

Electronic Enforcement

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT	1
1. Thinking now about the general level of traditional traffic enforcement by police in your community, including roadside checks, radar, speed traps and the general visibility of police, would you say that the level of enforcement is... ..	1
2. SUPPORT SUMMARY TABLE	
(Strongly/Somewhat support)	2
2. NEITHER SUMMARY TABLE (Neither support/oppose/Depends)	3
2. OPPOSE SUMMARY TABLE (Somewhat/Strongly oppose)	4
2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones?	5
2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red?	6
2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway?	7
3. When these types of electronic enforcement measures are used, do you think there should, or should not, be warning signs posted to advise motorists of their presence?	8
DEMOGRAPHICS.....	9
Region:	9
Province:	10
Canadian Markets:	11
Urban / Rural	13
Census Metropolitan Area:	14
Census Division	22
Respondent's Sex:	38
Language of Interview:	39
What age group do you fall into?	40
What is your current marital status?	41
Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?	42
Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?	43
And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?	44
What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?	46
Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status?	47
And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income?	48

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

1. Thinking now about the general level of traditional traffic enforcement by police in your community, including roadside checks, radar, speed traps and the general visibility of police, would you say that the level of enforcement is...

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Not enough	421 42%	357 44% B	65 33%	61 54% EF	129 43%	172 42%	58 35%	93 44%	123 40%	150 42%
About right	491 49%	379 47%	112 57% A	47 41%	131 44%	208 50%	92 57% CD	101 48%	156 51%	173 49%
Too much	72 7%	55 7%	17 9%	4 4%	34 11% CEF	25 6%	9 6%	14 7%	25 8%	28 8%
Depends	10 1%	8 1%	2 1%	0 -	1 0	6 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%
Don't know/Refused	8 1%	7 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 0	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 0

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. SUPPORT SUMMARY TABLE (Strongly/Somewhat support)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones	844	681	163	98	266	329	138	182	255	304
	84%	85%	83%	86%	89% E	80%	85%	86%	83%	86%
2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red	776	628	148	90	241	310	122	168	237	279
	77%	78%	76%	80%	81%	75%	75%	79%	77%	79%
3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway	687	560	126	84	220	262	110	154	209	234
	69%	70%	64%	74%	74% E	63%	68%	73%	68%	66%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. NEITHER SUMMARY TABLE (Neither support/oppose/Depends)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones	4	4	0	1	1	0	2	1	2	1
	0	1%	-	1%	0	-	1% E	1%	1%	0
2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red	10	10	0	3	2	2	2	0	3	3
	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	0	1%	-	1%	1%
3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway	11	10	0	0	3	4	2	0	6	2
	1%	1%	0	-	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. OPPOSE SUMMARY TABLE (Somewhat/Strongly oppose)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones	150	117	33	13	28	84	22	28	48	48
	15%	15%	17%	12%	9%	20% DF	13%	13%	16%	14%
2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red	213	165	48	20	53	98	39	42	68	72
	21%	20%	24%	18%	18%	24%	24%	20%	22%	20%
3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway	304	234	69	29	73	146	51	58	93	118
	30%	29%	35%	26%	25%	35% D	31%	27%	30%	33%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 1) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit in school zones?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Strongly support	602 60%	486 60%	116 59%	74 65%	182 61%	247 60%	92 56%	125 59%	187 61%	219 62%
Somewhat support	242 24%	195 24%	47 24%	24 21%	84 28% E	83 20%	47 29% E	57 27%	69 22%	85 24%
Somewhat oppose	57 6%	46 6%	11 6%	6 5%	10 3%	30 7%	10 6%	11 5%	20 7%	19 5%
Strongly oppose	93 9%	71 9%	22 11%	8 7%	18 6%	54 13% DF	12 7%	17 8%	28 9%	29 8%
Neither support/oppose	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1% E	0 -	0 -	1 0
Depends	3 0	3 0	0 -	1 1%	1 0	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	0 0
Don't know/Refused	4 0	3 0	0 0	1 1%	2 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 0	2 1%	1 0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Support (Top2Box)	844 84%	681 85%	163 83%	98 86%	266 89% E	329 80%	138 85%	182 86%	255 83%	304 86%
Neither/Depends (Mid2Box)	4 0	4 1%	0 -	1 1%	1 0	0 -	2 1% E	1 1%	2 1%	1 0
Oppose (Low2Box)	150 15%	117 15%	33 17%	13 12%	28 9%	84 20% DF	22 13%	28 13%	48 16%	48 14%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 2) Cameras to identify vehicles that go through intersections after the traffic light has turned red?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Strongly support	498 50%	411 51%	88 45%	54 47%	152 51%	207 50%	80 49%	104 49%	151 49%	178 50%
Somewhat support	278 28%	218 27%	61 31%	37 32%	89 30%	103 25%	42 26%	63 30%	85 28%	101 28%
Somewhat oppose	95 10%	79 10%	17 8%	9 8%	21 7%	43 10%	20 12% D	25 12%	26 8%	30 8%
Strongly oppose	117 12%	86 11%	31 16%	11 10%	32 11%	56 14%	18 11%	17 8%	42 14%	42 12%
Neither support/oppose	6 1%	6 1%	0 -	3 3% DE	0 -	0 -	1 1% E	0 -	3 1%	1 0
Depends	5 0	5 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	3 1%
Don't know/Refused	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 0	2 1%	0 0	0 -
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Support (Top2Box)	776 77%	628 78%	148 76%	90 80%	241 81%	310 75%	122 75%	168 79%	237 77%	279 79%
Neither/Depends (Mid2Box)	10 1%	10 1%	0 -	3 3%	2 1%	2 0	2 1%	0 -	3 1%	3 1%
Oppose (Low2Box)	213 21%	165 20%	48 24%	20 18%	53 18%	98 24%	39 24%	42 20%	68 22%	72 20%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

