



Mayor/Reeves Study [12-084679-01]

Table of Contents

1	Q1. Speaking on the subject of supply management in Canada, how familiar would you say you are when it comes to the system of supply management overall? Would you say you are...?
2	Q2. Supply management is a system that controls and manages certain categories of farmed foods (e.g. eggs, turkey, chicken and dairy). It is not an organization, or company, or government branch. The system of supply management was created to benefit Canadian consumers and farmers alike by ensuring and protecting the supply of quality farmed foods. The system of supply management is run by Canadian farmers who all agree to sell their products under a consistent set of rules. This ensures quality for consumers and fair returns for farmers. Having said this, how familiar would you say you are when it comes to the system of supply management overall? Would you say you are?
3	Q1/Q2. Total Familiarity
15	Q4. Given what you know about Canada's supply management system, how supportive would you say you are when it comes to the system of supply management in Canada? Would you say you are...?
18	Q6. Now, focusing specifically on farming and agricultural production in your community/municipality, does the local economy of your town/municipality include any businesses devoted to production of supply-managed, farmed foods (that is, eggs, turkey, chicken, and dairy)?
20	Q8. How important would you say production of supply-managed farmed foods is to your town/municipality?
42	Q10. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (AGREE)] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...
43	Q10. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (DISAGREE)] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...
44	Q10_1. [If the system of supply management was discontinued, it is likely that the quality of farm products sold in Canada would potentially deteriorate] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...
45	Q10_2. [Without the system of supply management, Canada's borders could open and many family farms could potentially be in jeopardy of being...] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...
46	Q10_3. [If the system of supply management was discontinued, there is no guarantee that farm products sold at local grocery stores would be cheaper] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...
47	Q10_4. [The system of supply management is not responsible for the price consumers pay for products under this system, it is retailers ...] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...
48	Q10_5. [The system of supply management is an important part of the survival of our farms and communities] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...
49	QS3. Community Size QS3. We'd briefly like to confirm the size of your community. Which of the following ranges best describes your town/municipality's size, in terms of population?
50	QD1. Ideological Leaning QD1. Thinking about your own personal opinions and ideology, if you had to place yourself somewhere along the political spectrum, in terms of left or right, where would you place yourself?
51	QR. Region

Q1. Speaking on the subject of supply management in Canada, how familiar would you say you are when it comes to the system of supply management overall? Would you say you are...?

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
Very familiar	17	2	3	1	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	9	6	2	2	10	5
	13.7%	13.3%	18.8%	10.0%	-	20.5%	9.1%	-	-	-	-	33.3%	16.1%	13.6%	8.3%	9.5%	17.9%	11.9%
Somewhat familiar	49	4	7	7	4	15	7	0	2	1	2	0	19	18	12	7	17	22
	39.5%	26.7%	43.8%	70.0%	57.1%	38.5%	31.8%	-	66.7%	100.0%	50.0%	-	33.9%	40.9%	50.0%	33.3%	30.4%	52.4%
Not very familiar	42	8	5	1	2	10	8	4	1	0	1	2	23	12	7	11	19	10
	33.9%	53.3%	31.3%	10.0%	28.6%	25.6%	36.4%	100.0%	33.3%	-	25.0%	66.7%	41.1%	27.3%	29.2%	52.4%	33.9%	23.8%
Not at all familiar	13	1	1	0	1	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	2	0	9	4
	10.5%	6.7%	6.3%	-	14.3%	10.3%	22.7%	-	-	-	25.0%	-	8.9%	13.6%	8.3%	-	16.1%	9.5%
(DK/NS)	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1
	2.4%	-	-	10.0%	-	5.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5%	4.2%	4.8%	1.8%	2.4%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Familiar)	66	6	10	8	4	23	9	0	2	1	2	1	28	24	14	9	27	27
	53.2%	40.0%	62.5%	80.0%	57.1%	59.0%	40.9%	-	66.7%	100.0%	50.0%	33.3%	50.0%	54.5%	58.3%	42.9%	48.2%	64.3%
Low2Box (Not Familiar)	55	9	6	1	3	14	13	4	1	0	2	2	28	18	9	11	28	14
	44.4%	60.0%	37.5%	10.0%	42.9%	35.9%	59.1%	100.0%	33.3%	-	50.0%	66.7%	50.0%	40.9%	37.5%	52.4%	50.0%	33.3%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q2. Supply management is a system that controls and manages certain categories of farmed foods (e.g. eggs, turkey, chicken and dairy). It is not an organization, or company, or government branch. The system of supply management was created to benefit Canadian consumers and farmers alike by ensuring and protecting the supply of quality farmed foods. The system of supply management is run by Canadian farmers who all agree to sell their products under a consistent set of rules. This ensures quality for consumers and fair returns for farmers. Having said this, how familiar would you say you are when it comes to the system of supply management overall? Would you say you are?

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/ NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	
Base: All Respondents not familiar with supply management	58*	9**	6**	2**	3**	16**	13**	4**	1**	-.**	2**	2**	28**	20**	10**	12**	29**	15**
Very familiar	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	1
	5.2%	-	16.7%	-	-	12.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.1%	-	10.0%	16.7%	-	6.7%
Somewhat familiar	23	5	4	1	1	6	2	2	0	0	0	2	13	8	2	2	9	10
	39.7%	55.6%	66.7%	50.0%	33.3%	37.5%	15.4%	50.0%	-	-	-	100.0%	46.4%	40.0%	20.0%	16.7%	31.0%	66.7%
Not very familiar	24	2	1	1	1	5	9	2	1	0	2	0	10	8	6	8	14	2
	41.4%	22.2%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	31.3%	69.2%	50.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	35.7%	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	48.3%	13.3%
Not at all familiar	8	2	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	1	0	6	2
	13.8%	22.2%	-	-	33.3%	18.8%	15.4%	-	-	-	-	-	10.7%	20.0%	10.0%	-	20.7%	13.3%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Familiar)	26	5	5	1	1	8	2	2	0	0	0	2	15	8	3	4	9	11
	44.8%	55.6%	83.3%	50.0%	33.3%	50.0%	15.4%	50.0%	-	-	-	100.0%	53.6%	40.0%	30.0%	33.3%	31.0%	73.3%
Low2Box (Not Familiar)	32	4	1	1	2	8	11	2	1	0	2	0	13	12	7	8	20	4
	55.2%	44.4%	16.7%	50.0%	66.7%	50.0%	84.6%	50.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	46.4%	60.0%	70.0%	66.7%	69.0%	26.7%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q1/Q2. Total Familiarity

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
Very familiar	20	2	4	1	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	6	3	4	10	6
	16.1%	13.3%	25.0%	10.0%	-	25.6%	9.1%	-	-	-	-	33.3%	19.6%	13.6%	12.5%	19.0%	17.9%	14.3%
Somewhat familiar	72	9	11	8	5	21	9	2	2	1	2	2	32	26	14	9	26	32
	58.1%	60.0%	68.8%	80.0%	71.4%	53.8%	40.9%	50.0%	66.7%	100.0%	50.0%	66.7%	57.1%	59.1%	58.3%	42.9%	46.4%	76.2%
Not very familiar	24	2	1	1	1	5	9	2	1	0	2	0	10	8	6	8	14	2
	19.4%	13.3%	6.3%	10.0%	14.3%	12.8%	40.9%	50.0%	33.3%	-	50.0%	-	17.9%	18.2%	25.0%	38.1%	25.0%	4.8%
Not at all familiar	8	2	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	1	0	6	2
	6.5%	13.3%	-	-	14.3%	7.7%	9.1%	-	-	-	-	-	5.4%	9.1%	4.2%	-	10.7%	4.8%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Familiar)	92	11	15	9	5	31	11	2	2	1	2	3	43	32	17	13	36	38
	74.2%	73.3%	93.8%	90.0%	71.4%	79.5%	50.0%	50.0%	66.7%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	76.8%	72.7%	70.8%	61.9%	64.3%	90.5%
Low2Box (Not Familiar)	32	4	1	1	2	8	11	2	1	0	2	0	13	12	7	8	20	4
	25.8%	26.7%	6.3%	10.0%	28.6%	20.5%	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	-	50.0%	-	23.2%	27.3%	29.2%	38.1%	35.7%	9.5%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q3. Given what you know about Canada's supply management system, how supportive would you say you are when it comes to the system of supply management in Canada? Would you say you are...?

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
Very supportive	49	4	6	4	3	13	13	1	2	1	1	1	22	21	6	8	24	14
	39.5%	26.7%	37.5%	40.0%	42.9%	33.3%	59.1%	25.0%	66.7%	100.0%	25.0%	33.3%	39.3%	47.7%	25.0%	38.1%	42.9%	33.3%
Somewhat supportive	59	10	5	4	3	20	9	2	1	0	3	2	24	19	16	11	27	20
	47.6%	66.7%	31.3%	40.0%	42.9%	51.3%	40.9%	50.0%	33.3%	-	75.0%	66.7%	42.9%	43.2%	66.7%	52.4%	48.2%	47.6%
Not very supportive	9	0	2	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	2	1	5
	7.3%	-	12.5%	10.0%	14.3%	10.3%	-	25.0%	-	-	-	-	8.9%	6.8%	4.2%	9.5%	1.8%	11.9%
Not at all supportive	5	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	3	2
	4.0%	6.7%	18.8%	10.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.1%	2.3%	-	-	5.4%	4.8%
(DK/NS)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
	1.6%	-	-	-	-	5.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8%	-	4.2%	-	1.8%	2.4%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Supportive)	108	14	11	8	6	33	22	3	3	1	4	3	46	40	22	19	51	34
	87.1%	93.3%	68.8%	80.0%	85.7%	84.6%	100.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	82.1%	90.9%	91.7%	90.5%	91.1%	81.0%
Low2Box (Not Supportive)	14	1	5	2	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	4	1	2	4	7
	11.3%	6.7%	31.3%	20.0%	14.3%	10.3%	-	25.0%	-	-	-	-	16.1%	9.1%	4.2%	9.5%	7.1%	16.7%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q4. Now, focusing specifically on farming and agricultural production in your community/municipality, does the local economy of your town/municipality include any businesses devoted to production of supply-managed, farmed foods (that is, eggs, turkey, chicken, and dairy)?

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
Yes	62	6	6	4	5	25	13	0	1	1	1	0	18	26	18	10	27	21
	50.0%	40.0%	37.5%	40.0%	71.4%	64.1%	59.1%	-	33.3%	100.0%	25.0%	-	32.1%	59.1%	75.0%	47.6%	48.2%	50.0%
No	59	9	10	6	2	11	9	4	2	0	3	3	38	15	6	11	27	20
	47.6%	60.0%	62.5%	60.0%	28.6%	28.2%	40.9%	100.0%	66.7%	-	75.0%	100.0%	67.9%	34.1%	25.0%	52.4%	48.2%	47.6%
(DK/NS)	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	1
	2.4%	-	-	-	-	7.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.8%	-	-	3.6%	2.4%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q5. How important would you say production of supply-managed farmed foods is to your town/municipality?

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: Respondents with supply-managed industries in their community	62*	6**	6**	4**	5**	25**	13**	.**	1**	1**	1**	.**	18**	26**	18**	10**	27**	21**
Very important	27	3	1	1	2	11	7	0	0	1	1	0	6	16	5	5	12	8
	43.5%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	40.0%	44.0%	53.8%	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	33.3%	61.5%	27.8%	50.0%	44.4%	38.1%
Somewhat important	29	1	5	3	2	11	6	0	1	0	0	0	11	10	8	5	13	9
	46.8%	16.7%	83.3%	75.0%	40.0%	44.0%	46.2%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	61.1%	38.5%	44.4%	50.0%	48.1%	42.9%
Not very important	5	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	4
	8.1%	33.3%	-	-	20.0%	8.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.6%	-	22.2%	-	3.7%	19.0%
(DK/NS)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	1.6%	-	-	-	-	4.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.6%	-	3.7%	-
Summary																		
Top2Box (Important)	56	4	6	4	4	22	13	0	1	1	1	0	17	26	13	10	25	17
	90.3%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	80.0%	88.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	94.4%	100.0%	72.2%	100.0%	92.6%	81.0%
Low2Box (Not Important)	5	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	4
	8.1%	33.3%	-	-	20.0%	8.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.6%	-	22.2%	-	3.7%	19.0%
Mean	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.5	0	3	4	4	0	3.3	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.2
Std. Dev.	0.6	1	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.7
Std. Err.	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q6. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (AGREE)] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...

		Region											Community Size		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**
The system of supply management is an important part of the survival of our farms and communities	99	13	9	8	5	32	20	3	2	1	4	2	45	34	20
	79.8%	86.7%	56.3%	80.0%	71.4%	82.1%	90.9%	75.0%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	80.4%	77.3%	83.3%
If the system of supply management was discontinued, there is no guarantee that farm products sold at local grocery stores would be cheaper	92	14	11	7	4	28	18	3	2	0	3	2	44	30	18
	74.2%	93.3%	68.8%	70.0%	57.1%	71.8%	81.8%	75.0%	66.7%	-	75.0%	66.7%	78.6%	68.2%	75.0%
Without the system of supply management, Canada's borders could open and many family farms could potentially be in jeopardy of being...	88	11	8	6	4	29	20	2	3	0	4	1	38	31	19
	71.0%	73.3%	50.0%	60.0%	57.1%	74.4%	90.9%	50.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	33.3%	67.9%	70.5%	79.2%
If the system of supply management was discontinued, it is likely that the quality of farm products sold in Canada would potentially deteriorate	82	12	8	7	5	20	18	3	1	1	4	3	38	30	14
	66.1%	80.0%	50.0%	70.0%	71.4%	51.3%	81.8%	75.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	67.9%	68.2%	58.3%
The system of supply management is not responsible for the price consumers pay for products under this system, it is retailers ...	80	11	6	3	5	27	17	3	1	1	4	2	34	33	13
	64.5%	73.3%	37.5%	30.0%	71.4%	69.2%	77.3%	75.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	60.7%	75.0%	54.2%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q6. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (DISAGREE)] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
If the system of supply management was discontinued, it is likely that the quality of farm products sold in Canada would potentially deteriorate	41	3	8	3	2	18	4	1	2	0	0	0	17	14	10	6	19	15
	33.1%	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	28.6%	46.2%	18.2%	25.0%	66.7%	-	-	-	30.4%	31.8%	41.7%	28.6%	33.9%	35.7%
The system of supply management is not responsible for the price consumers pay for products under this system, it is retailers ...	37	4	10	6	2	9	3	0	2	0	0	1	19	8	10	5	13	18
	29.8%	26.7%	62.5%	60.0%	28.6%	23.1%	13.6%	-	66.7%	-	-	33.3%	33.9%	18.2%	41.7%	23.8%	23.2%	42.9%
																		P
Without the system of supply management, Canada's borders could open and many family farms could potentially be in jeopardy of being...	32	4	8	3	3	8	2	2	0	0	0	2	18	10	4	3	12	15
	25.8%	26.7%	50.0%	30.0%	42.9%	20.5%	9.1%	50.0%	-	-	-	66.7%	32.1%	22.7%	16.7%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%
If the system of supply management was discontinued, there is no guarantee that farm products sold at local grocery stores would be cheaper	28	1	5	2	3	10	4	0	1	0	1	1	11	11	6	2	8	18
	22.6%	6.7%	31.3%	20.0%	42.9%	25.6%	18.2%	-	33.3%	-	25.0%	33.3%	19.6%	25.0%	25.0%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%
																		P
The system of supply management is an important part of the survival of our farms and communities	22	2	6	2	2	5	2	1	1	0	0	1	10	9	3	3	4	13
	17.7%	13.3%	37.5%	20.0%	28.6%	12.8%	9.1%	25.0%	33.3%	-	-	33.3%	17.9%	20.5%	12.5%	14.3%	7.1%	31.0%
																		P

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q6_1. [If the system of supply management was discontinued, it is likely that the quality of farm products sold in Canada would potentially deteriorate] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
If the system of supply management was discontinued, it is likely that the quality of farm products sold in Canada would potentially deteriorate																		
Strongly Agree	42	6	7	1	2	8	11	2	0	0	4	1	20	15	7	6	20	14
	33.9%	40.0%	43.8%	10.0%	28.6%	20.5%	50.0%	50.0%	-	-	100.0%	33.3%	35.7%	34.1%	29.2%	28.6%	35.7%	33.3%
Somewhat Agree	40	6	1	6	3	12	7	1	1	1	0	2	18	15	7	8	17	13
	32.3%	40.0%	6.3%	60.0%	42.9%	30.8%	31.8%	25.0%	33.3%	100.0%	-	66.7%	32.1%	34.1%	29.2%	38.1%	30.4%	31.0%
Somewhat Disagree	24	2	3	1	0	12	4	1	1	0	0	0	7	9	8	4	13	6
	19.4%	13.3%	18.8%	10.0%	-	30.8%	18.2%	25.0%	33.3%	-	-	-	12.5%	20.5%	33.3%	19.0%	23.2%	14.3%
Strongly Disagree	17	1	5	2	2	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	5	2	2	6	9
	13.7%	6.7%	31.3%	20.0%	28.6%	15.4%	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	17.9%	11.4%	8.3%	9.5%	10.7%	21.4%
(DK/NS)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	0.8%	-	-	-	-	2.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8%	-	-	4.8%	-	-
Summary																		
Top2Box (Agree)	82	12	8	7	5	20	18	3	1	1	4	3	38	30	14	14	37	27
	66.1%	80.0%	50.0%	70.0%	71.4%	51.3%	81.8%	75.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	67.9%	68.2%	58.3%	66.7%	66.1%	64.3%
Low2Box (Disagree)	41	3	8	3	2	18	4	1	2	0	0	0	17	14	10	6	19	15
	33.1%	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	28.6%	46.2%	18.2%	25.0%	66.7%	-	-	-	30.4%	31.8%	41.7%	28.6%	33.9%	35.7%
Mean	2.9	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.6	3.3	3.3	2	3	4	3.3	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8
Std. Dev.	1	0.9	1.4	1	1.3	1	0.8	1	1	0	0	0.6	1.1	1	1	1	1	1.1
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.6	0	0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q6_2. [Without the system of supply management, Canada's borders could open and many family farms could potentially be in jeopardy of being...] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
Without the system of supply management, Canada's borders could open and many family farms could potentially be in jeopardy of being...																		
Strongly Agree	56	6	7	1	3	19	14	2	2	0	1	1	24	20	12	9	27	18
	45.2%	40.0%	43.8%	10.0%	42.9%	48.7%	63.6%	50.0%	66.7%	-	25.0%	33.3%	42.9%	45.5%	50.0%	42.9%	48.2%	42.9%
Somewhat Agree	32	5	1	5	1	10	6	0	1	0	3	0	14	11	7	9	14	8
	25.8%	33.3%	6.3%	50.0%	14.3%	25.6%	27.3%	-	33.3%	-	75.0%	-	25.0%	25.0%	29.2%	42.9%	25.0%	19.0%
Somewhat Disagree	22	3	6	3	2	4	1	1	0	0	0	2	13	6	3	2	7	11
	17.7%	20.0%	37.5%	30.0%	28.6%	10.3%	4.5%	25.0%	-	-	-	66.7%	23.2%	13.6%	12.5%	9.5%	12.5%	26.2%
Strongly Disagree	10	1	2	0	1	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	5	4	1	1	5	4
	8.1%	6.7%	12.5%	-	14.3%	10.3%	4.5%	25.0%	-	-	-	-	8.9%	9.1%	4.2%	4.8%	8.9%	9.5%
(DK/NS)	4	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	3	1
	3.2%	-	-	10.0%	-	5.1%	-	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	6.8%	4.2%	-	5.4%	2.4%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Agree)	88	11	8	6	4	29	20	2	3	0	4	1	38	31	19	18	41	26
	71.0%	73.3%	50.0%	60.0%	57.1%	74.4%	90.9%	50.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	33.3%	67.9%	70.5%	79.2%	85.7%	73.2%	61.9%
Low2Box (Disagree)	32	4	8	3	3	8	2	2	0	0	0	2	18	10	4	3	12	15
	25.8%	26.7%	50.0%	30.0%	42.9%	20.5%	9.1%	50.0%	-	-	-	66.7%	32.1%	22.7%	16.7%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%
Mean	3.1	3.1	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.2	3.5	2.8	3.7	0	3.3	2.7	3	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.2	3
Std. Dev.	1	1	1.2	0.7	1.2	1	0.8	1.5	0.6	0	0.5	1.2	1	1	0.9	0.8	1	1.1
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.3	0	0.3	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q6_3. [If the system of supply management was discontinued, there is no guarantee that farm products sold at local grocery stores would be cheaper] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
If the system of supply management was discontinued, there is no guarantee that farm products sold at local grocery stores would be cheaper																		
Strongly Agree	47	6	4	0	3	22	8	1	1	0	1	1	19	20	8	12	21	12
	37.9%	40.0%	25.0%	-	42.9%	56.4%	36.4%	25.0%	33.3%	-	25.0%	33.3%	33.9%	45.5%	33.3%	57.1%	37.5%	28.6%
Somewhat Agree	45	8	7	7	1	6	10	2	1	0	2	1	25	10	10	7	24	11
	36.3%	53.3%	43.8%	70.0%	14.3%	15.4%	45.5%	50.0%	33.3%	-	50.0%	33.3%	44.6%	22.7%	41.7%	33.3%	42.9%	26.2%
Somewhat Disagree	19	1	3	2	0	7	4	0	1	0	1	0	5	8	6	2	7	10
	15.3%	6.7%	18.8%	20.0%	-	17.9%	18.2%	-	33.3%	-	25.0%	-	8.9%	18.2%	25.0%	9.5%	12.5%	23.8%
Strongly Disagree	9	0	2	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	0	0	1	8
	7.3%	-	12.5%	-	42.9%	7.7%	-	-	-	-	-	33.3%	10.7%	6.8%	-	-	1.8%	19.0%
(DK/NS)	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	1
	3.2%	-	-	10.0%	-	2.6%	-	25.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	1.8%	6.8%	-	-	5.4%	2.4%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Agree)	92	14	11	7	4	28	18	3	2	0	3	2	44	30	18	19	45	23
	74.2%	93.3%	68.8%	70.0%	57.1%	71.8%	81.8%	75.0%	66.7%	-	75.0%	66.7%	78.6%	68.2%	75.0%	90.5%	80.4%	54.8%
Low2Box (Disagree)	28	1	5	2	3	10	4	0	1	0	1	1	11	11	6	2	8	18
	22.6%	6.7%	31.3%	20.0%	42.9%	25.6%	18.2%	-	33.3%	-	25.0%	33.3%	19.6%	25.0%	25.0%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%
Mean	3.1	3.3	2.8	2.8	2.6	3.2	3.2	3.3	3	0	3	2.7	3	3.1	3.1	3.5	3.2	2.7
Std. Dev.	0.9	0.6	1	0.4	1.5	1	0.7	0.6	1	0	0.8	1.5	0.9	1	0.8	0.7	0.8	1.1
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0	0.4	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q6_4. [The system of supply management is not responsible for the price consumers pay for products under this system, it is retailers ...] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
The system of supply management is not responsible for the price consumers pay for products under this system, it is retailers ...																		
Strongly Agree	28	4	2	1	1	12	5	1	0	0	1	1	10	11	7	3	13	10
	22.6%	26.7%	12.5%	10.0%	14.3%	30.8%	22.7%	25.0%	-	-	25.0%	33.3%	17.9%	25.0%	29.2%	14.3%	23.2%	23.8%
Somewhat Agree	52	7	4	2	4	15	12	2	1	1	3	1	24	22	6	12	25	13
	41.9%	46.7%	25.0%	20.0%	57.1%	38.5%	54.5%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%	75.0%	33.3%	42.9%	50.0%	25.0%	57.1%	44.6%	31.0%
Somewhat Disagree	24	3	5	4	0	6	3	0	2	0	0	1	11	4	9	5	12	6
	19.4%	20.0%	31.3%	40.0%	-	15.4%	13.6%	-	66.7%	-	-	33.3%	19.6%	9.1%	37.5%	23.8%	21.4%	14.3%
Strongly Disagree	13	1	5	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	4	1	0	1	12
	10.5%	6.7%	31.3%	20.0%	28.6%	7.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.3%	9.1%	4.2%	-	1.8%	28.6%
(DK/NS)	7	0	0	1	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	1	5	1
	5.6%	-	-	10.0%	-	7.7%	9.1%	25.0%	-	-	-	-	5.4%	6.8%	4.2%	4.8%	8.9%	2.4%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Agree)	80	11	6	3	5	27	17	3	1	1	4	2	34	33	13	15	38	23
	64.5%	73.3%	37.5%	30.0%	71.4%	69.2%	77.3%	75.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	60.7%	75.0%	54.2%	71.4%	67.9%	54.8%
Low2Box (Disagree)	37	4	10	6	2	9	3	0	2	0	0	1	19	8	10	5	13	18
	29.8%	26.7%	62.5%	60.0%	28.6%	23.1%	13.6%	-	66.7%	-	-	33.3%	33.9%	18.2%	41.7%	23.8%	23.2%	42.9%
P																		
Mean	2.8	2.9	2.2	2.2	2.6	3	3.1	3.3	2.3	3	3.3	3	2.7	3	2.8	2.9	3	2.5
Q																		
Std. Dev.	0.9	0.9	1	1	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.6	0	0.5	1	1	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.8	1.2
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q6_5. [The system of supply management is an important part of the survival of our farms and communities] Please state how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, the first one is ... , how about ...

		Region											Community Size			Ideological Leanings		
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	PEI	Newfoundland	Yukon/NWT/NVT	Small-Sized Communities	Sized Communities	Large-Sized Communities	Left	Centre	Right
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
Base: All respondents	124	15**	16**	10**	7**	39*	22**	4**	3**	1**	4**	3**	56*	44*	24**	21**	56*	42*
The system of supply management is an important part of the survival of our farms and communities																		
Strongly Agree	59	7	5	4	2	17	14	3	1	1	4	1	25	24	10	9	31	16
	47.6%	46.7%	31.3%	40.0%	28.6%	43.6%	63.6%	75.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	44.6%	54.5%	41.7%	42.9%	55.4%	38.1%
Somewhat Agree	40	6	4	4	3	15	6	0	1	0	0	1	20	10	10	9	20	11
	32.3%	40.0%	25.0%	40.0%	42.9%	38.5%	27.3%	-	33.3%	-	-	33.3%	35.7%	22.7%	41.7%	42.9%	35.7%	26.2%
Somewhat Disagree	15	1	5	1	0	4	2	1	0	0	0	1	5	9	1	2	1	10
	12.1%	6.7%	31.3%	10.0%	-	10.3%	9.1%	25.0%	-	-	-	33.3%	8.9%	20.5%	4.2%	9.5%	1.8%	23.8%
Strongly Disagree	7	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	2	1	3	3
	5.6%	6.7%	6.3%	10.0%	28.6%	2.6%	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	8.9%	-	8.3%	4.8%	5.4%	7.1%
(DK/NS)	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	M	1	1	0	1	2
	2.4%	-	6.3%	-	-	5.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8%	2.3%	4.2%	-	1.8%	4.8%
Summary																		
Top2Box (Agree)	99	13	9	8	5	32	20	3	2	1	4	2	45	34	20	18	51	27
	79.8%	86.7%	56.3%	80.0%	71.4%	82.1%	90.9%	75.0%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	80.4%	77.3%	83.3%	85.7%	91.1%	64.3%
Low2Box (Disagree)	22	2	6	2	2	5	2	1	1	0	0	1	10	9	3	3	4	13
	17.7%	13.3%	37.5%	20.0%	28.6%	12.8%	9.1%	25.0%	33.3%	-	-	33.3%	17.9%	20.5%	12.5%	14.3%	7.1%	31.0%
Mean	3.2	3.3	2.9	3.1	2.7	3.3	3.5	3.5	2.7	4	4	3	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.4	3
Std. Dev.	0.9	0.9	1	1	1.3	0.8	0.7	1	1.5	0	0	1	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	1
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.9	0	0	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N - O/P/Q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing