13	9	2	0	2	1	1	11	
·	1%	2% C	0	-	1% C	0	1%	1%	
- Visitor parking	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	
	0	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	
- Other parking mentions	16	5	3	3	3	2	2	14	
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	
Miscellaneous [NET]:	842	170	119	274	117	162	68	774	
	40%	30%	34%	47% AB	48% AB	42% AB	42%	39%	
- Maintenance/ ease of maintenance	350	74	42	99	AB 53	80	32	318	
	16%	13%	12%	17%	22% AB	21% AB	20%	16%	
- No yard work/ snow removal/ mowing the lawn	159	24	17	81	19	19	20	139	
	8%	4%	5%	14% ABDE	8% A	5%	12% G	7%	
- Price/ cost/ affordability	124	25	23	43	14	18	5	118	
<i>'</i>	6%	4%	7%	7%	6%	5%	3%	6%	



		CMA						CONDO OWNERSHIP	
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No	
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	
- Yard/ back yard	80	9	13	25	16	17	5	75	
	4%	2%	4% A	4% A	7% A	4% A	3%	4%	
- Maintenance/ condo fees	60	17	5	15	9	15	4	56	
	3%	3%	1%	3%	4% B	4% B	2%	3%	
- Privacy	51	9	10	15	9	7	5	46	
	2%	2%	3%	3%	4% A	2%	3%	2%	
- Quality/ how building was made/ concrete	28	6	9	4	4	4	4	24	
	1%	1%	3% C	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	
- Appearance/ looks of the place	24	11	1	4	3	5	1	23	
	1%	2% B	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
- Safe/ safety	21	5	9	3	0	4	1	20	
	1%	1%	3% ACD	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	
- Easy access	13	7	1	1	2	2	0	13	
	1%	1%	0	0	1%	1%	-	1%	
- Allows pets/ room for pets	9	3	2	0	3	1	0	9	
	0	0	1%	-	1% C	0	0	0	
- Adult community/ no children	7	0	1	3	3	1	1	6	
	0	-	0	0	1% A	0	1%	0	
- Reception area	4	0	1	0	0	3	0	4	
	0	-	0	-	-	1% A	-	0	
ther	69	18	8	18	9	17	6	63	
	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	
othing	159	35	17	75	14	18	4	155	
	7%	6%	5%	13% ABDE	6%	5%	2%	8% F	
k/Ns)	102	29	17	25	9	22	4	97	
	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	5%	



(Top2box IMPORTANT Summary) Below is a list of various features, benefits, or amenities that a condominium could have. For each item, please indicate whether you believe this to be a very important amenity for raising children, somewhat important, not really important, or not at all important.

				CONDO OWNERSHIP				
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964
Play areas for children	1714	474	271	449	197	323	117	1597
	81%	83% BC	78%	77%	81%	84% BC	73%	81% F
Located in safe neighbourhood	2057	561	336	547	237	376	156	1901
3	97%	99% C	97%	94%	97%	98% C	97%	97%
Presence of several other families with children living in the condo	1447	413	<u>C</u> 243	339	<u>C</u> 177	275	98	1349
Crimarer living in the Condo	68%	73% C	70% C	58%	73% C	72% C	61%	69%
Daycare in condo nearby	1342	396	208	351	141	246	91	1252
,	63%	70% BCD	60%	60%	58%	64%	56%	64%
Schools nearby	1712	477	268	452	195	320	121	1591
	81%	84% BC	77%	77%	80%	84% BC	75%	81%
Pool, recreation facilities for children either in the condo or close by	1759	499	284	460	194	322	121	1638
,	83%	88% BCD	82%	79%	80%	84%	76%	83% F
Has building security	1934	552	329	473	224	356	149	1785
	91%	97% CDE	95% C	81%	92% C	93% C	93%	91%
Parking for more than 1 car	1714	483	280	443	211	297	130	1584
	81%	85% CE	81%	76%	87% BCE	78%	81%	81%
Opportunities for socializing	1436	416	242	327	172	279	107	1329
	68%	73% C	70% C	56%	71% C	73% C	67%	68%
Close to work	1714	489	288	446	187	303	126	1587
	81%	86% CDE	83% CD	76%	77%	79%	79%	81%
Close to transportation/public transit	1853	515	301	485	209	344	147	1706
	87%	90% CD	87%	83%	86%	90% C	92%	87%
Close to theatres, restaurants, shopping & downtown lifestyle	1412	405	243	345	151	268	116	1295
	66%	71% CD	70% CD	59%	62%	70% CD	72%	66%



(Low2box NOT IMPORTANT Summary) Below is a list of various features, benefits, or amenities that a condominium could have. For each item, please indicate whether you believe this to be a very important amenity for raising children, somewhat important, not really important, or not at all important.

				CMA			CONDO O	
	Total	Toronto	Vancouver	Montreal	Calgary	Ottawa	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
Base: All respondents	2125	513	425	383	457	347	163	1962
Weighted	2125	569	346	584	243	383	161	1964
Play areas for children	410	95	74	135	46	60	43	366
	19%	17%	21% E	23% AE	19%	16%	27% G	19%
Located in safe neighbourhood	67	8	9	37	6	7	4	62
	3%	1%	3%	6% ABDE	3%	2%	3%	3%
Presence of several other families with children living in the condo	677	156	102	245	66	108	63	614
3	32%	27%	30%	42% ABDE	27%	28%	39%	31%
Daycare in condo nearby	782	173	137	232	102	137	70	711
,	37%	30%	40% A	40% A	42% A	36%	44%	36%
Schools nearby	412	92	78	132	48	63	40	372
,	19%	16%	22% AE	23% AE	20%	16%	25%	19%
Pool, recreation facilities for children either in the condo or close by	365	70	61	124	49	61	39	326
,	17%	12%	18% A	21% A	20% A	16%	24% G	17%
Has building security	190	17	17	111	19	27	11	179
,	9%	3%	5%	19%	8%	7%	7%	9%
D 1. (), 1	440	00	25	ABDE	A	A	0.4	070
Parking for more than 1 car	410 19%	86 15%	65 19%	141 24%	32 13%	86	31 19%	379 19%
	1970	10%	19% D	24% AD	13%	22% AD	1970	19%
Opportunities for socializing	688	153	103	256	71	104	53	634
	32%	27%	30%	44% ABDE	29%	27%	33%	32%
Close to work	410	80	57	138	56	80	34	376
	19%	14%	16%	24% AB	23% AB	21% A	21%	19%
Close to transportation/public transit	271	54	44	99	34	39	13	257
	13%	10%	13%	17% AE	14% A	10%	8%	13%
Close to theatres, restaurants, shopping & downtown lifestyle	712	164	102	238	92	115	44	668
dominom mostylo	34%	29%	30%	41% ABE	38% ABE	30%	28%	34%

