Detailed tables

T8. The next Toronto Municipal election in the fall of 2010. Even though it is just over a year before it takes place, which do you believe should happen?

							Ci	ity					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	_**	_**	-**	_**	_**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	_**
Mayor David Miller deserves to be re-	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	0	0	0	0	0
elected and continue to lead the city	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	21%	-	-	-	-	-
It's time someone else was chosen as	351	0	0	0	0	0	0	351	0	0	0	0	0
Mayor to lead the City	79%	-	-	-	-	-	-	79%	-	-	-	-	-

T9_1. (I am optimistic that the city will be able to rebound over the next year from the recent economic downturn) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) -	A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J	- rv. L very small	base (under 30) me	ingible for sig testi	ily		_	te .					
							C	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0			0	0			0
Weighted	443	-**	_**	_**	_**	_**	_**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	_**
I am optimistic that the city will be able to)												
rebound over the next year from the recent economic downturn													
(4) Strongly agree	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	89	0	0	0	0	(
	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	223	0	0	0	0	0	0	223	0	0	0	0	(
•	50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	50%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Somewhat disagree	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	101	0	0	0	0	C
.,	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0
(,)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	312	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	(
	71%	-	-	-	-	-	-	71%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	0	0	0	0	(
	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Err.	0		0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	(

T9_2. (This city is not a good place to live during the economic downturn, and I am exploring moving elsewhere for employment) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A	I BI OI BI EII I OII IIII O	TOE VETY SITIALITY	base (under 50) ine	ilgibic for sig testif	19		Cit	2/					
		Metro			1		Cii	.y 			Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total		Edmonton.	0-1	011	D'	\A(':-:-::	T	Mantanal	L La Pface		Ot labada	
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	l l	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0		0		0		443	0	0		0	
Weighted	443	_**	-**	_**	-**	_**	-**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	-**
This city is not a good place to live during the economic downturn, and I am exploring moving elsewhere for employment													
(4) Strongly agree	18 4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	18 4%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	48 11%	0	0 -	0	0	0 -	0 -	48 11%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -
(2) Somewhat disagree	172 39%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	172 39%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	204 46%	0	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	204 46%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -
Summary									<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Top2 Box	66 15%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	66 15%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -
Bot2 Box	377 85%	0 -	0 -	0	0	0 -	0 -	377 85%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -
Mean	1.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.7	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T9_3. (Housing prices will rise over the next year) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	
Weighted	443	_**	-**	_**	-**	_**	_**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	-*
lousing prices will rise over the next yea	r												
(4) Strongly agree	113	0	0	0	0	0	0	113	0	0	0	0	
	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	26%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	268	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	60%	-	-	-	-	-	-	60%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Somewhat disagree	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	0	0	0	0	
	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	381	0	0	0	0	0	0	381	0	0	0	0	
	86%	-	-	-	-	-	-	86%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	
	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.1	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	

T9_4. (Housing costs-rental or owning-are affordable in Toronto) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							С	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0	0		0			0	
Weighted	443	_**	-**	_**	-**	-**	-**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	_*
Housing costs-rental or owning-are affordable in Toronto													
(4) Strongly agree	10 2%	0	0 -	0	0	0	0	10 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	
(3) Somewhat agree	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	85 19%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	(
(2) Somewhat disagree	161 36%	0	0 -	0 -	0	0	0 -	161 36%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	(
(1) Strongly disagree	187 42%	0	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	
Summary			,						<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Top2 Box	95 22%	0	0 -	0	0	0 -	0	95 22%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	(
Bot2 Box	348 79%	0	0 -	0	0	0	0	348 79%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	(
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(

T9_5. (Toronto is too expensive a city to live in) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements: Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St John's
Dane: All Taranta record dente	142	A 0	В	C 0	D	E 0	·	G 442	Н	0	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	-		_**	- 1	_**		443	0	_**		0	
Weighted	443	-**	-""	-**	-""	<u></u>	-**	443			-***		
oronto is too expensive a city to live in													
(4) Strongly agree	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	0	0	0	0	
	27%	-	-	-	-	-	-	27%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	224	0	0	0	0	0	0	224	0	0	0	0	
	51%	-	-	-	-	-	-	51%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Somewhat disagree	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	0	0	0	0	
	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	18%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	
(, 0, 0	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	
ummary													
Top2 Box	344	0	0	0	0	0	0	344	0	0	0	0	
	78%	-	-	-	-	-	-	78%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	99	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	0	0	0	
	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	İ

T9_6. (I have considered moving to a less expensive place) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0		443	0	0			
Weighted	443	-**	_**	-**	-**	_**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	*
have considered moving to a less													
expensive place													
(4) Strongly agree	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	106	0	0	0	0	
	24%	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	118	0	0	0	0	0	0	118	0	0	0	0	
.,	27%	-	-	-	-	-	-	27%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Somewhat disagree	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	0	0	0	0	
	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	0	0	0	0	
	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	26%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	224	0	0	0	0	0	0	224	0	0	0	0	
	51%	-	-	-	-	-	-	51%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	219	0	0	0	0	0	0	219	0	0	0	0	
	50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	50%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0.1	0		0		0		0.1	0	0			

T9_7. (Toronto's citizens have a good life/work balance) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0		0		0		443	0	0		0	
Weighted	443	-**	_**	_**	-**	_**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	'
Foronto's citizens have a good life/work palance	 :												
(4) Strongly agree	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	26 6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	
(3) Somewhat agree	250 57%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	250 57%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
(2) Somewhat disagree	110 25%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	110 25%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
(1) Strongly disagree	56 13%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	56 13%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
Summary								,	<u> </u>				
Top2 Box	277 63%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	277 63%	0 -	0	0 -	0	(
Bot2 Box	166 38%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	166 38%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
Mean	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.6	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

T9_8. (I participate in my own city like a tourist by regularly attending festivals, events and attractions) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							С	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver A	Edmonton B	Calgary C	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg	Toronto G	Montreal H	Halifax	Newfoundlan d J	St. John's K	Outside St. John's
Base: All Toronto respondents	443		0	0		0	0	-	0	0	-		L
Weighted	443		- 1	_**		_**			_**				l
Weighted	443	-	-	-	-		-	443	-	-	-	-	-
participate in my own city like a tourist b regularly attending festivals, events and attractions	y												
(4) Strongly agree	136	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	31%	-	-	-	-	-	-	31%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	165	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	37%	-	-	-	-	-	-	37%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Somewhat disagree	95	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	
	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	301	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	68%	-	-	-	-	-	-	68%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	142	0	0	0	0	
	32%	-	-	-	-	-	-	32%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	l
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

T9_9. (I don't like my neighborhood and would prefer to live somewhere else in the city) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - Av		,		3			С	ity					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	_**
I don't like my neighborhood and would prefer to live somewhere else in the city													
(4) Strongly agree	40	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	-	-	-	-	-
(3) Somewhat agree	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	0
.,,	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	-	-	-	-	-
(2) Somewhat disagree	154	0	0	0	0	0	0	154	0	0	0	0	0
(2) comewhat disagree	35%	-	-	-	-	-	_	35%	-	-	-	-	-
(1) Strongly disagree	202	0	0	0	0	0	0	202	0	0	0	0	0
	46%	-	-	-	-	-	-	46%	-	-	-	-	-
Summary													
Top2 Box	87	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	-	-	-	-	-
Bot2 Box	356	0	0	0	0	0	0	356	0	0	0	0	0
	80%	-	-	-	-	-	-	80%	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	C
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	C
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C

