

Food Safety

Table of Contents

1. How concerned are you, if at all, with the safety of the food that you eat? Would you say...	1
2.	
(TOP2BOX SUMMARY) Please tell me the the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)	2
2. (LOW2BOX SUMMARY) Please tell me the the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)	3
2. 1. (The United States) Please tell me the the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)	4
2. 2. (South America) Please tell me the the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)	5
2. 3. (Asia, including China and Australia) Please tell me the the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)	6
2. 4. (European Countries) Please tell me the the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)	7
2. 5. (African Countries) Please tell me the the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)	8
3. And would you be willing to pay more for your food if you knew that it came from a source that underwent more stringent safety inspection protocols in that country and at our border? Would you say...	9
4. (TOP2BOX SUMMARY) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)	10
4. (LOW2BOX SUMMARY) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)	11
4. 1. (Federal Government inspectors) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)	12
4. 2. (Provincial Government Inspectors) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)	13
4. 3. (Industry or food sector importers and processors) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)	14
5. Tell me, in the past 2 years, have you personally suffered from some form of food poisoning?	15
6. And tell me, what do you mostly attribute that food poisoning to? Would you mostly attribute it to either...	16
Region:	17
Province:	18
Canadian Markets:	19
Urban / Rural	21
Census Metropolitan Area:	22
Census Division	30
Respondent's Sex:	47
Language of Interview:	48
What age group do you fall into?	49
What is your current marital status?	50
Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?	51
Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?	52
And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?	53
What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?	55

Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status? 56

And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income? 57

Food Safety

1. How concerned are you, if at all, with the safety of the food that you eat? Would you say...

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very concerned	302	40	26	17	97	94	28	76	124	99	131	171
	30%	29%	27%	26%	25%	39% ABD	36%	26%	32%	32%	27%	33% J
Somewhat concerned	359	49	35	31	143	79	22	95	152	112	170	189
	36%	35%	36%	47% E	37%	33%	29%	32%	39%	36%	35%	37%
Not really concerned	219	33	24	12	83	46	22	82	78	58	116	102
	22%	24%	25%	18%	21%	19%	29%	28% HI	20%	19%	24%	20%
Not at all concerned	117	17	12	5	60	20	3	41	38	38	70	47
	12%	12%	12%	8%	16% EF	8%	4%	14%	10%	12%	14% K	9%
Don't know/Refused	5	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	2	3	2
	0	1%	-	2% E	0	-	1%	-	0	1%	1%	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Concerned (Top2Box)	661	89	61	48	241	172	50	172	277	211	301	360
	66%	64%	63%	73%	62%	72% D	66%	58%	70% G	68% G	61% J	70% J
Not Concerned (Low2Box)	336	50	35	17	143	66	25	123	116	95	187	149
	34%	36%	37%	26%	37% E	28%	33%	42% HI	29%	31%	38% K	29%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

2. (TOP2BOX SUMMARY) Please tell me the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
The United States	804	123	85	62	335	134	65	241	312	249	395	409
	80%	88%	88%	93%	87%	56%	86%	82%	79%	81%	81%	80%
		E	E	E	E		E					
South America	577	83	63	41	256	88	45	195	223	159	291	285
	58%	60%	65%	62%	66%	37%	59%	66%	57%	52%	59%	56%
		E	E	E	E		E	HI				
Asia, including China and Australia	409	62	44	26	180	63	34	162	154	92	195	214
	41%	45%	46%	39%	47%	26%	44%	55%	39%	30%	40%	42%
		E	E		E		E	HI	I			
European Countries	772	117	79	53	327	141	56	242	307	222	380	392
	77%	84%	82%	79%	85%	59%	74%	82%	78%	72%	77%	77%
		E	E	E	EF		E	I	I			
African Countries	415	55	43	29	192	60	37	157	164	94	202	213
	41%	39%	45%	43%	50%	25%	49%	53%	42%	31%	41%	42%
		E	E	E	AE		E	HI	I			

Food Safety

Detailed tables

2. (LOW2BOX SUMMARY) Please tell me the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
The United States	190 19%	14 10%	12 12%	4 5%	48 12%	102 43%	11 14%	54 18%	80 20%	55 18%	90 18%	100 20%
South America	409 41%	53 38%	33 34%	24 35%	124 32%	145 61%	31 41%	100 34%	165 42%	142 46%	190 39%	219 43%
Asia, including China and Australia	575 57%	73 53%	51 53%	38 57%	199 52%	171 72%	42 56%	132 45%	233 59%	207 67%	282 58%	293 57%
European Countries	214 21%	17 12%	17 18%	13 19%	54 14%	94 39%	20 26%	51 17%	82 21%	80 26%	100 20%	114 22%
African Countries	561 56%	81 58%	50 52%	35 52%	183 47%	174 73%	38 50%	138 47%	223 57%	198 64%	276 56%	285 56%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

2.1. (The United States) Please tell me the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: The United States												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very confident	291	53	33	18	129	38	20	91	109	91	175	116
	29%	38%	34%	27%	33%	16%	26%	31%	28%	30%	36%	23%
Somewhat confident	513	69	52	44	206	96	45	150	203	158	220	293
	51%	50%	54%	65%	53%	41%	60%	51%	52%	51%	45%	57%
Not very confident	117	12	8	1	31	56	9	35	48	34	56	61
	12%	9%	8%	2%	8%	23%	12%	12%	12%	11%	11%	12%
Not at all confident	72	2	4	2	17	46	1	18	32	21	33	39
	7%	2%	4%	3%	4%	19%	2%	6%	8%	7%	7%	8%
Don't know/Refused	8	3	0	1	3	2	0	0	1	5	6	3
	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-	0	1%	1%	0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Confident (Top2Box)	804	123	85	62	335	134	65	241	312	249	395	409
	80%	88%	88%	93%	87%	56%	86%	82%	79%	81%	81%	80%
Not Confident (Low2Box)	190	14	12	4	48	102	11	54	80	55	90	100
	19%	10%	12%	5%	12%	43%	14%	18%	20%	18%	18%	20%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

2.2. (South America) Please tell me the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: South America												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very confident	79 8%	12 9%	7 8%	7 10%	36 9%	12 5%	5 7%	24 8%	35 9%	20 6%	42 9%	37 7%
Somewhat confident	498 50%	71 51%	56 58%	35 52%	220 57%	77 32%	40 52%	171 58%	188 48%	139 45%	250 51%	248 49%
Not very confident	279 28%	42 30%	24 25%	17 25%	91 23%	79 33%	27 35%	85 29%	112 29%	81 26%	140 28%	140 27%
Not at all confident	130 13%	11 8%	8 9%	7 10%	34 9%	66 28%	4 6%	15 5%	52 13%	61 20%	50 10%	79 16%
Don't know/Refused	16 2%	4 3%	1 1%	2 3%	5 1%	5 2%	0 -	0 -	6 1%	8 3%	9 2%	7 1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Confident (Top2Box)	577 58%	83 60%	63 65%	41 62%	256 66%	88 37%	45 59%	195 66%	223 57%	159 52%	291 59%	285 56%
Not Confident (Low2Box)	409 41%	53 38%	33 34%	24 35%	124 32%	145 61%	31 41%	100 34%	165 42%	142 46%	190 39%	219 43%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