2. Electronic enforcement involves using cameras instead of police to identify vehicles that speed or run red lights. The owner of the vehicle is fined but no points are assigned to anyone's driving record. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose using each of the following types of electronic enforcement: 3) Photo radar to identify vehicles that break the speed limit on the highway?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Strongly support	371 37%	302 37%	69 35%	52 46% EF	124 42% E	138 33%	55 33%	89 42%	110 36%	123 35%
Somewhat support	316 32%	258 32%	57 29%	32 29%	96 32%	125 30%	56 34%	65 31%	100 32%	111 31%
Somewhat oppose	120 12%	95 12%	24 12%	16 14%	24 8%	55 13%	23 14% D	24 11%	34 11%	49 14%
Strongly oppose	184 18%	139 17%	45 23%	14 12%	49 17%	91 22% C	28 17%	34 16%	58 19%	69 19%
Neither support/oppose	6 1%	6 1%	0 0	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	0 0	0 -	4 1% I	0 -
Depends	5 0	5 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	2 1%	0 -	1 0	2 1%
Don't know/Refused	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY										
Support (Top2Box)	687 69%	560 70%	126 64%	84 74%	220 74% E	262 63%	110 68%	154 73%	209 68%	234 66%
Neither/Depends (Mid2Box)	11 1%	10 1%	0 0	0 -	3 1%	4 1%	2 1%	0 -	6 2%	2 1%
Oppose (Low2Box)	304 30%	234 29%	69 35%	29 26%	73 25%	146 35% D	51 31%	58 27%	93 30%	118 33%

ELECTRONIC ENFORCEMENT

3. When these types of electronic enforcement measures are used, do you think there should, or should not, be warning signs posted to advise motorists of their presence?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Should be posted	667 67%	542 67%	125 64%	73 64%	197 66%	274 66%	114 70%	145 68%	201 65%	245 69%
Should not be posted	315 31%	247 31%	68 35%	35 31%	96 32%	131 32%	46 28%	58 28%	101 33%	107 30%
Depends	15 1%	12 1%	3 2%	3 3%	4 1%	5 1%	3 2%	6 3%	4 1%	2 1%
Don't know/Refused	6 1%	6 1%	0 -	2 2% D	0 -	3 1%	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Region:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
British Columbia	139 14%	115 14%	24 12%	6 6%	32 11%	72 17% CD	29 18% CD	27 13%	49 16%	49 14%
Alberta	96 10%	83 10%	13 7%	8 7%	31 11%	44 11%	11 7%	12 6%	26 8%	45 13% G
Sask/Man	67 7%	44 5%	24 12% A	6 5%	29 10% E	18 4%	12 7%	13 6%	18 6%	29 8%
Ontario	386 38%	315 39%	70 36%	43 38%	102 34%	170 41%	65 40%	73 34%	110 36%	141 40%
Quebec	237 24%	191 24%	47 24%	37 33% EF	81 27% E	80 19%	35 21%	62 29% I	78 25%	74 21%
Atlantic	76 8%	57 7%	19 10%	13 12%	22 7%	29 7%	11 7%	24 11% I	27 9% I	16 5%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Province:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
British Columbia	139 14%	115 14%	24 12%	6 6%	32 11%	72 17% CD	29 18% CD	27 13%	49 16%	49 14%
Alberta	96 10%	83 10%	13 7%	8 7%	31 11%	44 11%	11 7%	12 6%	26 8%	45 13% G
Saskatchewan	26 3%	13 2%	13 7% A	1 1%	8 3%	10 2%	6 3%	4 2%	9 3%	10 3%
Manitoba	42 4%	31 4%	11 5%	5 4%	21 7% E	8 2%	6 4%	9 4%	9 3%	20 6%
Ontario	386 38%	315 39%	70 36%	43 38%	102 34%	170 41%	65 40%	73 34%	110 36%	141 40%
Quebec	237 24%	191 24%	47 24%	37 33% EF	81 27% E	80 19%	35 21%	62 29% I	78 25%	74 21%
New Brunswick	26 3%	26 3% B	0 -	2 2%	11 4%	12 3%	2 1%	11 5%	9 3%	7 2%
Nova Scotia	30 3%	21 3%	9 5%	6 5%	9 3%	7 2%	7 4%	7 3%	12 4%	5 2%
Prince Edward Island	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 1% E	1 1%	1 0	0 0
Newfoundland	17 2%	8 1%	9 4% A	5 4% D	1 0	9 2%	1 1%	5 2%	6 2%	4 1%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Canadian Markets:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
WEST (NET)	303 30%	242 30%	61 31%	20 18%	93 31% C	134 32% C	52 32% C	53 25%	93 30%	123 35% G
- British Columbia	139 14%	115 14%	24 12%	6 6%	32 11%	72 17% CD	29 18% CD	27 13%	49 16%	49 14%
- Alberta	96 10%	83 10%	13 7%	8 7%	31 11%	44 11%	11 7%	12 6%	26 8%	45 13% G
- Saskatchewan/Manitoba	67 7%	44 5%	24 12% A	6 5%	29 10% E	18 4%	12 7%	13 6%	18 6%	29 8%
ONTARIO (NET)	386 38%	315 39%	70 36%	43 38%	102 34%	170 41%	65 40%	73 34%	110 36%	141 40%
- GTA	164 16%	162 20% B	2 1%	12 10%	48 16%	69 17%	31 19% C	20 9%	51 16% G	67 19% G
- Central Ontario	78 8%	55 7%	23 12% A	18 16% DEF	17 6%	28 7%	13 8%	15 7%	21 7%	29 8%
- Eastern Ontario	49 5%	38 5%	10 5%	1 1%	10 3%	27 6%	11 7% C	9 4%	12 4%	21 6%
- SouthWest Ontario	62 6%	39 5%	23 12% A	7 6%	16 5%	33 8%	7 4%	14 7%	19 6%	18 5%
- Northern Ontario	33 3%	21 3%	12 6% A	5 4%	12 4%	13 3%	4 2%	14 7% HI	7 2%	7 2%
QUEBEC (NET)	237 24%	191 24%	47 24%	37 33% EF	81 27% E	80 19%	35 21%	62 29% I	78 25%	74 21%
- Montreal CMA - Quebec	116 12%	109 13% B	8 4%	17 15%	41 14% E	33 8%	23 14% E	29 14%	32 11%	43 12%
- Other - Quebec	121 12%	82 10%	39 20% A	20 18% F	40 13% F	47 11%	12 7%	33 16% I	46 15% I	30 9%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Canadian Markets:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I
* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Atlantic	76	57	19	13	22	29	11	24	27	16
	8%	7%	10%	12%	7%	7%	7%	11%	9%	5%
								I	I	



DEMOGRAPHICS

Urban / Rural

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Urban	806 80%	806 100% B	0 -	79 70%	226 76%	346 84% CD	140 86% CD	160 76%	249 81%	290 82%
Rural	196 20%	0 -	196 100% A	34 30% EF	71 24% EF	67 16%	23 14%	52 24%	58 19%	64 18%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
St. John's	6 1%	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	1 1%	0 -	4 1%	2 0
Labrador City	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0
Charlottetown	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1% E	0 -	1 0	0 0
Halifax	17 2%	17 2%	0 -	1 1%	7 2%	5 1%	2 1%	2 1%	7 2%	3 1%
Truro	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 0	0 0
Cape Breton	4 0	4 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	1 1% E	1 1%	1 0	1 0
Moncton	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 1%	0 -	2 1%
Saint John	6 1%	6 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	4 1%	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	2 1%
Fredericton	4 0	4 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0
Campbellton	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Edmundston	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Rimouski	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Riviere-du-Loup	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Baie-Comeau	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Chicoutimi - Jonquiere	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	2 2%	1 0	3 1%	1 0	1 0	5 1%	1 0
Dolbeau	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Sept-Iles	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Quebec	24 2%	21 3%	4 2%	1 1%	10 3%	10 2%	3 2%	3 1%	10 3%	7 2%
Saint-Georges	2 0	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -
Thetford Mines	2 0	2 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Sherbrooke	10 1%	10 1%	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	5 1%	0 0	3 2%	5 2%	0 0
Magog	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Trois-Rivieres	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Shawinigan	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 0	1 1%	0 -	0 0
Drummondville	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Granby	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -
Saint-Hyacinthe	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 0	1 0	0 -	0 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Sorel	3 0	3 0	0 -	1 1%	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%
Joliette	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	4 0	4 0	0 -	2 2%	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -
Montreal	109 11%	106 13% B	3 2%	17 15% E	39 13% E	28 7%	23 14% E	27 13%	32 11%	39 11%
Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Val-d'Or	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Rouyn-Noranda	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Cornwall	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Ottawa - Hull	36 4%	31 4%	5 3%	0 -	6 2%	18 4%	11 7% CD	4 2%	8 3%	20 6%
Brockville	3 0	1 0	2 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0	2 1%
Pembroke	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Kingston	4 0	4 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 0	2 1%	0 -	2 0
Belleville	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Port Hope	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Peterborough	4 0	3 0	1 0	1 1%	1 0	0 -	1 1% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%
Lindsay	9 1%	3 0	5 3% A	4 4% DF	0 -	4 1%	1 0	4 2%	1 0	3 1%
Oshawa	23 2%	23 3% B	0 -	1 1%	6 2%	12 3%	3 2%	5 2%	7 2%	10 3%
Toronto	140 14%	139 17% B	1 1%	10 9%	42 14%	57 14%	28 17%	15 7%	44 14% G	56 16% G
Hamilton	20 2%	17 2%	2 1%	1 1%	7 2%	7 2%	4 2%	4 2%	6 2%	7 2%
St. Catharines - Niagara	8 1%	6 1%	2 1%	3 3%	1 0	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	5 1%
Kitchener	9 1%	9 1%	0 0	3 3%	1 0	3 1%	2 1%	3 2%	1 0	3 1%
Brantford	4 0	4 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	3 1%	0 -	1 1%	1 0	2 1%
Woodstock	4 0	4 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 0	2 1%	0 0	1 0
Tillsonburg	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -
Simcoe	5 1%	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	4 1%	0 -	1 1%	2 1%	1 0
Guelph	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	2 1%	0 -	3 1%	2 0
Stratford	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
London	8 1%	8 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%	0 0	2 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Chatham	2 0	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 0	0 0
Windsor	10 1%	10 1%	0 -	1 1%	2 1%	7 2%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	4 1%
Sarnia	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Barrie	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	2 2%	0 -	4 1%	0 0	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%
Orillia	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
North Bay	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0
Sudbury	11 1%	9 1%	2 1%	0 -	8 3% E	2 0	1 1%	5 3% HI	1 0	0 0
Elliot Lake	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -
Haileybury	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Timmins	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Thunder Bay	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Winnipeg	27 3%	24 3%	2 1%	3 3%	13 4% E	6 1%	5 3%	7 3%	6 2%	12 3%
Brandon	5 1%	5 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	3 1%
Thompson	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Regina	4 0	4 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1% D	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%
Moose Jaw	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Swift Current	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Saskatoon	7 1%	6 1%	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	0 -	3 1%	3 1%
Prince Albert	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Medicine Hat	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0
Lethbridge	4 0	4 0	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0
Calgary	29 3%	27 3%	2 1%	2 2%	8 3%	16 4%	4 2%	4 2%	6 2%	14 4%
Red Deer	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 0	1 0	0 -	0 0
Camrose	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Edmonton	26 3%	26 3% B	0 -	4 4%	5 2%	12 3%	5 3%	3 1%	9 3%	11 3%
Lloydminster	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Grande Prairie	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Wood Buffalo	2 0	2 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 -	2 1%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Kelowna	5 0	5 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	4 1%
Vernon	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	2 1%	0 -
Kamloops	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	3 1%
Chilliwack	5 0	5 1%	0 -	0 -	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%
Abbotsford	10 1%	10 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	7 2%	2 1%	1 1%	6 2%	1 0
Vancouver	68 7%	68 8% B	0 -	3 2%	16 5%	37 9% C	13 8%	14 7%	23 7%	24 7%
Victoria	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	4 3% DE	2 1%	1 0	3 1%
Duncan	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Nanaimo	5 0	5 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	4 1%	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	1 0
Port Alberni	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Courtenay	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Williams Lake	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 -
Terrace	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Prince George	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 1%	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Fort St. John	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Whitehorse	57 6%	23 3%	33 17% A	12 10% F	19 7%	20 5%	4 3%	24 11% HI	14 5%	14 4%
Not in a CMA/Unknown	151 15%	35 4%	115 59% A	25 22% F	51 17%	56 14%	18 11%	37 17%	49 16%	45 13%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
BRITISH COLUMBIA										
Central Kootenay Regional District	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Kootenay Boundary Regional District	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Okanagan-Similkameen Regional District	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0
Fraser Valley Regional District	15 1%	15 2%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	9 2%	3 2%	3 1%	8 3%	3 1%
Greater Vancouver Regional District	68 7%	68 8% B	0 -	3 2%	16 5%	37 9% C	13 8%	14 7%	23 7%	24 7%
Capital Regional District	9 1%	7 1%	2 1%	2 2% D	0 -	2 1%	4 3% DE	4 2%	1 0	3 1%
Cowichan Valley Regional District	2 0	1 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0
Nanaimo Regional District	8 1%	8 1%	1 0	0 -	1 0	6 1%	1 1%	1 1%	5 2%	2 0
Alberni-Clayoquot Regional District	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Comox-Strathcona Regional District	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Sunshine Coast Regional District	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Squamish-Lillooet Regional District	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1% E	1 0	0 -	1 0
Thompson-Nicola Regional District	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	3 1%
Central Okanagan Regional District	5 0	5 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	4 1%
North Okanagan Regional District	7 1%	2 0	5 2% A	0 -	5 2%	1 0	1 0	0 -	4 1%	1 0
Columbia-Shuswap Regional District	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Cariboo Regional District	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 -
Kitimat-Stikine Regional District	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Bilkley-Nechako Regional District	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0
Fraser-Fort George Regional District	3 0	2 0	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 1%	1 0
Peace River Regional District	2 0	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
ALBERTA										
Division No. 1	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0
Division No. 2	4 0	4 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	0 0	0 -	2 1%	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Division No. 3	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0
Division No. 5	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 6	33 3%	30 4%	3 1%	2 2%	10 3%	16 4%	4 3%	4 2%	7 2%	17 5%
Division No. 7	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 8	4 0	3 0	1 0	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 0	1 0	1 0	2 1%
Division No. 10	5 0	2 0	3 1%	0 -	4 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	4 1%
Division No. 11	29 3%	28 3%	2 1%	4 4%	8 3%	12 3%	5 3%	3 1%	12 4%	11 3%
Division No. 13	5 1%	4 1%	1 0	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	2 1%
Division No. 14	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Division No. 15	2 0	2 0	0 0	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -	2 0
Division No. 16	2 0	2 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 -	2 1%
Division No. 17	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 19	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
SASKATCHEWAN										

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Division No. 1	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Division No. 4	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -
Division No. 5	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 6	4 0	4 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1% D	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%
Division No. 7	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Division No. 8	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 11	7 1%	6 1%	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	0 -	3 1%	3 1%
Division No. 12	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 1%	1 0	0 -
Division No. 14	3 0	0 -	3 2% A	1 1%	1 0	0 -	0 0	0 0	1 0	1 0
Division No. 15	1 0	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 0	1 0
Division No. 18	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
MANITOBA										
Division No. 1	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%
Division No. 2	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Division No. 3	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 7	5 1%	5 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	3 1%
Division No. 11	24 2%	24 3% B	0 -	3 3%	11 4%	6 1%	4 2%	7 3%	4 1%	12 3%
Division No. 12	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Division No. 13	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -
Division No. 14	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Division No. 15	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -
Division No. 18	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Division No. 22	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
ONTARIO										
Stormont Dundas and Glengarry	3 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 0	0 -	1 0	2 0
Prescott and Russell	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Ottawa	26 3%	23 3%	3 1%	0 -	5 2%	13 3%	8 5% CD	4 2%	7 2%	12 3%
Leeds and Grenville	5 1%	1 0	4 2% A	1 1%	1 0	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 0	2 1%
Lanark County	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 0	2 0
Frontenac County	4 0	4 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 0	2 1%	0 -	2 0
Hastings County	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Northumberland County	3 0	2 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -
Peterborough County	5 0	3 0	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	0 -	1 1% E	0 -	0 -	4 1%
Kawartha Lakes Division	9 1%	3 0	5 3% A	4 4% DF	0 -	4 1%	1 0	4 2%	1 0	3 1%
Durham Regional Municipality	38 4%	36 4% B	2 1%	1 1%	9 3%	21 5%	5 3%	6 3%	11 4%	17 5%
York Regional Municipality	11 1%	11 1%	0 -	2 2%	4 1%	2 0	3 2%	2 1%	6 2% I	1 0
Toronto	71 7%	71 9% B	0 -	3 2%	22 7%	28 7%	17 10% C	8 4%	19 6%	33 9% G
Peel Regional Municipality	27 3%	27 3% B	0 -	2 2%	10 3%	10 2%	5 3%	2 1%	10 3%	9 3%
Dufferin County	7 1%	3 0	4 2% A	0 -	1 0	4 1%	0 0	0 0	2 1%	3 1%
Wellington County	8 1%	7 1%	2 1%	0 -	1 0	5 1%	2 1%	0 -	3 1%	3 1%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban A	Rural B	<HS C	HS D	Post sec E	University F	<\$30K G	\$30K-<\$60K H	\$60K + I
Halton Regional Municipality	13 1%	13 2%	0 -	2 2%	3 1%	6 2%	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	7 2%
Hamilton	16 2%	13 2%	2 1%	1 1%	6 2%	4 1%	3 2%	4 2%	5 2%	4 1%
Niagara Regional Municipality	8 1%	6 1%	2 1%	3 3%	1 0	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	5 1%
Haldimand-Norfolk Regional Municipality	5 1%	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	4 1%	0 -	1 1%	2 1%	1 0
Brant County	7 1%	6 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 0	4 1%	0 0	1 1%	1 0	4 1%
Waterloo Regional Municipality	11 1%	9 1%	2 1%	5 4% DE	1 0	3 1%	2 1%	3 2%	3 1%	3 1%
Perth County	3 0	1 0	1 1%	1 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -
Oxford County	6 1%	6 1%	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	0 0	2 1%	2 1%	1 0
Elgin County	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -
Chatham-Kent Division	2 0	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 0	0 0
Essex County	14 1%	11 1%	3 2%	1 1%	4 1%	9 2%	0 0	3 2%	3 1%	5 1%
Lambton County	3 0	0 -	3 1% A	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 0	1 1%	0 -	2 0
Middlesex County	7 1%	6 1%	0 0	0 -	2 1%	2 0	2 1%	1 0	0 0	2 1%
Huron County	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Bruce County	8 1%	0 -	8 4% A	0 -	1 0	7 2%	0 0	1 1%	4 1%	1 0
Grey County	6 1%	4 0	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 0	1 1%	2 1%	3 1%	0 0
Simcoe County	15 1%	12 2%	2 1%	5 4%	2 1%	7 2%	1 1%	3 2%	4 1%	4 1%
Muskoka District Municipality	6 1%	3 0	2 1%	0 -	3 1%	2 0	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 0
Haliburton County	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Renfrew County	5 0	4 0	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -
Nipissing County	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0
Parry Sound District	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Sudbury District	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Greater Sudbury Division	11 1%	9 1%	2 1%	0 -	8 3% E	2 0	1 1%	5 3% HI	1 0	0 0
Timiskaming District	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Cochrane District	4 0	2 0	1 1%	1 1%	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -
Algoma District	4 0	3 0	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 0	0 0	1 0	1 0
Thunder Bay District	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban A	Rural B	<HS C	HS D	Post sec E	University F	<\$30K G	\$30K-<\$60K H	\$60K + I
Kenora District	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
QUEBEC										
Les Iles-de-la-Madeleine	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
La Côte-de-Gaspé	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
La Haute-Gaspésie	1 0	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Avignon	3 0	0 -	3 1% A	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -
La Matapédia	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Rimouski-Neigette	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Rivière-du-Loup	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Kamouraska	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -
L'Islet	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Bellechasse	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 1%	1 0	0 -
La Côte-de-Beaupré	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
La Jacques-Cartier	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Comm. Urbaine de Québec	18 2%	18 2%	0 -	0 -	8 3%	8 2%	2 1%	2 1%	6 2%	6 2%
Desjardins	2 0	2 0	0 -	1 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Les Chutes-de-la-Chaudière	2 0	1 0	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	2 1%	1 0
Beauce-Sartigan	2 0	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -
L'Amiante	2 0	2 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Portneuf	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Le Cente-de-la-Mauricie	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 0	1 1%	0 -	0 0
Francheville	5 0	3 0	2 1%	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -
Arthabaska	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -
Asbestos	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Le Haut-Saint-François	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
La Région-Sherbrookoise	10 1%	10 1%	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	5 1%	0 0	3 2%	5 2% I	0 0
Memphrémagog	2 0	1 0	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Brome-Missisquoi	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
La Haute-Yamaska	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -
Acton	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Drummond	3 0	2 0	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -
D'Au-ray	3 0	1 0	2 1%	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Le Bas-Richelieu	4 0	3 0	1 0	1 1%	1 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%
Les Maskoutains	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 0	1 0	0 -	0 0
Rouville	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -
Le Haut-Richelieu	4 0	4 0	0 -	2 2%	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -
La Vallée-du-Richelieu	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Champlain	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	4 2% DE	1 0	2 1%	4 1%
Lajemmerai	5 1%	4 0	1 1%	0 -	3 1%	1 0	1 0	2 1%	2 1%	1 0
L'Assomption	5 0	5 1%	0 -	2 2%	0 -	3 1%	0 0	0 -	2 1%	2 1%
Joliette	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Montcalm	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Les Moulinis	4 0	4 0	0 -	1 1%	2 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0
Laval	8 1%	8 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 0	3 1%
Comm. Urbaine-de-Montréal	55 5%	55 7%	0 -	8 7%	18 6%	14 3%	14 8%	18 8%	14 5%	19 5%
Roussillon	6 1%	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	3 1%
Beauharnois-Salaberry	3 0	3 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Vaudreuil-Soulanges	6 1%	5 1%	1 1%	1 1%	4 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	3 1%
Deux-Montagnes	6 1%	4 0	2 1%	2 2%	3 1%	1 0	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	1 0
Thérèse-De-Blainville	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 0	1 1%	1 0	0 0
La Rivière-du-Nord	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	3 1%	0 -	3 1%	0 -	4 1%
Argenteuil	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
Les Pays-d'en-Haut	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Les Laurentides	1 0	1 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Antoine-Labelle	1 0	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Papineau	1 0	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Comm. Urbaine-de-l'Outaouais	6 1%	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	3 2% D	0 -	1 0	5 2%
Les Collines-de-l'Outaouais	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%
Rouyn-Noranda	2 0	1 0	1 1%	1 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	1 0	0 -
Abitibi	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Vallée-de-l'Or	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Le Domaine-du-Roy	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Maria-Chapdelaine	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Lac-Saint-Jean-Est	4 0	0 -	4 2% A	0 -	1 0	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%
Le Fjord-du-Saguenay	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	2 2%	1 0	3 1%	1 0	1 0	5 1%	1 0
La Haute-Côte-Nord	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 0
Manicouagan	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Sept-Rivières - Caniapiscau	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
NEW BRUNSWICK										

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban A	Rural B	<HS C	HS D	Post sec E	University F	<\$30K G	\$30K-<\$60K H	\$60K + I
Saint John County	6 1%	6 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	4 1%	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	2 1%
Charlotte County	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -
Sunbury County	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Queens County	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 0	0 -	3 1%	0 -
Kings County	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -
Albert County	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Westmorland County	4 0	4 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	3 1%
Northumberland County	2 0	2 0	0 -	2 2% E	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -
York County	3 0	3 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0
Madawaska County	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -
NOVA SCOTIA										
Shelburne County	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Annapolis County	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Lunenburg County	3 0	0 -	3 1% A	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 0	1 1%	1 0	0 0
Kings County	2 0	0 -	2 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -
Halifax County	17 2%	17 2%	0 -	1 1%	7 2%	5 1%	2 1%	2 1%	7 2%	3 1%
Colchester County	1 0	0 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1% E	0 -	0 0	0 0
Pictou County	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -
Cape Breton County	4 0	4 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	1 1% E	1 1%	1 0	1 0
P.E.I.										
Queens County	2 0	1 0	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 1% E	1 1%	1 0	0 0
NEWFOUNDLAND										
Division No. 1	8 1%	6 1%	2 1%	1 1%	0 -	6 1%	1 1%	0 -	4 1%	3 1%
Division No. 2	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Division No. 4	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Division

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Division No. 6	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -
Division No. 8	1 0	0 -	1 1% A	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 10	4 0	1 0	3 1% A	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0

DEMOGRAPHICS

Respondent's Sex:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Male	491 49%	395 49%	96 49%	53 47%	147 50%	202 49%	80 49%	97 46%	143 47%	202 57% GH
Female	511 51%	411 51%	100 51%	60 53%	150 50%	211 51%	83 51%	115 54% I	164 53% I	152 43%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Language of Interview:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
English	785	632	153	77	226	336	133	154	238	287
	78%	78%	78%	68%	76%	81%	81%	73%	77%	81%
French	217	174	43	36	71	76	30	58	69	68
	22%	22%	22%	32% EF	24%	19%	19%	27% I	23%	19%

DEMOGRAPHICS

What age group do you fall into?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
18 to 24	115 11%	93 12%	22 11%	10 8%	55 18% CEF	45 11% F	6 4%	42 20% HI	34 11%	35 10%
25 to 34	180 18%	153 19%	27 14%	17 15%	33 11%	85 20% D	45 28% CDE	31 15%	74 24% G	66 19%
35 to 44	172 17%	142 18%	30 15%	6 6%	39 13%	87 21% CD	38 23% CD	24 11%	49 16%	80 23% GH
45 to 54	213 21%	164 20%	49 25%	22 19%	73 25%	86 21%	30 18%	34 16%	57 18%	94 27% GH
55 to 64	164 16%	126 16%	37 19%	19 17%	43 15%	74 18%	26 16%	36 17%	52 17%	54 15%
65+	145 14%	114 14%	31 16%	40 35% DEF	51 17% EF	33 8%	16 10%	44 21% HI	39 13% I	25 7%
Refused	14 1%	14 2%	0 -	0 -	4 1%	3 1%	2 1%	0 -	3 1%	0 -
STATISTICS										
Average age	46	45	48	55 DEF	45	44	44	46	44	44
Standard Deviation	16.7	16.8	16.4	19.4	18.0	14.8	14.7	19.7	16.4	14.3
Standard Error	0.5	0.6	1.2	2.1	1.2	0.8	0.8	1.5	1.0	0.7

DEMOGRAPHICS

What is your current marital status?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Single or never married	227 23%	190 24%	37 19%	23 20%	74 25%	95 23%	35 22%	83 39% HI	84 27% I	47 13%
Married	501 50%	394 49%	107 55%	51 45%	145 49%	205 50%	92 56%	58 28%	147 48% G	222 63% GH
Common-law or living with a partner	134 13%	104 13%	30 15%	10 9%	36 12%	68 16%	20 12%	20 10%	41 13%	63 18% G
Divorced or separated	69 7%	57 7%	12 6%	15 13% DE	15 5%	26 6%	11 7%	26 12% I	24 8% I	10 3%
Widowed	62 6%	51 6%	10 5%	14 12% EF	26 9% EF	14 3%	6 4%	23 11% HI	12 4%	10 3%
Refused	10 1%	10 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
1 person	173 17%	144 18%	28 14%	33 29% DEF	48 16%	61 15%	29 17%	83 39% HI	50 16% I	23 6%
2 persons	346 35%	271 34%	75 38%	41 36%	109 37%	134 33%	58 36%	61 29%	120 39% G	111 31%
3 persons	188 19%	145 18%	43 22%	20 18%	48 16%	87 21%	32 19%	35 17%	61 20%	73 20%
4 persons	189 19%	161 20%	28 14%	14 12%	52 18%	90 22% C	30 19%	21 10%	46 15%	98 28% GH
5 persons	67 7%	51 6%	17 8%	3 3%	24 8%	28 7%	11 7%	7 3%	16 5%	39 11% GH
6 persons	25 2%	19 2%	6 3%	2 2%	12 4%	8 2%	2 1%	4 2%	11 4%	9 3%
7 persons	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 0	0 -	2 1%	0 0
8 persons	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -
10+ persons	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -
Refused	9 1%	9 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
STATISTICS										
Mean	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.30	2.79 C	2.82 C	2.68 C	2.15	2.72 G	3.13 GH

DEMOGRAPHICS

Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: Excludes respondents living alone										
Unweighted Base	815	656	159	63	180	292	273	109	236	361
Weighted Base	820	652	168	81*	246	350	134	129*	258	330
Yes	330 40%	272 42%	58 34%	20 24%	91 37%	158 45%	57 43%	41 32%	101 39%	158 48%
No	488 59%	378 58%	110 66%	61 76%	155 63%	191 55%	77 57%	88 68%	158 61%	172 52%
Refused	2 0	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
ALL AGES										
Base: Children under the age of 18 currently living in household										
Unweighted Base	326	269	57	13	63	130	117	34	90	172
Weighted Base	330	272	58*	20**	91*	158	57	41**	101*	158
Under 1 year old	31 9%	28 10%	4 6%	3 13%	8 9%	15 10%	5 9%	4 10%	5 5%	22 14%
One year old	36 11%	34 12%	2 3%	2 10%	8 9%	16 10%	9 16%	6 15%	17 17%	13 8%
Two years old	40 12%	33 12%	7 12%	3 14%	15 16%	17 10%	6 11%	8 20%	10 10%	20 13%
Three years old	23 7%	19 7%	4 8%	5 25%	6 6%	7 4%	5 9%	2 5%	9 9%	11 7%
Four years old	29 9%	26 9%	3 6%	0 -	8 9%	11 7%	9 15% E	1 3%	8 8%	17 10%
Five years old	30 9%	24 9%	6 11%	1 5%	6 6%	19 12%	3 4%	1 3%	11 11%	14 9%
Six years old	29 9%	21 8%	8 14%	0 -	10 11%	15 10%	4 7%	4 9%	12 11%	11 7%
Seven years old	30 9%	24 9%	5 9%	1 6%	3 4%	19 12%	4 8%	2 6%	5 5%	17 11%
Eight years old	32 10%	27 10%	5 8%	0 -	6 7%	22 14%	4 7%	6 14%	9 9%	14 9%
Nine years old	31 9%	26 10%	5 8%	2 10%	10 11%	13 8%	4 7%	2 5%	9 9%	15 10%
Ten years old	25 8%	20 7%	6 10%	3 15%	9 10%	9 6%	5 8%	2 6%	11 11%	9 6%
Eleven years old	31 9%	24 9%	7 12%	0 -	6 7%	20 13%	4 8%	4 9%	6 6%	20 12%
Twelve years old	29 9%	20 7%	9 16%	2 10%	11 12%	10 7%	5 9%	7 17%	7 7%	14 9%

DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban A	Rural B	<HS C	HS D	Post sec E	University F	<\$30K G	\$30K-<\$60K H	\$60K + I
Thirteen years old	23 7%	18 7%	5 8%	0 -	9 9%	11 7%	4 6%	1 2%	10 10%	10 6%
Fourteen years old	37 11%	29 10%	8 14%	0 -	14 16%	14 9%	8 14%	7 17%	2 2%	26 17% H
Fifteen years old	20 6%	15 5%	5 9%	1 7%	2 3%	12 7%	4 7%	2 4%	9 9%	8 5%
Sixteen years old	34 10%	24 9%	10 18%	0 -	16 18%	13 8%	5 9%	3 7%	10 10%	19 12%
Seventeen years old	23 7%	19 7%	4 7%	2 10%	7 8%	11 7%	3 5%	3 8%	9 9%	8 5%
Refused	11 3%	9 3%	3 5%	1 7%	1 2%	4 3%	3 5%	0 -	1 1%	4 3%

DEMOGRAPHICS

What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Grade school or some high school	113 11%	79 10%	34 18% A	113 100% DEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	59 28% HI	23 8%	17 5%
Complete high school	297 30%	226 28%	71 36% A	0 -	297 100% CEF	0 -	0 -	72 34% I	94 31%	86 24%
Some community college or university, but did not finish	168 17%	148 18% B	19 10%	0 -	0 -	168 41% CDF	0 -	29 14%	53 17%	67 19%
Complete technical or trade school/Community college	245 24%	198 25%	48 24%	0 -	0 -	245 59% CDF	0 -	35 16%	92 30% G	98 28% G
Complete university degree, such as a Bachelor's	111 11%	93 12%	18 9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	111 68% CDE	14 6%	31 10%	52 15% G
Post-graduate degree, such as a Master's or Ph.D.	52 5%	47 6%	5 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	52 32% CDE	3 1%	14 4%	32 9% GH
Refused	15 2%	14 2%	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	3 1%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Working full-time	484 48%	408 51% B	76 39%	29 26%	133 45% C	225 55% CD	94 58% CD	63 30% I	157 51% G	224 63% GH
Working part-time	83 8%	62 8%	20 10%	10 9%	30 10%	34 8%	9 6%	26 12% I	27 9%	22 6%
Self-employed	99 10%	75 9%	24 12%	7 6%	25 8%	46 11%	17 11%	15 7%	25 8%	44 12%
Unemployed, but looking for work	35 4%	25 3%	10 5%	5 4%	12 4%	13 3%	6 3%	16 7% I	10 3%	5 1%
Attending school full-time/A student	13 1%	11 1%	2 1%	4 3% E	2 1%	2 0	6 3% DE	6 3% I	5 2%	1 0
Retired	182 18%	144 18%	38 19%	39 35% DEF	60 20% E	53 13%	24 15%	54 25% HI	51 17% I	34 10%
Not in the workforce/A full-time homemaker	85 8%	60 7%	25 13% A	13 12% F	32 11% F	36 9% F	4 2%	25 12% I	30 10% I	19 5%
Other/Social assistance/Disability	14 1%	14 2%	1 0	4 4%	3 1%	4 1%	3 2%	5 3%	2 1%	5 1%
Refused	7 1%	7 1%	0 -	2 2% E	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -

DEMOGRAPHICS

And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
		Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All respondents										
Unweighted Base	1002	815	187	89	222	347	331	183	294	391
Weighted Base	1002	806	196	113*	297	413	163	212	308	354
Under \$10,000	48 5%	35 4%	13 7%	20 18% DEF	16 5% E	8 2% 2%	4 2%	48 23% HI	0 -	0 -
\$10,000 to just under \$20,000	76 8%	58 7%	18 9%	25 22% DEF	25 8% F	21 5%	5 3%	76 36% HI	0 -	0 -
\$20,000 to just under \$30,000	88 9%	67 8%	21 11%	14 12% F	32 11% F	34 8%	8 5%	88 42% HI	0 -	0 -
\$30,000 to just under \$40,000	117 12%	93 12%	25 12%	11 10%	43 14% F	51 12%	13 8%	0 -	117 38% GI	0 -
\$40,000 to just under \$50,000	97 10%	77 10%	20 10%	10 9%	29 10%	45 11%	13 8%	0 -	97 32% GI	0 -
\$50,000 to just under \$60,000	93 9%	80 10%	13 7%	3 2%	22 7%	50 12% C	19 12% C	0 -	93 30% GI	0 -
\$60,000 to just under \$70,000	73 7%	62 8%	11 6%	2 2%	29 10% C	31 7%	11 7%	0 -	0 -	73 21% GH
\$70,000 to just under \$80,000	47 5%	39 5%	9 4%	2 2%	12 4%	20 5%	13 8% CD	0 -	0 -	47 13% GH
\$80,000 to just under \$100,000	78 8%	65 8%	14 7%	4 3%	11 4%	43 11% CD	17 11% CD	0 -	0 -	78 22% GH
\$100,000 and over	156 16%	125 15%	31 16%	9 8%	34 11%	71 17% C	41 25% CDE	0 -	0 -	156 44% GH
Refused	128 13%	106 13%	22 11%	14 12%	44 15%	39 10%	19 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -