

Electronic Enforcement

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT	1
1. Thinking now about the general level of traditional traffic enforcement by police in your community, including roadside checks, radar, speed traps and the general visibility of police, would you say that the level of enforcement is... ..	1
2. SUPPORT SUMMARY TABLE	
(Strongly/Somewhat support)	2
2. NEITHER SUMMARY TABLE (Neither support/oppose/Depends)	3
2. OPPOSE SUMMARY TABLE (Somewhat/Strongly oppose)	4
2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones?	5
2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red?	6
2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway?	7
3. When these types of electronic enforcement measures are used, do you think there should, or should not, be warning signs posted to advise motorists of their presence?	8
DEMOGRAPHICS.....	9
Region:	9
Province:	10
Canadian Markets:	11
Urban / Rural	13
Census Metropolitan Area:	14
Census Division	22
Respondent's Sex:	38
Language of Interview:	39
What age group do you fall into?	40
What is your current marital status?	41
Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?	42
Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?	43
And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?	44
What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?	46
Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status?	47
And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income?	48

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

1. Thinking now about the general level of traditional traffic enforcement by police in your community, including roadside checks, radar, speed traps and the general visibility of police, would you say that the level of enforcement is...

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Not enough	421 42%	55 40%	41 43%	23 35%	160 42%	114 48%	27 36%	100 34%	179 46% G	139 45% G	181 37%	241 47% J
About right	491 49%	79 57%	42 44%	37 55%	184 48%	109 46%	39 51%	152 51%	180 47%	150 49%	256 52%	235 46%
Too much	72 7%	5 4%	11 11% A	6 8%	30 8%	12 5%	8 11%	38 13% HI	21 6%	12 4%	48 10% K	24 5%
Depends	10 1%	0 -	2 2%	1 2%	4 1%	2 1%	0 1%	2 1%	5 1%	2 1%	3 1%	6 1%
Don't know/Refused	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2% E	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	0 0	5 2% H	3 1%	5 1%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. SUPPORT SUMMARY TABLE (Strongly/Somewhat support)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones	844	123	81	60	306	210	63	242	321	272	398	446
	84%	88% D	85%	89%	79%	89% D	83%	82%	83%	88%	81%	87% J
2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red	776	117	75	59	278	187	60	212	297	260	364	412
	77%	84% D	78%	88% D	72%	79%	79%	72%	77%	84% GH	74%	81% J
3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway	687	102	66	51	260	153	55	176	263	240	315	371
	69%	73%	68%	76%	67%	64%	72%	60%	68% G	78% GH	64%	73% J