2.3. (Asia, including China and Australia) Please tell me the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

Small Base		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Asia, including China and Australia												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very confident	58	7	10	3	27	9	3	25	19	14	28	30
	6%	5%	10% E	4%	7%	4%	4%	9%	5%	4%	6%	6%
Somewhat confident	351	55	34	23	153	54	30	137	135	78	168	183
	35%	40% E	35% E	35% E	40% E	23% E	40% E	47% HI	34% I	25% I	34% J	36% K
Not very confident	336	45	30	23	127	86	25	89	143	103	172	164
	34%	32%	31%	34%	33%	36%	33%	30%	36%	33%	35%	32%
Not at all confident	239	28	21	16	72	85	17	44	90	104	110	129
	24%	20%	22%	23%	19%	36% ABDF	23%	15%	23% G	34% GH	22%	25%
Don't know/Refused	18	4	2	2	7	4	0	0	6	10	13	5
	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	3% G	3% K	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Confident (Top2Box)	409	62	44	26	180	63	34	162	154	92	195	214
	41%	45% E	46% E	39% E	47% E	26% E	44% E	55% HI	39% I	30% I	40% J	42% K
Not Confident (Low2Box)	575	73	51	38	199	171	42	132	233	207	282	293
	57%	53%	53%	57%	52%	72% ABCDF	56%	45% G	59% G	67% GH	58%	57%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

2.4. (European Countries) Please tell me the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

Small base		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: European Countries												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very confident	255	43	29	17	109	38	19	89	98	68	153	102
	25%	31%	30%	25%	28%	16%	25%	30%	25%	22%	31%	20%
Somewhat confident	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	I	I	I	K	K
	517	73	50	36	218	103	37	153	210	154	227	290
Not very confident	52%	53%	52%	54%	57%	43%	49%	52%	53%	50%	46%	57%
	141	14	14	9	30	58	16	37	55	49	70	72
Not at all confident	14%	10%	15%	14%	8%	25%	22%	13%	14%	16%	14%	14%
	D	D	D	D	D	AD	AD	AD	AD	AD	AD	AD
Don't know/Refused	73	4	3	3	24	35	4	14	27	31	31	42
	7%	3%	3%	5%	6%	15%	5%	5%	7%	10%	6%	8%
Don't know/Refused	16	6	0	1	5	4	0	2	4	7	10	5
	2%	4%	-	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Confident (Top2Box)	772	117	79	53	327	141	56	242	307	222	380	392
	77%	84%	82%	79%	85%	59%	74%	82%	78%	72%	77%	77%
Not Confident (Low2Box)	E	E	E	E	EF	E	E	I	I	I	I	I
	214	17	17	13	54	94	20	51	82	80	100	114
Not Confident (Low2Box)	21%	12%	18%	19%	14%	39%	26%	17%	21%	26%	20%	22%
	ABCD	ABCD	ABCD	ABCD	ABCD	ABCD	AD	G	G	G	G	G

Food Safety

Detailed tables

2.5. (African Countries) Please tell me the degree to which you are confident that food imported from this region is safe. Would you say very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, not at all confident?)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: African Countries												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very confident	50	4	7	3	29	6	1	12	22	16	23	27
	5%	3%	7%	5%	7%	3%	2%	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Somewhat confident	366	51	37	25	163	54	36	145	142	78	180	186
	36%	37%	38%	38%	42%	23%	47%	49%	36%	25%	37%	36%
Not very confident	338	51	35	26	118	79	28	100	145	92	175	163
	34%	37%	36%	40%	31%	33%	37%	34%	37%	30%	36%	32%
Not at all confident	223	29	15	8	64	95	10	37	78	106	102	122
	22%	21%	16%	13%	17%	40%	13%	13%	20%	34%	21%	24%
Don't know/Refused	26	4	3	3	11	4	1	0	6	17	12	14
	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	-	2%	5%	2%	3%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Confident (Top2Box)	415	55	43	29	192	60	37	157	164	94	202	213
	41%	39%	45%	43%	50%	25%	49%	53%	42%	31%	41%	42%
Not Confident (Low2Box)	561	81	50	35	183	174	38	138	223	198	276	285
	56%	58%	52%	52%	47%	73%	50%	47%	57%	64%	56%	56%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

3. And would you be willing to pay more for your food if you knew that it came from a source that underwent more stringent safety inspection protocols in that country and at our border? Would you say...

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Definitely yes	278 28%	42 30%	28 29%	11 17%	107 28%	73 31% C	15 20%	61 21%	120 31% G	95 31% G	127 26%	150 29%
Probably yes	475 47%	60 43%	47 49%	33 50%	180 47%	107 45%	47 62% ADE	141 48%	190 48%	143 46%	232 47%	242 47%
Probably not	159 16%	26 18%	16 17%	14 21%	66 17%	27 11%	10 13%	61 21% I	57 14%	40 13%	89 18%	69 14%
Definitely not	86 9%	11 8%	5 5%	7 10%	29 8%	30 12% D	4 5%	32 11%	27 7%	28 9%	38 8%	48 9%
Don't know/Refused	6 1%	1 1%	0 -	1 2%	3 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% H	4 1%	2 0
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Yes (Top2Box)	752 75%	102 73%	75 78%	45 67%	288 75%	180 76%	62 82%	203 69%	310 79% G	238 77% G	360 73%	392 77%
No (Low2Box)	244 24%	37 26%	21 22%	21 31%	95 25%	57 24%	14 18%	92 31% HI	83 21%	68 22%	127 26%	117 23%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

4. (TOP2BOX SUMMARY) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Federal Government inspectors	780	104	73	54	297	187	65	234	316	229	387	393
	78%	74%	75%	81%	77%	79%	86%	79%	80%	74%	79%	77%
Provincial Government Inspectors	801	111	72	58	307	186	67	248	315	238	400	401
	80%	79%	75%	87%	80%	78%	88% B	84% I	80%	77%	82%	78%
Industry or food sector importers and processors	678	74	63	50	261	180	51	231	270	178	337	341
	68%	53%	65%	75% A	68% A	76% AD	67%	78% HI	69% I	58%	69%	67%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

4. (LOW2BOX SUMMARY) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Federal Government inspectors	139 14%	27 19%	12 12%	12 18%	50 13%	32 14%	7 9%	39 13%	46 12%	54 17% H	70 14%	70 14%
Provincial Government Inspectors	108 11%	18 13%	11 12%	6 9%	42 11%	27 11%	3 4%	16 5%	44 11% G	47 15% G	56 11%	52 10%
Industry or food sector importers and processors	185 19%	51 36% BCDEF	15 16%	13 20%	64 17%	28 12%	15 19%	35 12%	76 19% G	73 23% G	101 20%	85 17%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

4.1. (Federal Government inspectors) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Federal Government inspectors												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very good	131 13%	21 15%	11 12%	12 18%	42 11%	35 15%	10 13%	34 11%	58 15%	38 12%	74 15%	57 11%
Good	649 65%	82 59%	62 64%	42 63%	256 66%	152 64%	55 73%	200 68%	258 66%	191 62%	313 64%	336 66%
Bad	122 12%	26 19%	11 11%	11 17%	47 12%	21 9%	7 9%	34 12%	40 10%	46 15%	60 12%	62 12%
Very Bad	17 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	12 5%	0 -	5 2%	5 1%	7 2%	9 2%	8 2%
Don't know/Refused	83 8%	9 7%	12 12%	1 2%	38 10%	18 8%	4 5%	22 7%	31 8%	26 8%	34 7%	49 10%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Very Good/ Good (Top2Box)	780 78%	104 74%	73 75%	54 81%	297 77%	187 79%	65 86%	234 79%	316 80%	229 74%	387 79%	393 77%
Bad/ Very Bad (Low2Box)	139 14%	27 19%	12 12%	12 18%	50 13%	32 14%	7 9%	39 13%	46 12%	54 17%	70 14%	70 14%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

4.2. (Provincial Government Inspectors) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Provincial Government Inspectors												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very good	132 13%	22 16%	10 10%	6 9%	47 12%	33 14%	14 18%	32 11%	57 15%	42 14%	76 15% K	56 11%
Good	669 67%	88 63%	62 65%	53 79% AE	260 67%	153 64%	53 70%	216 73% I	257 65%	196 63%	324 66%	345 67%
Bad	90 9%	16 12%	9 9%	6 9%	36 9%	20 8%	3 4%	13 5%	39 10% G	36 12% G	46 9%	44 9%
Very Bad	18 2%	2 2%	2 2%	0 -	6 2%	8 3%	0 -	2 1%	5 1%	11 3% G	9 2%	9 2%
Don't know/Refused	93 9%	11 8%	13 13% C	2 3%	37 10%	24 10%	6 8%	31 11%	35 9%	24 8%	35 7%	58 11% J
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Very Good/ Good (Top2Box)	801 80%	111 79%	72 75%	58 87%	307 80%	186 78%	67 88% B	248 84% I	315 80%	238 77%	400 82%	401 78%
Bad/ Very Bad (Low2Box)	108 11%	18 13%	11 12%	6 9%	42 11%	27 11%	3 4%	16 5%	44 11% G	47 15% G	56 11%	52 10%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

4.3. (Industry or food sector importers and processors) Would you say this organization is doing either a very good, good, bad, or very bad job when it comes to ensuring the quality and safety of various food and agricultural products that are imported into Canada for Canadians to consume.)

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

Small base		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Industry or food sector importers and processors												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Very good	72 7%	8 6%	6 6%	3 5%	27 7%	19 8%	9 11%	19 6%	29 7%	24 8%	35 7%	37 7%
Good	607 61%	66 47%	57 59%	47 70%	234 61%	161 68%	42 56%	212 72%	241 61%	154 50%	302 62%	305 60%
Bad	165 16%	45 32%	14 14%	13 20%	56 15%	21 9%	15 19%	33 11%	69 18%	63 20%	90 18%	75 15%
Very Bad	21 2%	5 4%	1 1%	0 -	7 2%	7 3%	0 -	3 1%	7 2%	10 3%	11 2%	10 2%
Don't know/Refused	138 14%	15 11%	18 19%	3 5%	61 16%	30 13%	10 13%	28 10%	48 12%	58 19%	53 11%	85 17%
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Very Good/ Good (Top2Box)	678 68%	74 53%	63 65%	50 75%	261 68%	180 76%	51 67%	231 78%	270 69%	178 58%	337 69%	341 67%
Bad/ Very Bad (Low2Box)	185 19%	51 36%	15 16%	13 20%	64 17%	28 12%	15 19%	35 12%	76 19%	73 23%	101 20%	85 17%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

5. Tell me, in the past 2 years, have you personally suffered from some form of food poisoning?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Yes	207	44	15	11	92	34	12	88	80	39	98	110
	21%	31% BCEF	16%	17%	24% E	14%	16%	30% HI	20% I	13%	20%	21%
No	788	95	80	54	290	204	64	207	313	266	389	398
	79%	68% A	83% A	81%	75%	86% AD	84% A	70%	80% G	86% GH	79%	78%
Don't know/Refused	7	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	4	4	3
	1%	1%	2%	2% E	1%	-	-	-	0	1%	1%	1%

Food Safety

Detailed tables

6. And tell me, what do you mostly attribute that food poisoning to? Would you mostly attribute it to either...

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Have suffered from food poisoning												
Unweighted Base	191	36	15	11	83	35	11	58	87	45	89	102
Weighted Base	207	44*	15**	11**	92*	34*	12**	88*	80*	39*	98*	110*
Bad or unhygienic cooking of the food that was served to you by someone who prepared it for you	107	31	8	8	49	7	4	55	38	13	49	59
	52%	71% E	52%	70%	53% E	21%	37%	63% I	48%	33%	50%	53%
Tainted food that was contaminated or unsafe before it was cooked or prepared by someone	72	8	4	2	33	19	5	23	32	17	33	39
	35%	19%	29%	19%	36%	56% AD	44%	26%	40%	43%	34%	35%
Other	15	2	0	0	4	7	2	5	7	2	8	7
	7%	4%	-	-	5%	20% AD	19%	6%	9%	6%	9%	6%
Don't know/Refused	13	2	3	1	6	1	0	4	2	7	8	6
	6%	6%	19%	11%	6%	2%	-	5%	2%	18% GH	8%	5%

Food Safety DEMOGRAPHICS

Region:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
British Columbia	140 14%	140 100% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	40 14%	56 14%	43 14%	69 14%	71 14%
Alberta	96 10%	0 -	96 100% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	31 11%	39 10%	26 8%	48 10%	48 9%
Sask/Man	67 7%	0 -	0 -	67 100% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	20 7%	25 6%	21 7%	33 7%	34 7%
Ontario	386 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	386 100% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	114 39%	150 38%	117 38%	188 38%	198 39%
Quebec	238 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	238 100% ABCDF	0 -	66 23%	93 24%	77 25%	116 24%	122 24%
Atlantic	76 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	76 100% ABCDE	22 7%	30 8%	24 8%	37 8%	39 8%

Food Safety DEMOGRAPHICS

Province:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
British Columbia	140 14%	140 100% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	40 14%	56 14%	43 14%	69 14%	71 14%
Alberta	96 10%	0 -	96 100% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	31 11%	39 10%	26 8%	48 10%	48 9%
Saskatchewan	31 3%	0 -	0 -	31 47% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	12 4%	8 2%	11 4%	15 3%	16 3%
Manitoba	36 4%	0 -	0 -	36 53% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 3%	17 4%	10 3%	17 4%	18 4%
Ontario	386 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	386 100% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	114 39%	150 38%	117 38%	188 38%	198 39%
Quebec	238 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	238 100% ABCDF	0 -	66 23%	93 24%	77 25%	116 24%	122 24%
New Brunswick	23 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	23 30% ABCDE	7 2%	10 2%	6 2%	13 3%	10 2%
Nova Scotia	33 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	33 44% ABCDE	9 3%	11 3%	13 4%	18 4%	16 3%
Prince Edward Island	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 6% ABDE	0 -	4 1%	1 0	3 1%	2 0
Newfoundland	15 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	15 20% ABCDE	5 2%	6 2%	4 1%	4 1%	11 2%

Detailed tables

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Canadian Markets:

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

Small base		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
WEST (NET)	301 30%	139 99% DEF	96 100% DEF	65 98% DEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	92 31%	120 30%	88 29%	147 30%	153 30%
- British Columbia	139 14%	139 99% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	40 14%	56 14%	42 14%	68 14%	71 14%
- Alberta	96 10%	0 -	96 100% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	31 11%	39 10%	26 8%	48 10%	48 9%
- Saskatchewan/Manitoba	65 7%	0 -	0 -	65 98% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	20 7%	25 6%	20 6%	32 6%	34 7%
ONTARIO (NET)	386 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	386 100% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	114 39%	150 38%	117 38%	188 38%	198 39%
- GTA	179 18%	0 -	0 -	0 -	179 46% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	55 19%	76 19%	47 15%	89 18%	89 17%
- Central Ontario	74 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	74 19% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	22 7%	25 6%	26 8%	37 8%	36 7%
- Eastern Ontario	49 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	49 13% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	12 4%	18 5%	19 6%	28 6%	20 4%
- SouthWest Ontario	56 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	56 15% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	16 6%	20 5%	18 6%	20 4%	36 7%
- Northern Ontario	28 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	28 7% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	10 3%	12 3%	7 2%	13 3%	15 3%
QUEBEC (NET)	238 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	238 100% ABCDF	0 -	66 23%	93 24%	77 25%	116 24%	122 24%
- Montreal CMA - Quebec	122 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	122 51% ABCDF	0 -	36 12%	51 13%	34 11%	55 11%	66 13%
- Other - Quebec	116 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	116 49% ABCDF	0 -	30 10%	42 11%	43 14%	61 12%	55 11%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Atlantic	76	0	0	0	0	0	76	22	30	24	37	39
	8%	-	-	-	-	-	100% ABCDE	7%	8%	8%	8%	8%

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Urban / Rural

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Urban	819	115	84	47	320	198	56	254	325	237	404	415
	82%	82%	87% CF	70%	83% C	83% C	73%	86% I	83%	77%	82%	81%
Rural	181	24	12	19	66	40	20	41	69	69	85	96
	18%	17%	13%	28% BD	17%	17%	27% B	14%	17%	22% G	17%	19%
Not specified	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
	0	1%	-	2% DE	-	-	-	-	-	1%	0	-

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
St. John's	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 10% ABCDE	5 2%	2 0	1 0	3 1%	4 1%
Grand Falls-Windsor	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	2 0	0 -	1 0	1 0
Charlottetown	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Halifax	15 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	15 20% ABCDE	8 3%	3 1%	4 1%	5 1%	10 2%
Truro	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
New Glasgow	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Cape Breton	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 6% ABDE	0 -	2 0	3 1%	3 1%	2 0
Moncton	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 6% ABDE	3 1%	1 0	1 0	3 1%	2 0
Saint John	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 5% ABDE	1 0	1 0	2 1%	1 0	3 0
Fredericton	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 3% ADE	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 0	1 0
Matane	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Rimouski	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	3 1%	0 -
Riviere-du-Loup	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Chicoutimi - Jonquiere	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	0 -	2 0	4 1%	2 0	4 1%
Alma	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Dolbeau	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Sept-Iles	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Quebec	25 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	25 11% ABCDEF	0 -	15 5% HI	5 1%	5 2%	10 2%	15 3%
Thetford Mines	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0
Sherbrooke	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 1%	2 0	2 0
Victoriaville	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	1 0	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	1 0
Trois-Rivieres	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 3% D	0 -	1 0	3 1%	3 1%	4 1%	3 1%
Shawinigan	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
La Tuque	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Drummondville	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0
Granby	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 0	1 0
Sorel	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0

Detailed tables

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Joliette	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	1 0
Montreal	115 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	115 48% ABCDF	0 -	34 11%	49 12%	33 11%	53 11%	62 12%
Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Rouyn-Noranda	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Cornwall	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Hawkesbury	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Ottawa - Hull	39 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	28 7% ABCF	10 4% AB	0 -	12 4%	14 4%	12 4%	19 4%	20 4%
Brockville	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	1 0	2 0
Pembroke	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Kingston	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	2 0
Belleville	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Peterborough	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	1 0	0 -	5 1% J
Lindsay	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	2 0	2 0

Detailed tables

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Oshawa	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%	4 1%	5 2%	4 1%	7 1%
Toronto	167 17%	0 -	0 -	0 -	167 43% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	53 18%	72 18%	41 13%	84 17%	82 16%
Hamilton	16 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	16 4% AE	0 -	0 -	3 1%	7 2%	5 2%	6 1%	10 2%
St. Catharines - Niagara	10 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 3% E	0 -	0 -	3 1%	4 1%	2 1%	6 1%	5 1%
Kitchener	16 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	16 4% ABE	0 -	0 -	7 2%	6 2%	3 1%	13 3% K	3 1%
Woodstock	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Tillsonburg	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0
Simcoe	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	1 0
Guelph	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Stratford	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
London	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%	6 2%	3 1%	4 1%	7 1%
Chatham	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	2 0	1 0

Detailed tables

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Leamington	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	5 2%	1 0	2 1%	3 1%	5 1%
Windsor	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%	4 1%	3 1%	5 1%	3 1%
Sarnia	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	2 1%	1 0	3 1%
Owen Sound	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Barrie	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 2% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	4 1%	3 1%
Orillia	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Midland	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
North Bay	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0
Sudbury	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	2 0	0 -
Haileybury	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	2 0	0 -
Sault Ste. Marie	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Thunder Bay	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Kenora	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0

