



Canadian Online December 3 - 10, 2012

Sports Betting Banner 1

Table of Contents

1	1. There has been some discussion in the news media about a Private Member's Bill in the House of Commons that would legalize betting on the outcome of a single sporting event. How much have you heard about this bill?
2	2. Currently in Canada, betting on the outcome of a single sporting event is illegal. Provincial lottery and gaming corporations offer a system where bets can be made on multiple sports games (parlays) but not on a single game. To what extent would you support or oppose the legalization of single event sports betting in Canada in provinces that choose to allow it and regulate it?
3	3. It is estimated that Canadians currently bet in excess of \$10 billion on sports annually with illegal bookies, and an additional \$4 billion annually through offshore online sports books. It is also estimated that only 5% of sports betting done in Canada is done legitimately through provincially-regulated betting and lottery systems, while 95% is done illegally. Knowing this, do you support or oppose the legalization of single event sports betting in Canada in provinces that choose to allow it and regulate it?
4	4_1. [Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would provide more revenue for governments to invest in social programs or to cut deficits] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
5	4_2. [Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would reduce the amount of money being bet in black markets and through illegal bookies] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
6	4_3. [Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would allow for greater regulation and oversight of sports betting] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
7	4. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT AGREE)] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
8	4. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (SOMEWHAT/STRONGLY DISAGREE)] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
9	5. Which point of view is closer to your own?
10	6. The Bill has passed 3rd reading in the House of Commons with unanimous support from all parties. The bill has also received the support of 8 provincial governments. The Bill is now before the Canadian Senate. Media reports suggest that members of the Senate could defeat the bill. What do you think the members of the Senate should do:
11	GENDER
12	AGE
13	REGION
14	INCOME
15	EDUCATION
16	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

1. There has been some discussion in the news media about a Private Member's Bill in the House of Commons that would legalize betting on the outcome of a single sporting event. How much have you heard about this bill?

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Heard a lot	53	42	10	23	12	18	8	9	29	7	53	0
	3.0%	4.0%	1.0%	4.0%	2.0%	3.0%	4.0%	1.0%	4.0%	2.0%	16.0%	-
Heard a bit	281	197	83	64	90	126	28	88	103	62	281	0
	14.0%	20.0%	8.0%	11.0%	12.0%	18.0%	13.0%	12.0%	13.0%	20.0%	84.0%	-
Heard something, but don't remember the details		B				CD				GH	K	
	388	198	190	66	131	191	46	138	159	46	0	388
Heard nothing at all	19.0%	20.0%	18.0%	12.0%	18.0%	27.0%	22.0%	19.0%	20.0%	15.0%	-	23.0%
					C	CD			I			J
Summary	1298	541	757	410	514	374	126	474	501	197	0	1298
	64.0%	55.0%	73.0%	73.0%	69.0%	53.0%	61.0%	67.0%	63.0%	63.0%	-	77.0%
Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)		A		E	E							J
	333	239	94	87	102	144	36	97	132	69	333	0
Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)	16.0%	24.0%	9.0%	15.0%	14.0%	20.0%	17.0%	14.0%	17.0%	22.0%	100.0%	-
		B				D				GH	K	
	1686	740	946	476	645	565	172	612	660	242	0	1686
	84.0%	76.0%	91.0%	85.0%	86.0%	80.0%	83.0%	86.0%	83.0%	78.0%	-	100.0%
		A			E			I	I			J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

2. Currently in Canada, betting on the outcome of a single sporting event is illegal. Provincial lottery and gaming corporations offer a system where bets can be made on multiple sports games (parlays) but not on a single game. To what extent would you support or oppose the legalization of single event sports betting in Canada in provinces that choose to allow it and regulate it?

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Strongly support	209	153	57	75	80	55	22	66	78	44	80	130
	10.0%	16.0%	5.0%	13.0%	11.0%	8.0%	11.0%	9.0%	10.0%	14.0%	24.0%	8.0%
		B		E						GH	K	
Somewhat support	867	424	444	286	319	263	88	286	366	127	158	709
	43.0%	43.0%	43.0%	51.0%	43.0%	37.0%	43.0%	40.0%	46.0%	41.0%	48.0%	42.0%
				DE	E				G			
Somewhat oppose	564	219	345	148	217	200	57	216	204	88	58	506
	28.0%	22.0%	33.0%	26.0%	29.0%	28.0%	27.0%	30.0%	26.0%	28.0%	18.0%	30.0%
			A									J
Strongly oppose	378	184	194	55	131	192	40	141	143	53	37	341
	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	10.0%	18.0%	27.0%	19.0%	20.0%	18.0%	17.0%	11.0%	20.0%
					C	CD						J
Summary												
Top2Box (Strongly/Somewhat support)	1077	576	500	361	399	317	111	352	444	170	238	839
	53.0%	59.0%	48.0%	64.0%	53.0%	45.0%	53.0%	50.0%	56.0%	55.0%	71.0%	50.0%
		B		DE	E				G		K	
Low2Box (Somewhat/Strongly oppose)	942	403	539	203	348	392	97	357	347	141	95	847
	47.0%	41.0%	52.0%	36.0%	47.0%	55.0%	47.0%	50.0%	44.0%	45.0%	29.0%	50.0%
			A		C	CD		H				J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

3. It is estimated that Canadians currently bet in excess of \$10 billion on sports annually with illegal bookies, and an additional \$4 billion annually through offshore online sports books. It is also estimated that only 5% of sports betting done in Canada is done legitimately through provincially-regulated betting and lottery systems, while 95% is done illegally. Knowing this, do you support or oppose the legalization of single event sports betting in Canada in provinces that choose to allow it and regulate it?

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Strongly support	394	244	151	117	154	123	42	129	153	71	113	281
	20.0%	25.0%	14.0%	21.0%	21.0%	17.0%	20.0%	18.0%	19.0%	23.0%	34.0%	17.0%
		B									K	
Somewhat support	908	416	492	290	308	311	76	312	376	143	138	770
	45.0%	43.0%	47.0%	51.0%	41.0%	44.0%	37.0%	44.0%	48.0%	46.0%	41.0%	46.0%
			A	DE					F			
Somewhat oppose	395	170	225	113	162	121	55	140	146	53	52	343
	20.0%	17.0%	22.0%	20.0%	22.0%	17.0%	26.0%	20.0%	18.0%	17.0%	15.0%	20.0%
			A		E		HI					
Strongly oppose	321	149	172	44	123	154	35	127	116	43	30	291
	16.0%	15.0%	17.0%	8.0%	17.0%	22.0%	17.0%	18.0%	15.0%	14.0%	9.0%	17.0%
					C	CD						J
Summary												
Top2Box (Strongly/Somewhat support)	1303	660	642	406	462	434	118	441	529	215	251	1051
	65.0%	67.0%	62.0%	72.0%	62.0%	61.0%	57.0%	62.0%	67.0%	69.0%	75.0%	62.0%
		B		DE					F	FG	K	
Low2Box (Somewhat/Strongly oppose)	716	319	397	157	285	275	90	268	263	96	82	635
	35.0%	33.0%	38.0%	28.0%	38.0%	39.0%	43.0%	38.0%	33.0%	31.0%	25.0%	38.0%
			A		C	C	HI	I				J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

4_1. [Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would provide more revenue for governments to invest in social programs or to cut deficits] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would provide more revenue for governments to invest in social programs or to cut deficits												
Strongly agree	545	314	232	139	218	189	61	201	200	83	124	421
	27.0%	32.0%	22.0%	25.0%	29.0%	27.0%	29.0%	28.0%	25.0%	27.0%	37.0%	25.0%
Somewhat agree		B									K	
	987	439	549	298	342	347	96	328	401	162	152	835
	49.0%	45.0%	53.0%	53.0%	46.0%	49.0%	46.0%	46.0%	51.0%	52.0%	46.0%	50.0%
Somewhat disagree		A	D									
	313	134	179	92	125	97	20	122	126	45	37	276
	16.0%	14.0%	17.0%	16.0%	17.0%	14.0%	10.0%	17.0%	16.0%	14.0%	11.0%	16.0%
Strongly disagree		A						F				J
	173	93	80	35	62	76	30	58	65	20	20	154
	9.0%	10.0%	8.0%	6.0%	8.0%	11.0%	15.0%	8.0%	8.0%	7.0%	6.0%	9.0%
						C	GHI					
Summary												
Top2Box (Strongly/Somewhat agree)	1533	752	780	436	560	536	157	529	601	246	276	1256
	76.0%	77.0%	75.0%	77.0%	75.0%	76.0%	76.0%	75.0%	76.0%	79.0%	83.0%	75.0%
Low2Box (Somewhat/Strongly disagree)											K	
	486	227	260	127	187	172	51	180	191	65	57	430
	24.0%	23.0%	25.0%	23.0%	25.0%	24.0%	24.0%	25.0%	24.0%	21.0%	17.0%	25.0%
												J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

4_2. [Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would reduce the amount of money being bet in black markets and through illegal bookies] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would reduce the amount of money being bet in black markets and through illegal bookies												
Strongly agree	491	270	220	120	188	184	58	178	182	72	114	377
	24.0%	28.0%	21.0%	21.0%	25.0%	26.0%	28.0%	25.0%	23.0%	23.0%	34.0%	22.0%
Somewhat agree		B									K	
	1019	480	538	314	356	349	84	346	417	171	158	861
	50.0%	49.0%	52.0%	56.0%	48.0%	49.0%	41.0%	49.0%	53.0%	55.0%	47.0%	51.0%
Somewhat disagree			D						F	F		
	346	137	210	102	141	103	34	133	134	46	42	305
	17.0%	14.0%	20.0%	18.0%	19.0%	15.0%	16.0%	19.0%	17.0%	15.0%	12.0%	18.0%
Strongly disagree		A			E							J
	163	92	72	29	62	73	31	51	59	22	20	144
	8.0%	9.0%	7.0%	5.0%	8.0%	10.0%	15.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	6.0%	9.0%
						C	GHI					
Summary												
Top2Box (Strongly/Somewhat agree)	1509	751	759	433	544	532	143	525	599	243	272	1238
	75.0%	77.0%	73.0%	77.0%	73.0%	75.0%	69.0%	74.0%	76.0%	78.0%	82.0%	73.0%
										F	K	
Low2Box (Somewhat/Strongly disagree)	510	228	281	130	203	176	65	184	193	68	61	448
	25.0%	23.0%	27.0%	23.0%	27.0%	25.0%	31.0%	26.0%	24.0%	22.0%	18.0%	27.0%
							I					J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

4_3. [Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would allow for greater regulation and oversight of sports betting] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would allow for greater regulation and oversight of sports betting												
Strongly agree	444	232	212	112	176	155	41	176	162	64	92	352
	22.0%	24.0%	20.0%	20.0%	24.0%	22.0%	20.0%	25.0%	21.0%	21.0%	27.0%	21.0%
											K	
Somewhat agree	1042	487	555	312	373	357	108	345	418	172	172	870
	52.0%	50.0%	53.0%	55.0%	50.0%	50.0%	52.0%	49.0%	53.0%	55.0%	52.0%	52.0%
Somewhat disagree	356	158	198	110	126	119	32	122	151	51	50	306
	18.0%	16.0%	19.0%	20.0%	17.0%	17.0%	15.0%	17.0%	19.0%	16.0%	15.0%	18.0%
Strongly disagree	177	102	75	29	71	78	28	66	60	24	20	157
	9.0%	10.0%	7.0%	5.0%	10.0%	11.0%	13.0%	9.0%	8.0%	8.0%	6.0%	9.0%
		B			C	C	H					
Summary												
Top2Box (Strongly/Somewhat agree)	1486	719	767	425	550	512	148	521	580	236	263	1223
	74.0%	73.0%	74.0%	75.0%	74.0%	72.0%	71.0%	74.0%	73.0%	76.0%	79.0%	73.0%
											K	
Low2Box (Somewhat/Strongly disagree)	533	260	273	139	198	197	59	188	211	75	70	463
	26.0%	27.0%	26.0%	25.0%	26.0%	28.0%	29.0%	26.0%	27.0%	24.0%	21.0%	27.0%
												J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

4. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT AGREE)] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would provide more revenue for governments to invest in social programs or to cut deficits	1533	752	780	436	560	536	157	529	601	246	276	1256
	76.0%	77.0%	75.0%	77.0%	75.0%	76.0%	76.0%	75.0%	76.0%	79.0%	83.0%	75.0%
											K	
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would reduce the amount of money being bet in black markets and through illegal bookies	1509	751	759	433	544	532	143	525	599	243	272	1238
	75.0%	77.0%	73.0%	77.0%	73.0%	75.0%	69.0%	74.0%	76.0%	78.0%	82.0%	73.0%
										F	K	
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would allow for greater regulation and oversight of sports betting	1486	719	767	425	550	512	148	521	580	236	263	1223
	74.0%	73.0%	74.0%	75.0%	74.0%	72.0%	71.0%	74.0%	73.0%	76.0%	79.0%	73.0%
											K	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

4. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (SOMEWHAT/STRONGLY DISAGREE)] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would allow for greater regulation and oversight of sports betting	533	260	273	139	198	197	59	188	211	75	70	463
	26.0%	27.0%	26.0%	25.0%	26.0%	28.0%	29.0%	26.0%	27.0%	24.0%	21.0%	27.0%
												J
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would reduce the amount of money being bet in black markets and through illegal bookies	510	228	281	130	203	176	65	184	193	68	61	448
	25.0%	23.0%	27.0%	23.0%	27.0%	25.0%	31.0%	26.0%	24.0%	22.0%	18.0%	27.0%
							I					J
Legalizing single event sports betting in Canada would provide more revenue for governments to invest in social programs or to cut deficits	486	227	260	127	187	172	51	180	191	65	57	430
	24.0%	23.0%	25.0%	23.0%	25.0%	24.0%	24.0%	25.0%	24.0%	21.0%	17.0%	25.0%
												J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

5. Which point of view is closer to your own?

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Single-game sports betting should be made legal in Canada	1281	654	626	400	465	415	129	431	515	206	245	1035
	63.0%	67.0%	60.0%	71.0%	62.0%	59.0%	62.0%	61.0%	65.0%	66.0%	74.0%	61.0%
		B		DE							K	
Single-game sports betting should not be made legal in Canada	738	325	414	163	282	294	78	278	277	105	88	651
	37.0%	33.0%	40.0%	29.0%	38.0%	41.0%	38.0%	39.0%	35.0%	34.0%	26.0%	39.0%
			A		C	C						J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

6. The Bill has passed 3rd reading in the House of Commons with unanimous support from all parties. The bill has also received the support of 8 provincial governments. The Bill is now before the Canadian Senate. Media reports suggest that members of the Senate could defeat the bill. What do you think the members of the Senate should do:

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Members of the Senate should pass the bill and make it law	1289	654	635	390	475	424	130	425	521	214	238	1051
	64.0%	67.0%	61.0%	69.0%	64.0%	60.0%	62.0%	60.0%	66.0%	69.0%	71.0%	62.0%
		B		E					G	G	K	
Members of the Senate should defeat the bill and stop it from becoming law	730	325	405	174	272	284	78	284	271	97	95	635
	36.0%	33.0%	39.0%	31.0%	36.0%	40.0%	38.0%	40.0%	34.0%	31.0%	29.0%	38.0%
			A			C		HI				J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

GENDER

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Male	979	979	0	183	384	412	113	308	390	169	239	740
	48.0%	100.0%	-	32.0%	51.0%	58.0%	55.0%	43.0%	49.0%	54.0%	72.0%	44.0%
Female		B			C	CD	G		G	G	K	
	1040	0	1040	381	363	296	94	401	402	142	94	946
	52.0%	-	100.0%	68.0%	49.0%	42.0%	45.0%	57.0%	51.0%	46.0%	28.0%	56.0%
			A	DE	E			FHI				J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

AGE

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
19-24	141	47	95	141	0	0	23	56	51	12	24	117
	7.0%	5.0%	9.0%	25.0%	-	-	11.0%	8.0%	6.0%	4.0%	7.0%	7.0%
25-34		A	DE				I	I				
	422	136	286	422	0	0	41	103	164	114	63	359
	21.0%	14.0%	27.0%	75.0%	-	-	20.0%	15.0%	21.0%	37.0%	19.0%	21.0%
35-44		A	DE						G	FGH		
	237	113	124	0	237	0	16	84	97	40	24	212
	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	-	32.0%	-	8.0%	12.0%	12.0%	13.0%	7.0%	13.0%
45-54					CE							J
	510	271	239	0	510	0	45	167	211	87	77	433
	25.0%	28.0%	23.0%	-	68.0%	-	22.0%	24.0%	27.0%	28.0%	23.0%	26.0%
55-64		B			CE							
	304	157	147	0	0	304	27	145	109	24	52	252
	15.0%	16.0%	14.0%	-	-	43.0%	13.0%	20.0%	14.0%	8.0%	16.0%	15.0%
65+						CD		HI	I			
	404	256	149	0	0	404	56	155	160	34	92	312
	20.0%	26.0%	14.0%	-	-	57.0%	27.0%	22.0%	20.0%	11.0%	28.0%	19.0%
Summary		B				CD	I	I	I		K	
19-34	563	183	381	563	0	0	64	158	215	126	87	476
	28.0%	19.0%	37.0%	100.0%	-	-	31.0%	22.0%	27.0%	41.0%	26.0%	28.0%
			A	DE						GH		
35-54	747	384	363	0	747	0	61	251	308	127	102	645
	37.0%	39.0%	35.0%	-	100.0%	-	29.0%	35.0%	39.0%	41.0%	31.0%	38.0%
					CE				F	F		J
55+	709	412	296	0	0	709	83	299	269	58	144	565
	35.0%	42.0%	28.0%	-	-	100.0%	40.0%	42.0%	34.0%	19.0%	43.0%	33.0%
		B				CD	I	HI	I		K	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

REGION

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
BC	271	139	132	32	59	180	29	96	117	29	69	202
	13.0%	14.0%	13.0%	6.0%	8.0%	25.0%	14.0%	14.0%	15.0%	9.0%	21.0%	12.0%
AB						CD			I		K	
	214	104	110	48	36	129	17	71	94	33	30	184
	11.0%	11.0%	11.0%	9.0%	5.0%	18.0%	8.0%	10.0%	12.0%	11.0%	9.0%	11.0%
SK/MB				D		CD						
	131	50	82	44	56	32	9	60	46	17	14	117
	7.0%	5.0%	8.0%	8.0%	7.0%	5.0%	4.0%	8.0%	6.0%	5.0%	4.0%	7.0%
Ontario			A	E	E							
	774	386	388	240	315	220	60	268	301	145	150	624
	38.0%	39.0%	37.0%	43.0%	42.0%	31.0%	29.0%	38.0%	38.0%	47.0%	45.0%	37.0%
Quebec				E	E					FGH	K	
	485	234	251	161	207	117	79	169	175	62	57	428
	24.0%	24.0%	24.0%	29.0%	28.0%	17.0%	38.0%	24.0%	22.0%	20.0%	17.0%	25.0%
Atlantic				E	E		GHI					J
	144	66	78	39	74	30	14	45	59	26	13	130
	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	10.0%	4.0%	7.0%	6.0%	7.0%	8.0%	4.0%	8.0%
				E								J

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

INCOME

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
<\$25K	352	176	176	112	118	122	73	131	129	20	44	308
	17.0%	18.0%	17.0%	20.0%	16.0%	17.0%	35.0%	18.0%	16.0%	6.0%	13.0%	18.0%
\$25K - <\$55K							GHI	I	I			J
	630	308	322	165	220	245	73	240	249	68	113	517
	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	29.0%	29.0%	35.0%	35.0%	34.0%	31.0%	22.0%	34.0%	31.0%
\$55K - <\$100K						D	I	I	I			
	473	257	216	125	190	158	29	139	214	91	85	388
	23.0%	26.0%	21.0%	22.0%	25.0%	22.0%	14.0%	20.0%	27.0%	29.0%	26.0%	23.0%
\$100K - <\$150K		B							FG	FG		
	173	86	87	53	72	48	0	41	66	66	30	143
	9.0%	9.0%	8.0%	9.0%	10.0%	7.0%	-	6.0%	8.0%	21.0%	9.0%	8.0%
\$150K+								F	F	FGH		
	42	22	20	9	25	7	0	9	9	24	10	31
	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	3.0%	1.0%	-	1.0%	1.0%	8.0%	3.0%	2.0%
Prefer not to answer			E							FGH		
	350	131	219	99	122	128	33	150	125	42	50	299
	17.0%	13.0%	21.0%	18.0%	16.0%	18.0%	16.0%	21.0%	16.0%	13.0%	15.0%	18.0%
Summary			A					HI				
<40k	693	347	346	200	221	271	123	265	250	55	96	596
	34.0%	35.0%	33.0%	35.0%	30.0%	38.0%	59.0%	37.0%	32.0%	18.0%	29.0%	35.0%
						D	GHI	HI	I			J
40k-<60K												
	366	173	192	106	138	122	29	135	156	46	82	284
	18.0%	18.0%	18.0%	19.0%	18.0%	17.0%	14.0%	19.0%	20.0%	15.0%	24.0%	17.0%
60k-<100k									I		K	
	397	220	177	95	169	132	24	110	186	78	64	332
	20.0%	22.0%	17.0%	17.0%	23.0%	19.0%	11.0%	15.0%	23.0%	25.0%	19.0%	20.0%
100k+		B			C				FG	FG		
	214	107	107	62	97	55	0	50	74	90	41	174
	11.0%	11.0%	10.0%	11.0%	13.0%	8.0%	-	7.0%	9.0%	29.0%	12.0%	10.0%
					E			F	F	FGH		

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

EDUCATION

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Primary School or less	22	15	7	9	3	10	22	0	0	0	3	19
	1.0%	2.0%	1.0%	2.0%	0	1.0%	10.0%	-	-	-	1.0%	1.0%
Some high school	186	98	88	55	58	73	186	0	0	0	33	153
	9.0%	10.0%	8.0%	10.0%	8.0%	10.0%	90.0%	-	-	-	10.0%	9.0%
Graduated high school	709	308	401	158	251	299	0	709	0	0	97	612
	35.0%	31.0%	39.0%	28.0%	34.0%	42.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	29.0%	36.0%
Some college / CEGEP / Trade School	290	126	164	68	105	117	0	0	290	0	52	238
	14.0%	13.0%	16.0%	12.0%	14.0%	17.0%	-	-	37.0%	-	16.0%	14.0%
Graduated from college / CEGEP / Trade School	336	182	153	106	150	79	0	0	336	0	49	287
	17.0%	19.0%	15.0%	19.0%	20.0%	11.0%	-	-	42.0%	-	15.0%	17.0%
Some university, but did not finish	166	81	84	41	53	72	0	0	166	0	30	135
	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	7.0%	7.0%	10.0%	-	-	21.0%	-	9.0%	8.0%
University undergraduate degree, such as a Bachelors Degree	225	112	112	92	89	43	0	0	0	225	48	176
	11.0%	11.0%	11.0%	16.0%	12.0%	6.0%	-	-	-	72.0%	14.0%	10.0%
University graduate degree, such as a Masters or PhD	86	56	30	34	38	14	0	0	0	86	20	66
	4.0%	6.0%	3.0%	6.0%	5.0%	2.0%	-	-	-	28.0%	6.0%	4.0%
Summary												
<HS	208	113	94	64	61	83	208	0	0	0	36	172
	10.0%	12.0%	9.0%	11.0%	8.0%	12.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	11.0%	10.0%
HS	709	308	401	158	251	299	0	709	0	0	97	612
	35.0%	31.0%	39.0%	28.0%	34.0%	42.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	29.0%	36.0%
Post Sec	791	390	402	215	308	269	0	0	791	0	132	660
	39.0%	40.0%	39.0%	38.0%	41.0%	38.0%	-	-	100.0%	-	40.0%	39.0%
Univ Grad	311	169	142	126	127	58	0	0	0	311	69	242
	15.0%	17.0%	14.0%	22.0%	17.0%	8.0%	-	-	-	100.0%	21.0%	14.0%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

		GENDER		AGE			EDUCATION				Private Member's Bill	
	Total	Male	Female	19-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Top2Box (Heard a lot/ bit)	Low2Box (Heard something/ Not at all)
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
Base: All Respondents	2019	897	1122	400	843	776	133	492	958	436	323	1696
Weighted	2019	979	1040	563	747	709	208	709	791	311	333	1686
Kids	483	135	348	161	281	41	33	174	195	81	53	430
	24.0%	14.0%	34.0%	29.0%	38.0%	6.0%	16.0%	25.0%	25.0%	26.0%	16.0%	26.0%
No Kids		A	E		CE			F	F	F		J
	1401	773	628	323	431	647	153	490	549	208	243	1157
	69.0%	79.0%	60.0%	57.0%	58.0%	91.0%	74.0%	69.0%	69.0%	67.0%	73.0%	69.0%
Don't know		B				CD						
	135	71	64	80	35	20	22	45	47	21	37	98
	7.0%	7.0%	6.0%	14.0%	5.0%	3.0%	10.0%	6.0%	6.0%	7.0%	11.0%	6.0%
				DE							K	

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K Overlap formulae used.