

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (The economy) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: The economy												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	686 69%	81 61%	61 60%	37 57%	278 73% ABC	181 74% ABC	48 64%	187 67%	280 72%	213 66%	315 65%	371 72% J
Minor priority	274 27%	44 33% D	38 37% DE	26 39% DE	85 22%	58 24%	23 31%	81 29%	104 27%	88 27%	147 30%	127 25%
Really not a priority	27 3%	6 5%	2 2%	1 2%	13 3%	3 1%	2 3%	6 2%	6 2%	15 5% H	18 4%	9 2%
Don't know	14 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	7 2%	2 1%	1 2%	5 2%	1 0	8 3% H	4 1%	9 2%

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (Climate change) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Climate change												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	628	85	49	33	234	177	49	202	225	196	293	335
	63%	65% B	48%	51%	61% B	73% BCD	67% B	72% HI	57%	61%	60%	65%
Minor priority	288	38	41	23	115	53	18	66	141	80	143	146
	29%	29%	41% EF	35% E	30% E	22%	24%	24%	36% GI	25%	29%	28%
Really not a priority	75	9	11	9	31	11	4	9	26	41	44	31
	8%	7%	11% E	14% E	8%	5%	5%	3%	7%	13% GH	9%	6%
Don't know	10	0	1	0	4	2	3	2	0	7	5	5
	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	4% A	1%	-	2% H	1%	1%

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (Health care) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Health care												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	861	108	85	52	326	225	66	244	338	274	401	460
	86%	81%	83%	80%	85%	92% ABCD	89%	87%	86%	84%	83%	89% J
Minor priority	119	20	16	11	49	17	7	28	49	42	72	47
	12%	15% E	16% E	16% E	13% E	7%	9%	10%	13%	13%	15% K	9%
Really not a priority	18	5	2	2	8	2	0	7	4	7	9	9
	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Don't know	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
	0	-	-	1%	-	-	2% D	-	0	0	0	0

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (Crime) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Crime												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	662 66%	80 61%	83 81% ADE	48 74% D	230 60%	170 70% D	50 68%	167 60%	262 67%	230 71% G	308 63%	354 69%
Minor priority	294 29%	50 38% BCE	18 18%	14 22%	132 34% BE	60 25%	20 27%	100 36% I	117 30% I	75 23%	150 31%	144 28%
Really not a priority	39 4%	2 1%	0 -	3 4% B	19 5% B	12 5% B	2 3%	10 4%	12 3%	17 5%	22 5%	17 3%
Don't know	6 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	2 1%	1 0	1 2%	2 1%	0 -	3 1%	5 1%	1 0

Detailed tables

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (Poverty) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Poverty												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	713	98	66	42	254	196	57	214	279	216	307	406
	71%	74%	64%	65%	66%	80% BCD	77%	77% I	71%	67%	63%	79% J
Minor priority	250	31	33	21	107	43	14	60	101	89	154	96
	25%	24%	33% E	32% E	28% E	18%	19%	22%	26%	27%	32% K	19%
Really not a priority	32	2	3	2	19	4	1	3	11	17	18	13
	3%	1%	3%	3%	5% E	2%	2%	1%	3%	5% G	4%	3%
Don't know	7	1	0	0	3	1	1	2	1	3	5	1
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0	2%	1%	0	1%	1%	0

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (Afghanistan) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Afghanistan												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	456 46%	63 48%	55 54% E	34 53% E	176 46%	93 38%	34 46%	117 42%	170 43%	167 51% GH	193 40%	263 51% J
Minor priority	403 40%	55 42%	40 39%	19 30%	153 40%	111 45% C	24 32%	128 46% I	166 42% I	105 32%	217 45% K	186 36%
Really not a priority	124 12%	13 10%	6 6%	9 14%	46 12%	39 16% B	11 15%	31 11%	50 13%	43 13%	68 14%	56 11%
Don't know	18 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 3%	8 2%	1 0	5 6% AE	4 1%	5 1%	9 3%	7 1%	11 2%

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (Arctic Sovereignty) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Arctic Sovereignty												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	276 28%	37 28%	24 24%	17 26%	113 29%	68 28%	17 23%	62 22%	98 25%	112 35% GH	148 30%	129 25%
Minor priority	510 51%	67 51%	57 56%	40 61% D	182 47%	120 49%	44 59%	147 53%	208 53%	154 47%	249 51%	261 50%
Really not a priority	147 15%	23 17%	10 10%	7 11%	59 15%	41 17%	7 10%	52 18% I	62 16% I	32 10%	59 12%	88 17% J
Don't know	68 7%	5 4%	10 10%	1 2%	31 8%	15 6%	6 8%	19 7%	23 6%	26 8%	28 6%	39 8%

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

ar1. (Gas prices) Do you think this should be a major priority, a minor priority or really not a priority at all for the government to do?

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Gas prices												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Major priority	667 67%	80 61%	69 67%	41 63%	239 62%	185 76% AD	53 72%	182 65%	266 68%	214 66%	307 63%	360 70%
Minor priority	264 26%	44 33% E	24 23%	20 30%	115 30% E	45 19%	16 21%	80 29%	105 27%	78 24%	131 27%	132 26%
Really not a priority	60 6%	8 6%	8 7%	4 6%	26 7%	11 4%	3 4%	15 5%	19 5%	26 8%	40 8% K	20 4%
Don't know	11 1%	0 -	2 2%	0 -	3 1%	3 1%	2 3%	2 1%	2 0	6 2%	6 1%	5 1%

Detailed tables

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

Detailed tables

a2. In the coming years, climate change is expected to make the Arctic more accessible to commercial traffic and the exploration of more oil and gas reserves. Thinking about this, do you think the Canadian Government should...?

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Invest serious resources in asserting Canada's sovereignty in the north, such as by building new Coast Guard icebreakers, buying new surveilla	387	50	37	28	160	85	27	101	138	146	224	163
	39%	38%	37%	43%	42%	35%	37%	36%	35%	45% GH	46% K	32%
Try to work out an arrangement with the other nations to see if there is a way to accommodate everyone, even if it means Canada giving up some o	324	51	32	24	111	87	20	93	133	94	139	185
	32%	39%	31%	38%	29%	36%	27%	33%	34%	29%	29%	36% J
Really concentrate on other, more pressing issues because we only have limited resources to deal with this and now is not the time.	262	29	25	12	102	69	25	80	108	74	109	152
	26%	22%	25%	19%	26%	28%	34%	29%	28%	23%	23%	29% J
Don't know	28	2	8	1	12	4	2	5	13	10	12	17
	3%	2%	8% ADE	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

Detailed tables

ar3. Now, the Conservative Government under Prime Minister Harper has made defending Canada's sovereignty in the Arctic a key part of its agenda, and has committed to building a new Coast Guard ice breaker, as well as several smaller patrol vessels. However, critics point out that these commitments are significantly less than what the Conservatives had promised in the last election, including the addition of three new icebreakers for Canada's north. Do you believe that the Canadian Government should be doing more, less, or about as much as it is currently doing to

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
More	419 42%	53 40%	45 44%	23 35%	189 49%	84 34%	25 34%	115 41%	153 39%	148 46%	235 49%	184 36%
Less	120 12%	26 19%	7 7%	7 11%	43 11%	30 12%	7 9%	28 10%	53 14%	39 12%	52 11%	68 13%
About as much as it is currently doing	426 43%	50 38%	43 42%	34 53%	135 35%	126 52%	38 51%	123 44%	170 43%	131 40%	182 38%	243 47%
Don't know	36 4%	4 3%	6 6%	1 1%	17 4%	4 2%	4 6%	13 5%	16 4%	7 2%	14 3%	22 4%

Arctic Sovereignty Tables

Detailed tables

ar4. Which opinion is closer to your point of view? The arctic ecosystem is too fragile for the extraction of natural resources that threatens to destroy the sustainability of the ecosystem so we should leave it relatively untouched. The potential of uncovering vast reserves of natural resources is too great to pass up for Canada and that the ecosystem can be protected through careful regulation and supervision so we should push ahead

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

* small base

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents												
Unweighted Base	1001	131	104	65	370	260	71	206	440	350	486	515
Weighted Base	1001	132	102*	65*	383	244	74*	279	392	324	484	517
Leave it relatively untouched	574	68	62	35	241	122	46	185	222	164	243	331
	57%	51%	61%	54%	63%	50%	63%	66%	57%	51%	50%	64%
Push ahead	402	61	37	30	132	118	24	93	159	147	234	168
	40%	46%	36%	46%	34%	48%	32%	33%	41%	45%	48%	32%
Don't know	26	4	3	0	11	4	4	2	10	13	8	18
	3%	3%	3%	-	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%