## Calgary Municipal Election - Homelessness (60-5658-75)

- q1. A range of issues will be discussed during the election campaign. One of these issues is homelessness. How important do you think the issue of homelessness is in the city of Calgary?
- 2 q2. Would you be more or less likely to support a candidate who makes dealing with homelessness a key part of their election campaign?
- 3 q3. Would you support the development of secondary suites in your neighborhood?

## q1. A range of issues will be discussed during the election campaign. One of these issues is homelessness. How important do you think the issue of homelessness is in the city of Calgary?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K \* small base

	Gender			Age				Inco	ome	Education		Education	
								\$30K - <	\$45K - <			Post-	University
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$45K	\$70K	\$70K+	HS or less	secondary	graduate
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All respondents	486	254	232	92	219	175	74	81	109	222	107	271	108
Weighted	486	241	245	166*	213	108	77*	73*	112*	224	113*	260	113*
Very Important	283	119	164	85	127	71	54	44	64	121	71	158	54
	58%	49%	67%	51%	60%	66%	70%	61%	57%	54%	62%	61%	48%
			Α			C	I						
Somewhat Important	161	91	71	62	68	32	21	22	37	81	34	83	45
	33%	38%	29%	37%	32%	30%	27%	30%	33%	36%	30%	32%	40%
Not Very Important	38	29	9	19	15	5	2	6	9	20	8	16	14
	8%	12%	4%	11%	7%	5%	3%	9%	8%	9%	7%	6%	13%
		В											
(DK/NS)	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	0
	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-

## q2. Would you be more or less likely to support a candidate who makes dealing with homelessness a key part of their election campaign?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K \* small base

		Ger	der	Age				Inco	me	Education		Education	
								\$30K - <	\$45K - <			Post-	University
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$45K	\$70K	\$70K+	HS or less	secondary	graduate
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All respondents	486	254	232	92	219	175	74	81	109	222	107	271	108
Weighted	486	241	245	166*	213	108	77*	73*	112*	224	113*	260	113*
Much more likely to support	148	59	89	41	65	42	27	33	31	57	40		27
	30%	25%	36%	25%	31%	39%	35%	45%	28%	25%	35%	31%	24%
			А			С		HI					
Somewhat more likely to support	239	117	123	95	94	51	43	27	57	113	55		59
	49%	49%	50%	57%	44%	47%	55%	37%	51%	50%	49%	48%	53%
				D			G						
Somewhat less likely to support	41	27	14	12	20	9	3	7	9	22	6	21	13
	8%	11% B	6%	7%	10%	8%	4%	10%	8%	10%	5%	8%	12%
Much less likely to support	14	14	0	6	5	3	1	0	3	9	1	7	6
	3%	6% B	-	4%	3%	2%	2%	-	3%	4%	1%	3%	5%
(DK/NS)	44	24	20	12	28	4	3	6	11	24	11	26	7
	9%	10%	8%	7%	13%	4%	4%	9%	10%	11%	10%	10%	6%
					E								
Summary													
Top2Box (Much/Somewhat more likely	387	176	211	136	159	93	70	59	89	170	95	205	87
to support)	80%	73%	86%	82%	75%	86%	90%	82%	79%	76%	84%	79%	77%
			Α			D	- 1						
Low2Box (Somewhat/Much less likely to	55	41	14	18	25	11	5	7	12	31	7	28	19
support)	11%	17% B	6%	11%	12%	10%	6%	10%	11%	14%	6%	11%	17%

## q3. Would you support the development of secondary suites in your neighborhood?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K \* small base

		Ger	ider	Age				Inco	ome	Education		Education	
								\$30K - <	\$45K - <			Post-	University
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$30K	\$45K	\$70K	\$70K+	HS or less	secondary	graduate
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All respondents	486	254	232	92	219	175	74	81	109	222	107	271	108
Weighted	486	241	245	166*	213	108	77*	73*	112*	224	113*	260	113
Yes	348	171	177	128	141	79	65	56	88	140	82	188	78
	72%	71%	72%	77%	66%	73%	84%	77%	78%	62%	72%	72%	69%
							ı	l I	ı				
No	91	53	38	25	47	19	9	12	10	60	21	39	31
	19%	22%	16%	15%	22%	18%	12%	16%	9%	27%	19%	15%	27%
										FH			
(DK/NS)	47	17	30	13	25	10	4	5	14	24	10	33	4
	10%	7%	12%	8%	12%	9%	5%	7%	12%	11%	9%	13%	3%