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. NEITHER SUMMARY TABLE (Neither support/oppose/Depends)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones	4	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	2	2	2	2
	0	-	-	2%	0	1%	-	0	1%	1%	0	0
2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red	10	2	1	2	2	2	1	6	2	1	7	3
	1%	2%	1%	3%	0	1%	2%	2%	1%	0	1%	1%
3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway	11	0	2	0	5	4	0	3	3	3	3	7
	1%	-	2%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. OPPOSE SUMMARY TABLE (Somewhat/Strongly oppose)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones	150	17	14	6	75	25	13	50	62	33	87	63
	15%	12%	14%	9%	20% E	11%	17%	17%	16% I	11%	18% K	12%
2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red	213	20	20	6	104	48	15	78	86	45	118	94
	21%	14%	21%	9%	27% AC	20% C	19%	26% I	22% I	15%	24% K	18%
3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway	304	37	29	16	120	80	21	115	119	65	172	132
	30%	27%	30%	24%	31%	34%	28%	39% I	31% I	21%	35% K	26%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Strongly support	602 60%	100 72% DEF	57 59%	39 58%	236 61%	127 54%	43 56%	154 52%	240 62% G	202 65% G	291 59%	312 61%
Somewhat support	242 24%	22 16%	24 25%	21 31% AD	71 18%	83 35% AD	20 27%	87 30% H	81 21%	71 23%	108 22%	134 26%
Somewhat oppose	57 6%	6 4%	5 5%	6 9% E	31 8% E	7 3%	2 3%	25 8% I	20 5%	10 3%	29 6%	28 5%
Strongly oppose	93 9%	10 7% C	9 10% C	0 -	44 11% C	18 8% C	11 14% C	26 9%	42 11%	24 8%	58 12% K	35 7%
Neither support/oppose	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Depends	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 2%	0 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	2 1%	2 0	1 0
Don't know/Refused	4 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 0	0 -	2 1%	0 0	1 0	3 1%	0 0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Support (Top2Box)	844 84%	123 88% D	81 85%	60 89%	306 79%	210 89% D	63 83%	242 82%	321 83%	272 88%	398 81%	446 87% J
Neither/Depends (Mid2Box)	4 0	0 -	0 -	1 2%	2 0	2 1%	0 -	1 0	2 1%	2 1%	2 0	2 0
Oppose (Low2Box)	150 15%	17 12%	14 14%	6 9%	75 20% E	25 11%	13 17%	50 17%	62 16% I	33 11%	87 18% K	63 12%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Strongly support	498 50%	83 59% E	52 54%	31 47%	192 50%	99 42%	41 54%	113 38%	200 52% G	180 58% G	231 47%	267 52%
Somewhat support	278 28%	34 25%	24 24%	28 42% ABD	85 22%	88 37% ABD	19 25%	98 33% H	96 25%	80 26%	133 27%	145 28%
Somewhat oppose	95 10%	6 4%	6 7%	4 5%	53 14% A	21 9%	5 7%	48 16% HI	30 8%	17 6%	50 10%	46 9%
Strongly oppose	117 12%	14 10%	14 14% C	2 3%	51 13% C	27 11%	9 12%	30 10%	56 14% I	28 9%	69 14% K	48 9%
Neither support/oppose	6 1%	0 -	0 -	2 3% D	1 0	2 1%	1 2%	3 1%	0 -	1 0	3 1%	3 0
Depends	5 0	2 2%	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	0 0	4 1%	1 0
Don't know/Refused	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	2 1%	2 0	1 0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Support (Top2Box)	776 77%	117 84% D	75 78%	59 88% D	278 72%	187 79%	60 79%	212 72%	297 77%	260 84% GH	364 74%	412 81% J
Neither/Depends (Mid2Box)	10 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 3%	2 0	2 1%	1 2%	6 2%	2 1%	1 0	7 1%	3 1%
Oppose (Low2Box)	213 21%	20 14%	20 21%	6 9%	104 27% AC	48 20% C	15 19%	78 26% I	86 22% I	45 15%	118 24% K	94 18%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Strongly support	371 37%	65 47% EF	36 38%	22 33%	154 40% F	76 32%	18 24%	82 28%	146 38% G	137 44% G	171 35%	200 39%
Somewhat support	316 32%	37 26%	30 31%	29 43% AD	107 28%	77 32%	37 48% ABDE	94 32%	117 30%	103 33%	145 30%	171 33%
Somewhat oppose	120 12%	15 11%	9 9%	9 14%	39 10%	43 18% DF	4 5%	52 18% HI	42 11%	23 8%	52 11%	67 13%
Strongly oppose	184 18%	22 16%	20 21%	6 10%	80 21%	37 16%	18 23%	63 21% I	78 20%	42 14%	120 24% K	64 13%
Neither support/oppose	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	4 2%	0 -	3 1%	1 0	0 0	2 0	4 1%
Depends	5 0	0 -	2 2%	0 -	3 1%	0 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	2 0	3 1%
Don't know/Refused	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Support (Top2Box)	687 69%	102 73%	66 68%	51 76%	260 67%	153 64%	55 72%	176 60%	263 68% G	240 78% GH	315 64%	371 73% J
Neither/Depends (Mid2Box)	11 1%	0 -	2 2%	0 -	5 1%	4 2%	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	7 1%
Oppose (Low2Box)	304 30%	37 27%	29 30%	16 24%	120 31%	80 34%	21 28%	115 39% I	119 31% I	65 21%	172 35% K	132 26%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

3. When these types of electronic enforcement measures are used, do you think there should, or should not, be warning signs posted to advise motorists of their presence?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Should be posted	667 67%	83 59%	53 55%	48 71%	257 67%	168 71%	59 78%	213 72%	248 64%	195 63%	334 68%	333 65%
Should not be posted	315 31%	54 39%	42 44%	17 25%	120 31%	66 28%	17 22%	72 24%	132 34%	108 35%	145 30%	170 33%
Depends	15 1%	3 2%	0 -	2 4%	5 1%	4 2%	0 -	7 2%	5 1%	4 1%	8 2%	7 1%
Don't know/Refused	6 1%	0 -	1 2%	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	4 1%	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Region:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
British Columbia	139 14%	139 100% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	34 11%	48 12%	57 18% GH	72 15%	67 13%
Alberta	96 10%	0 -	96 100% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	33 11%	35 9%	24 8%	46 9%	50 10%
Sask/Man	67 7%	0 -	0 -	67 100% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	18 6%	33 9%	15 5%	33 7%	34 7%
Ontario	386 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	386 100% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	116 39%	157 41%	108 35%	199 41%	187 37%
Quebec	237 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	237 100% ABCDF	0 -	73 25%	85 22%	78 25%	105 21%	132 26%
Atlantic	76 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	76 100% ABCDE	21 7%	27 7%	27 9%	36 7%	40 8%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Province:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
British Columbia	139 14%	139 100% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	34 11%	48 12%	57 18% GH	72 15%	67 13%
Alberta	96 10%	0 -	96 100% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	33 11%	35 9%	24 8%	46 9%	50 10%
Saskatchewan	26 3%	0 -	0 -	26 38% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	16 4% G	8 3% G	12 2%	13 3%
Manitoba	42 4%	0 -	0 -	42 62% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	17 6%	17 4%	7 2%	21 4%	21 4%
Ontario	386 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	386 100% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	116 39%	157 41%	108 35%	199 41%	187 37%
Quebec	237 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	237 100% ABCDF	0 -	73 25%	85 22%	78 25%	105 21%	132 26%
New Brunswick	26 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	26 35% ABCDE	10 4%	8 2%	9 3%	11 2%	15 3%
Nova Scotia	30 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	30 40% ABCDE	6 2%	12 3%	11 4%	16 3%	15 3%
Prince Edward Island	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	1 0	0 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Newfoundland	17 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	17 22% ABCDE	3 1%	8 2%	5 2%	8 2%	9 2%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Canadian Markets:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
WEST (NET)	303 30%	139 100% DEF	96 100% DEF	67 100% DEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	85 29%	116 30%	96 31%	151 31%	151 30%
- British Columbia	139 14%	139 100% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	34 11%	48 12%	57 18% GH	72 15%	67 13%
- Alberta	96 10%	0 -	96 100% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	33 11%	35 9%	24 8%	46 9%	50 10%
- Saskatchewan/Manitoba	67 7%	0 -	0 -	67 100% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	18 6%	33 9%	15 5%	33 7%	34 7%
ONTARIO (NET)	386 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	386 100% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	116 39%	157 41%	108 35%	199 41%	187 37%
- GTA	164 16%	0 -	0 -	0 -	164 42% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	53 18% I	79 20% I	29 10%	86 17%	78 15%
- Central Ontario	78 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	78 20% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	26 9%	28 7%	22 7%	39 8%	39 8%
- Eastern Ontario	49 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	49 13% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	10 3%	20 5%	19 6%	25 5%	24 5%
- SouthWest Ontario	62 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	62 16% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	17 6%	24 6%	22 7%	30 6%	32 6%
- Northern Ontario	33 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	33 9% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	10 3%	6 2%	16 5% H	18 4%	15 3%
QUEBEC (NET)	237 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	237 100% ABCDF	0 -	73 25%	85 22%	78 25%	105 21%	132 26%
- Montreal CMA - Quebec	116 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	116 49% ABCDF	0 -	43 15%	42 11%	30 10%	65 13%	51 10%
- Other - Quebec	121 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	121 51% ABCDF	0 -	30 10%	43 11%	48 15%	40 8%	81 16% J

