Detailed tables

RX3. Would you support or oppose legislation that would ban smoking in cars and other private vehicles where a child or adolescent under 16 years of age is present? Is that somewhat or strongly support / oppose?

Overlap formulae acce.		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME		EHOLD SITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
Strongly support	747	341	407	193	293	262	73	266	288	120	122	204	421	251	496	158	589
	57%	54%	60% A	53%	56%	61% C	48%	60% F	56%	60% F	52%	54%	60% J	60%	56%	39%	65% O
Somewhat support	297	155	143	86	118	93	32	.77	135	53	50	83	164	96	202	107	190
	23%	24%	21%	24%	23%	22%	21%	17%	26% G	26% G	21%	22%	23%	23%	23%	26% P	21%
Somewhat oppose	124	61	63	39	47	38	21	44	44	15	26	43	55	33	91	63	61
	9%	10%	9%	11%	9%	9%	14%	10%	8%	7%	11%	11%	8%	8%	10%	16% P	7%
Strongly oppose	145	79	66	48	64	33	27	56	48	14	37	49	58	42	103	76	69
	11%	12%	10%	13%	12% F	8%	18% HI	13%	9%	7%	16%	13%	8%	10%	12%	19%	8%
Summary		l					'''	'								'	
Top2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat support)	1045 80%	495 78%	549 81%	280 76%	411 79%	354 83%	105 68%	343 77%	423 82%	174 86%	172 73%	287 76%	585 84%	347 82%	698 78%	266 66%	779 86%
	00 /8	70%	01/0	7070	13/0	C C	00 /6	11/0	F	FG	13/6	10/0	JK	02/0	10/0	00/6	0
Low2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat oppose)	269	140	129	87	111	71	48	100	92	29	64	92	114	75	195	139	130
	20%	22%	19%	24% E	21%	17%	32% HI	23% I	18%	14%	27% L	24% L	16%	18%	22%	34% P	14%



Detailed tables

RX4. Do you think that the age of 16 is the best age under which children should be protected from second-hand smoke in cars and other private vehicles, or would you choose a different age?

		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME	HOUSI COMPO	EHOLD SITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
Best age	495 38%	243 38%	253 37%	153 42%	194 37%	148 35%	51 33%	180 41%	187 36%	78 39%	87 37%	131 35%	277 40%	155 37%	340 38%	149 37%	346 38%
No age limit	492 37%	245 39%	246 36%	101 28%	195 37% C	195 46% CD	54 35%	167 38%	188 36%	82 41%	94 40%	151 40%	246 35%	148 35%	343 38%	143 35%	349 38%
Different age	327 25%	148 23%	179 26%	112 31% F	133 25% F	82 19%	49 32% GI	96 22%	140 27%	42 21%	54 23%	97 26%	176 25%	119 28%	208 23%	112 28%	215 24%



