

## Daily Bread Food Bank Table of Contents

**Detailed tables** 

PP1. As you may or may not know there will be an election in Ontario on October 10th. In your opinion, how much of a priority should the next government place on implementing a comprehensive plan to reduce poverty in the province with set timelines and targets?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C - D/E - F/G/H

		HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD	COMPOSITION	VOTING LIKELIHOOD		
	Total	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$80K	\$80K+	Kids	No Kids	Definitely will vote	Probably will vote	Not vote
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	230	334	341	258	647	649	174	82
Weighted	905	250	339	316	291	614	612	198	95*
High priority	406	142	168	96	115	291	286	89	31
	45%	57% C	50% C	30%	39%	47%	47% H	45%	33%
Medium priority	391 43%	84 34%	143 42%	164 52% AB	136 47%	256 42%	275 45%	79 40%	37 39%
Low priority	75 8%	8 3%	18 5%	49 15% AB	31 11%	44 7%	43 7%	24 12%	8 9%
Not a priority at all	33 4%	16 6% C	9 3%	8 2%	9 3%	24 4%	9 1%	6 3%	18 19% FG
Summary	707	000	040	050	054	F 4.7	500	100	00
Top2Box - High/ Medium priority	797 88%	226 90% C	312 92% C	259 82%	251 86%	547 89%	560 92% GH	168 85% H	68 72%
Low2Box - Low priority/ Not a priority at all	108 12%	24 10%	27 8%	57 18% AB	40 14%	67 11%	52 8%	30 15%	26 28% FG

# **Detailed tables**

PP2\_1. (Ontario currently has no comprehensive plan to reduce poverty.) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C - D/E - F/G/H

			HOUSEHOLD INCOME		HOUSEHOLD	COMPOSITION	VOTING LIKELIHOOD		
	Total	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$80K	\$80K+	Kids	No Kids	Definitely will vote	Probably will vote	Not vote
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	230	334	341	258	647	649	174	82
Weighted	905	250	339	316	291	614	612	198	95*
Ontario currently has no comprehensive plan to	reduce poverty.								
Much higher priority	325	115	132	78	113	213	230	70	25
	36%	46% C	39% C	25%	39%	35%	38%	35%	26%
Somewhat higher priority	213	54	67	91	69	144	134	62	16
· , ,	24%	22%	20%	29%	24%	23%	22%	31%	17%
				В				FH	
A little higher priority	122	21	52	49	42	80	80	30	12
	13%	8%	15%	15%	14%	13%	13%	15%	12%
			Α	Α					
Does not change my opinion	245	60	87	98	68	177	168	36	42
· , .	27%	24%	26%	31%	23%	29%	27%	18%	44%
							G		FG
Summary							•		
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	660	191	252	218	223	437	445	162	53
3 - 1 - 3	73%	76%	74%	69%	77%	71%	73% H	82% FH	56%

### **Detailed tables**

PP2\_2. (Quebec and Newfoundland and Labrador already have poverty reduction plans in place.) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C - D/E - F/G/H

		HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD	COMPOSITION	VOTING LIKELIHOOD		
	Total	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$80K	\$80K+	Kids	No Kids	Definitely will vote	Probably will vote	Not vote
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	230	334	341	258	647	649	174	82
Weighted	905	250	339	316	291	614	612	198	95*
ا Quebec and Newfoundland and Labrador alrea	dy have poverty red	l uction plans in place	9.						
Much higher priority	155	61	54	39	44	110	115	32	7
<b>.</b> ,	17%	24% BC	16%	12%	15%	18%	19% H	16%	8%
Somewhat higher priority	219	59	95	66	77	142	144	65	10
,	24%	24%	28%	21%	26%	23%	24%	33%	11%
							Н	FH	
A little higher priority	145	36	46	62	57	88	86	37	21
	16%	15%	14%	20%	20%	14%	14%	19%	22%
Does not change my opinion	386	94	144	149	112	274	267	64	56
	43%	37%	42%	47% A	39%	45%	44% G	32%	59% FG
Summary		•			•		•		
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	519	157	195	167	179	340	346	134	39
William Higher phoney	57%	63% C	58%	53%	61%	55%	56% H	68% FH	41%



**Detailed tables** 

PP2\_3. (In the United Kingdom, the child poverty reduction plan has successfully reduced child poverty by almost 25% since 1999.) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C - D/E - F/G/H

		HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD	COMPOSITION	VOTING LIKELIHOOD			
	Total	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$80K	\$80K+	Kids	No Kids	Definitely will vote	Probably will vote	Not vote	
		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	
Base: All respondents	905	230	334	341	258	647	649	174	82	
Weighted	905	250	339	316	291	614	612	198	95*	
n the United Kingdom, the child poverty reduct		sfully reduced child	poverty by almost 25%	since 1999.						
Much higher priority	203	68	78	57	65	138	157	32	15	
	22%	27% C	23%	18%	22%	23%	26% G	16%	15%	
Somewhat higher priority	244	66	93	85	84	160	155	76	14	
	27%	26%	27%	27%	29%	26%	25%	38%	14%	
								FH		
A little higher priority	175	38	64	72	60	115	108	46	20	
	19%	15%	19%	23% A	21%	19%	18%	23%	21%	
Does not change my opinion	284	79	103	102	83	201	193	45	46	
	31%	31%	30%	32%	28%	33%	31% G	23%	49% FG	
Summary					1		<u> </u>		1 G	
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	621	172	235	214	208	413	420	153	48	
Trans angular priority	69%	69%	70%	68%	72%	67%	69% H	77% FH	51%	

### **Detailed tables**

PP2\_4. (In the past five years, there has been a 15% increase of Ontario children living in low-income households (from 15.1% in 2001 to 17.4% now)) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C - D/E - F/G/H

		HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD	COMPOSITION	VOTING LIKELIHOOD		
	Total	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$80K	\$80K+	Kids	No Kids	Definitely will vote	Probably will vote	Not vote
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	230	334	341	258	647	649	174	82
Weighted	905	250	339	316	291	614	612	198	95*
n the past five years, there has been a 15% in	crease of Ontario ch	l ildren living in low-i	ncome households (fro	n 15.1% in 2001 to	17.4% now)				
Much higher priority	311	111	119	81	118	192	226	63	22
	34%	44% C	35% C	26%	41% E	31%	37% H	32%	23%
Somewhat higher priority	243	62	88	93	71	173	153	72	18
<b>3</b> 1 ,	27%	25%	26%	30%	24%	28%	25%	36% FH	20%
A little higher priority	141	27	54	60	45	96	87	36	18
<b>V</b> 1 ,	16%	11%	16%	19% A	16%	16%	14%	18%	19%
Does not change my opinion	210	51	78	81	57	153	146	27	37
2000 not only opinion	23%	20%	23%	26%	20%	25%	24% G	13%	39% FG
Summary							•		
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	695	200	260	235	234	461	466	171	58
Triallo riigilor priority	77%	80%	77%	74%	80%	75%	76% H	87% FH	61%

# **Detailed tables**

PP2. (Top3box - Much higher/Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority Summary) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C - D/E - F/G/H

		HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD	COMPOSITION	VOTING LIKELIHOOD		
	Total	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$80K	\$80K+	Kids	No Kids	Definitely will vote	Probably will vote	Not vote
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	230	334	341	258	647	649	174	82
Weighted	905	250	339	316	291	614	612	198	95*
Ontario currently has no comprehensive plan to reduce poverty.	660	191	252	218	223	437	445	162	53
	73%	76%	74%	69%	77%	71%	73% H	82% FH	56%
Quebec and Newfoundland and Labrador already have poverty reduction plans in place.	519	157	195	167	179	340	346	134	39
	57%	63% C	58%	53%	61%	55%	56% H	68% FH	41%
n the United Kingdom, the child poverty eduction plan has successfully reduced hild poverty by almost 25% since 1999.	621	172	235	214	208	413	420	153	48
	69%	69%	70%	68%	72%	67%	69% H	77% FH	51%
In the past five years, there has been a 15% increase of Ontario children living in low-income households (from 15.1% in 2001 to 17.4% now)	695	200	260	235	234	461	466	171	58
,	77%	80%	77%	74%	80%	75%	76% H	87% FH	61%

# **Detailed tables**

PP3. How likely are you to vote in the upcoming Ontario election that will be held on Wednesday October 10th of this year?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C - D/E - F/G/H Overlap formulae used. \* small base

			HOUSEHOLD INCOME		HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION			VOTING LIKELIHOOD		
	Total	<\$40K	\$40K - <\$80K	\$80K+	Kids	No Kids	Definitely will vote	Probably will vote	Not vote	
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
Base: All respondents	905	230	334	341	258	647	649	174	82	
Weighted	905	250	339	316	291	614	612	198	95*	
Definitely will vote	612	146	232	233	175	438	612	0	0	
	68%	58%	69% A	74% A	60%	71% D	100% GH	-	-	
Probably will vote	198	70	77	51	76	122	0	198	0	
	22%	28% C	23% C	16%	26%	20%	-	100% FH	-	
Probably will not vote	52	17	17	18	28	25	0	0	52	
	6%	7%	5%	6%	10% E	4%	-	-	55% FG	
Definitely will not vote	42	17	11	14	12	30	0	0	42	
	5%	7%	3%	4%	4%	5%	-	-	45% FG	
Summary										
Top2Box - Definitely/ Probably will vote	810	216	310	284	251	559	612	198	0	
	90%	86%	92%	90%	86%	91%	100% H	100% H	-	
Low2Box - Probably will not/ Definitely will not vote	95	34	29	32	40	55	0	0	95	
not vote	10%	14%	8%	10%	14%	9%	-	-	100% FG	