1_1. [Cyber attacks] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			SEHOLD OSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	Total	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	00K-<100K	J	K	No Rius
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
Cyber attacks													
	353	49	41	26	139	73	25	118	55	65	46	70	249
Extremely important threat	35%	37%	38%	40%	36%	30%	34%	39%	34%	28%	32%	28%	37%
								I					К
	482	67	47	30	180	122	38	121	77	125	72	137	304
Somewhat important threat	48%	49%	44%	45%	47%	50%	53%	40%	48%	54%	50%	56%	45%
										G		L	
	147	14	17	9	60	41	7	53	23	38	21	36	101
Not an important threat	15%	10%	16%	13%	16%	17%	10%	18%	14%	17%	15%	15%	15%
	24	5	2	1	8	6	1	8	6	1	5	3	17
Not at all a threat	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0	3%	1%	3%
									I		ı		
Summary	000	440	07	50	040	405	00	000	400	400	440	007	550
Ton 2Day (Fythromaly) Community time ortant	836	116	87	56	319	195	63	239	132	190	119	207	553
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	83%	86%	82%	85%	83%	81%	88%	80%	82%	83%	82%	84%	82%
	171	19	19	10	68	47	9	61	28	39	26	40	118
Low2Box (Not important)	17%	14%	18%	15%	17%	19%	12%	20%	18%	17%	18%	16%	18%
·,	11 70	. 170	.570	.570	70	.370	.270	2370	.370	.,,,,	.370	.070	.070

1_2. [International terrorism] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			SEHOLD OSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	lotal				D	E	Atlantic			6UK-<1UUK			NO KIGS
Dage: All Decreased anto	4007	A 440	B	C			•	G	H 162	1	J	K	CEE
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	_	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
International terrorism													
	552	80	58	37	219	120	39	167	83	119	80	130	368
Extremely important threat	55%	59%	55%	56%	57%	49%	54%	56%	51%	52%	56%	53%	55%
	367	51	42	26	132	92	26	100	66	93	49	95	250
Somewhat important threat	36%	37%	39%	40%	34%	38%	36%	33%	41%	41%	34%	39%	37%
	69	4	6	2	26	26	5	23	9	16	13	17	39
Not an important threat	7%	3%	5%	3%	7%	11%	7%	8%	6%	7%	9%	7%	6%
						Α							
	18	0	1	1	9	5	2	10	3	1	2	4	14
Not at all a threat	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	0	1%	2%	2%
								I					
Summary													
	920	131	100	62	351	211	65	267	149	212	129	225	618
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	91%	97%	94%	95%	91%	87%	90%	89%	93%	93%	89%	91%	92%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		DEF											
	87	4	7	3	35	31	7	33	12	16	15	21	53
Low2Box (Not important)	9%	3%	6%	5%	9%	13%	10%	11%	7%	7%	11%	9%	8%
, , , , , ,	0,0	3,0	270	370	A	A	A	, , 0	. 70	. 70		370	3,0

1_3. [Global pandemics] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	IOlai	A	В	C	D	E	Atlantic	G <40K	40K-<00K	60K-<100K	J J	Kius	NO KIUS
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	1007	100	107	00	000	2 12	72	000	101	220		2.17	07.1
Global pandemics	414	51	51	27	175	83	29	132	59	97	59	108	263
Extremely important threat	414	38%	47%	41%	45%	34%	40%	44%	37%	42%	41%	44%	39%
Extremely important tilleat	4170	30%	47% E	4170	45% E	34%	40%	44%	3170	4270	4170	4470	39%
	446	67	45	27	168	101	38	122	76	96	64	108	312
Somewhat important threat	44%	50%	42%	41%	44%	42%	53%	41%	47%	42%	44%	44%	47%
Comownat important tinoat	44 /0	3078	42 /0	4170	4470	42 /0	3376	4170	47 /0	42 /0	44 /0	4470	47 70
	122	14	10	10	37	47	4	35	21	34	18	26	84
Not an important threat	12%	10%	9%	15%	10%	20%	6%	12%	13%	15%	13%	10%	12%
	1270	1070	070	1070	1070	ABDF	0,0	1270	1070	1070	1070	1070	1270
	24	3	1	2	6	11	1	11	4	2	4	5	12
Not at all a threat	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	5%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%
						D		I					
Summary													
	860	119	96	54	343	183	66	255	135	193	122	216	575
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	85%	88%	90%	82%	89%	76%	93%	85%	84%	84%	85%	88%	86%
		Е	Е		Е		CE						
	147	17	11	12	43	59	5	45	26	36	22	31	96
Low2Box (Not important)	15%	12%	10%	18%	11%	24%	7%	15%	16%	16%	15%	12%	14%
				F		ABDF							

1_4. [Nuclear-armed North Korea] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	lotai	A	В	C	D	E	Atlantic	<40K G	40K-<60K	60K-<100K	100K+	Kias	NO KIGS
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	232	144	247	671
	1001	100	107	00	300	ZTZ	12	300	101	223	1-7-7	2-77	011
Nuclear-armed North Korea	405	74	40	00	470	440	34	4.40	78	440	0.4	440	205
Extremely important threat	485 48%	74 55%	48 44%	33 50%	178 46%	119 49%	34 47%	142 47%	48%	112 49%	61 43%	116 47%	325 48%
Extremely important theat	48%	55%	44%	50%	46%	49%	47%	47%	48%	49%	43%	47%	48%
	357	46	45	25	135	77	29	105	58	78	57	94	233
Somewhat important threat	35%	34%	42%	38%	35%	32%	40%	35%	36%	34%	39%	38%	35%
Comounial important impat	3370	3470	72.70	3070	3370	3270	4070	3370	3070	3470	3370	3070	3370
	134	14	12	6	59	35	8	38	20	33	23	31	90
Not an important threat	13%	10%	12%	8%	15%	14%	11%	13%	12%	14%	16%	12%	13%
'			,.						,	, , ,		1=70	
	31	1	2	2	14	11	1	15	5	6	3	6	24
Not at all a threat	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Summary													
	842	120	92	58	313	196	63	247	136	190	118	210	558
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	84%	89%	86%	88%	81%	81%	87%	82%	85%	83%	82%	85%	83%
	165	15	15	8	73	46	9	53	25	39	26	36	113
Low2Box (Not important)	16%	11%	14%	12%	19%	19%	13%	18%	15%	17%	18%	15%	17%

1_5. [Nuclear-armed Iran] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	Total	A	В	C	D	E	Atlantic	G	40K-<60K	00K-< 100K	J	K	No Kius
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
Nuclear-armed Iran	1007	100	107	00	300	ZTZ	12	300	101	223	1-1-1	241	011
Nuclear-armed Iran	543	78	53	38	202	135	37	164	83	126	65	126	365
Extremely important threat	543	58%	50%	58%	52%	56%	51%	55%	52%	55%	45%	51%	54%
Extremely important tilleat	34%	30%	50%	30%	52%	30%	31%	55%	52%	55%	45%	31%	54%
	338	45	39	21	129	73	31	93	61	70	62	88	223
Somewhat important threat	34%	33%	36%	31%	33%	30%	43%	31%	38%	31%	43%	36%	33%
Comounial important tinoat	3470	3370	3070	3170	3370	3070	-4370 E	3170	3070	3170	GI	3070	3370
	98	9	13	6	44	22	4	31	11	28	14	28	61
Not an important threat	10%	7%	12%	9%	11%	9%	6%	10%	7%	12%	10%	12%	9%
·	1070	.,,	1_72						1,70			,.	
	29	3	2	1	11	11	0	12	5	4	3	4	22
Not at all a threat	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	-	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%
						F							
Summary													
	880	123	92	59	331	209	67	258	144	196	127	214	589
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	87%	91%	86%	90%	86%	86%	94%	86%	90%	86%	88%	87%	88%
							D						
	127	12	15	7	55	33	4	42	16	33	17	32	82
Low2Box (Not important)	13%	9%	14%	10%	14%	14%	6%	14%	10%	14%	12%	13%	12%
					F								

1_6. [Famine and Food shortage] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME		7 7 7	EHOLD DSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	I Otal	A	В	C	D	E	Atlantic	G	40K-<00K	00K-< 100K	J	K	No Rius
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	1007	100	107	00	300	ZTZ	12	300	101	223	1-7-7	2-11	071
Famine and Food shortage	517	71	51	39	205	115	35	182	79	94	72	131	340
Extremely important threat	517	53%	48%	60%	53%	47%	49%	61%	49%	41%	50%	53%	51%
Extremely important tineat	51%	53%	48%	60%	53%	47%	49%	HIJ	49%	41%	50%	53%	51%
	386	52	48	17	138	100	31	89	65	110	55	85	272
Somewhat important threat	38%	39%	45%	26%	36%	41%	44%	30%	40%	48%	38%	34%	41%
Comewhat important timeat	30 /0	39 /6	C C	2076	30 /6	C C	C	30 /6	40% G	40 /0 G	30 /6	34 /0	4170
	89	9	7	7	40	22	4	21	13	24	17	28	48
Not an important threat	9%	6%	6%	11%	10%	9%	6%	7%	8%	11%	12%	11%	7%
not an important unout	370	070	070	1170	1070	370	070	7 70	070	1170	1270	1170	1 70
	15	3	1	2	3	6	1	7	4	1	0	2	10
Not at all a threat	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0	-	1%	2%
	.,,,	-74			.,,		.,,,						
Summary													
	903	124	99	56	343	214	67	272	143	204	127	216	612
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	90%	91%	92%	86%	89%	89%	93%	91%	89%	89%	88%	88%	91%
	104	11	8	9	43	27	5	28	17	25	17	31	59
Low2Box (Not important)	10%	9%	8%	14%	11%	11%	7%	9%	11%	11%	12%	12%	9%

1_7. [Climate change] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME		7 7 7	EHOLD DSITION
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	TOtal	A	В	C	D	E	Atlantic	G <40K	40K-<60K	60K-< 100K	J J	Kius	NO KIUS
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
Climate change	1007	.00		00	000			555		223			0
Cililate Change	453	58	43	30	175	113	33	155	68	88	69	95	308
Extremely important threat	45%	43%	40%	47%	45%	47%	46%	52%	42%	38%	48%	39%	46%
	1070	1070	1070	1770	1070	1770	1070	1	1270	3070	1070	0070	1070
	401	56	37	23	161	94	30	110	61	105	51	121	254
Somewhat important threat	40%	41%	34%	35%	42%	39%	42%	37%	38%	46%	36%	49%	38%
·										G		L	
	116	13	20	6	40	30	7	27	26	30	14	20	87
Not an important threat	12%	10%	19%	9%	10%	12%	10%	9%	16%	13%	10%	8%	13%
			D						G				K
	37	8	7	6	10	5	1	8	5	6	10	11	22
Not at all a threat	4%	6%	7%	10%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	7%	4%	3%
			Е	DEF							GI		
Summary		1											
	854	114	80	53	336	207	63	265	130	193	120	216	562
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	85%	84%	75%	82%	87%	86%	89%	88%	81%	84%	83%	88%	84%
	450			40	В	В	В	H	0.4			-	400
Law OD and (Next image artes at)	153	21	27	12	50	34	8	35	31	36	24	31	109
Low2Box (Not important)	15%	16%	25%	18%	13%	14%	11%	12%	19%	16%	17%	12%	16%
			DEF						G				

1_8. [Human trafficking] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			SEHOLD OSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	7 0 1 0 1	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
Human trafficking													
	529	75	63	32	226	97	35	170	87	106	73	125	351
Extremely important threat	53%	55%	59%	49%	59%	40%	49%	57%	54%	46%	51%	51%	52%
		Е	Е		E			I					
	347	52	34	26	114	95	27	96	47	95	47	92	234
Somewhat important threat	34%	38%	31%	40%	29%	39%	37%	32%	29%	42%	32%	37%	35%
						D				GH			
	112	6	9	6	40	41	9	28	21	26	21	26	75
Not an important threat	11%	4%	8%	10%	10%	17%	13%	9%	13%	11%	15%	11%	11%
						ABD	Α						
	20	3	1	1	6	8	1	5	6	2	3	3	12
Not at all a threat	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Summary		1											
	876	126	97	58	340	193	61	266	134	201	120	217	584
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	87%	94%	91%	89%	88%	80%	86%	89%	83%	88%	83%	88%	87%
		Е	E	_	E								
	131	9	10	7	46	49	10	34	27	28	24	29	87
Low2Box (Not important)	13%	6%	9%	11%	12%	20%	14%	11%	17%	12%	17%	12%	13%
						ABD							

1_9. [Illegal drugs] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD OSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	Total	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	00K-<100K	J	K	Nortius
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
Illegal drugs													
inegar drugs	397	59	42	35	147	76	38	135	68	78	47	91	261
Extremely important threat	39%	44%	39%	53%	38%	31%	53%	45%	42%	34%	33%	37%	39%
, ,		E		DE			DE	IJ	,				
	419	56	51	21	162	104	24	107	66	99	65	112	277
Somewhat important threat	42%	42%	47%	33%	42%	43%	34%	36%	41%	43%	45%	45%	41%
	160	15	11	8	65	53	8	48	24	44	26	36	112
Not an important threat	16%	11%	10%	12%	17%	22%	12%	16%	15%	19%	18%	15%	17%
						ABF							
	31	5	4	1	11	9	1	11	4	7	6	7	22
Not at all a threat	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	1%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%
Summary	0.10	445	20	50	0.10	400	00	0.40	400	477	440	000	507
To a OD and (Forting on the / On an early of the or of the of)	816	115	92	56	310	180	62	242	133	177	112	203	537
Top2Box (Extremely/ Somewhat important)	81%	85% E	86% E	86%	80%	74%	87% E	81%	83%	78%	77%	82%	80%
	191	20	15	9	76	62	9	58	28	51	33	44	134
Low2Box (Not important)	19%	15%	14%	14%	20%	26%	13%	19%	17%	22%	23%	18%	20%
LOWZDOX (NOt Important)	1976	13%	1470	1470	20%	ABF	13%	1370	1770	2270	23%	1076	20%

1. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (EXTREMELY/ SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT)] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD OSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	Total	A	В	C	D	E	F	G <40k	40K-<60K	OUK-< TOUK	J	Kids	NO KIUS
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
Weignied													
L	920	131	100	62	351	211	65	267	149	212	129	225	618
International terrorism	91%	97%	94%	95%	91%	87%	90%	89%	93%	93%	89%	91%	92%
		DEF											
	903	124	99	56	343	214	67	272	143	204	127	216	612
Famine and Food shortage	90%	91%	92%	86%	89%	89%	93%	91%	89%	89%	88%	88%	91%
	880	123	92	59	331	209	67	258	144	196	127	214	589
Nuclear-armed Iran	87%	91%	86%	90%	86%	86%	94%	86%	90%	86%	88%	87%	88%
							D						
	876	126	97	58	340	193	61	266	134	201	120	217	584
Human trafficking	87%	94%	91%	89%	88%	80%	86%	89%	83%	88%	83%	88%	87%
		Е	Е		E								
	860	119	96	54	343	183	66	255	135	193	122	216	575
Global pandemics	85%	88%	90%	82%	89%	76%	93%	85%	84%	84%	85%	88%	86%
		Е	Е		Е		CE						
	854	114	80	53	336	207	63	265	130	193	120	216	562
Climate change	85%	84%	75%	82%	87%	86%	89%	88%	81%	84%	83%	88%	84%
, and the second					В	В	В	Н					
	842	120	92	58	313	196	63	247	136	190	118	210	558
Nuclear-armed North Korea	84%	89%	86%	88%	81%	81%	87%	82%	85%	83%	82%	85%	83%
	836	116	87	56	319	195	63	239	132	190	119	207	553
Cyber attacks	83%	86%	82%	85%	83%	81%	88%	80%	82%	83%	82%	84%	82%
*	2270								3-73				
	816	115	92	56	310	180	62	242	133	177	112	203	537
Illegal drugs	81%	85%	86%	86%	80%	74%	87%	81%	83%	78%	77%	82%	80%
···-g-·· -· -·g-	0170	E	E	3370	3370	7 770	F	3170	3370	7.570	77.70	52 /0	3070

1. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (NOT IMPORTANT)] How would you characterize the importance of dealing with the following global threats:

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD OSITION
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	lotai	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	40K-<00K	00K=<100K	J	K	NO Rius
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	191	20	15	9	76	62	9	58	28	51	33	44	134
Illegal drugs	19%	15%	14%	14%	20%	26%	13%	19%	17%	22%	23%	18%	20%
oga. a. age	1370	1370	1470	1470	2070	ABF	1370	1370	1770	2270	2070	1070	2070
	171	19	19	10	68	47	9	61	28	39	26	40	118
Cyber attacks	17%	14%	18%	15%	17%	19%	12%	20%	18%	17%	18%	16%	18%
	165	15	15	8	73	46	9	53	25	39	26	36	113
Nuclear-armed North Korea	16%	11%	14%	12%	19%	19%	13%	18%	15%	17%	18%	15%	17%
	153	21	27	12	50	34	8	35	31	36	24	31	109
Climate change	15%	16%	25%	18%	13%	14%	11%	12%	19%	16%	17%	12%	16%
			DEF						G				
	147	17	11	12	43	59	5	45	26	36	22	31	96
Global pandemics	15%	12%	10%	18%	11%	24%	7%	15%	16%	16%	15%	12%	14%
		_		F		ABDF							
Llever on the Colder	131	9	10	7	46	49	10	34	27	28	24	29	87
Human trafficking	13%	6%	9%	11%	12%	20%	14%	11%	17%	12%	17%	12%	13%
	127	12	15	7	55	ABD 33	4	42	16	33	17	32	82
Nuclear-armed Iran	13%	9%	14%	10%	14%	14%	4 6%	14%	10%	14%	12%	13%	12%
Nuclear-aimeu iran	13%	970	1470	10%	14% F	1470	0%	1470	10%	1470	1270	13%	1270
	104	11	8	9	43	27	5	28	17	25	17	31	59
Famine and Food shortage	10%	9%	8%	14%	11%	11%	7%	9%	11%	11%	12%	12%	9%
	1370	0,0	0,0	1170	1170	1170	. , ,	0,0	1170	1170	12/0	1270	0,0
	87	4	7	3	35	31	7	33	12	16	15	21	53
International terrorism	9%	3%	6%	5%	9%	13%	10%	11%	7%	7%	11%	9%	8%
					Α	A	A						

2. Israel has publically discussed the possibility of launching a pre-emptive strike against Iran's nuclear enrichment facilities. To what extent would you support or oppose Canada participating in a pre-emptive attack against Iran's nuclear-enrichment program?

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD OSITION
	T	вс	AB	OK/MID	Quitania	Ourber	Atlanta	401-	401- 0016	001- 4001-	4001-	V: 1-	No IZIJo
	Total			SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k G	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
Danie All Danie and dente	4007	A	В	C	D	E 070			H	1	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	125	17	13	9	54	25	6	36	17	38	16	26	90
Strongly support	12%	13%	12%	14%	14%	11%	9%	12%	10%	17%	11%	10%	13%
	291	44	45	26	94	59	22	82	43	74	38	81	186
Somewhat support	29%	33%	42%	40%	24%	24%	31%	27%	27%	32%	26%	33%	28%
			DE	DE									
	304	33	29	18	114	85	24	87	55	60	49	79	191
Somewhat oppose	30%	25%	28%	28%	30%	35%	33%	29%	34%	26%	34%	32%	28%
	287	40	20	12	123	73	19	96	46	57	41	60	204
Strongly oppose	29%	30%	18%	18%	32%	30%	27%	32%	29%	25%	29%	24%	30%
,					BC	В							
Summary	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
	416	61	58	35	149	84	29	118	60	112	55	107	276
Top2Box (Support)	41%	45%	54%	54%	39%	35%	40%	39%	37%	49%	38%	43%	41%
(117	.170		DE	DE		5575				GHJ			,.
	591	74	49	30	237	158	43	182	101	117	90	140	394
Low2Box (Oppose)	59%	55%	46%	46%	61%	65%	60%	61%	63%	51%	62%	57%	59%
(Oppos)	5570	0070	1070	1070	BC	BC	0070	1	1	0170	0270 I	0,70	0070

3_1. Thinking about the public debate and discussion in Canada about Iran and its nuclear-enrichment program, for each of the following please choose which of the two options best reflects your points of view on the quality of debate:

		REGION							HOUSEHO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION			
	Total	ВС	АВ	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	l	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	600	81	62	42	222	156	37	185	96	119	92	144	399
One-sided	60%	60%	58%	64%	58%	65%	52%	62%	60%	52%	64%	59%	59%
						F		I			I		
Fair and balanced	407	54	45	23	164	86	34	115	65	110	52	102	272
	40%	40%	42%	36%	42%	35%	48%	38%	40%	48%	36%	41%	41%
							Е			GJ			

3_2. Thinking about the public debate and discussion in Canada about Iran and its nuclear-enrichment program, for each of the following please choose which of the two options best reflects your points of view on the quality of debate:

		REGION							HOUSEHO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION			
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	530	74	63	33	190	130	40	145	86	121	91	134	347
Sensationalist	53%	55%	59%	50%	49%	54%	56%	48%	54%	53%	63%	54%	52%
											G		
	477	61	44	33	196	112	31	155	74	108	53	112	324
Knowledgeable and informed	47%	45%	41%	50%	51%	46%	44%	52%	46%	47%	37%	46%	48%

3_3. Thinking about the public debate and discussion in Canada about Iran and its nuclear-enrichment program, for each of the following please choose which of the two options best reflects your points of view on the quality of debate:

		REGION							HOUSEHO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION			
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	622	84	76	43	223	151	47	188	107	128	93	159	406
Of low quality	62%	62%	71%	65%	58%	62%	66%	63%	67%	56%	64%	65%	60%
			D						1				
	385	52	31	23	163	91	25	112	54	101	51	87	265
Of high quality	38%	38%	29%	35%	42%	38%	34%	37%	33%	44%	36%	35%	40%
					В					Н			

3_4. Thinking about the public debate and discussion in Canada about Iran and its nuclear-enrichment program, for each of the following please choose which of the two options best reflects your points of view on the quality of debate:

				REG	SION			HOUSEHO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION				
	Total	вс	АВ	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1007	110	92	61	368	278	98	295	162	232	146	260	655
Weighted	1007	135	107*	66*	386	242	72*	300	161	229	144	247	671
	588	71	61	42	221	150	45	161	100	128	92	160	374
Rooted in fear	58%	52%	57%	64%	57%	62%	62%	54%	62%	56%	64%	65%	56%
												L	
	419	65	46	24	165	92	27	139	61	101	53	87	297
Rooted in fact	42%	48%	43%	36%	43%	38%	38%	46%	38%	44%	36%	35%	44%
													K