

1. Healthcare expenditures by provincial budgets are running as high as 46% right now. A recently released study suggests that within 20 years healthcare expenditure in provincial budgets could be between 70 and 80 percent. Do you believe this is real and could occur or do you think it's just alarmist speculation?

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Real and could occur	654 64%	93 69%	76 74%	48 72%	241 62%	141 57%	55 72%	141 68%	216 66%	297 61%	136 66%	518 64%
Alarmist speculation	366 36%	41 31%	27 26%	19 28%	150 38%	108 43%	21 28%	68 32%	109 34%	189 39%	71 34%	295 36%
		E	DE	E			E					
					B	ABCF						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

2_1. (Scale back drug benefits for higher income seniors. Focus it instead on seniors in need.) There are some things which could be done to the healthcare system to curtail this rise in expenditures. For each of the following, please indicate if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Strongly support	268 26%	40 30%	26 25%	17 26%	101 26%	59 24%	24 32%	68 32%	94 29%	106 22%	48 23%	220 27%
Somewhat support	443 43%	55 41%	44 42%	36 54%	162 42%	120 48%	26 35%	99 47%	135 42%	209 43%	93 45%	350 43%
Somewhat oppose	210 21%	31 23%	19 18%	9 14%	88 23%	43 17%	19 25%	27 13%	69 21%	114 23%	51 24%	160 20%
Strongly oppose	99 10%	8 6%	15 15%	4 6%	39 10%	26 10%	6 8%	14 7%	26 8%	58 12%	15 7%	84 10%
Summary			A									
Top2Box (support)	711 70%	95 71%	69 67%	53 80%	263 68%	179 72%	51 67%	167 80%	229 71%	315 65%	141 68%	570 70%
Low2Box (oppose)	309 30%	40 29%	35 33%	13 20%	127 32%	69 28%	25 33%	42 20%	95 29%	172 35%	66 32%	243 30%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

2_2. (Change the way doctors are compensated so they are better able to consider the cost-effectiveness of their treatment decisions.) There are some things which could be done to the healthcare system to curtail this rise in expenditures. For each of the following, please indicate if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Strongly support	223 22%	33 25%	19 18%	10 15%	85 22%	51 20%	24 32%	51 24%	72 22%	100 21%	41 20%	182 22%
Somewhat support	572 56%	74 55%	57 55%	41 61%	225 58%	137 55%	38 50%	114 55%	187 58%	271 56%	119 58%	453 56%
Somewhat oppose	170 17%	18 13%	20 19%	13 19%	59 15%	48 19%	12 15%	30 15%	54 17%	85 18%	36 18%	133 16%
Strongly oppose	55 5%	10 7%	8 7%	3 4%	21 5%	12 5%	2 2%	13 6%	12 4%	30 6%	10 5%	45 6%
Summary												
Top2Box (support)	795 78%	107 79%	76 73%	51 76%	310 79%	188 76%	62 82%	165 79%	260 80%	371 76%	160 77%	635 78%
Low2Box (oppose)	225 22%	28 21%	28 27%	16 24%	80 21%	61 24%	13 18%	44 21%	65 20%	116 24%	47 23%	178 22%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

2_3. (Shift responsibilities among health care providers from physicians to non-physician health professionals, notably, nurse practitioners.) There are some things which could be done to the healthcare system to curtail this rise in expenditures. For each of the following, please indicate if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Strongly support	190 19%	16 12%	14 13%	9 13%	80 20%	50 20%	22 29%	43 20%	43 13%	104 21%	34 16%	156 19%
Somewhat support	561 55%	67 50%	55 53%	42 64%	206 53%	149 60%	40 53%	116 56%	184 57%	261 54%	119 58%	441 54%
Somewhat oppose	204 20%	37 27%	25 24%	11 17%	76 20%	43 17%	11 15%	37 18%	74 23%	93 19%	45 22%	159 19%
Strongly oppose	65 6%	14 11%	10 10%	4 6%	28 7%	7 3%	2 3%	13 6%	24 7%	28 6%	8 4%	57 7%
Summary												
Top2Box (support)	751 74%	83 62%	69 66%	51 78%	286 73%	199 80%	62 83%	159 76%	227 70%	365 75%	153 74%	598 73%
Low2Box (oppose)	269 26%	51 38%	35 34%	15 22%	104 27%	50 20%	13 17%	50 24%	98 30%	121 25%	53 26%	216 27%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

2_4. (Fund future drug and health care costs by requiring people to pay into a government-run fund along the lines of the Canada Pension Plan.) There are some things which could be done to the healthcare system to curtail this rise in expenditures. For each of the following, please indicate if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Strongly support	59 6%	6 5%	4 4%	1 1%	28 7%	14 6%	6 8%	19 9%	20 6%	21 4%	11 5%	49 6%
Somewhat support	371 36%	53 39%	45 43%	20 30%	155 40%	73 29%	25 34%	79 38%	128 39%	164 34%	65 31%	306 38%
Somewhat oppose	364 36%	47 35%	31 30%	24 37%	134 34%	102 41%	24 32%	69 33%	111 34%	183 38%	88 43%	275 34%
Strongly oppose	226 22%	28 21%	24 23%	21 32%	73 19%	59 24%	21 27%	42 20%	66 20%	118 24%	43 21%	183 23%
Summary				D								
Top2Box (support)	430 42%	60 44%	49 47%	21 31%	183 47%	87 35%	31 41%	98 47%	148 45%	185 38%	76 37%	354 44%
Low2Box (oppose)	590 58%	75 56%	55 53%	45 69%	208 53%	161 65%	44 59%	111 53%	177 55%	301 62%	131 63%	459 56%
				D		D				GH		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

2_5. (Incorporate a health-care benefit tax into the annual income tax to improve public awareness of the cost of health care services.) There are some things which could be done to the healthcare system to curtail this rise in expenditures. For each of the following, please indicate if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Strongly support	71 7%	14 11%	8 8%	5 7%	30 8%	9 4%	4 6%	21 10%	16 5%	35 7%	16 8%	55 7%
Somewhat support	368 36%	54 40%	41 40%	24 36%	150 38%	70 28%	29 38%	84 40%	111 34%	174 36%	67 32%	301 37%
Somewhat oppose	344 34%	39 29%	38 36%	24 36%	124 32%	94 38%	24 32%	59 28%	126 39%	159 33%	82 40%	262 32%
Strongly oppose	237 23%	27 20%	16 16%	14 21%	86 22%	76 31%	18 24%	46 22%	72 22%	119 24%	42 20%	195 24%
Summary												
Top2Box (support)	440 43%	69 51%	50 48%	29 43%	180 46%	79 32%	34 44%	105 50%	126 39%	209 43%	83 40%	356 44%
Low2Box (oppose)	580 57%	66 49%	54 52%	38 57%	210 54%	170 68%	42 56%	104 50%	199 61%	278 57%	124 60%	457 56%
						ABD			G			

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

2. (Top2Box (support) Summary) There are some things which could be done to the healthcare system to curtail this rise in expenditures. For each of the following, please indicate if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Change the way doctors are compensated so they are better able to consider the cost-effectiveness of their treatment decisions.	795 78%	107 79%	76 73%	51 76%	310 79%	188 76%	62 82%	165 79%	260 80%	371 76%	160 77%	635 78%
Shift responsibilities among health care providers from physicians to non-physician health professionals, notably, nurse practitioners.	751 74%	83 62%	69 66%	51 78% A	286 73% A	199 80% AB	62 83% AB	159 76%	227 70%	365 75%	153 74%	598 73%
Scale back drug benefits for higher income seniors. Focus it instead on seniors in need.	711 70%	95 71%	69 67%	53 80%	263 68%	179 72%	51 67%	167 80% HI	229 71%	315 65%	141 68%	570 70%
Incorporate a health-care benefit tax into the annual income tax to improve public awareness of the cost of health care services.	440 43%	69 51% E	50 48% E	29 43%	180 46% E	79 32%	34 44% E	105 50% H	126 39%	209 43%	83 40%	356 44%
Fund future drug and health care costs by requiring people to pay into a government-run fund along the lines of the Canada Pension Plan.	430 42%	60 44%	49 47%	21 31%	183 47% CE	87 35%	31 41%	98 47% I	148 45% I	185 38%	76 37%	354 44%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

2. (Low2Box (oppose) Summary) There are some things which could be done to the healthcare system to curtail this rise in expenditures. For each of the following, please indicate if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Fund future drug and health care costs by requiring people to pay into a government-run fund along the lines of the Canada Pension Plan.	590 58%	75 56%	55 53%	45 69% D	208 53%	161 65% D	44 59%	111 53%	177 55%	301 62% GH	131 63%	459 56%
Incorporate a health-care benefit tax into the annual income tax to improve public awareness of the cost of health care services.	580 57%	66 49%	54 52%	38 57%	210 54%	170 68% ABDF	42 56%	104 50%	199 61% G	278 57%	124 60%	457 56%
Scale back drug benefits for higher income seniors. Focus it instead on seniors in need.	309 30%	40 29%	35 33%	13 20%	127 32%	69 28%	25 33%	42 20%	95 29% G	172 35% G	66 32%	243 30%
Shift responsibilities among health care providers from physicians to non-physician health professionals, notably, nurse practitioners.	269 26%	51 38% CDEF	35 34% EF	15 22%	104 27%	50 20%	13 17%	50 24%	98 30%	121 25%	53 26%	216 27%
Change the way doctors are compensated so they are better able to consider the cost-effectiveness of their treatment decisions.	225 22%	28 21%	28 27%	16 24%	80 21%	61 24%	13 18%	44 21%	65 20%	116 24%	47 23%	178 22%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

3_1. (Allow people to purchase private healthcare insurance to receive treatment in private facilities not funded by government tax dollars.) Regardless of these suggested changes, there are other things that could be considered. Which would you personally be willing to accept?

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Definitely willing to accept	186 18%	27 20%	20 20%	4 6%	67 17%	55 22%	13 18%	27 13%	56 17%	104 21%	33 16%	153 19%
Probably willing to accept	466 46%	63 47%	51 49%	37 57%	163 42%	118 48%	34 45%	98 47%	148 46%	220 45%	109 53%	357 44%
Not very willing to accept	227 22%	28 21%	21 21%	15 22%	98 25%	49 20%	16 21%	50 24%	87 27%	90 18%	44 21%	182 22%
Not at all willing to accept	141 14%	16 12%	11 11%	10 15%	63 16%	27 11%	13 17%	34 16%	34 11%	73 15%	20 10%	120 15%
Summary												
Top2Box (Definitely/Probably willing to accept)	653 64%	91 67%	71 69%	42 63%	229 59%	173 69%	47 62%	125 60%	204 63%	324 67%	142 69%	511 63%
Low2Box (Not very/Not at all willing to accept)	367 36%	44 33%	33 31%	25 37%	161 41%	76 31%	28 38%	84 40%	121 37%	162 33%	65 31%	303 37%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

3_2. (Deter overuse of the healthcare system by charging a \$25 user fee for visits to the doctor, with exemptions for low income groups) Regardless of these suggested changes, there are other things that could be considered. Which would you personally be willing to accept?

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Definitely willing to accept	166 16%	20 15%	17 17%	8 13%	53 14%	58 23%	10 13%	35 17%	41 13%	90 19%	26 13%	140 17%
Probably willing to accept	278 27%	45 33%	28 27%	21 32%	111 28%	60 24%	13 18%	63 30%	87 27%	128 26%	53 26%	225 28%
Not very willing to accept	328 32%	44 33%	40 39%	18 28%	127 33%	70 28%	29 38%	60 29%	115 35%	153 31%	67 33%	261 32%
Not at all willing to accept	247 24%	25 19%	18 18%	18 28%	100 26%	61 25%	23 31%	51 24%	81 25%	115 24%	60 29%	188 23%
Summary												
Top2Box (Definitely/Probably willing to accept)	445 44%	65 49%	45 44%	30 45%	163 42%	118 47%	23 31%	98 47%	128 39%	218 45%	80 38%	365 45%
Low2Box (Not very/Not at all willing to accept)	575 56%	69 51%	59 56%	37 55%	227 58%	131 53%	52 69%	111 53%	197 61%	268 55%	127 62%	448 55%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

3. (Top2box Summary) Regardless of these suggested changes, there are other things that could be considered. Which would you personally be willing to accept?

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Valid respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Allow people to purchase private healthcare insurance to receive treatment in private facilities not funded by government tax dollars.	653 64%	91 67%	71 69%	42 63%	229 59%	173 69%	47 62%	125 60%	204 63%	324 67%	142 69%	511 63%
Deter overuse of the healthcare system by charging a \$25 user fee for visits to the doctor, with exemptions for low income groups	445 44%	65 49%	45 44%	30 45%	163 42%	118 47%	23 31%	98 47%	128 39%	218 45%	80 38%	365 45%
		F				F						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

3. (Low2box Summary) Regardless of these suggested changes, there are other things that could be considered. Which would you personally be willing to accept?

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Valid respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Deter overuse of the healthcare system by charging a \$25 user fee for visits to the doctor, with exemptions for low income groups	575 56%	69 51%	59 56%	37 55%	227 58%	131 53%	52 69% AE	111 53%	197 61%	268 55%	127 62%	448 55%
Allow people to purchase private healthcare insurance to receive treatment in private facilities not funded by government tax dollars.	367 36%	44 33%	33 31%	25 37%	161 41%	76 31%	28 38%	84 40%	121 37%	162 33%	65 31%	303 37%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

4. Please indicate which one of the following statements is closer to your point of view:

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
In 20 years, the Canadian and US healthcare systems will still be very different in terms of access, delivery and payment systems	452 44%	66 49%	61 58%	33 50%	171 44%	88 35%	33 43%	96 46%	144 44%	211 43%	82 40%	369 45%
		E	DE	E	E							
In 20 years the US healthcare system will likely look a lot like our Canadian healthcare system today	351 34%	46 34%	26 25%	21 32%	138 35%	92 37%	27 35%	66 32%	112 34%	173 36%	69 33%	282 35%
In 20 years Canada's healthcare system will likely look a lot like the US healthcare system does today	218 21%	22 17%	17 16%	12 18%	81 21%	69 28%	16 21%	46 22%	69 21%	102 21%	55 27%	162 20%
						ABD					K	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base

5. And in 20 years, which country will likely have the better healthcare system where if someone got sick they would most likely want to be?

	Total	REGION						HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
		BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents	1019	132	102	63	392	248	81	210	328	481	189	830
Weighted	1020	135	104*	66*	390	249	75*	209	325	486	207	813
Canada	865	120	97	59	343	181	65	176	288	400	171	693
	85%	89%	93%	89%	88%	73%	87%	84%	89%	82%	83%	85%
		E	E	E	E		E		I			
The United States	155	15	7	7	47	68	10	33	37	86	36	120
	15%	11%	7%	11%	12%	27%	13%	16%	11%	18%	17%	15%
						ABCDF				H		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used. * small base