Detailed tables

RX5. What would be the age limit under which you would choose to have this legislation protect?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N - O/P Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very s	smail base		IDER	or sig testin	AGE			EDUC	CATION		HOUS	SEHOLD IN	ICOME		EHOLD DSITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: Would choose a different age under which children should be protected	315	154	161	69	144	102	33	74	159	49	48	85	182	105	210	99	216
Weighted	327	148	179	112*	133	82*	49**	96*	140	42*	54*	97*	176	119*	208	112*	215
0	4 1%	1 1%	3 2%	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	3 7%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	1 2%	2 2%	1 0	2 2%	2 1%	3 3%	1 1%
1	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	0 -	1 1%	3 3%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	1 2%	3 3%	0 -	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	3 1%
5	1 0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	0 -	0	1 0	1 2%	0 -	0 -	1 1%	1 1%	1	0 -	1 1%
6	1 0	1 0	0 -	0 -	0	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2%	0 -	0 -	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	1
7	2 1%	1 1%	1 0	0 -	0	2 2%	1 2%	0	1 0	0 -	1 2%	0 -	1 0	0 -	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%
8	7 2%	3 2%	3 2%	2 2%	4 3%	1 1%	2 4%	4 4%	1 0	0 -	2 4%	0 -	4 2%	2 2%	4 2%	3 3%	4 2%
9	1 0	0 -	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0	1	0 -	0 -	0 -	1	0 -	1	0 -	1
10	22 7%	11 8%	11 6%	5 4%	10 8%	7 9%	5 11%	6 6%	11 8%	0 -	4 8%	8 9%	10 5%	7 6%	15 7%	12 11%	10 5%
12	48 15%	19 13%	29 16%	11 10%	21 16%	16 19%	4 9%	15 15%	22 16%	7 16%	14 25%	13 14%	21 12%	19 16%	30 14%	26 23% P	23 10%
13	10 3%	4 3%	6 3%	3 2%	6 5%	1 1%	1 3%	1 1%	5 4%	2 5%	0 -	4 4%	6 3%	4 3%	6 3%	3 3%	6 3%
14	34 11%	16 11%	19 11%	19 17% E	13 10% E	2 3%	7 14%	10 11%	17 12%	1 2%	3 5%	12 13%	19 11%	9 7%	26 12%	13 12%	21 10%
15	1 0	0 -	1	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0	1 0	0 -	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	1	1 1%	0 -
16	1 0	0 -	1	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1	1 1%	0 -
17	4 1%	2 2%	2 1%	3 3%	2 1%	0	0 -	0 -	4 3%	0 -	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	2 1%	1 1%	4 2%

Detailed tables

RX5. What would be the age limit under which you would choose to have this legislation protect?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N - O/P Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Overlap formulae useu. Simaii b	ase, very small base	GEN			AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	ICOME		EHOLD OSITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< td=""><td>HS</td><td>Post Sec</td><td>Univ Grad</td><td><\$30K</td><td>\$30K - <\$60K</td><td>\$60K+</td><td>Kids</td><td>No Kids</td><td>Yes</td><td>No</td></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
18	101 31%	41 28%	60 34%	40 36%	41 31%	20 24%	10 21%	27 28%	46 33%	18 43%	20 37%	26 26%	55 31%	34 28%	67 32%	24 21%	77 36% O
Over 18	50 15%	28 19%	22 12%	19 17%	18 13%	14 16%	8 16%	17 17%	17 12%	9 21%	4 7%	13 13%	33 19%	25 21%	25 12%	12 11%	38 18%
No age limit	37 11%	18 12%	19 11%	9 8%	15 12%	13 16%	5 11%	16 16%	12 8%	4 10%	2 4%	13 13%	22 12%	14 12%	23 11%	12 11%	25 12%
Summary	L.	ı		ı												ı	
Mean	14.2	14.0	14.4	15.0 E	14.3 E	12.9	12.0	14.3	14.5	15.6	13.8	13.7	14.6	14.1	14.2	13.1	14.9 O
Median	14.0	14.0	14.0	17.0	14.0	12.0	13.0	14.0	14.0	18.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	12.0	18.0
Std. Dev.	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.8	3.7	5.0	5.6	3.8	3.7	3.5	4.5	4.6	3.7	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.0



Detailed tables

RX6. Regardless of your level of support or opposition for this type of legislation, what do you think the MOST appropriate penalty should be for those who violate a potential ban on smoking in cars?

S Tomap Tomanao doba.		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME	HOUSE	EHOLD SITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
A monetary fine	528 40%	247 39%	281 41%	150 41%	228 44%	149 35%	51 33%	173 39%	216 42%	88 43%	80 34%	144 38%	303 43%	179 42%	348 39%	192 48%	335 37%
					E								J			Р	
A monetary fine with associated demerit points	448	211	237	132	166	151	41	145	180	81	72	124	252	145	303	58	390
	34%	33%	35%	36%	32%	35%	27%	33%	35%	40% F	31%	33%	36%	34%	34%	14%	43% O
There should be no penalty	245	129	116	66	94	86	51	89	87	19	61	88	96	71	174	139	107
	19%	20%	17%	18%	18%	20%	33% GHI	20% I	17% I	9%	26% L	23% L	14%	17%	20%	34% P	12%
A license suspension	93	49	44	19	34	40	10	37	31	15	23	23	48	27	66	16	77
	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	9% C	6%	8%	6%	7%	10%	6%	7%	6%	7%	4%	8% O



Detailed tables

RX7_1. (Small children need to be protected from second-hand smoke when riding in cars and other private vehicles) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or disagree.

		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME		EHOLD SITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
Small children need to be protected from sec			riding in ca				l										
Strongly agree	889	412	477	243	352	295	106	296	344	143	147	248	495	304	585	210	679
	68%	65%	70% A	66%	67%	69%	69%	67%	67%	71%	62%	65%	71% J	72% N	66%	52%	75% O
Somewhat agree	304	155	149	89	124	91	29	105	125	46	67	87	150	92	212	131	173
	23%	24%	22%	24%	24%	21%	19%	24%	24%	23%	28%	23%	21%	22%	24%	32% P	19%
Somewhat disagree	52	32	20	17	22	13	8	15	25	5	11	20	22	13	40	29	23
•	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	7% P	3%
Strongly disagree	68	37	32	17	24	28	10	28	22	8	12	24	32	12	56	35	33
	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	6%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	3%	6% M	9% P	4%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat agree)	1193	567	626	332	475	385	135	401	468	189	213	335	645	397	797	341	853
	91%	89%	92%	91%	91%	91%	88%	90%	91%	93%	90%	88%	92%	94% N	89%	84%	94% O
Low2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat disagree)	121	69	52	34	46	40	18	43	47	13	23	44	54	25	96	64	57
	9%	11%	8%	9%	9%	9%	12%	10%	9%	7%	10%	12%	8%	6%	11% M	16% P	6%

Detailed tables

RX7_2. (Children of all ages need to be protected from second-hand smoke when riding in cars and other private vehicles, and the exact age of that child does not matter) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or disagree.

Overlap formulae used.																	
		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME		EHOLD OSITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< td=""><td>HS</td><td>Post Sec</td><td>Univ Grad</td><td><\$30K</td><td>\$30K - <\$60K</td><td>\$60K+</td><td>Kids</td><td>No Kids</td><td>Yes</td><td>No</td></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
Children of all ages need to be protected from	second-ha	nd smoke v		in cars and		ate vehicle	s, and the e		f that child	does not m				I		I	
Strongly agree	686	309	377	177	262	246	84	238	264	100	113	190	384	226	461	143	544
	52%	49%	56% A	48%	50%	58% CD	55%	54%	51%	50%	48%	50%	55%	53%	52%	35%	60% O
Somewhat agree	407	199	208	130	166	112	48	126	158	75	78	116	213	140	268	147	260
	31%	31%	31%	35% E	32% E	26%	31%	28%	31%	37% G	33%	31%	31%	33%	30%	36% P	29%
Somewhat disagree	131	76	56	35	56	40	12	43	59	17	24	44	64	36	96	70	61
	10%	12% B	8%	10%	11%	9%	8%	10%	11%	9%	10%	12%	9%	8%	11%	17% P	7%
Strongly disagree	89	52	37	24	37	28	9	36	35	9	22	29	38	21	68	45	44
	7%	8%	5%	7%	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	5%	9%	8%	5%	5%	8%	11% P	5%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat agree)	1093 83%	508 80%	585 86%	307 84%	428 82%	358 84%	132 86%	364 82%	422 82%	176 87%	190 81%	306 81%	597 85%	365 87% N	728 82%	290 72%	803 88% O
Low2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat disagree)	221	128	A 93	59	93	68	21	79	93	27	45	73	102	57	164	115	106
Lowe Box (ottoligity) confermat disagree)	17%	20% B	14%	16%	18%	16%	14%	18%	18%	13%	19%	19%	15%	13%	18% M	28% P	12%



Detailed tables

RX7_3. (People have the right to decide what to do in their own cars, and that includes the right to smoke even if there are children in the car) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or

Overlap formulae used.		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME		EHOLD OSITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
People have the right to decide what to do in	their own ca	rs, and tha	t includes tl	he right to s	moke ever	if there ar	e children i	n the car			ı						
Strongly agree	222	110	112	71	93	58	42	85	76	19	55	78	89	67	155	126	96
	17%	17%	16%	19%	18%	14%	28% HI	19% I	15% I	9%	23% L	21% L	13%	16%	17%	31% P	11%
Somewhat agree	265	129	137	85	109	72	36	77	120	32	51	87	128	84	182	112	154
	20%	20%	20%	23%	21%	17%	24%	17%	23% GI	16%	21%	23%	18%	20%	20%	28% P	17%
Somewhat disagree	329	161	168	98	131	100	28	113	126	63	54	90	186	93	236	84	245
	25%	25%	25%	27%	25%	24%	18%	25%	24%	31% F	23%	24%	27%	22%	26%	21%	27% O
Strongly disagree	497	236	261	113	188	196	46	169	193	88	77	124	297	177	320	83	414
	38%	37%	38%	31%	36%	46% CD	30%	38%	37%	44% F	32%	33%	42% JK	42% N	36%	20%	46% O
Summary							•									•	
Top2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat agree)	488	239	249	156	202	130	79	162	196	51	105	166	217	151	337	238	250
	37%	38%	37%	42% E	39% E	31%	52% GHI	36% I	38% I	25%	45% L	44% L	31%	36%	38%	59% P	27%
Low2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat disagree)	826 63%	397 62%	429 63%	211 58%	320 61%	296 69%	74 48%	282 64%	319 62%	151 75%	130 55%	213 56%	482 69%	271 64%	555 62%	167 41%	659 73%
	00 /6	02/6	00 /0	30 /0	01/6	CD	70 /0	F F	F	FGH	55 /6	JU /6	JK	04 /6	UZ /0	71/0	0



Detailed tables

RX7_4. (This type of legislation cannot be enforced and so we shouldn't try) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or disagree.

		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME		EHOLD OSITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
This type of legislation cannot be enforced ar	nd so we sho	ouldn't try															
Strongly agree	223	127	96	60	86	77	40	73	90	20	39	90	94	64	160	109	114
	17%	20% B	14%	16%	16%	18%	26% GHI	16% I	18% I	10%	17%	24% L	13%	15%	18%	27% P	13%
Somewhat agree	368	168	201	98	151	119	38	132	137	61	67	88	214	99	269	127	241
	28%	26%	30%	27%	29%	28%	25%	30%	27%	30%	28%	23%	31% K	24%	30% M	31%	27%
Somewhat disagree	369	173	196	120	156	93	33	123	154	59	73	97	199	130	240	111	258
	28%	27%	29%	33% E	30% E	22%	22%	28%	30%	29%	31%	25%	29%	31%	27%	27%	28%
Strongly disagree	353	168	185	88	129	137	42	116	133	62	57	105	192	129	224	58	295
	27%	26%	27%	24%	25%	32% CD	27%	26%	26%	31%	24%	28%	27%	31%	25%	14%	32% O
Summary																	
Top2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat agree)	591	295	297	159	237	196	78	205	227	81	106	178	308	163	429	236	356
	45%	46%	44%	43%	45%	46%	51%	46%	44%	40%	45%	47%	44%	39%	48% M	58% P	39%
Low2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat disagree)	723	341	382	208	285	230	75	238	288	121	130	201	391	259	464	169	554
= -	55%	54%	56%	57%	55%	54%	49%	54%	56%	60%	55%	53%	56%	61% N	52%	42%	61% O



Detailed tables

RX7_5. (This is a serious problem that the government needs to address) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or disagree.

Overlap formulae used.				•										•			
		GEI	NDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME		EHOLD OSITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
This is a serious problem that the governmer	t needs to a	ddress		l			l				l			l			
Strongly agree	439	205	234	111	161	167	56	150	167	66	84	120	235	162	277	69	370
	33%	32%	35%	30%	31%	39% CD	37%	34%	32%	33%	36%	32%	34%	38% N	31%	17%	41% O
Somewhat agree	422	198	224	121	170	131	36	152	157	78	70	108	244	122	300	114	308
	32%	31%	33%	33%	33%	31%	23%	34% F	30%	38% FH	30%	28%	35% K	29%	34%	28%	34%
Somewhat disagree	239	112	127	80	97	61	29	68	106	36	34	80	124	86	152	110	128
· ·	18%	18%	19%	22% E	19%	14%	19%	15%	21%	18%	14%	21%	18%	20%	17%	27% P	14%
Strongly disagree	214	121	93	54	93	66	33	73	86	22	47	71	96	52	162	112	103
	16%	19% B	14%	15%	18%	16%	21% I	17%	17%	11%	20% L	19% L	14%	12%	18% M	28% P	11%
Summary																	
Top2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat agree)	861	403	458	232	331	298	92	302	324	144	155	228	479	283	578	183	678
	66%	63%	68%	63%	63%	70% D	60%	68%	63%	71% FH	66%	60%	69% K	67%	65%	45%	75% O
Low2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat disagree)	453	233	220	134	191	128	61	142	191	59	81	151	220	138	315	222	231
	34%	37%	32%	37%	37% F	30%	40%	32%	37%	29%	34%	40%	31%	33%	35%	55%	25%
	1	1		1								L		1			

Detailed tables

RX7_6. (The decision of whether or not to smoke in a car while children are present is a decision that is best left up to parents to make.) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or disagree.

		GEN	IDER		AGE			EDUC	ATION		HOUS	EHOLD IN	COME	HOUS!	EHOLD SITION	SMC	KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
The decision of whether or not to smoke in a	car while ch	ildren are p	resent is a	decision th	at is best le	eft up to pa	rents to ma	ıke.									
Strongly agree	251	125	127	76	97	78	51	79	91	30	67	84	100	72	179	142	109
	19%	20%	19%	21%	19%	18%	33% GHI	18%	18%	15%	29% L	22% L	14%	17%	20%	35% P	12%
Somewhat agree	280	141	138	93	118	68	30	97	114	38	36	99	145	93	187	106	174
	21%	22%	20%	25% E	23% E	16%	20%	22%	22%	19%	15%	26% J	21%	22%	21%	26% P	19%
Somewhat disagree	302	151	151	82	125	95	33	96	121	52	62	82	158	88	214	83	219
Ç .	23%	24%	22%	22%	24%	22%	22%	22%	24%	25%	26%	22%	23%	21%	24%	20%	24%
Strongly disagree	481	219	263	116	182	184	39	172	188	83	71	114	296	169	312	75	407
	37%	34%	39%	32%	35%	43% CD	25%	39% F	36% F	41% F	30%	30%	42% JK	40%	35%	18%	45% O
Summary				I			I				ı			ı		I	
Top2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat agree)	531	266	265	169	215	146	81	176	206	68	103	183	245	164	366	248	283
	40%	42%	39%	46% E	41% E	34%	53% GHI	40%	40%	34%	44% L	48% L	35%	39%	41%	61% P	31%
Low2Box (Strongly/ Somewhat disagree)	783	370	413	197	307	279	72	268	309	134	133	196	454	257	526	157	626
	60%	58%	61%	54%	59%	66% CD	47%	60% F	60% F	66% F	56%	52%	65% JK	61%	59%	39%	69% O

Detailed tables

RX7. (Top2Box Summary) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or disagree.

			IDER		AGE				ATION			SEHOLD IN			EHOLD OSITION		KER
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-34	35-54	55+	<hs< th=""><th>HS</th><th>Post Sec</th><th>Univ Grad</th><th><\$30K</th><th>\$30K - <\$60K</th><th>\$60K+</th><th>Kids</th><th>No Kids</th><th>Yes</th><th>No</th></hs<>	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids	Yes	No
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
Base: All respondents	1314	689	625	229	564	521	119	347	595	253	210	359	745	387	927	375	939
Weighted	1314	636	678	367	522	426	153	444	515	202	236	379	699	422	892	405	909
Small children need to be protected from second-hand smoke when riding in cars and other private vehicles	1193	567	626	332	475	385	135	401	468	189	213	335	645	397	797	341	853
	91%	89%	92%	91%	91%	91%	88%	90%	91%	93%	90%	88%	92%	94% N	89%	84%	94% O
Children of all ages need to be protected from second-hand smoke when riding in cars and other private vehicles, and the exact age of that child does not matter	1093	508	585	307	428	358	132	364	422	176	190	306	597	365	728	290	803
· ·	83%	80%	86% A	84%	82%	84%	86%	82%	82%	87%	81%	81%	85%	87% N	82%	72%	88% O
This is a serious problem that the government needs to address	861	403	458	232	331	298	92	302	324	144	155	228	479	283	578	183	678
9	66%	63%	68%	63%	63%	70% D	60%	68%	63%	71% FH	66%	60%	69% K	67%	65%	45%	75% O
This type of legislation cannot be enforced and so we shouldn't try	591	295	297	159	237	196	78	205	227	81	106	178	308	163	429	236	356
·	45%	46%	44%	43%	45%	46%	51%	46%	44%	40%	45%	47%	44%	39%	48% M	58% P	39%
The decision of whether or not to smoke in a car while children are present is a decision that is best left up to parents to make	531	266	265	169	215	146	81	176	206	68	103	183	245	164	366	248	283
	40%	42%	39%	46% E	41% E	34%	53% GHI	40%	40%	34%	44% L	48% L	35%	39%	41%	61% P	31%
People have the right to decide what to do in their own cars, and that includes the right to smoke even if there are children in the car	488	239	249	156	202	130	79	162	196	51	105	166	217	151	337	238	250
	37%	38%	37%	42% E	39% E	31%	52% GHI	36% I	38% I	25%	45% L	44% L	31%	36%	38%	59% P	27%



Detailed tables

RX7. (Low2Box Summary) Below is a list of statements. For each, please indicate if you agree or disagree.

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N - O/P

17%

121

9%

20%

В

69

11%

16%

34

9%

18%

46

9%

16%

40

9%

14%

52

8%

Overlap formulae used. **GENDER** AGE **FDUCATION** HOUSEHOLD INCOME HOUSEHOLD SMOKER COMPOSITION \$30K -Total 18-34 <HS Male Femal 35-54 55+ HS Post Univ <\$30K \$60K+ Kids No Yes No Sec Grad <\$60K Kids Α В С D Ε G М 0 Ρ Н 1 J K Ν 1314 689 625 229 521 347 595 253 210 359 745 387 927 375 Base: All respondents 564 119 939 367 522 153 422 Weighted 1314 636 678 426 444 515 202 236 379 699 892 405 909 People have the right to decide what to do in 826 397 429 211 320 296 74 282 319 151 130 213 482 271 555 167 659 their own cars, and that includes the right to smoke even if there are children in the car 62% 63% 63% 58% 61% 69% 48% 64% 62% 75% 55% 56% 69% 64% 62% 41% 73% CD F F **FGH** JK Ω The decision of whether or not to smoke in a 783 370 413 197 307 279 72 268 309 133 196 454 257 526 157 626 134 car while children are present is a decision that is best left up to parents to make 60% 58% 61% 54% 59% 66% 47% 60% 60% 66% 56% 52% 65% 61% 59% 39% 69% CD F F F JK Ω 341 382 75 238 288 130 201 259 169 This type of legislation cannot be enforced 723 208 285 230 121 391 464 554 and so we shouldn't try 55% 54% 56% 57% 55% 54% 49% 54% 56% 60% 55% 53% 56% 61% 52% 42% 61% Ν 0 This is a serious problem that the 453 233 220 134 191 128 61 142 191 59 81 151 220 138 315 222 231 government needs to address 34% 37% 32% 37% 37% 30% 40% 32% 37% 29% 34% 40% 31% 33% 35% 55% 25% Ρ Ε Children of all ages need to be protected 221 128 93 59 93 68 21 79 93 27 45 73 102 57 164 115 106 from second-hand smoke when riding in cars and other private vehicles, and the exact age of that child does not matter

14%

18

12%

18%

43

10%

18%

47

9%

19%

23

10%

19%

44

12%

15%

54

8%

13%

25

6%

18%

M

96

11%

М

28%

Р

64

16%

Ρ

12%

57

6%

13%

13

7%



Small children need to be protected from

other private vehicles

second-hand smoke when riding in cars and