Detailed tables

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Winnipeg	20 2%	0 -	0 -	20 30% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2%	11 3%	4 1%	9 2%	11 2%
Brandon	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Thompson	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	2 0
Regina	7 1%	0 -	0 -	7 10% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	1 0	1 0	6 1%
Moose Jaw	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Swift Current	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Saskatoon	9 1%	0 -	0 -	9 13% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	6 1%	3 1%
North Battleford	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Prince Albert	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Medicine Hat	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	2 0	1 0
Lethbridge	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	1 0	1 0
Calgary	31 3%	0 -	31 32% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 3%	16 4%	6 2%	13 3%	17 3%
Red Deer	3 0	0 -	3 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	0 -	3 1%
Edmonton	34 3%	0 -	34 35% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	14 5%	11 3%	9 3%	20 4%	14 3%

Detailed tables

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Grand Centre	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Grande Prairie	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Wood Buffalo	3 0	0 -	3 3% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	2 0	1 0
Kelowna	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Kamloops	4 0	4 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	3 1%	1 0
Chilliwack	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	2 0	1 0
Abbotsford	5 1%	5 4% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	1 0	3 1%	2 0
Vancouver	74 7%	74 53% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	24 8%	28 7%	22 7%	32 7%	41 8%
Victoria	6 1%	6 4% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	3 1%	3 1%	2 0
Duncan	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0

Detailed tables

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Nanaimo	4 0	4 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	3 1%	1 0
Courtenay	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0	0 -
Campbell River	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Williams Lake	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Prince George	4 0	4 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	0 -	2 0	3 1%
Dawson Creek	2 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Whitehorse	66 7%	15 10% DE	8 8% E	12 18% DE	13 3%	6 3%	11 15% DE	17 6%	26 7%	21 7%	31 6%	34 7%
Not in a CMA/Unknown	134 13%	15 11%	10 10%	10 15%	42 11%	35 15%	22 28% ABDE	27 9%	48 12%	57 18% GH	67 14%	67 13%

Detailed tables

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
BRITISH COLUMBIA												
East Kootenay Regional District	9 1%	9 6% BDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	3 1%	1 0	3 1%	5 1%
Central Kootenay Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Kootenay Boundary Regional District	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	3 1%	0 -
Okanagan-Similkameen Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Fraser Valley Regional District	8 1%	8 5% BDE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	1 0	5 1%	3 1%
Greater Vancouver Regional District	74 7%	74 53% BCDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	24 8%	28 7%	22 7%	32 7%	41 8%
Capital Regional District	6 1%	6 4% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	3 1%	3 1%	2 0
Cowichan Valley Regional District	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	0 -	3 1%
Nanaimo Regional District	8 1%	8 6% BDE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	4 1%	4 1%	4 1%
Comox-Strathcona Regional District	4 0	4 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 1%	2 0	2 0
Sunshine Coast Regional District	2 0	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Thompson-Nicola Regional District	5 1%	5 4% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	1 0
Central Okanagan Regional District	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Columbia-Shuswap Regional District	2 0	2 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Cariboo Regional District	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	1 0	2 0
Bilkley-Nechako Regional District	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Fraser-Fort George Regional District	6 1%	6 4% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% I	1 0	0 -	3 1%	3 1%
Peace River Regional District	3 0	3 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	3 1%	0 -
ALBERTA												
Division No. 1	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	2 0	1 0
Division No. 2	3 0	0 -	3 3% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	2 0	1 0
Division No. 3	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 0	0 -
Division No. 6	34 3%	0 -	34 35% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 4%	16 4%	8 2%	14 3%	20 4%
Division No. 7	2 0	0 -	2 3% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0	1 0
Division No. 8	6 1%	0 -	6 6% ADEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	2 0	2 0	4 1%

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Division No. 11	34 3%	0 -	34 35% ACDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	14 5%	11 3%	9 3%	20 4%	14 3%
Division No. 12	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Division No. 13	2 0	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0
Division No. 14	2 0	0 -	2 2% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	1 0
Division No. 15	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 16	3 0	0 -	3 3% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	2 0	1 0
Division No. 17	1 0	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 18	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 19	1 0	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
SASKATCHEWAN												
Division No. 3	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Division No. 5	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 3% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Division No. 6	7 1%	0 -	0 -	7 10% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	1 0	1 0	6 1%
Division No. 7	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 8	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Division No. 9	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Division No. 11	9 1%	0 -	0 -	9 13% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	6 1%	3 1%
Division No. 12	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 13	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Division No. 14	3 0	0 -	0 -	3 5% ABDE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% H	2 0	1 0
Division No. 15	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 16	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 18	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
MANITOBA												
Division No. 1	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Division No. 2	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 3	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Division No. 6	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 7	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Division No. 11	19 2%	0 -	0 -	19 29% ABDEF	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2%	11 3%	4 1%	9 2%	11 2%
Division No. 13	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 14	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Division No. 15	3 0	0 -	0 -	3 4% ADE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0
Division No. 17	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Division No. 22	3 0	0 -	0 -	3 5% ABDE	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	1 0	0 -	3 1%
ONTARIO												
Stormont Dundas and Glengarry	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	2 0	0 -
Prescott and Russell	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Ottawa	27 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	27 7% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	10 3%	12 3%	6 2%	14 3%	13 3%
Leeds and Grenville	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	1 0	2 0
Lanark County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Frontenac County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% H	2 0	2 0
Lennox and Addington	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	1 0	1 0
Hastings County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Prince Edward Division	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 0
Northumberland County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Peterborough County	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 2%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	1 0	2 1%	0 -	6 1% J
Kawartha Lakes Division	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	3 1%	2 0	2 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Durham Regional Municipality	19 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	19 5% ABE	0 -	0 -	6 2%	7 2%	6 2%	6 1%	14 3%
York Regional Municipality	21 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	21 5% ABE	0 -	0 -	3 1%	10 2%	8 2%	12 2%	9 2%
Toronto	83 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	83 22% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	23 8%	38 10%	21 7%	37 8%	46 9%
Peel Regional Municipality	40 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	40 10% ABCEF	0 -	0 -	17 6%	14 4%	9 3%	26 5% K	14 3%
Dufferin County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 0	2 0	0 -
Wellington County	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 2%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 0	3 1%	3 1%	4 1%
Halton Regional Municipality	18 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	18 5% ABE	0 -	0 -	7 2%	7 2%	4 1%	8 2%	11 2%
Hamilton	9 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 2% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%	5 1%	2 1%	4 1%	5 1%
Niagara Regional Municipality	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	3 1%	4 1%	3 1%	6 1%	5 1%
Haldimand-Norfolk Regional Municipality	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	1 0
Brant County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Waterloo Regional Municipality	16 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	16 4% ABE	0 -	0 -	7 2%	6 2%	3 1%	13 3% K	3 1%
Perth County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	2 0	1 0
Oxford County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	3 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Elgin County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Chatham-Kent Division	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	2 0	1 0
Essex County	16 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	16 4% ABE	0 -	0 -	7 2%	4 1%	5 2%	8 2%	9 2%
Lambton County	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 2%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	2 0	4 1%
Middlesex County	11 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 3% E	0 -	0 -	2 1%	6 2%	3 1%	4 1%	6 1%
Huron County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	3 1%
Grey County	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	0 -	5 1% J
Simcoe County	15 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	15 4% AE	0 -	0 -	3 1%	5 1%	7 2%	7 1%	9 2%
Muskoka District Municipality	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Haliburton County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 0
Renfrew County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 0	0 -
Nipissing County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0
Sudbury District	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Greater Sudbury Division	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -	2 0	0 -

