



Canadian Online Omni August 2 - August 8, 2011

Ontario Quarries Study 2

Table of Contents

1	1. Sand, stone and gravel that are essential to build the infrastructure we need - like roads and public buildings are mined from quarries and referred to as 'aggregates'. Is there a quarry in your municipality or region where you live or have property like a cottage?
2	2_1. [Are well managed by the companies that run them] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
3	2_2. [Are highly regulated and inspected regularly] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
4	2_3. [Are careful of their impact on the surrounding community where people live] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
5	2_4. [Make sure the impact on the landscape is environmentally safe and sound] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
6	2_5. [Create too much noise, truck traffic and damage to the community where they reside] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
7	2_6. [Are good job and revenue generators for the local communities that host them] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
8	2_7. [Is a business that I do not support because they are often reckless and irresponsible] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
9	2. [Top2Box (Agree) Summary] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
10	2. [Low2Box (Disagree) Summary] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:
11	3. Do you think a certifying program like this is a very good, somewhat good, somewhat bad or very bad idea?
12	4. If you knew a quarry/aggregate site was 'certified' would you be much more likely, somewhat more likely or have no impact on your position to support one in your municipality or region?
13	5. Knowing this too, do you think a certificate program like this is a very good, somewhat good, somewhat bad or very bad idea?
14	6. And, would you be very much more likely, somewhat more likely or have no impact on your position to support one in your municipality or region?

1. Sand, stone and gravel that are essential to build the infrastructure we need - like roads and public buildings are mined from quarries and referred to as 'aggregates'. Is there a quarry in your municipality or region where you live or have property like a cottage?

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Yes	310	76	86	57	59	33	49	88	173	81	228
	36%	19%	53%	51%	46%	54%	26%	37%	40%	32%	38%
			A	A	A				F		
No	278	182	30	19	39	10	47	85	147	84	194
	33%	46%	18%	17%	30%	16%	25%	35%	34%	33%	32%
		BCD									
Don't know	267	136	47	36	31	18	91	67	109	87	181
	31%	35%	29%	32%	24%	30%	49%	28%	25%	34%	30%
							GH				

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2_1. [Are well managed by the companies that run them] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Are well managed by the companies that run them											
Very much agree	95	40	13	9	23	10	26	35	34	30	65
	11%	10%	8%	8%	18%	17%	14%	15%	8%	12%	11%
					A						
Somewhat agree	522	234	113	66	77	32	109	136	277	164	358
	61%	59%	70%	59%	60%	53%	59%	57%	65%	65%	59%
Somewhat disagree	194	95	27	33	24	15	36	56	103	50	145
	23%	24%	16%	30%	19%	25%	19%	23%	24%	20%	24%
Very much disagree	43	24	10	3	3	3	16	13	15	8	35
	5%	6%	6%	3%	3%	4%	8%	5%	3%	3%	6%
Summary											
Top2Box (Agree)	617	274	126	75	101	42	135	171	311	194	424
	72%	70%	78%	67%	79%	70%	72%	71%	73%	77%	70%
Low2Box (Disagree)	238	120	36	36	27	18	51	69	117	58	180
	28%	30%	22%	33%	21%	30%	28%	29%	27%	23%	30%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2_2. [Are highly regulated and inspected regularly] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Are highly regulated and inspected regularly											
Very much agree	106	48	20	8	26	4	24	47	35	32	74
	12%	12%	12%	7%	20%	7%	13%	20%	8%	13%	12%
					C			H			
Somewhat agree	496	229	104	57	68	38	117	129	250	160	337
	58%	58%	64%	52%	53%	64%	62%	54%	58%	63%	56%
Somewhat disagree	210	93	35	43	27	11	39	48	122	56	153
	25%	24%	22%	38%	21%	19%	21%	20%	28%	22%	25%
				AD							
Very much disagree	43	24	3	3	7	6	7	15	21	4	39
	5%	6%	2%	3%	5%	10%	4%	6%	5%	2%	6%
Summary											
Top2Box (Agree)	602	277	124	65	94	43	141	177	285	192	411
	70%	70%	76%	59%	73%	71%	75%	74%	67%	76%	68%
Low2Box (Disagree)	253	117	39	46	34	17	46	63	143	60	192
	30%	30%	24%	41%	27%	29%	25%	26%	33%	24%	32%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2_3. [Are careful of their impact on the surrounding community where people live] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Are careful of their impact on the surrounding community where people live											
Very much agree	104	46	15	8	26	10	27	42	35	30	74
	12%	12%	9%	7%	20%	16%	15%	18%	8%	12%	12%
Somewhat agree					AC			H			
	457	202	92	67	65	30	96	129	233	148	309
	53%	51%	57%	60%	51%	50%	51%	54%	54%	59%	51%
Somewhat disagree	236	110	52	32	30	13	47	54	135	66	170
	28%	28%	32%	29%	23%	21%	25%	23%	31%	26%	28%
Very much disagree	58	35	4	4	7	8	17	15	27	8	50
	7%	9%	2%	4%	5%	13%	9%	6%	6%	3%	8%
Summary											
Top2Box (Agree)	561	248	107	75	91	40	123	171	267	178	383
	66%	63%	66%	67%	71%	66%	66%	71%	62%	71%	64%
Low2Box (Disagree)	294	145	55	36	37	20	64	69	161	74	220
	34%	37%	34%	33%	29%	34%	34%	29%	38%	29%	36%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2_4. [Make sure the impact on the landscape is environmentally safe and sound] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Make sure the impact on the landscape is environmentally safe and sound											
Very much agree	151	70	26	15	28	12	37	58	56	53	99
	18%	18%	16%	14%	22%	20%	20%	24%	13%	21%	16%
Somewhat agree	439	193	84	61	72	29	105	112	222	130	309
	51%	49%	52%	55%	56%	49%	56%	47%	52%	52%	51%
Somewhat disagree	214	93	48	31	24	17	31	58	125	63	151
	25%	24%	30%	28%	19%	29%	17%	24%	29%	25%	25%
Very much disagree	51	37	4	5	4	1	13	12	26	6	45
	6%	9%	3%	4%	3%	2%	7%	5%	6%	3%	7%
Summary											
Top2Box (Agree)	590	263	110	76	100	41	142	170	277	182	408
	69%	67%	68%	68%	78%	69%	76%	71%	65%	72%	68%
Low2Box (Disagree)	265	130	53	35	28	19	45	69	151	69	196
	31%	33%	32%	32%	22%	31%	24%	29%	35%	28%	32%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2_5. [Create too much noise, truck traffic and damage to the community where they reside] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Create too much noise, truck traffic and damage to the community where they reside											
Very much agree	113	71	15	9	14	3	31	25	57	34	80
	13%	18%	9%	8%	11%	6%	17%	11%	13%	13%	13%
Somewhat agree	441	213	86	53	61	29	106	120	215	130	312
	52%	54%	53%	48%	48%	48%	57%	50%	50%	52%	52%
Somewhat disagree	260	100	53	44	40	22	44	78	137	82	178
	30%	25%	33%	40%	31%	37%	24%	33%	32%	32%	30%
Very much disagree	41	9	9	5	13	5	5	16	20	7	34
	5%	2%	5%	4%	10%	9%	3%	7%	5%	3%	6%
Summary											
Top2Box (Agree)	555	284	100	62	76	32	138	146	272	164	391
	65%	72%	62%	56%	59%	54%	74%	61%	63%	65%	65%
Low2Box (Disagree)		CD									
	300	109	62	49	53	28	49	94	157	88	212
	35%	28%	38%	44%	41%	46%	26%	39%	37%	35%	35%
				A	A						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2_6. [Are good job and revenue generators for the local communities that host them] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Are good job and revenue generators for the local communities that host them											
Very much agree	142	66	27	10	28	11	30	61	50	52	90
	17%	17%	17%	9%	22%	18%	16%	26%	12%	20%	15%
Somewhat agree					C			H			
	582	259	112	89	79	42	121	152	309	164	418
	68%	66%	69%	80%	62%	71%	65%	63%	72%	65%	69%
Somewhat disagree				D							
	114	54	23	12	19	7	27	25	63	35	79
	13%	14%	14%	11%	15%	11%	14%	10%	15%	14%	13%
Very much disagree											
	17	14	0	0	2	0	9	2	6	2	15
	2%	4%	0	-	2%	-	5%	1%	1%	1%	3%
Summary											
Top2Box (Agree)	724	325	139	99	107	53	151	213	360	215	509
	85%	83%	86%	89%	84%	89%	81%	89%	84%	85%	84%
Low2Box (Disagree)	131	68	23	12	21	7	36	27	68	37	94
	15%	17%	14%	11%	16%	11%	19%	11%	16%	15%	16%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2_7. [Is a business that I do not support because they are often reckless and irresponsible] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Is a business that I do not support because they are often reckless and irresponsible											
Very much agree	56	42	5	4	5	0	17	14	25	17	39
	7%	11%	3%	4%	4%	0	9%	6%	6%	7%	6%
Somewhat agree	D										
	260	128	51	26	36	19	63	63	133	67	193
	30%	32%	31%	23%	28%	32%	34%	26%	31%	26%	32%
Somewhat disagree	408	195	73	61	54	25	78	109	221	129	279
	48%	50%	45%	55%	42%	42%	42%	45%	52%	51%	46%
Very much disagree	131	29	34	20	33	15	29	54	49	39	93
	15%	7%	21%	18%	26%	26%	15%	22%	11%	15%	15%
			A	A	A			H			
Summary											
Top2Box (Agree)	316	169	56	30	40	19	81	77	158	84	232
	37%	43%	35%	27%	32%	32%	43%	32%	37%	33%	38%
Low2Box (Disagree)	CD										
	539	224	106	81	88	40	106	162	270	168	371
	63%	57%	65%	73%	68%	68%	57%	68%	63%	67%	62%
				A	A						

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2. [Top2Box (Agree) Summary] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Top2Box (Agree) Summary											
Are good job and revenue generators for the local communities that host them	724	325	139	99	107	53	151	213	360	215	509
	85%	83%	86%	89%	84%	89%	81%	89%	84%	85%	84%
Are well managed by the companies that run them	617	274	126	75	101	42	135	171	311	194	424
	72%	70%	78%	67%	79%	70%	72%	71%	73%	77%	70%
Are highly regulated and inspected regularly	602	277	124	65	94	43	141	177	285	192	411
	70%	70%	76%	59%	73%	71%	75%	74%	67%	76%	68%
Make sure the impact on the landscape is environmentally safe and sound	590	263	110	76	100	41	142	170	277	182	408
	69%	67%	68%	68%	78%	69%	76%	71%	65%	72%	68%
					A						
Are careful of their impact on the surrounding community where people live	561	248	107	75	91	40	123	171	267	178	383
	66%	63%	66%	67%	71%	66%	66%	71%	62%	71%	64%
Create too much noise, truck traffic and damage to the community where they reside	555	284	100	62	76	32	138	146	272	164	391
	65%	72%	62%	56%	59%	54%	74%	61%	63%	65%	65%
		CD									
Is a business that I do not support because they are often reckless and irresponsible	316	169	56	30	40	19	81	77	158	84	232
	37%	43%	35%	27%	32%	32%	43%	32%	37%	33%	38%
		CD									

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

2. [Low2Box (Disagree) Summary] Based on what you know, please indicate whether you very much agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or very much disagree with the following statements about quarries in Ontario:

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Low2Box (Disagree) Summary											
Is a business that I do not support because they are often reckless and irresponsible	539	224	106	81	88	40	106	162	270	168	371
	63%	57%	65%	73%	68%	68%	57%	68%	63%	67%	62%
				A	A						
Create too much noise, truck traffic and damage to the community where they reside	300	109	62	49	53	28	49	94	157	88	212
	35%	28%	38%	44%	41%	46%	26%	39%	37%	35%	35%
				A	A						
Are careful of their impact on the surrounding community where people live	294	145	55	36	37	20	64	69	161	74	220
	34%	37%	34%	33%	29%	34%	34%	29%	38%	29%	36%
Make sure the impact on the landscape is environmentally safe and sound	265	130	53	35	28	19	45	69	151	69	196
	31%	33%	32%	32%	22%	31%	24%	29%	35%	28%	32%
		D									
Are highly regulated and inspected regularly	253	117	39	46	34	17	46	63	143	60	192
	30%	30%	24%	41%	27%	29%	25%	26%	33%	24%	32%
Are well managed by the companies that run them	238	120	36	36	27	18	51	69	117	58	180
	28%	30%	22%	33%	21%	30%	28%	29%	27%	23%	30%
Are good job and revenue generators for the local communities that host them	131	68	23	12	21	7	36	27	68	37	94
	15%	17%	14%	11%	16%	11%	19%	11%	16%	15%	16%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

3. Do you think a certifying program like this is a very good, somewhat good, somewhat bad or very bad idea?

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Very good	316	137	65	47	46	22	66	90	161	78	238
	37%	35%	40%	42%	35%	37%	35%	38%	38%	31%	39%
Somewhat good	441	206	82	54	68	31	104	111	226	152	289
	52%	52%	51%	49%	53%	51%	56%	46%	53%	60%	48%
										J	
Somewhat bad	65	33	14	7	8	3	6	26	33	17	48
	8%	8%	8%	6%	6%	6%	3%	11%	8%	7%	8%
Very bad idea	32	17	1	3	7	4	11	13	9	5	28
	4%	4%	1%	3%	5%	6%	6%	5%	2%	2%	5%
Summary											
Top2Box (Good)	758	343	148	101	113	53	170	201	387	230	527
	89%	87%	91%	91%	88%	88%	91%	84%	90%	91%	87%
Low2Box (Bad)	97	51	15	10	15	7	17	39	41	22	76
	11%	13%	9%	9%	12%	12%	9%	16%	10%	9%	13%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

4. If you knew a quarry/aggregate site was 'certified 'would you be much more likely, somewhat more likely or have no impact on your position to support one in your municipality or region?

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Much more likely to support	192	82	35	37	27	11	35	53	103	51	141
	22%	21%	21%	33%	21%	18%	19%	22%	24%	20%	23%
Somewhat more likely to support	333	161	48	46	49	27	67	89	176	103	230
	39%	41%	30%	42%	38%	46%	36%	37%	41%	41%	38%
Has no impact	331	150	79	28	52	22	84	98	149	98	233
	39%	38%	49%	25%	40%	37%	45%	41%	35%	39%	39%
			C								

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

5. Knowing this too, do you think a certificate program like this is a very good, somewhat good, somewhat bad or very bad idea?

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Very good	240	123	33	39	28	17	51	66	123	68	172
	28%	31%	20%	35%	22%	29%	27%	28%	29%	27%	29%
Somewhat good	478	194	108	61	84	31	112	127	239	146	333
	56%	49%	67%	55%	65%	51%	60%	53%	56%	58%	55%
Somewhat bad		A			A						
	97	53	20	6	10	8	12	33	52	33	64
Very bad idea	11%	13%	13%	5%	8%	13%	6%	14%	12%	13%	11%
Summary	39	23	1	5	6	4	11	13	14	5	34
	5%	6%	1%	4%	5%	7%	6%	5%	3%	2%	6%
Top2Box (Good)	719	317	141	101	112	48	163	193	362	214	505
	84%	81%	87%	91%	87%	80%	87%	81%	85%	85%	84%
Low2Box (Bad)	136	76	21	10	16	12	24	46	66	38	98
	16%	19%	13%	9%	13%	20%	13%	19%	15%	15%	16%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

6. And, would you be very much more likely, somewhat more likely or have no impact on your position to support one in your municipality or region?

		REGION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
	Total	GTA	Central ON	East ON	SW ON	North ON	<\$30K	\$30K - <\$60K	\$60K+	Kids	No Kids
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Base: All respondents	855	352	89	112	252	50	137	203	515	232	623
Weighted	855	393	162*	111*	128	60**	187*	240*	428	252*	603
Much more likely to support	152	77	21	27	16	10	29	37	87	48	105
	18%	20%	13%	25%	13%	17%	15%	15%	20%	19%	17%
Somewhat more likely to support	376	162	74	52	58	30	86	105	185	118	259
	44%	41%	46%	47%	45%	49%	46%	44%	43%	47%	43%
Has no impact	326	154	67	32	54	20	72	98	156	86	240
	38%	39%	41%	29%	42%	33%	39%	41%	36%	34%	40%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing