As you may know, earlier this week the federal government announced changes to the way that Income Trusts will be taxed in Canada. To the best of your knowledge, will this have any impact on your personal financial situation?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I

		GEN	DER		AGE		EDUCATION					
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< td=""><td>HS</td><td>Post Sec</td><td>Univ Grad</td></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad		
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I		
Base: All respondents	1613	766	847	415	742	456	143	453	728	289		
Weighted	1613	790	823	475	642	497	132	456	727	298		
Yes	347 21%	187 24%	160 19%	97 21%	146 23%	103 21%	23 17%	90 20%	143 20%	91 31% FGH		
No	1266 79%	603 76%	663 81%	377 79%	496 77%	394 79%	109 83% I	367 80% I	584 80% I	207 69%		

As you may know, earlier this week the federal government announced changes to the way that Income Trusts will be taxed in Canada. To the best of your knowledge, will this have any impact on your personal financial situation?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

Siliali base		REGION							JSEHOLD INCO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION		
	Total	BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1613	232	163	127	560	427	104	438	531	644	441	1172
Weighted	1613	225	155	107*	621	383	122*	450	530	633	439	1174
Yes	347	46	41	13	142	78	27	74	110	163	97	250
	21%	21%	26% C	12%	23% C	20%	22%	16%	21%	26% G	22%	21%
No	1266	178	115	95	479	305	95	376	421	470	343	924
	79%	79%	74%	88% BD	77%	80%	78%	84% I	79%	74%	78%	79%



Is that impact positive or negative?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		GENDER			AGE		EDUCATION					
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad		
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I		
Base: Will have impact	349	181	168	83	167	99	27	87	149	86		
Weighted	347	187	160	97*	146	103*	23**	90*	143	91*		
Positive	62 18%	40 22%	21 13%	28 29% D	17 11%	17 16%	6 26%	16 18%	19 13%	20 22%		
Negative	285 82%	147 78%	138 87%	69 71%	129 89% C	87 84%	17 74%	74 82%	124 87%	71 78%		

Is that impact positive or negative?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

				REC	GION	HOU	JSEHOLD INC	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION				
	Total	BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K
Base: Will have impact	349	48	43	19	127	91	21	77	108	164	96	253
Weighted	347	46*	41*	13**	142	78*	27**	74*	110*	163	97*	250
Positive	62	5	8	1	23	15	9	16	24	23	25	36
	18%	11%	21%	11%	16%	20%	32%	21%	22%	14%	26% K	15%
Negative	285 82%	41 89%	32 79%	11 89%	119 84%	63 80%	19 68%	58 79%	86 78%	141 86%	71 74%	213 85% J



As you may also know, the Harper Conservatives promised in the last federal election that they would not change the tax rules for Income Trusts. Some people say that the Harper Conservatives are justified in breaking this promise because recent announcements by Canadian corporations had significantly changed the issue, and they had to move quickly to protect the interests of individual Canadian taxpayer, others people say that the reasons that have been given do not justify breaking a campaign promise Which statement is closest to your point of view?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I

		GENDER			AGE		EDUCATION				
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	
Base: All respondents	1613	766	847	415	742	456	143	453	728	289	
Weighted	1613	790	823	475	642	497	132	456	727	298	
Justified	730	428	301	195	240	294	37	187	343	162	
	45%	54%	37%	41%	37%	59%	28%	41%	47%	54%	
Ni-Citi-100-1	200	В	500	070	404	CD	0.5	F	F	FG	
Not Justified	883	362	522	279	401	203	95	269	384	136	
	55%	46%	63%	59%	63%	41%	72%	59%	53%	46%	
			Α	E	E		GHI	l			

As you may also know, the Harper Conservatives promised in the last federal election that they would not change the tax rules for Income Trusts. Some people say that the Harper Conservatives are justified in breaking this promise because recent announcements by Canadian corporations had significantly changed the issue, and they had to move quickly to protect the interests of individual Canadian taxpayer, others people say that the reasons that have been given do not justify breaking a campaign promise Which statement is closest to your point of view?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

^{*} small base

		REGION							JSEHOLD INC	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION		
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		Ä	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1613	232	163	127	560	427	104	438	531	644	441	1172
Weighted	1613	225	155	107*	621	383	122*	450	530	633	439	1174
Justified	730	94	89	53	276	175	44	180	232	318	183	546
	45%	42%	57% ADEF	49%	44%	46%	36%	40%	44%	50% GH	42%	47%
Not Justified	883	131	66	54	345	208	79	270	299	315	256	627
	55%	58%	43%	51%	56%	54%	64%	60%	56%	50%	58%	53%
		В			В	В	В		l			