T9_10. (City Hall should do all that it can to reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Total Vancouver Edmonton Calgary Saskatoon Regina Winnipeg Toronto Montreal Halifax Newfoundland A St.	,	ans: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/C	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , ,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Ci	tv					
State California Californ	Metr		Metro		1				-,	1		Newfoundlan		Outside St.
A B C D E F G H I J September Septem		Tota		dmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winninea	Toronto	Montreal			St. John's	John's
Base: All Toronto respondents	1	1000									I	-	K	L
Weighted		Toronto respondents			-			0	-		0	-		
reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel (4) Strongly agree		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_**								
reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel (4) Strongly agree		<u> </u>			,					<u>'</u>				
reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel (4) Strongly agree														
Commany Comm														
(4) Strongly agree 131 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
30% - - - - - 30% - - -														
(3) Somewhat agree			0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
C2 Somewhat disagree	30%		-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	-	-	-	-	-
C2 Somewhat disagree														
Common C			0	0	0	0	0	0	I	0	0	0	0	0
19% 19%	38%		-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	-	-	-	-	-
19% 19%														
Column C			0	0	0	0	0	0	I	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	19%		-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	-
Mean														
Summary Top2 Box 298 0 0 0 0 0 0 298 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0	0	0	0	0	0	I	0	0	0	0	0
Top2 Box 298 67% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14%		-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	-	-	-	-	-
Top2 Box 298 67% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 298 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
Bot2 Box 145 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 145 0 0 0 0 Mean 2.8 0 0 0 0 0 0 145 0 0 0 0 0						- 1		- 1						
Bot2 Box 145 0 0 0 0 0 0 145 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
33%	67%		-	-	-	-	-	-	67%	-	-	-	-	-
33%	145													
Mean 2.8 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.8 0 0 0			O	O	٥	o	U	٥	I	U	Ü	U	0	0
	33%		-	-	-	-	-	-	33%	-	-	-	-	
	2.0		0	0	0	0	0	0	2.0	0	0	0	0	
Std Dev 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	2.0		٥	٥	U	U	U	۷	2.8	۷	U		U	
	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				-		0								

T9_11. (The Gardiner Expressway near the lake should not be maintained as it is-it should be torn down and alternatives considered) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							Ci	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0		443	0	0		0	C
Weighted	443	_**	-**	-**	-**	_**	-**	443	_**	_**	-**	_**	_**
The Gardiner Expressway near the lake should not be maintained as it is-it should be torn down and alternatives considered													
(4) Strongly agree	90 20%	0	0 -	0	0 -	0	0	90 20%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
(3) Somewhat agree	97 22%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	97 22%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
(2) Somewhat disagree	128 29%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	128 29%	0 -	0	0 -	0	(
(1) Strongly disagree	128 29%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	128 29%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
Summary													-
Top2 Box	187 42%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0	187 42%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
Bot2 Box	256 58%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	256 58%	0 -	0	0 -	0	(
Mean	2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Dev.	1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Err.	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	

T9_top. (Top2box Summary) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
	Total	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443		0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0		0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	-**
·													
I am optimistic that the city will be able	312	0	0	0	0	0	0	312	0	0	0	0	0
to rebound over the next year from the	71%	-	-	-	-	-	-	71%	-	-	-	-	-
recent economic downturn													
This city is not a good place to live													
during the economic downturn, and I	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	0
am exploring moving elsewhere for	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	-
employment													
Housing prices will rise over the next	381	0	0	0	0	0	0	381	0	0	0	0	0
year	86%	-	-	-	-	-	-	86%	-	-	-	-	-
								-					
Housing costs-rental or owning-are	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	0	0	0	0	0
affordable in Toronto	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	-	-	-	-	-
Toronto is too expensive a city to live in	344	0	0	0	0	0	0	344	0	0	0	0	0
Toronto is too expensive a city to live in	78%	١	ا	U	ا	U	ا	78%	ا	U	ا	U	١
	1070	_	-	-]	-	-	7078]	_]	_	_
I have considered moving to a less	224	0	0	0	0	0	0	224	0	0	0	0	0
expensive place	51%	-	-	-	-	-	-	51%	_	-	-	-	_
Toronto's citizens have a good life/work	277	0	0	0	0	0	0	277	0	0	0	0	0
balance	63%	-	-	-	-	-	-	63%	-	-	-	-	-
I participate in my own city like a tourist	301	0	0	0	0	0	0	301	0	0	0	0	0
by regularly attending festivals, events	68%	-	-	-	-	-	-	68%	-	-	-	-	-
and attractions													
I don't like my neighborhood and would	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	87	0	0	0	0	0
prefer to live somewhere else in the	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	-	-	-	-	-
city													
City Hall should do all that it can to	298	0	0	0	0	0	0	298	0	0	0	0	0
reduce cars in the city and do more to	67%	-	-	-	-	-	-	67%	-	-	-	-	-
encourage alternate methods of travel													
The Gardiner Expressway near the													
lake should not be maintained as it is-it	187	0	0	0	0	0	0	187	0	0	0	0	0
should be torn down and alternatives	42%	-	-	-	-	-	-	42%	-	-	-	-	-
considered													

T9_low. (Low2box Summary) T9 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
·		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0		443	0	0	0		
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	_**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	-**	-**
I am optimistic that the city will be able	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	0	0	0	0	0
to rebound over the next year from the recent economic downturn	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	-	-	-	-	-
This city is not a good place to live													
during the economic downturn, and I	377	0	0	0	0	0	0	377	0	0	0	0	0
am exploring moving elsewhere for employment	85%	-	-	-	-	-	-	85%	-	-	-	-	-
Housing prices will rise over the next	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	0
year	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	-	-	-	-	-
Housing costs-rental or owning-are	348	0	0	0	0	0	0	348	0	0	0	0	0
affordable in Toronto	79%	-	-	-	-	-	-	79%	-	-	-	-	-
Toronto is too expensive a city to live in	99	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	0	0	0	0
	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	-	-	-	-	-
I have considered moving to a less	219	0	0	0	0	0	0	219	0	0	0	0	0
expensive place	50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	50%	-	-	-	-	-
Toronto's citizens have a good life/work	166	0	0	0	0	0	0	166	0	0	0	0	0
balance	38%	-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	-	-	-	-	-
I participate in my own city like a tourist	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	142	0	0	0	0	0
by regularly attending festivals, events and attractions	32%	-	-	-	-	-	-	32%	-	-	-	-	-
I don't like my neighborhood and would	356	0	0	0	0	0	0	356	0	0	0	0	0
prefer to live somewhere else in the city	80%	-	-	-	-	-	-	80%	-	-	-	-	-
City Hall should do all that it can to	145	0	0	0	0	0	0	145	0	0	0	0	0
reduce cars in the city and do more to encourage alternate methods of travel	33%	-	-	-	-	-	-	33%	-	-	-	-	-
The Gardiner Expressway near the													
lake should not be maintained as it is-it	256	0	0	0	0	0	0	256	0	0	o	0	0
should be torn down and alternatives considered	58%	-	-	-	-	-	-	58%	-	-	-	-	-

T10. From the following list, what is the single best thing about living in Toronto?

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	l l	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443			0		0		443	0	0	1	0	
Weighted	443	_**	-**	_**	-**	_**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	_*
My neighbourhood	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0	(
	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	
The people	84		0	0	0	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	(
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	
The location	86		0	0	0	0	0	86	0	0	0	0	
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	
The food	17		0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	(
	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	
The shopping	25		0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	
The culture	100		0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	
The nightlife	5		0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	
Career/job	59		0	0	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	
	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	-	-	-	-	

T11. And which of the following neighbourhoods is your favourite to relax or have a good time?

							Cit	ty					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443			0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	C
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
Entertainment District	54		0	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	(
	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	-	-	
Queen West	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	
Queen 11001	3%		-	-	_	-		3%	-	-	-	-	,
	0,0							070					
Yonge and Bloor	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	0	0	0	0	(
	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	-	-	-	-	
Yonge and Eglinton	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	(
rongo ana <u>L</u> gton	6%		-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	
North York Centre	43		0	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	(
	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	-	-	
St. Lawrence Market	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	0	0	0	0	(
	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	-	-	
Kensington Market	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	(
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	
The Beach	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	(
2546	23%		-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	,
Queen East	13		0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	(
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	
The Danforth	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	(
	13%		-	-	-	-	-	13%	-	-	-	-	

T12_1. (speed of travel) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							С	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	T T	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	-**	_**	443	_**	-**	_**	_**	
peed of travel													
(4) Very good	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	
	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	297	0	0	0	0	0	0	297	0	0	0	0	
	67%	-	-	-	-	-	-	67%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	
	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	
	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	361	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	81%	-	-	-	-	-	-	81%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0	

T12_2. (frequency of service) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside S John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0		0		0		443	0	0		0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	
requency of service													
(4) Very good	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	
	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	265	0	0	0	0	0	0	265	0	0	0	0	
	60%	-	-	-	-	-	-	60%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	0	0	0	0	
	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	21%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	
	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	327	0	0	0	0	0	0	327	0	0	0	0	
	74%	-	-	-	-	-	-	74%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	116	0	0	0	0	0	0	116	0	0	0	0	
	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	26%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0	0		0		0	- 1	0	0	0		0	

T12_3. (route coverage throughout the city) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0		0		0	0	443	0	0			С
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	_**	_**	443	_**	_**	-**	_**	_*:
oute coverage throughout the city													
(4) Very good	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	0	0	0	0	(
	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	250	0	0	0	0	0	0	250	0	0	0	0	(
. ,	56%	-	-	-	-	-	-	56%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	(
. ,	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	(
., .,	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	
ummary													
Top2 Box	352	0	0	0	0	0	0	352	0	0	0	0	(
	80%	-	-	-	-	-	-	80%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	91	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	0	0	0	0	(
	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	21%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Err.	0	0		0		0		0	0	0			(

T12_4. (directness of routes) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							С	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443		0	0		0			0	0		0	l
Weighted	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	-**	_**	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	
irectness of routes													
(4) Very good	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	
(, , ,)	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	18%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	281	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 - 1	0	0	0	0	
	63%	-	-	-	-	-	-	63%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	
	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	I I	0	0	0	0	
	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													l
Top2 Box	358	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	81%	-	-	-	-	-	-	81%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	0	0	0	0	
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	-	0	

T12_5. (reliability of service) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	l l	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443			0		0		443		0		0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	_**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	
eliability of service													
(4) Very good	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	
	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	279	0	0	0	0	0	0	279	0	0	0	0	
,	63%	-	-	-	-	-	-	63%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	0	0	0	
,	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	0	0	0	0	
	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	320	0	0	0	0	0	0	320	0	0	0	0	
	72%	-	-	-	-	-	-	72%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	
	28%	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.7	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

T12_6. (ample room for passengers to get a seat) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							С	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside S John's
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443			0		0	0		0	0	0	0	I
Weighted	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	_**	_**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	
mple room for passengers to get a seat													
(4) Very good	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	203	0	0	0	0	0	0	203	0	0	0	0	
	46%	-	-	-	-	-	-	46%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	148	0	0	0	0	0	0	148	0	0	0	0	
	34%	-	-	-	-	-	-	34%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	
ummary	_												
Top2 Box	229	0	0	0	0	0	0	229	0	0	0	0	
	52%	-	-	-	-	-	-	52%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	214	0	0	0	0	0	0	214	0	0	0	0	
	48%	-	-	-	-	-	-	48%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.4	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0			0		-	0		0	0		0	

T12_7. (safety from personal injury or crime) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							С	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	T T	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443			0		0	0			0		0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	_**	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	_*
afety from personal injury or crime													
(4) Very good	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	0	0	0	0	
	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	282	0	0	0	0	0	0	282	0	0	0	0	
	64%	-	-	-	-	-	-	64%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	(
	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	(
	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	333	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	(
	75%	-	-	-	-	-	-	75%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	(
	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0		0	0			0	- 1	0	

T12_8. (courtesy of the operators/drivers/staff) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							С	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside S John's
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0	0	443	0	0	0	0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	_**	_**	443	-**	_**	_**	_**	
ourtesy of the operators/drivers/staff													
(4) Very good	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	
	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Good	241	0	0	0	0	0	0	241	0	0	0	0	
	55%	-	-	-	-	-	-	55%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Poor	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	
	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Very poor	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	
	13%		-	-	-	-	-	13%	-	-	-	-	
ummary													
Top2 Box	287	0	0	0	0	0	0	287	0	0	0	0	
	65%	-	-	-	-	-	-	65%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	156	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	0	0	0	0	
	35%	-	-	-	-	-	-	35%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.6	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0		-	0	- 1	-	0		0	0		0	

T12_top. (Top2box Summary) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	- 1	0		0		443	0	0		0	(
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	-**	_*:
speed of travel	361	0	0	0	0	0	0	361	0	0	0	0	С
	81%	-	-	-	-	-	-	81%	-	-	-	-	
frequency of service	327	0	0	0	0	0	0	327	0	0	0	0	(
	74%	-	-	-	-	-	-	74%	-	-	-	-	
route coverage throughout the city	352		0	0	0	0	0	352	0	0	0	0	(
	80%	-	-	-	-	-	-	80%	-	-	-	-	
directness of routes	358		0	0	0	0	0	358	0	0	0	0	(
	81%	-	-	-	-	-	-	81%	-	-	-	-	
reliability of service	320		0	0	0	0	0	320	0	0	0	0	(
	72%	-	-	-	-	-	-	72%	-	-	-	-	
ample room for passengers to get a	229		0	0	0	0	0	229	0	0	0	0	(
seat	52%	-	-	-	-	-	-	52%	-	-	-	-	
safety from personal injury or crime	333		0	0	0	0	0	333	0	0	0	0	(
	75%	-	-	-	-	-	-	75%	-	-	-	-	
courtesy of the operators/drivers/staff	287	0	0	0	0	0	0	287	0	0	0	0	(
	65%	-	-	-	-	-	-	65%	-	-	-	-	

T12_low. (Low2box Summary) T12. Now, based on your own experience or on your general impressions of public transit (the TTC), how would you rate the following aspects of the service:

							Ci	ty					
	Tatal	Metro	Edmonton	Calmani	Caskataan	Danina	10/::	Tananta	Mantagal	Halifax	Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton B	Calgary	Saskatoon D	Regina E	Winnipeg	Toronto G	Montreal H	нашах	d	St. John's	John's
Dece All Terrorts many death	440	A		С			·			1	J		L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443		-	0		0 _**		443	0	0	-	0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	-**	-**	443	_**	_**	-**	_**	-*
speed of travel	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	
	19%		-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	
frequency of service	116		0	0	0	0	0	116	0	0	0	0	(
	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	26%	-	-	-	-	
route coverage throughout the city	91		0	0	0	0	0	91	0	0	0	0	
	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	21%	-	-	-	-	
directness of routes	85		0	0	0	0	0	85	0	0	0	0	(
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	
reliability of service	123		0	0	0	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	(
	28%	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	-	-	-	-	
ample room for passengers to get a	214		0	0	0	0	0	214	0	0	0	0	(
seat	48%	-	-	-	-	-	-	48%	-	-	-	-	
safety from personal injury or crime	110		0	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	(
	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	
courtesy of the operators/drivers/staff	156		0	0	0	0	0	156	0	0	0	0	
	35%	-	-	-	-	-	-	35%	-	-	-	-	

Detailed tables

T13. As you know, Toronto city workers (including garbage collectors) were recently on strike and was settled after roughly five weeks. Has your impression of David Miller improved, worsened or stayed the same as a result of how he handled the strike?

							Ci	ity					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**
			·										
Improved	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0
	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-
Worsened	316	0	0	0	0	0	0	316	0	0	0	0	0
	71%	-	-	-	-	-	-	71%	-	-	-	-	-
Stayed the same	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	106	0	0	0	0	0
	24%	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	-	-	-	-	-

T14_1. (While I was inconvenienced by the strike, we got along just fine) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements: Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J - K/L ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

							Ci	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0		0		0		443	0	0			
Weighted	443	-**	_**	_**	-**	_**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	
While I was inconvenienced by the strik	e,												
ve got along just fine													
(4) Strongly agree	153 34%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	153 34%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	
(3) Somewhat agree	181 41%	0	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	181 41%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	
(2) Somewhat disagree	80 18%	0	0	0 -	0	0 -	0	80 18%	0 -	0	0 -	0	
(1) Strongly disagree	30 7%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	30 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	
Summary													l .
Top2 Box	333 75%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	333 75%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	
Bot2 Box	110 25%	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	110 25%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

T14_2. (City workers were not being selfish to strike at a time when many were being laid off or wages frozen) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A		,	(· s		С	ity					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0		443	0	0			0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	_**	_**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	_**
City workers were not being selfish to strike at a time when many were being													
laid off or wages frozen													
(4) Strongly agree	19 4%	0	0 -	0	0	0	0 -	19 4%	0	0	0 -	0	0 -
(3) Somewhat agree	53 12%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	53 12%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0
(2) Somewhat disagree	85 19%	0	0 -	0 -	0	0	0 -	85 19%	0	0	0 -	0	0 -
(1) Strongly disagree	286 65%	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	286 65%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0
Summary			,								<u> </u>		
Top2 Box	72 16%	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	72 16%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -
Bot2 Box	371 84%	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	371 84%	0 -	0	0 -	0	0
Mean	1.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.6	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	C
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C

T14_3. (We should get rid of the unions at city hall and contract as much out to private contractors) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A	IDICIDIE/F/G/H/I/J	- rv L very small	base (under 30) ING	ilgible for sig testil	ıy			4					
							С	ity					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0		0		0			0	0		0	1
Weighted	443	-**	-**	_**	-**	_**	_**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	_**
We should get rid of the unions at city hall													
and contract as much out to private contractors													
(4) Strongly agree	166	0	0	0	0	0	0	166	0	0	0	0	0
(4) Strongly agree	38%		ا	U	٥	U	١	38%	ا	U	١	U	"
	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%		-]	-	-
(3) Somewhat agree	154	0	0	0	0	0	0	154	0	0	0	0	0
.,	35%	-	-	-	-	-	-	35%	_	_	-	-	_
(2) Somewhat disagree	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0
	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%	-	-	-	-	-
(1) Strongly disagree	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	0
	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	-	-	-	-	-
Summary													
Top2 Box	320	0	0	0	0	0	0	320	0	0	0	0	0
	72%	-	-	-	-	-	-	72%	-	-	-	-	-
Bot2 Box	123		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
	28%	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Dev.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Std.Err.	0			0		0			0	0		0	
GIU.LII.	1 0	ı u	U	U	U	U	ı u	ı U	U	0	ı U	U	1 0

T14_4. (The Taxpayers and the city were the winners in the final outcome, not the Unions) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) -	A/B/C/D/E/F/G/N/I/J	- K/L very small	base (under 30) ine	eligible for sig testi	ng								
							С	ity					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0						0	
Weighted	443	_**	-**	_**	_**	_**	_**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	_**
The Taxpayers and the city were the													
winners in the final outcome, not the Unions													
(4) Strongly agree	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	C
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	0	0	0	0	C
.,,	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%	-	-	-	-	-
(2) Somewhat disagree	141	0	0	0	0	0	0	141	0	0	0	0	C
, , ,	32%	-	-	-	-	-	-	32%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	199	0	0	0	0	0	0	199	0	0	0	0	C
	45%	-	-	-	-	-	-	45%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	103	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	C
	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	340	0	0	0	0	0	0	340	0	0	0	0	(
	77%	-	-	-	-	-	-	77%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Dev.	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	C
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	

T14_5. (Mayor David Miller is a great leader who is right for Toronto) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							С	ty					
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0		0	0		0			0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	_*
Mayor David Miller is a great leader who													
s right for Toronto													
(4) Strongly agree	34	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 1	0	0	0	0	
	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Somewhat disagree	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	111	0	0	0	0	
	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	223	0	0	0	0	0	0	223	0	0	0	0	
	50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	50%	-	-	-	-	
Summary													
Top2 Box	109	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	0	0	0	0	
	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	334	0	0	0	0	0	0	334	0	0	0	0	
	75%	-	-	-	-	-	-	75%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	
Std.Dev.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Std.Err.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	

T14_6. (The strike should have continued for as long as it took to eliminate banked sick days for existing and future workers regardless of the impact on the city) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A	/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J	- K/L Very small	base (under 30) ine	eligible for sig testif	ng		C:	· -					
							Ci	ity			N. 6 II		0 0
	Total	Metro Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	Newfoundlan d	St. John's	Outside St. John's
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	C
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	_**	-**	443	_**	_**	_**	_**	_**
The strike should have continued for as													
long as it took to eliminate banked sick													
days for existing and future workers													
regardless of the impact on the city	100							400					1
(4) Strongly agree	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	0	0	0	0	'
	29%	-	-	-	-	-	-	29%	-	-	-	-	
(3) Somewhat agree	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	0	0	0	0	(
	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	-	-	
(2) Somewhat disagree	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	0	0	0	0	(
	24%	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	-	-	-	-	
(1) Strongly disagree	122	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	0	0	0	0	(
(i) enough alonginos	28%	-	-	-	_	-	_	28%	-	-		-	,
	-577												
Summary													
Top2 Box	213	0	0	0	0	0	0	213	0	0	0	0	
•	48%	-	-	-	-	-	-	48%	-	-	-	-	
Bot2 Box	230	0	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	(
	52%	-	-	-	-	-	-	52%	-	-	-	-	
Mean	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	(
Std.Dev.	1.2			0		0			0				
Std.Err.	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	(

T14_top. (Top2box Summary) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

							Ci	ty					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	0	0	
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	-**	-**	_**	_*
While I was inconvenienced by the	333	0	0	0	0	0	0	333	0	0	0	0	
strike, we got along just fine	75%	-	-	-	-	-	-	75%	-	-	-	-	
City workers were not being selfish to	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	0	0	0	0	
strike at a time when many were being laid off or wages frozen	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	-	-	-	-	
We should get rid of the unions at city	320	0	0	0	0	0	0	320	0	0	0	0	
hall and contract as much out to private contractors	72%	-	-	-	-	-	-	72%	-	-	-	-	
The Taxpayers and the city were the	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	103	0	0	0	0	
winners in the final outcome, not the Unions	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	-	-	-	
Mayor David Miller is a great leader	109	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	0	0	0	0	
who is right for Toronto	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	
The strike should have continued for as long as it took to eliminate banked													
sick days for existing and future workers, regardless of the impact on the city/residents	213 48%	0	0 -	0	0 -	0	0 -	213 48%	0 -	0	0	0	

T14_low. (Low2box Summary) T14. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% fisk level) - A/		,		3	<u> </u>		Ci	ty					
		Metro									Newfoundlan		Outside St.
	Total	Vancouver	Edmonton	Calgary	Saskatoon	Regina	Winnipeg	Toronto	Montreal	Halifax	d	St. John's	John's
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Toronto respondents	443	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	0	0	1 "	0	0
Weighted	443	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	-**	443	-**	_**	-**	_**	-**
While I was inconvenienced by the	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	0
strike, we got along just fine	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	-
City workers were not being selfish to	371	0	0	0	0	0	0	371	0	0	0	0	0
strike at a time when many were being laid off or wages frozen	84%	-	-	-	-	-	-	84%	-	-	-	-	-
We should get rid of the unions at city	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	0
hall and contract as much out to private contractors	28%	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	-	-	-	-	-
The Taxpayers and the city were the	340	0	0	0	0	0	0	340	0	0	0	0	0
winners in the final outcome, not the Unions	77%	-	-	-	-	-	-	77%	-	-	-	-	-
Mayor David Miller is a great leader	334	0	0	0	0	0	0	334	0	0	0	0	0
who is right for Toronto	75%	-	-	-	-	-	-	75%	-	-	-	-	-
The strike should have continued for													
as long as it took to eliminate banked													
sick days for existing and future	230	0	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0
workers, regardless of the impact on the city/residents	52%	-	-	-	-	-	-	52%	-	-	-	-	-