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Timiskaming District	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	3 1%	0 -
Algoma District	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 2% E	0 -	0 -	5 2%	1 0	1 0	0 -	7 1% J
Thunder Bay District	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	3 1%	1 0
Rainy River District	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Kenora District	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	2 1%	2 0	3 0
QUEBEC												
La Haute-Gaspésie	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Matana	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	2 0	0 -
Rimouski-Neigette	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	3 1%	0 -
Lee Basques	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Rivière-du-Loup	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
Kamouraska	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Charlevoix-Est	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
L'Islet	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Montmagny	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	2 0	1 0	2 0	1 0
La C ^{te} -de-Beaupr,	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
La Jacques-Cartier	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Comm. Urbaine de Qu,bec	17 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	17 7% ABCDF	0 -	10 3% H	4 1%	4 1%	7 1%	10 2%
Desjardins	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	2 0	1 0
Les Chutes-de-la-ChaudiŠre	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 0	2 0	2 0
La Nouvelle-Beauce	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Beauce-Sartigan	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
L'Amiante	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	1 0	0 -	2 1%	2 0	1 0
L'ŕable	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
LotbiniŠre	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Portneuf	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Le Cente-de-la-Mauricie	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Francheville	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 3% AD	0 -	1 0	4 1%	3 1%	4 1%	4 1%
Arthabaska	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	2 1%	0 -	3 1%	4 1%	1 0
Le Haut-Saint-François	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Le Val-Saint-François	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	2 0	0 -
La Région-Sherbrookoise	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0	2 0
Memphrémagog	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Brome-Missisquoi	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
La Haute-Yamaska	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 0	1 0
Drummond	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	0 -	1 0	2 1%	2 0	1 0
Nicolet-Yamaska	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
D'Au-ray	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Le Bas-Richelieu	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Rouville	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Le Haut-Richelieu	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	1 0
La Vall,e-du-Richelieu	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -
Champlain	15 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	15 6% ABCDF	0 -	5 2%	5 1%	5 1%	7 1%	8 2%
Lajemmerai	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	0 -	2 0	3 1%	2 0	3 1%
L'Assomption	5 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 2% D	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	2 0	3 1%
Joliette	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Montcalm	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 0
Les Mouulins	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Laval	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 2% D	0 -	1 0	4 1%	1 0	3 1%	3 1%
Comm. Urbaine-de-Montr,al	54 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	54 23% ABCDF	0 -	18 6%	22 5%	14 5%	25 5%	29 6%
Roussillon	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 3% D	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	2 0	4 1%
Le Haut-Saint-Laurent	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
Beauharnois-Salaberry	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Vaudreuil-Soulanges	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 2% D	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	2 0	3 1%
Deux-Montagnes	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 3% D	0 -	3 1%	1 0	2 1%	4 1%	2 0
Thérèse-De-Blainville	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 3% AD	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	1 0	7 1% J
Mirabel	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0	1 0
La Rivière-du-Nord	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0	0 -
Argenteuil	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Les Pays-d'en-Haut	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Les Laurentides	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Antoine-Labelle	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Comm. Urbaine-de-l'Outaouais	10 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 4% ABD	0 -	2 1%	3 1%	5 2%	5 1%	6 1%
Les Collines-de-l'Outaouais	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0
La Vallée-de-la-Gatineau	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Pontiac	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Rouyn-Noranda	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Abitibi	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Le Haut-Saint-Maurice	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Le Domaine-du-Roy	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0
Maria-Chapdelaine	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -
Lac-Saint-Jean-Est	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1% D	0 -	1 0	2 0	0 -	3 1%	0 -
Le Fjord-du-Saguenay	7 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 3% AD	0 -	1 0	2 0	5 1%	4 1%	4 1%
Sept-Rivières - Caniapiscau	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
NEW BRUNSWICK												
Saint John County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	1 0	0 -	1 0	1 0	1 0
Sunbury County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Queens County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3% DE	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0	0 -
Kings County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Westmorland County	6 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 8% ABCDE	3 1%	1 0	3 1%	5 1%	2 0
Northumberland County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
York County	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 3% ADE	0 -	3 1%	0 -	2 0	1 0
Carleton County	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 5% ABDE	2 1%	2 0	0 -	1 0	3 1%
Victoria County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Madawaska County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Restigouche County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
NOVA SCOTIA												
Shelburne County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Yarmouth County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Digby County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 0	0 -
Lunenburg County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Hants County	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 5% ABDE	0 -	0 -	4 1% H	4 1%	0 -
Halifax County	15 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	15 20% ABCDE	8 3%	3 1%	4 1%	5 1%	10 2%
Colchester County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	2 0	0 -	1 0	1 0
Cumberland County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -
Pictou County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Richmond County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Cape Breton County	4 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 6% ABDE	0 -	2 0	3 1%	3 1%	2 0
P.E.I.												
Kings County	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -
Queens County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	1 0	1 0	0 -	2 0
Prince County	2 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2% DE	0 -	2 0	0 -	2 0	0 -
NEWFOUNDLAND												
Division No. 1	8 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 11% ABCDE	5 2%	3 1%	1 0	3 1%	5 1%
Division No. 2	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS
Census Division

Detailed tables

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K
 * small base

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Division No. 3	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 4	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 0
Division No. 6	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 3% ADE	0 -	2 0	1 0	1 0	2 0
Division No. 7	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Division No. 9	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0
Not specified	2 0	1 1%	0 -	1 2% DE	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	2 0	0 -

Food Safety
DEMOGRAPHICS

Respondent's Sex:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Male	491	69	48	33	188	116	37	150	198	141	491	0
	49%	49%	50%	49%	49%	49%	49%	51%	50%	46%	100% K	-
Female	511	71	48	34	198	122	39	145	195	168	0	511
	51%	51%	50%	51%	51%	51%	51%	49%	50%	54%	-	100% J

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Language of Interview:

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
English	775	140	94	67	386	13	76	229	308	234	381	394
	77%	100% E	98% E	100% E	100% BE	5% E	100% E	78% E	78% E	76% E	78% E	77% E
French	227	0	2	0	0	225	0	65	85	75	109	118
	23%	-	2% D	-	-	95% ABCD	-	22% E	22% E	24% E	22% E	23% E

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

What age group do you fall into?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
18 to 24	101 10%	16 12%	8 8%	10 16%	33 9%	26 11%	7 10%	101 34% HI	0 -	0 -	51 10%	50 10%
25 to 34	194 19%	24 17%	24 24%	10 15%	81 21%	41 17%	14 19%	194 66% HI	0 -	0 -	99 20%	95 19%
35 to 44	171 17%	23 17%	21 22%	9 13%	61 16%	46 20%	11 14%	0 -	171 44% GI	0 -	87 18%	84 16%
45 to 54	222 22%	33 24%	17 18%	16 24%	90 23%	47 20%	19 26%	0 -	222 56% GI	0 -	111 23%	112 22%
55 to 64	158 16%	26 19%	10 10%	11 16%	56 15%	44 18%	12 16%	0 -	0 -	158 51% GH	77 16%	82 16%
65+	150 15%	17 12%	16 16%	11 16%	61 16%	33 14%	12 16%	0 -	0 -	150 49% GH	64 13%	86 17%
Refused	5 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	4 1%	1 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0	3 1%
STATISTICS												
Average age	46	46	45	47	46	46	47	27	45 G	66 GH	45	47
Standard Deviation	16.7	17.2	16.2	18.5	16.3	17.0	16.0	4.8	5.6	8.8	16.3	17.0
Standard Error	0.5	1.5	1.6	2.3	0.8	1.1	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.7

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

What is your current marital status?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Single or never married	224	30	20	12	88	65	9	137	62	25	114	110
	22%	21%	21%	18%	23% F	27% F	11%	47% HI	16% I	8%	23%	22%
Married	511	72	59	30	216	84	50	98	233	179	270	242
	51%	52% E	61% E	45%	56% E	35%	66% CE	33%	59% G	58% G	55% K	47%
Common-law or living with a partner	102	14	6	10	25	42	6	53	40	9	49	53
	10%	10%	6%	15% D	6%	18% ABDF	8%	18% HI	10% I	3%	10%	10%
Divorced or separated	101	15	7	12	31	28	7	6	52	43	41	60
	10%	11%	8%	17% D	8%	12%	9%	2%	13% G	14% G	8%	12%
Widowed	57	5	5	3	23	17	4	0	7	50	13	44
	6%	4%	5%	5%	6%	7%	6%	-	2% G	16% GH	3%	9% J
Refused	7	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	2	5	3
	1%	3%	-	-	1%	0	-	-	0	1%	1%	0

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
1 person	190 19%	27 19%	19 20%	16 24%	68 18%	51 22%	9 12%	38 13%	57 15%	94 31% GH	88 18%	101 20%
2 persons	331 33%	45 32%	31 32%	23 35%	110 28%	90 38% D	31 41% D	59 20%	105 27%	165 53% GH	163 33%	167 33%
3 persons	206 21%	28 20%	17 17%	8 12%	95 25% C	43 18%	16 21%	90 30% HI	83 21% I	33 11%	104 21%	103 20%
4 persons	176 18%	24 17%	21 22%	7 11%	69 18%	42 18%	13 17%	73 25% I	93 24% I	11 3%	84 17%	92 18%
5 persons	61 6%	7 5%	4 5%	9 14% ABE	28 7%	9 4%	3 5%	20 7% I	37 9% I	3 1%	34 7%	27 5%
6 persons	18 2%	4 3% E	3 3% E	2 3% E	8 2% E	0 -	1 1%	7 2% I	10 2% I	1 0	8 2%	10 2%
7 persons	5 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	2 0	1 0	2 2%	2 1%	3 1%	0 -	1 0	4 1%
8 persons	3 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	2 1%	1 0	0 -	2 0	1 0
9 persons	2 0	2 1% D	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 0
10+ persons	3 0	0 -	0 -	1 2% D	0 -	1 0	0 -	3 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	0 -
Refused	8 1%	2 1%	0 -	0 -	4 1%	1 0	1 1%	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	4 1%	4 1%
STATISTICS												
Mean	2.70	2.73	2.71	2.80	2.80 E	2.49	2.74	3.15 I	2.99 I	1.91	2.72	2.68

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Excludes respondents living alone												
Unweighted Base	794	102	84	47	306	195	60	177	365	250	387	407
Weighted Base	804	111*	77*	51*	313	186	66*	257	332	213	399	405
Yes	326	40	33	17	144	67	25	136	184	6	149	178
	41%	36%	43%	34%	46%	36%	38%	53% I	55% I	3%	37%	44%
No	478	71	44	33	170	119	41	121	148	207	250	228
	59%	64%	57%	66%	54%	64% D	62%	47%	45%	97% GH	63%	56%

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

REGION		AGE			GENDER							
TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	
ALL AGES												
Base: Children under the age of 18 currently living in household												
Unweighted Base	303	34	34	15	128	69	23	92	204	7	135	168
Weighted Base	326	40*	33*	17**	144	67*	25**	136*	184	6**	149	178
Under 1 year old	34 10%	0 -	2 6%	1 9%	19 13%	10 14%	2 7%	29 21% H	4 2%	1 13%	17 11%	17 10%
One year old	39 12%	2 5%	7 22% AE	1 6%	19 13%	5 8%	5 18%	29 21% H	10 5%	0 -	20 13%	19 11%
Two years old	44 13%	4 9%	1 2%	1 9%	27 19% B	6 9%	4 17%	33 24% H	11 6%	0 -	17 12%	26 15%
Three years old	33 10%	4 10%	4 12%	3 17%	11 8%	7 11%	3 12%	21 15% H	12 6%	0 -	22 15% K	11 6%
Four years old	26 8%	4 10%	4 12%	1 9%	13 9%	2 3%	2 7%	13 9%	13 7%	0 -	11 7%	15 8%
Five years old	34 10%	4 9%	3 10%	1 9%	12 9%	9 14%	4 16%	17 12%	17 9%	0 -	14 9%	20 11%
Six years old	32 10%	7 17% D	3 10%	0 -	9 6%	12 18% D	2 7%	16 11%	16 9%	1 14%	17 12%	15 8%
Seven years old	31 9%	5 11%	1 4%	2 13%	11 8%	7 11%	4 14%	11 8%	19 10%	1 15%	16 11%	15 8%
Eight years old	22 7%	4 9%	2 5%	1 5%	8 5%	4 6%	4 17%	8 6%	14 8%	0 -	7 5%	15 8%

Detailed tables

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Nine years old	24 7%	4 10%	3 9%	2 13%	8 6%	7 11%	0 -	4 3%	20 11% G	1 12%	12 8%	13 7%
Ten years old	24 7%	1 3%	4 12%	2 10%	10 7%	5 8%	3 10%	4 3%	19 11% G	1 16%	7 5%	17 10%
Eleven years old	26 8%	4 11%	3 10%	1 5%	12 8%	5 8%	1 4%	3 2%	23 12% G	0 -	9 6%	18 10%
Twelve years old	33 10%	7 18%	4 11%	1 9%	13 9%	6 9%	2 7%	8 6%	25 13% G	1 16%	13 9%	21 12%
Thirteen years old	28 9%	4 10%	2 5%	5 27%	10 7%	5 7%	3 10%	11 8%	17 9%	1 14%	12 8%	16 9%
Fourteen years old	18 6%	1 2%	3 8%	1 9%	10 7%	3 4%	1 3%	4 3%	14 8%	0 -	7 5%	12 7%
Fifteen years old	34 10%	6 15%	1 4%	3 18%	15 11%	6 8%	3 10%	6 5%	27 15% G	1 16%	15 10%	19 11%
Sixteen years old	25 8%	3 8%	3 10%	5 27%	6 4%	7 11%	0 -	3 2%	22 12% G	0 -	13 9%	11 6%
Seventeen years old	36 11%	3 8%	3 9%	5 27%	13 9%	6 9%	6 25%	3 2%	33 18% G	1 13%	14 10%	22 13%
Refused	7 2%	2 5%	1 3%	0 -	4 2%	0 -	1 4%	0 -	7 4% G	0 -	4 2%	4 2%

Detailed tables

DEMOGRAPHICS

What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Grade school or some high school	94	10	5	12	28	30	9	16	21	56	47	46
	9%	7%	5%	18% ABD	7%	13% D	12%	5%	5%	18% GH	10%	9%
Complete high school	193	20	26	16	58	61	12	55	72	65	99	94
	19%	14%	27% AD	25%	15%	26% AD	16%	19%	18%	21%	20%	18%
Some community college or university, but did not finish	141	27	10	9	64	19	11	46	56	38	56	85
	14%	19% E	10%	14%	17% E	8%	15%	16%	14%	12%	11%	17% J
Complete technical or trade school/Community college	217	31	18	15	83	49	20	59	98	59	107	109
	22%	23%	19%	22%	22%	21%	26%	20%	25%	19%	22%	21%
Complete university degree, such as a Bachelor's	241	36	26	9	100	50	19	86	102	52	112	129
	24%	26% C	27% C	13%	26% C	21%	25%	29% I	26% I	17%	23%	25%
Post-graduate degree, such as a Master's or Ph.D.	106	13	10	5	49	25	4	30	42	34	62	44
	11%	9%	11%	8%	13%	10%	5%	10%	11%	11%	13% K	9%
Refused	11	1	1	0	4	4	1	3	2	4	8	4
	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%

DEMOGRAPHICS

Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Working full-time	477 48%	64 46%	53 55%	37 56%	173 45%	106 45%	44 58%	181 61% I	238 60% I	58 19%	283 58% K	194 38%
Working part-time	80 8%	12 8%	3 4%	5 7%	36 9%	17 7%	7 10%	28 10%	31 8%	21 7%	22 4%	58 11% J
Self-employed	96 10%	12 9% F	8 8% F	5 7% F	44 11% F	27 11% F	0 -	19 6%	46 12% G	31 10%	59 12% K	37 7%
Unemployed, but looking for work	36 4%	5 4%	1 1%	1 2%	15 4%	13 5%	2 2%	16 5% I	18 4% I	3 1%	18 4%	18 3%
Attending school full-time/A student	36 4%	5 4%	5 5%	2 4%	15 4%	9 4%	1 1%	35 12% HI	2 0	0 -	14 3%	22 4%
Retired	182 18%	28 20%	15 16%	10 16%	71 18%	44 18%	15 19%	0 -	8 2% G	174 56% GH	68 14%	115 22% J
Not in the workforce/A full-time homemaker	71 7%	11 8%	11 11%	5 8%	22 6%	16 7%	6 8%	12 4%	41 10% GI	18 6%	17 3%	54 11% J
Other/Social assistance/Disability	15 2%	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	7 2%	5 2%	2 2%	5 2%	8 2%	3 1%	6 1%	10 2%
Refused	8 1%	3 2%	0 -	0 -	4 1%	2 1%	0 -	0 -	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	5 1%

Food Safety

DEMOGRAPHICS

And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1002	132	104	66	380	250	70	203	431	362	480	522
Weighted Base	1002	140	96*	67*	386	238	76*	295	393	309	491	511
Under \$10,000	40 4%	2 1%	1 1%	5 8% AB	16 4%	15 6% AB	1 2%	13 4%	11 3%	16 5%	15 3%	25 5%
\$10,000 to just under \$20,000	71 7%	6 4%	5 5%	8 12% D	18 5%	25 11% AD	9 12% D	19 7%	21 5%	31 10% H	31 6%	40 8%
\$20,000 to just under \$30,000	94 9%	13 9%	5 5%	8 12%	32 8%	24 10%	12 16% B	22 7%	35 9%	37 12%	41 8%	52 10%
\$30,000 to just under \$40,000	86 9%	11 8%	5 5%	7 11%	26 7%	31 13% BD	6 8%	27 9%	27 7%	33 11% H	47 10%	40 8%
\$40,000 to just under \$50,000	90 9%	17 12% B	2 2%	7 10% B	38 10% B	17 7%	10 13% B	34 12%	31 8%	25 8%	37 7%	54 11%
\$50,000 to just under \$60,000	91 9%	12 9%	14 14% C	2 3%	31 8%	26 11%	5 7%	20 7%	31 8%	39 13% GH	39 8%	52 10%
\$60,000 to just under \$70,000	64 6%	9 7%	8 8%	1 1%	26 7%	15 6%	5 6%	21 7%	25 6%	18 6%	35 7%	29 6%
\$70,000 to just under \$80,000	53 5%	8 6%	5 5%	1 2%	20 5%	15 6%	4 5%	18 6%	26 7% I	9 3%	30 6%	23 5%
\$80,000 to just under \$100,000	117 12%	11 8%	13 13%	9 14%	48 12%	26 11%	10 13%	52 18% I	48 12% I	17 5%	62 13%	55 11%
\$100,000 and over	185 18%	34 25% E	27 28% CEF	9 14%	84 22% E	20 8%	10 14%	51 17% I	99 25% GI	34 11%	118 24% K	66 13%
Refused	112 11%	16 11%	12 12%	9 13%	48 12%	24 10%	4 5%	18 6%	40 10%	49 16% GH	37 8%	75 15% J