DEMOGRAPHICS

Canadian Markets:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Atlantic	76	0	0	0	0	0	76	21	27	27	36	40
	8%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	7%	7%	9%	7%	8%
							ABCDE					



DEMOGRAPHICS

Urban / Rural

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Urban	806	115	83	44	315	191	57	246	306	241	395	411
	80%	83%	86%	65%	82%	80%	75%	84%	79%	78%	80%	80%
		C	C		C	C						
Rural	196	24	13	24	70	47	19	48	80	68	96	100
	20%	17%	14%	35%	18%	20%	25%	16%	21%	22%	20%	20%
				ABDE								

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
St. John's	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 8% ABCDE	3 1%	2 0	0 0	0 0	5 1%
Labrador City	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0	0 -
Charlottetown	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Halifax	17 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	17 23% ABCDE	5 2%	9 2%	2 1%	10 2%	7 1%
Truro	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0
Cape Breton	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 5% ADE	1 0	0 -	3 1%	0 -	4 1%
Moncton	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 4% ADE	1 0	1 0	1 0	2 0	1 0
Saint John	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 8% ABCDE	4 1%	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%
Fredericton	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 6% ABDE	2 1%	1 0	1 0	3 1%	1 0
Campbellton	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Edmundston	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Rimouski	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Riviere-du-Loup	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Baie-Comeau	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0
Chicoutimi - Jonquiere	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 3% D	0 -	4 1%	1 0	2 1%	1 0	6 1%
Dolbeau	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Sept-Iles	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0
Quebec	24 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	24 10% ABCDEF	0 -	8 3%	6 2%	10 3%	7 1%	17 3%
Saint-Georges	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Thetford Mines	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0
Sherbrooke	10 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 4% AD	0 -	1 1%	5 1%	3 1%	3 1%	8 1%
Magog	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Trois-Rivieres	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	3 1%
Shawinigan	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 0	1 0	1 0	0 -
Drummondville	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Granby	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	2 0	1 0
Saint-Hyacinthe	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 0	1 0	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Sorel	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	3 1%
Joliette	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -
Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	3 1%
Montreal	109 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	109 46% ABCDF	0 -	40 14%	39 10%	28 9%	64 13%	45 9%
Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Val-d'Or	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Rouyn-Noranda	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Cornwall	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0	0 -
Ottawa - Hull	36 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	27 7% ABCF	9 4% A	0 -	13 4%	11 3%	13 4%	21 4%	15 3%
Brockville	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 0	3 1%
Pembroke	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Kingston	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 0	2 1%	2 0	3 1%
Belleville	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Port Hope	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Peterborough	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	2 1%	2 0	2 0
Lindsay	9 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 2% E	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	3 1%	3 1%	6 1%
Oshawa	23 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	23 6% ABE	0 -	0 -	7 3%	12 3%	4 1%	12 2%	12 2%
Toronto	140 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	140 36% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	46 15% I	66 17% I	25 8%	74 15%	66 13%
Hamilton	20 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	20 5% ABE	0 -	0 -	3 1%	11 3%	6 2%	7 2%	12 2%
St. Catharines - Niagara	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	2 1%	5 1%	3 1%
Kitchener	9 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 2% E	0 -	0 -	5 2%	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	5 1%
Brantford	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	2 0	2 0
Woodstock	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 0	3 1%	0 0
Tillsonburg	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Simcoe	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	2 1%	4 1%	1 0
Guelph	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2%	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	1 0	2 0	5 1%
Stratford	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
London	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	6 2% H	2 0	6 1%
Chatham	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0	0 0
Windsor	10 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 3% E	0 -	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	7 1%
Sarnia	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Barrie	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2%	0 -	0 -	5 2% H	0 0	1 0	6 1% K	0 0
Orillia	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
North Bay	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	2 0	1 0
Sudbury	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	5 2% H	0 -	5 2% H	7 1%	4 1%
Elliot Lake	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0
Haileybury	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0
Timmins	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Thunder Bay	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Winnipeg	27 3%	0 -	0 -	27 40% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 4%	10 3%	6 2%	13 3%	14 3%
Brandon	5 1%	0 -	0 -	5 8% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 0	1 0	1 0	5 1%
Thompson	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Regina	4 0	0 -	0 -	4 7% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 0	1 0	4 1%
Moose Jaw	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Swift Current	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Saskatoon	7 1%	0 -	0 -	7 11% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	4 1%	3 1%
Prince Albert	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Medicine Hat	3 0	0 -	3 4% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Lethbridge	4 0	0 -	4 4% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	1 0	3 1%
Calgary	29 3%	0 -	29 30% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2%	13 3%	7 2%	15 3%	13 3%
Red Deer	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Camrose	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Edmonton	26 3%	0 -	26 27% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 3%	7 2%	10 3%	12 2%	14 3%
Lloydminster	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Grande Prairie	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Wood Buffalo	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Kelowna	5 0	5 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 0	2 0	3 1%
Vernon	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0
Kamloops	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%
Chilliwack	5 0	5 4% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	1 0
Abbotsford	10 1%	10 7% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	4 1%	4 1%	6 1%	4 1%
Vancouver	68 7%	68 49% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	22 8%	23 6%	23 8%	34 7%	34 7%
Victoria	7 1%	7 5% BDE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%
Duncan	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Nanaimo	5 0	5 4% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% H	5 1% K	0 -
Port Alberni	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Courtenay	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Williams Lake	2 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 0
Terrace	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Prince George	2 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Fort St. John	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Whitehorse	57 6%	6 4%	11 12% ADE	6 10% DE	14 4%	7 3%	12 16% ADE	17 6%	14 4%	24 8% H	24 5%	32 6%
Not in a CMA/Unknown	151 15%	20 14%	15 16%	12 18%	48 12%	37 16%	18 24% D	36 12%	65 17%	50 16%	68 14%	82 16%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
BRITISH COLUMBIA												
Central Kootenay Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Kootenay Boundary Regional District	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Okanagan-Similkameen Regional District	2 0	2 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Fraser Valley Regional District	15 1%	15 11% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	7 2%	6 2%	10 2%	5 1%
Greater Vancouver Regional District	68 7%	68 49% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	22 8%	23 6%	23 8%	34 7%	34 7%
Capital Regional District	9 1%	9 6% BDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	3 1%	5 1%	3 1%
Cowichan Valley Regional District	2 0	2 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0	1 0
Nanaimo Regional District	8 1%	8 6% BDE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	8 3% GH	5 1%	3 1%
Alberni-Clayoquot Regional District	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -
Comox-Strathcona Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Sunshine Coast Regional District	2 0	2 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0
Squamish-Lillooet Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Thompson-Nicola Regional District	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%
Central Okanagan Regional District	5 0	5 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 0	2 0	3 1%
North Okanagan Regional District	7 1%	7 5% BDE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%	3 1%
Columbia-Shuswap Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Cariboo Regional District	2 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 0
Kitimat-Stikine Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Bilkley-Nechako Regional District	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Fraser-Fort George Regional District	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	3 1%	1 0
Peace River Regional District	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	1 0	0 0
ALBERTA												

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Division No. 1	3 0	0 -	3 4% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Division No. 2	4 0	0 -	4 5% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	1 0	3 1%
Division No. 3	2 0	0 -	2 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0
Division No. 5	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 6	33 3%	0 -	33 34% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 3%	14 4%	8 3%	17 3%	16 3%
Division No. 7	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 8	4 0	0 -	4 4% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	2 0	2 0
Division No. 10	5 0	0 -	5 5% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	0 -	3 1%	2 0
Division No. 11	29 3%	0 -	29 30% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	12 4%	7 2%	10 3%	12 2%	18 3%
Division No. 13	5 1%	0 -	5 6% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	1 0	4 1%	1 0
Division No. 14	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Division No. 15	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 0	0 -	2 0	0 0
Division No. 16	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Division No. 17	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Division No. 19	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
SASKATCHEWAN												
Division No. 1	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 4	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -
Division No. 5	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 6	4 0	0 -	0 -	4 7% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 0	1 0	4 1%
Division No. 7	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0
Division No. 8	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 11	7 1%	0 -	0 -	7 11% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	4 1%	3 1%
Division No. 12	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 0
Division No. 14	3 0	0 -	0 -	3 4% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	3 1%	0 0
Division No. 15	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 0
Division No. 18	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
MANITOBA												

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Division No. 1	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Division No. 2	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -
Division No. 3	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 7	5 1%	0 -	0 -	5 8% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 0	1 0	1 0	5 1%
Division No. 11	24 2%	0 -	0 -	24 35% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 3%	10 2%	6 2%	11 2%	13 3%
Division No. 12	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Division No. 13	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Division No. 14	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 0	1 0
Division No. 15	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Division No. 18	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 22	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
ONTARIO												
Stormont Dundas and Glengarry	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	2 0	0 0
Prescott and Russell	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Ottawa	26 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	26 7% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	7 3%	8 2%	11 3%	15 3%	11 2%
Leeds and Grenville	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	0 0	5 1%
Lanark County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Frontenac County	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 0	2 1%	2 0	3 1%
Hastings County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0	0 -
Northumberland County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	2 0	1 0
Peterborough County	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	4 1%	3 1%	2 0
Kawartha Lakes Division	9 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 2% E	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	3 1%	3 1%	6 1%
Durham Regional Municipality	38 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	38 10% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	11 4%	20 5% I	7 2%	20 4%	18 4%
York Regional Municipality	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	6 2%	1 0	3 1%	7 1%	4 1%
Toronto	71 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	71 19% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	24 8% I	35 9% I	11 4%	45 9% K	26 5%
Peel Regional Municipality	27 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	27 7% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	9 3%	10 3%	7 2%	6 1%	21 4% J
Dufferin County	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	4 1%	2 1%	5 1%	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Wellington County	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	1 0	4 1%	5 1%
Halton Regional Municipality	13 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	13 3% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%	9 2%	2 1%	7 1%	6 1%
Hamilton	16 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	16 4% AE	0 -	0 -	3 1%	8 2%	5 2%	6 1%	9 2%
Niagara Regional Municipality	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	2 1%	5 1%	3 1%
Haldimand-Norfolk Regional Municipality	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	2 1%	4 1%	1 0
Brant County	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2% E	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	2 1%	3 1%	4 1%
Waterloo Regional Municipality	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	7 2%	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	7 1%
Perth County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Oxford County	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 1%	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	0 0	5 1%	0 0
Elgin County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0
Chatham-Kent Division	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0	0 0
Essex County	14 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	14 4% AE	0 -	0 -	3 1%	5 1%	6 2%	6 1%	8 2%
Lambton County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	2 0	0 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Middlesex County	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	6 2% H	2 0	5 1%
Huron County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Bruce County	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	5 1%
Grey County	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 1%	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 0	0 0	0 0	5 1%
Simcoe County	15 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	15 4% AE	0 -	0 -	6 2%	6 2%	2 1%	8 2%	7 1%
Muskoka District Municipality	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 1%	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	2 1%	2 0	4 1%
Haliburton County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Renfrew County	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	2 0	2 0
Nipissing County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	2 0	1 0
Parry Sound District	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Sudbury District	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Greater Sudbury Division	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	5 2% H	0 -	5 2% H	7 1%	4 1%
Timiskaming District	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0
Cochrane District	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0	2 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Algoma District	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	3 1%	0 0
Thunder Bay District	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Kenora District	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0
QUEBEC												
Les Iles-de-la-Madeleine	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
La Côte-de-Gaspé	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
La Haute-Gaspésie	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Avignon	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -	3 1%
La Matapédia	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Rimouski-Neigette	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Rivière-du-Loup	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Kamouraska	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 0	0 -	2 0
L'Islet	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Bellechasse	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
La Côte-de-Beaupré	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
La Jacques-Cartier	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Comm. Urbaine de Québec	18 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	18 8% ABCDEF	0 -	6 2%	5 1%	7 2%	6 1%	12 2%
Desjardins	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0
Les Chutes-de-la-Chaudière	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0
Beauce-Sartigan	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
L'Amiante	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0
Portneuf	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Le Cente-de-la-Mauricie	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 0	1 0	1 0	0 -
Francheville	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	0 -	5 1% J
Arthabaska	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Asbestos	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Le Haut-Saint-François	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
La Région-Sherbrooke	10 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 4% AD	0 -	1 1%	5 1%	3 1%	3 1%	8 1%
Memphrémagog	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0
Brome-Missisquoi	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0
La Haute-Yamaska	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	2 0	1 0
Acton	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Drummond	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	2 0	1 0
D'Au-ray	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	2 0	1 0
Le Bas-Richelieu	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	0 -	4 1%
Les Maskoutains	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 0	1 0	0 -	1 0
Rouville	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0
Le Haut-Richelieu	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	3 1%
La Vallée-du-Richelieu	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Champlain	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 3% D	0 -	2 1%	4 1%	2 0	5 1%	2 0
Lajemmerai	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%	2 0
L'Assomption	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	1 0	1 0	4 1%
Joliette	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -
Montcalm	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Les Mouulins	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	2 0	2 0
Laval	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 3% D	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	5 1%
Comm. Urbaine-de-Montréal	55 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	55 23% ABCDF	0 -	23 8%	18 5%	13 4%	32 7%	22 4%
Roussillon	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 2% D	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	6 1% K	0 -
Beauharnois-Salaberry	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	3 0
Vaudreuil-Soulanges	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 3% D	0 -	3 1%	1 0	2 1%	0 -	6 1% J
Deux-Montagnes	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 2% D	0 -	4 1%	1 0	1 0	5 1%	1 0
Thérèse-De-Blainville	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
La Rivière-du-Nord	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 3% D	0 -	5 2%	1 0	1 0	4 1%	3 1%
Argenteuil	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Les Pays-d'en-Haut	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Les Laurentides	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Antoine-Labelle	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Papineau	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Comm. Urbaine-de-l'Outaouais	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 3% D	0 -	3 1%	1 0	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%
Les Collines-de-l'Outaouais	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 0	2 0	0 0
Rouyn-Noranda	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Abitibi	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Vallée-de-l'Or	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Le Domaine-du-Roy	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -
Maria-Chapdelaine	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Lac-Saint-Jean-Est	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	4 1%	0 -
Le Fjord-du-Saguenay	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 3% D	0 -	4 1%	1 0	2 1%	1 0	6 1%
La Haute-Côte-Nord	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -
Manicouagan	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0
Sept-Rivières - Caniapiscau	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0
NEW BRUNSWICK												
Saint John County	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 8% ABCDE	4 1%	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%
Charlotte County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 4% ADE	0 -	1 0	2 1%	1 0	2 0
Sunbury County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Queens County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 4% ADE	0 -	3 1%	0 0	0 -	3 1%
Kings County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0
Albert County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Westmorland County	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 5% ADE	1 0	1 0	2 0	2 0	1 0
Northumberland County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
York County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 4% ADE	2 1%	0 -	1 0	2 0	1 0
Madawaska County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
NOVA SCOTIA												
Shelburne County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Annapolis County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Lunenburg County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 4% ADE	0 -	0 0	2 1%	2 0	1 0
Kings County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0
Halifax County	17 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	17 23% ABCDE	5 2%	9 2%	2 1%	10 2%	7 1%
Colchester County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 0
Pictou County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 0	0 0
Cape Breton County	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 5% ADE	1 0	0 -	3 1%	0 -	4 1%
P.E.I.												
Queens County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	1 0	0 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
NEWFOUNDLAND												

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Division No. 1	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 11% ABCDE	3 1%	3 1%	2 1%	0 0	8 2% J
Division No. 2	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Division No. 4	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Division No. 6	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Division No. 8	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 10	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 5% ABDE	0 -	4 1%	0 -	4 1%	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Respondent's Sex:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Male	491 49%	72 52%	46 48%	33 49%	199 52%	105 44%	36 47%	157 53% I	192 50%	132 43%	491 100% K	0 -
Female	511 51%	67 48%	50 52%	34 51%	187 48%	132 56%	40 53%	138 47%	194 50%	176 57% G	0 -	511 100% J

DEMOGRAPHICS

Language of Interview:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
English	785	139	96	67	386	20	76	224	307	241	397	388
	78%	100%	100%	100%	100%	8%	100%	76%	80%	78%	81%	76%
French		E	E	E	E	E	E					
	217	0	0	0	0	217	0	71	78	68	94	123
	22%	-	-	-	-	92%	-	24%	20%	22%	19%	24%
ABCDEF												

DEMOGRAPHICS

What age group do you fall into?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
18 to 24	115 11%	11 8%	13 14%	12 17% F	51 13%	24 10%	4 5%	115 39% HI	0 -	0 -	66 14%	48 9%
25 to 34	180 18%	23 16%	20 21%	6 9%	65 17%	50 21% C	17 22%	180 61% HI	0 -	0 -	90 18%	90 18%
35 to 44	172 17%	25 18%	16 17%	15 23%	63 16%	42 18%	12 15%	0 -	172 45% GI	0 -	91 19%	81 16%
45 to 54	213 21%	23 17%	19 20%	18 26%	94 24%	44 18%	16 21%	0 -	213 55% GI	0 -	101 21%	113 22%
55 to 64	164 16%	36 26% CD	15 16%	8 12%	44 11%	42 18% D	18 23% D	0 -	0 -	164 53% GH	77 16%	86 17%
65+	145 14%	21 15%	9 10%	7 10%	64 17%	36 15%	9 11%	0 -	0 -	145 47% GH	55 11%	90 18% J
Refused	14 1%	1 0	4 4% E	1 2%	5 1%	1 0	2 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 2%	3 1%
STATISTICS												
Average age	46	49 BC	43	43	45	46	48	26	45 G	66 GH	44	47 J
Standard Deviation	16.7	16.5	16.3	15.6	17.2	16.8	15.5	5.2	5.7	8.5	16.1	17.1
Standard Error	0.5	1.4	1.7	2.0	0.9	1.1	1.9	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.8

DEMOGRAPHICS

What is your current marital status?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Single or never married	227 23%	29 21%	20 21%	12 17%	82 21%	65 27%	18 24%	135 46% HI	61 16% I	29 9%	133 27% K	94 18%
Married	501 50%	78 56% E	50 52% E	38 57% E	209 54% E	85 36% E	40 53% E	88 30%	230 60% G	177 57% G	241 49%	260 51%
Common-law or living with a partner	134 13%	16 12%	12 12%	10 15%	38 10%	51 22% ADF	6 8%	68 23% HI	49 13% I	17 5%	65 13%	69 13%
Divorced or separated	69 7%	7 5%	8 8%	3 5%	26 7%	20 9%	5 6%	1 0	35 9% G	33 11% G	27 5%	42 8%
Widowed	62 6%	9 6%	5 5%	3 4%	26 7%	14 6%	6 8%	1 0	8 2%	54 17% GH	17 3%	45 9% J
Refused	10 1%	0 -	1 1%	1 2%	4 1%	2 1%	1 2%	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	9 2% K	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
1 person	173 17%	23 17%	13 13%	11 17%	63 16%	47 20%	15 20%	33 11%	52 14%	87 28% GH	82 17%	91 18%
2 persons	346 35%	53 38%	30 31%	20 30%	115 30%	98 41% D	30 39%	85 29%	93 24%	163 53% GH	156 32%	190 37%
3 persons	188 19%	25 18%	24 25%	13 19%	68 18%	38 16%	21 27% E	73 25% I	86 22% I	29 9%	94 19%	94 18%
4 persons	189 19%	27 19%	17 18% F	15 23% F	90 23% EF	35 15%	5 6%	69 23% I	103 27% I	15 5%	96 19%	93 18%
5 persons	67 7%	6 4%	4 4%	6 9%	34 9%	14 6%	3 4%	20 7% I	42 11% I	5 2%	43 9% K	24 5%
6 persons	25 2%	3 2%	6 6%	1 2%	10 2%	5 2%	0 1%	11 4%	7 2%	5 2%	11 2%	15 3%
7 persons	2 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 0	2 0	0 0
8 persons	2 0	1 1%	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0	0 -
10+ persons	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Refused	9 1%	1 0	1 1%	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	3 3%	2 1%	0 -	3 1% H	5 1%	5 1%
STATISTICS												
Mean	2.73	2.67	2.94 EF	2.83 F	2.89 EF	2.52	2.33	2.98 I	3.05 I	2.05	2.83 K	2.63

DEMOGRAPHICS

Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Excludes respondents living alone												
Unweighted Base	815	107	88	54	311	201	54	185	376	244	391	424
Weighted Base	820	116*	82*	56*	319	189	58*	260	333	218	404	416
Yes	330 40%	35 30%	32 39%	24 44%	145 45%	70 37%	23 39%	119 46% I	192 58% GI	17 8%	161 40%	169 41%
No	488 59%	81 70% D	50 61%	30 54%	173 54%	119 63%	35 61%	141 54% H	141 42%	201 92% GH	241 60%	247 59%
Refused	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 2%	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
ALL AGES												
Base: Children under the age of 18 currently living in household												
Unweighted Base	326	33	36	24	138	75	20	82	222	20	158	168
Weighted Base	330	35**	32**	24**	145	70*	23**	119*	192	17**	161	169
Under 1 year old	31 9%	4 12%	5 14%	2 7%	13 9%	5 7%	3 11%	22 18% H	9 5%	0 -	13 8%	18 11%
One year old	36 11%	7 21%	2 7%	7 29%	11 8%	5 7%	2 11%	25 21% H	9 5%	2 10%	16 10%	20 12%
Two years old	40 12%	4 12%	6 18%	0 -	13 9%	15 21% D	3 12%	26 22% H	12 6%	2 13%	22 14%	18 11%
Three years old	23 7%	4 11%	2 5%	4 16%	10 7%	4 5%	0 -	14 11%	9 5%	0 -	11 7%	12 7%
Four years old	29 9%	4 12%	7 20%	0 2%	11 8%	5 7%	2 8%	17 14% H	12 6%	0 2%	13 8%	16 9%
Five years old	30 9%	4 12%	0 1%	2 10%	18 12%	4 6%	1 5%	11 10%	18 9%	1 6%	17 10%	14 8%
Six years old	29 9%	3 8%	5 16%	4 16%	13 9%	3 5%	0 -	10 9%	16 8%	3 15%	12 8%	16 10%
Seven years old	30 9%	7 19%	5 15%	2 7%	10 7%	6 9%	0 2%	8 7%	19 10%	2 11%	18 11%	11 7%
Eight years old	32 10%	5 13%	1 2%	1 5%	20 14%	3 5%	2 10%	10 9%	22 11%	0 -	18 11%	14 8%
Nine years old	31 9%	0 -	7 21%	2 9%	13 9%	7 11%	1 4%	7 6%	20 10%	3 19%	17 10%	14 8%
Ten years old	25 8%	1 1%	5 15%	2 7%	8 6%	5 7%	5 22%	6 5%	16 8%	4 22%	12 7%	13 8%
Eleven years old	31 9%	1 4%	3 11%	3 12%	12 8%	9 13%	3 12%	2 1%	30 16% G	0 -	18 11%	13 8%
Twelve years old	29 9%	4 13%	2 5%	0 -	16 11%	6 9%	1 3%	5 4%	21 11%	3 19%	16 10%	13 8%

DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC A	ALB B	SK/MN C	ONT D	QUE E	ATL F	18-34 G	35-54 H	55+ I	Male J	Female K
Thirteen years old	23 7%	1 4%	1 2%	3 11%	10 7%	7 10%	1 3%	4 4%	18 9%	1 5%	10 6%	13 8%
Fourteen years old	37 11%	4 10%	3 10%	6 26%	9 6%	11 15%	4 16%	7 6%	28 14%	1 5%	16 10%	20 12%
Fifteen years old	20 6%	2 5%	1 3%	1 6%	10 7%	4 6%	1 6%	0 -	20 10%	0 -	6 4%	14 8%
Sixteen years old	34 10%	2 7%	1 4%	4 16%	14 10%	12 17%	0 -	7 6%	27 14%	0 -	16 10%	18 11%
Seventeen years old	23 7%	1 4%	1 3%	0 -	15 10%	5 8%	0 -	3 2%	17 9%	3 20%	10 6%	12 7%
Refused	11 3%	0 -	2 6%	0 -	7 5%	0 -	3 12%	0 -	10 5%	0 3%	8 5%	3 2%

DEMOGRAPHICS

What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Grade school or some high school	113 11%	6 5%	8 8%	6 9%	43 11%	37 16%	13 17%	27 9%	28 7%	59 19%	53 11%	60 12%
Complete high school	297 30%	32 23%	31 33%	29 43%	102 26%	81 34%	22 28%	88 30%	112 29%	94 30%	147 30%	150 29%
Some community college or university, but did not finish	168	40	18	6	74	25	5	57	66	44	85	82
	17%	28% CDEF	18% F	10%	19% EF	11%	7%	19%	17%	14%	17%	16%
Complete technical or trade school/Community college	245	32	27	12	96	55	24	73	107	63	117	128
	24%	23%	28%	17%	25%	23%	32%	25%	28% I	20%	24%	25%
Complete university degree, such as a Bachelor's	111	19	7	9	44	24	9	36	46	27	51	60
	11%	14%	7%	14%	11%	10%	11%	12%	12%	9%	10%	12%
Post-graduate degree, such as a Master's or Ph.D.	52	10	4	3	21	11	2	15	22	15	29	23
	5%	7%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%
Refused	15	0	1	2	6	4	1	0	4	7	9	7
	2%	-	1%	4% A	2%	2%	2%	-	1%	2% G	2%	1%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Working full-time	484 48%	60 43%	56 58% A	34 50%	183 47%	111 47%	41 54%	169 57% I	244 63% I	69 22%	277 56% K	207 41%
Working part-time	83 8%	17 12% BC	2 2%	1 1%	35 9% B	23 9% BC	5 7%	32 11% I	34 9%	16 5%	27 6% J	55 11%
Self-employed	99 10%	14 10%	10 10%	12 18% E	46 12% E	12 5%	5 6%	30 10%	45 12%	23 7%	59 12% K	40 8%
Unemployed, but looking for work	35 4%	5 4%	1 2%	2 3%	17 4%	7 3%	3 3%	18 6% I	12 3%	5 2%	19 4%	16 3%
Attending school full-time/A student	13 1%	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	4 1%	4 2%	3 4%	13 4% HI	0 0	0 -	5 1%	8 2%
Retired	182 18%	28 20%	13 14%	6 9%	70 18%	56 24% C	10 13%	0 -	14 4% G	166 54% GH	70 14%	112 22% J
Not in the workforce/A full-time homemaker	85 8%	13 9%	12 12%	9 14%	24 6%	19 8%	8 11%	26 9%	32 8%	26 8%	21 4%	64 13% J
Other/Social assistance/Disability	14 1%	2 2%	0 -	2 3%	7 2%	4 1%	0 -	5 2%	4 1%	5 2%	7 1%	7 1%
Refused	7 1%	1 0	1 1% D	1 2% D	0 -	3 1% D	1 2% D	2 1%	0 -	0 -	6 1%	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	131	104	65	381	251	70	215	427	346	477	525
Weighted Base	1002	139	96*	67*	386	237	76*	295	385	309	491	511
Under \$10,000	48 5%	8 6%	1 1%	0 -	20 5%	15 6%	4 6%	27 9% HI	13 3%	8 3%	28 6%	20 4%
\$10,000 to just under \$20,000	76 8%	8 6%	5 5%	5 7%	27 7%	23 10%	8 11%	23 8%	18 5%	34 11% H	28 6%	48 9% J
\$20,000 to just under \$30,000	88 9%	11 8%	6 6%	8 12%	27 7%	25 10%	11 15% D	23 8%	28 7%	37 12% H	41 8%	47 9%
\$30,000 to just under \$40,000	117 12%	9 7%	9 10%	11 16%	35 9%	42 18% AD	11 14%	43 15%	39 10%	35 11%	46 9%	72 14% J
\$40,000 to just under \$50,000	97 10%	12 8%	6 6%	4 6%	41 11%	26 11%	9 11%	35 12%	33 9%	29 9%	55 11%	43 8%
\$50,000 to just under \$60,000	93 9%	28 20% CDE	10 11% E	3 4%	34 9% E	10 4%	8 10%	30 10%	33 9%	27 9%	43 9%	50 10%
\$60,000 to just under \$70,000	73 7%	7 5%	5 5%	5 8%	28 7%	22 9%	6 8%	19 6%	33 8%	21 7%	36 7%	37 7%
\$70,000 to just under \$80,000	47 5%	11 8% D	3 3%	5 7%	12 3%	14 6%	2 3%	10 3%	26 7%	11 4%	28 6%	19 4%
\$80,000 to just under \$100,000	78 8%	8 6%	12 12%	7 10%	27 7%	20 9%	5 6%	25 9% I	41 11% I	13 4%	40 8%	39 8%
\$100,000 and over	156 16%	22 16% EF	26 27% EF	12 19% EF	75 20% EF	17 7%	3 5%	47 16%	74 19% I	34 11%	99 20% K	57 11%
Refused	128 13%	15 10%	13 14%	7 10%	62 16% E	23 10%	9 11%	12 4%	47 12% G	58 19% GH	49 10%	79 16% J