

Daily Bread Food Bank Table of Contents

Detailed tables

PP1. As you may or may not know there will be an election in Ontario on October 10th. In your opinion, how much of a priority should the next government place on implementing a comprehensive plan to reduce poverty in the province with set timelines and targets?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H

		GEI	NDER		AGE			EDUCATION		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs hs<="" th=""><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs>	Post Sec	Univ Grad	
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
Base: All respondents	905	518	387	125	404	376	343	437	125	
Weighted	905	441	464	269	361	275	328	439	138*	
High priority	406	147	259	94	167	145	173	193	41	
- ' '	45%	33%	56% A	35%	46% C	53% C	53% GH	44% H	30%	
Medium priority	391	214	177	134	146	111	123	195	74	
	43%	49% B	38%	50%	40%	40%	37%	44%	53% F	
Low priority	75	56	19	29	29	17	16	39	20	
	8%	13% B	4%	11%	8%	6%	5%	9%	15% F	
Not a priority at all	33	25	8	11	19	3	17	12	3	
	4%	6% B	2%	4%	5% E	1%	5%	3%	2%	
Summary							•			
Top2Box - High/ Medium priority	797	361	437	229	313	256	295	388	114	
	88%	82%	94% A	85%	87%	93% CD	90%	88%	83%	
Low2Box - Low priority/ Not a priority at all	108	81	27	40	48	19	33	51	24	
	12%	18% B	6%	15% E	13% E	7%	10%	12%	17%	

Detailed tables

PP2_1. (Ontario currently has no comprehensive plan to reduce poverty.) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H Overlap formulae used. * small base

		GEN	NDER	AGE			EDUCATION		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs hs<="" th=""><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs>	Post Sec	Univ Grad
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	518	387	125	404	376	343	437	125
Weighted	905	441	464	269	361	275	328	439	138*
Ontario currently has no comprehensive plan to	o reduce poverty.								
Much higher priority	325	123	203	99	128	98	131	151	43
	36%	28%	44% A	37%	35%	36%	40%	34%	31%
Somewhat higher priority	213	111	101	73	82	57	69	116	28
3 - 1 - 3	24%	25%	22%	27%	23%	21%	21%	26%	20%
A little higher priority	122	74	48	35	50	37	44	57	20
	13%	17% B	10%	13%	14%	13%	14%	13%	15%
Does not change my opinion	245	133	112	61	101	83	84	115	47
- see her entange my spinnen	27%	30%	24%	23%	28%	30%	26%	26%	34%
Summary									
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	660	308	352	208	260	192	245	324	91
The state of the s	73%	70%	76%	77%	72%	70%	74%	74%	66%

Detailed tables

PP2_2. (Quebec and Newfoundland and Labrador already have poverty reduction plans in place.) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H

	GEND		NDER		AGE		EDUCATION		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs hs<="" th=""><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs>	Post Sec	Univ Grad
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	518	387	125	404	376	343	437	125
Weighted	905	441	464	269	361	275	328	439	138*
Quebec and Newfoundland and Labrador alrea	ady have poverty red	uction plans in place	Э.						
Much higher priority	155	49	105	40	57	58	60	70	26
	17%	11%	23% A	15%	16%	21%	18%	16%	18%
Somewhat higher priority	219	102	117	74	84	61	91	103	26
3 - 1 - 3	24%	23%	25%	28%	23%	22%	28%	23%	19%
A little higher priority	145	85	60	54	58	32	50	73	22
	16%	19% B	13%	20% E	16%	12%	15%	17%	16%
Does not change my opinion	386	204	182	100	162	124	128	194	64
Tool on one go my opinion	43%	46%	39%	37%	45%	45%	39%	44%	46%
Summary									
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	519	237	282	169	199	151	200	245	74
	57%	54%	61%	63%	55%	55%	61%	56%	54%

Detailed tables

PP2_3. (In the United Kingdom, the child poverty reduction plan has successfully reduced child poverty by almost 25% since 1999.) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H

		GEN	NDER		AGE			EDUCATION		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs hs<="" th=""><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs>	Post Sec	Univ Grad	
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
Base: All respondents	905	518	387	125	404	376	343	437	125	
Weighted	905	441	464	269	361	275	328	439	138*	
In the United Kingdom, the child poverty reduct	tion plan has succes	sfully reduced child	poverty by almost 25%	6 since 1999.						
Much higher priority	203	74	129	57	74	71	77	100	26	
	22%	17%	28%	21%	20%	26%	23%	23%	19%	
			A							
Somewhat higher priority	244	114	130	91	92	61	94	113	37	
	27%	26%	28%	34% E	25%	22%	29%	26%	27%	
A little higher priority	175	105	70	57	68	50	52	94	29	
	19%	24% B	15%	21%	19%	18%	16%	21%	21%	
Does not change my opinion	284	148	136	63	128	93	106	132	46	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	31%	33%	29%	23%	35%	34%	32%	30%	33%	
			=		C	C				
Summary										
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	621	293	328	206	234	182	223	307	92	
3 - F - 9	69%	67%	71%	77% DE	65%	66%	68%	70%	67%	

Detailed tables

PP2_4. (In the past five years, there has been a 15% increase of Ontario children living in low-income households (from 15.1% in 2001 to 17.4% now)) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H

		GENDER			AGE		EDUCATION		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs hs<="" th=""><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs>	Post Sec	Univ Grad
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	518	387	125	404	376	343	437	125
Weighted	905	441	464	269	361	275	328	439	138*
n the past five years, there has been a 15% in	I crease of Ontario ch	l ildren living in low-in	come households (fro	om 15.1% in 2001 to	17.4% now)				
Much higher priority	311	118	192	91	121	99	124	147	40
	34%	27%	41% A	34%	33%	36%	38%	33%	29%
Somewhat higher priority	243	132	111	86	96	61	88	123	33
3 · p · y	27%	30%	24%	32%	27%	22%	27%	28%	24%
				E					
A little higher priority	141	80	61	44	51	47	47	70	24
	16%	18%	13%	16%	14%	17%	14%	16%	18%
Does not change my opinion	210	111	99	48	93	69	71	98	41
5 , 1	23%	25%	21%	18%	26%	25%	21%	22%	30%
Summary									
Top3Box - Much higher/ Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority	695	330	365	221	268	206	258	340	97
A little Higher priority	77%	75%	79%	82%	74%	75%	79%	78%	70%

Detailed tables

PP2. (Top3box - Much higher/Somewhat higher/ A little higher priority Summary) Each of the following statements is true. For each one, does the information make you feel that having a poverty reduction plan in Ontario is a higher priority than you previously thought, or not change your opinion?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H

		GENDER			AGE		EDUCATION		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs hs<="" th=""><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs>	Post Sec	Univ Grad
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Base: All respondents	905	518	387	125	404	376	343	437	125
Weighted	905	441	464	269	361	275	328	439	138*
Ontario currently has no comprehensive plan to reduce poverty.	660	308	352	208	260	192	245	324	91
	73%	70%	76%	77%	72%	70%	74%	74%	66%
Quebec and Newfoundland and Labrador already have poverty reduction plans in place.	519	237	282	169	199	151	200	245	74
p.a.co.	57%	54%	61%	63%	55%	55%	61%	56%	54%
In the United Kingdom, the child poverty reduction plan has successfully reduced child poverty by almost 25% since 1999.	621	293	328	206	234	182	223	307	92
	69%	67%	71%	77% DE	65%	66%	68%	70%	67%
In the past five years, there has been a 15% increase of Ontario children living in low-income households (from 15.1% in 2001 to 17.4% now)	695	330	365	221	268	206	258	340	97
	77%	75%	79%	82%	74%	75%	79%	78%	70%



Detailed tables

PP3. How likely are you to vote in the upcoming Ontario election that will be held on Wednesday October 10th of this year?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H Overlap formulae used. * small base

		GEN	NDER		AGE	•	EDUCATION			
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs hs<="" th=""><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th></hs>	Post Sec	Univ Grad	
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
Base: All respondents	905	518	387	125	404	376	343	437	125	
Weighted	905	441	464	269	361	275	328	439	138*	
Definitely will vote	612	310	302	151	228	234	194	310	109	
	68%	70%	65%	56%	63%	85% CD	59%	71% F	79% F	
Probably will vote	198	81	117	79	86	33	83	89	26	
,	22%	18%	25% A	29% E	24% E	12%	25%	20%	19%	
Probably will not vote	52	22	30	19	30	4	34	17	1	
	6%	5%	7%	7% E	8% E	1%	10% GH	4%	1%	
Definitely will not vote	42	28	14	20	18	4	17	23	2	
	5%	6% B	3%	7% E	5% E	2%	5%	5%	1%	
Summary		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-					
Top2Box - Definitely/ Probably will vote	810 90%	391 89%	419 90%	230 85%	314 87%	267 97%	277 84%	399 91%	135 98%	
	3070	0370	3070	0070	07 70	CD CD	0470	F	FG	
Low2Box - Probably will not/ Definitely will not vote	95	50	44	39	47	8	52	40	3	
	10%	11%	10%	15% E	13% E	3%	16% GH	9% H	2%	