



# 033775-01-02 Canadian Ipsos-Reid Express #408 (July 6-7, 2011)

## Demographics Tables (REGION - AGE - GENDER)

### Table of Contents

#### CMA REPORT CARD

<a href="#">1</a>	1. In your view has the health system and health services available to you and your family improved, stayed the same or gotten worse over the last 8 years since the 2004 Federal-Provincial Health Accord was signed?
<a href="#">2</a>	2. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 1) I expect that the federal and provincial governments will be able to sign a renewed health agreement before the 2014 Accord expires ?
<a href="#">3</a>	2. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 2) The federal and provincial governments need to start working better together so that they are able to sign a renewed health agreement before the 2014 Accord expires?
<a href="#">4</a>	2. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 3) The federal and provincial governments should get together every year to discuss the state of the healthcare system in Canada: they should not wait ten years between meetings?
<a href="#">5</a>	3. Which of the following statements best describes your opinion?
<a href="#">6</a>	4. Please tell me which of these statements is closest to your own opinion?
<a href="#">7</a>	5A. Why do you say that you prefer an agreement that is national in scope?
<a href="#">8</a>	5B. Why do you say that you prefer an agreement that focused on each jurisdiction?
<a href="#">9</a>	6. Some people say that all provinces and territories should receive a certain amount of funding for health care to spend as they see fit while others say that the federal government should hold back a portion until performance targets are met. If performance targets are not met some of the money would get allocated to the provinces or territories that had met their targets and some of the money would be used to fund service for out-of-province patients unable to receive care in their own jurisdiction. That being said, which of the following best describes your opinion?
<a href="#">10</a>	7. STRONGLY AGREE SUMMARY TABLE
<a href="#">11</a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 1) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights would make the health system more efficient ?
<a href="#">12</a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 2) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' responsibilities would make the health system more efficient ?
<a href="#">13</a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 3) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights and responsibilities would make the health system more efficient ?
<a href="#">14</a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 4) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights would improve the quality of health services ?
<a href="#">15</a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 5) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' responsibilities would improve the quality of health services ?
<a href="#">16</a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 6) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights and responsibilities would improve the quality of health services ?
<a href="#">17</a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 7) I would like my province to adopt a similar patient health charter for the patients in this province to ensure accountability and responsibility for the quality of care we receive?

Ipsos Reid Public Affairs

July 15, 2011

FINAL DATA

<a href="#"><u>18</u></a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 8) I think that establishing a patient health charter should be a requirement for the federal, provincial and territorial governments as a part of a 2014 health care funding agreement?
<a href="#"><u>19</u></a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 9) I think that jurisdictions that do not establish a patient health charter within a reasonable timeframe should receive less funding from any future health care funding agreement?
<a href="#"><u>20</u></a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 10) I think the federal government should have a patient health charter for those it is responsible for, including aboriginal Canadians and the military?
<a href="#"><u>21</u></a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 11) A Canadian health charter should outline national principles for the health system but it should also allow each province and territory the ability to outline how they plan to meet those national standards?
<a href="#"><u>22</u></a>	7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 12) While it may not be possible for all Canadians to have access to the same level of health care services, those in similar sized cities or towns should have access to the same level of service regardless of which province or territory they live?
<a href="#"><u>23</u></a>	8A. Some people say that a patient health charter should include a complaint mechanism where citizens can complain about poor health care service. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose including a complaint mechanism as part of a health charter?
<a href="#"><u>24</u></a>	8B. Some people say that a patient health charter should include an independent ombudsman to whom citizens can complain about poor health care service. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose including an independent ombudsman as part of a health charter?
<a href="#"><u>25</u></a>	9. Some people say that if there were a complaint mechanism or an independent ombudsman the number of complaints against a jurisdiction should be published so Canadians can see how well jurisdictions are performing in relationship to one and other. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose publishing the number of complaints so that people can see how well their jurisdiction is performing compared to others?
<a href="#"><u>26</u></a>	10. Some people say that a health charter should include a system that would allow citizens who are not receiving adequate health care (either due to poor quality or unreasonable wait times) to receive treatment from another health care jurisdiction. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose including this mechanism as part of a health charter?
<a href="#"><u>27</u></a>	11. Have you or anyone in your household ever received poor health services or treatment that took longer than planned to the point where you yourself would have complained to an independent ombudsman?
<a href="#"><u>28</u></a>	12. Have you or anyone in your household ever received poor health services or treatment that took longer than planned to the point where you yourself would have requested treatment from another publicly funded provider - possibly in another jurisdiction?
<a href="#"><u>29</u></a>	13. Some people have suggested a health charter should go beyond communicating patient rights and responsibilities and should become a guarantee of service providing patients with specific timelines and assurances of quality. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the strengthening of a health charter such that it guarantees the timeliness and quality of health services?
<a href="#"><u>30</u></a>	14A. Why do you say that you Strongly/Somewhat support?
<a href="#"><u>31</u></a>	14B. Why do you say that you Somewhat/Strongly oppose?
<a href="#"><u>32</u></a>	14A/B. Why do you say that you Support/Oppose?
<a href="#"><u>33</u></a>	15. Some say that if the health care system included a mechanism to allow citizens who are not receiving adequate health care to request treatment from another health care jurisdiction, there would need to be a process to ensure that citizens' needs are met but also to ensure that this mechanism is used appropriately and within reason. Keeping this in mind, please tell me which of these statements is closest to your own opinion?
<a href="#"><u>34</u></a>	16. Do you have a family physician or doctor you usually see for medical care?

Ipsos Reid Public Affairs

July 15, 2011

FINAL DATA

[35](#) 17. Have you seen your family physician or doctor in the last year?

[36](#) 18. Which of the following statements apply to you?

## DEMOGRAPHICS

[37](#) Region:

[38](#) Province:

[39](#) Canadian Markets:

[40](#) Urban / Rural

[41](#) British Columbia FSA Location:

[42](#) Alberta FSA Location:

[43](#) Saskatchewan FSA Location:

[44](#) Manitoba FSA Location:

[45](#) Ontario FSA Location:

[46](#) Quebec FSA Location:

[47](#) New Brunswick FSA Location:

[48](#) Nova Scotia FSA Location:

[49](#) Prince Edward Island FSA Location:

[50](#) Newfoundland FSA Location:

[51](#) Census Metropolitan Area:

[52](#) Respondent's Sex:

[53](#) Language of Interview:

[54](#) What age group do you fall into?

[55](#) What is your current marital status?

[56](#) Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?

[57](#) Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?

[58](#) And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

[59](#) What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

[60](#) Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status?

[61](#) And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income?

[62](#) Were you born in Canada?

## CMA REPORT CARD

1. In your view has the health system and health services available to you and your family improved, stayed the same or gotten worse over the last 8 years since the 2004 Federal-Provincial Health Accord was signed?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Improved	149	9	13	15	71	34	7	32	57	57	77	72
	15%	7%	13%	23%	18%	14%	10%	11%	15%	18%	16%	14%
				A	A							
Stayed the same	470	52	52	31	184	105	47	165	175	130	237	233
	47%	39%	51%	48%	48%	43%	63%	59%	44%	40%	49%	45%
							AE	HI				
Gotten worse	364	67	34	17	123	104	18	73	157	134	162	201
	36%	51%	33%	27%	32%	43%	25%	26%	40%	41%	34%	39%
		BCDF				DF			G	G		
Don't know/Refused	17	4	3	2	6	1	2	9	5	3	8	10
	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

2. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 1) I expect that the federal and provincial governments will be able to sign a renewed health agreement before the 2014 Accord expires ?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	424	54	34	24	155	129	28	121	171	130	218	206
	42%	41%	33%	36%	41%	53%	38%	43%	43%	40%	45%	40%
Somewhat agree						BD						
	406	52	52	30	147	91	33	121	155	130	194	213
	41%	40%	51%	47%	38%	37%	45%	44%	39%	40%	40%	41%
Somewhat disagree												
	115	20	13	7	54	15	5	27	53	34	56	59
	11%	15%	13%	10%	14%	6%	7%	10%	13%	11%	12%	11%
Strongly disagree		E			E							
	43	5	2	4	18	8	6	7	14	23	13	30
	4%	4%	2%	7%	5%	3%	8%	2%	3%	7%	3%	6%
Don't know/Refused										GH		
	12	1	1	0	8	2	1	3	3	7	3	9
	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	830	106	86	54	303	219	62	242	326	260	412	418
	83%	80%	84%	83%	79%	90%	83%	87%	82%	80%	85%	81%
						AD						
Disagree (Low2Box)	158	25	15	11	72	23	12	34	67	57	69	89
	16%	19%	15%	17%	19%	9%	16%	12%	17%	18%	14%	17%
		E			E							

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

2. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 2) The federal and provincial governments need to start working better together so that they are able to sign a renewed health agreement before the 2014 Accord expires?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	763	104	67	49	307	169	66	198	312	253	359	405
	76%	79%	66%	76%	80%	69%	89%	71%	79%	78%	74%	78%
					BE		BE					
Somewhat agree	212	27	33	13	66	67	6	77	77	58	113	99
	21%	20%	33%	19%	17%	27%	9%	27%	20%	18%	23%	19%
			DF			DF		I				
Somewhat disagree	12	0	1	2	2	5	2	0	4	8	6	6
	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	3%	1%	1%
Strongly disagree	10	1	0	0	5	3	0	1	1	5	3	7
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0	0	2%	1%	1%
Don't know/Refused	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	1	0	4	0
	0	-	-	2%	1%	-	-	1%	0	-	1%	-
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	975	131	101	62	373	236	72	275	389	311	471	504
	97%	99%	99%	95%	97%	97%	98%	99%	99%	96%	97%	98%
									I			
Disagree (Low2Box)	21	1	1	2	8	8	2	1	5	13	9	12
	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0	1%	4%	2%	2%
										GH		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

2. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 3) The federal and provincial governments should get together every year to discuss the state of the healthcare system in Canada: they should not wait ten years between meetings?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	772	111	76	53	292	180	60	212	306	253	356	416
	77%	84%	75%	82%	76%	74%	81%	76%	78%	78%	74%	81%
												J
Somewhat agree	163	12	19	8	66	52	6	53	62	47	85	78
	16%	9%	19%	12%	17%	21%	8%	19%	16%	15%	18%	15%
						A						
Somewhat disagree	39	8	4	1	14	8	3	9	15	15	26	13
	4%	6%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	3%
Strongly disagree	23	1	2	2	10	3	5	3	10	8	15	9
	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	6%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Don't know/Refused	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	1
	0	-	-	2%	0	0	-	1%	0	-	0	0
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	935	122	95	61	358	232	66	265	369	301	441	494
	93%	93%	93%	94%	93%	95%	90%	95%	93%	93%	91%	96%
												J
Disagree (Low2Box)	63	10	7	3	25	11	8	12	25	23	41	22
	6%	7%	7%	4%	6%	5%	10%	4%	6%	7%	8%	4%
											K	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

3. Which of the following statements best describes your opinion?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Because disease has no boundaries, I think our health care system should follow a national model that first and foremost takes into the account the collective needs of all Canadians												
	553	78	54	29	234	108	49	141	214	195	283	269
	55%	59%	53%	45%	61%	44%	66%	51%	54%	60%	59%	52%
		E			E		E					
Because the population of each province is different, I think our health care system should first and foremost focus on the health care needs of each individual province or territory												
	426	53	42	32	141	132	25	132	176	118	188	238
	43%	40%	41%	50%	37%	54%	34%	47%	44%	36%	39%	46%
						ADF		I	I			
Don't know/Refused	21	0	6	3	8	3	1	6	5	11	12	9
	2%	0	6%	5%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%
			A									

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K



## CMA REPORT CARD

4. Please tell me which of these statements is closest to your own opinion?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
I would prefer that the next federal-provincial health care agreement be national in scope with all of the provinces and the federal government coming to a single agreement on how the Canadian health care system will be funded, delivered and made accountable												
	520	71	47	31	205	124	43	139	200	181	293	227
	52%	54%	46%	47%	53%	51%	58%	50%	51%	56%	61%	44%
											K	
I would prefer that the next federal-provincial health care agreement be focused on each jurisdiction (be it a province, a territory or federal responsibility) and that each agreement take into account the special needs of that jurisdiction in determining how the health care system will be funded												
	466	61	55	30	175	116	29	136	191	137	185	282
	47%	46%	54%	46%	46%	47%	40%	49%	48%	42%	38%	55%
											J	
Don't know/Refused	13	0	0	5	3	4	2	4	4	6	6	7
	1%	-	-	7%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
				ABD								

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 5A. Why do you say that you prefer an agreement that is national in scope?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Those who prefer an agreement that is national in scope</b>												
Unweighted Base	521	67	48	36	226	111	33	69	202	250	291	230
Weighted Base	520	71	47	31	205	124	43	139	200	181	293	227
SINGLE AGREEMENT (NET)	365	53	42	20	154	60	36	82	151	132	214	150
	70%	74%	90%	66%	75%	48%	84%	59%	75%	73%	73%	66%
		E	E		E		E		G			
- Healthcare should be the same across Canada	192	20	24	9	95	18	25	29	80	82	108	84
	37%	28%	52%	31%	46%	15%	58%	21%	40%	45%	37%	37%
			E		AE		AE		G	G		
- Equal treatment for everyone	124	17	7	11	56	19	15	36	45	43	76	49
	24%	23%	16%	36%	27%	15%	35%	26%	23%	24%	26%	21%
				E	E		E					
- Provinces won't spend the money well/ will mismanage money	43	12	8	2	14	6	2	8	22	14	27	16
	8%	17%	17%	5%	7%	4%	4%	5%	11%	8%	9%	7%
		DE	E									
- Everyone needs access to healthcare/ it's an essential service	41	5	3	1	19	9	3	9	14	18	23	18
	8%	7%	7%	4%	9%	7%	7%	6%	7%	10%	8%	8%
- Less cumbersome/ more efficient	25	0	5	1	10	8	0	11	10	4	9	15
	5%	-	11%	4%	5%	6%	-	8%	5%	2%	3%	7%
			A									
- Disease knows no boundaries/ same everywhere else	15	4	1	1	5	3	0	1	9	5	5	9
	3%	6%	3%	4%	2%	2%	-	1%	4%	3%	2%	4%
- Will ensure that healthcare remain free	6	1	1	0	3	0	0	2	1	3	5	0
	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	0	1%	2%	0
SEPARATE AGREEMENTS (NET)	51	12	5	1	16	17	0	6	26	20	23	28
	10%	17%	10%	5%	8%	13%	1%	5%	13%	11%	8%	13%
- Different jurisdictions have different requirements	35	9	4	0	11	9	0	5	17	13	19	16
	7%	13%	8%	1%	6%	8%	1%	3%	8%	7%	6%	7%
- Different demographics across the country	14	5	0	1	4	5	0	4	7	3	6	8
	3%	7%	-	3%	2%	4%	-	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%
- Healthcare is a provincial responsibility/ believe in provincial rights	8	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	2	5	2	5
	1%	-	2%	1%	0	5%	-	-	1%	3%	1%	2%

## CMA REPORT CARD

5A. Why do you say that you prefer an agreement that is national in scope?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
						D						
Provinces/ jurisdictions need to discuss/ address problems/ work together to solve the problem	36	3	1	1	16	15	0	20	6	10	17	19
	7%	4%	2%	2%	8%	12%	-	14%	3%	5%	6%	8%
								H				
Makes sense/ the right way to do it - unspecified	35	2	4	6	10	10	2	16	7	12	21	14
	7%	3%	9%	21%	5%	8%	5%	11%	4%	6%	7%	6%
				AD								
Shorter/ less wait times/ faster access to healthcare	5	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	3	2	4	1
	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
More doctors/ nurses/ healthcare staff/ facilities equipment/ required	4	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3	3	1
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
Other	52	8	3	4	21	14	2	15	20	17	33	19
	10%	12%	7%	14%	10%	11%	4%	11%	10%	9%	11%	8%
Nothing/ no reason	6	0	0	1	1	4	1	4	2	1	4	2
	1%	-	-	2%	0	3%	2%	3%	1%	0	1%	1%
Don't know/Refused	16	2	0	0	4	8	2	9	2	5	3	13
	3%	3%	-	-	2%	7%	5%	7%	1%	3%	1%	6%
												J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

5B. Why do you say that you prefer an agreement that focused on each jurisdiction?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Those who prefer an agreement that focused on each jurisdiction</b>												
Unweighted Base	463	53	52	26	169	133	30	77	193	192	200	263
Weighted Base	466	61	55	30	175	116	29	136	191	137	185	282
SEPARATE AGREEMENTS (NET)	332	44	33	16	136	78	25	102	128	101	133	199
	71%	72%	59%	55%	78%	67%	85%	75%	67%	74%	72%	71%
					B							
- Different jurisdictions have different requirements	264	39	20	16	116	50	23	75	110	80	108	156
	57%	65%	36%	55%	66%	43%	77%	55%	57%	58%	59%	55%
		BE			BE		BE					
- Different demographics across the country	74	10	5	1	39	17	3	30	26	18	22	53
	16%	17%	9%	4%	22%	15%	9%	22%	14%	13%	12%	19%
- Healthcare is a provincial responsibility/ believe in provincial rights	42	2	10	1	2	23	4	15	11	16	15	27
	9%	3%	18%	5%	1%	20%	12%	11%	6%	12%	8%	9%
			AD			AD	D					
SINGLE AGREEMENT (NET)	59	4	17	8	13	12	5	22	20	16	20	38
	13%	7%	30%	28%	8%	10%	16%	16%	11%	12%	11%	14%
			ADE	AD								
- Everyone needs access to healthcare/ it's an essential service	20	1	9	2	5	1	1	10	7	3	3	17
	4%	1%	17%	7%	3%	1%	4%	7%	3%	2%	2%	6%
			ADE									
- Healthcare should be the same across Canada	18	0	7	1	5	2	3	8	7	3	8	10
	4%	-	12%	3%	3%	2%	12%	6%	4%	2%	4%	4%
			AE				AE					
- Equal treatment for everyone	16	1	7	2	1	3	2	5	8	3	6	11
	3%	1%	12%	7%	1%	2%	8%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%
			DE				D					
- Less cumbersome/ more efficient	9	0	3	0	1	6	0	4	3	3	4	5
	2%	-	5%	-	0	5%	-	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%
						D						
- Provinces won't spend the money well/ will mismanage money	6	2	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	4	2	4
	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	0	3%	1%	2%
- Will ensure that healthcare remain free	6	0	0	5	1	0	0	5	0	1	0	6
	1%	-	-	15%	1%	-	-	3%	-	1%	-	2%
				ABDE								
- Disease knows no boundaries/ same everywhere else	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	2
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	0	1%

## CMA REPORT CARD

5B. Why do you say that you prefer an agreement that focused on each jurisdiction?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Makes sense/ the right way to do it - unspecified	26	9	3	0	9	5	0	6	16	3	9	17
	6%	15%	5%	-	5%	4%	-	4%	8%	2%	5%	6%
									I			
More doctors/ nurses/ healthcare staff/ facilities equipment/ required	10	1	1	0	5	0	3	1	7	2	3	7
	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	-	12%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%
							DE					
Provinces/ jurisdictions need to discuss/ address problems/ work together to solve the problem	9	0	2	0	3	4	0	2	2	5	3	6
	2%	-	3%	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%
Shorter/ less wait times/ faster access to healthcare	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	3
	1%	-	4%	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	-	-	1%
			D				D					
Other	35	4	6	3	8	11	3	7	16	12	19	16
	7%	7%	10%	10%	5%	10%	9%	5%	8%	9%	10%	6%
Nothing/ no reason	5	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	2	2	3	2
	1%	-	1%	-	2%	0	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Don't know/Refused	18	5	0	3	5	6	0	1	10	6	3	15
	4%	8%	-	9%	3%	5%	-	1%	5%	5%	2%	5%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

6. Some people say that all provinces and territories should receive a certain amount of funding for health care to spend as they see fit while others say that the federal government should hold back a portion until performance targets are met. If performance targets are not met some of the money would get allocated to the provinces or territories that had met their targets and some of the money would be used to fund service for out-of-province patients unable to receive care in their own jurisdiction. That being said, which of the following best describes your opinion?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Provinces and territories should receive a certain amount of funding for health care to spend as they see fit	486	67	50	32	176	126	35	152	177	158	223	263
	49%	51%	49%	49%	46%	52%	47%	54%	45%	49%	46%	51%
A portion of each provinces and territories' funding should be held back, only to be received if performance targets are met	462	57	46	27	193	110	29	108	200	152	228	233
	46%	43%	45%	41%	51%	45%	39%	39%	51%	47%	47%	45%
									G			
Don't know/Refused	53	8	6	6	14	8	10	19	18	15	33	20
	5%	6%	6%	10%	4%	3%	14%	7%	5%	5%	7%	4%
							DE					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 7. STRONGLY AGREE SUMMARY TABLE

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
1) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights would make the health system more efficient	204	14	20	12	96	39	22	49	88	67	85	119
	42%	25%	44%	39%	50%	31%	57%	42%	43%	41%	36%	47%
					AE		AE					
2) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' responsibilities would make the health system more efficient	198	36	25	14	69	45	9	57	74	67	93	105
	39%	47%	44%	42%	37%	38%	25%	36%	39%	42%	38%	40%
3) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights and responsibilities would make the health system more efficient	397	54	41	21	168	76	37	107	160	130	171	226
	40%	41%	40%	32%	44%	31%	50%	38%	41%	40%	35%	44%
					E		E					J
4) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights would improve the quality of health services	198	18	17	11	98	41	14	64	68	66	92	105
	40%	38%	35%	29%	47%	33%	40%	42%	37%	42%	37%	43%
5) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' responsibilities would improve the quality of health services	228	45	30	8	78	46	22	56	100	72	96	132
	45%	52%	54%	30%	45%	38%	55%	45%	47%	43%	41%	49%
6) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights and responsibilities would improve the quality of health services	425	54	45	33	181	78	34	102	176	147	201	224
	43%	41%	44%	50%	47%	32%	46%	37%	45%	45%	42%	43%
				E	E							
7) I would like my province to adopt a similar patient health charter for the patients in this province to ensure accountability and responsibility for the quality of care we receive	595	89	55	35	255	115	46	144	248	201	266	330
	60%	68%	54%	54%	67%	47%	62%	52%	63%	62%	55%	64%
		E			E				G			J

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 7. STRONGLY AGREE SUMMARY TABLE

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
8) I think that establishing a patient health charter should be a requirement for the federal, provincial and territorial governments as a part of a 2014 health care funding agreement	473	63	35	27	211	93	42	111	192	168	233	240
	47%	48%	35%	42%	55%	38%	57%	40%	49%	52%	48%	46%
					BE		BE			G		
9) I think that jurisdictions that do not establish a patient health charter within a reasonable timeframe should receive less funding from any future health care funding agreement	146	23	17	8	61	36	2	38	52	56	75	71
	15%	17%	17%	13%	16%	15%	3%	14%	13%	17%	15%	14%
		F	F		F	F						
10) I think the federal government should have a patient health charter for those it is responsible for, including aboriginal Canadians and the military	568	90	51	35	240	113	39	158	223	186	259	309
	57%	68%	50%	54%	63%	46%	53%	57%	57%	58%	54%	60%
		BE			E							
11) A Canadian health charter should outline national principles for the health system but it should also allow each province and territory the ability to outline how they plan to meet those national standards	426	64	43	24	185	75	35	106	181	138	191	235
	43%	49%	42%	37%	48%	31%	47%	38%	46%	43%	39%	46%
		E			E		E					
12) While it may not be possible for all Canadians to have access to the same level of health care services, those in similar sized cities or towns should have access to the same level of service regardless of which province or territory they live	736	110	73	42	295	155	61	209	287	240	344	392
	74%	83%	72%	65%	77%	63%	83%	75%	73%	74%	71%	76%
		CE			E		E					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K



## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 1) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights would make the health system more efficient ?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Split Sample respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	500	52	51	37	203	125	32	66	200	233	240	260
Weighted Base	491	56	46	31	194	126	39	118	205	165	237	255
Strongly agree	204	14	20	12	96	39	22	49	88	67	85	119
	42%	25%	44%	39%	50%	31%	57%	42%	43%	41%	36%	47%
					AE		AE					
Somewhat agree	201	35	16	13	71	58	9	49	88	62	105	96
	41%	64%	34%	40%	37%	46%	23%	41%	43%	38%	45%	38%
		BDF										
Somewhat disagree	59	4	6	6	17	23	4	17	23	20	30	29
	12%	7%	14%	18%	9%	18%	9%	14%	11%	12%	13%	12%
Strongly disagree	19	2	4	1	6	4	3	4	7	8	13	6
	4%	3%	8%	2%	3%	3%	7%	3%	3%	5%	5%	2%
Don't know/Refused	8	1	0	0	3	2	2	0	1	7	3	5
	2%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	4%	-	0	4%	1%	2%
										H		
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	405	49	36	25	167	97	31	98	175	130	191	214
	82%	89%	79%	80%	86%	77%	80%	83%	85%	79%	81%	84%
Disagree (Low2Box)	78	6	10	6	23	27	6	21	29	28	43	35
	16%	10%	21%	20%	12%	21%	16%	17%	14%	17%	18%	14%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 2) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' responsibilities would make the health system more efficient ?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Split Sample respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	500	68	49	28	197	125	33	83	199	218	257	243
Weighted Base	509	76	56	34	189	118	35	161	189	159	247	261
Strongly agree	198	36	25	14	69	45	9	57	74	67	93	105
	39%	47%	44%	42%	37%	38%	25%	36%	39%	42%	38%	40%
Somewhat agree	212	27	21	14	88	49	13	69	86	58	105	107
	42%	35%	37%	41%	47%	42%	37%	43%	45%	36%	43%	41%
Somewhat disagree	59	8	10	2	18	16	6	20	20	19	24	35
	12%	10%	17%	5%	9%	14%	17%	12%	11%	12%	10%	13%
Strongly disagree	18	4	1	0	9	2	2	4	5	9	12	6
	4%	5%	2%	-	5%	2%	7%	2%	3%	6%	5%	2%
Don't know/Refused	21	2	0	4	5	5	5	11	4	6	13	8
	4%	3%	1%	12%	3%	4%	15%	7%	2%	4%	5%	3%
							D					
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	410	63	46	28	158	95	21	126	159	124	198	212
	81%	82%	81%	83%	83%	80%	62%	79%	84%	78%	80%	81%
				F								
Disagree (Low2Box)	77	12	11	2	27	18	8	24	25	28	36	41
	15%	15%	19%	5%	14%	16%	24%	15%	13%	18%	14%	16%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 3) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights and responsibilities would make the health system more efficient ?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All respondents												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	397	54	41	21	168	76	37	107	160	130	171	226
	40%	41%	40%	32%	44%	31%	50%	38%	41%	40%	35%	44%
Somewhat agree					E		E					J
	420	58	41	33	151	117	20	125	165	129	226	193
	42%	44%	40%	51%	40%	48%	27%	45%	42%	40%	47%	37%
Somewhat disagree				F		F					K	
	116	15	15	5	36	39	7	33	48	36	44	72
	12%	11%	15%	8%	9%	16%	9%	12%	12%	11%	9%	14%
Strongly disagree												
	42	4	4	3	18	9	5	6	14	19	26	16
	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%	4%	6%	5%	3%
Don't know/Refused												
	25	1	1	3	10	4	6	8	7	10	16	9
	3%	1%	1%	5%	3%	2%	9%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
							ABDE					
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY												
Agree (Top2Box)	817	112	82	54	319	193	57	232	325	259	398	419
	82%	85%	80%	82%	83%	79%	76%	83%	82%	80%	82%	81%
Disagree (Low2Box)	158	18	19	8	54	47	11	39	62	55	70	88
	16%	14%	19%	12%	14%	19%	15%	14%	16%	17%	15%	17%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 4) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights would improve the quality of health services ?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Split Sample respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	500	48	55	33	206	125	33	82	195	222	259	241
Weighted Base	497	46	47	38	209	123	34	155	184	156	251	246
Strongly agree	198	18	17	11	98	41	14	64	68	66	92	105
	40%	38%	35%	29%	47%	33%	40%	42%	37%	42%	37%	43%
Somewhat agree	223	22	24	18	84	65	10	68	92	63	112	110
	45%	48%	50%	47%	40%	53%	31%	44%	50%	41%	45%	45%
Somewhat disagree	49	4	5	5	19	12	3	15	19	15	27	21
	10%	10%	11%	14%	9%	10%	9%	10%	10%	9%	11%	9%
Strongly disagree	17	1	2	0	7	4	2	1	3	10	9	8
	3%	3%	4%	1%	3%	4%	6%	1%	2%	7%	4%	3%
										H		
Don't know/Refused	11	1	0	3	1	0	5	6	3	2	9	1
	2%	1%	-	9%	1%	0	15%	4%	1%	1%	4%	1%
				DE			BDE					
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	420	40	40	29	182	106	24	132	159	129	205	216
	85%	86%	85%	76%	87%	86%	71%	85%	87%	83%	82%	88%
Disagree (Low2Box)	66	6	7	6	26	17	5	17	22	25	37	29
	13%	13%	15%	15%	12%	14%	15%	11%	12%	16%	15%	12%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 5) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' responsibilities would improve the quality of health services ?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Split Sample respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	500	72	45	32	194	125	32	67	204	229	238	262
Weighted Base	503	86	55	27	174	121	40	124	211	168	233	270
Strongly agree	228	45	30	8	78	46	22	56	100	72	96	132
	45%	52%	54%	30%	45%	38%	55%	45%	47%	43%	41%	49%
Somewhat agree	208	35	15	12	74	61	12	55	88	65	112	96
	41%	40%	28%	43%	42%	50%	30%	45%	42%	38%	48%	36%
											K	
Somewhat disagree	39	3	7	2	14	8	5	12	10	17	11	28
	8%	4%	12%	6%	8%	6%	13%	9%	5%	10%	5%	10%
Strongly disagree	17	2	3	4	4	3	1	1	10	6	9	8
	3%	2%	5%	16%	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%	4%	4%	3%
				ADE								
Don't know/Refused	11	1	1	1	5	3	0	0	3	8	5	6
	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%	-	-	1%	5%	2%	2%
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	436	79	45	20	151	107	34	111	188	137	208	228
	87%	92%	82%	74%	87%	88%	85%	90%	89%	81%	89%	84%
		C										
Disagree (Low2Box)	56	5	9	6	18	11	6	13	20	23	20	36
	11%	6%	17%	22%	10%	9%	15%	10%	10%	14%	9%	13%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 6) I think that a patient health charter that outlines patients' rights and responsibilities would improve the quality of health services ?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	425	54	45	33	181	78	34	102	176	147	201	224
	43%	41%	44%	50%	47%	32%	46%	37%	45%	45%	42%	43%
Somewhat agree				E	E							
	433	63	40	22	155	131	23	142	171	121	213	221
	43%	48%	40%	34%	40%	54%	31%	51%	43%	37%	44%	43%
Somewhat disagree						CDF		I				
	86	11	11	4	28	26	5	25	33	29	41	45
	9%	9%	11%	7%	7%	11%	7%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%
Strongly disagree	30	3	5	4	11	3	5	3	10	15	17	13
	3%	2%	5%	6%	3%	1%	7%	1%	3%	5%	4%	3%
Don't know/Refused							E			G		
	25	1	0	3	9	6	7	8	4	13	11	13
	2%	1%	-	4%	2%	2%	9%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%
							ABDE			H		
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	858	117	86	54	335	209	57	244	347	267	414	445
	86%	89%	84%	84%	88%	86%	77%	87%	88%	83%	86%	86%
Disagree (Low2Box)	117	14	16	8	39	29	11	27	43	44	59	58
	12%	11%	16%	12%	10%	12%	14%	10%	11%	13%	12%	11%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 7) I would like my province to adopt a similar patient health charter for the patients in this province to ensure accountability and responsibility for the quality of care we receive?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	595	89	55	35	255	115	46	144	248	201	266	330
	60%	68%	54%	54%	67%	47%	62%	52%	63%	62%	55%	64%
		E			E				G			J
Somewhat agree	326	37	41	23	102	103	19	108	126	91	172	154
	33%	28%	41%	36%	27%	42%	26%	39%	32%	28%	36%	30%
			D			AD		I				
Somewhat disagree	37	4	1	3	8	20	2	12	9	17	20	17
	4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	8%	2%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%
						D						
Strongly disagree	20	2	4	0	11	2	1	5	7	8	15	6
	2%	1%	4%	-	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%
Don't know/Refused	21	1	0	4	6	4	6	9	5	7	11	10
	2%	1%	-	6%	2%	2%	9%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%
							ABDE					
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	921	126	97	58	357	218	65	253	374	292	437	484
	92%	96%	95%	90%	93%	89%	88%	91%	95%	90%	90%	94%
									I			
Disagree (Low2Box)	58	5	5	3	20	22	3	17	16	25	35	23
	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	9%	4%	6%	4%	8%	7%	4%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 8) I think that establishing a patient health charter should be a requirement for the federal, provincial and territorial governments as a part of a 2014 health care funding agreement?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	473	63	35	27	211	93	42	111	192	168	233	240
	47%	48%	35%	42%	55%	38%	57%	40%	49%	52%	48%	46%
Somewhat agree	396	56	46	32	123	123	16	127	167	102	185	211
	40%	43%	45%	50%	32%	50%	21%	46%	42%	32%	38%	41%
Somewhat disagree	62	6	13	1	24	16	3	19	17	26	28	34
	6%	4%	12%	2%	6%	6%	4%	7%	4%	8%	6%	7%
Strongly disagree	35	2	5	2	14	6	5	5	15	15	23	12
	3%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	7%	2%	4%	5%	5%	2%
Don't know/Refused	34	4	3	2	11	6	8	17	4	13	15	19
	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	10%	6%	1%	4%	3%	4%
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	869	119	81	60	334	216	58	238	359	270	418	451
	87%	90%	80%	92%	87%	89%	79%	85%	91%	83%	86%	87%
Disagree (Low2Box)	97	8	17	3	38	22	8	24	32	41	51	46
	10%	6%	17%	5%	10%	9%	11%	9%	8%	13%	10%	9%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K



## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 9) I think that jurisdictions that do not establish a patient health charter within a reasonable timeframe should receive less funding from any future health care funding agreement?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	146	23	17	8	61	36	2	38	52	56	75	71
	15%	17%	17%	13%	16%	15%	3%	14%	13%	17%	15%	14%
		F	F		F	F						
Somewhat agree	355	56	36	29	120	81	33	81	159	115	173	181
	35%	42%	35%	45%	31%	33%	44%	29%	40%	36%	36%	35%
								G				
Somewhat disagree	308	33	31	10	122	94	18	119	112	78	141	167
	31%	25%	31%	15%	32%	38%	24%	42%	28%	24%	29%	32%
					C	AC		HI				
Strongly disagree	168	20	17	16	74	29	13	35	65	65	84	85
	17%	15%	17%	25%	19%	12%	17%	13%	17%	20%	17%	16%
				E	E							
Don't know/Refused	22	1	0	2	6	5	9	6	6	10	11	12
	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	2%	12%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
							ABDE					
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	501	78	53	37	181	117	35	119	211	171	248	253
	50%	59%	52%	57%	47%	48%	47%	43%	53%	53%	51%	49%
Disagree (Low2Box)	476	53	49	26	196	122	31	154	177	143	225	251
	48%	40%	48%	40%	51%	50%	42%	55%	45%	44%	46%	49%
								I				

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 10) I think the federal government should have a patient health charter for those it is responsible for, including aboriginal Canadians and the military?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	568	90	51	35	240	113	39	158	223	186	259	309
	57%	68%	50%	54%	63%	46%	53%	57%	57%	58%	54%	60%
		BE			E							
Somewhat agree	328	34	39	21	105	109	19	95	136	96	160	168
	33%	26%	39%	32%	27%	45%	26%	34%	35%	30%	33%	33%
						ADF						
Somewhat disagree	60	6	6	6	22	16	4	16	18	26	36	24
	6%	4%	6%	9%	6%	7%	6%	6%	4%	8%	7%	5%
Strongly disagree	30	1	5	2	12	4	5	4	13	10	17	12
	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%	2%	6%	1%	3%	3%	4%	2%
Don't know/Refused	15	1	0	2	3	3	6	6	4	5	12	3
	2%	0	-	3%	1%	1%	9%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
							ABDE					
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	896	124	91	56	345	221	58	254	359	283	419	477
	90%	94%	89%	86%	90%	91%	79%	91%	91%	87%	87%	92%
		F			F	F						J
Disagree (Low2Box)	89	7	11	7	34	20	9	19	31	37	53	36
	9%	5%	11%	11%	9%	8%	13%	7%	8%	11%	11%	7%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 11) A Canadian health charter should outline national principles for the health system but it should also allow each province and territory the ability to outline how they plan to meet those national standards?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	426	64	43	24	185	75	35	106	181	138	191	235
	43%	49%	42%	37%	48%	31%	47%	38%	46%	43%	39%	46%
		E			E		E					
Somewhat agree	440	48	47	29	169	117	29	123	172	143	210	231
	44%	36%	46%	45%	44%	48%	40%	44%	44%	44%	43%	45%
Somewhat disagree	68	6	10	6	17	28	1	26	24	18	39	29
	7%	5%	10%	9%	4%	12%	1%	9%	6%	6%	8%	6%
						DF						
Strongly disagree	39	7	1	2	10	18	1	11	13	15	25	14
	4%	6%	1%	4%	3%	7%	1%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%
						D						
Don't know/Refused	26	6	0	4	2	6	8	13	4	9	20	7
	3%	5%	0	6%	0	3%	11%	5%	1%	3%	4%	1%
		D		D			BDE	H			K	
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	866	113	91	53	354	192	64	229	353	281	401	466
	87%	85%	89%	82%	92%	79%	87%	82%	90%	87%	83%	90%
					CE							J
Disagree (Low2Box)	108	13	11	8	27	46	2	37	37	34	64	44
	11%	10%	11%	12%	7%	19%	3%	13%	9%	10%	13%	9%
						DF						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

7. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with the following statements: 12) While it may not be possible for all Canadians to have access to the same level of health care services, those in similar sized cities or towns should have access to the same level of service regardless of which province or territory they live?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly agree	736	110	73	42	295	155	61	209	287	240	344	392
	74%	83%	72%	65%	77%	63%	83%	75%	73%	74%	71%	76%
		CE			E		E					
Somewhat agree	206	14	20	20	75	68	9	57	82	65	108	98
	21%	11%	20%	30%	20%	28%	13%	20%	21%	20%	22%	19%
				A		ADF						
Somewhat disagree	30	2	2	1	11	13	1	8	12	10	16	14
	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	5%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Strongly disagree	23	6	6	2	1	6	2	6	12	6	13	10
	2%	4%	6%	3%	0	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%
		D	D									
Don't know/Refused	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	2	1
	0	0	-	-	0	1%	1%	-	0	1%	0	0
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Agree (Top2Box)	943	124	93	62	370	223	71	266	369	305	452	491
	94%	94%	91%	95%	97%	91%	96%	95%	94%	94%	93%	95%
					E							
Disagree (Low2Box)	54	8	9	3	12	19	3	13	24	16	30	24
	5%	6%	9%	5%	3%	8%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%
						D						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

8A. Some people say that a patient health charter should include a complaint mechanism where citizens can complain about poor health care service. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose including a complaint mechanism as part of a health charter?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Split Sample respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	500	81	45	31	185	125	33	82	192	225	242	258
Weighted Base	520	99	41	37	180	125	38	156	198	164	248	272
Strongly support	314	66	17	18	113	80	20	92	122	100	135	179
	60%	67%	42%	47%	63%	64%	51%	59%	62%	61%	54%	66%
		B				B						J
Somewhat support	142	25	16	6	49	30	17	37	56	49	79	64
	27%	25%	40%	17%	27%	24%	44%	24%	28%	30%	32%	23%
Somewhat oppose	40	7	5	6	11	11	0	19	11	9	25	15
	8%	7%	11%	15%	6%	9%	1%	12%	6%	6%	10%	6%
Strongly oppose	19	1	3	4	6	4	1	4	7	6	6	13
	4%	1%	7%	10%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	5%
Don't know/Refused	5	0	0	4	1	0	0	4	1	0	4	1
	1%	-	-	12%	0	-	-	3%	0	0	2%	0
				ADE								
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Support (Top2Box)	456	91	33	24	162	110	37	129	178	149	213	243
	88%	92%	82%	64%	90%	88%	96%	83%	90%	91%	86%	89%
		C			C	C	C					
Oppose (Low2Box)	59	8	7	9	17	15	2	23	18	15	31	28
	11%	8%	18%	25%	10%	12%	4%	15%	9%	9%	12%	10%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

8B. Some people say that a patient health charter should include an independent ombudsman to whom citizens can complain about poor health care service. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose including an independent ombudsman as part of a health charter?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Split Sample respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	500	39	55	34	215	125	32	67	207	226	255	245
Weighted Base	480	33	61	28	203	119	36	123	197	160	236	244
Strongly support	254	22	31	9	114	56	21	57	110	87	119	134
	53%	67%	51%	33%	56%	47%	59%	47%	56%	54%	51%	55%
		C			C							
Somewhat support	159	7	17	10	67	46	13	47	66	46	82	77
	33%	21%	28%	34%	33%	38%	35%	39%	33%	28%	35%	32%
Somewhat oppose	26	1	3	1	9	10	1	9	8	9	15	11
	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	9%	2%	8%	4%	6%	6%	5%
Strongly oppose	34	3	10	3	12	6	1	7	9	18	16	19
	7%	8%	16%	9%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%	11%	7%	8%
										H		
Don't know/Refused	7	0	0	6	1	1	0	1	5	1	4	3
	1%	-	-	20%	0	1%	-	1%	2%	0	2%	1%
				ABDEF								
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Support (Top2Box)	413	29	48	19	181	102	34	105	175	133	201	212
	86%	88%	78%	67%	89%	85%	94%	85%	89%	83%	85%	87%
				C	C	C						
Oppose (Low2Box)	61	4	13	3	21	17	2	17	17	27	31	30
	13%	12%	22%	13%	10%	14%	6%	14%	9%	17%	13%	12%
										H		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

9. Some people say that if there were a complaint mechanism or an independent ombudsman the number of complaints against a jurisdiction should be published so Canadians can see how well jurisdictions are performing in relationship to one and other. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose publishing the number of complaints so that people can see how well their jurisdiction is performing compared to others?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly support	514	76	47	32	217	104	38	128	223	164	268	246
	51%	58%	46%	50%	57%	43%	51%	46%	56%	51%	55%	48%
Somewhat support		E			E							
	294	28	35	13	111	89	18	85	103	106	126	168
	29%	21%	34%	19%	29%	36%	25%	30%	26%	33%	26%	32%
Somewhat oppose						AC						
	107	15	17	5	25	38	7	40	40	27	43	63
	11%	11%	16%	8%	6%	16%	10%	14%	10%	8%	9%	12%
Strongly oppose			D			D						
	83	14	3	13	30	13	10	26	27	27	44	39
	8%	10%	3%	20%	8%	5%	14%	9%	7%	8%	9%	7%
Don't know/Refused				BDE								
	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	0
	0	-	-	4%	-	0	-	-	1%	0	1%	-
TOPBOX & LOWBOX SUMMARY				DE								
Support (Top2Box)	808	104	82	45	328	193	56	213	326	269	394	414
	81%	78%	80%	69%	86%	79%	76%	76%	83%	83%	81%	80%
					C							
Oppose (Low2Box)	190	28	20	18	55	51	18	66	67	54	88	102
	19%	22%	20%	28%	14%	21%	24%	24%	17%	17%	18%	20%
				D								

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

10. Some people say that a health charter should include a system that would allow citizens who are not receiving adequate health care (either due to poor quality or unreasonable wait times) to receive treatment from another health care jurisdiction. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose including this mechanism as part of a health charter?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly support	512	72	54	29	204	112	40	143	208	161	232	279
	51%	55%	53%	45%	53%	46%	54%	51%	53%	50%	48%	54%
Somewhat support	366	50	39	25	130	98	23	103	147	115	182	183
	37%	38%	38%	38%	34%	40%	31%	37%	37%	36%	38%	36%
Somewhat oppose	74	7	7	6	24	24	6	29	24	21	46	28
	7%	5%	7%	9%	6%	10%	9%	10%	6%	7%	10%	5%
Strongly oppose	39	3	1	3	20	9	2	4	12	21	19	20
	4%	3%	1%	4%	5%	4%	2%	1%	3%	6%	4%	4%
										GH		
Don't know/Refused	10	0	0	2	4	1	2	1	4	5	5	5
	1%	-	-	3%	1%	1%	3%	0	1%	2%	1%	1%
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Support (Top2Box)	877	122	93	54	335	210	63	246	355	276	414	463
	88%	92%	92%	83%	87%	86%	86%	88%	90%	85%	86%	90%
Oppose (Low2Box)	113	10	9	9	44	33	8	32	36	43	65	48
	11%	8%	8%	14%	12%	13%	11%	12%	9%	13%	13%	9%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K



## CMA REPORT CARD

11. Have you or anyone in your household ever received poor health services or treatment that took longer than planned to the point where you yourself would have complained to an independent ombudsman?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Yes	349	46	36	28	145	63	31	103	156	91	167	182
	35%	35%	36%	43%	38%	26%	42%	37%	39%	28%	35%	35%
				E	E		E		I			
No	651	86	66	37	238	181	43	176	239	233	317	334
	65%	65%	64%	57%	62%	74%	58%	63%	61%	72%	65%	65%
						CDF				H		
Don't know/Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

12. Have you or anyone in your household ever received poor health services or treatment that took longer than planned to the point where you yourself would have requested treatment from another publicly funded provider - possibly in another jurisdiction?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Yes	299	40	30	25	118	56	30	95	136	69	146	153
	30%	30%	29%	39%	31%	23%	41%	34%	34%	21%	30%	30%
				E			E	I	I			
No	693	86	72	39	264	188	44	179	258	254	331	362
	69%	65%	71%	60%	69%	77%	59%	64%	65%	78%	68%	70%
						CF				GH		
Don't know/Refused	8	6	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	1	7	1
	1%	4%	-	1%	0	0	-	2%	0	0	1%	0
		DE										

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

13. Some people have suggested a health charter should go beyond communicating patient rights and responsibilities and should become a guarantee of service providing patients with specific timelines and assurances of quality. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the strengthening of a health charter such that it guarantees the timeliness and quality of health services?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Strongly support	502	88	35	32	206	106	35	140	198	162	235	266
	50%	66%	34%	49%	54%	44%	47%	50%	50%	50%	49%	52%
		BDEF			BE							
Somewhat support	350	28	50	24	119	105	25	93	147	110	169	181
	35%	21%	49%	37%	31%	43%	34%	33%	37%	34%	35%	35%
Somewhat oppose			AD			AD						
	81	8	12	3	27	20	11	33	28	20	42	39
	8%	6%	12%	5%	7%	8%	14%	12%	7%	6%	9%	7%
Strongly oppose												
	55	9	5	4	23	12	3	11	19	25	34	22
	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	3%	4%	5%	8%	7%	4%
Don't know/Refused												
	12	0	0	2	8	1	1	3	3	6	4	8
	1%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
<b>TOPBOX &amp; LOWBOX SUMMARY</b>												
Support (Top2Box)	852	115	85	56	324	211	60	232	345	272	404	448
	85%	87%	83%	86%	85%	87%	81%	83%	87%	84%	83%	87%
Oppose (Low2Box)	136	17	17	7	51	31	13	43	47	46	76	61
	14%	13%	17%	11%	13%	13%	18%	16%	12%	14%	16%	12%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14A. Why do you say that you Strongly/Somewhat support?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Respondents who Support</b>												
Unweighted Base	833	99	81	54	333	211	55	124	343	365	405	428
Weighted Base	852	115	85	56	324	211	60	232	345	272	404	448
POSITIVE MENTIONS (NET)	555	83	45	27	213	151	36	152	227	174	268	287
	65%	72%	53%	49%	66%	71%	60%	66%	66%	64%	66%	64%
		BC				BC						
- Shortens/ eliminates wait times	152	16	8	7	64	43	13	41	59	52	79	73
	18%	14%	10%	13%	20%	20%	22%	18%	17%	19%	19%	16%
- Increases quality of care	125	17	8	3	41	52	4	44	46	36	45	81
	15%	15%	10%	6%	13%	24%	6%	19%	13%	13%	11%	18%
						BCDF						J
- Allows for access to health care when needed/ wanted	113	28	4	6	55	13	7	40	42	30	59	54
	13%	24%	5%	11%	17%	6%	11%	17%	12%	11%	14%	12%
		BE			BE							
- Increased accountability	65	9	9	2	32	10	3	20	30	16	28	37
	8%	8%	11%	4%	10%	5%	5%	8%	9%	6%	7%	8%
- It is a good idea	62	4	10	8	24	14	2	18	28	14	29	33
	7%	4%	11%	14%	7%	7%	3%	8%	8%	5%	7%	7%
- Allows equal access to care	58	7	4	2	26	11	8	11	22	25	29	29
	7%	6%	5%	4%	8%	5%	14%	5%	6%	9%	7%	7%
- Everyone has the right to health care	46	3	2	0	15	20	6	5	25	16	22	24
	5%	3%	2%	1%	5%	9%	9%	2%	7%	6%	5%	5%
- Increases understanding/ knowledge	29	6	3	1	12	7	1	10	12	6	9	20
	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%
- Gives more choice in care	27	4	4	0	17	1	1	9	9	9	13	13
	3%	3%	5%	-	5%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%
					E							
- It is necessary	23	2	0	1	9	9	3	5	10	9	7	16
	3%	1%	-	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
- Increases efficiency	15	2	0	0	10	2	0	2	10	3	10	5
	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%
- It is reassuring	15	4	1	0	6	3	0	6	4	5	6	10
	2%	4%	1%	-	2%	2%	-	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
- Will ensure that health care remains free	7	0	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	4	3	4
	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14A. Why do you say that you Strongly/Somewhat support?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
- Financially viable	5	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	2	2	2
	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
NEGATIVE MENTIONS (NET)	264	47	38	15	109	39	17	65	109	89	118	146
	31%	40%	45%	28%	34%	18%	27%	28%	32%	33%	29%	33%
- Unrealistic/ cannot make any guarantees		E	E		E							
	71	10	11	3	35	7	5	18	31	22	33	38
	8%	9%	12%	5%	11%	3%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%
- Increases/ does not decrease wait times			E		E							
	54	11	7	7	26	2	2	15	23	16	27	27
	6%	9%	8%	13%	8%	1%	3%	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%
- Everyone is different/ has different needs		E	E	E	E							
	34	2	7	0	11	6	7	4	24	6	19	14
	4%	2%	8%	-	3%	3%	12%	2%	7%	2%	5%	3%
- Increased abuse of the system/ less individual responsibility							ACDE		I			
	32	8	8	0	6	8	0	15	9	8	16	15
	4%	7%	9%	1%	2%	4%	-	6%	3%	3%	4%	3%
- Lack of medical staff		D	D									
	28	5	3	0	15	4	1	1	12	14	11	17
	3%	5%	3%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	4%	5%	3%	4%
- Too costly to implement										G		
	20	7	5	0	5	2	1	9	5	7	8	12
	2%	6%	6%	-	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%
- System is not patient-oriented		DE										
	18	3	0	5	8	2	0	7	4	6	4	14
	2%	2%	-	8%	3%	1%	-	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
- Too hard to implement			E									
	14	2	6	0	6	0	1	5	7	2	4	10
	2%	1%	7%	-	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
- Standards differ from province to province			E									
	12	6	3	0	2	0	0	0	5	7	8	4
	1%	5%	4%	-	1%	0	-	-	2%	2%	2%	1%
- Increases bureaucracy		DE										
	12	1	1	0	6	3	1	1	3	8	9	3
	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
- Lack of medical facilities/ equipment												
	11	3	0	0	6	2	0	0	2	9	7	4
	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	2%	1%
- Increases chances of complaints/ lawsuits										GH		
	9	1	3	0	6	0	0	4	3	2	5	5
	1%	1%	3%	-	2%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14A. Why do you say that you Strongly/Somewhat support?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
- Decreases quality of care	6	0	E 1	1	3	3	0	3	3	1	2	4
	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	0	1%	1%
- Increases possibility of errors	6	0	0	0	5	1	0	1	4	0	1	4
	1%	-	-	-	1%	0	-	1%	1%	0	0	1%
- Unclear/ needs to be more precise	5	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	3	3	1	5
	1%	1%	-	1%	0	0	3%	-	1%	1%	0	1%
- Tries to do too much	4	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	3	1	4
	1%	-	-	-	1%	0	-	-	0	1%	0	1%
- It is a bad idea	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	4
	0	1%	-	-	0	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%
- It is not necessary	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	3	1
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	0	1%	0
- Charter would need to be respected/ not all would abide by it	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	1	2
	0	1%	-	-	0	1%	-	-	0	1%	0	1%
Have never had any problems with the health care system	21	0	2	3	6	9	0	4	2	15	11	10
	2%	-	3%	6%	2%	4%	-	2%	1%	5%	3%	2%
Other	42	5	1	A 4	16	10	7	12	12	H 17	21	21
	5%	4%	1%	7%	5%	5%	12%	5%	4%	6%	5%	5%
No reason	8	1	1	B 2	4	0	0	3	3	2	2	7
	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0	1%
Don't know/Refused	69	8	7	E 8	18	21	7	17	29	23	30	39
	8%	7%	8%	15%	6%	10%	12%	7%	8%	8%	7%	9%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14B. Why do you say that you Somewhat/Strongly oppose?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Respondents who Oppose</b>												
Unweighted Base	156	21	19	10	61	36	9	23	54	79	88	68
Weighted Base	136	17	17	7	51	31	13	43	47	46	76	61
NEGATIVE MENTIONS (NET)	105	15	14	7	39	18	12	30	40	35	61	44
	77%	91%	82%	100%	77%	56%	88%	69%	84%	77%	80%	73%
		E		E								
- Unrealistic/ cannot make any guarantees	49	6	9	3	17	4	9	16	18	14	31	17
	36%	39%	51%	48%	33%	13%	70%	38%	38%	31%	41%	29%
			E				E					
- Increases/ does not decrease wait times	19	3	2	1	7	1	5	10	6	3	11	8
	14%	17%	10%	16%	15%	3%	38%	22%	12%	7%	15%	13%
- Everyone is different/ has different needs	17	1	0	1	5	2	7	10	5	2	13	3
	12%	8%	-	16%	10%	7%	52%	24%	10%	4%	18%	5%
							BDE	I				
- Too costly to implement	16	4	1	1	5	3	2	1	9	5	6	10
	12%	24%	5%	21%	10%	8%	13%	3%	19%	11%	8%	16%
- Increases bureaucracy	15	4	2	1	5	3	1	1	8	7	7	8
	11%	26%	10%	10%	10%	9%	4%	2%	16%	14%	10%	13%
- Increased abuse of the system/ less individual responsibility	11	1	1	1	3	3	2	1	5	4	5	5
	8%	4%	7%	11%	6%	9%	19%	3%	11%	9%	7%	9%
- Lack of medical staff	6	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	4	3	1	5
	5%	22%	3%	7%	2%	-	4%	-	8%	6%	1%	9%
		DE										
- Increases chances of complaints/ lawsuits	6	2	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	3	4	2
	5%	13%	3%	-	7%	-	-	7%	-	7%	5%	4%
- It is not necessary	6	1	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	4	4	2
	4%	5%	11%	-	4%	3%	-	-	3%	10%	5%	3%
- Lack of medical facilities/ equipment	5	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	3	2	2	3
	4%	17%	-	7%	-	5%	-	-	7%	4%	2%	6%
		D										
- Too hard to implement	4	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	2	3
	3%	4%	3%	8%	5%	-	-	-	6%	4%	2%	4%
- It is a bad idea	4	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	4	0
	3%	10%	8%	-	2%	1%	-	-	2%	7%	5%	1%

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14B. Why do you say that you Somewhat/Strongly oppose?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
- Decreases quality of care	4	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	2	2	3	1
	3%	-	8%	-	4%	2%	-	-	4%	5%	4%	2%
- Tries to do too much	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2%	-	2%	-	-	7%	-	-	4%	1%	-	4%
- Standards differ from province to province	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	-	3%	1%	1%
- Increases possibility of errors	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%
- Unclear/ needs to be more precise	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	3%	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
- System is not patient-oriented	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%
POSITIVE MENTIONS (NET)	26	1	2	0	15	8	0	9	10	7	12	15
	19%	8%	13%	-	29%	26%	-	20%	22%	16%	15%	24%
- Allows for access to health care when needed/ wanted	11	0	1	0	8	2	0	6	2	3	5	6
	8%	3%	8%	-	15%	6%	-	13%	5%	7%	7%	10%
- Shortens/ eliminates wait times	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	3	0	2	2
	3%	-	-	-	6%	4%	-	3%	7%	-	3%	4%
- Increases quality of care	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	2	2
	3%	-	-	-	6%	4%	-	-	6%	3%	3%	4%
- Allows equal access to care	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
	2%	-	-	-	2%	5%	-	3%	2%	1%	-	4%
- Increased accountability	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	1%	3%	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	2%	-
- It is a good idea	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	1%	1%	-	2%
- Will ensure that health care remains free	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	3%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-
- Increases understanding/ knowledge	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%



## CMA REPORT CARD

14B. Why do you say that you Somewhat/Strongly oppose?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
- Everyone has the right to health care	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
- Increases efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
Have never had any problems with the health care system	4	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	4	4	0
	3%	5%	8%	-	1%	-	12%	-	2%	8%	6%	-
Other	9	1	0	0	1	5	3	2	1	6	5	4
	7%	4%	2%	-	2%	15%	20%	5%	2%	13%	7%	6%
No reason	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
Don't know/Refused	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5	1	0	6	0
	4%	-	-	-	2%	16%	-	12%	1%	1%	8%	-
						D						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14A/B. Why do you say that you Support/Oppose?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Respondents who Support/Oppose</b>												
Unweighted Base	989	120	100	64	394	247	64	147	397	444	493	496
Weighted Base	988	132	102	63	375	243	73	276	392	318	480	508
POSITIVE MENTIONS (NET)	582	85	47	27	227	159	36	161	237	181	280	302
	59%	64%	46%	43%	61%	66%	50%	58%	60%	57%	58%	59%
		BC			B	BC						
- Shortens/ eliminates wait times	156	16	8	7	67	44	13	43	62	52	81	76
	16%	12%	8%	12%	18%	18%	18%	16%	16%	16%	17%	15%
- Increases quality of care	129	17	8	3	44	53	4	44	49	37	47	83
	13%	13%	8%	5%	12%	22%	5%	16%	12%	12%	10%	16%
						BCDF						J
- Allows for access to health care when needed/ wanted	124	28	5	6	62	15	7	46	44	33	64	60
	13%	21%	5%	10%	17%	6%	9%	17%	11%	11%	13%	12%
		BE			BE							
- Increased accountability	67	9	9	2	33	10	3	20	30	17	30	37
	7%	7%	9%	3%	9%	4%	4%	7%	8%	5%	6%	7%
- It is a good idea	63	4	10	8	24	15	2	18	28	15	29	34
	6%	3%	9%	12%	6%	6%	3%	6%	7%	5%	6%	7%
- Allows equal access to care	61	7	4	2	27	13	8	13	23	25	29	32
	6%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	11%	5%	6%	8%	6%	6%
- Everyone has the right to health care	47	4	2	0	15	20	6	5	25	17	22	25
	5%	3%	2%	1%	4%	8%	8%	2%	6%	5%	5%	5%
- Increases understanding/ knowledge	29	6	3	1	12	7	1	10	13	6	9	20
	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%
- Gives more choice in care	27	4	4	0	17	1	1	9	9	9	13	13
	3%	3%	4%	-	4%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
					E							
- It is necessary	23	2	0	1	9	9	3	5	10	9	7	16
	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%
- Increases efficiency	16	2	1	0	10	2	0	2	10	3	10	5
	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%
- It is reassuring	15	4	1	0	6	3	0	6	4	5	6	10
	2%	3%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
- Will ensure that health care remains free	8	0	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	4	4	4
	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14A/B. Why do you say that you Support/Oppose?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
- Financially viable	5	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	2	2	2
	0	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
NEGATIVE MENTIONS (NET)	369	62	52	23	148	57	28	95	149	125	179	190
	37%	47%	51%	36%	39%	23%	38%	35%	38%	39%	37%	37%
- Unrealistic/ cannot make any guarantees		E	E		E							
	119	17	19	6	52	11	14	35	48	36	64	55
	12%	13%	19%	10%	14%	5%	19%	13%	12%	11%	13%	11%
- Increases/ does not decrease wait times		E	E		E		E					
	73	14	9	8	34	2	7	24	29	19	39	34
	7%	10%	8%	13%	9%	1%	9%	9%	7%	6%	8%	7%
- Everyone is different/ has different needs		E	E		E		E					
	51	4	7	1	16	9	14	15	28	8	33	18
	5%	3%	6%	2%	4%	4%	19%	5%	7%	2%	7%	3%
- Increased abuse of the system/ less individual responsibility							ACDE		I			
	42	9	9	1	9	11	2	16	14	12	22	21
	4%	7%	9%	2%	3%	5%	3%	6%	4%	4%	5%	4%
- Too costly to implement		D										
	36	11	6	1	10	5	3	10	14	12	14	22
	4%	8%	6%	2%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%
- Lack of medical staff		DE										
	34	9	3	1	16	4	1	1	16	17	12	22
	3%	7%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	0	4%	5%	3%	4%
- Increases bureaucracy		E							G	G		
	27	5	3	1	11	6	1	2	10	14	16	11
	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	5%	3%	2%
- System is not patient-oriented												
	18	3	0	5	9	2	0	7	5	6	4	15
	2%	2%	-	7%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
- Too hard to implement				BE								J
	18	2	6	1	8	0	1	5	10	4	6	13
	2%	2%	6%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
- Lack of medical facilities/ equipment		E										
	16	6	0	1	6	4	0	0	5	11	9	7
	2%	4%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	1%	3%	2%	1%
- Increases chances of complaints/ lawsuits										G		
	16	3	3	0	10	0	0	7	3	5	9	7
	2%	2%	3%	-	3%	-	-	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%
- Standards differ from province to province			E		E							
	13	6	3	0	3	1	0	0	5	8	9	4
Ipsos Reid Public Affairs	1%	5%	3%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	2%	1%

July 15, 2011

FINAL DATA

## CMA REPORT CARD

## 14A/B. Why do you say that you Support/Oppose?

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		DE										
- Decreases quality of care	10	0	2	1	5	3	0	3	5	3	5	5
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
- It is not necessary	9	1	2	0	6	1	0	0	4	5	7	2
	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	0	-	-	1%	2%	1%	0
- It is a bad idea	9	2	1	0	3	2	0	0	3	5	4	5
	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	1%
- Tries to do too much	7	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	4	3	1	6
	1%	-	0	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0	1%
- Increases possibility of errors	7	0	0	0	5	2	0	2	4	0	1	5
	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	0	0	1%
- Unclear/ needs to be more precise	6	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	4	3	2	5
	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	0	1%
- Charter would need to be respected/ not all would abide by it	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	1	2
	0	1%	-	-	0	1%	-	-	0	1%	0	0
Have never had any problems with the health care system	26	1	4	3	7	9	2	4	3	19	15	10
	3%	1%	3%	6%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	6%	3%	2%
Other	51	5	1	4	16	14	10	14	13	23	26	25
	5%	4%	1%	6%	4%	6%	13%	5%	3%	7%	5%	5%
No reason	9	1	1	2	4	0	0	3	3	2	2	7
	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0	1%
Don't know/Refused	75	8	7	8	19	26	7	22	29	23	36	39
	8%	6%	7%	13%	5%	11%	10%	8%	7%	7%	7%	8%
						D						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

15. Some say that if the health care system included a mechanism to allow citizens who are not receiving adequate health care to request treatment from another health care jurisdiction, there would need to be a process to ensure that citizens' needs are met but also to ensure that this mechanism is used appropriately and within reason. Keeping this in mind, please tell me which of these statements is closest to your own opinion?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
If the health care system included a guarantee of service with specific timelines and assurances of quality and these were not met I would prefer to go to an independent ombudsman to complain and look for care in another jurisdiction												
	783	111	81	50	314	169	58	210	318	256	363	420
	78%	84%	79%	77%	82%	69%	78%	75%	81%	79%	75%	81%
		E			E							J
If the health care system included a guarantee of service with specific timelines and assurances of quality and these were not met I would prefer to go through the court system to seek some form of compensation												
	163	20	15	13	46	63	6	49	62	50	93	71
	16%	15%	15%	20%	12%	26%	8%	18%	16%	15%	19%	14%
						DF						
Don't know/Refused	54	1	6	2	23	12	10	20	15	18	29	25
	5%	1%	6%	3%	6%	5%	14%	7%	4%	6%	6%	5%
							AE					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

16. Do you have a family physician or doctor you usually see for medical care?

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Yes	843	122	79	57	352	174	60	199	343	299	396	447
	84%	93%	77%	88%	92%	71%	81%	71%	87%	92%	82%	87%
		BE		E	BEF				G	GH		
No	157	10	23	8	31	70	14	80	52	25	88	69
	16%	7%	23%	12%	8%	29%	19%	29%	13%	8%	18%	13%
			AD			ACD	D	HI	I			

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

17. Have you seen your family physician or doctor in the last year?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Have a family physician or doctor</b>												
Unweighted Base	876	111	84	60	377	187	57	114	344	417	423	453
Weighted Base	843	122	79	57	352	174	60	199	343	299	396	447
Yes	736	117	73	51	305	135	55	166	294	273	328	408
	87%	96%	93%	89%	87%	78%	92%	83%	86%	91%	83%	91%
		DE	E		E		E			GH		J
No	107	5	6	6	47	39	5	33	49	26	68	39
	13%	4%	7%	11%	13%	22%	8%	17%	14%	9%	17%	9%
					A	ABDF		I	I		K	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## CMA REPORT CARD

18. Which of the following statements apply to you?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
You or someone in your family has been hospitalized in the last year	316	55	30	18	112	77	25	78	137	99	166	150
	32%	41%	29%	27%	29%	31%	34%	28%	35%	31%	34%	29%
		D										
You or someone in your family had to go to an emergency room within the last year	516	62	56	31	197	132	39	162	214	138	242	274
	52%	47%	54%	47%	51%	54%	52%	58%	54%	42%	50%	53%
								I	I			
You or someone in your family had to see a medical specialist in the past year	598	85	62	26	236	142	47	160	243	195	286	312
	60%	65%	61%	39%	62%	58%	63%	57%	62%	60%	59%	60%
		C	C		C	C	C					
You or someone in your family had to find a new family doctor in the past year	194	27	26	10	77	41	13	34	91	66	87	107
	19%	21%	25%	15%	20%	17%	17%	12%	23%	20%	18%	21%
									G			
Don't know/Refused	166	23	13	15	53	55	7	45	62	59	78	88
	17%	17%	13%	22%	14%	23%	10%	16%	16%	18%	16%	17%
						D						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K



## DEMOGRAPHICS

Region:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
British Columbia	132	132	0	0	0	0	0	19	52	60	72	60
	13%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	13%	19%	15%	12%
		BCDEF								G		
Alberta	102	0	102	0	0	0	0	31	36	35	39	63
	10%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	11%	9%	11%	8%	12%
			ACDEF									
Sask/Man	65	0	0	65	0	0	0	19	23	23	34	31
	6%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%
				ABDEF								
Ontario	383	0	0	0	383	0	0	113	153	114	193	190
	38%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	41%	39%	35%	40%	37%
					ABCEF							
Quebec	244	0	0	0	0	244	0	75	102	66	108	136
	24%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	27%	26%	20%	22%	26%
						ABCDF						
Atlantic	74	0	0	0	0	0	74	21	28	26	38	36
	7%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%
						ABCDE						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Province:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
British Columbia	132	132	0	0	0	0	0	19	52	60	72	60
	13%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	13%	19%	15%	12%
		BCDEF								G		
Alberta	102	0	102	0	0	0	0	31	36	35	39	63
	10%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	11%	9%	11%	8%	12%
			ACDEF									
Saskatchewan	29	0	0	29	0	0	0	13	8	8	13	16
	3%	-	-	45%	-	-	-	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%
				ABDEF								
Manitoba	36	0	0	36	0	0	0	6	16	15	20	16
	4%	-	-	55%	-	-	-	2%	4%	5%	4%	3%
				ABDEF								
Ontario	383	0	0	0	383	0	0	113	153	114	193	190
	38%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	41%	39%	35%	40%	37%
					ABCEF							
Quebec	244	0	0	0	0	244	0	75	102	66	108	136
	24%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	27%	26%	20%	22%	26%
						ABCDF						
New Brunswick	34	0	0	0	0	0	34	11	15	8	20	14
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	46%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%
							ABCDE					
Nova Scotia	22	0	0	0	0	0	22	4	5	13	12	11
	2%	-	-	-	-	-	30%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%
							ABCDE			H		
Prince Edward Island	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	1	3	1	5
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	1%	0	1%	0	1%
							ABDE					
Newfoundland	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	7	2	6	7
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	17%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
							ABCDE					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

## Canadian Markets:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
WEST (NET)	299	132	102	65	0	0	0	70	112	118	145	154
	30%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-	25%	28%	36%	30%	30%
		DEF	DEF	DEF						GH		
- British Columbia	132	132	0	0	0	0	0	19	52	60	72	60
	13%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	13%	19%	15%	12%
		BCDEF								G		
- Alberta	102	0	102	0	0	0	0	31	36	35	39	63
	10%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	11%	9%	11%	8%	12%
			ACDEF									
- Saskatchewan/Manitoba	65	0	0	65	0	0	0	19	23	23	34	31
	6%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%
				ABDEF								
ONTARIO (NET)	383	0	0	0	383	0	0	113	153	114	193	190
	38%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	41%	39%	35%	40%	37%
					ABCEF							
GTA (SUBNET)	185	0	0	0	185	0	0	63	74	49	89	96
	18%	-	-	-	48%	-	-	22%	19%	15%	18%	19%
					ABCEF							
- 416	40	0	0	0	40	0	0	18	16	6	13	27
	4%	-	-	-	10%	-	-	6%	4%	2%	3%	5%
					ABCEF			I				
- 905	145	0	0	0	145	0	0	45	58	43	76	70
	15%	-	-	-	38%	-	-	16%	15%	13%	16%	13%
					ABCEF							
- Central Ontario	59	0	0	0	59	0	0	17	25	17	29	30
	6%	-	-	-	15%	-	-	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%
					ABCEF							
- Eastern Ontario	47	0	0	0	47	0	0	9	18	20	26	21
	5%	-	-	-	12%	-	-	3%	5%	6%	5%	4%
					ABCEF							
- SouthWest Ontario	58	0	0	0	58	0	0	17	23	15	28	30
	6%	-	-	-	15%	-	-	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%
					ABCEF							
- Northern Ontario	34	0	0	0	34	0	0	7	13	13	21	13
	3%	-	-	-	9%	-	-	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%
					ABEF							
QUEBEC (NET)	244	0	0	0	0	244	0	75	102	66	108	136
	24%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	27%	26%	20%	22%	26%
						ABCDF						
- Montreal CMA - Quebec	116	0	0	0	0	116	0	39	49	29	49	67
	12%	-	-	-	-	48%	-	14%	12%	9%	10%	13%
						ABCDF						
	128	0	0	0	0	128	0	37	54	37	59	69

DEMOGRAPHICS

Canadian Markets:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
- Other - Quebec	13%	-	-	-	-	52%	-	13%	14%	11%	12%	13%
						ABCDF						
Atlantic	74	0	0	0	0	0	74	21	28	26	38	36
	7%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%
							ABCDE					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

## Urban / Rural

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Urban	824	117	78	43	323	204	60	219	339	264	403	421
	82%	88%	76%	66%	84%	84%	81%	78%	86%	81%	83%	81%
		C			C	C						
Rural	176	15	24	22	60	40	14	60	56	60	81	95
	18%	12%	24%	34%	16%	16%	19%	22%	14%	19%	17%	19%
				ADE								

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

British Columbia FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: BC respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	120	120	0	0	0	0	0	9	39	72	66	54
Weighted Base	132	132	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	19	52	60	72	60
V2A - Penticton	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	5%
V3A - Langley City	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	3%
V4A - Surrey Southwest	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	2%	-
V7A - Richmond South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V8A - Powell River	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	3%	2%	1%
V9A - Esquimalt	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	2%	1%
V0B - East Kootenays (Ferne)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	3%	3%	-
V2B - Kamloops Northwest	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	2%	2%	2%
V3B - Port Coquitlam Central	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	2
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	4%	2%	3%
V4B - White Rock	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%
V1C - Cranbrook	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	-
V4C - Delta Northeast	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0
	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	5%	-
V5C - Burnaby (Burnaby Heights / Willingdon Heights / West Central Valley)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
V1E - Salmon Arm	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	2%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

British Columbia FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
V3E - Port Coquitlam North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V5E - Burnaby (Lakeview-Mayfield / Richmond Park / Kingsway-Beresford)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V7E - Richmond Southwest	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V1G - Dawson Creek	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	-
V3G - Abbotsford East	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V8G - Terrace	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	5%
V0H - South Okanagan (Summerland)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
V3H - Port Moody	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	2%	-
V6H - Vancouver (West Fairview / Granville Island / NE Shaughnessy)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	2%	-
V7H - North Vancouver Inner East	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	2%	1%
V0J - Omineca and Yellowhead (Smithers)	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	7	0
	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	27%	-	4%	10%	-
								H				
V1J - Fort St. John	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	3%	-
V5J - Burnaby (Suncrest / Sussex-Nelson / Clinton-Glenwood / West Big Bend)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V2K - Prince George North	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	5%
V3K - Coquitlam South	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	3%	-

## DEMOGRAPHICS

British Columbia FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
V5K - Vancouver (North Hastings-Sunrise)	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	1
	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	3%	5%	1%
V7K - North Vancouver North Central	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V9K - Qualicum Beach	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V1L - Nelson	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
V5L - Vancouver (North Grandview-Woodlands)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
V6L - Vancouver (NW Arbutus Ridge / NE Dunbar-Southlands)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
V9L - Duncan	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	1%	1%	3%
V0M - Harrison Lake Region (Agassiz)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	-
V0N - North Island, Sunshine Coast, and Southern Gulf Islands (Whistler)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	3%	-
V2N - Prince George South	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	1
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	1%	3%	1%
V7N - North Vancouver Northwest Central	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V8N - Saanich East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V2P - Chilliwack Central	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	3
	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	-	4%	5%
									1			
V9P - Parksville	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	1%	4%	1%
V0R - Central Island (Chemainus)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%



## DEMOGRAPHICS

British Columbia FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
V3R - Surrey North	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	2
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	1%	2%	3%
V4R - Maple Ridge Northwest	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V5R - Vancouver (South Renfrew-Collingwood)	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	11%	-	1%	1%	4%
V7R - North Vancouver Northwest	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	3%
V2S - Abbotsford Southeast	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	3%	-
V3S - Surrey East	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	5
	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	4%	1%	8%
V1T - Vernon Central	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	1%	2%
V5T - Vancouver (East Mount Pleasant)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V7T - West Vancouver Southeast	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	3%
V9T - Nanaimo North	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%
V2V - Mission East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V5V - Vancouver (West Kensington / NE Riley Park-Little Mountain)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V7V - West Vancouver South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V8V - Victoria South	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
V2W - Maple Ridge East	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	2%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

British Columbia FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
V3W - Surrey Upper West	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	4%
V4W - Langley Township East	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
V5W - Vancouver (SE Riley Park-Little Mountain / SW Kensington / NE Oakridge / North Sunset)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
V9W - Campbell River Central	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%
V2X - Maple Ridge West	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3
	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	3%	1%	4%
V5X - Vancouver (SE Oakridge / East Marpole / South Sunset)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
V8X - Saanich South	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0
	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	4%	-
V1Y - Kelowna Central	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4	0
	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	3%	5%	-
V2Y - Langley Township Northwest	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	1%	2%	-
V9Y - Port Alberni	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4
	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	2%	6%
V5Z - Vancouver (East Fairview / South Cambie)	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3
	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	1%	1%	5%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Alberta FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Alberta respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	14	37	49	45	55
Weighted Base	102	**-	102	**-	**-	**-	**-	31	36	35	39	63
T0A - Eastern Alberta (St. Paul)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%
T1A - Medicine Hat Central	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%
T3A - Calgary (Dalhousie / Edgemont / Hamptons / Hidden Valley)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
T5A - Edmonton (West Clareview / East Londonderry)	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	2	5
	6%	-	6%	-	-	-	-	5%	4%	10%	5%	7%
T8A - Sherwood Park West	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	3%	-
T9A - Wetaskiwin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
T0B - Wainwright Region (Tofield)	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	4%	2%	2%
T1B - Medicine Hat South	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
T3B - Calgary (Montgomery / Bowness / Silver Springs / Greenwood)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
T4B - Airdrie West	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
T0C - Central Alberta (Stettler)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
T2C - Calgary (Lynnwood Ridge / Ogden / Foothills Industrial / Great Plains)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	3%	-
T4C - Cochrane	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	3%	1%
Ipsos Reid Public Affairs July 15, 2011 FINAL DATA	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Alberta FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
T5C - Edmonton (Central Londonderry)	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	5%	-	1%	-	3%
T2E - Calgary (Bridgeland / Greenview / Zoo / YYC)	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	4%	-	1%	-	3%
T5E - Edmonton (West Londonderry / East Calder)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	2%
T7E - Edson	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2
	4%	-	4%	-	-	-	-	5%	7%	-	4%	4%
T9E - Leduc (Includes YEG)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
T0G - North Central Alberta (Slave Lake)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	2%
T2G - Calgary (Inglewood / Burnslan / Chinatown / East Victoria Park / Saddledome)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
T3G - Calgary (Hawkwood / Arbour Lake / Citadel / Ranchlands / Royal Oak / Rocky Ridge)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	3%	-
T9G - Devon	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	5%	-
T0H - Northwestern Alberta (High Level)	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	7
	7%	-	7%	-	-	-	-	19%	-	4%	-	12%
T9H - Fort McMurray Outer Central	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	5%	-
T0J - Southeastern Alberta (Drumheller)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%
T3J - Calgary (Martindale / Taradale / Falconridge / Saddle Ridge)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
T6J - Edmonton (Kaskitayo)	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	2
	4%	-	4%	-	-	-	-	3%	7%	1%	6%	3%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Alberta FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
T0K - International Border Region (Cardston)	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2%	0 -	0 -	1 1%
T1K - Lethbridge West and South	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 1%	0 1%	0 -
T2K - Calgary (Thorncliffe / Tuxedo)	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4%	0 -	1 2%
T3K - Calgary (Sandstone MacEwan / Beddington / Harvest Hills / Coventry Hills / Panorama Hills / Beddington)	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 1%	0 1%	0 -
T0L - Kananaskis Country (Claresholm)	2 2%	0 -	2 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2%	2 5%	2 5%	1 1%
T2L - Calgary (Brentwood / Collingwood / Nose Hill)	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3%	0 1%	1 2%	1 1%
T6L - Edmonton (East Mill Woods)	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2%	0 -	0 -	1 1%
T0M - Central Foothills (Sundre)	8 8%	0 -	8 8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 15%	3 8%	0 -	0 -	8 12%
T2N - Calgary (Kensington / Westmont / Parkdale / University)	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1%	1 3%	1 2%	1 1%
T4N - Red Deer Central	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2%	0 -	0 -	1 1%
T8N - St. Albert	8 7%	0 -	8 7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 15%	2 7%	0 1%	0 -	8 12%
T4P - Red Deer North	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4%	1 3%	0 -
T4R - Red Deer South	1 1%	0 -	1 1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2%	0 -	0 -	1 1%
T6R - Edmonton (Riverbend)	2 2%	0 -	2 2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4%	0 -	0 1%	0 1%	1 2%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Alberta FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
T8R - Morinville	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	3%	-
T2T - Calgary South (Altadore / Bankview / Richmond)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
T5T - Edmonton (West Jasper Place / West Edmonton Mall)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
T6T - Edmonton (Meadows)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
T4V - Camrose	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
T7V - Hinton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
T8V - Grande Prairie Central	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	3%	-
T9W - Wainwright	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	4%	-
T1X - Chestermere	14	0	14	0	0	0	0	5	7	2	7	7
	14%	-	14%	-	-	-	-	17%	18%	7%	18%	12%
T2X - Calgary (Midnapore / Sundance)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
T4X - Beaumont	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
T7X - Spruce Grove North	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	3%	-
T9X - Vermilion	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
T1Y - Calgary (Rundle / Whitehorn / Monterey Park)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	2%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Saskatchewan FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Saskatchewan respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	30	0	0	30	0	0	0	6	9	15	14	16
Weighted Base	29	-.**	-.**	29	-.**	-.**	-.**	13	8	8	13	16
S0A - Yorkton Region (Melville)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	-	14%	5%	3%
S4A - Estevan	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	8%	-	-	8%	-
S9A - North Battleford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	3%	-
S0E - Eastern Saskatchewan (Melfort)	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	7%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	26%	-	15%	-
S0G - South Central Saskatchewan (Fort Qu'Appelle)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	9%	-	-	-	7%
S0H - Southern Saskatchewan (Assiniboia)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	3%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	5%
S6H - Moose Jaw Southeast	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
	6%	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	-	22%	9%	3%
S7H - Saskatoon East Central	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
	9%	-	-	9%	-	-	-	11%	8%	6%	11%	7%
S0J - Northern Saskatchewan (La Ronge)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	3%	-
S7J - Saskatoon South Central	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	3%
S7K - Saskatoon North Central	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0
	14%	-	-	14%	-	-	-	30%	-	-	30%	-
S0L - Western Saskatchewan (Kindersley)	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3
	10%	-	-	10%	-	-	-	9%	-	23%	3%	17%
S7L - Saskatoon West (YXE)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	3%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	5%
S0M - Northwestern Saskatchewan (Battleford) Ipsos Reid Public Affairs July 15, 2011 FINAL DATA	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	4%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Saskatchewan FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
S7M - Saskatoon Southwest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	2%
S4N - Regina Northeast and East Central	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	10%	4%	2%	5%
S4P - Regina Central	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	3%	-
S4R - Regina North Central	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	5
	18%	-	-	18%	-	-	-	34%	10%	-	-	34%
S4S - Regina South	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	4%
S6V - Prince Albert Central	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	9%	-	5%	-
S6X - Prince Albert East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	2%	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing



## DEMOGRAPHICS

Manitoba FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Manitoba respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	35	0	0	35	0	0	0	2	13	20	19	16
Weighted Base	36	-.**	-.**	36	-.**	-.**	-.**	6	16	15	20	16
R0A - Southeastern Manitoba (Lorette)	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	8%	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	19%	-	-	19%
R1A - Selkirk	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	4%	-
R8A - Flin Flon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	-
R0C - North Interlake (Stonewall)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	3%
R2C - Winnipeg (Transcona)	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0
	7%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	-	17%	13%	-
R0E - Eastern Manitoba (Beausejour)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	9%
R2G - Winnipeg (River East North)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	9%
R0J - Riding Mountain (Neepawa)	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0
	11%	-	-	11%	-	-	-	71%	-	-	20%	-
								I				
R3J - Winnipeg (St. James-Assiniboia SE)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	3%
R0K - Brandon Region (Killarney)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	9%
R0L - Western Manitoba (Swan River)	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	6%	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	14%	-	-	14%
R2L - Winnipeg (River East South)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	-
R0M - Southwestern Manitoba (Virden)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%
R2M - Winnipeg (St. Vital North)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	7%	2%	3%	6%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Manitoba FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
R3M - Winnipeg (Crescentwood Central)	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	29%	-	-	-	10%
R1N - Portage la Prairie	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	3%	-
R3N - Winnipeg (River Heights West)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
	3%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	4%	3%	-	7%
R7N - Dauphin	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	5%	-	4%	-
R8N - Thompson	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	6%	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	13%	-	10%	-
R2P - Winnipeg (Seven Oaks West)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	6%	-
R3T - Winnipeg (Fort Garry NE / University of Manitoba)	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	1	5	1
	15%	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	31%	4%	23%	5%
R2V - Winnipeg (Seven Oaks East)	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
	5%	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	12%	6%	3%
R6W - Winkler	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	5%	-	4%	-
R3Y - Winnipeg (Fort Garry West)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	2%	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Ontario respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	400	0	0	0	400	0	0	60	172	167	210	190
Weighted Base	383	-**	-**	-**	383	-**	-**	113	153	114	193	190
<b>EASTERN ONTARIO</b>												
K0A - National Capital Region (Embrun)	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	3%	0	-	-	2%
K2A - Ottawa (Highland Park / McKellar Park / Carlingwood)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
K4A - Ottawa (Fallingbrook)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
K6A - Hawkesbury	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
K7A - Smiths Falls	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0
K8A - Pembroke Central and northern subdivisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
K9A - Cobourg	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
K0B - Prescott and Russell United Counties (Alfred)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	0	1%	-
K7C - Carleton Place	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
K0E - South Leeds and Grenville United Counties (Prescott)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
K1E - Ottawa (Queenswood)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
K1G - Ottawa (Riverview / Hawthorne)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
K2G - Ottawa (Davidson Heights)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	2	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
K7G - Gananoque	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
K0H - Frontenac County, Addington County, Loyalist Shores and Southwest Leeds (Inverary)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	0
K1H - Ottawa (Alta Vista)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	0	0
K2H - Ottawa (Bells Corners / Arlington Woods)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
K8H - Petawawa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
K9H - Peterborough Central	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
K1J - Ottawa (Beacon Hill / Cyrville)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
K6J - Cornwall West	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
K9J - Peterborough South	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	2	0	4
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%
K0K - Quinte Shores, East Northumberland County & Prince Edward County (Picton)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	3	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0	1%	0
K1K - Ottawa (Overbrook / Vanier)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
K7K - Kingston (SW Pittsburgh Township)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
K9K - Peterborough (Fairbairn Meadows / Jackson Heights)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
K0L - Peterborough County and North Hastings County (Lakefield)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0	1%	0	1%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
K1L - Ottawa (Vanier)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
K7L - Kingston (Downtown)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
K0M - Kawartha lakes and Haliburton County (Bobcaygeon)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
K2M - Ottawa (Bridlewood)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
K7M - Kingston (Reddendale / Cataraqui / Collins Bay)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
K1N - Ottawa (Lower Town / Byward Market / Sandy Hill / University of Ottawa)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	1%	-
K8N - Belleville East	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
K2P - Ottawa (Centre Town)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
K4P - Ottawa (Greely)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
K8P - Belleville West	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	0	0
K4R - Russell	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
K7R - Napanee	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
K1V - Ottawa (Riverside Park / Hunt Club West / Riverside South / YOW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
K6V - Brockville	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
K7V - Renfrew	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
K9V - Lindsay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
K1Y - Ottawa West	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
CENTRAL ONTARIO												
L0A - West Northumberland County (Millbrook)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
L1A - Port Hope	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	0
L4A - Stouffville	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	2	1	3
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	2%
L5A - Mississauga (Mississauga Valleys / East Cooksville)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0	-	0	1%
L6A - Maple	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	0	-	1%	-
L7A - Brampton West	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	3	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0	0	1%	-
L6B - Markham East	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L7B - King City	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
L1C - Bowmanville West	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L4C - Richmond Hill Southwest	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	1%	1%	-
L5C - Mississauga (West Creditview / Mavis / Erindale)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
L9C - Hamilton (Southam / Bonnington / Yeoville / Kernighan / Gourley / Rolston / Buchanan / Mohawk / Westcliffe / Gilbert / Gilkson / Gurnett / Fessenden / Mountview)	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	3	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	2%	1%
L1E - Courtice	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L4E - Richmond Hill North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
L6E - Markham Northeast	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
L7E - Bolton	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L8E - Hamilton (Confederation Park / Nashdale / East Kentley / Riverdale / Lakely / Grayside / North Stoney Creek)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	1%	-
L0G - Ontario Centre (Queensville)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	0	1%
L2G - Niagara Falls Southeast	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	4%	-	-	2%	-
L4G - Aurora	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	1%	-
L7G - Georgetown	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	5	0	4	2
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	3%	-	2%	1%
L9G - Ancaster West	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L1H - Oshawa Southeast	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
L4H - Woodbridge North	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
L5H - Mississauga (West Port Credit / Lorne Park / East Sheridan)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	1%	1%	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
L6H - Oakville North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
L9H - Dundas	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L7J - Acton	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
L8J - Hamilton (East Albion Falls / South Stoney Creek)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
L0K - Lake Simcoe North Shore (Coldwater)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
L3K - Port Colborne	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L5K - Mississauga (West Sheridan)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
L8K - Hamilton (East Delta / Bartonville / Glenview / Rosedale / Lower King's Forest / Red Hill / Corman / Vincent / South Gershome)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L9K - Ancaster East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
L0L - Lake Simcoe West Shore (Oro)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L4L - Woodbridge South	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	2%	0	1%
L5L - Mississauga (Erin Mills / Western Business Park)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
L6L - Oakville South	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	4	2	0	7	0
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	1%	0	3%	-
L7L - Burlington Northeast	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0	1%



## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
L8L - Hamilton (West Industrial Sector / West Crown Point / North Stipley / North Gibson / Landsdale / Keith / North End / Beasley)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
L0M - Georgian Bay South Shore (Angus)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
L1M - Whitby North	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
L2M - St. Catharines (East North End / Port Weller / Facer)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
L4M - Barrie North	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	0
L5M - Mississauga (Churchill Meadows / Central Erin Mills / South Streetsville)	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	3	3	1	3	5
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%
L6M - Oakville West	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	1	2	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
L0N - Dufferin County (Shelburne)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L2N - St. Catharines (West North End / Port Dalhousie / St. George's Point / Michigan Beach) / Lancaster / North Martindale)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
L4N - Barrie South	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0	-	1%	-
L5N - Mississauga (Lisgar / Meadowvale)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L8N - Hamilton (Stinson / Corktown)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
L9N - Holland Landing	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
L0P - Halton Region (Campbellville)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
L3P - Markham Central	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
L4P - Keswick	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	4
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	2%
L6P - Brampton North	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	4%	-	0	0	2%
L7P - Burlington West	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
L9P - Uxbridge	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	2%	1%	0
L2R - St. Catharines (Downtown / West Queenston / Fitzgerald / Orchard Park / Haig / North Glenridge)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%
L3R - Markham Outer Southwest	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
L5R - Mississauga (West Hurontario / SW Gateway)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
L6R - Brampton Northwest	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
L7R - Burlington Southeast	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
L9R - Alliston	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	1%	1%	0
L1S - Ajax Southwest	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	-
L3S - Markham Southeast	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
L6S - Brampton North Central	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	4	2	2	4
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	2%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
L9S - Innisfil	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0	0
L1T - Ajax Northwest	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	6	1	1	2	5
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	5%	0	0	1%	3%
L3T - Thornhill East	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
L4T - Mississauga (Malton)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
L6T - Brampton East	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	3
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%
L8T - Hamilton (Sherwood / Huntington / Upper King's Forest / Lisgar / Berrisfield / Hampton Heights / Sunninghill)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
L9T - Milton	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	0	1%
L3V - Orillia	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0	-	0	1%
L6V - Brampton Central	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
L5W - Mississauga (Meadowvale Village / West Gateway)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
L6W - Brampton Southeast	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	1	4	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	0
L9W - Orangeville South	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	4	0	6
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	1%	4%	0	3%
L1X - Pickering Central	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
L3X - Newmarket Southwest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
L4X - Mississauga (East Applewood / East Dixie / NE Lakeview)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
L6X - Brampton Southwest	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	1%
L3Y - Newmarket Northeast	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	4	1	0	5	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	4%	0	-	2%	-
L4Y - Mississauga (West Applewood / West Dixie / NW Lakeview)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	0	0	1%
L6Y - Brampton South	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0	0
L9Y - Collingwood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
L1Z - Ajax East	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	2	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	0
L3Z - Bradford	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	4	1	1	5	1
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	0	1%	2%	1%
L4Z - Mississauga (West Rathwood / East Hurontario / SE Gateway)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	0	0
L6Z - Brampton West Central	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0	1%
GTA												
M6C - York (Humewood-Cedarvale)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
M4E - East Toronto (The Beaches)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	2%
M6E - York (Caledonia-Fairbanks)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
M6G - Downtown Toronto (Christie)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
M6H - West Toronto (Dufferin / Dovercourt Village)	17	0	0	0	17	0	0	7	8	1	12	5
	4%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	6%	5%	1%	6%	3%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
M4J - East Toronto (The Danforth East)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
M5J - Downtown Toronto (Harbourfront East / Union Station / Toronto Island)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
M6J - West Toronto (Rua Açores / Trinity)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0	1%
M4K - East Toronto (The Danforth West / Riverdale)	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	1	5
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	3%	-	0	2%
M4M - East Toronto (Studio District)	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	5	0	2	0	7
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	-	2%	-	4%
												J
M5M - North York (Bedford Park / Lawrence Park West / Lawrence Manor East)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
M4N - Central Toronto (Lawrence Park East)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
M6N - York (Runnymede / The Junction North)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0
M6P - West Toronto (High Park / The Junction South)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
M4R - Central Toronto (North Toronto West)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
M5R - Central Toronto (The Annex / North Midtown / Yorkville)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
M6R - West Toronto (Parkdale / Roncesvalles Village)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
M4T - Central Toronto (Moore Park / Summerhill East)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
M41 - Central Toronto (Moore Park / Summerhill East)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
M5T - Downtown Toronto (Kensington Market / Chinatown / Grange Park)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
WESTERN ONTARIO												
N3A - Baden	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
N5A - Stratford North	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	0	0
N9A - Windsor (City Centre / NW Walkerville)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
N0B - Wellington (Elora)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
N2B - Kitchener Northeast	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
N0C - Georgian Bay Southwest Shore (Dundalk)	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	1	0	0	6
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	4%	1%	-	-	3%
N6C - London South (East Highland / North White Oaks / North Westminster)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	-	0	1%
N2E - Kitchener Southwest	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
N6E - London (South White Oaks / Central Westminster / East Longwoods / West Brockley)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
N0G - Huron (Wingham)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	1%	1%	0
N1G - Guelph Central	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
N4G - Tillsonburg	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%

Ipsos Reid Public Affairs

July 15, 2011

FINAL DATA

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
N6G - London (Sunningdale / West Masonville / Medway / NE Hyde Park / East Fox Hollow)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
N9G - Windsor (Roseland)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
N0H - Bruce Peninsula (Warton)	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	2	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	2%	1%	1%
N1H - Guelph Northwest	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
N2H - Kitchener North Central	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
N8H - Leamington	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	1%	0	1%
N0J - Oxford (Norwich)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	1%	-
N6J - London Southcrest / East Westmount / West Highland)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	0	-	1%	0
N9J - La Salle West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
N0K - Perth (Mitchell)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
N0L - Elgin (Dorchester)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%
N4L - Meaford	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
N0M - Middlesex (Clinton)	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	1	1	3
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	2%
N2M - Kitchener Northwest	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
N6M - London (Jackson / Old Victoria / Bradley / North Highbury)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
N0N - Lambton (Forest)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
N2N - Kitchener West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
N8N - Tecumseh Outskirts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
N0P - Kent (Blenheim)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
N0R - Essex (Belle River)	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	6	1	0	7	0
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	5%	0	0	4%	-
N3R - Brantford Central	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	3	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	0	2%	0
N1T - Cambridge East	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
N3T - Brantford Southwest	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0	1%
N7T - Sarnia Southwest	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
N9V - Amherstburg	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0
N3W - Caledonia	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
N5W - London East (SW Argyle / Hamilton Road)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
N7X - Sarnia Northeast	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
N3Y - Simcoe	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0
N5Y - London (West Huron Heights / Carling)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0



## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
<b>NORTHERN ONTARIO</b>												
P1A - North Bay South	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	0	-
P7A - Thunder Bay Northeast	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
P9A - Fort Frances	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
P2B - Sturgeon Falls	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%
P6C - Sault Ste. Marie North	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
P7C - Thunder Bay Central	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
P3E - Greater Sudbury (Robinson / Lockerby)	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	4	3	1	5	4
	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%
P5E - Espanola	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
P0H - Nipissing North (Callander)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
P0K - Timiskaming North (Iroquois Falls A)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
P0M - Algoma, Sudbury District and Greater Sudbury (Chelmsford)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
P0N - Timmins Region (South Porcupine)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
P2N - Kirkland Lake	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
P9N - Kenora	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	1	3	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%
	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Ontario FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
POP - Manitoulin (Little Current)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
POS - Lake Superior East Shore (Wawa)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
POT - Lake Superior North Shore (Marathon)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0
POW - Rainy River Region (Emo)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Quebec respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	250	0	0	0	0	250	0	47	107	96	112	138
Weighted Base	244	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	244	-.**	75	102	66	108	136
<b>WESTERN QUEBEC</b>												
J5A - Saint-Constant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
J6A - Repentigny South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
J7A - Sainte-Therese-de-Blainville East	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	6%	-	-	-	3%
J0B - Estrie-Est (Stanstead)	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	0	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	2%
J2B - Drummondville South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
J3B - Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu Central	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
J4B - Boucherville	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	0
J9B - Chelsea	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
J0C - Centre-du-Quebec-Ouest (Saint-Bonaventure)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
J1C - Bromptonville	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	1%	0	0
J2C - Drummondville Central	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
J8C - Sainte-Agathe-Des-Monts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
J0E - Estrie-Ouest (Fulford)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	4%	1%	1%
J3E - Sainte-Julie	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
J6E - Joliette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
J9E - Maniwaki	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
J0G - Bois-Francs-Nord (Odanak)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
J3G - Beloeil West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
J7G - Sainte-Therese-de-Blainville South	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
J8G - Chatham	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	1	3	1
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%
J0H - Bois-Francs-Sud (Saint-Nazaire-D'Acton)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	2%
J1H - Sherbrooke Central	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	3%	-	1%
J3H - Beloeil East	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	3	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%	2%	-
J7H - Sainte-Therese-de-Blainville Southwest	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
J9H - Aylmer South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
J4J - Longueuil Central	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
J9J - Aylmer North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
J0K - Lanaudiere-Nord (Saint-Esprit)	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	4	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	5%	-	1%	4%	-
J7K - Mascouche Extrémities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	-

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
J1L - Sherbrooke Northwest	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
J3L - Chambly	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
J7L - Mascouche Central	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
J8M - Masson-Angers	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
J0N - Region d'Oka (Oka) (Coteau-du-Lac)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
J3N - Saint-Basile-Le-Grand	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	2%
J6N - Beauharnois	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
J3P - Sorel Central	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	0	4	3
	3%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	9%	-	-	3%	2%
J7P - Saint-Eustache Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
J8P - Gatineau Southeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
J9P - Val-d'Or	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
J0R - Laurentides-Sud (Prevost) (Saint-Anicet)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
J4R - Saint-Lambert Central	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
J5R - La Prairie	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	1	3
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	3%	1%	1%	2%
J6R - Mercier North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
J7R - Saint-Eustache Southwest	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
J8R - Gatineau Northeast	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	3%	-	-	-	2%
J0T - Laurentides-Nord (Montcalm)	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	2	4	2
	3%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	4%	3%	4%	2%
J3T - Nicolet	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	0
J5T - Lavaltrie	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	4	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	5%	-	-	3%	-
J7T - Vaudreuil-Dorion RCM	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
J9T - Amos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	-
J3V - St-Bruno-de-Montarville	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
J4V - Greenfield Park	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	1%
J5V - Louiseville	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	1%
J6V - Terrebonne East	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
J7V - Vaudreuil-Dorion	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	0	2	2
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
J0W - Outaouais-Nord (Ferme-Neuve)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	-
J2W - Saint-Luc East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	-
J4W - Brossard Northwest	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	1	0	3	1
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%
J5W - L'Assomption	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
J6W - Terrebonne Central	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	0	0	2%
J0X - Outaouais-Sud (Thurso) Est (Radisson)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
J2X - Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu West	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
J4X - Brossard Southwest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
J9X - Rouyn-Noranda South	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
J0Y - Abitibi-Temiscamingue-Ouest (Guigues)	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	0	0	4
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	2%	-	-	3%
J3Y - Saint-Hubert Central	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	1	3	1	4
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%
J5Y - Repentigny Northeast	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	3%	-	1%
J0Z - Abitibi-Temiscamingue-	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	1%	0	1%
J4Z - Brossard Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%
J5Z - Repentigny West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
J6Z - Sainte-Therese-de-Blainville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
J7Z - Saint-Jerome Southeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
J9Z - La Sarre	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	-
EASTERN QUEBEC												
G0A - Capitale-Nationale (Stoneham)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	2%	2%	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
G2A - Loretteville North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
G5A - La Malbaie	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
G7A - Saint-Nicolas	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	3	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	0	2%	-
G9A - Trois-Rivieres East	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	0
G1B - Beauport North	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
G2B - Loretteville South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
G8B - Alma Southeast	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	0
G0C - Gaspesie-Sud (New Richmond)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
G1C - Beauport Central	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
G2E - L'Ancienne-Lorette Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%
G1G - Jean-Talon Southeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
G2G - L'Ancienne-Lorette Southwest (YQB)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
G6H - Black Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
G7H - Chicoutimi East	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	1%
G8H - Roberval	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	1%
Ipsos Reid Public Affairs July 15, 2011 FINAL DATA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1



## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
G0J - Gaspesie-Ouest (Causapscal)	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	0	0	1%
G1J - Quebec City Lower Riverbank	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
G0L - Bas-St-Laurent-Ouest (Trois-Pistoles)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
G6L - Plessisville	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
G8L - Dolbeau-Mistassini	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	3
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	2%
G5M - Rimouski Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
G3N - Ste-Catherine-de-la-Jacques-Cartier	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	4	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	5%	-	-	3%	-
G1P - Quebec City West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
G0R - Appalaches (La Pocatiere)	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%
G0S - Chaudiere-Nord (Saint-Joseph-De-Beauce)	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	1%
G1S - Quebec City South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	-
G7S - Jonquiere Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
G4T - Les Iles-De-La-Madeleine	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
G5T - Degelis	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	1	1	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	2%
G1V - Sainte-Foy Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	1

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
G4V - Sainte-Anne-Des-Monts	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	1%
G6V - Levis North	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	4	1	1	5
	3%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%
G8V - Cap-de-la-Madeleine Northeast	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	-
G6W - Levis South	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
G0X - Mauricie (Parent)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
G1X - Sainte-Foy West	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
G4X - Gaspé	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
G6X - Charny	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
G1Y - Cap-Rouge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	-
G5Y - Saint-Georges Central	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	4
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	1%	0	3%
G3Z - Baie-Saint-Paul	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
MONTREAL & SURROUNDINGS												
H1A - Pointe-aux-Trembles	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	1%
H4A - Notre-Dame-de-Grace Northeast	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	0	0	2%
H7A - Duvernay-Est	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	3
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	2%
H1B - Montreal East	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
H7B - Saint-François	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
H9B - Dollard-Des-Ormeaux East	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	0	4	1
	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	5%	1%	-	3%	0
H2C - Ahuntsic Central	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
H4C - Saint-Henri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	-
H1E - Riviere-des-Prairies Southwest	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
H2E - Villeray Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
H4E - Ville Emard	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
H7E - Duvernay	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
H4G - Verdun North	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
H9G - Dollard-Des-Ormeaux Southwest	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%
H1H - Montreal North South	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	1%	0	0
H2H - Plateau Mont-Royal North	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
H4H - Verdun South	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
H9H - Sainte-Genevieve	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	3	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%	2%	-
H2J - Plateau Mont-Royal North Central	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
H7J - Auteuil Northeast	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	1%
H9J - Kirkland	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
H1K - Anjou East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	0	-
H2K - Centre-Sud North	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%
H3K - Pointe-Saint-Charles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
H4K - Cartierville Southwest	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
H2L - Centre-Sud South	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
H2M - Ahuntsic East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
H3M - Cartierville Northeast	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	2%
H7M - Vimont	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	-	0
H7P - Fabreville	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	1%	0	0
H9P - Dorval Outskirts	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
H1R - Saint-Leonard West	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	2%	0	1%
H2R - Villerey Southeast	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	1%
H3R - Mount Royal Central	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
H9R - Pointe-Claire	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	0	1%	1%
H2S - Petite-Patrie Southwest	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	0
H1T - Rosemont North	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1%	1%	-

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Quebec FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
H4V - Cote-Saint-Luc East	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
H1W - Hochelaga	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
H9W - Beaconsfield	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
H3X - Hampstead	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
H7X - Sainte-Dorothee	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	0
H7Y - Iles-Laval	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
H8Z - Pierrefonds	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	1%	1%	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

New Brunswick FSA Location:

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: New Brunswick respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	24	0	0	0	0	0	24	5	11	8	13	11
Weighted Base	34	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	34	11	15	8	20	14
E3A - Fredericton North	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2
	5%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	23%	-	13%
E1B - Riverview	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2
	5%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	23%	-	13%
E3B - Fredericton South	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	4%	6%	3%	3%
E1C - Moncton Central	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0
	10%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	23%	-	17%	-
E2E - Rothesay	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
	5%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	11%	-	6%	6%	3%
E4E - Sussex	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	7%	3%	-
E1G - Moncton Northwest	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	1
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	13%	-	4%	7%
E2G - Quispamsis	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
	4%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	11%	-	-	6%	-
E2J - Saint John East	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
	5%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	21%	8%	-
E4J - Salisbury	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	3	0	5	0
	15%	-	-	-	-	-	15%	16%	23%	-	25%	-
E2K - Saint John North	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	5%	-	-	5%
E4K - Dorchester	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	7%	3%	-
E3L - St. Stephen	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	7%	-	-	7%
E2M - Saint John West	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	1	0	5	1
	18%	-	-	-	-	-	18%	44%	7%	-	25%	7%
	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	3

## DEMOGRAPHICS

New Brunswick FSA Location:

	TOTAL	REGION						AGE			GENDER	
		BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
E3N - Campbellton	8%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	19%	-	-	21%
E8R - Paquetville	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	18%	-	-	-	15%
E4T - Bass River	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	6%	-	3%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Nova Scotia FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Nova Scotia respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	23	0	0	0	0	0	23	3	4	16	12	11
Weighted Base	22	..	..	..	..	..	22	4	5	13	12	11
B1A - Glace Bay	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	3
	13%	-	-	-	-	-	13%	-	53%	-	-	27%
B3A - Dartmouth North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	3%	4%	-
B4C - Lower Sackville South	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
	7%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	12%	14%	-
B2G - Antigonish	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	5%	-	6%
B0J - South HRM and mainland east shore (Lunenburg)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	11%	8%	4%
B3K - Halifax Upper Harbour	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	1
	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	33%	14%	-	11%	7%
B0N - North HRM and Hants County (Shubenacadie)	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2
	8%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	14%	-	17%
B2N - Truro Central	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	5%	-	6%
B3N - Halifax South Central	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	3%	4%	-
B0P - Kings County (Kingston)	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	2	2	2
	15%	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	33%	12%	14%	16%
B0R - West Lunenburg County (New Germany)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	4%	5%	-
B1R - Sydney West	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	4%	5%	-
B0S - West Annapolis County (Middleton)	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
	7%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	12%	14%	-
B1V - North Sydney North	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	1
	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	33%	-	5%	11%	6%



## DEMOGRAPHICS

Nova Scotia FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
B2W - Dartmouth East Central (Portland Estates / South Woodside / Woodlawn)												
	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	1
	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	33%	-	5%	11%	6%
B2Y - Dartmouth South Central (North Woodside)												
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	4%	-	4%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Prince Edward Island FSA Location:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Prince Edward Island respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	1	3	1	4
Weighted Base	6	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	6	2	1**	3	1	5
C0A - Kings and Queens counties (Elmira)	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	2
	51%	-	-	-	-	-	51%	-	-	100%	100%	45%
C1A - Charlottetown Southeast	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	3
	49%	-	-	-	-	-	49%	100%	100%	-	-	55%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Newfoundland FSA Location:

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: Newfoundland respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	13	0	0	0	0	0	13	2	6	5	5	8
Weighted Base	12	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	-.**	12	3	7	2	6	7
A1E - St. John's Central	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	-	11%	16%	7%	11%
A2H - Corner Brook	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	8%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	41%	10%	7%
A0K - Northwest Newfoundland/Eastern Labrador (Mary's Harbour)	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0
	14%	-	-	-	-	-	14%	55%	-	-	32%	-
A1K - Torbay	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	11%	-	-	11%
A1L - Paradise	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	6%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	11%	-	-	11%
A0M - Southwestern Newfoundland (Channel-Port aux Basques)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	8%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	15%	-	-	15%
A1N - Mount Pearl	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	2	1
	25%	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	37%	26%	44%	9%
A2V - Labrador City	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	2
	20%	-	-	-	-	-	20%	45%	15%	-	-	37%
A1X - Conception Bay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	16%	7%	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K \*\* very small base (under 1) ineligible for sig testing

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
St. John's	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	3
	0	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	1%	0	0	1%
							DE					
Corner Brook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	-	0
Charlottetown	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	0	0	-	0
Halifax	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	3	1
	0	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	1%	1%	0
							ADE			H		
Kentville	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0	-	-	0
Cape Breton	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	3	1	2	3
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	0	1%	0	0	1%
							ABDE					
Moncton	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	4	2	4	3
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
							ABDE					
Saint John	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	2	4	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	1%	0	1%	1%	0
							ABDE					
Fredericton	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	1	2
	0	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	0	1%	0	0
							DE					
Rimouski	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-
Chicoutimi - Jonquiere	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	2
	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	0	-	0
Alma	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0
Dolbeau	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0
Quebec	27	0	0	0	0	27	0	7	11	9	13	14
	3%	-	-	-	-	11%	-	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
						ABCDF						
	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Saint-Georges	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	0
Thetford Mines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0
Sherbrooke	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	0	0	0
Trois-Rivieres	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	1	2	0
	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	0	0	0
Drummondville	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0	-	-	0	-
Sorel	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	0	4	3
	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%
						D		HI				
Joliette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0
Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	0	0
Montreal	114	0	0	0	0	114	0	41	45	27	49	65
	11%	-	-	-	-	47%	-	15%	11%	8%	10%	13%
						ABCD F						
Rouyn-Noranda	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0
Cornwall	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
Hawkesbury	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Ottawa - Hull	21	0	0	0	15	6	0	5	10	6	11	10
	2%	-	-	-	4%	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Kingston	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	3	1
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	1%	1%	0
Belleville	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	1	2	1
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	0	0	0	0
Port Hope	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1%	0	0
Ipsos Reid Public Affairs July 15, 2011 FINAL DATA	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	6	1	3	1	9

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Peterborough	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	0	1%	0	2%
					E							J
Lindsay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Oshawa	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	0
Toronto	152	0	0	0	152	0	0	50	59	43	65	87
	15%	-	-	-	40%	-	-	18%	15%	13%	13%	17%
					ABCEF							
Hamilton	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	2	3	4	4	5
	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
					E							
St. Catharines - Niagara	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	4	6	0	7	3
	1%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	2%	1%	-	1%	1%
					E				I			
Kitchener	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	2	4	1
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
Brantford	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	4	1	3	2
	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	0	1%	0
Tillsonburg	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
Simcoe	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Guelph	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0
Stratford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
London	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	4	5	0	4	6
	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	0	1%	1%
					E							
Leamington	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1	1
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0	1%	0	0
Windsor	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	2	4	1
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0	1%	1%	0
Sarnia	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0
Ipsos Reid Public Affairs July 15, 2011 FINAL DATA	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	2	4	1

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Barrie	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
Orillia	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	1	0	1	2
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0	0	0	0
North Bay	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	0
Sudbury	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	4
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	0	-	1%
Timmins	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Sault Ste. Marie	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Thunder Bay	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Kenora	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
Winnipeg	16	0	0	16	0	0	0	2	4	10	9	7
	2%	-	-	24%	-	-	-	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
				ABDEF								
Portage la Prairie	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Thompson	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	0	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0	-
				DE								
Saskatoon	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3
	0	-	-	6%	-	-	-	1%	0	0	0	1%
				ADE								
North Battleford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Prince Albert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Estevan	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0	-	-	2%	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Medicine Hat	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
Ipsos Reid Public Affairs July 15, 2011 FINAL DATA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Lethbridge	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Calgary	29	0	29	0	0	0	0	9	11	10	14	15
	3%	-	29%	-	-	-	-	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
			ACDEF									
Red Deer	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	2
	0	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0
			DE									
Camrose	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Edmonton	30	0	30	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	8	22
	3%	-	29%	-	-	-	-	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%
			ACDEF									
Wetaskiwin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Penticton	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	3
	0	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	0	0
		D										
Kelowna	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
	0	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	-
Vernon	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	0	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Kamloops	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0
		D										
Chilliwack	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4	3
	1%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
		DE										
Abbotsford	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1
	0	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	0	0
		D										
Vancouver	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	7	24	22	27	26
	5%	40%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	6%	7%	6%	5%
		BCDEF										
Victoria	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	1
	0	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0
		DE										
Duncan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0
Nanaimo	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	0	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0
Ipsos Reid Public Affairs July 15, 2011 FINAL DATA	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4



## DEMOGRAPHICS

Census Metropolitan Area:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Port Alberni	1%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	0	1%
		DE								H		
Campbell River	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0
Powell River	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
	0	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1%	0	0
Terrace	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	0	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
Dawson Creek	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
	0	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	0	-
Fort St. John	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
	0	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0	-
Not in a CMA/Unknown	359	39	37	41	124	74	43	107	142	107	187	171
	36%	29%	37%	63%	32%	30%	59%	38%	36%	33%	39%	33%
				ABDE			ABDE					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Respondent's Sex:

		REGION						AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Male	484	72	39	34	193	108	38	142	195	147	484	0
	48%	55%	38%	52%	50%	44%	52%	51%	49%	45%	100%	-
											K	
Female	516	60	63	31	190	136	36	137	199	177	0	516
	52%	45%	62%	48%	50%	56%	48%	49%	51%	55%	-	100%
												J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Language of Interview:

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
English	782	132	102	65	383	28	72	210	304	265	384	398
	78%	100%	100%	100%	100%	12%	97%	75%	77%	82%	79%	77%
		E	E	E	EF		E					
French	218	0	0	0	0	216	2	69	90	59	100	118
	22%	-	-	-	-	88%	3%	25%	23%	18%	21%	23%
						ABCDF	D					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

What age group do you fall into?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
18 to 24	100	7	18	0	34	34	6	100	0	0	58	41
	10%	5%	18%	-	9%	14%	8%	36%	-	-	12%	8%
			ACD			AC		HI				
25 to 34	179	12	13	19	79	41	15	179	0	0	84	96
	18%	9%	13%	29%	21%	17%	20%	64%	-	-	17%	19%
				A	A			HI				
35 to 44	149	19	12	9	47	51	12	0	149	0	76	73
	15%	14%	11%	14%	12%	21%	16%	-	38%	-	16%	14%
						D			GI			
45 to 54	246	34	24	14	107	52	15	0	246	0	119	126
	25%	25%	24%	22%	28%	21%	21%	-	62%	-	25%	24%
									GI			
55 to 64	172	30	16	12	68	36	9	0	0	172	82	89
	17%	23%	16%	18%	18%	15%	12%	-	-	53%	17%	17%
										GH		
65+	152	31	18	11	46	30	17	0	0	152	64	88
	15%	23%	18%	17%	12%	12%	23%	-	-	47%	13%	17%
		DE								GH		
Refused	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
<b>STATISTICS</b>												
Average age	47	53	47	49	46	45	49	27	46	66	46	48
		BDE							G	GH		
Standard Deviation	16.8	16	18.2	16.3	16.1	16.6	18.6	5.3	5.6	9	16.4	17.1
Standard Error	0.5	1.5	1.8	2	0.8	1	2.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.8

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

What is your current marital status?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Single or never married	243	22	20	15	83	81	21	136	82	24	144	99
	24%	17%	20%	23%	22%	33%	28%	49%	21%	7%	30%	19%
						AD		HI	I		K	
Married	482	73	63	36	207	75	28	93	210	178	230	252
	48%	56%	62%	55%	54%	31%	38%	33%	53%	55%	47%	49%
		E	EF	E	EF				G	G		
Common-law or living with a partner	109	10	4	6	27	53	8	43	46	19	48	61
	11%	8%	4%	9%	7%	22%	11%	16%	12%	6%	10%	12%
						ABD		I	I			
Divorced or separated	101	15	9	2	46	23	7	6	51	43	47	54
	10%	11%	9%	3%	12%	9%	10%	2%	13%	13%	10%	11%
									G	G		
Widowed	63	12	6	6	18	12	10	0	4	59	16	48
	6%	9%	6%	10%	5%	5%	13%	-	1%	18%	3%	9%
							D			GH		J
Refused	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All Respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
1 person	189	24	14	13	65	54	19	20	59	110	89	100
	19%	18%	13%	20%	17%	22%	25%	7%	15%	34%	18%	19%
									G	GH		
2 persons	323	53	36	19	105	84	28	64	109	151	151	173
	32%	40%	35%	29%	27%	34%	37%	23%	28%	47%	31%	33%
		D								GH		
3 persons	186	23	16	6	69	50	22	73	82	31	95	91
	19%	17%	15%	10%	18%	20%	29%	26%	21%	9%	20%	18%
							C	I	I			
4 persons	178	24	26	10	73	40	5	59	98	20	81	97
	18%	18%	25%	15%	19%	17%	6%	21%	25%	6%	17%	19%
			F		F			I	I			
5 persons	71	4	4	5	45	13	0	35	31	4	45	26
	7%	3%	4%	7%	12%	5%	-	13%	8%	1%	9%	5%
					AEF			I	I		K	
6 persons	30	3	3	7	15	2	0	12	13	5	11	19
	3%	2%	3%	11%	4%	1%	-	4%	3%	1%	2%	4%
				EF								
7 persons	13	1	4	4	4	0	0	10	1	2	9	4
	1%	1%	4%	6%	1%	-	-	4%	0	1%	2%	1%
			E	DE				H				
8 persons	5	0	0	0	5	1	0	5	1	0	1	5
	1%	-	-	-	1%	0	-	2%	0	-	0	1%
Refused	5	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	3	2
	0	-	-	2%	1%	-	2%	0	-	0	1%	0
<b>STATISTICS</b>												
Mean	2.79	2.6	2.97	3.18	3.03	2.52	2.17	3.46	2.95	2.01	2.83	2.75
			EF	EF	AEF			HI	I			

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

DEMOGRAPHICS

Do you have any children under the age of 18 currently living in your household?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: Excludes respondents living alone												
Unweighted Base	780	96	84	47	321	186	46	137	338	305	392	388
Weighted Base	806	108	88	51	315	190	54	258	335	213	393	414
Yes	314	36	30	27	132	77	12	124	177	13	136	178
	39%	33%	34%	53%	42%	41%	22%	48%	53%	6%	35%	43%
				F	F			I	I			
No	492	72	58	23	183	113	42	134	157	200	256	235
	61%	67%	66%	46%	58%	59%	78%	52%	47%	94%	65%	57%
							CD			GH		
Refused	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>ALL AGES</b>												
<b>Base: Children under the age of 18 currently living in household</b>												
Unweighted Base	279	29	25	17	123	74	11	66	193	20	130	149
Weighted Base	314	36	30	27	132	77	12	124	177	13	136	178
Under 1 year old	27	3	6	5	8	3	1	23	2	1	14	13
	8%	9%	20%	19%	6%	4%	11%	19%	1%	12%	10%	7%
								H		H		
One year old	20	0	2	0	11	7	0	12	9	0	8	12
	6%	-	7%	-	8%	9%	-	10%	5%	-	6%	7%
Two years old	46	2	10	4	18	11	1	28	16	2	23	23
	15%	5%	32%	15%	14%	14%	11%	22%	9%	16%	17%	13%
			A					H				
Three years old	33	0	4	2	17	10	0	22	9	1	17	17
	11%	-	12%	9%	13%	13%	-	18%	5%	12%	12%	9%
								H				
Four years old	32	0	2	1	16	10	2	17	14	1	10	23
	10%	-	8%	4%	12%	13%	17%	14%	8%	4%	7%	13%
							A					
Five years old	38	4	4	7	17	5	0	22	15	1	15	23
	12%	12%	14%	27%	13%	6%	-	17%	8%	8%	11%	13%
Six years old	40	3	4	8	16	8	0	19	21	0	19	21
	13%	9%	14%	29%	12%	11%	-	15%	12%	-	14%	12%
Seven years old	32	3	1	6	19	3	1	17	13	1	14	17
	10%	8%	2%	21%	14%	4%	6%	14%	7%	12%	11%	10%
Eight years old	31	2	1	3	15	11	1	11	18	1	12	19
	10%	4%	2%	10%	11%	14%	6%	9%	10%	11%	9%	11%
Nine years old	28	3	1	2	11	8	2	7	21	1	8	20
	9%	9%	4%	8%	8%	11%	17%	6%	12%	5%	6%	11%
Ten years old	31	7	4	2	13	5	1	8	22	1	11	21
	10%	18%	14%	7%	10%	6%	8%	6%	13%	12%	8%	12%
Eleven years old	33	1	3	9	12	6	2	11	22	0	13	20
	10%	3%	11%	33%	9%	8%	14%	9%	13%	-	10%	11%
				ADE								
Twelve years old	33	4	3	6	17	3	0	13	18	1	15	18
	10%	11%	9%	23%	13%	4%	-	11%	10%	12%	11%	10%
Thirteen years old	38	1	3	3	21	8	3	13	23	2	10	28
	12%	2%	9%	11%	16%	10%	24%	11%	13%	19%	7%	16%



## DEMOGRAPHICS

And what are the ages of each of the children under 18 who are currently living in your household?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
Fourteen years old	36	5	7	3	12	8	0	6	29	1	26	10
	11%	14%	24%	13%	9%	10%	3%	4%	17%	8%	19%	5%
									G		K	
Fifteen years old	39	6	1	6	17	10	0	18	18	3	11	28
	13%	17%	2%	21%	13%	13%	-	15%	10%	21%	8%	16%
Sixteen years old	29	4	4	2	12	6	1	3	22	4	12	17
	9%	12%	13%	6%	9%	8%	8%	2%	13%	30%	9%	10%
									G	G		
Seventeen years old	34	11	1	1	15	5	1	3	29	2	14	21
	11%	31%	4%	3%	12%	7%	6%	2%	16%	20%	10%	12%
		DE							G	G		
Refused	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	2	1
	1%	-	-	-	-	4%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Grade school or some high school	116	16	15	11	31	41	2	25	34	57	67	49
	12%	12%	15%	17%	8%	17%	2%	9%	9%	18%	14%	9%
			F	F		DF				GH		
Complete high school	337	48	38	24	126	74	27	83	141	113	164	173
	34%	37%	37%	37%	33%	30%	36%	30%	36%	35%	34%	34%
Some community college or university, but did not finish	137	20	7	8	60	33	9	50	48	39	59	78
	14%	16%	6%	12%	16%	13%	12%	18%	12%	12%	12%	15%
Complete technical or trade school/Community college	189	24	19	10	69	53	15	54	83	52	91	99
	19%	18%	19%	15%	18%	22%	20%	19%	21%	16%	19%	19%
Complete university degree, such as a Bachelor's	142	18	12	8	64	29	12	41	62	39	64	78
	14%	13%	12%	13%	17%	12%	16%	15%	16%	12%	13%	15%
Post-graduate degree, such as a Master's or Ph.D.	69	6	11	3	29	13	7	25	25	18	34	35
	7%	5%	10%	4%	7%	5%	10%	9%	6%	6%	7%	7%
Refused	10	0	0	1	4	2	3	0	2	6	5	5
	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	4%	-	0	2%	1%	1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Which one of the following categories best describes your current employment status?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Working full-time	432	40	42	17	162	131	40	124	240	65	230	202
	43%	30%	41%	27%	42%	54%	54%	45%	61%	20%	48%	39%
						ACD	AC	I	GI		K	
Working part-time	88	13	12	4	38	21	0	45	25	18	24	64
	9%	10%	12%	7%	10%	8%	1%	16%	6%	6%	5%	12%
			F		F			HI				J
Self-employed	98	17	12	15	36	13	6	24	47	27	66	32
	10%	13%	12%	23%	9%	5%	8%	9%	12%	8%	14%	6%
				DE							K	
Unemployed, but looking for work	53	11	2	1	27	11	1	26	17	11	31	22
	5%	9%	2%	1%	7%	4%	2%	9%	4%	3%	6%	4%
								I				
Attending school full-time/A student	36	3	10	0	13	9	2	30	5	1	12	24
	4%	2%	9%	1%	3%	4%	2%	11%	1%	0	3%	5%
								HI				
Retired	194	38	19	13	65	39	20	0	14	180	86	108
	19%	29%	19%	20%	17%	16%	27%	-	3%	56%	18%	21%
		DE							G	GH		
Not in the workforce/A full-time homemaker	65	7	3	13	26	13	2	23	29	12	21	44
	6%	5%	3%	21%	7%	5%	3%	8%	7%	4%	4%	9%
				ABDEF								J
Other/Social assistance/Disability	28	3	3	1	15	6	0	5	13	9	9	19
	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	-	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Refused	6	0	0	0	1	3	2	1	5	0	5	1
	1%	-	-	-	0	1%	3%	0	1%	-	1%	0
							D					

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

And which of the following categories best describes your annual household income?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Under \$10,000	28	5	0	8	6	8	1	4	13	11	15	13
	3%	4%	-	12%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%
				BDE								
\$10,000 to just under \$20,000	76	13	1	9	28	19	6	18	19	40	27	50
	8%	10%	1%	13%	7%	8%	8%	6%	5%	12%	6%	10%
		B		B						H		
\$20,000 to just under \$30,000	70	4	4	6	26	29	1	18	26	26	25	45
	7%	3%	4%	9%	7%	12%	1%	6%	6%	8%	5%	9%
						AF						
\$30,000 to just under \$40,000	110	11	17	6	31	42	3	43	29	39	46	65
	11%	8%	17%	10%	8%	17%	4%	15%	7%	12%	9%	13%
						DF		H				
\$40,000 to just under \$50,000	105	14	3	12	42	21	13	24	35	46	49	56
	10%	11%	3%	19%	11%	8%	18%	9%	9%	14%	10%	11%
				B			B			H		
\$50,000 to just under \$60,000	88	8	10	2	33	29	6	19	39	31	41	47
	9%	6%	10%	3%	9%	12%	8%	7%	10%	10%	9%	9%
\$60,000 to just under \$67,000	78	7	15	4	25	21	6	33	21	24	44	33
	8%	5%	15%	6%	6%	9%	8%	12%	5%	7%	9%	6%
			D					H				
\$67,000 to just under \$80,000	85	7	15	2	34	20	7	30	41	14	38	47
	9%	5%	15%	4%	9%	8%	10%	11%	10%	4%	8%	9%
								I	I			
\$80,000 to just under \$100,000	84	15	9	4	33	18	6	25	40	19	44	40
	8%	11%	9%	6%	9%	7%	8%	9%	10%	6%	9%	8%
									I			
\$100,000 and over	203	32	26	9	96	24	16	47	109	46	118	85
	20%	24%	25%	13%	25%	10%	22%	17%	28%	14%	24%	16%
		E	E		E		E		GI		K	
Refused	73	16	2	4	28	15	8	18	24	28	38	35
	7%	12%	2%	6%	7%	6%	11%	6%	6%	9%	8%	7%
		B										

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Were you born in Canada?

	REGION							AGE			GENDER	
	TOTAL	BC	ALB	SK/MN	ONT	QUE	ATL	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
<b>Base: All respondents</b>												
Unweighted Base	1000	120	100	65	400	250	65	149	399	451	497	503
Weighted Base	1000	132	102	65	383	244	74	279	395	324	484	516
Yes	849	112	82	60	302	222	71	225	347	277	417	432
	85%	85%	81%	92%	79%	91%	97%	81%	88%	86%	86%	84%
						BD	BD					
No	146	20	19	5	79	20	3	52	45	47	64	82
	15%	15%	19%	8%	21%	8%	3%	19%	11%	14%	13%	16%
			EF		EF							
Refused	5	0	1	0	2	3	0	2	3	0	3	2
	0	-	1%	-	0	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	0

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K