1. How important do you consider the issue of protecting Canada's endangered animals and plants?

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	ВС	AB B	SK/MB C	Ontario D	Quebec E	Atlantic	<40k G	40k-<60K H	60k-<100k	100k+ J	Kids K	No Kids
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	173	213	121	262	703
	644	85	66	42	249	154	48	223	114	125	69	168	446
Very important	64%	63.0%	62.0%	64.0%	65.0%	64.0%	67%	68.0% IJ	67.0%	59.0%	57%	64.0%	63%
	331	48	36	21	124	79	23	100	54	78	47	85	238
Somewhat important	33%	36.0%	34.0%	33.0%	32.0%	33.0%	32%	30.0%	31.0%	37.0%	39%	32.0%	34%
	21	0	3	2	10	6	0	6	3	5	3	5	15
Somewhat unimportant	2%	-	3.0%	4.0% A	3.0%	2.0%	-	2.0%	2.0%	3.0%	2%	2.0%	2%
	9	2	1	0	3	2	1	1	0	4	3	4	4
Not at all important	1%	1.0%	1.0%	-	1.0%	1.0%	1%	0	-	2.0%	2% GH	1.0%	1%
Summary													
	975	133	103	63	373	234	70	323	168	203	116	253	684
Top2Box (Important)	97%	99.0%	96.0%	96.0%	97.0%	97.0%	99%	98.0%	98.0%	96.0%	95%	97.0%	97%
	30	2	4	2	13	8	1	7	3	10	6	9	19
Low2Box (Unimportant)	3%	1.0%	4.0%	4.0%	3.0%	3.0%	1%	2.0%	2.0%	4.0%	5%	3.0%	3%

2. Did you know that Canada has a Species at Risk Act, which is designed to protect and recover endangered species? Can you name any of these species in Canada?

				REC	GION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME		7.7	EHOLD DSITION
	Total	BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
	618	80	65	39	229	156	48	207	115	136	73	169	421
Yes (Net)	61%	60.0%	61.0%	60.0%	59.0%	65.0%	68%	63.0%	67.0%	64.0%	60%	64.0%	60%
Var and large source are size that are strict	227	31	20	21	78	56	21	75	48	52	29	68	143
Yes, and I can name some species that are at risk	23%	23.0%	19.0%	32.0%	20.0%	23.0%	29%	23.0%	28.0%	24.0%	24%	26.0%	20%
Van hat bank gang and made at side	391	50	44	19	151	101	27	132	67	84	44	101	278
Yes, but I can't name any species at risk	39%	37.0%	41.0%	29.0%	39.0%	42.0%	38%	40.0%	39.0%	39.0%	37%	38.0%	40%
	387	54	42	26	156	85	23	123	56	77	48	93	283
No	39%	40.0%	39.0%	40.0%	41.0%	35.0%	32%	37.0%	33.0%	36.0%	40%	36.0%	40%

3. Do you think the federal government is doing enough, too little or too much when it comes to ensuring the survival of Canada's species at risk?

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
The federal government is doing too little and should strengthen and better enforce Canada's endangered	619	89	51	33	251	149	46	217	106	130	66	162	435
species laws.	62%	66.0%	48.0%	50.0%	65.0%	62.0%	64%	66.0%	62.0%	61.0%	55%	62.0%	62%
·		BC			BC	В	В	J					
The federal government is doing enough and is effectively protecting species at risk with tools like the Species at Risk Act.	344 34%	40 30.0%	53 50.0% ADEF	31 47.0% ADF	117 30.0%	82 34.0%	20 29%	99 30.0%	60 35.0%	71 34.0%	48 40%	92 35.0%	240 34%
The federal government is doing too much and should step back so that others, including municipal and provincial governments, private industry and non-profit organizations, can play a larger role in protecting species at risk	42 4%	5 4.0%	2 2.0%	2 3.0%	17 4.0%	11 5.0%	5 7%	14 4.0%	6 4.0%	11 5.0%	7 6%	8 3.0%	28 4%

4. Currently the federal government annually spends approximately 73 cents per Canadian on protecting endangered wildlife. Some people think that the government is investing too many tax dollars in this effort, while others think that the government should be spending more. Which of the following statements best reflects your view?

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME		7.7	EHOLD DSITION
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
The government invests too little and should invest more in species at risk protection	484 48%	73 54.0% BC	40 37.0%	25 39.0%	190 49.0% B	120 50.0% B	36 50%	174 53.0%	81 47.0%	106 50.0%	57 47%	122 47.0%	343 49%
The current level of investment is adequate to ensure that species at risk are protected	439 44%	46 34.0%	60 56.0% ADEF	37 57.0% ADEF	164 43.0%	104 43.0%	28 40%	131 40.0%	77 45.0%	86 40.0%	54 44%	121 46.0%	301 43%
The government invests too much in species at risk protection and should reduce this amount	82 8%	16 12.0%	7 7.0%	3 4.0%	31 8.0%	17 7.0%	8 11%	24 7.0%	14 8.0%	21 10.0%	11 9%	19 7.0%	59 8%

5. All of the following organizations have a role to play with respect to protecting species at risk in Canada. In your opinion, who should bear the primary responsibility and lead role of protecting and recovering Canada's species at risk?

				REG	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	BC A	AB B	SK/MB C	Ontario D	Quebec E	Atlantic F	<40k G	40k-<60K H	60k-<100k	100k+ J	Kids K	No Kids L
Base: All Respondents Weighted	1005 1005	131 135	107 107	67 65*	378 385	243 241	79 71*	326 330	175 172	218 213	119 121	264 262	708 703
The federal government	526 52%	69 51.0%	54 50.0%	41 63.0% E	202 52.0%	115 48.0%	45 62% E	175 53.0%	82 48.0%	109 51.0%	71 59%	132 50.0%	381 54%
The provincial and territorial governments	239 24%	44 33.0% CDF	25 23.0%	11 16.0%	90 23.0%	59 24.0%	11 15%	78 24.0%	39 23.0%	58 27.0%	20 16%	60 23.0%	161 23%
Municipal governments	29 3%	3 2.0%	5 4.0%	4 6.0%	11 3.0%	6 3.0%	1 1%	9 3.0%	6 3.0%	6 3.0%	5 4%	6 2.0%	24 3%
Private business and industry	43 4%	3 2.0%	5 5.0%	1 1.0%	18 5.0%	12 5.0%	3 4%	8 2.0%	9 5.0%	10 5.0%	6 5%	20 8.0% L	22 3%
Environmental non-governmental organizations	168 17%	16 12.0%	18 17.0%	9 14.0%	63 16.0%	49 20.0% A	12 17%	60 18.0%	35 21.0%	29 14.0%	19 15%	44 17.0%	116 16%

6. In decisions regarding species at risk in Canada, the government currently weighs scientific advice as well as economic considerations. In light of this, which of the following statements best reflects your views?

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
	773	109	75	47	289	200	53	250	143	163	96	199	545
Scientific (Net)	77%	81.0%	70.0%	73.0%	75.0%	83.0% BD	74%	76.0%	83.0%	77.0%	79%	76.0%	77%
Scientific advice is the most important factor and economic considerations do not need to be	146	19	6	10	56	48	7	50	26	29	17	40	99
considered	15%	14.0%	5.0%	16.0%	14.0%	20.0%	10%	15.0%	15.0%	13.0%	14%	15.0%	14%
		В		В	В	В							
Scientific advice is the most important factor, but economic considerations should carry some	628	90	69	37	233	152	46	200	117	135	79	159	445
weight	62%	67.0%	65.0%	57.0%	61.0%	63.0%	64%	61.0%	68.0%	63.0%	65%	61.0%	63%
Economic (Net)	232 23%	25 19.0%	32 30.0% E	18 27.0%	96 25.0% E	41 17.0%	18 26%	80 24.0%	29 17.0%	50 23.0%	25 21%	63 24.0%	158 23%
Economic considerations are most important, but scientific advice should carry some weight	216 21%	22 17.0%	31 29.0% AE	18 27.0% E	92 24.0% E	36 15.0%	17 23%	74 22.0% H	25 15.0%	46 22.0%	24 20%	59 22.0%	149 21%
Economic considerations are most important and scientific advice does not need to be considered	16 2%	3 2.0%	1 1.0%	0 -	5 1.0%	5 2.0%	2 2%	6 2.0%	4 2.0%	4 2.0%	1 1%	4 2.0%	9 1%

7. In your opinion, is it acceptable to restrict the activities of business and industry that are a threat to species at risk?

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
Yes, business and industry should only operate in a way that does not harm species at risk	745 74%	108 80.0% BC	73 68.0%	43 66.0%	290 75.0%	180 74.0%	52 73%	248 75.0%	130 76.0%	150 70.0%	91 75%	197 75.0%	522 74%
Yes, but it can be acceptable for business activities to impact species at risk if the economic benefits are valuable enough	225 22%	24 18.0%	30 28.0%	20 31.0% A	82 21.0%	52 22.0%	17 24%	70 21.0%	34 20.0%	56 26.0%	29 24%	50 19.0%	164 23%
No, business and industry should not be restricted by the need to protect species at risk	35 3%	3 2.0%	4 4.0%	2 3.0%	14 4.0%	9 4.0%	3 4%	12 4.0%	8 5.0%	7 3.0%	2 2%	15 6.0%	17 2%

8. Some people think that federal laws protecting species at risk slow down industrial development, negatively impacting our economy and reducing job growth. Others think that federal laws protecting species at risk are crucial to the diversity and abundance of wildlife, which in turn are crucial to our economy and health. Which of the following statements best reflects your views?

				REC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
Federal laws protecting species at risk slow down industrial development, negatively impacting our economy and reducing job growth	148 15%	15 11.0%	19 18.0%	9 14.0%	69 18.0% E	28 11.0%	9 13%	49 15.0%	20 11.0%	37 18.0%	18 15%	48 18.0% L	94 13%
Federal laws protecting species at risk are crucial to the diversity and abundance of wildlife, which in turn are crucial to our economy and health	857 85%	120 89.0%	88 82.0%	56 86.0%	316 82.0%	214 89.0%	62 87%	281 85.0%	152 89.0%	176 82.0%	103 85%	214 82.0%	609 87% K

9. Recognizing that endangered species are found throughout Canada and across many jurisdictions, which of the following best reflects your opinion?

				REG	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME		HOUS COMPC	EHOLD DSITION
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
Federal laws protecting species at risk should only apply to species found on lands and waters under federal jurisdiction	82 8%	13 10.0% C	6 6.0%	1 1.0%	31 8.0% C	27 11.0% C	4 5%	28 8.0%	11 6.0%	23 11.0%	7 6%	21 8.0%	59 8%
Federal laws protecting species at risk should apply to species found on all public lands and waters, whether these are federal, provincial or municipal	334 33%	37 27.0%	28 26.0%	25 38.0%	120 31.0%	105 44.0% ABDF	19 27%	114 35.0%	57 33.0%	63 30.0%	46 38%	90 34.0%	228 32%
Federal laws protecting species at risk should apply to all species at risk in Canada, including those found on both publicly and privately owned lands and waters	589 59%	85 63.0% E	72 68.0% E	40 61.0% E	235 61.0% E	109 45.0%	49 68% E	188 57.0%	103 60.0%	126 59.0%	69 57%	152 58.0%	416 59%

10_1. [Canada should be responsible for all species at risk within our borders, regardless of whether or not they also live in the United States] Some species are rare and at risk of disappearing from Canada because this is the northern limit of where they can live, with most of their population occurring in the United States. In light of this, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

				DE(SION				нопесно	LD INCOME			EHOLD OSITION
				KEC	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME		COMP	Janton
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
Canada should be responsible for all species at risk within our borders, regardless of whether or not they also live in the United States													
	458	68	51	24	189	92	35	157	81	93	56	117	322
Strongly agree	46%	50.0%	48.0%	37.0%	49.0%	38.0%	48%	48.0%	47.0%	44.0%	46%	45.0%	46%
		E			E								
	318	40	36	28	112	82	21	105	58	68	37	85	224
Somewhat agree	32%	30.0%	34.0%	42.0%	29.0%	34.0%	30%	32.0%	34.0%	32.0%	30%	32.0%	32%
				D									
	161	16	17	7	61	49	10	48	21	35	21	46	108
Neither agree nor disagree	16%	12.0%	16.0%	11.0%	16.0%	20.0%	14%	14.0%	12.0%	17.0%	17%	18.0%	15%
						Α							
	36	4	1	4	13	10	4	9	5	9	6	6	29
Somewhat disagree	4%	3.0%	1.0%	6.0%	3.0%	4.0%	6%	3.0%	3.0%	4.0%	5%	2.0%	4%
				В			В						
	32	7	2	3	11	8	1	11	6	7	2	8	20
Strongly disagree	3%	6.0%	2.0%	4.0%	3.0%	3.0%	2%	3.0%	4.0%	3.0%	1%	3.0%	3%
Summary													
	777	108	87	52	301	174	56	262	139	161	93	201	547
Top2Box (Agree)	77%	80.0%	81.0%	79.0%	78.0%	72.0%	78%	79.0%	81.0%	76.0%	77%	77.0%	78%
	68	11	3	7	24	18	6	21	11	17	8	14	48
Low2Box (Disagree)	7%	8.0%	3.0%	10.0% B	6.0%	7.0%	8%	6.0%	6.0%	8.0%	6%	6.0%	7%

10_2. [Canada should be responsible for species at risk in their Canadian range, even if they are common in the United States, because they are an important part of our natural heritage] Some species are rare and at risk of disappearing from Canada because this is the northern limit of where they can live, with most of their population occurring in the United States. In light of this, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

				REG	SION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			SEHOLD OSITION
	Total	BC	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
Canada should be responsible for species at risk in their Canadian range, even if they are common in the United States, because they are an important part of our natural heritage													
	446	59	53	26	174	103	31	158	79	85	53	115	317
Strongly agree	44%	44.0%	49.0%	40.0%	45.0%	42.0%	44%	48.0%	46.0%	40.0%	44%	44.0%	45%
	335	51	31	24	124	84	22	109	59	73	39	81	241
Somewhat agree	33%	38.0%	29.0%	37.0%	32.0%	35.0%	30%	33.0%	34.0%	34.0%	32%	31.0%	34%
	157	15	18	9	64	37	13	52	21	38	17	43	105
Neither agree nor disagree	16%	11.0%	17.0%	14.0%	17.0%	15.0%	18%	16.0%	12.0%	18.0%	14%	16.0%	15%
	43	5	4	4	14	11	4	7	5	9	11	15	26
Somewhat disagree	4%	4.0%	3.0%	6.0%	4.0%	5.0%	6%	2.0%	3.0%	4.0%	9%	6.0%	4%
-											GH		
	24	4	1	1	9	7	1	5	7	7	1	8	14
Strongly disagree	2%	3.0%	1.0%	2.0%	2.0%	3.0%	2%	2.0%	4.0%	3.0%	1%	3.0%	2%
Summary	,												
	781	110	83	51	298	186	53	266	139	158	92	196	558
Top2Box (Agree)	78%	82.0%	78.0%	77.0%	77.0%	77.0%	74%	81.0%	81.0%	74.0%	76%	75.0%	79%
	67	9	5	5	23	18	6	12	12	16	12	23	40
Low2Box (Disagree)	7%	7.0%	5.0%	8.0%	6.0%	8.0%	8%	4.0%	7.0%	8.0%	10%	9.0%	6%
										G	G		

10_3. [If a species is at risk of disappearing from Canada, but is common elsewhere (e.g. in the United States), there is no need for the Canadian government to protect it] Some species are rare and at risk of disappearing from Canada because this is the northern limit of where they can live, with most of their population occurring in the United States. In light of this, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

				REG	GION				HOUSEHO	LD INCOME			EHOLD DSITION
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
If a species is at risk of disappearing from Canada, but is common elsewhere (e.g. in the United States), there is no need for the Canadian government to protect it													
	48	5	1	9	21	10	2	17	7	10	4	14	28
Strongly agree	5%	4.0%	1.0%	13.0%	5.0%	4.0%	3%	5.0%	4.0%	5.0%	4%	5.0%	4%
				ABDEF									
	112	16	12	5	48	21	10	36	20	19	20	33	74
Somewhat agree	11%	12.0%	11.0%	8.0%	12.0%	9.0%	15%	11.0%	12.0%	9.0%	16%	13.0%	11%
	214	23	20	11	85	62	13	75	32	45	23	58	147
Neither agree nor disagree	21%	17.0%	19.0%	17.0%	22.0%	26.0%	18%	23.0%	19.0%	21.0%	19%	22.0%	21%
S S													
	277	40	35	13	99	66	23	76	58	64	33	71	198
Somewhat disagree	28%	30.0%	33.0%	20.0%	26.0%	27.0%	32%	23.0%	34.0%	30.0%	27%	27.0%	28%
									G				
	354	51	38	28	132	82	23	126	55	75	41	87	256
Strongly disagree	35%	37.0%	36.0%	42.0%	34.0%	34.0%	32%	38.0%	32.0%	35.0%	34%	33.0%	36%
Cummany													
Summary	160	21	12	1.1	60	21	10	5 4	27	20	24	47	102
Top2Box (Agree)	160 16%	21 15.0%	13 12.0%	14 21.0%	69 18.0%	31 13.0%	12 17%	54 16.0%	27 16.0%	29 14.0%	24	47 18.0%	103 15%
TOPZBOX (Agree)	10%	15.0%	12.0%	21.0%	18.0%	13.0%	17%	10.0%	10.0%	14.0%	20%	18.0%	15%
	631	91	73	41	231	148	46	202	113	139	74	157	454
Low2Box (Disagree)	63%	67.0%	69.0%	62.0%	60.0%	61.0%	65%	61.0%	66.0%	65.0%	61%	60.0%	65%

10. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (AGREE)] Some species are rare and at risk of disappearing from Canada because this is the northern limit of where they can live, with most of their population occurring in the United States. In light of this, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

		REGION							HOUSEHO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION			
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
	TOtal	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	40K-<00K	00K-< 100K	J	K	NO Kius
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
Canada should be responsible for species at risk in their Canadian range, even if they are common in the United States, because they are an important part of our natural heritage	781 78%	110 82.0%	83 78.0%	51 77.0%	298 77.0%	186 77.0%	53 74%	266 81.0%	139 81.0%	158 74.0%	92 76%	196 75.0%	558 79%
Canada should be responsible for all species at risk within our borders, regardless of whether or not they also live in the United States	777 77%	108 80.0%	87 81.0%	52 79.0%	301 78.0%	174 72.0%	56 78%	262 79.0%	139 81.0%	161 76.0%	93 77%	201 77.0%	547 78%
If a species is at risk of disappearing from Canada, but is common elsewhere (e.g. in the United States), there is no need for the Canadian government to protect it	160 16%	21 15.0%	13 12.0%	14 21.0%	69 18.0%	31 13.0%	12 17%	54 16.0%	27 16.0%	29 14.0%	24 20%	47 18.0%	103 15%

10. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (DISAGREE)] Some species are rare and at risk of disappearing from Canada because this is the northern limit of where they can live, with most of their population occurring in the United States. In light of this, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

		REGION							HOUSEHO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION			
	Total	вс	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
If a species is at risk of disappearing from Canada, but is common elsewhere (e.g. in the United States), there is no need for the Canadian government to protect it	631 63%	91 67.0%	73 69.0%	41 62.0%	231 60.0%	148 61.0%	46 65%	202 61.0%	113 66.0%	139 65.0%	74 61%	157 60.0%	454 65%
Canada should be responsible for all species at risk within our borders, regardless of whether or not they also live in the United States	68 7%	11 8.0%	3 3.0%	7 10.0%	24 6.0%	18 7.0%	6 8%	21 6.0%	11	17 8.0%	8 6%	14 6.0%	48
	.,,	0.070	0.070	В	0.070	11070		0.070	0.070	0.070		0.070	1,70
Canada should be responsible for species at risk in their Canadian range, even if they are common in the United States, because they are an important part of our natural heritage	67	9	5	5	23	18	6	12	12	16	12	23	40
our natural netitage	7%	7.0%	5.0%	8.0%	6.0%	8.0%	8%	4.0%	7.0%	8.0% G	10% G	9.0%	6%

11. Some people say that providing incentives to property owners would help protect species at risk by minimizing the impact of species protection laws on landowners. Others say that resources would be better used in other ways to protect species at risk, and that landowners have a responsibility to help without incentives. Which of the following best reflects your opinion? Please choose only one.

		REGION							HOUSEHO	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION			
	Total	ВС	AB	SK/MB	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	<40k	40k-<60K	60k-<100k	100k+	Kids	No Kids
		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents	1005	131	107	67	378	243	79	326	175	218	119	264	708
Weighted	1005	135	107	65*	385	241	71*	330	172	213	121	262	703
Incentives would help species at risk and the government should more actively pursue this avenue	523 52%	74 55.0% E	52 49.0%	36 56.0%	216 56.0% E	105 43.0%	38 54%	178 54.0%	83 48.0%	108 51.0%	66 54%	137 52.0%	365 52%
Resources are better used in other ways to effectively protect species at risk	482 48%	60 45.0%	54 51.0%	29 44.0%	169 44.0%	137 57.0% AD	33 46%	152 46.0%	89 52.0%	105 49.0%	55 46%	125 48.0%	339 48%