

**T8. The next Toronto Municipal election in the fall of 2010. Even though it is just over a year before it takes place, which do you believe should happen?**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.*
Mayor David Miller deserves to be re-elected and continue to lead the city	92 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	92 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
It's time someone else was chosen as Mayor to lead the City	351 79%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	351 79%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

**T9\_1. (I am optimistic that the city will be able to rebound over the next year from the recent economic downturn) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
I am optimistic that the city will be able to rebound over the next year from the recent economic downturn													
(4) Strongly agree	89 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	89 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	223 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	223 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	101 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	101 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	29 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	29 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	312 71%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	312 71%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	131 30%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	131 30%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9 2. (This city is not a good place to live during the economic downturn, and I am exploring moving elsewhere for employment) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
This city is not a good place to live during the economic downturn, and I am exploring moving elsewhere for employment													
(4) Strongly agree	18 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	18 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	48 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	48 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	172 39%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	172 39%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	204 46%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	204 46%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	66 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	66 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	377 85%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	377 85%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.7	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_3. (Housing prices will rise over the next year) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
Housing prices will rise over the next year													
(4) Strongly agree	113 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	113 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	268 60%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	268 60%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	57 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	57 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	381 86%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	381 86%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	62 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	62 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_4. (Housing costs-rental or owning-are affordable in Toronto) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
Housing costs-rental or owning-are affordable in Toronto													
(4) Strongly agree	10 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	161 36%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	161 36%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	95 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	95 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	348 79%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	348 79%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_5. (Toronto is too expensive a city to live in) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
Toronto is too expensive a city to live in													
(4) Strongly agree	120 27%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	120 27%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	224 51%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	224 51%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	81 18%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	81 18%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	18 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	18 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	344 78%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	344 78%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	99 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	99 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_6. (I have considered moving to a less expensive place) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
I have considered moving to a less expensive place													
(4) Strongly agree	106 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	106 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	118 27%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	118 27%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	102 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	102 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	117 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	117 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	224 51%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	224 51%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	219 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	219 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_7. (Toronto's citizens have a good life/work balance) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
Toronto's citizens have a good life/work balance													
(4) Strongly agree	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	250 57%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	250 57%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	56 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	56 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	277 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	277 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	166 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	166 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.6	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



**T9\_8. (I participate in my own city like a tourist by regularly attending festivals, events and attractions) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg F	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax I	Newfoundland J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
I participate in my own city like a tourist by regularly attending festivals, events and attractions													
(4) Strongly agree	136 31%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	136 31%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	165 37%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	165 37%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	95 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	95 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	47 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	47 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	301 68%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	301 68%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	142 32%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	142 32%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_9. (I don't like my neighborhood and would prefer to live somewhere else in the city) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg F	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax I	Newfoundland J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
I don't like my neighborhood and would prefer to live somewhere else in the city													
(4) Strongly agree	40 9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	40 9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	47 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	47 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	154 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	154 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	202 46%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	202 46%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	87 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	87 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	356 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	356 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_10. (City Hall should do all that it can to reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg F	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax I	Newfoundland J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
City Hall should do all that it can to reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel													
(4) Strongly agree	131 30%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	131 30%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	167 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	167 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	82 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	82 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	63 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	63 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	298 67%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	298 67%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	145 33%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	145 33%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_11. (The Gardiner Expressway near the lake should not be maintained as it is-it should be torn down and alternatives considered) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
The Gardiner Expressway near the lake should not be maintained as it is-it should be torn down and alternatives considered													
(4) Strongly agree	90 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	90 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	97 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	97 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	128 29%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	128 29%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	128 29%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	128 29%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	256 58%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	256 58%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0

**T9\_top. (Top2box Summary) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
I am optimistic that the city will be able to rebound over the next year from the recent economic downturn	312 71%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	312 71%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
This city is not a good place to live during the economic downturn, and I am exploring moving elsewhere for employment	66 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	66 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Housing prices will rise over the next year	381 86%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	381 86%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Housing costs-rental or owning-are affordable in Toronto	95 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	95 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Toronto is too expensive a city to live in	344 78%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	344 78%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
I have considered moving to a less expensive place	224 51%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	224 51%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Toronto's citizens have a good life/work balance	277 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	277 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
I participate in my own city like a tourist by regularly attending festivals, events and attractions	301 68%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	301 68%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
I don't like my neighborhood and would prefer to live somewhere else in the city	87 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	87 20%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
City Hall should do all that it can to reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel	298 67%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	298 67%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The Gardiner Expressway near the lake should not be maintained as it is-it should be torn down and alternatives considered	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

**T9\_low. (Low2box Summary) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
I am optimistic that the city will be able to rebound over the next year from the recent economic downturn	131 30%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	131 30%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
This city is not a good place to live during the economic downturn, and I am exploring moving elsewhere for employment	377 85%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	377 85%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Housing prices will rise over the next year	62 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	62 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Housing costs-rental or owning-are affordable in Toronto	348 79%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	348 79%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Toronto is too expensive a city to live in	99 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	99 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
I have considered moving to a less expensive place	219 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	219 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Toronto's citizens have a good life/work balance	166 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	166 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
I participate in my own city like a tourist by regularly attending festivals, events and attractions	142 32%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	142 32%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
I don't like my neighborhood and would prefer to live somewhere else in the city	356 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	356 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
City Hall should do all that it can to reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel	145 33%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	145 33%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The Gardiner Expressway near the lake should not be maintained as it is-it should be torn down and alternatives considered	256 58%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	256 58%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

**T10. From the following list, what is the single best thing about living in Toronto?**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
My neighbourhood	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0	0
	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	-
The people	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	-
The location	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	0	0	0	0	0
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	-
The food	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0
	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	-
The shopping	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	-
The culture	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	-
The nightlife	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-
Career/job	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0
	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	-	-	-	-	-

**T11. And which of the following neighbourhoods is your favourite to relax or have a good time?**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
Entertainment District	54 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	54 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Queen West	14 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	14 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Yonge and Bloor	56 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	56 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Yonge and Eglinton	25 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	25 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
North York Centre	43 10%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	43 10%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
St. Lawrence Market	55 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	55 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Kensington Market	24 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	24 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The Beach	100 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	100 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Queen East	13 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	13 3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The Danforth	58 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	58 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -



**T12\_1. (speed of travel) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
speed of travel													
(4) Very good	64 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	64 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	297 67%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	297 67%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	47 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	47 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	35 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	35 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	361 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	361 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	82 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	82 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T12\_2. (frequency of service) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
frequency of service													
(4) Very good	62 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	62 14%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	265 60%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	265 60%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	94 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	94 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	22 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	22 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	327 74%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	327 74%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	116 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	116 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T12\_3. (route coverage throughout the city) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
route coverage throughout the city													
(4) Very good	102 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	102 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	250 56%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	250 56%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	65 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	65 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	352 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	352 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	91 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	91 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T12\_4. (directness of routes) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	**	**	**	**	**	**	443	**	**	**	**	**
directness of routes													
(4) Very good	77 18%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	77 18%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	281 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	281 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	68 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	68 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	17 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	17 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	358 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	358 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T12\_5. (reliability of service) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
reliability of service													
(4) Very good	42 9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	42 9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	279 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	279 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	71 16%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	71 16%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	51 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	51 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.7	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T12\_6. (ample room for passengers to get a seat) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
ample room for passengers to get a seat													
(4) Very good	25 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	25 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	203 46%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	203 46%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	148 34%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	148 34%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	66 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	66 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	229 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	229 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	214 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	214 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.4	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T12\_7. (safety from personal injury or crime) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
safety from personal injury or crime													
(4) Very good	51 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	51 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	282 64%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	282 64%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	68 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	68 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	42 9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	42 9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T12\_8. (courtesy of the operators/drivers/staff) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
courtesy of the operators/drivers/staff													
(4) Very good	46 10%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	46 10%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Good	241 55%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	241 55%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Poor	96 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	96 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Very poor	59 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	59 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	287 65%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	287 65%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	156 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	156 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.6	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



**T12\_top. (Top2box Summary) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
speed of travel	361 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	361 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
frequency of service	327 74%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	327 74%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
route coverage throughout the city	352 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	352 80%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
directness of routes	358 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	358 81%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
reliability of service	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
ample room for passengers to get a seat	229 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	229 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
safety from personal injury or crime	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
courtesy of the operators/drivers/staff	287 65%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	287 65%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

**T12\_low. (Low2box Summary) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg F	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax I	Newfoundland J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
speed of travel	82 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	82 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
frequency of service	116 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	116 26%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
route coverage throughout the city	91 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	91 21%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
directness of routes	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
reliability of service	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
ample room for passengers to get a seat	214 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	214 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
safety from personal injury or crime	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
courtesy of the operators/drivers/staff	156 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	156 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

**T13. As you know, Toronto city workers (including garbage collectors) were recently on strike and was settled after roughly five weeks. Has your impression of David Miller improved, worsened or stayed the same as a result of how he handled the strike?**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
Improved	21 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	21 5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Worsened	316 71%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	316 71%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Stayed the same	106 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	106 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

**T14\_1. (While I was inconvenienced by the strike, we got along just fine) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
While I was inconvenienced by the strike, we got along just fine													
(4) Strongly agree	153 34%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	153 34%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	181 41%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	181 41%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	80 18%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	80 18%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	30 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	30 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T14\_2. (City workers were not being selfish to strike at a time when many were being laid off or wages frozen) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg F	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax I	Newfoundland J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
City workers were not being selfish to strike at a time when many were being laid off or wages frozen													
(4) Strongly agree	19 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	19 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	53 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	53 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	286 65%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	286 65%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	72 16%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	72 16%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	371 84%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	371 84%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.6	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T14\_3. (We should get rid of the unions at city hall and contract as much out to private contractors) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

City													
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg F	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax I	Newfoundland J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
We should get rid of the unions at city hall and contract as much out to private contractors													
(4) Strongly agree	166 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	166 38%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	154 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	154 35%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	74 17%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	74 17%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	49 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	49 11%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T14\_4. (The Taxpayers and the city were the winners in the final outcome, not the Unions) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg F	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax I	Newfoundland J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
The Taxpayers and the city were the winners in the final outcome, not the Unions													
(4) Strongly agree	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	76 17%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	76 17%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	141 32%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	141 32%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	199 45%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	199 45%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	103 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	103 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	340 77%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	340 77%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**T14\_5. (Mayor David Miller is a great leader who is right for Toronto) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
Mayor David Miller is a great leader who is right for Toronto													
(4) Strongly agree	34 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	34 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	75 17%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	75 17%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	111 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	111 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	223 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	223 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	109 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	109 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	334 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	334 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



**T14\_6. (The strike should have continued for as long as it took to eliminate banked sick days for existing and future workers regardless of the impact on the city) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

	Total	City											
		Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
The strike should have continued for as long as it took to eliminate banked sick days for existing and future workers regardless of the impact on the city													
(4) Strongly agree	128 29%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	128 29%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	108 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	108 24%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	122 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	122 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Summary													
Top2 Box	213 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	213 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bot2 Box	230 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	230 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0

**T14\_top. (Top2box Summary) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
While I was inconvenienced by the strike, we got along just fine	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
City workers were not being selfish to strike at a time when many were being laid off or wages frozen	72 16%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	72 16%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
We should get rid of the unions at city hall and contract as much out to private contractors	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	320 72%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The Taxpayers and the city were the winners in the final outcome, not the Unions	103 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	103 23%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mayor David Miller is a great leader who is right for Toronto	109 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	109 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The strike should have continued for as long as it took to eliminate banked sick days for existing and future workers, regardless of the impact on the city/residents	213 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	213 48%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

**T14\_low. (Low2box Summary) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:**

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

		City											
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundland	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	443	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**
While I was inconvenienced by the strike, we got along just fine	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
City workers were not being selfish to strike at a time when many were being laid off or wages frozen	371 84%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	371 84%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
We should get rid of the unions at city hall and contract as much out to private contractors	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	123 28%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The Taxpayers and the city were the winners in the final outcome, not the Unions	340 77%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	340 77%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mayor David Miller is a great leader who is right for Toronto	334 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	334 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
The strike should have continued for as long as it took to eliminate banked sick days for existing and future workers, regardless of the impact on the city/residents	230 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	230 52%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -