

SAFE INJECTIONS

3. Now to another topic. What's called a 'safe-injection site' currently operates in Vancouver where drug addicts can go to inject themselves with illegal narcotics in a controlled and monitored setting using clean needles and tools supplied by the facility. This is a legal site under an exemption to the federal drug law. Experts and panels have concluded that this type of centre saves lives. Do you think this type of centre in major urban cities like Vancouver is a good thing or a bad thing?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1000	808	192	77	191	359	361	163	292	416
Weighted Base	1000	783	217	123*	312	392	154	205	313	354
Good thing	550 55%	443 57%	107 50%	52 42%	171 55%	212 54%	106 69% CDE	114 56%	183 58%	195 55%
Bad thing	399 40%	300 38%	99 46%	58 47% F	130 41% F	161 41% F	42 27%	84 41%	115 37%	144 41%
Don't know/Refused	51 5%	41 5%	10 5%	13 11% DEF	12 4%	18 5%	7 4%	6 3%	16 5%	15 4%

SAFE INJECTIONS

4. Now, Canadian Health Minister Tony Clement has recently said that 'supervised injection is not medicine', and that the centre's exemption to the federal drug law should not be renewed when it expires on June 30, 2008. Do you think that the safe-injection site that operates in Vancouver should be permitted to remain open past June 30 by extending the federal drug law exemption, or should no extension to the exemption be sought and the facility closed down when its exemption to the federal drug law expires at the end of the month?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1000	808	192	77	191	359	361	163	292	416
Weighted Base	1000	783	217	123*	312	392	154	205	313	354
Extend exemption and keep it open	541 54%	439 56% B	101 47%	45 36%	170 54% C	219 56% C	101 66% CDE	103 50%	192 61% G	188 53%
No exemption and close it down	413 41%	308 39%	105 49% A	73 59% DEF	130 42% F	155 40% F	45 29%	95 46% H	109 35%	152 43%
Don't know/Refused	46 5%	36 5%	10 5%	5 4%	13 4%	18 5%	8 5%	7 3%	12 4%	15 4%

SAFE INJECTIONS

5. Do you think that the safe-injection site, which currently operates in Vancouver, should be expanded to other major cities in Canada?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F - G/H/I

* small base

		REGION TYPE		EDUCATION				INCOME		
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	<HS	HS	Post sec	University	<\$30K	\$30K-<\$60K	\$60K +
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Base: All Respondents										
Unweighted Base	1000	808	192	77	191	359	361	163	292	416
Weighted Base	1000	783	217	123*	312	392	154	205	313	354
Yes	496 50%	410 52% B	86 40%	46 37%	150 48%	203 52% C	91 59% CDE	101 49%	171 54%	174 49%
No	457 46%	337 43%	119 55% A	72 58% EF	151 48% F	169 43%	56 36%	95 46%	132 42%	164 46%
Don't know/Refused	47 5%	36 5%	11 5%	5 4%	11 4%	21 5%	7 5%	8 4%	11 4%	16 4%