Q2. Roughly how often would you say you visit the waterfront area in Toronto?

				Reg	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
						Toronto -	Toronto -													Post-
		East York/		Scarboroug		South of	North of	40.04	05.54				***	\$30,000-	***	Elementary		Community		Graduate/Pr
	Total A	York B	North York C	h D	Etobicoke F	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34 H	35-54	55+	Male K	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	School	High School Q	College R	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
- J	68	5	9	24	7	12	11	27	19	22	41	27	3	4	54	0	7	17	24	18
At least once a week	9.7%	7.0%	5.0%	14.7%	7.0%	25.1%	7.8%	13.0%	6.9%	10.0%	12.1%	7.4%	4.2%	4.1%	12.1%	-	6.9%	12.6%	8.7%	10.4%
		11070	0.07.0	С	,.	BCDEG	,.		0.07.0		121110			,						101110
	87	10	15	18	4	8	32	33	38	16	51	35	9	7	62	0	9	11	44	23
2 to 3 times a month	12.4%	13.3%	8.6%	11.2%	4.1%	16.4%	22.5%	15.8%	13.9%	7.2%	15.3%	9.7%	12.4%	6.6%	13.9%	-	8.4%	7.7%	16.3%	13.1%
		E				CE	CDE	J												
44-04	178	22	46	31	22	12	45	50	70	58	72	105	14	22	121	0	19	26	80	52
4 to 6 times a year	25.3%	29.1%	26.1%	19.0%	22.5%	24.6%	31.9%	23.5%	25.5%	26.8%	21.5%	28.9%	19.5%	20.8%	27.0%	-	18.4%	18.7%	29.6%	29.7%
	200	25	54	47	29	10	35	57	92	51	96	104	15	39	131	0	17	51	75	56
1 to 2 times a year	28.5%	32.1%	30.8%	29.3%	29.5%	20.5%	25.0%	26.9%	33.7%	23.6%	28.6%	28.5%	20.8%	37.0%	29.3%	-	15.8%	36.8%	27.6%	31.7%
	20.070	F	00.070	20.070	20.070	20.070	20.070	20.070	00.170	20.070	20.070	20.070	20.070	01.070	20.070		10.070	Q	27.070	Q
	92	8	32	18	19	2	13	22	41	29	44	48	9	18	61	2	20	23	28	19
Less often than once a year	13.1%	10.4%	18.0%	11.3%	19.1%	4.7%	9.4%	10.5%	15.1%	13.2%	13.2%	13.1%	12.6%	17.5%	13.5%	35.4%	18.7%	17.0%	10.5%	10.5%
			F		F															
	74	6	20	21	17	4	5	21	13	39	31	43	22	13	19	3	33	8	19	8
Never	10.5%	8.2%	11.5%	13.3% G	17.7% FG	7.7%	3.5%	10.2%	4.8%	18.1%	9.3%	11.7%	30.1% NO	11.9% O	4.2%	64.6%	31.7% RST	5.5%	7.2%	4.6%
	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	-	-	1.2%	-	1.0%	-	0.1%	0.1%	1.0%	0	0.6%	0.3%	2.1%	0	-	0.2%	1.6%	0.1%	-
(=::::=,	0.170			1.270		1.070		0.170	0.170	1.070	, i	0.070	0.070	0	Ü		0.270	1.070	0.170	+
Summary																`				
Frequently (At least once a month/2 to 3 times a	155	16	24	42	11	20	42	60	57	38	92	63	12	11	117	0	16	28	68	42
month)	22.1%	20.3%	13.5%	25.8% F	11.1%	41.5% BCDEG	30.3% CE	28.7% J	20.8%	17.3%	27.4%	17.2%	16.6%	10.7%	26.0% N	-	15.3%	20.4%	25.1%	23.5%
				E		BCDEG	CE	J			L				IN					+
	378	47	100	78	51	22	80	106	162	110	169	209	30	61	253	0	36	76	155	108
Infrequently (4 to 6 times a year/1 to 2 times a year)	53.9%	61.1%	56.9%	48.3%	52.1%	45.1%	56.9%	50.5%	59.3%	50.5%	50.1%	57.4%	40.3%	57.8%	56.3%	-	34.2%	55.5%	57.2%	61.3%
		F					F											Q	Q	Q
Rarely/Never (Less often than once a	168	14	52	42	36	7	18	44	55	70	76	93	32	33	80	5	53	33	48	27
year/Never/Don't Know)	24.0%	18.5%	29.5%	25.8%	36.8%	13.4%	12.8%	20.8%	20.0%	32.3%	22.5%	25.4%	43.0%	31.5%	17.8%	100.0%	50.5%	24.1%	17.8%	15.1%
			FG	FG	BFG					HI		1	0	0	1	1	RST		I	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 2 of 88

Q3. What is the main reason you visit the waterfront?

				Reg	vian.				Age		Con	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total A	York B	North York C	h D	Etobicoke E	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34 H	35-54	55+ J	Male K	Female L	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	School P	High School Q	College R	University S	ofessional T
Base: Those who visit the waterfront area in Toronto Weighted	631 625	69 71*	66 155*	63 138*	62 81*	274 45	97 135*	158 189	250 260	223 176	331 305	300 319	51 51**	99 90*	427 430	2 2**	72 72*	124 128*	243 251	187 169
weighted	64	8	11	13	13	7	13	21	21	23	29	35	4	3	55	0	5	15	25	20
Walk/Walking My Dog	10.3%	10.8%	7.0%	9.3%	16.5%	15.2% C	9.3%	11.0%	8.0%	12.8%	9.5%	11.0%	7.4%	3.8%	12.8%	-	6.3%	11.5%	9.9%	11.8%
Attractions/Events/Entertainment (GENERAL)	115 18.5%	16 22.6%	55 35.5%	12 8.5%	7 8.5%	4 9.3%	22 16.0%	30 16.1%	62 23.7%	23 13.3%	48 15.7%	67 21.1%	8 15.6%	13 14.0%	78 18.0%	0	9 12.5%	22 17.5%	60 23.8%	24 14.4%
		DEF	DEFG						J											
The Beach/Water	37 6.0%	7 9.7% F	5 3.0%	6 4.5%	1.6%	3 6.4%	16 11.5% CE	17 9.1%	9 3.4%	11 6.4%	24 8.0%	13 4.1%	7.3%	7 7.4%	24 5.6%	-	6 9.0%	5 3.8%	14 5.8%	12 6.9%
Leisure/Pleasure/Enjoy It	102 16.3%	10 14.7%	5 3.2%	42 30.6%	17 21.5%	7 15.6%	20 14.7%	26 13.7%	54 20.6%	22 12.6%	45 14.7%	57 17.8%	6 12.4%	19 20.9%	67 15.6%	1 56.0%	14 20.1%	26 20.6%	32 12.8%	26 15.6%
	75	C 6	14	BCFG 18	C 13	C 5	C 18	14	34	27	44	31	4	11	55	0	11	4	34	25
Recreation	12.0%	9.0%	9.2%	12.7%	15.9%	12.0%	13.6%	7.2%	13.2%	15.4% H	14.4%	9.7%	7.0%	11.8%	12.9%	-	14.7% R	2.8%	13.4% R	14.7% R
	46	4	18	8	4	3	10	8	23	16	17	29	13	9	16	0	4	17	22	3
View/Scenery/Nice Area	7.4%	5.0%	11.3%	5.7%	4.7%	7.4%	7.4%	4.2%	8.7%	9.0%	5.6%	9.1%	25.1%	9.7%	3.8%	-	6.0%	13.0% T	8.7% T	2.0%
Visit/Oursets/Tourists	30	2	10	4	7	1	5	11	10	9	13	17	5	3	19	0	3	5	12	10
Visit/Guests/Tourists	4.7%	2.8%	6.4%	2.7%	8.9%	3.0%	4.0%	5.9%	3.7%	5.0%	4.2%	5.3%	10.7%	2.9%	4.5%	-	3.5%	4.1%	4.7%	6.0%
0.5.55.7.0.5	10	2	0	0	4	1	2	5	2	3	6	3	3	0	7	0	1	2	3	4
Outing/Family Outing	1.6%	2.9%	-	-	5.4% CD	2.3% CD	1.7%	2.8%	0.6%	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%	5.1%	-	1.6%	-	1.0%	1.7%	1.1%	2.4%
T	24	4	9	2	0	1	8	5	8	10	11	13	2	0	22	0	4	2	12	6
The Island/Central Island	3.8%	5.2%	6.0%	1.2%	-	2.6%	5.8%	2.9%	3.1%	5.7%	3.5%	4.1%	3.0%	0.3%	5.1%	-	5.2%	1.2%	4.9%	3.6%
Boating/Water Sports	7	1	0	3	0	1	2	4	0	3	3	4	0	4	3	0	0	2	4	1
boating/water oports	1.1%	1.7%		1.9%	-	2.3% C	1.7%	2.0%	0.1%	1.7%	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%	4.4% O	0.6%	-	0.2%	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Biking/Cycling/Rollerblading	12 1.9%	2 2.8%	2 1.4%	2 1.8%	0	1 1.5%	4 3.2%	3 1.8%	7 2.8%	1 0.6%	7 2.4%	4 1.4%	0	2 1.9%	10 2.3%	0	0 -	3 2.3%	1 0.4%	8 4.6%
Biking/Cycling/Kollerblading							3.2%	1.8%		0.6%						-	-			S
Relaxation	20 3.2%	3 4.5%	7 4.7%	6 4.5%	0	2 4.5%	1 1.0%	8 4.0%	7 2.5%	6 3.3%	9 3.0%	11 3.4%	0 0.4%	9 9.8%	11 2.6%	0	1 1.1%	5 4.1%	1.6%	10 5.9%
reaxation	3.2%	4.5%				EG	1.0%	4.0%	2.5%	3.3%	3.0%			0	2.6%		1.1%			5.9%
I Work There	7 1.2%	1.4%	1.5%	0	2.9%	0 1.0%	1 1.1%	5 2.7%	1 0.4%	1 0.7%	7 2.4%	0	0.3%	0	7 1.7%	0	3.8%	3 2.7%	0	0.8%
T WORK THOSE											L						S			
Exercise/Health Reasons	17 2.8%	1.1%	5 3.3%	5 3.4%	5 5.7%	1.9%	1.1%	13 6.7%	0.8%	3 1.6%	12 3.8%	6 1.8%	0.3%	0	16 3.8%	0	2.9%	1.1%	9 3.5%	5 3.1%
								I												
I Live There	10 1.6%	1 1.7%	0	4 3.1%	- 0	9.8%	0 -	5 2.4%	0.9%	3 1.7%	3 1.0%	7 2.1%	0.3%	1 0.6%	6 1.4%	0 -	0.5%	5 3.8%	0.3%	4 2.2%
						BCDEG												S		
Airport	4 0.6%	-	-	-	2.5%	0	1.2%	0.1%	0.6%	1.2%	2 0.7%	0.6%	-	0.2%	0.9%	-	-	-	0.7%	1.2%
·		0	0										0				0			
Drive/ pass by it (on my way to work)	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0 0.1%	-	-	0 0.1%	-	-	-	0	-	-	0.1%	-	-
	- 0		^	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1		1	0	-	0		0	1	0
Shopping (including grocery shopping)	2 0.3%	-	-	-	-	1.1%	0.8%	0.1%	-	0.8%	0.4%	0.1%	2.2%	-	0.1%	-	-	-	0.6%	0.1%
	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	2	-	1
Restaurants and Dining	0.6%	1.4%	-	1.8%	-	-	-	-	1.0%	0.6%	-	1.1%	-	-	0.8%	-	-	2.0%	-	0.6%
	8	0	2	5	0	0	1	4	2	2	4	4	0	5	4	0	2	2	2	2
Other	1.3%	-	1.5%	3.4%	-	0.3%	1.0%	2.3%	1.0%	0.9%	1.4%	1.3%	-	5.1% O	0.9%	-	3.2%	2.0%	0.8%	0.9%
	27	2	9	5	4	1	7	7	13	8	15	12	1	6	19	1	7	3	13	3
No Reason	4.4%	2.5%	6.0%	3.4%	4.6%	2.2%	5.0%	3.6%	4.9%	4.4%	5.0%	3.7%	1.9%	6.9%	4.4%	44.0%	9.7% T	2.7%	5.2%	1.8%
(7)(4)(2)	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1
(DK/NS)	0.5%	-	-	1.5%	1.3%	0.6%	-	1.2%	-	0.6%	1.1%	-	0.3%	-	0.7%	-	0.2%	1.8%	=	0.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 3 of 88

Q4. How important is improving Toronto's waterfront to you? Is it...

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York B	North York C	h D	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	School	High School Q	College R	University S	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
, and the second	318	37	75	59	39	31	78	75	122	121	154	164	31	37	211	1	47	59	125	85
Very important	45.4%	47.9%	42.8%	36.6%	39.5%	62.6%	55.6%	35.7%	44.7%	55.7%	45.9%	45.0%	42.8%	35.1%	46.9%	19.7%	44.2%	43.0%	46.1%	48.0%
						BCDE	DE			Н										
	252	27	62	69	37	13	43	99	104	50	122	130	22	43	171	1	25	54	104	66
Somewhat important	36.0%	35.4%	35.4%	42.8%	37.7%	27.4%	30.8%	46.9%	38.0%	22.8%	36.3%	35.7%	29.5%	40.9%	38.0%	15.6%	23.8%	39.2%	38.5%	37.2%
				F				J	J										Q	
Not were insented	91	8	24	25	18	2	14	24	33	33	36	55	10	17	49	3	20	12	34	21
Not very important	12.9%	9.9%	13.5%	15.5%	18.2%	4.6%	10.2%	11.6%	12.1%	15.3%	10.7%	15.0%	13.4%	16.4%	11.0%	62.2%	18.5%	8.7%	12.6%	11.6%
	39	5	15	8	5	3	4	12	14	12	23	16	10	8	17	0	14	12	6	5
Not at all important	5.5%	6.8%	8.3%	5.1%	4.7%	5.1%	2.6%	5.8%	5.2%	5.7%	6.8%	4.3%	14.3%	7.4%	3.9%	2.5%	13.5%	9.1%	2.4%	3.1%
	0.070	0.070	0.570	3.170	4.170	3.170	2.070	3.070	J.2 /0	3.770	0.070	4.570	0	7.470	3.570	2.570	ST	S	2.470	3.176
	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
(DK/NS)	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%	-	0.5%	0.4%	-	-	0.2%	0.2%	-	-	-	0.4%	0.1%
Summary																				
	570	64	137	128	76	44	121	174	226	171	276	294	53	80	381	2	72	113	229	151
Top2Box (Important)	81.4%	83.4%	78.2%	79.4%	77.2%	90.0%	86.4%	82.6%	82.7%	78.5%	82.1%	80.6%	72.3%	76.0%	84.9%	35.3%	68.0%	82.2%	84.6%	85.2%
	400					CDE	40			40				05	M				Q	Q
Low2Box (Not important)	129	13	38	33	22	5	18	36	47	46	59	71	20	25	67	3	34	24	40	26
LOWZDOX (NOT IMPORTANT)	18.5%	16.6%	21.8%	20.6%	22.8%	9.7%	12.8%	17.3%	17.3%	21.0%	17.5%	19.4%	27.7% O	23.8%	14.8%	64.7%	32.0% ST	17.8%	15.0%	14.7%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 4 of 88

Q5. [SUMMARY - YES] Can you tell me if an improved waterfront could benefit Toronto in the following ways?

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	660	70	170	150	93	46	130	195	267	197	321	339	69	94	430	5	97	132	258	161
Better image for the city	94.1%	91.3%	97.2%	92.9%	94.8%	93.9%	93.0%	92.8%	97.8%	90.8%	95.3%	93.0%	93.8%	89.6%	95.8%	100.0%	92.3%	96.0%	95.5%	91.3%
									HJ						N					
	633	68	159	145	92	46	125	188	252	193	297	336	63	96	410	5	91	122	252	158
Increased tourism	90.4%	87.8%	90.5%	90.0%	93.5%	93.4%	88.9%	89.5%	92.3%	88.8%	88.4%	92.2%	86.5%	91.2%	91.2%	100.0%	86.2%	89.0%	93.0%	89.3%
	+																		 	
	597	68	148	134	77	44	125	166	244	187	284	313	65	82	388	5	92	121	221	152
Increased quality of life for Toronto residents	85.1%	87.8%	84.7%	83.4%	78.4%	89.9%	89.3%	78.8%	89.3%	86.2%	84.3%	86.0%	88.4%	77.9%	86.3%	100.0%	86.8%	88.1%	81.8%	86.2%
						E			Н											
	590	61	153	130	87	41	117	165	238	187	281	309	68	85	374	4	91	120	228	142
Increased economic activity	84.1%	78.6%	87.4%	80.7%	89.0%	84.2%	83.8%	78.6%	87.0%	86.0%	83.6%	84.7%	92.6%	80.7%	83.2%	80.2%	86.6%	87.5%	84.4%	80.5%
																			1	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 5 of 88

Q5. [SUMMARY - NO] Can you tell me if an improved waterfront could benefit Toronto in the following ways?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	-	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	100	16	22	25	11	6	20	42	33	25	51	48	5	16	70	1	12	16	38	31
Increased economic activity	14.2%	20.3%	12.6%	15.2%	11.0%	12.8%	14.5%	20.1%	11.9%	11.4%	15.2%	13.3%	7.4%	15.3%	15.7%	19.8%	11.5%	11.5%	13.9%	17.4%
Increased quality of life for Toronto residents	99	9	25	27	20	5	14	45	27	27	50	50	9	22	58	0	12	15	49	23
increased quality of life for Toronto residents	14.2%	12.2%	14.0%	16.6%	20.6%	9.5%	9.7%	21.2%	9.9%	12.6%	14.7%	13.6%	11.6%	20.7%	12.9%	-	10.9%	11.0%	18.2%	13.1%
					FG			I												
	61	9	14	12	6	2	16	20	19	22	33	28	10	8	39	0	12	15	17	17
Increased tourism	8.7%	12.2%	8.2%	7.7%	6.5%	4.9%	11.1%	9.3%	6.9%	10.2%	9.8%	7.6%	13.4%	7.2%	8.7%	-	11.6%	10.9%	6.2%	9.4%
	40	6	5	12	5	3	10	14	6	20	15	25	5	11	18	0	8	4	12	15
Better image for the city	5.6%	7.4%	2.8%	7.1%	5.2%	5.2%	7.0%	6.6%	2.2%	9.0%	4.3%	6.9%	6.2%	10.3%	4.1%	-	7.5%	3.2%	4.4%	8.7%
										ı				0						

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 6 of 88

Q5_1. [Increased tourism] Can you tell me if an improved waterfront could benefit Toronto in the following ways?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+	School	High School Q	College	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Increased tourism																				
	633	68	159	145	92	46	125	188	252	193	297	336	63	96	410	5	91	122	252	158
Yes	90.4%	87.8%	90.5%	90.0%	93.5%	93.4%	88.9%	89.5%	92.3%	88.8%	88.4%	92.2%	86.5%	91.2%	91.2%	100.0%	86.2%	89.0%	93.0%	89.3%
	61	9	14	12	6	2	16	20	19	22	33	28	10	8	39	0	12	15	17	17
No	8.7%	12.2%	8.2%	7.7%	6.5%	4.9%	11.1%	9.3%	6.9%	10.2%	9.8%	7.6%	13.4%	7.2%	8.7%	-	11.6%	10.9%	6.2%	9.4%
	7	0	2	4	0	1	0	2	2	2	6	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	2	2
(DK/NS)	1.0%	-	1.3%	2.3%	-	1.7%	-	1.2%	0.8%	1.0%	1.8%	0.2%	0.2%	1.6%	0.1%	-	2.2%	0.1%	0.8%	1.3%
						G														

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 7 of 88

Q5_2. [Increased economic activity] Can you tell me if an improved waterfront could benefit Toronto in the following ways?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York C	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	School	High School Q	College	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Increased economic activity																				
	590	61	153	130	87	41	117	165	238	187	281	309	68	85	374	4	91	120	228	142
Yes	84.1%	78.6%	87.4%	80.7%	89.0%	84.2%	83.8%	78.6%	87.0%	86.0%	83.6%	84.7%	92.6%	80.7%	83.2%	80.2%	86.6%	87.5%	84.4%	80.5%
	100	16	22	25	11	6	20	42	33	25	51	48	5	16	70	1	12	16	38	31
No	14.2%	20.3%	12.6%	15.2%	11.0%	12.8%	14.5%	20.1%	11.9%	11.4%	15.2%	13.3%	7.4%	15.3%	15.7%	19.8%	11.5%	11.5%	13.9%	17.4%
					_	_	2		_	_					_	_			_	
	11	1	0	- /	0	1		3	3	6	4	- /	0	4	5	0	2	1	4	4
(DK/NS)	1.6%	1.0%	-	4.1%	-	3.0%	1.8%	1.3%	1.1%	2.6%	1.2%	2.0%	-	3.9%	1.2%	-	1.9%	1.0%	1.6%	2.0%
		1				CE			l	l	I					1		l	1	1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 8 of 88

Q5_3. [Increased quality of life for Toronto residents] Can you tell me if an improved waterfront could benefit Toronto in the following ways?

	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	gion Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	Age 35-54	55+	Male	nder Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Education Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Increased quality of life for Toronto residents	597	68	148	134	77	44	125	166	244	187	284	313	65	82	388	-	92	121	221	152
Yes	85.1%	87.8%	84.7%	83.4%	78.4%	44 89.9%	89.3%	78.8%	89.3%	86.2%	284 84.3%	86.0%	88.4%	77.9%	86.3%	100.0%	86.8%	88.1%	81.8%	86.2%
165	03.176	07.0%	04.7%	03.4%	70.4%	69.9% E	09.3%	10.0%	69.5% H	00.2%	04.3%	00.0%	00.476	11.9%	00.3%	100.0%	00.0%	00.176	01.0%	00.2%
	99	9	25	27	20	5	14	45	27	27	50	50	9	22	58	0	12	15	49	23
No	14.2%	12.2%	14.0%	16.6%	20.6%	9.5%	9.7%	21.2%	9.9%	12.6%	14.7%	13.6%	11.6%	20.7%	12.9%	-	10.9%	11.0%	18.2%	13.1%
					FG			ı												
	5	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	3	2	0	1	3	0	2	1	0	1
(DK/NS)	0.7%	-	1.3%	-	1.0%	0.6%	1.0%	-	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%	0.4%	-	1.4%	0.8%	-	2.2%	1.0%	-	0.7%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 9 of 88

Q5_4. [Better image for the city] Can you tell me if an improved waterfront could benefit Toronto in the following ways?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+	School	High School Q	College	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Better image for the city																				
	660	70	170	150	93	46	130	195	267	197	321	339	69	94	430	5	97	132	258	161
Yes	94.1%	91.3%	97.2%	92.9%	94.8%	93.9%	93.0%	92.8%	97.8%	90.8%	95.3%	93.0%	93.8%	89.6%	95.8%	100.0%	92.3%	96.0%	95.5%	91.3%
									HJ						N					
	40	6	5	12	5	3	10	14	6	20	15	25	5	11	18	0	8	4	12	15
No	5.6%	7.4%	2.8%	7.1%	5.2%	5.2%	7.0%	6.6%	2.2%	9.0%	4.3%	6.9%	6.2%	10.3%	4.1%	-	7.5%	3.2%	4.4%	8.7%
										I				0						
	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.2%	1.3%	-	-	-	1.0%	-	0.6%	-	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 10 of 88

Q7. Can you identify any organizations or groups that have been involved in the revitalization of Toronto's waterfront?

		East York/		Regi Scarboroug	ion	Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of		Age		Ge	nder		\$30,000-		Elementary		Education		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	D 75	76	F 300	G 100	H 176	1 263	J 262	K 358	343	M 70	N 115	O 448	P 5	Q 99	R 133	S 263	T 197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
3	73	13	11	12	10	8	17	25	22	26	47	26	3	6	63	0	7	10	26	28
City of Toronto	10.4%	17.5%	6.5%	7.7%	10.6%	16.7%	12.3%	11.9%	8.1%	11.9%	13.9%	7.2%	4.0%	5.3%	14.1%	-	6.4%	7.5%	9.8%	16.0%
		С				CD					L									
Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation/	35	6	5	4	0	9	10	10	15	9	24	12	1	3	26	0	3	2	23	7
Waterfront Toronto	5.0%	7.8%	3.1%	2.6%	-	18.8%	7.2%	4.9%	5.6%	4.3%	7.0%	3.2%	1.3%	2.8%	5.9%	-	3.2%	1.6%	8.4%	3.9%
		E				BCDEG	E												R	
	28	5	7	2	2	2	10	10	10	8	17	11	2	2	23	0	2	4	11	12
Government of Ontario	4.0%	6.0%	4.1%	1.3%	2.5%	3.5%	7.3%	4.9%	3.8%	3.6%	5.1%	3.1%	3.1%	2.1%	5.1%	-	1.5%	2.8%	3.9%	6.9%
	19	3	9	2	0	1	3	7	9	3	13	6	2	1	12	0	0	2	11	5
Construction/Architecture Company	2.7%	4.2%	5.4%	1.3%	-	2.4%	1.8%	3.4%	3.2%	1.2%	3.9%	1.5%	3.1%	1.1%	2.8%	-	-	1.7%	4.2%	2.8%
						Е														
Toronto Port Authority	17	3	2	2	3	2	6	4	9	4	13	4 2007	2	0	13	0	0	2	8	6
Toronto Port Authority	2.5%	3.4%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	4.3%	4.0%	1.9%	3.2%	2.1%	3.9%	1.2%	2.4%	0.2%	3.0%	-	0.3%	1.8%	3.0%	3.6%
	12	1	4	2	2	2	1	0	3	10	6	6	0	0	12	0	0	0	9	2
Government of Canada	1.7%	1.0%	2.5%	1.3%	2.3%	3.2%	0.8%	-	0.9%	4.4%	1.8%	1.7%	0.2%	0.1%	2.6%	-	0.2%	0.3%	3.4%	1.4%
										Н										
Pan Am Games	7 1.0%	3.6%	2	1.3%	0	0	0	0	4	3	5	0.5%	-	1 0.00/	7 1.5%	0	0	2	5	0
i an Aili Gaines	1.0%	3.6% G	1.3%	1.5%	-	0.6%	-	-	1.6%	1.3%	1.6%	0.5%	 	0.8%	1.5%	 	-	1.5%	1.9%	0.1%
	6	0	0	3	0	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	4	1
Conservation Authority	0.8%	-	-	1.6%	-	1.1%	1.8%	1.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.8%	0.8%	0.4%	-	1.1%	-	0.2%	-	1.6%	0.6%
	-		0			0	0	0	0	-					_			0		0
Politician/ Political groups	5 0.7%	0	1.2%	0	0	0	1.8%	0	0.1%	5 2.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0	0.2%	3 0.6%	0	0	0.1%	0.8%	3 1.6%
1 Ontolari) 1 Ontolar groups	0.7 76		1.270			0.576	1.076		0.176	2.370	0.476	1.076		0.276	0.078	+		0.176	0.076	1.076
	4	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	1	2	3	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	2
Harbour Front Center	0.6%	2.9%	-	-	-	4.3%	-	0.8%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	-	0.3%	0.9%	-	1.2%	0.4%	0.2%	1.1%
	4	2	0	2	0	CDEG 0	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	3	1
Airlines	0.6%	2.9%	-	1.0%	-	0.6%	-	0.1%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.4%	-	1.7%	0.5%	-	-	-	1.1%	0.7%
								0.1.70	0.070	2.270										
	4	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	4	3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1
Waterfront Committe	0.6%	1.3%	-	1.0%	-	0.4%	0.8%	-	0.1%	1.7%	0.8%	0.3%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	-	1.0%	0.6%
	4	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	3	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2
George Brown College	0.6%	-	1.0%	-	-	1.4%	1.0%	-	0.7%	1.0%	1.0%	0.2%	-	-	0.9%	-	-	0.1%	0.6%	1.2%
, ,																				
	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Environmental Group (General)	0.4%	-	1.5%	-	-	0.3%	-	-	1.0%	0.1%	0	0.7%	3.7% O	-	0	-	-	2.0%	0	-
	+												U							
Toronto Economic Development Corporation	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
(TEDCO)	0.4%	-	-	1.3%	-	1.1%	-	0.2%	0.8%	-	0.7%	0.1%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	-	0.1%	1.3%
	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
John Tory Group	0.3%	-	1.3%	-	-	-	-	1.1%	-	-	0.7%	-	-	-	0.5%	-	-	1.7%	-	-
0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Ontario Place commitee	0.3%	2.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4%	0.4%	-	0.6%	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	0.8%	-
	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Blue/ BlueEdge/ Code Blue	0.3%	-	-	-	-	1.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	0.6%	0	-	-	0.5%	-	-	0.1%	0.1%	1.0%
C	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
Government (unspecified)	0.3%	-	1.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8%	0.5%	-	-	-	0.4%	-	1.7%	-	-	-
	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
Corus Entertainment	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.7%	1.0%	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	-	-	0.4%	-	-	-	0.1%	0.8%
Red Dath	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1 0.00/	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Red Path	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.7%	1.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	0.4%	-	-	0.3%	-	-	0.1%	-	0.9%
	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
David Crombie	0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	1.0%	-	0.5%	-	0.4%	-	·	-	0.3%	-	-		0.5%	-
Quaan'a Quay Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen's Quay Commitee	0	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	0.1%	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-

Q7. Can you identify any organizations or groups that have been involved in the revitalization of Toronto's waterfront?

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Other	59 8.4%	8 10.5%	15 8.8%	13 8.3%	8 7.8%	4 8.2%	11 7.5%	21 9.8%	22 8.2%	16 7.4%	26 7.6%	33 9.2%	3 4.7%	6 5.3%	40 9.0%	1 19.7%	3 2.7%	9 6.8%	30 11.2%	16 8.8%
None	46 6.6%	2 2.5%	16 9.2%	13 8.0%	7 6.9%	2 4.2%	6 4.6%	8 3.9%	28 10.1%	10 4.8%	21 6.4%	25 6.8%	5 7.2%	4 3.5%	33 7.3%	0 -	9 8.3%	5 3.6%	23 8.5%	9 5.4%
(DK/NS)	444 63.4%	43 55.5%	112 64.0% F	112 69.7% F	71 72.8% BFG	25 50.5%	81 57.6%	136 64.7%	167 60.9%	142 65.2%	191 56.6%	254 69.6% K	52 71.6%	85 81.1% O	257 57.2%	4 80.3%	80 76.2% ST	105 76.1% ST	144 53.3%	107 60.4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

psos 12 of 88

Q8. Before today, had you ever heard of the Toronto Port Authority?

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	ο	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	559	63	137	124	75	42	117	136	231	193	272	287	36	76	391	4	66	101	225	160
Yes	79.7%	81.6%	78.3%	76.6%	76.8%	86.5%	83.8%	64.4%	84.4%	88.6%	80.8%	78.7%	49.7%	72.6%	86.9%	80.2%	62.3%	73.5%	83.3%	90.6%
									Н	Н				M	MN				Q	QR
	141	14	38	38	23	7	21	75	41	25	63	78	37	29	57	1	40	35	45	17
No	20.1%	18.4%	21.7%	23.4%	23.2%	13.5%	15.2%	35.6%	15.1%	11.4%	18.8%	21.3%	50.3%	27.4%	12.7%	19.8%	37.7%	25.5%	16.7%	9.4%
								IJ					NO	0			ST	T		
	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	1.0%	-	0.5%	-	0.4%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	1.0%	-	-
																		·		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 13 of 88

Q9. As far as you know, what does the Toronto Port Authority do? What is it responsible for?

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: Heard of the Toronto Port Authority	586	62	59	58	59	263	85	116	228	242	307	279	43	85	403	4	63	102	230	185
Weighted	559 158	63* 22	137* 50	124* 26	75* 18	42 12	117* 30	136* 51	231 59	193 48	272 72	287 87	36** 7	76* 21	391 119	4** 0	66* 8	101* 22	225 76	160 51
Maintaining/Monitoring Waterfront	28.3%	35.8%	36.3%	21.0%	23.6%	29.0%	25.6%	37.8%	25.6%	25.0%	26.4%	30.2%	18.7%	27.4%	30.4%	-	12.1%	21.4%	33.9% Q	32.0% Q
Watercraft/Ferry/Ships	135 24.1%	10 16.1%	30 21.6%	33 26.4%	18 24.1%	8 18.4%	36 31.0% BF	31 22.6%	58 25.1%	46 23.9%	62 22.7%	73 25.4%	17 45.7%	13 17.6%	95 24.3%	-	19 29.5%	21 21.1%	61 27.0%	33 20.7%
Governing Port/Harbour	116 20.8%	16 26.1%	32 23.3%	20 16.2%	16 20.8%	10 24.2%	22 18.5%	18 13.5%	51 22.2%	47 24.2%	71 26.0%	45 15.8%	4 10.6%	12 15.3%	85 21.7%	2 52.9%	13 19.4%	19 18.5%	42 18.8%	40 25.0%
Owning and Operating the Airport	87 15.6%	7 11.2%	13 9.8%	14 11.1%	14 18.2%	11 26.7%	28 23.7%	18 13.3%	35 15.2%	34 17.6%	55 20.3%	32 11.1%	7 18.3%	6 8.1%	68 17.5%	0 -	10 15.8%	8 8.0%	41 18.3%	27 17.0%
Waterfront Development	81 14.4%	10 15.5%	36 26.0%	7 5.9%	9 11.5%	BCD 7 16.6%	C 12 10.4%	15 11.3%	28 12.1%	37 19.4%	28 10.4%	52 18.2%	4 10.3%	13 17.6%	55 14.2%	0 -	9	12 11.5%	37 16.5%	22 13.5%
Traffic/Waterways/Transportation	73 13.1%	11 17.2%	DG 12 8.5%	21 16.8%	9	D 6 14.7%	15 12.7%	19 14.0%	40 17.4%	14 7.3%	31 11.2%	K 43 14.9%	7 17.9%	10 13.0%	49 12.6%	2 52.9%	4 6.0%	12 11.8%	27 12.0%	27 17.0%
	57	10	7	15	11	4	9	18	J 21	18	O.E.	22	9	10	31	2	7	15	19	13
Policing/Security	10.2%	15.7% C	5.2%	12.2%	15.1%	9.6%	7.8%	13.1%	9.3%	9.2%	25 9.3%	32 11.0%	24.2%	12 16.2%	8.0%	44.0%	11.2%	15.2%	8.4%	8.2%
Shipping/Import/Export	47 8.4%	9 14.2% E	12 8.9%	8 6.4%	3 3.6%	6 13.1% E	10 8.3%	14 10.0%	16 7.1%	17 8.9%	23 8.3%	25 8.6%	7 18.9%	6 8.5%	29 7.3%	1 22.6%	3.4%	12 11.4%	17 7.7%	15 9.4%
Protects the environment/ takes care of environmental issues	8 1.4%	1 1.6%	2 1.6%	4 3.3%	0 -	1 1.7% G	0 -	1 1.0%	3 1.1%	4 2.1%	4 1.3%	4 1.5%	2 6.2%	2 2.9%	1 0.4%	0 -	2 3.1%	4 4.2%	1 0.5%	1 0.4%
Tourism/Public Service	6 1.2%	- -	0 -	3.4%	0 -	1 2.1% C	1 1.2%	2 1.2%	2 1.1%	2 1.2%	4 1.3%	3 1.0%	0 -	3 3.7% O	0 0.1%	0 -	0 -	2 2.5%	1.0%	1.1%
Development of lands	5 0.9%	4 5.6% CD	0	0 -	0 -	0	1 1.2%	0 -	1 0.6%	4 2.0%	3 1.1%	0.8%	0 0.4%	1 1.1%	3 0.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 1.7%	1 0.9%
Appointed By Federal Government	5 0.9%	1.3%	2 1.6%	0 -	1 1.6%	1 1.9% G	0 -	0 0.1%	0 0.2%	2.3%	1 0.5%	1.3%	0 -	0 0.5%	5 1.2%	0 -	1 1.2%	2 2.2%	0 0.2%	1.0%
Building Tunnel	4 0.7%	1.3%	-	2.0%	-	1.1%	-	0 0.1%	1.1%	0.6%	0.4%	0.9%	-	1.3%	3 0.7%	-	-	-	1.6%	0.1%
Property/ land owner(s)	4 0.7%	0 -	-	1.7%	-	1 1.2%	1.0%	0 0.1%	1.0%	0.7%	3 1.2%	0 0.1%	-	0 -	4 0.9%	-	-	0 -	0 0.1%	4 2.2%
Regulates Island affairs	4 0.7%	3.5%	-	-	1.3%	0 1.1%	-	-	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	6.5%	1.3%	0 -	-	0.2%	3 3.2% S	-	0.2%
Licensing/ zoning/ permits	3 0.6%	0 -	0 -	2 2.0%	0 -	1 1.5%	-	-	3 1.2%	0 0.2%	0 0.1%	3 1.0%	0 -	0 -	3 0.8%	-	-	0	0.1%	3 1.7%
Create Problems	1 0.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.3%	1 1.0%	0 -	0 -	0.6%	1 0.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0.3%	0 -	-	0 -	0.1%	1 0.7%
Recreation (programs/ shows/ historical archive etc.)	1 0.2%	1 1.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.4%	0 -	0 -	0	1 0.5%	1 0.3%	0 0.1%	0 -	1 1.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.2%	1 0.4%	0 -
Other	4 0.8%	0 -	2 1.3%	0 -	2 2.0%	0 -	1 1.0%	0 -	2 0.7%	3 1.5%	3 1.1%	2 0.5%	2 5.0%	0 -	3 0.7%	0 -	2 2.7%	0 -	3 1.2%	0 -
Nothing	5	1 2.0%	0 -	2 1.7%	2 2.0%	0 0.4%	0 -	1 1.0%	4 1.6%	0 -	2 0.8%	3	0 -	0 -	5 1.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0.6%	4 2.2%
(DK/NS)	62 11.1%	7 10.9%	12 8.6%	19 15.2%	11 14.5%	3 8.0%	10 8.8%	19 14.0%	23 10.0%	20 10.4%	27 9.9%	35 12.2%	2 5.3%	14 18.9%	36 9.3%	0 -	9 13.8%	16 15.4%	24 10.4%	14 8.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Ipsos 14 of 88

Q10. Using a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is very unfavourable and 10 is very favourable please rate your impression of the Toronto Port Authority.

				Reç	jion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: Heard of the Toronto Port Authority	586	62	59	58	59	263	85	116	228	242	307	279	43	85	403	4	63	102	230	185
Weighted	559	63*	137*	124*	75*	42	117*	136*	231	193	272	287	36**	76*	391	4**	66*	101*	225	160
	18	4	0	7	3	2	2	6	3	8	11	7	1	4	9	1	2	6	7	2
10 - Very Favourable	3.2%	6.4%	-	5.5%	4.3%	3.7%	1.9%	4.6%	1.5%	4.2%	4.0%	2.4%	3.7%	4.7%	2.3%	24.6%	3.2%	5.9%	3.2%	1.0%
,	0.270	C		0.070		С				,				,			0.2,0	0.07.0		,
	7	1	0	2	2	0	2	1	3	3	5	2	0	1	4	0	0	1	4	2
9	1.2%	1.6%	-	1.3%	3.0%	0.7%	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%	1.5%	1.9%	0.6%	-	1.3%	1.1%	-	-	1.0%	1.9%	1.1%
_	55	5	15	17	5	4	11	17	21	18	31	25	8	3	43	0	3	14	20	19
8	9.9%	7.6%	10.7%	13.5%	6.4%	9.0%	9.0%	12.2%	9.0%	9.4%	11.3%	8.5%	22.0%	4.5%	11.0%	-	4.6%	13.8%	8.8%	11.6%
	84	13	22	13	10	7	19	26	42	15	38	46	7	9	65	0	7	7	42	27
7	15.0%	21.4%	16.2%	10.8%	12.9%	15.4%	16.0%	19.5%	18.3%	8.0%	14.0%	16.0%	18.8%	11.5%	16.8%	-	11.3%	7.2%	18.7%	16.9%
<i>'</i>	15.0%	21.4%	10.2%	10.6%	12.9%	15.4%	10.0%	19.5% J	16.5% J	0.0%	14.0%	16.0%	10.0%	11.5%	16.6%	<u> </u>	11.3%	1.270	16.7 % R	16.9%
	63	8	11	11	13	4	15	19	23	21	34	29	3	10	44	1	11	9	30	13
6	11.3%	13.1%	7.9%	9.2%	17.8%	9.3%	13.1%	14.3%	9.9%	10.9%	12.7%	10.0%	9.5%	13.2%	11.2%	19.4%	16.7%	8.5%	13.2%	8.1%
	209	20	66	42	28	14	38	48	91	69	93	116	11	24	147	0	24	34	94	56
5	37.3%	31.8%	47.8%	34.4%	37.7%	34.1%	32.1%	35.2%	39.6%	36.1%	34.0%	40.4%	28.9%	31.0%	37.6%	-	35.9%	33.6%	41.5%	35.1%
						_	_		_											
4	22	3	4	4	3	2	5	2	7	14	12	10	0	2	17	0	4	5	2	11
4	4.0%	5.1%	3.3%	3.0%	4.6%	5.5%	4.2%	1.4%	2.9%	7.1%	4.6%	3.4%	0.4%	3.2%	4.4%	-	6.1% S	5.0%	0.7%	7.1% S
	21	2	2	6	2	1	7	4	7	10	10	11	1	5	15	0	5	5	6	6
3	3.8%	3.2%	1.6%	5.0%	3.3%	3.2%	5.8%	3.2%	2.9%	5.2%	3.8%	3.7%	3.4%	6.4%	3.8%	-	7.1%	4.7%	2.5%	3.8%
	9	1	4	3	0	2	0	3	4	3	6	3	2	3	4	0	2	3	0	4
2	1.6%	1.6%	3.0%	2.1%	-	3.7%	-	2.0%	1.7%	1.3%	2.2%	1.1%	5.3%	3.8%	1.1%	-	3.0%	2.7%	0.2%	2.5%
						EG														
1 - Very Unfavourable	14	1	0	2	1 701	4	6	0	6	8	11	3	0	3	11	0	0	2	9	3
1 - Very Offiavourable	2.6%	1.6%	-	1.7%	1.7%	9.8% BCDE	5.0%	0.2%	2.6%	4.1%	4.1%	1.1%	0.4%	4.3%	2.8%	-	0.2%	1.9%	4.2%	1.8%
	56	4	13	17	6	2	13	9	24	24	20	36	3	12	32	2	8	16	12	18
(DK/NS)	10.1%	6.6%	9.7%	13.5%	8.3%	5.6%	11.5%	6.4%	10.3%	12.3%	7.4%	12.6%	7.7%	16.0%	8.1%	56.0%	11.9%	15.5%	5.2%	11.1%
																		S		
Summary																				
B ''' (0.40)	227	31	48	50	33	16	49	70	92	65	119	108	20	27	165	2	24	37	103	62
Positive (6-10)	40.7%	50.1%	34.7%	40.3%	44.4%	38.1%	41.5%	51.5% J	39.9%	33.9%	43.9%	37.7%	53.9%	35.3%	42.3%	44.0%	35.8%	36.5%	45.8%	38.6%
	209	20	66	42	28	14	38	48	91	69	93	116	11	24	147	0	24	34	94	56
Neutral (5)	37.3%	31.8%	47.8%	34.4%	37.7%	34.1%	32.1%	35.2%	39.6%	36.1%	34.0%	40.4%	28.9%	31.0%	37.6%	-	35.9%	33.6%	41.5%	35.1%
	07.070	01.070		0	51.170	011170	OZ.1.70	00.E /0	00.070	00.170	01.070	10.170	20.070	01.070	57.575		00.070	00.070	11.073	55.175
	67	7	11	15	7	9	18	9	23	34	40	27	3	13	47	0	11	14	17	24
Negative (1-4)	11.9%	11.5%	7.8%	11.8%	9.6%	22.2%	15.0%	6.9%	10.1%	17.7%	14.7%	9.3%	9.5%	17.7%	12.0%	-	16.4%	14.3%	7.6%	15.1%
						BCDE				Н										
Mean	5.7	6	5.6	5.9	5.9	5.3	5.6	6.1	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.7	6.2	5.4	5.7	8.2	5.4	5.8	5.8	5.6
		F		1	F			J												
Std. Dev.	1.8	1.9	1.4	2	1.7	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.7	2	2	1.6	1.9	2.1	1.7	0	1.6	2	1.8	1.7
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 15 of 88

Q11. How familiar are you with the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport- located on Toronto Island near Downtown? Are you...

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	229	21	43	34	33	25	73	46	105	78	124	105	11	17	180	1	17	32	101	75
Very familiar	32.7%	26.7%	24.6%	20.9%	33.8%	51.2%	52.4%	22.0%	38.5%	35.7%	36.8%	28.9%	15.2%	16.0%	40.0%	19.7%	16.5%	23.3%	37.5%	42.5%
						BCDE	BCDE		Н	Н					MN				QR	QR
Somewhat familiar	278	36	85	63	36	16	43	87	102	88	128	150	30	37	188	0	50	55	96	77 43.4%
Somewhat familial	39.7%	46.5% FG	48.4% FG	38.9%	36.2%	32.6%	30.7%	41.6%	37.4%	40.7%	38.1%	41.1%	41.4%	35.2%	41.8%	-	47.6%	39.7%	35.6%	43.4%
	117	15	32	30	18	3	19	38	42	37	51	66	15	39	51	3	23	34	42	13
Not very familiar	16.8%	19.0%	18.5%	18.6%	18.2%	6.9%	13.7%	18.2%	15.3%	17.1%	15.3%	18.1%	21.1%	37.0%	11.3%	58.0%	21.7%	24.8%	15.5%	7.6%
		F	F	F	F									0			T	T		
	75	6	15	35	10	5	5	37	24	14	32	43	16	12	30	1	15	17	31	11
Not familiar at all - that is, you are unaware of it.	10.7%	7.8%	8.5%	21.6%	10.4%	9.3%	3.2%	17.6%	8.8%	6.4%	9.5%	11.9%	22.4%	11.7%	6.7%	22.3%	14.2%	12.1%	11.4%	6.5%
			0.0,0	BCFG		G	0.270	IJ	0.0,0	0.170	0.07.0		0					,		0.070
	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.2%	-	-	-	1.3%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	0.4%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	ı	-	-
Summary																				
T 0D (5 ii)	507	56	128	96	69	41	116	134	207	166	252	255	41	54	367	1	68	87	198	152
Top2Box (Familiar)	72.3%	73.1%	73.0%	59.8%	70.0%	83.8%	83.1%	63.5%	75.9%	76.5%	74.9%	70.0%	56.5%	51.3%	81.7%	19.7%	64.1%	63.0%	73.1%	85.9%
	400	21	47	05	00	DE 8	DE	75	H	H	00	400	20	54	MN		20	54	70	QRS
Low2Box (Not familiar)	193			65 40.2%	28	-	24	75	66 24.1%	51	83	109	32	51	81	90.00/	38	51	73	25
LOWZDOX (NOT IGITIIIGI)	27.5%	26.9%	27.0%	40.2% FG	28.6% F	16.2%	16.9%	35.9% IJ	24.1%	23.5%	24.7%	30.0%	43.5% O	48.7% O	18.0%	80.3%	35.9% T	37.0% T	26.9% T	14.1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 16 of 88

Q12. Approximately how many times have you flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport on Toronto Island, if at all?

				Reg	ion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55÷	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School		University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Base: Familiar with Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport Weighted	635 625	69 71*	69 160*	59 126*	68 87*	F 273 44	97 136*	148 172*	243 249	244 203	330 303	305 321	58 57*	97 93*	0 422 418	3 4**	83 91*	117 121*	S 241 240	188 165
0	402 64.4%	52 73.5% FG	125 78.0% FG	87 68.5% FG	58 66.9% FG	21 46.2%	60 44.3%	129 75.3%	141 56.7%	132 64.8%	190 62.7%	212 66.1%	53 92.4% O	78 84.2% O	231 55.2%	4 100.0%	83 91.4% ST	101 83.6% ST	136 56.6%	79 47.7%
1	46 7.3%	6.0%	5 3.1%	17 13.2% C	9.3%	5 10.8% C	7 5.2%	10 5.7%	9.2%	13 6.4%	7.2%	7.5%	3.5%	9 9.5%	34 8.2%	0 -	3 3.6%	6 4.7%	9.4%	14 8.7%
2	31 4.9%	2 2.8%	9 5.9% 5	7 5.2% 2	3 2.9%	3 7.2% 2	7 5.2% 7	6 3.5% 2	18 7.2%	7 3.3% 8	17 5.7%	13 4.2%	0 - 0	2 2.0%	28 6.6%	- 0	0 0.2%	5 3.8%	10 4.2%	15 9.0% Q 6
3	3.5%	7.1%	2.8%	1.6%	1.8%	4.6%	5.0%	1.4%	4.7%	3.8%	3.9%	3.1%	0.3%	1.3%	4.0%	- 0	2.8%	2.2%	3.4%	3.9%
4	25	1.4%	9	4.0%	2.8%	5.3%	6.1%	2.8%	2.6%	4.9%	1.7%	5.1%	0	1.6%	4.5%	- 0	0	0.1%	15	5.2%
6	4.0% 13 2.1%	1.4%	0 -	1.3% 4 3.0%	4.4% 3 2.9%	4.4% 2 5.1%	5.5% 3 2.5%	0.3% 0 0.2%	5.2% H 6 2.6%	5.7% H 6 3.0%	2.8% 12 3.8%	5.2% 1 0.4%	0.6%	0 0.2%	5.9% 11 2.7%	0 -	1 1.5%	2.3%	6.4%	4.2% 3 1.6%
7	3 0.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.4%	C 0 0.3%	1 1.0%	0 -	1 0.6%	1 0.7%	L 1 0.5%	1 0.4%	0 -	0 -	3 0.7%	0 -	0 -	1 1.0%	2 0.6%	0 -
8	7 1.1%	0 -	0 -	2 1.7%	1 1.2%	1 2.3% C	3 2.1%	4 2.3%	1 0.3%	2 1.1%	5 1.6%	2 0.7%	0 -	0 -	7 1.6%	0 -	0 0.2%	0 0.2%	2 0.9%	5 2.8%
10	27 4.3%	3 3.7%	1.4%	0	2 2.6%	2 4.3% D	18 13.1% BCDEF	9 5.3%	10 4.0%	8 3.8%	16 5.2%	11 3.4%	2 3.3%	1 1.2%	22 5.2%	-	0 0.2%	0 0.1%	13 5.5%	13 8.0% QR
12	3 0.4%	-	-	1.6%	0 -	1 1.4% G	0 -	0 0.1%	1.0%	0 0.1%	3 0.8%	0.1%	-	0	3 0.6%	-	-	0	0 0.2%	1.3%
13	0.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1	0 -	1 1.0%	3	0.6%	- 1	1 0.5%	2	0 -	0 -	0.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3	0.8%
15	0.9%	1.4%	- 0	- 0	1.2%	0.7%	2.3%	1.8%	0.4%	0.7%	1.1%	0.6%	- 0	- 0	1.3%	- 0	0	- 0	1.4%	1.3%
25	0.7% 3 0.4%	1 1.4%	0	0	0	3.6% BCDE 0	1.9%	0	0.4% 2 0.7%	0.7% 1 0.5%	1.2% 1 0.5%	0.1% 1 0.4%	0 -	0	0.7%	0 -	0	0.3%	2	0 0.2%
30	2 0.3%	0	0	0	0	0.9%	1.0% 2 1.2%	0	2 0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	2 0.6%	0	0	0.1% 2 0.4%	0 -	0	0	1.0% 2 0.7%	0.1%
35	3 0.4%	0 -	3 1.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1.1%	0 -	0 -	3 0.8%	0 -	0 -	3 0.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 1.6%
36	1 0.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0.5%	1 0.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	1 0.6%
40	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 0.4%	0	0 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 0.1%
50	4 0.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.5%	1 1.4%	2 1.2%	0 -	3 1.3%	0 0.1%	0.6%	0.6%	0 -	0 -	0.8%	0 -	0 0.1%	0 -	3 1.3%	0 0.1%
55	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.4%	0 -	0 -	0 0.1%	0 -	0 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.1%	0 -
60	0	- 0	- 0	- 0	- 0	0.4%	- 2	0.1%	- 2	- 0	- 0	0.1%	- 0	- 0	0	- 0	- 0	- 0	0.1%	- 2
(DK/NS)	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	1.2%	-	0.7%	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0%

Q12. Approximately how many times have you flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport on Toronto Island, if at all?

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Summary																,				
	402	52	125	87	58	21	60	129	141	132	190	212	53	78	231	4	83	101	136	79
0	64.4%	73.5%	78.0%	68.5%	66.9%	46.2%	44.3%	75.3%	56.7%	64.8%	62.7%	66.1%	92.4%	84.2%	55.2%	100.0%	91.4%	83.6%	56.6%	47.7%
		FG	FG	FG	FG			I					0	0			ST	ST		
	98	11	19	25	12	10	21	18	53	27	51	47	2	12	79	0	6	13	41	36
1-3 times	15.8%	15.9%	11.8%	20.0%	14.0%	22.6%	15.3%	10.5%	21.2%	13.5%	16.8%	14.8%	3.8%	12.7%	18.8%	-	6.6%	10.8%	17.0%	21.5%
	96	6	14	13	13	C 10	41	19	H 38	39	47	49	2	3	M 86	0	2	6	50	Q 36
4-10 times	15.4%	7.8%	8.5%	10.0%	15.3%	21.7%	30.4%	11.0%	15.2%	19.2%	15.4%	15.3%	3.9%	3.0%	20.6%	-	1.8%	5.3%	21.1%	21.9%
4-10 times	15.4%	7.8%	8.5%	10.0%	15.3%	21.7% BCD	BCDE	11.0%	15.2%	19.2%	15.4%	15.3%	3.9%	3.0%	20.6% MN	-	1.8%	5.3%	21.1% QR	21.9% QR
	26	2	3	2	3	4	12	5	16	5	16	11	0	0	22	0	0	0	13	13
More than 10 times	4.2%	2.8%	1.7%	1.6%	3.8%	9.6%	8.7%	3.2%	6.3%	2.5%	5.1%	3.3%	-	-	5.3%	-	0.1%	0.3%	5.3%	7.9%
	4.270	2.070	1.770	1.070	3.070	BCD	C	3.2 /0	0.570	2.570	3.170	3.370			3.570		0.170	0.570	3.370	QR
	2.4	1.5	1.3	1	2.5	4.4	4.7	1.6	3.2	2	2.6	2.2	0.4	0.4	3.1	0	0.3	0.6	3.2	3.7
Mean (Incl. 0)						BCD	BCD			_					MN				QR	QR
Std. Dev.	6.1	3.9	4.8	2.2	7.5	9.3	7.8	4.4	7.9	4.6	6	6.3	1.8	1.3	7	0	2.1	1.8	7.6	6.9
Std. Err.	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5
Magn (Evel 0)	6.7	5.5	5.9	3.2	7.5	8.2	8.5	6.6	7.4	5.8	6.8	6.6	5.3	2.3	6.9	0	3.7	3.4	7.3	7.2
Mean (Excl. 0)																				
Std. Dev.	8.8	6.1	8.8	2.9	11.5	11.5	8.9	6.8	10.7	6.3	8.2	9.4	4.9	2.5	9.1	0	6.8	3.1	10.1	8.2
Std. Err.	0.5	1.4	2.3	0.7	2.4	0.9	1.2	0.9	1	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.7	0.6	0.6	0	2	0.6	0.9	0.8
Median	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sum	1481.4	103.6	209.3	128.6	215.5	195.7	628.7	279.9	789.1	412.4	773.7	707.7	22.9	34.2	1286.8	0	28.7	67.1	760.7	609.3

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Ipsos 17 of 88

Q12N. Approximately how many times have you flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport on Toronto Island, if at all?

				Reg	ion				٨٣٥			nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug	ion	Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of		Age		Ge	naer		\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High Schoo	College	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	C 75	D 75	76	F 300	G 100	H 176	263	J 262	K 358	L 343	M 70	N 115	O 448	P 5	Q 99	R 133	S 263	T 197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	479	58	140	121	69	25	65	168	165	146	223	256	69	90	262	5	98	118	167	90
0	68.3%	75.5% FG	79.8% FG	75.3% FG	70.8% FG	51.2%	46.1%	79.8% IJ	60.5%	67.0%	66.3%	70.1%	94.1% O	86.1% O	58.3%	100.0%	92.6% ST	85.6% ST	61.6%	51.1%
	46	4	5	17	8	5	7	10	23	13	22	24	2	9	34	0	3	6	22	14
1	6.5%	5.6%	2.8%	10.3%	8.2%	9.8% C	5.0%	4.6%	8.4%	6.0%	6.5%	6.6%	2.7%	8.4%	7.6%	-	3.1%	4.2%	8.3%	8.1%
	31	2	9	7	3	3	7	6	18	7	17	13	0	2	28	0	0	5	10	15
2	4.4%	2.6%	5.4%	4.1%	2.6%	6.5%	5.0%	2.8%	6.6%	3.1%	5.2%	3.7%	-	1.8%	6.2%	-	0.2%	3.4%	3.7%	8.4% Q
	22	5	5	2	2	2	7	2	12	8	12	10	0	1	17	0	3	3	8	6
3	3.1%	6.5%	2.6%	1.2%	1.6%	4.2%	4.8%	1.1%	4.3%	3.5%	3.5%	2.7%	0.2%	1.1%	3.7%	-	2.4%	2.0%	3.0%	3.6%
	21	1	2	5	2	2	8	5	6	10	5	16	0	2	19	0	0	0	13	9
4	3.0%	1.3%	1.2%	3.1%	2.5%	4.8%	5.9%	2.3%	2.4%	4.6%	1.5%	4.5%	-	1.4%	4.2%	-	-	0.1%	4.6%	4.9%
	25	1	9	2	4	2	7	1	13	12	8	17	0	0	25	0	0	3	15	7
5	3.6%	1.3%	5.3%	1.0%	3.9%	3.9%	5.3%	0.2%	4.8%	5.4%	2.5%	4.6%	0.4%	-	5.5%	-	-	2.0%	5.7%	3.9%
	13	1	0	4	3	2	3	0	H 6	H 6	12	1	0	0	11	0	1	2	6	3
6	1.8%	1.3%	-	2.3%	2.6%	4.6%	2.4%	0.2%	2.4%	2.8%	3.4%	0.4%	-	0.1%	2.5%		1.2%	1.4%	2.1%	1.5%
	3	0	0	0	1	C 0	1	0	1	1	L 1	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0
7	0.4%	-	-	-	1.2%	0.3%	1.0%	-	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	0.9%	0.6%	-
	7	0	0	2	1	1	3	4	1	2	5	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	2	5
8	1.0%	-	-	1.3%	1.0%	2.1%	2.0%	1.9%	0.3%	1.1%	1.4%	0.6%	-	-	1.5%	-	0.2%	0.1%	0.8%	2.6%
		_				С								4						
10	3.8%	3 3.4%	1.2%	0 -	2.3%	3.9%	18 12.7%	9 4.3%	10 3.6%	8 3.6%	16 4.7%	3.0%	2.6%	1.1%	22 4.9%	0 -	0.1%	0.1%	13 4.9%	13 7.5%
						D	BCDEF													QR
12	0.4%	- 0	0 -	1.3%	0	1.3%	0	0 0.1%	0.9%	0.1%	3 0.7%	0.1%	0 -	0 -	3 0.6%	0 -	0 -	0	0.2%	1.3%
13	0.2%	- 0	-	0	-	- 0	1.0%	-	0.5%	-	0.4%	- 0	-	-	0.3%	-	0	- 0	0	0.8%
15	5 0.8%	1 1.3%	-	0 -	1.0%	0	3 2.3%	3 1.5%	0.4%	1 0.6%	3 1.0%	0.6%	0 -	0 -	5 1.2%	0	0	-	1.2%	1.2%
13	0.6%	1.3%	-		1.0%	0.0%	2.3%	1.5%	0.476	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	-	_	1.270		-	-	1.2%	1.276
20	0.6%	0	0	0	0	3.3%	3 1.8%	2 0.9%	1 0.4%	1 0.6%	4 1.1%	0.1%	0	0	3 0.6%	0	0	0	1 0.5%	3 1.4%
20	0.6%	-	-	-	-	BCDE	1.8%	0.9%	0.4%	0.6%	1.1%	0.1%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	0.2%	0.5%	1.4%
	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
25	0.4%	1.3%	-	-	-	0.8%	1.0%	-	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-	0.9%	0.2%
	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
30	0.3%	-	-	-	-	0.4%	1.2%	-	0.7%	-	-	0.5%	-	-	0.4%	-	-	-	0.6%	0.1%
	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
35	0.4%	-	1.5%	-	-	-	-	-	1.0%	-	-	0.7%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	-	-	1.5%
	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
36	0.1%	-	-	-	1.0%	-	-	-	-	0.5%	0.3%	-	-	-	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.6%
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	0	-	-	-	-	0.3%		0.1%	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0.1%
	4	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	0	2	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0
50	0.5%	-	-	-	1.3%	1.2%	1.2%	-	1.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	-	-	0.8%	-	0.1%	-	1.2%	0.1%
				0				-					0	0		0		0		
55	0	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	0.1%	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0 -	0 -	0.1%	-
60	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0 0.1%	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-	0	-	-	-	0.1%	-
(DK/NS)	0.2%	0	0	0	0	0	2 1.2%	0	0.6%	0	0	2 0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0%
(514149)	U.2%	-	-	-	-	-	1.2%	-	0.0%	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0%

Q12N. Approximately how many times have you flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport on Toronto Island, if at all?

				Red	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	479	58	140	121	69	25	65	168	165	146	223	256	69	90	262	5	98	118	167	90
0	68.3%	75.5%	79.8%	75.3%	70.8%	51.2%	46.1%	79.8%	60.5%	67.0%	66.3%	70.1%	94.1%	86.1%	58.3%	100.0%	92.6%	85.6%	61.6%	51.1%
		FG	FG	FG	FG			IJ					0	0			ST	ST		
	98	11	19	25	12	10	21	18	53	27	51	47	2	12	79	0	6	13	41	36
1-3 times	14.0%	14.7%	10.8%	15.6%	12.4%	20.5%	14.8%	8.6%	19.3%	12.6%	15.2%	13.0%	2.9%	11.3%	17.5%	-	5.7%	9.5%	15.1%	20.1%
						С			Н						М					QR
	96	6	14	13	13	10	41	19	38	39	47	49	2	3	86	0	2	6	50	36
4-10 times	13.7%	7.2%	7.8%	7.8%	13.5%	19.6%	29.4%	9.0%	13.9%	18.0%	13.9%	13.5%	3.0%	2.7%	19.2%	-	1.6%	4.7%	18.7%	20.4%
						BCD	BCDE			Н					MN				QR	QR
	26	2	3	2	3	4	12	5	16	5	16	11	0	0	22	0	0	0	13	13
More than 10 times	3.7%	2.5%	1.5%	1.3%	3.4%	8.7%	8.5%	2.6%	5.7%	2.3%	4.6%	2.9%	-	-	4.9%	-	0.1%	0.2%	4.7%	7.4%
						BCD	CD													QR
Mean (Incl. 0)	2.1	1.3	1.2	8.0	2.2	4	4.5	1.3	2.9	1.9	2.3	2	0.3	0.3	2.9	0	0.3	0.5	2.8	3.5
						BCD	BCDE		Н						MN				QR	QR
Std. Dev.	5.8	3.8	4.6	2	7	9	7.8	4	7.6	4.5	5.7	5.9	1.6	1.2	6.8	0	2	1.7	7.2	6.7
Std. Err.	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.5
Mean (Excl. 0)	6.7	5.5	5.9	3.2	7.5	8.2	8.5	6.6	7.4	5.8	6.8	6.6	5.3	2.3	6.9	0	3.7	3.4	7.3	7.2
Std. Dev.	8.8	6.1	8.8	2.9	11.5	11.5	8.9	6.8	10.7	6.3	8.2	9.4	4.9	2.5	9.1	0	6.8	3.1	10.1	8.2
Std. Err.	0.5	1.4	2.3	0.7	2.4	0.9	1.2	0.9	1	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.7	0.6	0.6	0	2	0.6	0.9	0.8
Median	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1481.4	103.6	209.3	128.6	215.5	195.7	628.7	279.9	789.1	412.4	773.7	707.7	22.9	34.2	1286.8	0	28.7	67.1	760.7	609.3

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 18 of 88

Q13. And thinking about the Billy Bishop Toronto City Centre Airport, which of the following would best describe your attitude toward the airport and its operations?

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
I'm personally dead set against having an airport on the island and believe it's bad for Toronto	73 10.4%	9 11.5%	15 8.8%	17 10.3%	11 10.9%	8 16.7% C	13 9.5%	30 14.2% I	18 6.4%	25 11.7%	41 12.2%	32 8.7%	14 19.3%	10 9.5%	46 10.2%	0 -	11 10.3%	12 8.6%	27 10.0%	23 13.2%
I'm personally in favour of having an airport on the island and believe it's good for Toronto	457 65.2%	52 67.1%	109 62.0%	97 60.0%	67 68.1%	33 67.5%	100 71.3%	106 50.3%	192 70.4% H	159 73.0% H	226 67.0%	231 63.5%	42 57.1%	54 51.8%	311 69.3% N	3 62.1%	65 61.8%	101 73.8%	177 65.3%	108 61.1%
I really don't care one way or the other about having an airport on the island	149 21.2%	15 19.2%	49 27.7% FG	39 24.1%	19 19.7%	7 14.9%	20 14.1%	70 33.3% IJ	52 18.9%	27 12.5%	62 18.3%	87 23.9%	17 23.6%	36 34.5% O	77 17.1%	2 37.9%	26 24.7%	20 14.2%	62 22.8%	37 21.1%
(DK/NS)	22 3.2%	2.3%	3 1.5%	9 5.6%	1 1.3%	0 1.0%	7 5.1%	4 2.1%	12 4.3%	6 2.8%	8 2.4%	14 3.9%	0 -	4 4.2%	15 3.4%	0 -	3 3.3%	5 3.3%	5 1.8%	8 4.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 19 of 88

Q13a_01. [Billy Bishop Airport generates \$1.9 billion in annual economic output] Recently, a study gauging the economic impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport was conducted and released. I'm going to read a list of a few things that the study found, and I'd like you to tell me if that makes you more favourable or less favourable towards the airport, or whether it doesn't impact your opinion either way:

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Billy Bishop Airport generates \$1.9 billion in annual economic output																				
	490	49	115	129	79	29	89	143	190	157	231	259	40	78	319	3	70	97	193	121
More favourable	69.8%	63.3%	65.5%	79.9%	80.4%	59.2%	63.6%	67.9%	69.4%	72.2%	68.6%	70.9%	55.1%	74.7%	70.9%	62.1%	66.1%	70.9%	71.3%	68.2%
				BFG	BCFG									M	M					
	37	4	5	15	6	2	6	12	13	12	13	24	14	3	18	0	10	8	11	9
Less favourable	5.3%	5.7%	2.6%	9.5%	5.7%	3.8%	4.0%	5.8%	4.7%	5.7%	3.8%	6.7%	19.1%	3.2%	4.0%	-	9.1%	5.7%	3.9%	5.3%
													NO							
	171	23	56	17	14	18	44	54	71	47	91	80	19	23	111	2	25	31	67	47
No impact on my opinion	24.4%	29.7%	31.9%	10.6%	13.9%	36.1%	31.4%	25.7%	25.9%	21.4%	27.1%	22.0%	25.4%	22.1%	24.8%	37.9%	23.3%	22.6%	24.7%	26.5%
		DE	DE			DE	DE													
	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	1.3%	-	-	-	0.9%	1.0%	0.6%	-	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	-	0.3%	-	1.6%	0.8%	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 20 of 88

Q13a_02. [Billy Bishop Airport generates approximately 5,700 jobs] Recently, a study gauging the economic impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport was conducted and released. I'm going to read a list of a few things that the study found, and I'd like you to tell me if that makes you more favourable or less favourable towards the airport, or whether it doesn't impact your opinion either way:

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Billy Bishop Airport generates approximately 5,700 jobs																				
	552	58	138	129	82	33	111	170	220	161	262	289	55	80	362	4	87	119	202	134
More favourable	78.7%	75.3%	79.0%	80.2%	83.3%	66.8%	79.5%	81.0%	80.4%	74.2%	77.9%	79.4%	75.5%	76.0%	80.7%	84.4%	82.4%	86.3%	74.8%	75.7%
			F	F	F		F											S		
	18	0	4	4	5	2	3	4	7	7	12	6	5	4	7	0	5	0	3	9
Less favourable	2.6%	-	2.3%	2.5%	5.4%	3.9%	1.8%	1.7%	2.6%	3.3%	3.5%	1.7%	6.2%	3.5%	1.5%	-	4.4%	0.3%	1.2%	5.4%
						В														S
	131	19	33	28	11	14	26	36	46	49	62	69	13	22	80	1	14	18	65	33
No impact on my opinion	18.7%	24.7%	18.7%	17.3%	11.2%	28.8%	18.7%	17.3%	16.9%	22.3%	18.5%	18.9%	18.2%	20.6%	17.8%	15.6%	13.0%	13.3%	24.0%	18.9%
		E				DEG														
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0	-	-	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	0.1%	0.1%	-	0.2%	-	0	-	0.1%	-	-	0.1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

21 of 88

Q13a_03. [Billy Bishop Airport generates tax payments to governments of approximately \$57 million annually] Recently, a study gauging the economic impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport was conducted and released. I'm going to read a list of a few things that the study found, and I'd like you to tell me if that makes you more favourable or less favourable towards the airport, or whether it doesn't impact your opinion either way:

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/P
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Billy Bishop Airport generates tax payments to governments of approximately \$57 million annually																				
	451	47	107	112	70	28	87	117	182	152	226	224	42	66	300	3	68	91	177	110
More favourable	64.3%	61.6%	61.0%	69.3%	71.0%	56.9%	62.1%	55.4%	66.7%	69.8%	67.3%	61.6%	57.8%	63.0%	66.7%	64.6%	64.1%	65.9%	65.4%	62.2%
				F	F					Н										
	33	2	10	7	6	3	4	11	15	6	14	19	8	10	15	0	6	10	10	5
Less favourable	4.7%	2.8%	5.7%	4.3%	6.5%	5.9%	3.0%	5.1%	5.7%	3.0%	4.0%	5.3%	10.7%	9.1%	3.4%	-	5.2%	7.6%	3.7%	3.0%
													0	0						
	215	27	58	41	22	18	49	83	75	57	96	119	23	29	134	2	32	36	84	61
No impact on my opinion	30.7%	35.6%	33.3%	25.1%	22.6%	36.9%	34.9%	39.4%	27.6%	26.2%	28.7%	32.6%	31.5%	27.9%	29.9%	35.4%	30.5%	26.4%	30.9%	34.8%
			_			DE		IJ				_			_					
	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.3%	-	-	1.2%	-	0.3%	-	-	-	1.0%	0	0.6%	-	-	0	-	0.1%	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 22 of 88

Q13a_04. [Billy Bishop Airport generates \$290 million in wages yearly] Recently, a study gauging the economic impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport was conducted and released. I'm going to read a list of a few things that the study found, and I'd like you to tell me if that makes you more favourable or less favourable towards the airport, or whether it doesn't impact your opinion either way:

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Billy Bishop Airport generates \$290 million in wages yearly																				
	478	53	101	117	74	29	103	125	199	153	232	245	44	74	320	4	71	107	178	114
More favourable	68.1%	69.0%	57.9%	72.8%	75.4%	58.6%	73.3%	59.5%	72.9%	70.5%	69.0%	67.3%	59.4%	70.7%	71.3%	84.4%	67.2%	77.5%	65.6%	64.5%
				F	CF		CF		Н											
	31	0	12	11	3	2	3	15	8	8	11	20	11	5	11	0	4	5	11	12
Less favourable	4.5%	-	7.0%	7.0%	2.9%	4.7%	1.8%	7.2%	3.1%	3.6%	3.2%	5.6%	14.8%	4.9%	2.4%	-	4.1%	3.4%	4.0%	6.5%
				В		В							0							
	188	24	61	28	21	18	35	68	66	55	92	96	17	23	118	1	30	26	80	50
No impact on my opinion	26.8%	31.0%	35.1%	17.6%	21.7%	36.5%	24.9%	32.1%	24.0%	25.1%	27.3%	26.4%	23.5%	22.0%	26.3%	15.6%	28.6%	19.1%	29.4%	28.1%
			D			DEG														
	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	2	2	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	2
(DK/NS)	0.6%	-	-	2.6%	-	0.3%	-	1.2%	-	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	2.3%	2.4%	0	-	0.1%	-	0.9%	0.9%
													0	0						

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 23 of 88

Q13a_05. [Billy Bishop Airport supports important economic sectors such as finance, film, energy, transportation and others.] Recently, a study gauging the economic impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport was conducted and released. I'm going to read a list of a few things that the study found, and I'd like you to tell me if that makes you more favourable or less favourable towards the airport, or whether it doesn't impact your opinion either way:

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/F ofessiona
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Billy Bishop Airport supports important economic sectors such as finance, film, energy, transportation and others.																				
	459	50	112	112	68	27	90	130	191	138	215	244	47	60	309	4	67	89	180	114
More favourable	65.5%	64.5%	64.0%	69.6%	69.3%	55.7%	63.9%	61.7%	69.8%	63.7%	63.8%	67.0%	64.0%	56.9%	68.7%	81.9%	63.1%	65.0%	66.6%	64.7%
				F	F															
	31	2	7	8	7	3	4	9	7	14	12	19	4	6	17	0	6	3	11	11
Less favourable	4.4%	2.5%	4.1%	5.1%	6.8%	5.9%	2.7%	4.3%	2.6%	6.6%	3.6%	5.1%	4.8%	5.9%	3.7%	-	6.0%	2.0%	4.0%	6.1%
	208	25	56	39	23	18	47	71	75	62	107	101	21	38	124	1	32	45	78	50
No impact on my opinion	29.7%	32.0%	31.9%	24.3%	23.9%	37.6%	33.3%	33.8%	27.5%	28.5%	31.8%	27.8%	28.7%	36.2%	27.6%	18.1%	30.7%	32.8%	29.0%	28.3%
						DE														
	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
(DK/NS)	0.4%	1.0%	_	1.0%	_	0.8%	_	0.2%	-	1.1%	0.7%	0.1%	2.5%	1.0%	_	_	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 24 of 88

Q13aa. [SUMMARY - MEAN (Incl. 0)] You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what percentage of your trips are for pleasure or personal use?

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55÷	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA	276	19	15	19	23	148	52	52	120	104	155	121	8	18	228	0	12	24	127	110
Weighted	221	19**	35**	40**	29**	24	74*	42**	106*	72*	113*	107*	4**	15**	187	-**	8**	20**	104*	85*
Business	41.1	48.1	42.4	35.3	44.1	38.1	41.6	33.7	51.7	29.6	48.1	33.9	14.1	25.2	41.2	0	55.3	61.9	32.6	42.7
Pleasure	58.9	51.9	57.6	64.7	55.9	61.8	58.4	66.2	J 48.3	70.4	51.9	66.1	85.9	74.8	58.8	0	44.8	38.1	67.4	57.3

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

25 of 88

Q13aa. [SUMMARY - MEAN (Excl. 0)] You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what percentage of your trips are for pleasure or personal use?

				Reg	jion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York		Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA	276	19	15	19	23	148	52	52	120	104	155	121	8	18	228	0	12	24	127	110
Weighted	221	19**	35**	40**	29**	24	74*	42**	106*	72*	113*	107*	4**	15**	187	-**	8**	20**	104*	85*
Business	80	72	90	90.3	78.2	68.2	79.1	69.6	82.9	81	80.1	79.9	79.9	87.7	80.4	0	94.3	93.3	74.8	78.5
24011000																				
Pleasure	79.1	64.9	86.1	83.9	86.3	75.3	76.4	77.7	73.6	86.8	72	86.1	91.3	85.9	79.5	0	94.8	83.6	79.8	78.8
i icasuic												K								

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q13aa_01. [Business] You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what percentage of your trips are for pleasure or personal use?

				Reg	ion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA	A 276	B 19	C 15	D 19	E 23	F 148	G 52	H 52	120	J 104	155	121	M 8	N 18	O 228	P 0	Q 12	R 24	S 127	110
Weighted	221	19**	35**	40**	29**	24	74*	42**	106*	72*	113*	107*	4**	15**	187	-**	8**	20**	104*	85*
Business																				
0	106 48.1%	6 33.2%	19 52.9%	23 58.4%	12 43.6%	10 43.4%	35 47.5%	22 51.3%	40 37.6%	44 61.9%	45 39.4%	62 57.4% K	2 50.7%	10 71.3%	91 48.6%	-	3 40.3%	7 33.6%	59 56.4%	38 44.6%
2	1 0.4%	1 5.1%	-	-	0	-	0	-	0 -	1.4%	- -	1 0.9%	-	-	-	-	-	0 -	1 0.9%	-
5	0 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 0.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.2%	0 -	0 -	0 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	-
10	3 1.4%	1 6.5%	0 -	0 -	0	0 1.5%	1 1.9%	3 6.7%	0 0.2%	0 -	2 1.4%	1.3%	0 -	0 -	2 0.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 3.6%
20	5 2.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 7.9%	1 3.6%	2 2.3%	1 1.3%	3 2.9%	1 1.6%	3 2.3%	2 2.1%	0 -	0 -	5 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 2.5%	2 2.6%
	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
25	0.4%	- 0	- 0	- 0	2	4.0% G 0	- 0	0.5%	0.7%	- 0	- 0	0.9%	- 0	- 0	0.5%	- 0	2.5%	- 0	0.4%	0.5%
30	0.9%	-	-	-	5.3%	2.0%	•	0.4%	1.6%	0.2%	0.3%	1.6%	-	1.1%	1.0%	-	2.0%	0.8%	1.5%	0.2%
35	0.5%	5.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9%	-	0.9%	-	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	-	1.2%
40	0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.8%	0 -	0 0.5%	0 -	0 -	-	0 0.2%	-	0 -	0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	-
50	12 5.6%	0 -	2 6.3%	0 -	1 3.5%	2 9.8%	7 9.1%	2 4.9%	7 6.4%	3 4.8%	10 8.8%	2 2.3%	0 3.7%	0 -	12 6.2%	0 -	0 -	1 5.1%	6 6.1%	5 5.8%
60	2 1.0%	0 -	0 -	2 5.2%	0	0 0.5%	0	0	2 1.9%	0	2 1.9%	0 -	0 -	0	2 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0	2 2.0%	0.2%
66	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0	0	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0
70	2 0.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	0 1.3%	1 1.9%	2 3.7%	0	0	2 1.5%	0 -	0 -	0	2 0.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.4%	0 0.4%
75	2 0.9%	0 -	0	0	0	0 1.2%	2 2.3%	2 4.1%	0 0.1%	0 0.2%	0 0.3%	2 1.6%	0	0	2 1.1%	0	0	0	2 1.9%	0
80	13	4 19.1%	3 7.7%	2 5.3%	0 -	1 4.5%	4 5.3%	3 7.8%	6 5.6%	4 5.7%	9 8.3%	4 3.8%	0	2 13.6%	10 5.3%	0 -	0	1 5.2%	7 6.8%	5 6.2%
	9	0	0	2	1	1	4	0	6	2	4	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	4
90	3.9%	2	0	5.0%	4.4%	3.7%	6.1%	0.5%	5.9%	3.0%	3.7%	4.1%	3.6%	0	3.4%	- 0	0	0	2.9%	4.3%
95	1.1%	10.7%	- 0	- 0	- 0	1.5%	- 0	2.8%	1.1%	- 0	1.9%	0.2%	- 0	1.1%	1.2%	- 0	- 0	- 0	1.1%	1.4%
99	0.1%	- 4	- 12	- 9	- 10	0.7%	- 17	-	0.1%	- 13	0.1%	- 25	- 0	·	0.1%	-	- 4	- 11	0.2%	-
100	25.4%	20.1%	33.1%	21.9%	35.2%	17.7%	23.6%	6 14.8%	34.3%	18.5%	27.5%	23.2%	3.7%	2 12.9%	26.0%	-	52.7%	54.4%	15.5%	23 26.8%
(DK/NS)	0.9%	-	-	4.2%	-	1.6%	-	0 0.5%	0.2%	2 2.3%	1.5%	0 0.4%	2 38.4%	-	0.2%	-	2.5%	-	-	2.2%
Summary	41.1	48.1	42.4	35.3	44.1	38.1	41.6	33.7	51.7	29.6	48.1	33.9	14.1	25.2	41.2	0	55.3	61.9	32.6	42.7
Mean (Incl. 0) Std. Dev.	44.7	45.4	47.2	45.5	46.6	42	44.2	41.8	J 45.1	42.7	44.1	44.4	40.2	41.9	44.6	0	52.4	47.2	41.5	45.2
Std. Err. Mean (Excl. 0)	2.7	10.4 72	12.2	10.7	9.7 78.2	3.5 68.2	6.1 79.1	5.8 69.6	4.1 82.9	4.2	3.6 80.1	4.1 79.9	15.2 79.9	9.9 87.7	3 80.4	0	15.8 94.3	9.6 93.3	3.7 74.8	4.3 78.5
Wicari (LAUI. U)																				

Q13aa_01. [Business] You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what percentage of your trips are for pleasure or personal use?

				Reg	jion				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of								\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York		Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
Std. Dev.	27.7	36.1	17.9	14.5	33.5	32.4	26.6	32.7	25.6	27.9	25.7	30.8	0	17.1	26.9	0	22.2	16.6	27.8	30.3
Std. Err.	2.3	10	6.8	5.5	9.3	3.6	5.1	5.8	2.9	4.5	2.6	4.2	0	6.4	2.4	0	8.4	4.8	3.5	3.8
Median	10	35	0	0	20	20	20	0	50	0	50	0	0	0	20	0	100	100	0	20
Sum	8986.5	907.6	1499.1	1347.8	1264.8	897.2	3070.1	1427	5486.6	2072.9	5367.2	3619.3	37.8	366.9	7697.6	0	419.5	1225.6	3393.6	3541.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

psos 27 of 88

Q13aa_02. [Pleasure] You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what percentage of your trips are for pleasure or personal use?

				Reg	ion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55 +	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA Weighted	276 221	19 19**	15 35**	19 40**	23	148 24	52 74*	52 42**	120 106*	104 72*	155 113*	121 107*	8 4**	18 15**	228 187	0 _**	12 8**	24 20**	127 104*	110 85*
Pleasure			55	10		2.	7.		100	,,_	110	101			101		J	20		00
0	56 25.4%	4 20.1%	12 33.1%	9 21.9%	10 35.2%	17.7%	17 23.6%	6 14.8%	36 34.3%	13 18.5%	31 27.5%	25 23.2%	3.7%	12.9%	49 26.0%	-	52.7%	11 54.4%	16 15.5%	23 26.8%
1	0 0.1%	-	-	-	-	0.7%	-	-	0.1%	-	0.1%	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-	0.2%	-
5	1.1%	2 10.7%	-	-	-	0 1.5%	-	2.8%	1.1%	-	1.9%	0.2%	-	1.1%	1.2%	-	-	-	1.1%	1.4%
10	9 3.9%	-	-	5.0%	4.4%	3.7%	6.1%	0 0.5%	5.9%	3.0%	3.7%	4.1%	3.6%	-	6 3.4%	-	-	-	2.9%	4.3%
20	13 6.1%	4 19.1%	3 7.7%	5.3%	-	4.5%	4 5.3%	3 7.8%	5.6%	4 5.7%	9 8.3%	3.8%	-	13.6%	10 5.3%	-	-	5.2%	7 6.8%	5 6.2%
25	0.9%	-	0 -	0 -	-	0 1.2%	2 2.3%	2 4.1%	0 0.1%	0 0.2%	0 0.3%	2 1.6%	-	-	2 1.1%	0 -	0 -	-	2 1.9%	-
30	0.8%	-	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 1.3%	1.9%	2 3.7%	0 0.1%	0	2 1.5%	-	-	-	2 0.9%	0 -	-	-	1 1.4%	0 0.4%
34	0 0.1%	-	0 -	0 -	0	0 1.2%	0 -	-	0 0.1%	0 0.2%	0 0.3%	0 -	-	0 -	0 0.2%	0 -	0 -	0 0.8%	0 -	0.2%
40	1.0%	-	0 -	5.2%	0	0 0.5%	0 -	-	1.9%	0 0.2%	1.9%	0 -	-	0 -	1.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 2.0%	0.2%
50	13 5.7%	-	6.3%	0 -	1 3.5%	3 10.6%	7 9.1%	2 5.4%	7 6.4%	3 4.8%	10 8.8%	3 2.4%	0 3.7%	0 -	12 6.3%	0 -	0 2.5%	1 5.1%	6 6.1%	5 5.8%
60	0 0.1%	-	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.8%	0 -	0 0.5%	0 -	0 -	-	0.2%	-	0 -	0 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	-
65	1 0.5%	1 5.3%	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0.9%	0 -	1 0.9%	0 -	-	0 -	1 0.5%	0 -	-	0 -	0 -	1.2%
70	2 0.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.3%	0 2.0%	0 -	0 0.4%	1.6%	0 0.2%	0 0.3%	1.6%	0 -	0 1.1%	1.0%	0 -	0 2.0%	0 0.8%	2 1.5%	0 0.2%
75	1 0.4%	-	0 -	0 -	-	1 4.0% G	0 -	0 0.5%	1 0.7%	0 -	0 -	1 0.9%	-	0 -	1 0.5%	0 -	0 2.5%	-	0	0 0.5%
80	5 2.2%	-	-	-	7.9%	3.6%	2 2.3%	1.3%	3 2.9%	1.6%	3 2.3%	2.1%	-	-	5 2.6%	-	-	-	3 2.5%	2.6%
90	3 1.4%	1 6.5%	0 -	-	0 -	0 1.5%	1.9%	3 6.7%	0 0.2%	0	1.4%	1.3%	-	-	0.8%	0 -	-	-	0	3 3.6%
95	0.1%	-	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.8%	0 -	0 0.5%	0 -	0 -	-	0 0.2%	-	-	0 0.1%	0 -	-	0 -	0 0.2%	-
98	0.4%	1 5.1%	0 -	0 -	-	-	0 -	-	0	1 1.4%	- -	1 0.9%	-	-	0 -	0 -	0 -	-	1 0.9%	-
100	106 48.1%	6 33.2%	19 52.9%	23 58.4%	12 43.6%	10 43.4%	35 47.5%	22 51.3%	40 37.6%	44 61.9% I	45 39.4%	62 57.4% K	2 50.7%	10 71.3%	91 48.6%	0 -	3 40.3%	7 33.6%	59 56.4%	38 44.6%
(DK/NS)	2 0.8%	-	0 -	2 4.2%	-	0 0.8%	0 -	-	0 0.2%	2 2.3%	2 1.5%	0 0.2%	2 38.4%	-	0 0.1%	0 -	-	-	-	2 2.2%
Summary Mean (Incl. 0)	58.9	51.9	57.6	64.7	55.9	61.8	58.4	66.2	48.3	70.4 I	51.9	66.1	85.9	74.8	58.8	0	44.8	38.1	67.4	57.3
Std. Dev. Std. Err.	44.7 2.7 79.1	45.4 10.4 64.9	47.2 12.2 86.1	45.5 10.7 83.9	46.6 9.7 86.3	41.8 3.4 75.3	44.2 6.1 76.4	41.7 5.8 77.7	45.1 4.1 73.6	42.7 4.2 86.8	44.1 3.6 72	44.4 4 86.1	40.2 15.2 91.3	41.9 9.9 85.9	44.6 3 79.5	0 0 0	51.6 14.9 94.8	47.2 9.6 83.6	41.5 3.7 79.8	45.2 4.3 78.8
Mean (Excl. 0)	73.1	04.3	00.1	00.5	50.5	75.5	70.4		73.0	55.6	12	K	51.5	00.5	7 3.0	Ü	54.0	00.0	7 3.0	70.0

Q13aa_02. [Pleasure] You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what percentage of your trips are for pleasure or personal use?

				Reg	jion				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York		Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
Std. Dev.	32.8	41.3	28.5	32.3	25.6	32.9	34.1	33.6	35	28.4	35.3	28.8	31.1	31.7	32.2	0	15.6	30.6	32.3	33.1
Std. Err.	2.2	10.7	9	8.6	6.6	3	5.4	5.1	3.8	3.1	3.3	2.9	12.7	7.9	2.4	0	5.9	7.6	3.2	3.6
Median	90	65	100	100	80	75	80	100	50	100	50	100	100	100	80	0	0	0	100	80
Sum	12869	978.3	2034.3	2473	1601.4	1468.2	4313.6	2812.7	5133.9	4922.3	5791.7	7077.3	229.4	1091.3	10988.4	0	348.7	753.8	7003.4	4743

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q13b. And how would you rate your overall experience using the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport? Is it...

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA	276	19	15	19	23	148	52	52	120	104	155	121	8	18	228	0	12	24	127	110
Weighted	221	19**	35**	40**	29**	24	74*	42**	106*	72*	113*	107*	4**	15**	187	-**	8**	20**	104*	85*
	163	12	27	28	21	16	59	26	85	51	81	81	0	13	141	0	5	16	80	60
Very positive	73.7%	65.9%	75.9%	69.9%	71.9%	67.6%	79.3%	62.1%	80.1%	71.0%	71.9%	75.6%	10.9%	89.5%	75.4%	-	61.5%	81.7%	77.1%	71.3%
	48	4	9	8	7	7	14	14	19	15	28	21	4	2	40	0	2	4	23	20
Somewhat positive	21.9%	22.1%	24.1%	20.0%	23.7%	28.4%	19.1%	32.6%	18.1%	21.4%	24.6%	19.2%	89.1%	10.5%	21.3%	-	25.4%	18.3%	22.3%	23.1%
	7	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	3
Somewhat negative	3.0%	6.5%	-	5.0%	4.4%	4.0%	1.5%	2.9%	1.8%	4.8%	2.6%	3.4%	-	-	1.7%		-	-	0.6%	3.2%
,																				
	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	2
Very negative	1.4%	5.4%	-	5.0%	-	-	-	2.4%	-	2.8%	0.9%	1.9%	-		1.6%	-	13.1%	-	-	2.4%
Summary																				
	211	17	35	36	27	23	73	40	104	66	109	102	4	15	181	0	7	20	103	80
Top2Box (Postive)	95.6%	88.0%	100.0%	89.9%	95.6%	96.0%	98.5%	94.7%	98.2%	92.4%	96.5%	94.8%	100.0%	100.0%	96.7%	-	86.9%	100.0%	99.4%	94.4%
	10	2	0	4	1	1	1	2	2	5	4	6	0	0	6	0	1	0	1	5
Low2Box (Negative)	4.4%	12.0%	-	10.1%	4.4%	4.0%	1.5%	5.3%	1.8%	7.6%	3.5%	5.2%	-	-	3.3%	-	13.1%	-	0.6%	5.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 29 of 88

Q13c. Why would you say that you had a ... experience?

				Reg	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total A	East York/ York B	North York	Scarboroug h D	Etobicoke E	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St. G	18-34 H	35-54	55+ J	Male K	Female L	<\$30,000 M	\$30,000- \$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	Elementary School	High School	Community College R	University S	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional T
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA Weighted	276 221	19 19**	15 35**	19 40**	23 29**	148 24	52 74*	52 42**	120 106*	104 72*	155 113*	121 107*	8 4**	18 15**	228 187	0	12 8**	24 20**	127 104*	110 85*
weighted	184	13	31	32	23	21	64	32	94	58	95	89	4	12	161	0	6	17	93	69
Positive - Net	83.6%	71.3%	88.4%	79.3%	80.7%	85.8%	87.1%	74.8%	88.8%	81.1%	84.2%	82.9%	100.0%	82.3%	86.0%	=	78.6%	84.3%	89.4%	80.9%
Convenience - Subnet	158 71.9%	13 67.1%	29 82.2%	30 74.1%	21 71.9%	16 68.7%	50 68.0%	26 60.8%	85 79.9%	48 66.5%	83 73.3%	76 70.4%	2 53.0%	11 78.9%	140 74.7%	0 -	3 39.3%	16 80.8%	78 75.4%	61 72.1%
Convenient (unspecified)	50 22.8%	5 24.5%	10 29.3%	4 10.2%	8 28.3%	5 21.7%	18 24.3%	7 15.7%	30 27.8%	14 19.6%	32 28.4%	18 16.9%	-	2 15.8%	48 25.5%	0 -	1 17.9%	6 28.3%	26 25.3%	17 20.1%
Easy to use	17 7.9%	-	10 27.7%	0 -	4 14.0%	2 8.0%	2 2.3%	2 4.5%	9 8.9%	6 8.5%	2 1.5%	16 14.6% K	0 3.6%	1 9.7%	16 8.4%	0 -	0 2.5%	5 26.2%	11 10.6% T	1 1.2%
Good location/ easy to get to	65 29.3%	6 31.8%	13 35.7%	14 35.6%	6 20.8%	4 17.5%	22 29.2%	9 20.3%	40 37.3%	16 22.6%	36 31.6%	29 26.7%	0 -	1 6.4%	61 32.7%	0 -	0 4.1%	7 35.5%	24 23.2%	33 39.0%
Close to downtown/ work/ home	37 16.7%	2 10.7%	3 7.7%	2 4.2%	5 16.7%	8 32.2%	18 24.5%	10 23.8%	17 15.8%	10 13.9%	18 16.0%	19 17.5%	2 45.6%	3 22.1%	28 15.1%	0 -	0 4.1%	5 23.8%	16 15.6%	16 18.6%
Easy access to Public Transit	5 2.5%	1 5.4%	6.3%	0 -	0	0 2.0%	2 2.3%	1 2.4%	4 4.0%	0 0.2%	4 3.1%	2 1.7%	0 -	1 7.0%	4 2.4%	0 -	0 -	1 5.2%	0 -	4 5.2%
Quick - Sub-subnet	80 36.5%	9 46.8%	16 46.5%	18 46.0%	5 16.5%	9 35.8%	24 31.9%	17 39.9%	43 40.3%	21 28.9%	38 33.1%	43 40.1%	0 10.9%	7 49.8%	70 37.4%	0 -	3 37.6%	3 14.3%	42 39.9%	33 39.2%
Quick/ fast/ no delays (unspecified)	31 14.0%	3 15.0%	6.2%	11 27.9%	1 4.4%	5 19.8%	9 11.9%	7 15.8%	18 16.7%	6 9.0%	18 15.7%	13 12.3%	0 7.3%	2 13.8%	27 14.3%	-	0 2.5%	0 1.4%	19 18.5%	11 13.2%
Easy/ quick getting through the process (Check in, Security, Customs)	17 7.7%	1 5.3%	7 18.9%	3 6.4%	1 3.5%	1 6.1%	4 5.8%	6 13.5%	6 5.8%	5 7.0%	9 8.4%	8 7.0%	0 -	3 18.6%	14 7.6%	0 -	0 4.1%	0 0.8%	7 7.1%	9 10.8%
Saves time/ travel to get to	13 5.7%	2 10.4%	5 13.8%	2 6.3%	0 -	2 6.6%	2 2.3%	0 0.4%	9 8.2%	4 5.2%	2 1.7%	11 10.0% K	0 3.6%	0 1.1%	11 6.1%	-	-	0	10 9.6%	3 3.0%
Shorter waiting time	11 5.2%	1 5.4%	2 6.2%	0 -	0 -	1 3.2%	8 10.2%	1 2.8%	6 6.0%	4 5.5%	4 3.7%	7 6.8%	0 -	1 7.0%	10 5.6%	0 -	1 17.9%	2 12.0%	4 3.9%	4 4.3%
Less people/ shorter lines	33 15.0%	5 26.4%	9 26.4%	7 16.8%	2 8.6%	2 8.6%	8 10.3%	6 15.0%	17 15.7%	10 13.9%	14 12.6%	19 17.5%	0 -	1 9.3%	29 15.7%	0 -	1 13.1%	0 2.3%	16 15.4%	16 18.5%
Other convenience mentions	4 1.7%	-	-	-	-	0 1.3%	3 4.6%	2 4.1%	2 1.7%	0 0.2%	0 0.1%	3.3%	0 3.6%	-	4 1.9%	-	-	0	2 2.0%	2.0%
Service - Subnet	56 25.3%	2 9.5%	7 20.0%	11 27.2%	7 23.7%	6 25.6%	23 31.5%	8 19.0%	27 25.0%	21 29.6%	25 21.9%	31 29.0%	2 47.1%	3 22.7%	47 25.2%	0 -	0 6.2%	2 8.6%	27 25.7%	27 31.8%
Good/ friendly customer service	23 10.2%	1 5.3%	5 13.8%	5 11.5%	3 11.4%	3 12.3%	6 8.0%	1.8%	14 13.1%	8 11.0%	9 7.5%	14 13.1%	0 3.6%	2 10.8%	19 10.1%	0 -	0 4.5%	0 1.4%	8 7.7%	14 16.5%
Good service (unspecified)	20 8.9%	-	-	6 15.8%	2 7.9%	3 10.7%	8 11.4%	4 9.1%	10 9.0%	6 8.6%	12 10.8%	7 6.8%	7.3%	0 2.2%	17 9.3%	0 -	-	0	8 7.9%	11 13.2%
Good amenities (unspecified)	20 9.2%	1 4.3%	0 -	9 22.0%	1 4.4%	2 9.3%	7 9.7%	4 10.3%	8 7.8%	8 10.6%	8 7.3%	12 11.1%	0 7.3%	2 10.8%	18 9.7%	0 -	0 1.6%	2 7.9%	9 8.9%	9 11.0%
Other service mentions	9 3.9%	0 -	2 6.2%	5 11.5%	0	0 0.8%	2 2.3%	2 4.1%	5 4.5%	2 3.0%	2 1.8%	7 6.2%	2 39.8%	0 -	7 3.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 5.9%	2 2.9%
Airlines - Subnet	23 10.4%	4 19.1%	4 12.7%	5 11.5%	3 8.8%	4 14.7% G	4 5.7%	1 1.5%	17 15.6%	6 7.9%	15 13.1%	8 7.5%	0 7.3%	1 7.9%	21 11.1%	0 -	0 2.0%	1 3.3%	8 7.3%	15 17.1%
Like Porter Airlines	17 7.8%	2 9.5%	2 6.3%	5 11.5%	3 8.8%	3 12.0% G	3 4.2%	0	13 12.5%	3 4.8%	9 8.3%	8 7.2%	0 3.8%	0 1.3%	16 8.6%	0 -	0 2.0%	1 2.6%	5 5.3%	11 12.9%
Flights on time	7 3.3%	3 13.8%	2 6.3%	0 -	1 3.5%	0 1.3%	1 1.5%	0 -	3 3.0%	4 5.7%	7 6.2%	0 0.3%	0 3.6%	1 6.6%	6 3.3%	0 -	0 -	0	3 2.8%	4 5.0%
	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3

Q13c. Why would you say that you had a ... experience?

				Por	vion.				Amo		Co	nder		Incomo				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	gion Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	Age 35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Education Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Positive flight experience	1.3%	-	6.3%	-	-	2.5% G	-	0.8%	2.1%	0.4%	2.4%	0.1%	3.6%	-	1.4%	-	-	0.8%	-	3.2%
Miscellaneous - Subnet	86 39.0%	4 20.3%	15 41.7%	16 40.9%	9 30.8%	8 33.6%	34 46.4%	17 40.4%	43 40.6%	26 35.8%	44 39.0%	42 39.0%	2 54.4%	7 47.6%	73 39.1%	0 -	5 61.0%	8 39.8%	47 45.1%	27 31.3%
Well organized/ well run business	9 3.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 13.2%	1 3.9%	4 5.3%	0 0.5%	5 4.5%	4 5.1%	5 4.5%	4 3.4%	0 -	0 0.9%	9 4.6%	0 -	0 2.5%	0 -	5 4.6%	4 4.4%
Efficient/ streamlined	18 8.3%	1 4.3%	0 -	9.4%	2 5.3%	2 7.7%	10 14.0%	2 4.2%	11 10.4%	5 7.5%	9 8.2%	9 8.4%	0 3.8%	0 -	15 7.8%	0 -	0 4.1%	0 -	11 10.4%	7 8.4%
Clean	11 5.1%	1 5.4%	5 15.3%	0 -	1 4.4%	1 2.2%	3 4.2%	3 6.9%	8 7.9% J	0 -	4 3.5%	7 6.8%	2 39.8%	0 -	10 5.1%	0 -	1 17.9%	3 13.7%	6 5.6%	1.7%
Comfortable (unspecified)	11 4.9%	0 -	4 12.3%	2 6.3%	0 -	1 4.0%	3 4.2%	1 1.2%	6 5.2%	5 6.7%	1.6%	9 8.4%	0 7.3%	0 -	11 5.7%	-	0 1.6%	0 -	7 6.3%	4 4.9%
Good/ better prices	8 3.8%	1 4.3%	5 14.0%	0 -	0 -	1 5.3%	1 1.9%	0 0.4%	7 6.6%	1 1.7%	5 4.6%	3 3.0%	0 -	1 6.6%	7 4.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	6 6.2%	2 2.4%
Satisfied/ good experience/ no problems	22 10.2%	1 6.4%	0 -	8 18.9%	0 -	3 10.5%	11 15.2%	6 14.6%	9 8.1%	8 10.7%	15 13.3%	7 6.9%	0 -	2 12.9%	21 11.0%	0 -	3 37.8%	4 18.9%	9 8.4%	7 8.3%
Relaxing/ less stressful	7 3.1%	1 5.4%	0 -	0 -	2 7.9%	0 2.0%	3 4.1%	1 2.9%	3 2.8%	3 3.7%	3 3.0%	3 3.2%	-	1 8.6%	4 2.1%	0 -	0 1.6%	1 6.4%	4 3.5%	2 2.2%
Spend less on cab fair	5 2.1%	0 -	0 -	2 6.3%	0 -	1 2.1%	2 2.3%	0 -	5 4.3%	0 0.2%	0 0.1%	5 4.2%	0 -	0 -	5 2.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 2.6%	2 2.4%
Like taking the ferry	5 2.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 14.0%	1 3.0% G	0 -	0 -	3 2.8%	2 2.5%	2 1.5%	3 2.9%	0 3.6%	0 -	4 2.4%	0 -	0 -	0 1.6%	1.6%	3 3.3%
Like the airport/ nice	18 8.0%	0 -	0 -	4 10.6%	4 13.1%	0 1.5%	9 12.7% F	7 17.5%	6 6.0%	4 5.5%	7 6.2%	11 9.9%	2 39.8%	3 17.6%	13 7.2%	-	0 -	2 9.2%	10 10.0%	6 6.5%
Other positive mentions	0.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	1 1.5%	0 -	0 0.3%	1 2.0%	1 1.3%	0 0.3%	0 3.7%	0 1.1%	1 0.8%	-	0 2.0%	0 -	0 0.5%	1 1.3%
Negative - Net	38 17.3%	5 28.7%	6 17.8%	8 20.7%	4 15.0%	4 16.6%	10 13.4%	12 29.3%	12 11.5%	13 18.8%	18 16.2%	20 18.4%	2 39.8%	0 -	29 15.5%	0 -	2 21.4%	3 15.7%	14 13.4%	15 17.9%
Do not like ferry/ ferry takes too long	10 4.7%	1 6.5%	2 5.1%	0 -	2 5.3%	1 5.6%	5 6.2%	4 10.3%	4 4.0%	2 2.5%	6 4.9%	5 4.5%	2 39.8%	0 -	7 3.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 5.1%	5 6.1%
Poor location/ difficult to get to/ leave	18 8.3%	2 10.4%	4 12.7%	4 9.2%	3 9.7%	1 4.9%	4 5.7%	4 9.2%	8 7.1%	7 9.5%	11 9.9%	7 6.6%	0 -	0 -	15 7.9%	0 -	2 21.4%	3 15.7%	7 6.9%	4 4.9%
Poor flight experience	5 2.1%	1 6.5%	0 -	2 5.0%	0 -	0 0.7%	1 1.5%	1 2.9%	0 0.1%	3 4.4%	1 1.1%	3 3.0%	0 -	0 -	5 2.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.2%	4 5.2%
Flight cancellations/ airport is more prone to weather related cancellations	3 1.3%	1 5.1%	0 -	0 -	2 5.3%	0 2.0%	0 -	0	2 1.6%	1 1.5%	0	3 2.5%	0 -	0 -	3 1.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 2.5%	0
Too many people/ overcrowded	1 0.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.1%	0	0 -	0 0.3%	0 0.2%	0 0.1%	0 0.3%	0 -	0 -	1 0.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 0.1%	0 0.4%
Other negative mentions	11 4.8%	0 -	2 6.2%	7 16.5%	0 -	2 7.7% G	0 -	3 6.4%	1 1.0%	7 9.5%	1 0.5%	10 9.3% K	0 -	0 -	8 4.3%	0 -	0 -	0 1.0%	3 2.6%	6 6.8%
Other	1 0.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0	0 -	0 -	0 0.2%	1 1.7%	0 -	1 1.3%	0 -	1 8.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.2%	0 0.2%
Don't know	1 0.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.8%	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	1 1.3%	0 -	1 9.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 30 of 88

Q13d. In the year 2033, the Tripartite Agreement that governs Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is set to expire. Without renewing the agreement, the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport will cease to operate. Which of the following is closest to your point of view?

				Rei	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA	276	19	15	19	23	148	52	52	120	104	155	121	8	18	228	0	12	24	127	110
Weighted	221	19**	35**	40**	29**	24	74*	42**	106*	72*	113*	107*	4**	15**	187	_**	8**	20**	104*	85*
We should let the agreement expire and close down the Airport	11 4.9%	0	2 6.3%	0	3 9.7%	3 12.2%	3	0	8 7.7%	3 3.5%	6 5.6%	4 4.1%	0	0 2.1%	7 3.9%	0	0	0	3 2.9%	6 7.4%
uno / un port	4.576		0.576		3.1 /6	G	3.076		1.1 /6	3.376	3.078	4.170		2.170	3.576			0.076	2.570	7.470
We've still got lots of time to amend, so we shouldn't worry about it now	86 39.0%	9 48.0%	17 46.8%	11 27.1%	7 22.8%	9 37.4%	34 46.1%	19 43.9%	42 39.8%	25 34.8%	42 37.4%	44 40.7%	0 11.1%	5 35.8%	74 39.6%	0 -	3 41.5%	6 31.3%	40 38.7%	33 39.2%
We should amend the agreement and extend it beyond 2033 to ensure the long-term viability of the Airport	120 54.4%	10 52.0%	17 46.9%	29 72.9%	17 58.8%	12 49.7%	36 48.3%	24 55.7%	55 51.3%	42 58.1%	63 55.8%	57 52.9%	4 88.9%	8 53.6%	103 55.0%	0 -	5 58.5%	13 67.1%	58 56.0%	44 51.8%
	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	1	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	1
(DK/NS)	1.8%	-	-	-	8.7%	0.7%	1.8%	0.4%	1.2%	3.6%	1.3%	2.4%	-	8.4%	1.5%	-	-	0.8%	2.4%	1.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 31 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - MEAN] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	gion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income			<u> </u>	Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
Base: All respondents	A 701	B 75	C 75	D 75	E 76	F 300	G 100	H 176	1 263	J 262	K 358	L 343	M 70	N 115	O 448	P	Q 99	R 133	S 263	T 197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	202	336	365	73*	105*	448	5 5**	106*	137*	203	177
Troiginou	701						1.0	2.0	2.0	2.17	000	000		100	110	Ü	100	101	2	
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from the Don River and the inner harbour	8.2	8.3	8	8.3	8.2	7.9	8.5 F	8.1	8.4	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	7.9	7.8	8.6	8.1	8.4
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the waterfront	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.3	8	7.8	8.1	8	8.2	8.2	7.9	8.4 K	8.1	8.1	8.2	9.8	7.8	8.6 Q	8.1	8.1
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air ambulance service.	8.1	8.2 F	8	8.3	8.2	7.7	7.8	8	8.1	8.1	7.9	8.2	8.2	8.1	8	8.8	8.1	8.3	8	7.8
Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.	7.8	8	7.6	7.9	7.9	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.9	7.6	7.3	8.2 K	7.9	7.8	7.7	8.4	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.7
Is making long-term investments in improving environmental sustainability practices.	7.7	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.4	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.2	8.1 K	8.2	7.8	7.6	8.3	7.2	8.1 QT	7.8	7.5
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the harbour, including sailboats	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	7.8	7.3	7.6	7.2	7.7	7.6	7.3	7.6	7.4	7.7	7.4	9.4	7.4	7.9	7.3	7.4
Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy Thompson Park	7.4	7.6	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.4	7.5	7.2	7.5	7.7 H	7.3	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.5	9.8	7.3	7.6	7.4	7.4
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13 leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre	7.4	7.5	7.4	7.5	7.1	7.1	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.1	7	7.8 K	7.3	7.7	7.3	8.7	6.9	7.9 QT	7.4	7.2
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the harbourfront community	7.3	7.7 F	7.3	7.4	7.1	7	7.2	7.4	7.5 J	7	7.2	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	8.5	7.5	7.8 T	7.2	7
Works with the city and all levels of government to build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the mainland	7.1	6.9	6.8	7.5 F	7.6 F	6.4	6.8	6.8	7.2	7.2	7	7.1	7.3	7.2	6.9	8.5	7.6 T	7.6 T	7.1 T	6.3
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto	7	7.1	7	7.1	6.9	6.8	6.9	6.5	7.2 H	7.2 H	6.9	7.1	6.9	7.1	6.9	9.4	6.6	7.4	6.9	6.8
Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.9 F	6.9 F	6.2	6.6	6.2	7 H	6.9 H	6.6	6.9	6.9	6.4	6.8	7.5	6.5	7.1	6.8	6.4
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and container services to businesses	6.5	6.7	6.5	6.6	6.5	6.3	6.2	6	6.8 H	6.5	6.3	6.6	7.4 O	6.5	6.3	8.5	6.8	6.8	6.3	6.2
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats	6.4	6.8	6.4	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.4	6.5	5.9	6.8 K	7 O	6.7	6.1	6.7	6.9 T	6.5	6.5 T	5.9
Carries out dredging prevent flooding around the Doi River	7.9	8.1 F	7.7	7.9	8.2 F	7.5	7.7	7.6	8.1	7.9	7.6	8.1 K	7.8	7.8	7.9	8.5	7.9	8.4 ST	7.7	7.7

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 32 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - TOPBOX (10)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg	ion				Age		Co	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	Age 35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	C	D	Е	F	G	H	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from	322	32	71	83	50	20	67	90	126	107	139	183	37	47	197	3	50	79	114	75
the Don River and the inner harbour	46.0%	41.4%	40.3%	51.6%	50.6%	40.5%	47.9%	42.6%	46.0%	49.2%	41.3%	50.3%	50.4%	45.2%	43.9%	64.6%	46.9%	57.6%	42.0%	42.2%
																		ST		
Oncorded Bills Birther Toronto City Aircord world																				
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air	288	30	61	76	49	18	53	68	118	102	119	169	26	47	181	2	52	65	111	55
ambulance service.	41.1%	39.3%	34.8%	47.4%	50.1%	37.0%	37.6%	32.5%	43.1%	46.8%	35.4%	46.3%	35.7%	44.8%	40.3%	42.0%	49.6%	47.5%	40.9%	31.3%
					F					Н		K					T	T		
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the	270	28	71	65	39	19	48	66	112	91	105	165	33	44	164	4	38	71	98	56
waterfront	38.5%	36.9%	40.5%	40.2%	39.5%	37.9%	34.3%	31.4%	41.1%	42.0%	31.2%	45.2%	45.0%	42.2%	36.4%	84.4%	36.3%	51.8%	36.2%	31.7%
												K						ST		
	256	27	52	61	48	17	52	71	107	78	95	162	23	41	167	3	40	60	92	59
Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.	36.6%	35.0%	29.8%	37.5%	48.8%	35.1%	36.8%	33.9%	39.2%	35.9%	28.1%	44.4%	31.9%	39.1%	37.2%	62.1%	38.0%	43.6%	33.9%	33.7%
	30.070	33.070	23.070	37.370	CF	33.170	30.070	00.070	33.270	30.370	20.170	K	31.370	33.170	37.270	02.170	30.070	43.070	33.370	33.170
Is making long-term investments in improving	235	27	55	49	38	15	50	69	95	70	82	152	32	43	136	3	33	58	91	47
environmental sustainability practices.	33.5%	34.4%	31.6%	30.7%	39.2%	30.9%	35.4%	33.0%	34.8%	32.2%	24.4%	41.8%	43.4%	41.3%	30.2%	62.1%	30.9%	42.1%	33.8%	26.9%
	33.370	34.470	31.070	30.7 70	33.270	30.370	33.470	30.070	34.070	32.270	24.470	K	43.470	41.570	30.270	02.170	30.370	T	33.070	20.370
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the	227	25	48	56	37	15	46	45	103	79	89	137	25	42	134	4	41	57	80	42
harbour, including sailboats	32.3%	31.9%	27.4%	34.5%	38.1%	29.8%	33.0%	45 21.4%	37.6%	36.2%	26.5%	37.7%	33.8%	40.1%	29.7%	84.4%	39.0%	41.7%	29.5%	23.8%
	32.376	31.976	27.470	34.378	30.176	29.076	33.076	21.470	H	H	20.576	K	33.078	40.176	25.1 /6	04.476	T	T T	25.576	23.076
Works with the city and all levels of government to build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the	215	26	41	57	33	11	48	53	84	78	94	121	24	39	131	2	38	56	80	37
mainland	30.7%	33.3%	23.3%	35.4%	33.2%	23.0%	34.1%	25.4%	30.6%	36.0%	28.0%	33.2%	33.3%	37.5%	29.1%	39.6%	35.7%	40.8%	29.6%	21.2%
	00.770	00.070	20.070	F	00.270	20.070	F	20.170	00.070	00.070	20.070	00.270	00.070	07.070	20.170	00.070	T	T	20.070	21.270
Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy Thompson Park	200	22 27.9%	48 27.4%	42 26.2%	36 36.3%	14 29.1%	38 27.4%	50 23.6%	81 29.5%	70 32.2%	92 27.3%	108 29.7%	17 23.2%	35 33.7%	126 28.1%	4 84.4%	31 29.2%	50 36.5%	73 27.2%	41 23.3%
mompson Park	28.5%	27.9%	27.4%	26.2%	36.3%	29.1%	21.4%	23.6%	29.5%	32.2%	21.3%	29.7%	23.2%	33.7%	28.1%	84.4%	29.2%	36.5%	21.2%	23.3%
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13																				
leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre	196	27	39	48	33	12	36	51	94	50	74	121	22	34	115	2	28	50	73	41
Centre	27.9%	35.2%	22.4%	29.9%	33.3%	24.6%	26.0%	24.3%	34.5% J	23.1%	22.1%	33.3% K	30.6%	32.9%	25.5%	39.6%	26.5%	36.1%	27.0%	23.3%
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the	174	28	36	42	24	12	32	46	84	45	76	98	17	31	108	2	34	50	58	31
harbourfront community	24.8%	36.7% CFG	20.3%	26.2%	24.2%	24.3%	22.9%	21.7%	30.6% J	20.6%	22.7%	26.8%	22.5%	29.2%	24.0%	39.6%	32.0% T	36.1% ST	21.3%	17.5%
		0.0																01		
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the	160	22	34	41	22	10	30	26	75	59	67	93	15	29	92	4	28	43	56	27
Harbour of Toronto	22.8%	28.3%	19.6%	25.6%	22.8%	21.1%	21.2%	12.2%	27.5%	27.3%	19.9%	25.5%	20.6%	27.9%	20.6%	81.9%	26.3%	31.4%	20.7%	15.3%
		-	-			-			Н	Н	-		-			1		Т		
Owns and appropriate Billy Bishes Toronto Cit. At an	149	18	36	41	20	9	26	23	73	54	58	91	17	18	101	1	23	41	51	31
Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport	21.3%	23.6%	20.5%	25.5%	20.0%	18.0%	18.3%	10.9%	26.6%	24.8%	17.2%	25.1%	23.2%	17.4%	22.5%	19.7%	21.7%	30.0%	19.0%	17.5%
									Н	Н								Т		
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar																				
Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats	121	20	22	28	18	8	23	32	50	39	43	78	19	26	60	1	30	29	44	16
	17.2%	26.3% C	12.6%	17.6%	18.8%	17.1%	16.7%	15.2%	18.4%	17.8%	12.7%	21.4% K	25.8% O	25.0% O	13.4%	22.2%	28.9% ST	21.4% T	16.3%	8.9%
												IX.				+	31	'		
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and																				
container services to businesses	114	15	26	33	18	7	15	17	58	39	48	66	19	20	62	2	29	30	32	18
	16.2%	19.8%	14.8%	20.4%	18.0%	14.8%	10.5%	7.9%	21.3% H	18.0% H	14.4%	18.0%	26.1% O	19.5%	13.7%	42.0%	27.8% ST	21.8% ST	11.9%	10.2%
																†	31	31		
Carries out dredging prevent flooding around the Doi	262	29	64	63	44	17	45	68	108	86	109	152	26	45	164	2	42	72	93	51

lpsos 33 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - TOPBOX (10)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reç	ion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of	Toronto - North of Queen St.		35-54	55÷	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College		Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
River	37.4%	38.0%	36.5%	38.9%	44.9%	34.1%	32.2%	32.1%	39.5%	39.7%	32.5%	41.8%	35.3%	43.2%	36.5%	42.0%	39.8%	52.7% ST	34.3%	28.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 33 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (9-10]] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

Base: All respondents				K.O.O																
Base: All respondents					jion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A 701	B 75	C 75	D 75	E 76	F 300	G 100	H 176	1 263	J 262	K 358	L 343	M 70	N 115	O 448	P	Q 99	R 133	S 263	T 197
	701	75*	175*	75 161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	202	336	365	70 73*	105*	448	5 5**	106*	137*	203	177
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from	207	40	00	400		05	05	445	155	400	404	040	44	53	055	3	58	00	440	94
the Don River and the inner harbour	397 56.7%	46 59.1%	86 49.2%	100 62.2%	55 55.7%	25 51.3%	85 60.9%	115 54.6%	56.5%	128 58.8%	181 53.8%	216 59.4%	59.6%	50.4%	255 56.7%	64.6%	55.3%	90 65.8%	149 55.1%	53.3%
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used																				
often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air	365	39	76	104	58	21	66	96	147	121	162	202	39	56	227	2	62	89	132	78
ambulance service.	52.0%	50.8%	43.3%	64.5%	59.5% F	42.5%	47.2%	45.9%	53.7%	55.8%	48.2%	55.5%	53.5%	53.4%	50.5%	42.0%	59.2%	64.4%	48.7%	43.9%
				CFG	F													ST		
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation																				
authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the waterfront	356 50.9%	39 50.5%	89 50.8%	97 60.1%	45 45.4%	23 47.3%	64 45.6%	96 45.5%	141 51.5%	120 55.3%	154 45.6%	203 55.7%	41 56.1%	53 50.3%	224 49.8%	5 100.0%	47 44.7%	84 61.1%	137 50.7%	81 45.7%
-	30.578	30.376	30.678	F	45.476	47.576	45.076	43.378	31.376	33.378	45.076	K	30.176	30.376	45.076	100.076	44.770	T	30.7 /8	43.776
	322	38	69	77	54	22	61	99	132	91	136	185	36	42	207	3	48	66	123	80
Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.	45.9%	49.6%	39.3%	47.9%	55.1%	44.8%	43.9%	47.2%	132 48.2%	41.8%	40.6%	185 50.9%	36 49.4%	42 40.1%	46.2%	64.6%	48 45.5%	47.9%	45.5%	45.0%
												K								
Is making long-term investments in improving environmental sustainability practices.	292	31	65	68	46	19	64	88	115	88	119	172	41	48	176	3	44	68	115	60
—	41.6%	39.8%	37.0%	42.0%	46.5%	38.1%	45.7%	41.9%	42.2%	40.6%	35.4%	47.3% K	55.9% O	45.9%	39.2%	62.1%	41.4%	49.4% T	42.4%	34.1%
												K	U							
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the	070	00			4.5	47					440	400		=0	407		40	70		
harhour including sailhoats	276 39.3%	28 36.6%	57 32.6%	74 46.1%	45 45.4%	17 35.6%	54 38.4%	62 29.5%	117 42.8%	97 44.5%	116 34.4%	160 43.8%	29 39.9%	50 47.6%	167 37.3%	4 84.4%	43 40.5%	70 50.8%	99 36.7%	57 32.4%
		00.070							Н	Н		10.070			0.10,0		,	ST		
Works with the city and all levels of government to																				
build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the	275	32	57	77	45	13	52	69	107	99	133	142	37	40	169	2	50	64	105	53
mainland	39.3%	40.9% F	32.5%	47.7%	46.2% F	26.2%	36.9%	32.9%	39.2%	45.6% H	39.6%	39.0%	51.0%	38.0%	37.7%	42.0%	46.9% T	46.8% T	38.7%	29.8%
Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy Thompson Park	253	27	56	62	41	19	48	66	97	90	122	131	23	43	157	5	37	62	94	55
I nompson Park	36.0%	34.9%	31.8%	38.4%	41.5%	39.2%	34.4%	31.4%	35.5%	41.3%	36.3%	35.8%	31.6%	40.8%	35.0%	100.0%	35.0%	44.8%	34.8%	31.0%
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13 leadership program at the Harbourfront Community	243	30	53	61	37	15	47	76	112	55	91	152	30	45	140	2	32	63	96	47
	34.7%	39.4%	30.0%	37.9%	38.0%	30.1%	33.5%	36.1%	40.9%	25.5%	27.0%	41.7%	41.2%	42.9%	31.1%	39.6%	30.8%	45.9%	35.5%	26.8%
									J			K						Т		
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the	228	30	50	59	30	14	44	75	99	53	110	117	23	37	145	2	37	56	85	48
harbourfront community	32.5%	39.5%	28.3%	36.7%	31.0%	29.1%	31.2%	35.9%	36.3%	24.5%	32.8%	32.2%	31.7%	35.7%	32.4%	42.0%	35.0%	40.5%	31.5%	27.0%
								J	J											
Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport	197	19	48	54	29	12	36	41	92	64	83	114	27	22	132	1	31	50	75	39
	28.1%	25.2%	27.2%	33.6%	29.4%	23.8%	25.4%	19.3%	33.8% H	29.6%	24.7%	31.3%	36.4%	20.5%	29.4%	22.2%	29.3%	36.1% T	27.7%	21.9%
																<u> </u>				
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto	195 27.8%	25 31.9%	39 22.2%	59 36.4%	28 28.0%	13 26.3%	32	37 17.8%	86 31.6%	71 32.6%	86 25.7%	108 29.7%	17 23.5%	34 32.8%	118 26.3%	4 84.4%	30 28.7%	53 38.3%	70 25.7%	36 20.4%
Tables of Foreito	£1.070	31.9%	ZZ.Z%	30.4%	20.0%	20.3%	22.8%	17.8%	31.6% H	32.6% H	20.1%	29.1%	23.5%	3∠.8%	20.3%	04.4%	20.1%	38.3% ST	23.1%	20.4%
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are																				
projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats	154	21	34	38	25	10	27	41	63	50	59	95	26	31	77	1	33	32	64	23
	21.9%	27.4%	19.2%	23.3%	25.0%	19.9%	19.4%	19.5%	22.9%	23.1%	17.5%	26.1% K	35.0% O	29.5% O	17.2%	22.2%	31.4% T	23.5%	23.7% T	13.1%
																<u> </u>			•	
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and	148	16	35	43	24	8	22	28	72	49	72	76	28	26	80	2	36	36	45	27
container services to businesses	21.2%	21.4%	20.0%	26.7%	24.3%	17.2%	15.5%	13.2%	26.3%	22.5%	21.4%	20.9%	37.9%	25.1%	17.8%	42.0%	33.7%	26.5%	16.8%	15.2%
									Н				0				ST			
		36	77	78	53	20	54	83	129	105	138	179	32	49	205	2	50	83	114	66

lpsos 34 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (9-10]] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reç	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke		Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55÷	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
River	45.2%	46.1%	43.9%	48.1%	54.2% FG	41.1%	38.2%	39.6%	47.3%	48.2%	40.9%	49.2%	43.7%	46.9%	45.7%	42.0%	47.5%	60.6% ST	42.1%	37.1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 34 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - TOP3BOX (8-10]] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg	jion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A 701	В	C	D	E	F 300	G	H	l ooo	J	K 358	L 343	M	N	O 448	P	Q 99	R	S	T 197
Base: All respondents Weighted	701 701	75 77*	75 175*	75 161*	76 98*	49	100 140*	176 210	263 273	262 217	358	343	70 73*	115 105*	448	5 5**	106*	133 137*	263 271	197
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from	497	58	115	118	66	33	107	139	209	149	232	265	49	71	322	4	67	103	191	128
the Don River and the inner harbour	70.9%	75.8%	65.4%	73.3%	67.1%	67.5%	76.2%	66.1%	76.5%	68.5%	68.9%	72.7%	67.3%	68.1%	71.6%	80.2%	63.7%	74.7%	70.6%	72.2%
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation																				
authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the waterfront	484 69.1%	54 70.6%	120 68.2%	121 74.8%	70 71.1%	32 65.7%	88 62.7%	135 64.1%	194 70.9%	156 71.7%	213 63.2%	272 74.6%	49 67.4%	71 67.8%	313 69.6%	5 100.0%	63 59.6%	102 73.9%	194 71.5%	118 66.8%
	00.170	7 0.070	00.270	7 1.070	7 11.70	00.170	0L.170	01.170	7 0.0 70	7 1.170	00.270	K	07.170	07.070	00.070	100.070	00.070	70.070	7 1.070	00.070
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used																				
often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air	473	53	120	114	69	29	88	131	190	152	218	255	53	75	296	5	73	101	179	112
ambulance service.	67.4%	68.3%	68.6%	70.9%	70.1%	59.1%	62.5%	62.4%	69.4%	69.7%	64.8%	69.8%	72.1%	71.3%	65.8%	100.0%	68.9%	73.4%	66.1%	63.2%
Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.	432 61.6%	49 63.6%	105 59.9%	99 61.3%	63 64.5%	28 56.9%	88 62.8%	136 64.5%	171 62.7%	125 57.6%	178 52.8%	254 69.8%	48 65.9%	64 61.3%	278 61.8%	3 64.6%	58 55.4%	88 63.7%	170 63.0%	110 62.4%
	01.070	00.070	00.070	01.070	01.070	00.070	02.070	01.070	02.170	07.070	02.070	K	00.070	01.070	01.070	01.070	00.170	00.770	00.070	02.170
Is making long-term investments in improving environmental sustainability practices.	423	46	103	102	62	28	82	123	171	129	177	246	55	62	270	3	56	98	167	95
	60.3%	59.2%	58.8%	63.4%	62.9%	57.7%	58.3%	58.6%	62.6%	59.2%	52.7%	67.4% K	75.0%	59.0%	60.1%	64.6%	52.9%	71.5% QT	61.9%	53.7%
		40							450		404				040	_				
Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy Thompson Park	377 53.7%	43 55.4%	84 47.7%	90 55.9%	59 59.9%	26 53.4%	75 53.7%	91 43.1%	152 55.6%	134 61.7%	164 48.8%	212 58.2%	44 59.9%	54 51.5%	240 53.4%	5 100.0%	54 51.2%	79 57.1%	144 53.1%	91 51.5%
·									Н	Н										
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13																				
leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre	365	40	92	92	47	23	71	113	153	99	139	226	40	64	222	4	46	84	148	80
Centie	52.1%	51.7%	52.2%	57.3%	48.1%	47.0%	50.6%	53.9%	55.9%	45.4%	41.4%	61.9% K	55.1%	60.8%	49.4%	81.9%	43.4%	61.4% QT	54.7%	45.5%
Works with the city and all levels of government to																				
build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the	359	37	74	95	61	20	72	93	144	122	181	178	39	57	226	4	61	79	139	73
mainland	51.2%	48.4%	42.1%	59.1% CF	62.0% CF	40.8%	51.1%	44.3%	52.6%	56.1%	53.9%	48.7%	53.1%	54.3%	50.2%	84.4%	57.7% T	57.5% T	51.4%	41.6%
				OI .	OI .															
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the	358	34	82	84	59	24	74	86	146	126	159	199	37	64	218	4	58	82	130	82
harbour, including sailboats	51.0%	44.3%	47.0%	52.0%	60.0%	49.3%	52.9%	41.0%	53.2%	57.9%	47.2%	54.5%	49.9%	61.2%	48.6%	84.4%	54.9%	59.5%	48.0%	46.1%
									Н	Н										
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the	341	44	82	80	50	22	63	109	139	93	163	178	37	54	215	4	58	80	129	68
harbourfront community	48.7%	57.2%	46.8%	49.8%	50.7%	45.3%	44.8%	51.7%	50.9%	42.9%	48.5%	48.9%	50.0%	51.9%	47.8%	84.4%	54.9% T	57.9% T	47.8%	38.6%
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto	305 43.6%	36 46.3%	77 44.1%	76 47.0%	46 46.9%	19 38.5%	52 36.9%	71 33.6%	125 45.7%	110 50.5%	144 42.9%	161 44.2%	32 43.5%	42 40.6%	201 44.8%	4 84.4%	46 43.9%	74 53.5%	109 40.4%	70 39.5%
									Н	Н										
Owner and an area pills Pink at Taranta City Aireant	281	29	68	67	44	17	57	53	129	99	123	158	35	33	187	3	42	64	102	68
Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport	40.1%	37.5%	38.6%	41.6%	44.9%	34.4%	40.3%	25.3%	47.2%	45.5%	36.6%	43.4%	48.1%	31.7%	41.5%	64.6%	39.5%	46.7%	37.7%	38.4%
									Н	Н										
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and	245	25	61	63	42	16	39	54	107	85	110	135	45	39	138	4	51	62	81	45
container services to businesses	35.0%	32.7%	34.5%	38.8%	42.9%	33.0%	27.7%	25.5%	39.1%	39.1%	32.8%	37.1%	60.8%	37.4%	30.8%	84.4%	48.1%	45.1%	30.1%	25.5%
					G				Н	Н			NO				ST	ST		
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are																				
projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar	222	31	48	52	30	15	47	61	88	74	87	135	29	38	126	3	40	49	87	41
Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats	31.7%	40.1%	27.1%	32.6%	30.6%	30.4%	33.2%	28.9%	32.1%	34.1%	26.0%	37.0%	39.8%	36.6%	28.1%	64.6%	37.5%	35.9%	32.2%	23.2%
			-							-		К	-		-	-	Т			
Carries out dredging prevent flooding around the Doi	432	46	114	96	66	27	83	121	178	133	193	239	46	64	276	4	68	100	161	95

lpsos 35 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - TOP3BOX (8-10]] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reç	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of	Toronto - North of Queen St.		35-54	55÷	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College		Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
River	61.6%	59.3%	65.3%	59.3%	67.4%	55.7%	59.0%	57.4%	65.0%	61.4%	57.2%	65.6%	62.3%	61.4%	61.4%	84.4%	64.1%	73.0% ST	59.5%	53.9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 35 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - LOW3BOX (1-3)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Rei	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Base: All respondents	A 701	B 75	C 75	D 75	E 76	F 300	G 100	H 176	1 263	J 262	K 358	L 343	M 70	N 115	O 448	P 5	Q 99	R 133	S 263	T 197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Works with the city and all levels of government to build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the mainland	84 12.0%	12 15.1%	16 9.3%	17 10.8%	7 7.4%	9 18.0% CE	23 16.4%	25 11.8%	30 11.0%	30 13.6%	43 12.9%	41 11.2%	7 10.1%	11 10.4%	64 14.3%	0 -	7 6.2%	10 7.4%	29 10.7%	39 21.9% QRS
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and container services to businesses	59 8.5%	4 5.2%	12 6.8%	19 11.6%	13 13.1%	5 9.2%	8 5.4%	20 9.3%	18 6.7%	22 10.0%	33 9.9%	26 7.2%	6 8.1%	7 7.1%	40 8.9%	0 -	16 15.3%	10 7.2%	21 7.7%	12 7.1%
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats	57 8.2%	6 8.1%	9 5.2%	12 7.7%	10 10.4%	5 9.2%	15 10.6%	21 9.8%	20 7.5%	16 7.5%	38 11.4% L	19 5.3%	3 4.8%	5 4.5%	43 9.5%	1 19.8%	6 5.9%	13 9.6%	17 6.1%	17 9.5%
Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport	47 6.6%	5 6.8%	10 5.7%	9 5.4%	5 5.4%	7 13.9% CDE	11 7.6%	14 6.5%	16 5.8%	17 7.8%	20 5.8%	27 7.4%	8 10.6%	5 4.5%	30 6.7%	0 -	11 10.2%	10 7.0%	11 4.1%	15 8.5%
Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.	38 5.5%	1 1.0%	14 7.7%	5 2.9%	6 5.9%	3 5.7% B	11 7.6%	16 7.8%	9 3.3%	13 5.9%	28 8.2%	11 2.9%	4 5.0%	4 4.1%	27 6.1%	0 -	4 3.6%	8 5.8%	13 4.7%	14 7.9%
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13 leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre	34 4.9%	2 2.6%	9 5.2%	9 5.4%	8 8.1%	2 4.6%	4 3.0%	15 7.0%	11 4.0%	8 3.9%	21 6.3%	13 3.6%	6 8.0%	5 5.2%	21 4.6%	0 -	13 12.0% RT	2 1.7%	13 4.7%	5 2.9%
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto	34 4.9%	2 2.3%	7 3.9%	13 8.3%	7 6.6%	3 5.7%	3 2.0%	10 5.0%	14 5.2%	9 4.3%	18 5.5%	16 4.3%	2 2.1%	6 6.0%	24 5.4%	0 -	13 12.1% ST	6 4.0%	9 3.3%	7 3.9%
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from the Don River and the inner harbour	29 4.2%	4 5.2%	5 2.8%	9 5.6%	5 5.2%	4 7.5%	3 1.8%	12 5.6%	5 1.7%	13 6.0%	9 2.7%	20 5.6%	4 5.1%	5 4.6%	18 4.1%	1 19.8%	9 8.7%	3 2.3%	11 4.0%	4 2.2%
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air	29	1	4	9	5	G 3	7	6	11	11	14	14	1	6	21	0	6	4	13	5
ambulance service.	4.1%	1.0%	2.6%	5.3%	5.5%	5.3% B	4.8%	2.7%	4.2%	5.3%	4.2%	3.9%	1.6%	6.0%	4.6%	-	5.7%	2.7%	5.0%	3.0%
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the harbour, including sailboats	28	2 2.3%	4 2.6%	13 8.1%	3 3.1%	2 4.8%	3 2.0%	7 3.3%	9	11 5.1%	10 3.0%	18 4.8%	5 6.2%	4 4.1%	17 3.7%	0	10 9.2%	4 2.8%	13 4.8%	1 0.5%
	0.070	2.070	2.070	5.170	0.170	1.570	2.070	5.570	5.170	5.170	0.070	1.070	J.270		5.1 70		T	2.070	T	0.070
Is making long-term investments in improving environmental sustainability practices.	25 3.6%	2 2.6%	1.3%	6 3.8%	5.0%	3 6.6% C	7 4.8%	9 4.2%	9 3.2%	8 3.5%	20 5.9% L	6 1.6%	2 2.4%	4 3.6%	19 4.2%	0 -	9 8.1% S	4 2.9%	6 2.1%	7 4.1%
Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy Thompson Park	25	2	3	9	4	3	5	8	12	5	12	13	0	5	13	0	3	8	11	2
тютβэυπ гагк	3.5%	2.6%	1.5%	5.4%	3.9%	5.9% C	3.2%	3.7%	4.3%	2.4%	3.5%	3.6%	0.4%	4.7%	2.9%	-	2.9%	5.6%	4.0%	1.1%
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the harbourfront community	21 3.1%	3 3.7%	1.2%	2.3%	6 6.5%	3 7.1% CDG	3 2.0%	6 2.8%	7 2.6%	9 3.9%	15 4.6%	6 1.6%	1 1.8%	5 4.4%	12 2.8%	0 -	4 3.7%	2 1.5%	10 3.6%	4 2.0%
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the waterfront	18 2.6%	1 1.0%	4 2.6%	2 1.3%	7 6.7%	3 6.4%	1 0.8%	4 1.9%	5 1.7%	10 4.4%	9 2.7%	9 2.5%	4 5.1%	4 4.2%	10 2.1%	0 -	4 3.7%	1 1.1%	12 4.4%	1 0.4%
Carries out dredging prevent flooding around the Do	26	1	9	8	G 3	BDG 3	3	12	3	11	19	6	2	3	19	0	4	3	14	3

lpsos 36 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - LOW3BOX (1-3)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg					Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		South of	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College		Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
River	3.7%	1.0%	5.1%	4.9%	2.6%	6.1% BG	1.8%	5.7% I	1.1%	4.9% I	5.8% L	1.8%	2.1%	2.5%	4.3%	-	3.9%	2.1%	5.3%	1.8%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

osos 36 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (1-2)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Regi	ion				Age		Gov	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Base: All respondents Weighted	A 701 701	B 75 77*	C 75 175*	D 75 161*	E 76 98*	F 300 49	G 100 140*	H 176 210	1 263 273	J 262 217	K 358 336	L 343 365	M 70 73*	N 115 105*	O 448 449	P 5 5**	Q 99 106*	R 133 137*	S 263 271	197 177
Works with the city and all levels of government to build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the mainland	58 8.3%	7 8.6%	9 5.2%	15 9.2%	5 5.2%	7 13.4% CE	16 11.4%	19 9.2%	17 6.3%	22 10.0%	31 9.3%	27 7.4%	6 8.6%	9 8.3%	43 9.5%	0 -	6 5.5%	7 5.2%	16 5.9%	29 16.6% QRS
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and container services to businesses	47 6.7%	3 3.7%	7 4.2%	17 10.3%	12 11.8% G	3 6.8%	5 3.6%	17 8.1%	15 5.5%	15 6.8%	28 8.4%	19 5.1%	4 5.0%	7 7.1%	32 7.1%	0 -	12 11.3%	8 6.1%	17 6.3%	10 5.4%
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats	43 6.1%	5 6.5%	2 1.3%	11 6.7%	9 8.8% C	3 6.8% C	12 8.8% C	16 7.8%	15 5.5%	11 5.1%	30 8.8% L	13 3.5%	2 2.3%	2 1.7%	34 7.6%	1 19.8%	3 2.6%	10 7.2%	13 4.6%	13 7.2%
Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport	36 5.1%	3 4.5%	7 4.2%	6 3.8%	4.1%	6 11.6% BCDE	9 6.6%	14 6.5%	10 3.6%	12 5.6%	18 5.4%	18 4.9%	5 7.4%	5 4.3%	22 4.9%	0 -	8 8.0%	7 5.0%	7 2.7%	13 7.4%
Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.	25 3.6%	0 -	9 5.1%	2 1.3%	5 4.9%	2 5.0% B	7 4.8%	10 4.9%	5 1.8%	10 4.5%	17 5.1%	8 2.2%	1 1.8%	4 4.0%	17 3.7%	0 -	3 2.8%	5 3.8%	9 3.2%	8 4.6%
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from the Don River and the inner harbour	25 3.6%	4 5.2%	5 2.8%	9 5.6%	3 2.6%	3 6.5% G	1 0.8%	9 4.1%	5 1.7%	12 5.3%	6 1.8%	19 5.2%	3 3.4%	4 4.3%	16 3.5%	1 19.8%	8 7.4%	3 2.0%	9 3.5%	4 2.2%
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air ambulance service.	25 3.5%	0 -	4 2.6%	9 5.3%	5 5.5%	2 4.7% B	4 2.8%	4 2.0%	10 3.6%	11 4.9%	10 3.1%	14 3.9%	1 1.6%	6 5.9%	17 3.8%	0 -	5 4.8%	4 2.7%	12 4.4%	2.2%
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13 leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre	22 3.1%	1 1.6%	2 1.3%	5 2.8%	8 8.1% C	2 3.6%	4 3.0%	8 3.7%	11 3.9%	3 1.5%	13 3.9%	9 2.4%	4 4.9%	3 3.2%	15 3.4%	0 -	8 7.2%	2 1.7%	8 2.8%	3 1.7%
Is making long-term investments in improving environmental sustainability practices.	21 3.0%	1 1.6%	2 1.3%	6 3.8%	4 3.9%	3 6.0% C	4 3.0%	7 3.5%	9 3.2%	5 2.1%	15 4.5%	5 1.5%	2 2.4%	3 3.3%	15 3.4%	0 -	7 7.0% S	4 2.9%	4 1.6%	5 2.9%
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto	20 2.8%	2 2.3%	4 2.6%	9 5.4%	1 1.2%	2 4.7% G	1 1.0%	5 2.2%	8 2.8%	8 3.6%	10 2.9%	10 2.8%	2 2.1%	3 2.8%	14 3.0%	0 -	9 8.5% ST	3 2.2%	5 1.8%	3 1.7%
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the harbourfront community	18 2.6%	2.3%	2 1.2%	2 1.3%	6 6.5%	3 6.5% CDG	3 2.0%	5 2.3%	7 2.6%	7 3.0%	13 3.7%	6 1.6%	1 1.8%	5 4.4%	11 2.5%	0 -	4 3.7%	2 1.5%	7 2.5%	4 2.0%
Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy Thompson Park	17 2.4%	1.0%	0 -	7 4.1%	4 3.9% C	2 5.0% C	3 2.2%	5 2.4%	9 3.2%	3 1.4%	10 3.0%	7 1.9%	0 0.4%	4 3.5%	11 2.5%	0 -	1.0%	6 4.7%	6 2.3%	2 1.0%
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the waterfront	17 2.4%	1 1.0%	4 2.6%	2 1.3%	5 5.4%	3 5.8% BDG	1 0.8%	4 1.9%	5 1.7%	8 3.7%	9 2.7%	8 2.1%	3 3.4%	4 4.2%	9 2.1%	0 -	3 2.6%	1 1.1%	12 4.3%	1 0.4%
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the harbour, including sailboats	16 2.3%	1.0%	2 1.2%	9 5.3% G	3 3.1%	2 3.2% G	0 -	2 0.8%	7 2.4%	8 3.6%	4 1.3%	12 3.3%	2 2.9%	4 3.9%	10 2.2%	0 -	8 7.3% RT	0 0.2%	8 2.8%	1 0.3%
Carries out dredging prevent flooding around the Dor	18	1	7	4	3	2	1	9	3	6	14	4	2	2	11	0	2	3	11	1

lpsos 37 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (1-2)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reç	ion				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College		Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
River	2.5%	1.0%	3.9%	2.6%	2.6%	4.6% G	0.8%	4.1%	1.0%	3.0%	4.0%	1.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.5%	-	2.4%	1.9%	3.9%	0.4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

osos 37 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - LOWBOX (1)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				D					A		0.							Education		
	Total	East York/	North Vorte	Reg Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	Age 35-54	55+	Gei Male	nder Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	Habaaaba	Post- Graduate/Pr
	A	York B	North York C	D	E	Queen St.	G G	H	35-54	J	K	L	M	\$60,000 N	0	P	Q Q	College R	University	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Works with the city and all levels of government to																				
build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the	44	7	5	13	4	5	10	16	11	17	20	25	4	8	31	0	4	7	14	20
mainland	6.3%	8.6%	2.9%	8.0%	4.1%	11.2% CE	7.3%	7.7%	4.0%	8.0%	5.8%	6.8%	6.1%	7.7%	7.0%	-	3.5%	5.0%	5.0%	11.5%
						- OL														
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are																				
projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar	33	3	2	9	6	2	10	13	12	7	23	9	2	2	25	1	2	10	8	10
Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats	4.6%	4.2%	1.3%	5.4%	6.5%	4.9%	6.8%	6.2%	4.5%	3.3%	6.9%	2.6%	2.3%	1.7%	5.5%	19.8%	1.9%	7.1%	2.9%	5.5%
											L									
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and																				
container services to businesses	32 4.6%	1.6%	7 4.2%	10 6.5%	7.0%	2 4.6%	2.8%	17 8.0%	7 2.7%	3.6%	18 5.4%	14 3.8%	2 3.1%	6.0%	21 4.7%	0	7.1%	5 3.9%	12 4.5%	7 4.1%
	4.070	1.0%	4.270	0.0%	7.076	4.070	2.076	0.076	Z.170	3.0%	3.476	3.0%	3.170	0.0%	4.170	<u> </u>	1.170	3.976	4.5%	4.170
	31	2	7	6	4	5	6	11	9	11	16	14	5	3	18	0	8	7	6	40
Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport	4.4%	2.9%	4.2%	3.8%	4.1%	9.2%	4.6%	5.1%	3.3%	5.0%	4.9%	3.9%	7.4%	3.0%	4.0%	-	7.7%	5.0%	2.0%	10 5.7%
	1.170	2.070	1.270	0.070	1.170	В	1.070	0.170	0.070	0.070	1.070	0.070	7.170	0.070	1.070		S	0.070	2.070	0.170
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used																				
often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air	23	0	4	9	4	1	4	4	8	10	9	14	1	6	15	0	5	4	10	4
ambulance service.	3.2%	-	2.6%	5.3%	4.2%	3.1%	2.8%	2.0%	3.0%	4.7%	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%	5.5%	3.4%	-	4.5%	2.6%	3.9%	2.1%
						В														
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13																				
leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre	19 2.7%	1.6%	1.3%	5 2.8%	6.7%	2.5%	3 2.0%	7 3.1%	9 3.2%	1.5%	10 3.1%	2.3%	4 4.9%	3 3.0%	12 2.7%	0	7.1%	1.7%	7 2.7%	1.0%
Ochile	2.170	1.0%	1.3%	2.0%	0.7 %	2.5%	2.0%	3.176	3.2%	1.5%	3.1%	2.3%	4.9%	3.0%	2.176		7.1% T	1.770	2.176	1.0%
			-				,	40	_		40							_		_
Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.	18 2.6%	0	5 2.6%	1.3%	2.6%	4.3%	7 4.8%	10 4.9%	5 1.7%	1.5%	16 4.7%	0.6%	0.2%	1.9%	14 3.1%	0	1.6%	5 3.8%	6 2.3%	5 2.8%
	2.070		2.070	1.070	2.070	В	1.070	1.070	1.1.70	1.070	L	0.070	0.270	1.070	0.170		1.070	0.070	2.070	2.070
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from the Don River and the inner harbour	17	2	0	9	3	2	1	5	5	8	5	13	3	2	11	1	7	3	6	1
the Don River and the inner narbour	2.5%	2.9%	-	5.6%	2.6%	4.9%	0.8%	2.5%	1.7%	3.5%	1.4%	3.5%	3.4%	2.0%	2.4%	19.8%	6.4%	2.0%	2.2%	0.5%
				С		CG											- 1			
Is making long-term investments in improving																				
environmental sustainability practices.	16 2.3%	1.6%	1.3%	2.6%	2.9%	3 5.5%	3 2.0%	7 3.5%	5 1.9%	3 1.5%	10 3.1%	5 1.5%	2.4%	3.2%	11 2.4%	0	7 6.9%	4 2.9%	0.8%	3 1.5%
	2.3%	1.0%	1.3%	2.0%	2.9%	C	2.0%	3.5%	1.9%	1.5%	3.1%	1.5%	2.476	3.2%	2.476		S S	2.9%	0.6%	1.5%
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the	15	0	2	9	3	1	0	2	6	7	3	12	2	4	9	0	7	0	7	0
harbour, including sailboats	2.1%	-	1.2%	5.3%	3.1%	2.3%	·	0.8%	2.3%	3.2%	0.9%	3.2%	2.9%	3.8%	1.9%	-	6.4%	0.2%	2.8%	0.3%
				G		G											RT			
Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy	14	0	0	7	3	2	3	4	8	2	8	6	0	4	9	0	0	6	6	2
Thompson Park	2.0%	-	-	4.1%	2.6%	3.4% BC	2.2%	1.8%	3.1%	0.9%	2.2%	1.8%	0.4%	3.5%	1.9%	-	0.2%	4.7%	2.1%	1.0%
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto	13	1	4	4	1	2	0	3	3	7	5	7	2	3	7	0	8	1	3	1
naiboul of Foronto	1.8%	1.3%	2.6%	2.6%	1.2%	3.6% G	-	1.3%	1.0%	3.2%	1.6%	2.0%	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%	-	7.6% RST	0.4%	1.3%	0.3%
										_										
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the harbourfront community	1.4%	-	0 -	1.3%	3.8%	3 5.1%	1.0%	0.2%	6 2.1%	1.6%	6 1.9%	3 0.9%	1.8%	1.0%	7 1.6%	-	1.5%	0.4%	1.5%	2.0%
	1.470			1.376	3.8% C	BCDG	1.076	U.Z70	£.170	1.0%	1.976	0.976	1.070	1.076	1.076		1.076	0.476	1.3%	Z.U70
Control of the state of the sta										-										
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the	10	0	2	0	4	3	1	2	1	7	4	6	3	4	2	0	2	1	5	1
waterfront	1.4%	-	1.2%	-	3.9%	5.1%	0.8%	0.8%	0.2%	3.3%	1.1%	1.6%	3.4%	4.2%	0.5%	Ē	1.8%	1.1%	2.0%	0.4%
						BCDG				- 1				0						

lpsos 38 of 88

Q14. [SUMMARY - LOWBOX (1)] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reç	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of	Toronto - North of Queen St.		35-54	55÷	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
River	1.7%	-	2.6%	2.6%	-	3.6% BEG	0.8%	2.3%	0.9%	2.0%	2.6%	0.7%	0.4%	2.2%	1.5%	-	0.1%	1.9%	3.0%	0.4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

38 of 88

Q14_01. [Provides transportation, distribution, storage and container services to businesses]l'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	jion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income			_	Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/F ofessiona
Dani All accordants	A 701	B 75	C	D 75	E 70	F 200	G 400	H	1	J	K	L	M 70	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents Weighted	701	75 77*	75 175*	75 161*	76 98*	300 49	100 140*	176 210	263 273	262 217	358 336	343 365	70 73*	115 105*	448 449	5 5**	99 106*	133 137*	263 271	197 177
weignted	701	- //	175	101	90	49	140	210	213	217	330	365	73	105	449	5	106	137	211	177
Provides transportation, distribution, storage and container services to businesses																				
	114	15	26	33	18	7	15	17	58	39	48	66	19	20	62	2	29	30	32	18
10 - Significantly Improves	16.2%	19.8%	14.8%	20.4%	18.0%	14.8%	10.5%	7.9%	21.3%	18.0%	14.4%	18.0%	26.1% O	19.5%	13.7%	42.0%	27.8%	21.8%	11.9%	10.2%
	35	1	9	10	6	1	7	11	14	10	24	11	9	6	18	0	ST 6	ST 6	13	9
9	4.9%	1.6%	5.1%	6.3%	6.2%	2.4%	5.0%	5.3%	5.0%	4.5%	7.1%	3.0%	11.8%	5.6%	4.1%	-	5.9%	4.7%	4.8%	5.0%
													0							
	97	9	26	20	18	8	17	26	35	36	38	59	17	13	58	2	15	25	36	18
8	13.8%	11.3%	14.6%	12.1%	18.7%	15.8%	12.2%	12.3%	12.7%	16.7%	11.3%	16.2%	22.9%	12.3%	13.0%	42.4%	14.4%	18.5%	13.3%	10.2%
	91	15	26	18	9	5	20	31	39	21	50	41	5	7	72	0	8	15	36	31
7	13.0%	19.2%	14.7%	10.9%	8.8%	10.2%	14.0%	14.9%	14.2%	9.9%	15.0%	11.2%	6.3%	6.3%	16.0%	-	8.0%	10.8%	13.4%	17.3%
-	10.070	19.2/0	17.770	10.570	0.070	10.270	17.0/0	17.5/0	17.2/0	3.370	10.076	11.2/0	0.070	0.076	N		0.070	10.070	13.470	11.070
	48	6	9	14	7	4	9	21	17	10	26	23	4	5	29	1	2	7	24	15
6	6.9%	7.5%	4.9%	8.4%	7.5%	8.5%	6.2%	10.2%	6.2%	4.5%	7.6%	6.2%	5.2%	5.1%	6.4%	15.6%	2.1%	5.1%	8.7%	8.3%
5 - Does not change	227 32.3%	25	59	39	27	18	58	71	82	74	105	122	12	40	154	0	23	39	94	68
5 - Does not change	32.3%	32.5%	33.7%	24.4%	27.6%	36.2% D	41.7% D	33.6%	29.9%	34.2%	31.1%	33.5%	16.4%	38.3% M	34.2% M	-	21.8%	28.4%	34.9%	38.4% Q
	22	2	7	5	0	1	7	11	8	3	12	10	2	3	14	0	2	5	9	6
4	3.2%	2.9%	4.2%	2.9%	-	2.4%	5.0%	5.4%	3.1%	1.2%	3.7%	2.8%	3.1%	3.3%	3.1%	-	2.2%	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%
						E														
	13	1	4	2	1	1	3	2	3	7	5	8	2	0	8	0	4	2	4	3
3	1.8%	1.6%	2.6%	1.2%	1.2%	2.5%	1.8%	1.2%	1.1%	3.2%	1.5%	2.1%	3.1%	-	1.9%	-	4.0%	1.1%	1.4%	1.7%
	15	2	0	6	5	1	1	0	8	7	10	5	1	1	11	0	4	3	5	2
2	2.1%	2.1%	-	3.9%	4.9%	2.2%	0.8%	0.1%	2.8%	3.2%	3.0%	1.3%	1.9%	1.1%	2.3%	-	4.3%	2.2%	1.8%	1.3%
-					C	C	0.070												,	
	32	1	7	10	7	2	4	17	7	8	18	14	2	6	21	0	7	5	12	7
1 - Significantly worsens	4.6%	1.6%	4.2%	6.5%	7.0%	4.6%	2.8%	8.0%	2.7%	3.6%	5.4%	3.8%	3.1%	6.0%	4.7%	-	7.1%	3.9%	4.5%	4.1%
	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	7	0	2	2	0	3	0	-	-
(DK/NS)	1.1%	-	1.2%	3.1%	-	0	-	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%	0	2.0%	-	3 2.6%	3 0.6%	-	2.5%	-	5 1.8%	- 0
(511116)	1.170		1.270	3.170		0.070		1.270	0.570	1.170		K		2.070	0.070		2.570		1.070	+
Summary													·							
	114	15	26	33	18	7	15	17	58	39	48	66	19	20	62	2	29	30	32	18
TopBox (10)	16.2%	19.8%	14.8%	20.4%	18.0%	14.8%	10.5%	7.9%	21.3%	18.0%	14.4%	18.0%	26.1% O	19.5%	13.7%	42.0%	27.8%	21.8% ST	11.9%	10.2%
	148	16	35	43	24	8	22	28	H 72	H 49	72	76	28	26	80	2	ST 36	36	45	27
Top2Box (9-10)	21.2%	21.4%	20.0%	26.7%	24.3%	17.2%	15.5%	13.2%	26.3%	22.5%	21.4%	20.9%	37.9%	25.1%	17.8%	42.0%	33.7%	26.5%	16.8%	15.2%
									Н				0				ST			
	245	25	61	63	42	16	39	54	107	85	110	135	45	39	138	4	51	62	81	45
Top3Box (8-10)	35.0%	32.7%	34.5%	38.8%	42.9%	33.0%	27.7%	25.5%	39.1%	39.1%	32.8%	37.1%	60.8%	37.4%	30.8%	84.4%	48.1%	45.1%	30.1%	25.5%
	59	4	12	19	G 13	5	8	20	H 18	H 22	33	26	NO 6	7	40	0	ST 16	ST 10	21	12
Low3Box (1-3)	8.5%	5.2%	6.8%	11.6%	13.1%	9.2%	5.4%	9.3%	6.7%	10.0%	9.9%	7.2%	8.1%	7.1%	8.9%	-	15.3%	7.2%	7.7%	7.1%
\ / /	0.070	J.2 /0	5.570	70	10.170	J.270	5. 170	0.070	5.7 /0	. 5.0 /6	0.070	/0	5.170	70	5.570		. 5.570	/0	/0	7.170
	47	3	7	17	12	3	5	17	15	15	28	19	4	7	32	0	12	8	17	10
Low2Box (1-2)	6.7%	3.7%	4.2%	10.3%	11.8%	6.8%	3.6%	8.1%	5.5%	6.8%	8.4%	5.1%	5.0%	7.1%	7.1%	-	11.3%	6.1%	6.3%	5.4%
		<u> </u>	_	40	G						40						_	_	40	
LowPox (1)	32 4.6%	1.6%	7	10	7	2	4	17	7	8 2 69/	18	14	2 2 40/	6.0%	21	0 -	7	5	12	7
LowBox (1)	4.0%	1.0%	4.2%	6.5%	7.0%	4.6%	2.8%	8.0%	2.7%	3.6%	5.4%	3.8%	3.1%	6.0%	4.7%	- -	7.1%	3.9%	4.5%	4.1%
	6.5	6.7	6.5	6.6	6.5	6.3	6.2	6	6.8	6.5	6.3	6.6	7.4	6.5	6.3	8.5	6.8	6.8	6.3	6.2
Mean -	0.0	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	U	H	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Std. Dev.	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.3	1.6	2.9	2.4	2.3	2.1
Std. Err.	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 39 of 88

Q14_02. [Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in the harbour, including sailboats] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	/ High School	Community College	/ University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Ensures safe and efficient movement of ships in	,																			
the harbour, including sailboats	•																			
•	227	25	48	56	37	15	46	45	103	79	89	137	25	42	134	4	41	57	80	42
10 - Significantly Improves	32.3%	31.9%	27.4%	34.5%	38.1%	29.8%	33.0%	21.4%	37.6%	36.2%	26.5%	37.7%	33.8%	40.1%	29.7%	84.4%	39.0%	41.7%	29.5%	23.8%
	40			40	_				H	H	07	K					T	T		1.
9	49 7.0%	4.7%	9 5.1%	19 11.6%	7.3%	5.8%	8 5.5%	17 8.1%	14 5.2%	18 8.2%	27 7.9%	22 6.1%	4 6.1%	7.6%	34 7.5%	0	1.4%	13 9.1%	7.3%	15 8.6%
9	7.0%	4.776	5.1%	11.0%	7.3%	5.6%	5.5%	0.176	5.2%	0.276	7.9%	0.1%	0.1%	7.0%	7.5%	-	1.4%	9.176	1.3%	0.0%
	82	6	25	10	14	7	20	24	29	29	43	39	7	14	51	0	15	12	31	24
8	11.7%	7.8%	14.4%	5.9%	14.5%	13.7%	14.4%	11.5%	10.5%	13.4%	12.7%	10.7%	10.0%	13.6%	11.3%	-	14.5%	8.7%	11.3%	13.7%
						D														
_	106	18	34	19	13	7	17	46	44	16	50	56	9	9	81	0	11	14	43	39
7	15.2%	22.7%	19.3%	11.5%	12.8%	13.3%	12.3%	21.7%	16.1% J	7.6%	15.0%	15.3%	12.4%	8.7%	17.9%	-	10.0%	10.1%	16.0%	21.9% QR
	51	6	7	14	9	2	12	19	18	14	32	19	7	3	34	1	10	8	19	13
6	7.3%	7.9%	4.1%	8.5%	9.6%	4.0%	8.9%	8.9%	6.7%	6.3%	9.5%	5.2%	10.2%	3.2%	7.7%	15.6%	9.9%	5.7%	6.9%	7.4%
			1		1														1	1
	147	18	43	30	13	13	30	49	50	48	82	65	16	22	93	0	17	27	61	39
5 - Does not change	20.9%	22.7%	24.3%	18.5%	12.9%	27.2%	21.7%	23.2%	18.3%	21.9%	24.3%	17.7%	21.4%	20.8%	20.8%	-	15.8%	19.4%	22.5%	22.0%
	40			0		E										0		0		
4	1.4%	-	1.5%	1.3%	1.6%	0.6%	3 2.2%	4 1.8%	6 2.1%	0.1%	4 1.1%	1.7%	-	2.0%	6 1.3%	-	0.1%	3 2.5%	1.0%	2.0%
4	1.4%	-	1.5%	1.3%	1.0%	0.6%	2.2%	1.8%	2.1%	0.1%	1.1%	1.7%		2.0%	1.3%	-	0.1%	2.5%	1.0%	2.0%
	11	1	2	5	0	1	3	5	3	3	6	6	2	0	7	0	2	4	5	0
3	1.6%	1.3%	1.3%	2.8%	-	1.6%	2.0%	2.5%	1.0%	1.5%	1.7%	1.5%	3.3%	0.2%	1.5%	-	1.9%	2.6%	2.0%	0.2%
	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
2	0.2%	1.0%	-	-	-	0.9%	-	-	0.1%	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0.2%	-	0.9%	-	0.1%	0.1%
	15		2	9	3					7	3	12	2			0	7	0	7	
1 - Significantly worsens	2.1%	0	1.2%	5.3%	3.1%	2.3%	0	0.8%	6 2.3%	3.2%	0.9%	3.2%	2.9%	3.8%	9 1.9%	-	6.4%	0.2%	2.8%	0.3%
. Ogranoundy Wordenie	2.176		1.2/0	G G	3.176	G G		0.076	2.576	3.2 /6	0.576	3.2 /6	2.576	3.076	1.570		RT	0.276	2.076	0.376
	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	-	1.2%	ē	-	0.7%	-	-	0.1%	1.1%	-	0.7%	-	-	0	-	-	-	0.8%	0.2%
•																				
Summary	227	25	48	56	37	15	46	45	103	79	89	137	25	42	134	4	41	57	80	42
TopBox (10)	32.3%	31.9%	27.4%	34.5%	38.1%	15 29.8%	33.0%	45 21.4%	37.6%	36.2%	26.5%	37.7%	33.8%	40.1%	29.7%	4 84.4%	39.0%	41.7%	29.5%	23.8%
10p26x (10)	32.376	31.576	21.470	34.376	30.176	25.076	33.076	21.470	37.0% H	30.2 /s	20.576	K	33.076	40.176	25.1 /6	04.470	7 T	T T	29.376	23.076
	276	28	57	74	45	17	54	62	117	97	116	160	29	50	167	4	43	70	99	57
Top2Box (9-10)	39.3%	36.6%	32.6%	46.1%	45.4%	35.6%	38.4%	29.5%	42.8%	44.5%	34.4%	43.8%	39.9%	47.6%	37.3%	84.4%	40.5%	50.8%	36.7%	32.4%
									Н	Н								ST		
Top3Box (8-10)	358	34	82	84	59	24	74	86	146	126	159	199	37 49.9%	64	218	4 94 49/	58	82 F0 F9/	130	82
Торовох (0-10)	51.0%	44.3%	47.0%	52.0%	60.0%	49.3%	52.9%	41.0%	53.2% H	57.9% H	47.2%	54.5%	49.9%	61.2%	48.6%	84.4%	54.9%	59.5%	48.0%	46.1%
	28	2	4	13	3	2	3	7	9	11	10	18	5	4	17	0	10	4	13	1
Low3Box (1-3)	3.9%	2.3%	2.6%	8.1%	3.1%	4.8%	2.0%	3.3%	3.4%	5.1%	3.0%	4.8%	6.2%	4.1%	3.7%	-	9.2%	2.8%	4.8%	0.5%
																	Т		T	
Lau/2Boy (4.2)	16	1	2	9	3	2	0	2	7	8	4	12	2	4	10	0	8	0	8	1
Low2Box (1-2)	2.3%	1.0%	1.2%	5.3% G	3.1%	3.2% G	-	0.8%	2.4%	3.6%	1.3%	3.3%	2.9%	3.9%	2.2%	-	7.3% RT	0.2%	2.8%	0.3%
	15	0	2	9	3	1	0	2	6	7	3	12	2	4	9	0	7	0	7	0
	2.1%	-	1.2%	5.3%	3.1%	2.3%	-	0.8%	2.3%	3.2%	0.9%	3.2%	2.9%	3.8%	1.9%	-	6.4%	0.2%	2.8%	0.3%
LowBox (1)		1		G		G											RT			1
LowBox (1)																				
	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	7.8	7.3	7.6	7.2	7.7	7.6	7.3	7.6	7.4	7.7	7.4	9.4	7.4	7.9	7.3	7.4
LowBox (1) Mean	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	7.8	7.3	7.6	7.2	7.7	7.6	7.3	7.6	7.4	7.7	7.4	9.4	7.4	7.9	7.3	7.4
	7.5 2.3 0.1	7.5 2.1 0.2	7.4 2.1 0.2	7.4 2.6 0.3	7.8 2.3 0.3	7.3 2.4 0.1	7.6 2.1 0.2	7.2 2.1 0.2	7.7 2.3 0.1	7.6 2.4 0.2	7.3 2.1 0.1	7.6 2.4 0.1	7.4 2.4 0.3	7.7 2.5 0.2	7.4 2.2 0.1	9.4 1.6 0.7	7.4 2.7 0.3	7.9 2.2 0.2	7.3 2.3 0.1	7.4 1.9 0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 40 of 88

Q14_03. [Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	gion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G G	H	30-04	J	K	L	M	\$60,000 N	0	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Regulates and oversees recreational boating in the Harbour of Toronto																				
10. Cignificantly Improves	160	22	34	41	22	10	30	26	75	59	67	93	15	29	92	4	28	43	56	27
10 - Significantly Improves	22.8%	28.3%	19.6%	25.6%	22.8%	21.1%	21.2%	12.2%	27.5% H	27.3% H	19.9%	25.5%	20.6%	27.9%	20.6%	81.9%	26.3%	31.4% T	20.7%	15.3%
	35	3	5	18	5	3	2	12	11	12	19	15	2	5	26	0	3	9	14	9
9	4.9%	3.6%	2.6%	10.9% CG	5.2%	5.1%	1.6%	5.5%	4.1%	5.4%	5.8%	4.2%	2.9%	4.9%	5.7%	2.5%	2.4%	6.8%	5.0%	5.1%
	111	11	38	17	19	6	20	33	39	39	58	53	15	8	83	0	16	21	40	34
8	15.8%	14.4%	21.9%	10.6%	18.9%	12.2%	14.1%	15.9%	14.1%	17.9%	17.2%	14.5%	20.1%	7.7%	18.4% N	-	15.3%	15.3%	14.7%	19.2%
	85	6	29	16	7	7	20	28	38	18	36	49	9	18	46	0	5	12	40	27
7	12.1%	8.3%	16.3%	10.2%	7.4%	14.0%	13.9%	13.5%	14.0%	8.4%	10.6%	13.5%	12.3%	17.1%	10.3%	-	4.9%	9.0%	14.8% Q	15.5%
	46	5	10	10	6	3	12	21	11	14	22	24	3	12	23	1	10	8	16	Q 11
6	6.5%	6.1%	5.4%	6.5%	6.2%	5.4%	8.9%	9.9%	4.2%	6.3%	6.6%	6.5%	4.6%	11.7%	5.2%	15.6%	9.5%	5.5%	6.0%	6.3%
	223	29	53	43	28	17	52	77	81	65	112	110	26	26	149	0	30	37	91	61
5 - Does not change	31.7%	37.1%	30.3%	26.8%	28.5%	35.4%	37.3%	36.6%	29.5%	29.9%	33.3%	30.3%	35.9%	24.6%	33.1%	-	28.4%	26.7%	33.6%	34.7%
	6	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	2	1	2	5	1	0	3	0	1	2	3	0
4	0.9%	-	-	-	4.4%	1.0%	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%	1.2%	1.7%	-	0.7%	-	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	0.1%
	14	0	2	5	CD 5	0	1	6	7	2	9	6	0	2	10	0	4	2	4	4
3	2.0%	-	1.3%	2.9%	5.4%	1.0%	1.0%	2.8%	2.4%	0.7%	2.5%	1.5%	-	3.2%	2.3%	-	3.6%	1.8%	1.5%	2.2%
			_						_									_		
2	7 1.0%	1.0%	-	5 2.8%	0 -	1.1%	1.0%	0.9%	5 1.7%	1 0.4%	5 1.4%	0.7%	-	0.2%	7 1.5%	-	0.9%	1.8%	0.5%	1.4%
1 - Significantly worsens	13 1.8%	1.3%	2.6%	2.6%	1.2%	3.6%	0	3 1.3%	3 1.0%	7 3.2%	5 1.6%	7 2.0%	2.1%	3 2.7%	7 1.5%	0	7.6%	1 0.4%	3 1.3%	0.3%
. Olgrinicana, wordene	1.076	1.576	2.078	2.078	1.270	G		1.576	1.076	3.276	1.078	2.076	2.170	2.1 /6	1.576		RST	0.476	1.570	0.376
(DK/NS)	0.3%	0	0	1.3%	0	0	0	0	0.8%	0	2 0.6%	0	0	0	0.5%	0	0	0	0.8%	0
(DNNS)	0.3%	-	-	1.3%	-	-	-	-	0.8%	-	0.6%	-	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	0.8%	-
Summary										_										
TopBox (10)	160 22.8%	22 28.3%	34 19.6%	41 25.6%	22 22.8%	10 21.1%	30 21.2%	26 12.2%	75 27.5%	59 27.3%	67 19.9%	93 25.5%	15 20.6%	29 27.9%	92 20.6%	4 81.9%	28 26.3%	43 31.4%	56 20.7%	27 15.3%
1 . (.)					22.070	21.170			Н	Н	10.070		20.070			01.070	20.070	Т	20.770	
Top2Box (9-10)	195 27.8%	25 31.9%	39 22.2%	59 36.4%	28 28.0%	13 26.3%	32 22.8%	37 17.8%	86 31.6%	71 32.6%	86 25.7%	108 29.7%	17 23.5%	34 32.8%	118 26.3%	4 84.4%	30 28.7%	53 38.3%	70 25.7%	36 20.4%
10p2B0x (5-10)									Н	Н								ST		
T-=2P (0.40)	305	36	77	76	46	19	52	71	125	110	144	161	32	42	201	4	46	74	109	70
Top3Box (8-10)	43.6%	46.3%	44.1%	47.0%	46.9%	38.5%	36.9%	33.6%	45.7% H	50.5% H	42.9%	44.2%	43.5%	40.6%	44.8%	84.4%	43.9%	53.5%	40.4%	39.5%
	34	2	7	13	7	3	3	10	14	9	18	16	2	6	24	0	13	6	9	7
Low3Box (1-3)	4.9%	2.3%	3.9%	8.3%	6.6%	5.7%	2.0%	5.0%	5.2%	4.3%	5.5%	4.3%	2.1%	6.0%	5.4%	-	12.1% ST	4.0%	3.3%	3.9%
	20	2	4	9	1	2	1	5	8	8	10	10	2	3	14	0	9	3	5	3
Low2Box (1-2)	2.8%	2.3%	2.6%	5.4%	1.2%	4.7% G	1.0%	2.2%	2.8%	3.6%	2.9%	2.8%	2.1%	2.8%	3.0%	-	8.5% ST	2.2%	1.8%	1.7%
	13	1	4	4	1	2	0	3	3	7	5	7	2	3	7	0	8	1	3	1
LowBox (1)	1.8%	1.3%	2.6%	2.6%	1.2%	3.6% G	-	1.3%	1.0%	3.2%	1.6%	2.0%	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%	-	7.6% RST	0.4%	1.3%	0.3%
Mean	7	7.1	7	7.1	6.9	6.8	6.9	6.5	7.2	7.2	6.9	7.1	6.9	7.1	6.9	9.4	6.6	7.4	6.9	6.8
Mean									Н	Н										
Std. Dev. Std. Err.	2.3 0.1	2.3 0.3	2.1 0.2	2.5 0.3	2.3 0.3	2.4 0.1	2.1 0.2	0.2	2.3 0.1	2.3 0.1	2.2 0.1	2.3 0.1	2.1 0.3	2.3 0.2	2.2 0.1	1.6 0.7	2.7 0.3	2.3 0.2	2.1 0.1	0.1
Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - F											0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 41 of 88

Q14_04. [Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from the Don River and the inner harbour] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg							0	nder		Income				Education		
				ке	jion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Gei	naer		income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/F
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	М	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Removes pollution and contaminated garbage from the Don River and the inner harbour																				
10.00	322	32	71	83	50	20	67	90	126	107	139	183	37	47	197	3	50	79	114	75
10 - Significantly Improves	46.0%	41.4%	40.3%	51.6%	50.6%	40.5%	47.9%	42.6%	46.0%	49.2%	41.3%	50.3%	50.4%	45.2%	43.9%	64.6%	46.9%	57.6% ST	42.0%	42.2%
	75	14	16	17	5	5	18	25	29	21	42	33	7	5	57	0	9	11	35	20
9	10.7%	17.7%	9.0%	10.6%	5.1%	10.8%	13.1%	12.1%	10.5%	9.6%	12.5%	9.1%	9.2%	5.2%	12.8%	-	8.3%	8.2%	13.1%	11.1%
		E				_							_				_			
8	100 14.2%	13 16.7%	28 16.1%	18 11.1%	11 11.4%	8 16.2%	21 15.2%	24 11.5%	55 20.0%	21 9.6%	51 15.2%	49 13.3%	6 7.7%	19 17.7%	67 14.9%	1 15.6%	9 8.4%	12 8.9%	42 15.5%	33 18.9%
	14.2 /0	10.776	10.176	11.170	11.470	10.276	13.2 /6	11.570	J	3.076	13.2 /6	13.376	1.176	17.770	14.370	13.078	0.476	0.576	13.376	10.576
	65	7	22	9	11	5	12	23	20	23	29	37	8	10	42	0	11	13	16	25
7	9.3%	8.6%	12.4%	5.9%	10.9%	9.5%	8.6%	11.0%	7.2%	10.4%	8.5%	10.1%	11.5%	9.8%	9.2%	-	10.3%	9.6%	6.0%	14.2%
	27	3	2	11	3	2	6	15	6	6	15	11	5	4	17	0	1	5	11	S 9
6	3.8%	3.9%	1.3%	6.8%	2.9%	3.8%	3.9%	7.2%	2.1%	2.7%	4.5%	3.1%	6.2%	3.9%	3.8%	-	1.4%	3.5%	4.2%	5.0%
						0.0,0		ı					0.270							
	78	4	32	11	14	5	12	21	30	26	47	31	7	14	47	0	16	13	39	10
5 - Does not change	11.1%	5.4%	18.1% BD	7.1%	13.9%	10.3%	8.4%	10.2%	11.0%	12.1%	13.9%	8.5%	9.9%	12.9%	10.5%	-	15.2% T	9.8%	14.2%	5.6%
	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	3	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	1
4	0.4%	1.0%	-	-	-	1.3%	1.0%	-	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%	-	0.8%	0.3%	-	0.8%	0.1%	0.2%	0.8%
2	4	0	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	1	3	1	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	0
3	0.6%	-	-	-	2.6%	1.0%	1.0%	1.5%	-	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%	1.7%	0.3%	0.6%	-	1.3%	0.3%	0.5%	-
	8	2	5	0	0	1	0	3	0	4	1	6	0	2	5	0	1	0	4	3
2	1.1%	2.3%	2.8%	-	-	1.7%	-	1.6%	0.1%	1.9%	0.4%	1.7%	-	2.2%	1.1%	-	0.9%	-	1.3%	1.7%
		_				DG			_			40					_			
1 - Significantly worsens	17 2.5%	2.9%	0	9 5.6%	3 2.6%	2 4.9%	1 0.8%	5 2.5%	5 1.7%	8 3.5%	5 1.4%	13 3.5%	3 3.4%	2.0%	11 2.4%	1 19.8%	7 6.4%	3 2.0%	6 2.2%	0.5%
. Olymbaray woroono	2.576	2.570		C	2.076	CG	0.078	2.570	1.7 /0	3.376	1.470	3.376	3.476	2.076	2.470	13.076	T	2.076	2.270	0.576
	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
(DK/NS)	0.3%		-	1.3%	-		-	-	0.8%		0.6%	-	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	0.8%	-
Summary																				
Julillary	322	32	71	83	50	20	67	90	126	107	139	183	37	47	197	3	50	79	114	75
TopBox (10)	46.0%	41.4%	40.3%	51.6%	50.6%	40.5%	47.9%	42.6%	46.0%	49.2%	41.3%	50.3%	50.4%	45.2%	43.9%	64.6%	46.9%	57.6%	42.0%	42.2%
																		ST		1
Top2Box (9-10)	397 56.7%	46 59.1%	86 49.2%	100 62.2%	55 55.7%	25 51.3%	85 60.9%	115 54.6%	155 56.5%	128 58.8%	181 53.8%	216 59.4%	44 59.6%	53 50.4%	255 56.7%	3 64.6%	58 55.3%	90 65.8%	149 55.1%	94 53.3%
TOP2BOX (9-TO)	30.7%	39.176	49.276	02.276	33.7%	31.3%	00.9%	34.0%	30.3%	30.0%	33.0%	39.4%	39.0%	30.4%	30.7%	04.0%	33.3%	03.0%	33.1%	33.3%
	497	58	115	118	66	33	107	139	209	149	232	265	49	71	322	4	67	103	191	128
Top3Box (8-10)	70.9%	75.8%	65.4%	73.3%	67.1%	67.5%	76.2%	66.1%	76.5%	68.5%	68.9%	72.7%	67.3%	68.1%	71.6%	80.2%	63.7%	74.7%	70.6%	72.2%
			_						_	40				_	40					
Low3Box (1-3)	29 4.2%	4 5.2%	5 2.8%	9 5.6%	5 5.2%	4 7.5%	3 1.8%	12 5.6%	5 1.7%	13 6.0%	9 2.7%	20 5.6%	4 5.1%	5 4.6%	18 4.1%	1 19.8%	9 8.7%	3 2.3%	11 4.0%	2.2%
	4.2 /0	J.Z /0	2.070	J.U /0	J.2 /0	7.5% G	1.070	3.070	1.7 /0	0.0%	2.1 /0	3.070	J. 1 /0	4.070	4.170	13.070	0.1 /0	2.370	4.070	2.2/0
	25	4	5	9	3	3	1	9	5	12	6	19	3	4	16	1	8	3	9	4
Low2Box (1-2)	3.6%	5.2%	2.8%	5.6%	2.6%	6.5%	0.8%	4.1%	1.7%	5.3%	1.8%	5.2%	3.4%	4.3%	3.5%	19.8%	7.4%	2.0%	3.5%	2.2%
	17	2	0	9	3	G 2	1	5	5	8	5	13	3	2	11	1	7	3	6	1
LowBox (1)	2.5%	2.9%	-	5.6%	2.6%	4.9%	0.8%	2.5%	1.7%	3.5%	1.4%	3.5%	3.4%	2.0%	2.4%	19.8%	6.4%	2.0%	2.2%	0.5%
				C	,	CG											T			
Mean	8.2	8.3	8	8.3	8.2	7.9	8.5	8.1	8.4	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	7.9	7.8	8.6	8.1	8.4
Std. Dev.	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.3	0.5	F 1.9	2.3		2.4	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	3.9	2.7	2.1	0.0	10
	22	ック	ı 21 l	74	23	2.5	1.9	23	2	2.4	1 21	1 23	23	23	2.2	3.9	1 2.7	ı 21	2.2	1.9

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 42 of 88

Q14_05. [Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation authorities to protect wildlife habitats along the waterfront] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement' significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg	gion	_			Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Created a wetland in cooperation with conservation authorities to protect wildlife																				
habitats along the waterfront																				
	270	28	71	65	39	19	48	66	112	91	105	165	33	44	164	4	38	71	98	56
10 - Significantly Improves	38.5%	36.9%	40.5%	40.2%	39.5%	37.9%	34.3%	31.4%	41.1%	42.0%	31.2%	45.2% K	45.0%	42.2%	36.4%	84.4%	36.3%	51.8% ST	36.2%	31.7%
	87	10	18	32	6	5	16	30	28	29	48	38	8	9	60	1	9	13	39	25
9	12.4%	13.6%	10.2%	19.9%	6.0%	9.4%	11.2%	14.1%	10.3%	13.3%	14.4%	10.5%	11.0%	8.2%	13.4%	15.6%	8.4%	9.3%	14.5%	14.1%
	128	16	31	EF 24	25	9	24	39	53	36	59	69	8	18	89	0	16	18	56	37
8	18.2%	20.1%	17.4%	14.7%	25.7%	18.4%	17.1%	18.5%	19.5%	16.4%	17.6%	18.9%	11.4%	17.5%	19.8%	-	14.9%	12.8%	20.8%	21.1%
_	10.270	20.170	17.170	1 111 70	20.770	10.170	111170	10.070	10.070	10.170	17.070	10.070	111.170	11.070	10.070		1 1.070	12.070	20.070	21.170
	81	9	24	8	8	4	27	29	28	23	45	35	7	12	58	0	13	17	22	29
7	11.5%	11.9%	13.5%	5.1%	8.5%	8.3%	19.3% DF	13.9%	10.3%	10.6%	13.5%	9.7%	9.8%	11.6%	12.8%	-	12.3%	12.1%	8.3%	16.2% S
	32	4	10	4	3	3	9	16	10	6	18	14	4	6	17	0	4	2	15	10
6	4.6%	5.7%	5.4%	2.6%	2.6%	6.0%	6.3%	7.7%	3.6%	2.9%	5.3%	4.0%	5.8%	5.9%	3.7%	-	4.1%	1.4%	5.6%	5.5%
5 - Does not change	78 11.1%	9.1%	15 8.7%	26 16.2%	11 11.1%	6 12.1%	12 8.8%	25 11.7%	31 11.3%	22 10.2%	50 14.8%	28 7.7%	9 11.9%	11 10.4%	45 10.0%	-	21 19.9%	16 11.6%	9.0%	15 8.6%
3 - Does not change	11.1%	9.1%	8.7%	16.2%	11.1%	12.1%	8.8%	11.7%	11.3%	10.2%	14.8% L	7.7%	11.9%	10.4%	10.0%	-	19.9% ST	11.6%	9.0%	8.6%
	8	1	3	0	0	1	3	2	6	0	2	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	3	4
4	1.1%	1.6%	1.5%	-	-	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%	2.1%	0.1%	0.5%	1.7%	-	-	1.7%	-	0.4%	-	1.1%	2.4%
	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
3	0.2%	-	-	-	1.2%	0.6%	-	-	-	0.7%	0	0.4%	1.7%	-	0.1%	-	1.2%	-	0.1%	-
					,	0.070				,.										
	7	1	2	2	2	0	0	2	4	1	5	2	0	0	7	0	1	0	6	0
2	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.7%	-	1.1%	1.4%	0.4%	1.6%	0.5%	-	-	1.6%	-	0.8%	-	2.3%	-
	10	0	2	0	4	3	1	2	1	7	4	6	3	4	2	0	2	1	5	1
1 - Significantly worsens	1.4%	-	1.2%	-	3.9%	5.1%	0.8%	0.8%	0.2%	3.3%	1.1%	1.6%	3.4%	4.2%	0.5%	-	1.8%	1.1%	2.0%	0.4%
						BCDG				I				0						-
(DK/NS)	0	0	0	0	0	0.3%	0	0	0	0.1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(Bitito)						0.070				0.170	Ů									
Summary																				
TP (40)	270	28	71	65	39	19	48	66	112	91	105	165	33	44	164	4	38	71	98	56
TopBox (10)	38.5%	36.9%	40.5%	40.2%	39.5%	37.9%	34.3%	31.4%	41.1%	42.0%	31.2%	45.2% K	45.0%	42.2%	36.4%	84.4%	36.3%	51.8% ST	36.2%	31.7%
	356	39	89	97	45	23	64	96	141	120	154	203	41	53	224	5	47	84	137	81
Top2Box (9-10)	50.9%	50.5%	50.8%	60.1%	45.4%	47.3%	45.6%	45.5%	51.5%	55.3%	45.6%	55.7%	56.1%	50.3%	49.8%	100.0%	44.7%	61.1%	50.7%	45.7%
	484	54	120	F 121	70	32	88	135	194	156	213	K 272	49	71	313	5	63	102	194	118
Top3Box (8-10)	69.1%	70.6%	68.2%	74.8%	71.1%	65.7%	62.7%	64.1%	70.9%	71.7%	63.2%	74.6%	67.4%	67.8%	69.6%	100.0%	59.6%	73.9%	71.5%	66.8%
												K								
Low2Poy (1.2)	18	1	4	2	7	3	1	4	5	10	9	9	4	4	10	0	4	1	12	1
Low3Box (1-3)	2.6%	1.0%	2.6%	1.3%	6.7% G	6.4% BDG	0.8%	1.9%	1.7%	4.4%	2.7%	2.5%	5.1%	4.2%	2.1%	-	3.7%	1.1%	4.4%	0.4%
	17	1	4	2	5	3	1	4	5	8	9	8	3	4	9	0	3	1	12	1
Low2Box (1-2)	2.4%	1.0%	2.6%	1.3%	5.4%	5.8%	0.8%	1.9%	1.7%	3.7%	2.7%	2.1%	3.4%	4.2%	2.1%	-	2.6%	1.1%	4.3%	0.4%
	10	0	2	0	4	BDG 3	1	2	1	7	4	6	3	4	2	0	2	1	5	1
LowBox (1)	1.4%	-	1.2%	-	3.9%	5.1%	0.8%	0.8%	0.2%	3.3%	1.1%	1.6%	3.4%	4.2%	0.5%	-	1.8%	1.1%	2.0%	0.4%
• *			,.			BCDG				I				0						
Mean	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.3	8	7.8	8.1	8	8.2	8.2	7.9	8.4	8.1	8.1	8.2	9.8	7.8	8.6	8.1	8.1
	2.1	1.0	2	1.0	2.4	2.5	1.0	2	2	2.2	2.1	K	2.2	2.2	2	0.4	2.2	Q	2.2	1.0
Std. Dev. Std. Err.	2.1 0.1	1.8 0.2	0.2	1.9 0.2	2.4 0.3	2.5 0.1	1.9 0.2	2 0.1	0.1	2.2 0.1	2.1 0.1	0.1	2.3 0.3	2.3 0.2	0.1	0.4	2.3 0.2	1.9 0.2	2.2 0.1	1.8 0.1
O.G. E	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 43 of 88

Q14_06. [Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	gion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	- 1	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75 77*	75	75	76 98*	300 49	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448 449	5 5**	99	133	263	197
Weighted Owns and operates Billy Bishop Toronto City	701	11"	175*	161*	98	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5	106*	137*	271	177
Airport																				
40 Circiffeedh Issania	149	18	36	41	20	9	26	23	73	54	58	91	17	18	101	1	23	41	51	31
10 - Significantly Improves	21.3%	23.6%	20.5%	25.5%	20.0%	18.0%	18.3%	10.9%	26.6% H	24.8% H	17.2%	25.1%	23.2%	17.4%	22.5%	19.7%	21.7%	30.0% T	19.0%	17.5%
	48	1	12	13	9	3	10	18	20	11	25	23	10	3	31	0	8	8	23	8
9	6.8%	1.6%	6.7%	8.1%	9.4% B	5.7%	7.0%	8.4%	7.2%	4.9%	7.5%	6.2%	13.2%	3.1%	6.9%	2.5%	7.6%	6.1%	8.7%	4.4%
	84	10	20	13	15	5	21	13	37	35	40	44	9	12	54	2	11	15	27	29
8	11.9%	12.4%	11.4%	8.0%	15.5%	10.6%	15.0%	6.0%	13.4%	15.9%	11.8%	12.0%	11.7%	11.1%	12.1%	42.4%	10.2%	10.6%	10.0%	16.5%
	64	7	14	17	11	4	12	31	H 15	H 18	35	29	6	9	39	0	9	16	30	9
7	9.1%	9.4%	7.7%	10.3%	11.0%	7.9%	8.5%	15.0%	5.4%	8.2%	10.3%	8.0%	8.4%	9.0%	8.7%	-	8.5%	11.4%	11.1%	5.3%
	53	8	23	11	6	2	4	1 21	21	11	22	30	5	10	34	1	2	5	29	16
6	7.6%	10.3%	12.9%	6.8%	5.7%	4.4%	2.6%	9.9%	7.7%	5.1%	6.7%	8.3%	6.6%	9.5%	7.5%	15.6%	2.1%	3.9%	10.7%	8.9%
		G	FG																Q	
5 - Does not change	247 35.2%	28 36.0%	59 33.8%	56 34.7%	32 33.1%	18 36.9%	53 38.0%	88 41.6%	91 33.1%	69 31.6%	131 38.9%	116 31.8%	19 26.0%	47 45.1%	153 34.1%	1 19.8%	39 37.4%	42 30.9%	95 34.9%	66 37.2%
		00.070	00.070	01.170	00.170	00.070	00.070	11.070		01.070	00.070		20.070	10.170		10.070	01.170	00.070	01.070	01.270
,	7	0	0	2	0	1	4	4	2	2	6	2	0	0	7	0	2	0	2	3
4	1.0%	-	-	1.3%	-	1.9% C	3.0%	1.7%	0.7%	0.8%	1.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	1.5%	-	2.3%	0.1%	0.6%	1.7%
	11	2	3	2	1	1	1	0	6	5	1	9	2	0	8	0	2	3	4	2
3	1.5%	2.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.2%	2.3%	1.0%	-	2.2%	2.2%	0.4%	2.6%	3.2%	0.1%	1.8%	-	2.2%	2.0%	1.4%	1.0%
	5	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	1	1	2	4	0	1	4	0	0	0	2	3
2	0.7%	1.6%	-	-	-	2.4%	2.0%	1.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%	1.0%	-	1.4%	0.8%	-	0.3%	-	0.7%	1.7%
	31	2	7	6	4	CDE 5	6	11	9	11	16	14	5	3	18	0	8	7	6	10
1 - Significantly worsens	4.4%	2.9%	4.2%	3.8%	4.1%	9.2%	4.6%	5.1%	3.3%	5.0%	4.9%	3.9%	7.4%	3.0%	4.0%	-	7.7%	5.0%	2.0%	5.7%
	2	0	2	0	0	B 0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	S 0	0	2	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	-	1.2%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	0.1%	1.0%	0.1%	0.6%	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-	0.9%	0.1%
Summary	149	18	36	41	20	9	26	23	73	54	58	91	17	18	101	1	23	41	51	31
TopBox (10)	21.3%	23.6%	20.5%	25.5%	20.0%	18.0%	18.3%	10.9%	26.6%	24.8%	17.2%	25.1%	23.2%	17.4%	22.5%	19.7%	21.7%	30.0%	19.0%	17.5%
	197		48	54			36		H 92	H 64		114		22				T 50		39
Top2Box (9-10)	28.1%	19 25.2%	48 27.2%	33.6%	29 29.4%	12 23.8%	25.4%	41 19.3%	33.8%	29.6%	83 24.7%	31.3%	27 36.4%	20.5%	132 29.4%	1 22.2%	31 29.3%	36.1%	75 27.7%	21.9%
· · · ·									Н									Т		
Top3Box (8-10)	281 40.1%	29 37.5%	68 38.6%	67 41.6%	44 44.9%	17 34.4%	57 40.3%	53 25.3%	129 47.2%	99 45.5%	123 36.6%	158 43.4%	35 48.1%	33 31.7%	187 41.5%	3 64.6%	42 39.5%	64 46.7%	102 37.7%	68 38.4%
., (2.12)				41.070	44.070	54.470			Н	Н			40.170	51.770		04.070	55.570		51.170	
Low3Box (1-3)	47	5	10	9	5	7	11	14	16	17	20	27	8	5	30	0	11	10	11	15
LOWSBOX (1-3)	6.6%	6.8%	5.7%	5.4%	5.4%	13.9% CDE	7.6%	6.5%	5.8%	7.8%	5.8%	7.4%	10.6%	4.5%	6.7%	 	10.2%	7.0%	4.1%	8.5%
	36	3	7	6	4	6	9	14	10	12	18	18	5	5	22	0	8	7	7	13
Low2Box (1-2)	5.1%	4.5%	4.2%	3.8%	4.1%	11.6% BCDE	6.6%	6.5%	3.6%	5.6%	5.4%	4.9%	7.4%	4.3%	4.9%	-	8.0%	5.0%	2.7%	7.4%
	31	2	7	6	4	5	6	11	9	11	16	14	5	3	18	0	8	7	6	10
LowBox (1)	4.4%	2.9%	4.2%	3.8%	4.1%	9.2% B	4.6%	5.1%	3.3%	5.0%	4.9%	3.9%	7.4%	3.0%	4.0%	-	7.7% S	5.0%	2.0%	5.7%
	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.9	6.9	6.2	6.6	6.2	7	6.9	6.6	6.9	6.9	6.4	6.8	7.5	6.5	7.1	6.8	6.4
Mean	0.7	0.1	0.7	6.9 F	F	0.2		0.2	H	6.9 H	0.0	0.5			0.0	1.5	0.5	7.1	0.0	0.4
Std. Dev.	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.7	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.2	2.4	1.9	2.7	2.6	2.2	2.4
Std. Err. Proportions/Maans: Columns Tasted (5% risk level) -	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 44 of 88

Q14_07. [Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air ambulance service.] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg	ion.				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
				Keg	Jon	Toronto -	Toronto -		Aye		Gei	iuci		IIICOIIIC				Luucation		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/F ofessiona
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Operates Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, used often in medical emergencies by Ontario's air ambulance service.																				
anibulance service.	288	30	61	76	49	18	53	68	118	102	119	169	26	47	181	2	52	65	111	55
10 - Significantly Improves	41.1%	39.3%	34.8%	47.4%	50.1% F	37.0%	37.6%	32.5%	43.1%	46.8% H	35.4%	46.3% K	35.7%	44.8%	40.3%	42.0%	49.6% T	47.5%	40.9%	31.3%
	77	9	15	28	9	3	13	28	29	20	43	33	13	9	46	0	10	23	21	22
9	10.9%	11.5%	8.5%	17.1% F	9.4%	5.5%	9.6%	13.4%	10.6%	9.0%	12.9%	9.2%	17.8%	8.6%	10.1%	-	9.6%	16.9% S	7.8%	12.7%
	108	13	44	10	10	8	21	35	43	30	56	52	14	19	69	3	10	12	47	34
8	15.4%	17.5% D	25.2% DE	6.4%	10.6%	16.6% D	15.3%	16.6%	15.7%	13.9%	16.5%	14.4%	18.6%	17.9%	15.4%	58.0%	9.6%	9.0%	17.4%	19.2%
	71	10	16	16	11	5	13	33	25	13	35	36	6	8	44	0	6	8	36	19
7	10.1%	12.4%	9.3%	9.8%	11.3%	10.8%	8.9%	15.7% J	9.1%	5.8%	10.3%	9.9%	8.4%	8.0%	9.7%	-	5.7%	5.7%	13.5%	10.8%
	35	3	9	4	4	2	12	18	6	11	19	16	3	2	28	0	10	2	8	15
6	5.0%	4.5%	5.4%	2.3%	3.9%	4.5%	8.9%	8.7%	2.1%	5.1%	5.7%	4.4%	4.5%	1.6%	6.3%	-	9.6% RS	1.4%	2.9%	8.7% RS
	89	11	23	19	8	10	19	20	41	27	50	38	9	14	59	0	9	21	32	25
5 - Does not change	12.6%	13.8%	12.9%	11.6%	8.0%	19.6% E	13.7%	9.6%	15.1%	12.4%	15.0%	10.5%	11.8%	13.0%	13.0%	ž	8.9%	15.5%	11.6%	14.3%
	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	1	0	3	1	0	2	0	1	2	0	0
4	0.4%	-	-	-	1.2%	0.4%	1.2%	0.8%	0.1%	0.6%	-	0.9%	1.7%	-	0.4%	-	1.2%	1.3%	0.1%	-
	4	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	2	1
3	0.6%	1.0%	-	-	-	0.6%	2.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	1.2%	-	-	0.1%	0.8%	-	0.9%	-	0.6%	0.8%
	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
2	0.3%	-	-	-	1.3%	1.6%	-	-	0.7%	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	-	0.5%	0.4%	-	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%
	00		4	9	4	CDG 1	4	4		40		4.4	1		45	0	5	4	40	4
1 - Significantly worsens	23 3.2%	-	4 2.6%	5.3%	4.2%	3.1%	2.8%	2.0%	8 3.0%	10 4.7%	9 2.6%	14 3.8%	1.6%	6 5.5%	15 3.4%	-	4.5%	2.6%	10 3.9%	2.1%
	2	0	2	0	0	B 0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
(DK/NS)	0.3%	=	1.2%	-	-	0.3%	€.	-	-	1.1%	-	0.6%	-	÷	-	-	-	=	0.9%	-
Summary											<u> </u>									
	288	30	61	76	49	18	53	68	118	102	119	169	26	47	181	2	52	65	111	55
TopBox (10)	41.1%	39.3%	34.8%	47.4%	50.1% F	37.0%	37.6%	32.5%	43.1%	46.8% H	35.4%	46.3% K	35.7%	44.8%	40.3%	42.0%	49.6% T	47.5% T	40.9%	31.3%
	365	39	76	104	58	21	66	96	147	121	162	202	39	56	227	2	62	89	132	78
Top2Box (9-10)	52.0%	50.8%	43.3%	64.5% CFG	59.5% F	42.5%	47.2%	45.9%	53.7%	55.8%	48.2%	55.5%	53.5%	53.4%	50.5%	42.0%	59.2%	64.4% ST	48.7%	43.9%
	473	53	120	114	69	29	88	131	190	152	218	255	53	75	296	5	73	101	179	112
Top3Box (8-10)	67.4%	68.3%	68.6%	70.9%	70.1%	59.1%	62.5%	62.4%	69.4%	69.7%	64.8%	69.8%	72.1%	71.3%	65.8%	100.0%	68.9%	73.4%	66.1%	63.2%
	29	1	4	9	5	3	7	6	11	11	14	14	1	6	21	0	6	4	13	5
Low3Box (1-3)	4.1%	1.0%	2.6%	5.3%	5.5%	5.3% B	4.8%	2.7%	4.2%	5.3%	4.2%	3.9%	1.6%	6.0%	4.6%	-	5.7%	2.7%	5.0%	3.0%
	25	0	4	9	5	2	4	4	10	11	10	14	1	6	17	0	5	4	12	4
Low2Box (1-2)	3.5%	-	2.6%	5.3%	5.5%	4.7% B	2.8%	2.0%	3.6%	4.9%	3.1%	3.9%	1.6%	5.9%	3.8%	-	4.8%	2.7%	4.4%	2.2%
	23	0	4	9	4	1	4	4	8	10	9	14	1	6	15	0	5	4	10	4
LowBox (1)	3.2%	-	2.6%	5.3%	4.2%	3.1% B	2.8%	2.0%	3.0%	4.7%	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%	5.5%	3.4%	-	4.5%	2.6%	3.9%	2.1%
Mean	8.1	8.2	8	8.3	8.2	7.7	7.8	8	8.1	8.1	7.9	8.2	8.2	8.1	8	8.8	8.1	8.3	8	7.8
**		F	1		1	1			l		I .	1				l				
Std. Dev.	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	2	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.3	2	2.5	2.3	1.1	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 45 of 88

Q14_08. [Purchases all of its power from renewable sources.] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reç	jion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	- 1	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents Weighted	701 701	75 77*	75 175*	75 161*	76 98*	300 49	100 140*	176 210	263 273	262 217	358 336	343 365	70 73*	115 105*	448 449	5 5**	99 106*	133 137*	263 271	197 177
Purchases all of its power from renewable	701	11	175	101	90	49	140	210	213	217	336	363	73	105	449	5	106	131	211	177
sources.																				
40. 0:	256	27	52	61	48	17	52	71	107	78	95	162	23	41	167	3	40	60	92	59
10 - Significantly Improves	36.6%	35.0%	29.8%	37.5%	48.8% CF	35.1%	36.8%	33.9%	39.2%	35.9%	28.1%	44.4% K	31.9%	39.1%	37.2%	62.1%	38.0%	43.6%	33.9%	33.7%
_	66	11	17	17	6	5	10	28	25	13	42	24	13	1	40	0	8	6	31	20
9	9.4%	14.6%	9.6%	10.4%	6.3%	9.7%	7.1%	13.3% J	9.0%	5.9%	12.4%	6.5%	17.4% N	1.1%	9.0% N	2.5%	7.5%	4.3%	11.6%	11.4%
	110	11	36	22	9	6	27	36	40	34	41	69	12	22	70	0	10	22	47	31
8	15.7%	14.0%	20.6%	13.4%	9.4%	12.1%	18.9%	17.3%	14.5%	15.7%	12.2%	18.9%	16.6%	21.2%	15.6%	-	9.9%	15.9%	17.5%	17.3%
	66	5	21	17	4	5	15	19	26	21	35	31	7	8	43	0	12	9	21	24
7	9.4%	6.1%	11.9%	10.3%	4.2%	10.5%	10.4%	9.2%	9.4%	9.6%	10.4%	8.5%	9.5%	8.1%	9.6%	-	10.9%	6.7%	7.7%	13.8%
	26	8	2	2	5	E 4	4	8	9	8	18	8	4	4	14	1	2	7	14	1
6	3.7%	10.1%	1.3%	1.0%	5.4%	8.9%	3.0%	3.9%	3.4%	3.7%	5.2%	2.2%	5.8%	3.6%	3.1%	15.6%	1.8%	5.2%	5.1%	0.6%
		CDG				CDG		0.5										T	T	
5 - Does not change	116 16.6%	15 19.2%	25 14.1%	30 18.7%	16 15.9%	8 16.2%	23 16.3%	25 11.8%	49 17.8%	43 19.6%	71 21.1%	45 12.3%	10 13.8%	16 15.4%	78 17.4%	1 19.8%	24 22.6%	22 16.1%	43 15.8%	25 14.1%
<u> </u>											L									
4	9	0	3 1.5%	1.3%	4 4.1%	1.2%	0 -	3 1.6%	5 1.7%	0.7%	4 1.1%	6 1.5%	-	3.3%	4 1.0%	0 -	1.3%	2 1.1%	3 1.2%	1.2%
·	1.470		1.576	1.576	G G	1.276		1.076	1.7 70	0.776	1.176	1.576		3.376	1.076		1.376	1.170	1.270	1.270
	13	1	5	2	1	0	4	6	4	3	11	2	2	0	11	0	1	3	4	6
3	1.9%	1.0%	2.6%	1.5%	1.0%	0.6%	2.8%	2.9%	1.5%	1.4%	3.2%	0.7%	3.1%	0.2%	2.4%	-	0.8%	1.9%	1.4%	3.3%
	7	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	1	6	1	2	3	0	1	0	3	3
2	1.0%	-	2.5%	-	2.3%	0.7%	-	-	0.1%	3.0% HI	0.3%	1.6%	1.7%	2.1%	0.6%	-	1.2%	-	0.9%	1.8%
	18	0	5	2	3	2	7	10	5	3	16	2	0	2	14	0	2	5	6	5
1 - Significantly worsens	2.6%	-	2.6%	1.3%	2.6%	4.3% B	4.8%	4.9%	1.7%	1.5%	4.7% L	0.6%	0.2%	1.9%	3.1%	-	1.6%	3.8%	2.3%	2.8%
	14	0	6	7	0	0	0	3	5	6	4	10	0	4	5	0	5	2	7	0
(DK/NS)	1.9%	-	3.5%	4.4%	-	0.6%	-	1.2%	1.7%	3.0%	1.2%	2.7%	-	4.2%	1.0%	-	4.4%	1.3%	2.6%	0.1%
Summary																	Т			
Cummary	256	27	52	61	48	17	52	71	107	78	95	162	23	41	167	3	40	60	92	59
TopBox (10)	36.6%	35.0%	29.8%	37.5%	48.8% CF	35.1%	36.8%	33.9%	39.2%	35.9%	28.1%	44.4% K	31.9%	39.1%	37.2%	62.1%	38.0%	43.6%	33.9%	33.7%
	322	38	69	77	54	22	61	99	132	91	136	185	36	42	207	3	48	66	123	80
Top2Box (9-10)	45.9%	49.6%	39.3%	47.9%	55.1%	44.8%	43.9%	47.2%	48.2%	41.8%	40.6%	50.9%	49.4%	40.1%	46.2%	64.6%	45.5%	47.9%	45.5%	45.0%
	432	49	105	99	63	28	88	136	171	125	178	K 254	48	64	278	3	58	88	170	110
Top3Box (8-10)	61.6%	63.6%	59.9%	61.3%	64.5%	56.9%	62.8%	64.5%	62.7%	57.6%	52.8%	69.8%	65.9%	61.3%	61.8%	64.6%	55.4%	63.7%	63.0%	62.4%
	38	1	14	5	6	3	11	16	9	13	28	K 11	4	4	27	0	4	8	13	14
Low3Box (1-3)	5.5%	1.0%	7.7%	2.9%	5.9%	5.7%	7.6%	7.8%	3.3%	5.9%	8.2%	2.9%	5.0%	4.1%	6.1%	-	3.6%	5.8%	4.7%	7.9%
						В					L									
Low2Box (1-2)	25 3.6%	-	9 5.1%	1.3%	5 4.9%	5.0%	7 4.8%	10 4.9%	5 1.8%	10 4.5%	17 5.1%	8 2.2%	1 1.8%	4.0%	17 3.7%	0 -	3 2.8%	5 3.8%	9 3.2%	8 4.6%
· · · · · · · ·						В														
LowBox (1)	18 2.6%	0	5 2.6%	1.3%	3 2.6%	2	7 4.8%	10 4.9%	5 1.7%	3 1.5%	16 4.7%	2 0.6%	0.2%	1.9%	14 3.1%	0	1.6%	5 3.8%	6 2.3%	5 2.8%
LOWDON (1)	2.0%	-	2.0%	1.5%	2.0%	4.3% B	4.8%	4.9%	1.7%	1.5%	4.7% L	0.0%	0.2%	1.9%	3.1%	-	1.0%	3.8%	2.5%	2.8%
Mean	7.8	8	7.6	7.9	7.9	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.9	7.6	7.3	8.2	7.9	7.8	7.7	8.4	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.7
Std. Dev.	2.4	2	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.5	K 2.1	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4
Std. Dev. Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) -	-		•	T Overlap form														-	-	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 46 of 88

Q14_09. [Is making long-term investments in improving environmental sustainabilitypractices.] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н		J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448 449	5 5**	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Is making long-term investments in improving environmental sustainability practices.																				
	235	27	55	49	38	15	50	69	95	70	82	152	32	43	136	3	33	58	91	47
10 - Significantly Improves	33.5%	34.4%	31.6%	30.7%	39.2%	30.9%	35.4%	33.0%	34.8%	32.2%	24.4%	41.8% K	43.4%	41.3%	30.2%	62.1%	30.9%	42.1% T	33.8%	26.9%
	57	4	10	18	7	4	14	19	20	18	37	20	9	5	40	0	11	10	23	13
9	8.1%	5.5%	5.4%	11.3%	7.3%	7.1%	10.3%	8.9%	7.4%	8.3%	11.0%	5.5%	12.5%	4.6%	9.0%	-	10.4%	7.3%	8.6%	7.2%
											L									
8	131 18.7%	15 19.4%	38 21.8%	35 21.4%	16 16.4%	10 19.7%	18 12.6%	35	56 20.3%	40 18.6%	58 17.2%	73 20.1%	14 19.1%	14 13.1%	94 20.9%	0 2.5%	12 11.5%	30 22.1%	53 19.5%	35 19.6%
8	18.7%	19.4%	21.8%	21.4%	16.4%	19.7%	12.6%	16.7%	20.3%	18.6%	17.2%	20.1%	19.1%	13.1%	20.9%	2.5%	11.5%	22.1%	19.5%	19.6%
	85	13	24	18	10	5	16	34	33	18	37	48	0	15	57	0	10	10	32	32
7	12.1%	17.1%	13.4%	10.9%	9.8%	9.9%	11.5%	16.0%	12.2%	8.2%	11.0%	13.1%	0.2%	14.3%	12.8%	-	9.1%	7.6%	11.7%	18.1%
		_	_					_						М	M				_	R
6	32 4.5%	5 6.5%	7 3.9%	2.3%	3 2.6%	4 8.1%	10 7.0%	9 4.4%	4.0%	12 5.3%	20 6.0%	12 3.2%	2.4%	6 5.8%	17 3.9%	1 15.6%	5 5.0%	5 3.4%	9 3.5%	12 6.6%
0	4.5%	0.5%	3.9%	2.3%	2.0%	0.1% DE	7.0%	4.476	4.076	3.3%	0.0%	3.2%	2.476	3.0%	3.9%	13.0%	5.0%	3.4%	3.3%	0.0%
	124	10	37	27	17	8	24	31	44	49	76	48	15	14	78	1	24	20	54	25
5 - Does not change	17.7%	13.0%	21.3%	16.7%	17.1%	16.7%	17.5%	14.6%	16.0%	22.6%	22.6%	13.1%	20.0%	13.0%	17.3%	19.8%	22.6%	14.7%	19.9%	14.0%
	12	1	2	-	3	0		5	5	2	6	6	0	4	8	0	2	0	3	6
4	1.8%	1.6%	1.2%	5 2.9%	2.6%	1.0%	1.0%	2.2%	2.0%	1.1%	1.8%	1.7%	-	4.3%	1.8%	-	2.4%	-	0.9%	3.5%
·	1.070	1.070	1.270	2.570	2.070	1.070	1.070	2.270	2.070	1.170	1.070	1.770		4.070	1.070		2.470		0.570	3.370
	5	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	2
3	0.7%	1.0%	-	-	1.0%	0.7%	1.8%	0.7%	0.1%	1.4%	1.3%	0.1%	-	0.3%	0.7%	-	1.1%	-	0.5%	1.2%
	-	0		0	1	0	1	0	3	1	5	0		0	-	0	0	0	2	2
2	5 0.7%	0 -	-	1.3%	1.0%	0.5%	1.0%	0 -	1.3%	0.6%	1.4%	- 0	-	0.1%	5 1.0%	-	0.1%	0 -	0.8%	1.4%
-	0.770			1.570	1.070	0.070	1.070		1.570	0.070	1.470			0.170	1.070		0.170		0.070	1.470
	16	1	2	4	3	3	3	7	5	3	10	5	2	3	11	0	7	4	2	3
1 - Significantly worsens	2.3%	1.6%	1.3%	2.6%	2.9%	5.5%	2.0%	3.5%	1.9%	1.5%	3.1%	1.5%	2.4%	3.2%	2.4%	-	6.9%	2.9%	0.8%	1.5%
Summary						С							ļ				S			
Culturary	235	27	55	49	38	15	50	69	95	70	82	152	32	43	136	3	33	58	91	47
TopBox (10)	33.5%	34.4%	31.6%	30.7%	39.2%	30.9%	35.4%	33.0%	34.8%	32.2%	24.4%	41.8%	43.4%	41.3%	30.2%	62.1%	30.9%	42.1%	33.8%	26.9%
												K						Т		
Top2Box (9-10)	292 41.6%	31 39.8%	65 37.0%	68 42.0%	46 46.5%	19 38.1%	64 45.7%	88 41.9%	115 42.2%	88 40.6%	119 35.4%	172 47.3%	41 55.9%	48 45.9%	176 39.2%	3 62.1%	44 41.4%	68 49.4%	115 42.4%	60 34.1%
10p2B0X (5 10)	41.076	33.076	37.076	42.076	40.378	30.176	43.770	41.570	42.270	40.076	33.470	K	0	43.376	33.270	02.176	41.470	T	42.470	34.176
	423	46	103	102	62	28	82	123	171	129	177	246	55	62	270	3	56	98	167	95
Top3Box (8-10)	60.3%	59.2%	58.8%	63.4%	62.9%	57.7%	58.3%	58.6%	62.6%	59.2%	52.7%	67.4%	75.0%	59.0%	60.1%	64.6%	52.9%	71.5%	61.9%	53.7%
	25	2	2	6	5	3	7	9	9	8	20	K 6	2	4	19	0	9	QT 4	6	7
Low3Box (1-3)	3.6%	2.6%	1.3%	3.8%	5.0%	6.6%	4.8%	4.2%	3.2%	3.5%	5.9%	1.6%	2.4%	3.6%	4.2%	-	8.1%	2.9%	2.1%	4.1%
` '						C					L						S			
	21	1	2	6	4	3	4	7	9	5	15	5	2	3	15	0	7	4	4	5
Low2Box (1-2)	3.0%	1.6%	1.3%	3.8%	3.9%	6.0% C	3.0%	3.5%	3.2%	2.1%	4.5%	1.5%	2.4%	3.3%	3.4%	-	7.0% S	2.9%	1.6%	2.9%
	16	1	2	4	3	3	3	7	5	3	10	5	2	3	11	0	7	4	2	3
LowBox (1)	2.3%	1.6%	1.3%	2.6%	2.9%	5.5%	2.0%	3.5%	1.9%	1.5%	3.1%	1.5%	2.4%	3.2%	2.4%	-	6.9%	2.9%	0.8%	1.5%
						С											S			
Mean	7.7	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.4	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.2	8.1	8.2	7.8	7.6	8.3	7.2	8.1	7.8	7.5
	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	K	0.0	0.4	0.0	2.4	0.7	QT	0.4	
Std. Dev. Std. Err.	2.2 0.1	2.1 0.2	2.1 0.2	2.3 0.3	2.4 0.3	2.5 0.1	2.3 0.2	2.3 0.2	0.1	2.3 0.1	2.4 0.1	0.1	2.2 0.3	2.4 0.2	2.2 0.1	2.4 1.1	2.7 0.3	2.2 0.2	2.1 0.1	2.2 0.2
Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) -											0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	14.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Ipsos 47 of 88

Q14_10. [Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reç	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents Weighted	701 701	75 77*	75 175*	75 161*	76 98*	300 49	100 140*	176 210	263 273	262 217	358 336	343 365	70 73*	115 105*	448 449	5 5**	99	133 137*	263 271	197 177
Is behind Sail-In Cinema, where movies are	701	11"	175*	161"	98"	49	140"	210	213	217	336	365	73"	105	449	5	106*	137"	2/1	177
projected onto a barge in the harbor near Sugar Beach and can be seen from land and nearby boats																				
	121	20	22	28	18	8	23	32	50	39	43	78	19	26	60	1	30	29	44	16
10 - Significantly Improves	17.2%	26.3% C	12.6%	17.6%	18.8%	17.1%	16.7%	15.2%	18.4%	17.8%	12.7%	21.4% K	25.8% O	25.0% O	13.4%	22.2%	28.9% ST	21.4% T	16.3%	8.9%
_	33	1	12	9	6	1	4	9	12	11	16	17	7	5	17	0	3	3	20	7
9	4.7%	1.0%	6.6%	5.7%	6.2%	2.8%	2.8%	4.3%	4.6%	5.2%	4.8%	4.6%	9.2%	4.4%	3.8%	-	2.5%	2.1%	7.4%	4.2%
	69	10	14	15	6	5	19	20	25	24	29	40	4	7	49	2	7	17	23	18
8	9.8%	12.7%	7.9%	9.3%	5.7%	10.4%	13.8%	9.4%	9.2%	11.0%	8.5%	11.0%	4.9%	7.1%	10.8%	42.4%	6.2%	12.4%	8.5%	10.1%
7	87 12.4%	12 15.6%	24 13.8%	13 8.0%	12 11.8%	7 13.7%	20 14.1%	32 15.2%	33 12.0%	22 10.3%	28 8.4%	59 16.1%	11 14.5%	8 7.9%	59 13.1%	0 -	12 10.9%	20 14.2%	36 13.2%	20 11.6%
	68	6	24	13	7	4	13	24	30	15	35	K 33	4	10	47	1	14	4	32	18
6	9.7%	8.4%	13.9%	7.8%	7.5%	8.8%	9.3%	11.3%	10.9%	6.7%	10.5%	9.0%	6.0%	9.1%	10.4%	15.6%	13.6%	2.7%	11.7%	10.0%
	232	19	56	62	37	16	42	61	87	84	125	107	23	41	153	0	R 29	45	R 87	71
5 - Does not change	33.1%	24.3%	31.9%	38.5%	38.0%	32.8%	29.8%	29.1%	31.8%	38.6%	37.2%	29.3%	31.8%	38.8%	34.1%	-	27.8%	32.9%	32.1%	40.0%
	31	3	12	9	2	2	4	12	15	4	22	9	2	3	22	0	4	6	10	10
4	4.4%	3.7%	6.8%	5.4%	1.6%	3.8%	3.0%	5.7%	5.7%	1.6%	6.5%	2.5%	3.1%	2.9%	4.8%	-	4.1%	4.7%	3.8%	5.6%
3	15 2.1%	1.6%	7 3.9%	1.0%	2 1.6%	1 2.3%	3 1.8%	4 2.1%	5 2.0%	5 2.4%	8 2.5%	6 1.8%	2 2.5%	3 2.8%	8 1.9%	-	3.3%	3 2.4%	4 1.5%	2.3%
_	10	2	0	2	2	1	3	3	3	4	6	3	0	0	10	0	1	0	5	3
2	1.4%	2.3%	-	1.3%	2.4%	1.9% C	2.0%	1.5%	1.0%	1.8%	1.9%	1.0%	-	-	2.2%	-	0.8%	0.1%	1.7%	1.7%
1 - Significantly worsens	33 4.6%	3 4.2%	1.3%	9 5.4%	6.5%	2 4.9%	10 6.8%	13 6.2%	12 4.5%	7 3.3%	23 6.9%	9 2.6%	2.3%	1.7%	25 5.5%	1 19.8%	1.9%	10 7.1%	8 2.9%	10 5.5%
	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	L 0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	-	1.2%	-	-	1.3%	-	-	0.1%	1.2%	0	0.7%	-	0.1%	0	-	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	0.1%
Summary																				
TopBox (10)	121 17.2%	20 26.3%	22 12.6%	28 17.6%	18 18.8%	8 17.1%	23 16.7%	32 15.2%	50 18.4%	39 17.8%	43 12.7%	78 21.4%	19 25.8%	26 25.0%	60 13.4%	1 22.2%	30 28.9%	29 21.4%	44 16.3%	16 8.9%
	154	C 21	34	38	25	10	27	41	63	50	59	K 95	O 26	O 31	77	1	ST 33	T 32	64	23
Top2Box (9-10)	21.9%	27.4%	19.2%	23.3%	25.0%	19.9%	19.4%	19.5%	22.9%	23.1%	17.5%	26.1% K	35.0% O	29.5% O	17.2%	22.2%	31.4% T	23.5%	23.7% T	13.1%
T. 0D. (0.40)	222	31	48	52	30	15	47	61	88	74	87	135	29	38	126	3	40	49	87	41
Top3Box (8-10)	31.7%	40.1%	27.1%	32.6%	30.6%	30.4%	33.2%	28.9%	32.1%	34.1%	26.0%	37.0% K	39.8%	36.6%	28.1%	64.6%	37.5% T	35.9%	32.2%	23.2%
Low3Box (1-3)	57 8.2%	6 8.1%	9 5.2%	12 7.7%	10 10.4%	5 9.2%	15 10.6%	21 9.8%	20 7.5%	16 7.5%	38 11.4%	19 5.3%	3 4.8%	5 4.5%	43 9.5%	1 19.8%	6 5.9%	13 9.6%	17 6.1%	17 9.5%
	43	5	2	11	9	3	12	16	15	11	L 30	13	2	2	34	1	3	10	13	13
Low2Box (1-2)	6.1%	6.5%	1.3%	6.7%	8.8% C	6.8% C	8.8% C	7.8%	5.5%	5.1%	8.8% L	3.5%	2.3%	1.7%	7.6%	19.8%	2.6%	7.2%	4.6%	7.2%
	33	3	2	9	6	2	10	13	12	7	23	9	2	2	25	1	2	10	8	10
LowBox (1)	4.6%	4.2%	1.3%	5.4%	6.5%	4.9%	6.8%	6.2%	4.5%	3.3%	6.9% L	2.6%	2.3%	1.7%	5.5%	19.8%	1.9%	7.1%	2.9%	5.5%
Mean	6.4	6.8	6.4	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.4	6.5	5.9	6.8	7	6.7	6.1	6.7	6.9	6.5	6.5	5.9
Std. Dev.	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	K 2.3	O 2.4	2.3	2.3	3.5	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.2
Std. Err.	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

psos 48 of 88

Q14_11. [Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13 leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement' significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	gion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income	<u> </u>		T	Education		Post-
		East York/		Scarboroug		South of	North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Graduate/
	Total A	York B	North York C	h D	Etobicoke F	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34 H	35-54	55+	Male K	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+	School	High School Q	College R	University	ofession
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Supports at-risk youth through the Room 13 leadership program at the Harbourfront Community Centre																				
10 - Significantly Improves	196 27.9%	27 35.2%	39 22.4%	48 29.9%	33 33.3%	12 24.6%	36 26.0%	51 24.3%	94 34.5%	50 23.1%	74 22.1%	121 33.3%	22 30.6%	34 32.9%	115 25.5%	2 39.6%	28 26.5%	50 36.1%	73 27.0%	41 23.3%
	21.570	33.270	22.470	25.570	33.370	24.070	20.070	24.070	J	20.170	22.170	K	30.070	32.370	20.070	33.070	20.070	30.170	21.070	20.070
	47	3	13	13	5	3	11	25	17	5	17	31	8	10	25	0	5	14	23	6
9	6.8%	4.2%	7.5%	8.1%	4.7%	5.6%	7.5%	11.8% J	6.3%	2.4%	4.9%	8.4%	10.6%	9.9%	5.6%	-	4.3%	9.8%	8.5%	3.5%
	122	9	39	31	10	8	24	37	41	43	48	74	10	19	82	2	13	21	52	33
8	17.4%	12.3%	22.3%	19.4%	10.1%	16.8%	17.1%	17.8%	15.1%	19.9%	14.4%	20.2%	13.9%	17.9%	18.3%	42.4%	12.6%	15.5%	19.2%	18.7%
7	91	6	28	22	14	6	15	34	32	25	59	32	7	7	70	1	16	13	29	31
7	13.0%	7.8%	15.7%	13.7%	14.6%	11.7%	10.9%	16.1%	11.8%	11.5%	17.6%	8.7%	10.1%	7.1%	15.5%	15.6%	15.5%	9.2%	10.6%	17.6%
	53	12	12	7	2	4	17	12	26	16	30	23	1	12	35	0	6	10	24	14
6	7.6%	15.5%	6.7%	4.1%	2.5%	8.1%	11.9%	5.5%	9.4%	7.4%	8.9%	6.4%	1.5%	11.6%	7.8%	-	5.3%	7.2%	8.8%	7.9%
		DE				E	E													1
5 - Does not change	142 20.3%	15 19.8%	31 17.7%	31 19.5%	21 21.4%	13 26.6%	30 21.7%	34 16.0%	49 17.8%	60 27.5%	82 24.5%	60 16.4%	17 23.2%	15 13.9%	95 21.2%	0 -	20 19.1%	25 18.4%	53 19.6%	43 24.1%
5 Does not change	20.3%	19.0%	17.770	19.5%	21.470	20.0%	21.170	10.0%	17.076	27.5% HI	24.5% L	10.4%	23.2%	13.9%	21.270	-	19.1%	10.476	19.0%	24.170
	9	1	0	0	4	0	3	3	3	3	3	5	1	1	4	0	3	2	2	3
4	1.2%	1.6%	-	-	4.0%	1.0%	2.0%	1.4%	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%	1.0%	-	2.5%	1.1%	0.6%	1.5%
	12	1	7	4	CD 0	0	0	7	0	5	8	4	2	2	6	0	5	0	5	2
3	1.7%	1.0%	3.9%	2.6%	-	1.0%	-	3.3%	0.1%	2.4%	2.3%	1.2%	3.1%	2.0%	1.3%	-	4.7%	-	1.9%	1.2%
	1.170	1.070	0.070	2.070		1.070		I	0.170	2.170	2.070	11.270	0.170	2.070	1.070		11.70		1.070	11270
_	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
2	0.5%	-	-	-	1.3%	1.1%	1.0%	0.6%	0.7%	-	0.8%	0.1%	-	0.2%	0.7%	-	0.2%	-	0.1%	0.8%
	19	1	2	5	7	1	3	7	9	3	10	8	4	3	12	0	7	2	7	2
1 - Significantly worsens	2.7%	1.6%	1.3%	2.8%	6.7%	2.5%	2.0%	3.1%	3.2%	1.5%	3.1%	2.3%	4.9%	3.0%	2.7%	-	7.1%	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
																	T			
(DK/NS)	7	1 100/	4	0	1	1 4 407	0	0	0	7	1 0.40/	6	0	0	2	0	2	1	2	1 0.50/
(DIVINO)	1.0%	1.0%	2.5%	-	1.2%	1.1%	-	-	-	3.2% HI	0.4%	1.6%	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%	2.5%	2.2%	1.0%	0.9%	0.5%
Summary																				
	196	27	39	48	33	12	36	51	94	50	74	121	22	34	115	2	28	50	73	41
TopBox (10)	27.9%	35.2%	22.4%	29.9%	33.3%	24.6%	26.0%	24.3%	34.5%	23.1%	22.1%	33.3% K	30.6%	32.9%	25.5%	39.6%	26.5%	36.1%	27.0%	23.3%
	243	30	53	61	37	15	47	76	112	55	91	152	30	45	140	2	32	63	96	47
Top2Box (9-10)	34.7%	39.4%	30.0%	37.9%	38.0%	30.1%	33.5%	36.1%	40.9%	25.5%	27.0%	41.7%	41.2%	42.9%	31.1%	39.6%	30.8%	45.9%	35.5%	26.8%
		- 40							J		400	K			200			T	440	
Top3Box (8-10)	365 52.1%	40 51.7%	92 52.2%	92 57.3%	47 48.1%	23 47.0%	71 50.6%	113 53.9%	153 55.9%	99 45.4%	139 41.4%	226 61.9%	40 55.1%	64 60.8%	222 49.4%	4 81.9%	46 43.4%	84 61.4%	148 54.7%	80 45.5%
10)	32.176	31.7%	32.270	31.3%	40.170	41.070	30.0%	33.9%	33.976	40.476	41.470	61.9% K	33.176	00.076	49.476	01.9%	43.476	QT	34.176	45.5%
	34	2	9	9	8	2	4	15	11	8	21	13	6	5	21	0	13	2	13	5
Low3Box (1-3)	4.9%	2.6%	5.2%	5.4%	8.1%	4.6%	3.0%	7.0%	4.0%	3.9%	6.3%	3.6%	8.0%	5.2%	4.6%	-	12.0%	1.7%	4.7%	2.9%
	22	1	2	5	8	2	4	8	11	3	13	9	4	3	15	0	RT 8	2	8	3
Low2Box (1-2)	3.1%	1.6%	1.3%	2.8%	8.1%	3.6%	3.0%	3.7%	3.9%	1.5%	3.9%	2.4%	4.9%	3.2%	3.4%	-	7.2%	1.7%	2.8%	1.7%
					C		5.5.5											,.		
	19	1	2	5	7	1	3	7	9	3	10	8	4	3	12	0	7	2	7	2
LowBox (1)	2.7%	1.6%	1.3%	2.8%	6.7%	2.5%	2.0%	3.1%	3.2%	1.5%	3.1%	2.3%	4.9%	3.0%	2.7%	-	7.1% T	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
	7.4	7.5	7.4	7.5	7.1	7.1	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.1	7	7.8	7.3	7.7	7.3	8.7	6.9	7.9	7.4	7.2
Mean	1.4	7.5	1.4	7.0	7.1	7.1	1.3	7.4	7.0	7.1		7.8 K	1.3	1.1	1.3	0.1	0.9	QT	7.4	1.2
Std. Dev.	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.3	2.2	1.3	2.7	2.2	2.3	2.1
Std. Err.	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 49 of 88

Q14_12. [Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the harbourfront community] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Re	gion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income			1	Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75 77*	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Sponsors festivals and exhibits within the harbourfront community																				
	174	28	36	42	24	12	32	46	84	45	76	98	17	31	108	2	34	50	58	31
10 - Significantly Improves	24.8%	36.7% CFG	20.3%	26.2%	24.2%	24.3%	22.9%	21.7%	30.6% J	20.6%	22.7%	26.8%	22.5%	29.2%	24.0%	39.6%	32.0%	36.1%	21.3%	17.5%
	54	2	14	17	7	2	12	30	16	8	34	20	7	7	37	0	3	ST 6	28	17
9	7.7%	2.9%	8.0%	10.5%	6.8%	4.9%	8.2%	14.2%	5.7%	3.9%	10.1%	5.4%	9.1%	6.5%	8.3%	2.5%	3.0%	4.3%	10.2%	9.5%
	440	44	20	04	40		40	IJ	40	40		04	40	47			04	0.4	44	200
8	113 16.2%	14 17.6%	32 18.5%	21 13.1%	19 19.6%	8 16.1%	19 13.6%	33 15.9%	40 14.7%	40 18.4%	53 15.7%	61 16.6%	13 18.3%	17 16.2%	69 15.4%	2 42.4%	21 19.9%	24 17.4%	44 16.3%	20 11.5%
_	10.270	11.070	10.070	10.170	10.070	10.170	10.070	10.070	1 11.7 70	10.170	10.770	10.070	10.070	10.270	10.170	12.170	10.070	171170	10.070	11.070
_	110	9	31	20	14	9	27	32	45	33	45	65	8	9	79	0	15	18	36	41
7	15.7%	11.5%	17.7%	12.6%	14.4%	17.5%	19.3%	15.3%	16.4%	15.2%	13.4%	17.8%	11.1%	8.9%	17.6%	-	14.4%	12.9%	13.2%	23.4% S
	53	10	16	17	1	3	6	13	25	15	31	22	8	6	33	1	9	8	20	15
6	7.5%	12.5%	9.2%	10.3%	1.3%	5.4%	4.6%	6.2%	9.2%	6.8%	9.2%	6.0%	11.5%	5.9%	7.4%	15.6%	8.8%	5.8%	7.3%	8.5%
	149	E 12	37	E 28	25	12	35	41	50	58	68	81	16	24	96		12	30	63	43
5 - Does not change	21.2%	15.1%	20.9%	17.3%	25.9%	23.7%	25.3%	19.3%	18.4%	26.7%	20.1%	22.2%	21.7%	22.6%	21.4%	-	10.9%	21.9%	23.2%	24.4%
, and the second																			Q	Q
	20	0	5	10	1	0	4	7	7	6	14	7	3	4	14	0	8	0	7	6
4	2.9%	-	2.9%	6.0%	1.2%	0.7%	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%	2.9%	4.1%	1.9%	3.9%	3.8%	3.0%	-	7.3% R	-	2.7%	3.1%
	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
3	0.4%	1.3%	-	1.0%	-	0.6%	-	0.5%	-	0.9%	0.8%	0	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	1.1%	-
	9	2	2	0	3	1	1	4	1	3	6	3	0	4	4	0	2	1	3	0
2	1.2%	2.3%	1.2%	-	2.6%	1.4%	1.0%	2.1%	0.4%	1.4%	1.8%	0.7%	-	3.5%	0.9%	-	2.2%	1.0%	1.0%	-
										,.				0.0.0	0.070					
	10	0	0	2	4	3	1	0	6	3	6	3	1	1	7	0	2	1	4	4
1 - Significantly worsens	1.4%	-	-	1.3%	3.8% C	5.1% BCDG	1.0%	0.2%	2.1%	1.6%	1.9%	0.9%	1.8%	1.0%	1.6%	-	1.5%	0.4%	1.5%	2.0%
	6	0	2	3	0	0	1	3	0	4	0	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	0
(DK/NS)	0.9%	-	1.2%	1.6%	-	0.3%	1.0%	1.2%	-	1.7%	0	1.7%	-	2.4%	0	-	-	-	2.3%	-
Summary														0						
Summary	174	28	36	42	24	12	32	46	84	45	76	98	17	31	108	2	34	50	58	31
TopBox (10)	24.8%	36.7%	20.3%	26.2%	24.2%	24.3%	22.9%	21.7%	30.6%	20.6%	22.7%	26.8%	22.5%	29.2%	24.0%	39.6%	32.0%	36.1%	21.3%	17.5%
	228	CFG 30	50	59	30	14	44	75	J 99	53	110	117	23	37	145	2	T 37	ST 56	85	48
Top2Box (9-10)	32.5%	39.5%	28.3%	36.7%	31.0%	29.1%	31.2%	35.9%	36.3%	24.5%	32.8%	32.2%	31.7%	35.7%	32.4%	42.0%	35.0%	40.5%	31.5%	27.0%
								J	J											
Ton2Boy (9-10)	341	44	82	80	50	22	63	109	139	93	163 48.5%	178	37	54	215	4	58	80 57.09/	129	68
Top3Box (8-10)	48.7%	57.2%	46.8%	49.8%	50.7%	45.3%	44.8%	51.7%	50.9%	42.9%	48.5%	48.9%	50.0%	51.9%	47.8%	84.4%	54.9% T	57.9% T	47.8%	38.6%
	21	3	2	4	6	3	3	6	7	9	15	6	1	5	12	0	4	2	10	4
Low3Box (1-3)	3.1%	3.7%	1.2%	2.3%	6.5%	7.1%	2.0%	2.8%	2.6%	3.9%	4.6%	1.6%	1.8%	4.4%	2.8%	-	3.7%	1.5%	3.6%	2.0%
	18	2	2	2	6	CDG 3	3	5	7	7	13	6	1	5	11	0	4	2	7	4
Low2Box (1-2)	2.6%	2.3%	1.2%	1.3%	6.5%	6.5%	2.0%	2.3%	2.6%	3.0%	3.7%	1.6%	1.8%	4.4%	2.5%	-	3.7%	1.5%	2.5%	2.0%
						CDG														
LowBox (1)	1.4%	-	-	1.3%	3.8%	3 5.1%	1.0%	0.2%	6 2.1%	3 1.6%	6 1.9%	0.9%	1.8%	1.0%	7 1.6%	0	1.5%	0.4%	4 1.5%	2.0%
2011201 (1)	1.470	-	<u> </u>	1.376	3.8% C	BCDG	1.0%	U.Z70	Z.170	1.076	1.976	0.976	1.076	1.0%	1.076		1.076	U.476	1.0%	2.070
Mean	7.3	7.7	7.3	7.4	7.1	7	7.2	7.4	7.5	7	7.2	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	8.5	7.5	7.8	7.2	7
		F							J								L	T		1
Std. Dev. Std. Err.	2.2 0.1	2.2 0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	2.5 0.1	2.1 0.2	2.1 0.2	2.2 0.1	2.2 0.1	2.3 0.1	2.1 0.1	2.1 0.3	0.2	2.1 0.1	1.6 0.7	2.3 0.2	2.1 0.2	2.2 0.1	0.1
	- B/C/D/E/F/G -				•		erv small base				U.1	0.1	U.3	U.Z	V.1	U./	U.Z	U.Z	0.1	U.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 50 of 88

Q14_13. [Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy Thompson Park] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg	zion				Age		Go	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	- 1	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701 701	75 77*	75 175*	75 161*	76	300	100 140*	176 210	263 273	262 217	358 336	343 365	70 73*	115 105*	448 449	5	99	133	263 271	197 177
Weighted Is involved in creating a fish habitat at Tommy	701	11	175*	161*	98*	49	140	210	213	217	330	363	73	105	449	5**	106*	137*	2/1	177
Thompson Park																				
	200	22	48	42	36	14	38	50	81	70	92	108	17	35	126	4	31	50	73	41
10 - Significantly Improves	28.5%	27.9%	27.4%	26.2%	36.3%	29.1%	27.4%	23.6%	29.5%	32.2%	27.3%	29.7%	23.2%	33.7%	28.1%	84.4%	29.2%	36.5%	27.2%	23.3%
	53	5	8	20	5	5	10	16	16	20	30	22	6	7	31	1	6	11	21	14
9	7.5%	7.0%	4.4%	12.2%	5.2%	10.1%	7.0%	7.8%	6.0%	9.1%	9.0%	6.2%	8.5%	7.1%	6.9%	15.6%	5.8%	8.3%	7.7%	7.7%
	404	40			40	7	2.7	0.5		44	40		04				17		40	
8	124 17.7%	16 20.5%	28 15.9%	28 17.5%	18 18.3%	14.3%	27 19.3%	25 11.7%	55 20.1%	20.4%	42 12.6%	82 22.4%	21 28.3%	11 10.7%	83 18.4%	0	17 16.2%	17 12.3%	49 18.2%	36 20.5%
Ü	17.770	20.070	10.570	17.570	10.570	14.070	13.570	11.770	20.170	20.470	12.070	K	N	10.770	10.470		10.270	12.070	10.270	20.070
_	89	14	25	15	10	6	18	33	38	17	52	37	5	13	65	0	9	16	38	26
7	12.7%	18.5%	14.5%	9.3%	10.3%	11.4%	13.1%	15.7%	14.0%	8.0%	15.4%	10.2%	6.5%	12.2%	14.5%	-	8.9%	11.3%	14.1%	14.5%
	47	2	15	7	4	5	14	28	8	11	21	26	6	7	30	0	4	6	23	14
6	6.6%	2.6%	8.3%	4.2%	4.2%	9.7%	10.3%	13.2%	3.0%	4.9%	6.2%	7.0%	8.9%	6.4%	6.6%	·	3.5%	4.2%	8.4%	8.2%
	4	4.				В		IJ	F2				40				67			
5 - Does not change	141 20.1%	14 18.3%	42 23.9%	34 21.1%	17 17.6%	9 17.7%	25 17.9%	43 20.6%	53 19.4%	45 20.6%	75 22.3%	66 18.1%	16 22.3%	23 21.9%	86 19.1%	- 0	27 25.2%	24 17.5%	51 19.0%	39 22.0%
5 Boos not change	20.176	10.576	23.576	21.170	17.078	17.770	17.570	20.076	13.470	20.076	22.376	10.176	22.376	21.570	13.170	<u> </u>	23.270	17.576	13.076	22.076
	19	1	7	4	4	0	3	7	8	5	12	8	1	3	13	0	6	5	4	5
4	2.7%	1.3%	4.1%	2.6%	4.1%	0.6%	1.8%	3.2%	2.9%	2.1%	3.4%	2.1%	2.0%	3.2%	2.9%	-	5.4%	3.4%	1.5%	2.7%
	8	1	3	2	0	0	1	3	3	2	2	6	0	1	2	0	2	1	4	0
3	1.1%	1.6%	1.5%	1.2%	-	0.9%	1.0%	1.3%	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	1.7%	-	1.2%	0.4%	-	1.9%	0.9%	1.6%	0.1%
2	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0
2	0.4%	1.0%	-	-	1.3%	1.6% CDG	-	0.7%	0.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.1%	-	-	0.6%	-	0.8%	-	0.2%	0.1%
	14	0	0	7	3	2	3	4	8	2	8	6	0	4	9	0	0	6	6	2
1 - Significantly worsens	2.0%	-	-	4.1%	2.6%	3.4%	2.2%	1.8%	3.1%	0.9%	2.2%	1.8%	0.4%	3.5%	1.9%	-	0.2%	4.7%	2.1%	1.0%
	4	1	0	2	0	BC 1	0	1	2	0	1	3	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.6%	1.3%	-	1.5%	-	1.3%	-	0.6%	0.9%	0.2%	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%	-	2.8%	0.9%	-	-
																	S			
Summary	200	22	48	42	36	14	38	50	81	70	92	108	17	35	126	4	31	50	73	41
TopBox (10)	28.5%	27.9%	27.4%	26.2%	36.3%	29.1%	27.4%	23.6%	29.5%	32.2%	27.3%	29.7%	23.2%	33.7%	28.1%	84.4%	29.2%	36.5%	27.2%	23.3%
T0P(0.40)	253	27	56	62	41	19	48	66	97	90	122	131	23	43	157	5	37	62	94	55
Top2Box (9-10)	36.0%	34.9%	31.8%	38.4%	41.5%	39.2%	34.4%	31.4%	35.5%	41.3%	36.3%	35.8%	31.6%	40.8%	35.0%	100.0%	35.0%	44.8%	34.8%	31.0%
	377	43	84	90	59	26	75	91	152	134	164	212	44	54	240	5	54	79	144	91
Top3Box (8-10)	53.7%	55.4%	47.7%	55.9%	59.9%	53.4%	53.7%	43.1%	55.6%	61.7%	48.8%	58.2%	59.9%	51.5%	53.4%	100.0%	51.2%	57.1%	53.1%	51.5%
	25	2	3	9	4	3	5	8	H 12	H 5	12	13	0	5	13	0	3	8	11	2
Low3Box (1-3)	3.5%	2.6%	1.5%	5.4%	3.9%	5.9%	3.2%	3.7%	4.3%	2.4%	3.5%	3.6%	0.4%	4.7%	2.9%	-	2.9%	5.6%	4.0%	1.1%
• •						С														
Low/2Box (1-2)	17	1 100/	0	7	3 00/	2	3	5	9	3	10	7	0	4	11	0	1 100/	6	6	2
Low2Box (1-2)	2.4%	1.0%	-	4.1%	3.9% C	5.0% C	2.2%	2.4%	3.2%	1.4%	3.0%	1.9%	0.4%	3.5%	2.5%	-	1.0%	4.7%	2.3%	1.0%
	14	0	0	7	3	2	3	4	8	2	8	6	0	4	9	0	0	6	6	2
LowBox (1)	2.0%	-	-	4.1%	2.6%	3.4%	2.2%	1.8%	3.1%	0.9%	2.2%	1.8%	0.4%	3.5%	1.9%	-	0.2%	4.7%	2.1%	1.0%
	7.	7.0	7.0	7.	7.0	BC	7-	7.0	7.5	7-	7.0	7.0	7-	7.	7.5	0.0	7.0	7.0	7.	
Mean	7.4	7.6	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.4	7.5	7.2	7.5	7.7 H	7.3	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.5	9.8	7.3	7.6	7.4	7.4
Std. Dev.	2.2	2	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.5	2.2	0.4	2.3	2.5	2.2	2
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 51 of 88

Q14_14. [Works with the city and all levels of government to build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the mainland] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly worsens' your impression and 10 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

					uion.				A			n dor		Income				Education		
				Reç	jion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G G	H	33-34	J	K	L	M	\$60,000 N	O	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Works with the city and all levels of government																				
to build the tunnel that connects Toronto Island with the mainland																				
	215	26	41	57	33	11	48	53	84	78	94	121	24	39	131	2	38	56	80	37
10 - Significantly Improves	30.7%	33.3%	23.3%	35.4%	33.2%	23.0%	34.1%	25.4%	30.6%	36.0%	28.0%	33.2%	33.3%	37.5%	29.1%	39.6%	35.7%	40.8%	29.6%	21.2%
	60	6	16	F 20	13	2	F 4	16	23	21	39	21	13	0	39	0	12	T 8	25	15
9	8.6%	7.6%	9.2%	12.3%	13.0%	3.2%	2.8%	7.5%	8.6%	9.6%	11.5%	5.8%	17.8%	0.5%	8.7%	2.5%	11.2%	6.0%	9.1%	8.6%
-		7.070	0.270	FG	FG	0.270		1.070		0.070	L	0.070	N	0.070	N	2.070	111.270	0.070	0.170	0.070
	83	6	17	18	15	7	20	24	37	23	48	35	1	17	56	2	11	15	34	21
8	11.9%	7.5%	9.5%	11.4%	15.8%	14.5%	14.3%	11.4%	13.4%	10.5%	14.3%	9.7%	2.0%	16.4%	12.5%	42.4%	10.7%	10.7%	12.7%	11.8%
	59	6	22	12	8	5	7	24	22	13	19	40	4	M 10	M 40	0	5	16	20	19
7	8.5%	8.3%	12.4%	7.3%	7.8%	10.2%	4.8%	11.6%	8.2%	5.8%	5.6%	11.1%	5.1%	9.2%	8.9%	-	4.5%	11.4%	7.3%	10.8%
												K								
6	35	2	11	11	3	3	6	12	11	13	16	19	8	5	14	1	8	7	11	8
6	5.1%	2.4%	6.3%	6.8%	2.8%	6.0%	4.2%	5.5%	4.0%	5.9%	4.8%	5.3%	10.6% O	4.4%	3.1%	15.6%	7.8%	5.2%	4.1%	4.6%
	148	19	50	21	17	11	30	51	57	40	69	79	15	23	91	0	22	23	65	36
5 - Does not change	21.1%	24.6%	28.4%	13.1%	17.1%	23.0%	21.4%	24.3%	20.8%	18.4%	20.6%	21.6%	21.1%	21.7%	20.2%	-	21.2%	16.7%	23.9%	20.2%
	10		D			D		_	_			_			40				_	
4	1.7%	1.3%	3 1.5%	1.3%	2.9%	1.4%	2.0%	5 2.5%	7 2.5%	0.1%	7 2.2%	5 1.3%	0	0	12 2.7%	0	0	1.6%	7 2.6%	0.9%
	1.770	1.570	1.570	1.570	2.570	1.470	2.070	2.570	2.570	0.170	2.270	1.570			2.170			1.070	2.070	0.570
	26	5	7	2	2	2	7	5	13	8	12	14	1	2	21	0	1	3	13	9
3	3.7%	6.5%	4.1%	1.5%	2.3%	4.6%	5.0%	2.6%	4.7%	3.6%	3.6%	3.8%	1.5%	2.1%	4.8%	-	0.8%	2.2%	4.8%	5.3%
	14	0	4	2	1	1	6	3	6	4	12	2	2	1	11	0	2	0	2	9
2	2.0%	-	2.3%	1.3%	1.0%	2.3%	4.0%	1.5%	2.3%	2.0%	3.5%	0.6%	2.5%	0.6%	2.6%	-	2.0%	0.2%	0.9%	5.1%
				1.070	1.070	2.070		1.070	2.070		L		2.070	0.070			2.070		0.070	S
	44	7	5	13	4	5	10	16	11	17	20	25	4	8	31	0	4	7	14	20
1 - Significantly worsens	6.3%	8.6%	2.9%	8.0%	4.1%	11.2% CE	7.3%	7.7%	4.0%	8.0%	5.8%	6.8%	6.1%	7.7%	7.0%	-	3.5%	5.0%	5.0%	11.5%
	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	-	-	1.5%	-	0.6%	-	-	0.9%	0.1%	0	0.7%	-	-	0.6%	-	2.5%	0.1%	-	-
•																	S			
Summary	215	26	41	57	33	11	48	53	84	78	94	121	24	39	131	2	38	56	80	37
TopBox (10)	30.7%	33.3%	23.3%	35.4%	33.2%	23.0%	34.1%	25.4%	30.6%	36.0%	28.0%	33.2%	33.3%	37.5%	29.1%	39.6%	35.7%	40.8%	29.6%	21.2%
				F	00.270		F									00.0,0	Т	T		
T 0D (0.40)	275	32	57	77	45	13	52	69	107	99	133	142	37	40	169	2	50	64	105	53
Top2Box (9-10)	39.3%	40.9% F	32.5%	47.7%	46.2%	26.2%	36.9%	32.9%	39.2%	45.6% H	39.6%	39.0%	51.0%	38.0%	37.7%	42.0%	46.9% T	46.8% T	38.7%	29.8%
	359	37	74	95	61	20	72	93	144	122	181	178	39	57	226	4	61	79	139	73
Top3Box (8-10)	51.2%	48.4%	42.1%	59.1%	62.0%	40.8%	51.1%	44.3%	52.6%	56.1%	53.9%	48.7%	53.1%	54.3%	50.2%	84.4%	57.7%	57.5%	51.4%	41.6%
				CF	CF												T	T		
Low3Box (1-3)	84 12.0%	12 15.1%	16 9.3%	17 10.8%	7 7.4%	9 18.0%	23 16.4%	25 11.8%	30 11.0%	30 13.6%	43 12.9%	41 11.2%	7 10.1%	11 10.4%	64 14.3%	0	6 29/	10 7.4%	29 10.7%	39 21.9%
LOWODOX (1-3)	12.0%	15.1%	9.3%	10.8%	1.4%	18.0% CE	10.4%	11.8%	11.0%	13.0%	12.9%	11.2%	10.1%	10.4%	14.3%	-	6.2%	1.4%	10.7%	21.9% QRS
	58	7	9	15	5	7	16	19	17	22	31	27	6	9	43	0	6	7	16	29
Low2Box (1-2)	8.3%	8.6%	5.2%	9.2%	5.2%	13.4%	11.4%	9.2%	6.3%	10.0%	9.3%	7.4%	8.6%	8.3%	9.5%	-	5.5%	5.2%	5.9%	16.6%
	44	7	5	13	4	CE 5	10	16	11	17	20	25	4	8	31	0	4	7	14	QRS 20
LowBox (1)	6.3%	8.6%	2.9%	8.0%	4.1%	11.2%	7.3%	7.7%	4.0%	8.0%	5.8%	6.8%	6.1%	7.7%	7.0%	-	3.5%	5.0%	5.0%	11.5%
						CE														
.,	7.1	6.9	6.8	7.5	7.6	6.4	6.8	6.8	7.2	7.2	7	7.1	7.3	7.2	6.9	8.5	7.6	7.6	7.1	6.3
							_										-		T T	
Mean Std. Dev.	2.8	3	2.5	F 2.8	2.5	2.9	3	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	1.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	3

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 52 of 88

Q14_15. [Carries out dredging prevent flooding around the Don River] I'd like to read you a list of things that the Toronto Port Authority is responsible for. For each, pleas tell me whether it improves or worsens your impression of the Toronto Port Authority, using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means the statement 'significantly improves' your impression of the Toronto Port Authority. '5' means the statement does not change your impression either way.

				Reg	gion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
Daniel All annual denta	A 704	B 75	C	D 75	E	F	G 400	H	l oco	J	K	L 343	M	N 445	O 448	P 5	Q	R	S	T 197
Base: All respondents Weighted	701 701	75 77*	75 175*	75 161*	76 98*	300 49	100 140*	176 210	263 273	262 217	358 336	365	70 73*	115 105*	448	5**	99 106*	133 137*	263 271	177
Carries out dredging prevent flooding around the Don River		_																		
56.11.116.	262	29	64	63	44	17	45	68	108	86	109	152	26	45	164	2	42	72	93	51
10 - Significantly Improves	37.4%	38.0%	36.5%	38.9%	44.9%	34.1%	32.2%	32.1%	39.5%	39.7%	32.5%	41.8%	35.3%	43.2%	36.5%	42.0%	39.8%	52.7% ST	34.3%	28.6%
	55	6	13	15	9	3	8	16	21	18	28	27	6	4	41	0	8	11	21	15
9	7.9%	8.1%	7.5%	9.3%	9.4%	7.0%	6.0%	7.4%	7.8%	8.4%	8.4%	7.4%	8.5%	3.7%	9.2%	-	7.8%	7.9%	7.8%	8.5%
	115	10	37	18	13	7	29	38	48	29	55	60	14	15	70	2	18	17	47	30
8	16.4%	13.2%	21.3%	11.1%	13.2%	14.6%	20.7%	17.9%	17.7%	13.3%	16.3%	16.4%	18.6%	14.5%	15.7%	42.4%	16.6%	12.4%	17.4%	16.8%
	89	18	12	25	10	6	17	32	32	25	49	40	7	5	68	0	7	11	36	36
7	12.7%	23.8%	6.9%	15.2%	10.4%	12.5%	12.5%	15.2%	11.7%	11.4%	14.5%	11.0%	9.3%	5.2%	15.0%	-	6.3%	8.0%	13.2%	20.1%
	39	CEF 6	7	12	1	3	9	13	13	13	15	24	5	9	N 21	1	6	4	18	QR 10
6	5.5%	7.9% E	4.1%	7.7%	1.3%	5.5% E	6.5%	6.4%	4.6%	5.9%	4.4%	6.6%	6.8%	8.3%	4.6%	15.6%	5.5%	3.3%	6.5%	5.7%
	101	6	26	16	18	9	26	28	41	33	51	50	12	21	56	0	19	19	36	27
5 - Does not change	14.4%	8.0%	14.7%	9.9%	18.4%	18.4%	18.5%	13.1%	14.9%	15.1%	15.1%	13.8%	16.4%	20.5%	12.6%	-	17.8%	13.5%	13.3%	15.0%
	12	0	7	2	0	BD 1	2	4	5	3	10	2	2	2	7	0	0	0	6	6
4	1.7%	-	3.9%	1.3%	-	1.0%	1.8%	2.1%	1.8%	1.2%	3.0%	0.5%	3.1%	2.2%	1.6%	-	-	0.1%	2.2%	3.3%
	8	0	2	4	0	1	1	4	0	4	6	2	0	0	8	0	2	0	4	2
3	1.2%	-	1.2%	2.3%	-	1.5%	1.0%	1.7%	0.1%	2.0%	1.7%	0.6%	-	0.2%	1.8%	-	1.6%	0.2%	1.4%	1.4%
	6	1	2	0	3	0	0	4	0	2	5	1	1	0	5	0	2	0	2	0
2	0.9%	1.0%	1.3%	-	2.6%	1.0%	-	1.8%	0.1%	1.0%	1.4%	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%	1.0%	-	2.2%	-	0.9%	-
	12	0	4	4	0	2	1	5	3	4	9	3	0	2	7	0	0	3	8	1
1 - Significantly worsens	1.7%	-	2.6%	2.6%	-	3.6% BEG	0.8%	2.3%	0.9%	2.0%	2.6%	0.7%	0.4%	2.2%	1.5%	-	0.1%	1.9%	3.0%	0.4%
	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	-	-	1.5%	-	0.7%	-	-	1.0%	0.1%	-	0.8%	-	-	0.6%	-	2.4%	-	0.1%	0.1%
Summary																				
TopBox (10)	262 37.4%	29 38.0%	64 36.5%	63 38.9%	44 44.9%	17 34.1%	45 32.2%	68 32.1%	108 39.5%	86 39.7%	109 32.5%	152 41.8%	26 35.3%	45 43.2%	164 36.5%	2 42.0%	42 39.8%	72 52.7%	93 34.3%	51 28.6%
10p20x (10)	37.470	30.070	30.370	30.370	44.570	34.170		JZ.170	33.370	33.170	32.370	41.070	33.370	40.270	30.370	42.070	33.070	ST	04.070	20.070
Ton2Roy (0.10)	317	36	77	78	53	20	54	83	129	105	138	179	32	49	205	2	50	83	114	66
Top2Box (9-10)	45.2%	46.1%	43.9%	48.1%	54.2% FG	41.1%	38.2%	39.6%	47.3%	48.2%	40.9%	49.2%	43.7%	46.9%	45.7%	42.0%	47.5%	60.6% ST	42.1%	37.1%
T0P (0.40)	432	46	114	96	66	27	83	121	178	133	193	239	46	64	276	4	68	100	161	95
Top3Box (8-10)	61.6%	59.3%	65.3%	59.3%	67.4%	55.7%	59.0%	57.4%	65.0%	61.4%	57.2%	65.6%	62.3%	61.4%	61.4%	84.4%	64.1%	73.0% ST	59.5%	53.9%
Low3Box (1-3)	26 3.7%	1 1.0%	9 5.1%	8 4.9%	3 2.6%	3 6.1%	3 1.8%	12 5.7%	3 1.1%	11 4.9%	19 5.8%	6 1.8%	2 2.1%	3 2.5%	19 4.3%	0	4 3.9%	3 2.1%	14 5.3%	3
LOWODOX (1-0)		1.0%		4.9%	2.0%	BG	1.8%	5.1% I	1.1%	4.9%	L	1.8%					3.9%		5.3%	1.8%
Law 2Day (4.0)	18	1	7	4	3	2	1	9	3	6	14	4	2	2	11	0	2	3	11	1
Low2Box (1-2)	2.5%	1.0%	3.9%	2.6%	2.6%	4.6% G	0.8%	4.1%	1.0%	3.0%	4.0%	1.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.5%	-	2.4%	1.9%	3.9%	0.4%
LowBox (1)	12 1.7%	0 -	4 2.6%	4 2.6%	0	2 3.6%	1 0.8%	5 2.3%	3 0.9%	4 2.0%	9 2.6%	3 0.7%	0	2 2.2%	7 1.5%	0	0	3 1.9%	8 3.0%	1
LOWDOX (1)	1.7%		2.0%	2.0%	-	3.6% BEG	0.8%	2.3%	0.9%	2.0%	2.0%	0.7%	U.4%	Z.Z%	1.5%		0.1%	1.9%	3.0%	0.4%
Mean	7.9	8.1 F	7.7	7.9	8.2 F	7.5	7.7	7.6	8.1	7.9	7.6	8.1 K	7.8	7.8	7.9	8.5	7.9	8.4 ST	7.7	7.7
Std. Dev.	2.2	1.8	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.3	2	2.3	2.4	2	2.2	2.3	2.2	1.6	2.2	2.1	2.3	2
Std. Err.	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 53 of 88

Q15. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (AGREE)] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Reg	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G G	10-34 H	33-54	35+ J	K	L	×\$30,000 Μ	\$60,000 N	0	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
The Toronto Port Authority provides important economic benefits to the City of Toronto	630 89.9%	69 88.9%	162 92.3% F	144 89.2%	88 89.5%	41 82.7%	128 91.0%	192 91.4%	247 90.5%	191 87.7%	299 88.9%	331 90.8%	63 85.4%	97 92.1%	403 89.7%	4 80.2%	90 84.9%	130 94.6%	247 91.2%	154 87.2%
The Billy Bishop Airport is a valuable asset for the city	607 86.6%	66 86.1%	146 83.2%	138 85.6%	93 94.7% CF	40 82.5%	123 87.8%	177 84.0%	243 88.9%	187 86.1%	279 83.0%	328 89.8% K	58 78.8%	89 84.8%	390 86.9%	5 100.0%	85 80.2%	129 93.6% Q	233 86.1%	150 84.9%
The Billy Bishop Airport is great for the economy of Toronto	606 86.4%	64 83.1%	147 84.1%	140 87.1%	92 93.9% BF	40 81.2%	122 86.9%	171 81.4%	251 91.7% H	184 84.7%	282 83.8%	324 88.8%	57 78.4%	89 84.6%	391 87.0%	4 80.2%	86 81.7%	126 91.7%	235 86.8%	149 84.3%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an important part of Toronto's future economic growth.	596 85.1%	61 78.7%	153 87.1%	145 89.7% F	88 89.3% F	38 78.0%	113 80.3%	173 82.5%	245 89.6%	178 81.9%	282 83.8%	314 86.3%	57 77.9%	85 81.2%	382 85.0%	4 80.2%	86 81.4%	127 92.5% QT	233 86.0%	141 79.8%
The Billy Bishop Airport is a great gateway for the city and tourists	595 84.9%	68 88.3%	148 84.3%	134 83.0%	86 88.1%	40 81.2%	119 85.1%	177 84.2%	243 89.1% J	175 80.4%	286 85.1%	309 84.8%	55 74.8%	89 84.7%	389 86.7% M	5 100.0%	87 82.7%	126 92.0% T	229 84.7%	142 80.3%
The island airport makes a great first impression for visitors who use it to travel to Toronto	574 81.9%	61 79.0%	145 82.7%	130 80.5%	87 88.6% F	39 79.0%	113 80.6%	166 78.8%	227 83.0%	182 83.6%	262 78.0%	312 85.6% K	60 81.9%	83 79.5%	367 81.7%	4 80.2%	89 84.3%	122 88.7% T	224 82.9%	131 74.1%
The Toronto Port Authority is a forward-thinking organization	566 80.8%	65 84.9% F	153 87.2% FG	123 76.0%	87 88.4% FG	33 68.0%	105 75.1%	177 84.3%	218 79.6%	172 78.9%	260 77.3%	306 84.1%	62 84.7%	90 86.2%	353 78.6%	4 80.2%	87 82.6%	118 86.0% T	225 83.3% T	126 71.3%
Billy Bishop Airport is an economic engine of the city	522 74.5%	56 73.3%	130 74.4%	116 71.9%	81 82.3% F	35 71.4%	104 73.9%	146 69.3%	215 78.8%	161 74.1%	243 72.1%	279 76.6%	57 77.8%	73 69.4%	331 73.7%	5 100.0%	80 76.1%	120 87.4% ST	197 73.0%	114 64.3%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport should be expanded so that Torontonians have access to more flights and more destinations.	474 67.7%	52 67.2%	115 65.7%	125 77.8% FG	71 72.0% F	29 58.6%	82 58.7%	147 70.1%	186 68.1%	141 64.7%	216 64.3%	258 70.8%	47 63.7%	74 70.5%	299 66.5%	2 42.0%	78 73.5% T	114 83.2% ST	178 65.9%	98 55.3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 54 of 88

Q15. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (DISAGREE)] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/P
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
Danie All account de sta	A 704	B 75	C 75	D 75	E 70	F 200	G	H	l oco	J	K	L	M	N 445	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701 701	75 77*	75 175*	75	76	300	100 140*	176	263 273	262	358 336	343 365	70 73*	115 105*	448	5 5**	99	133	263	197 177
Weighted	701	77	1/5	161*	98*	49	140*	210	2/3	217	336	365	73*	105	449	5~	106*	137*	271	1//
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport should be expanded so that Torontonians have access to more flights and																				
more destinations.	224	24	60	36	26	20	58	62	86	76	118	106	27	31	148	3	28	23	90	79
more destinations.	32.0%	31.5%	34.3%	22.2%	26.7%	40.5%	41.3%	29.4%	31.5%	35.1%	35.0%	29.1%	36.3%	29.4%	33.0%	58.0%	26.5%	16.7%	33.2%	44.6%
						DE	DE												R	QR
Billy Bighen Airport is an accommis angine of the site.	162	18	40	38	16	13	37	61	52	50	89	73	16	28	109	0	23	16	65	58
Billy Bishop Airport is an economic engine of the city	23.1%	22.9%	23.0%	23.7%	16.5%	26.2%	26.1%	28.8%	18.9%	22.9%	26.4%	20.0%	22.2%	27.0%	24.3%	-	21.9%	11.8%	23.9%	32.8%
																			R	R
The island airport makes a great first impression for	101	13	24	27	8	10	20	37	37	26	62	39	13	16	62	1	14	13	40	31
visitors who use it to travel to Toronto	14.4%	17.4%	13,4%	16.7%	7.7%	19.5%	14.2%	17.8%	13.7%	12.0%	18.3%	10.8%	18.1%	15.3%	13.9%	19.8%	13.7%	9.1%	14.9%	17.8%
	, ,		, .			E					L							,		
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an important part	100	16	23	14	9	10	28	36	25	39	53	47	16	20	64	1	20	10	36	33
of Toronto's future economic growth.			-		-												-			
	14.3%	21.3% DE	12.9%	8.7%	9.5%	20.9% DE	19.7% D	17.3%	9.1%	18.0%	15.8%	12.9%	22.1%	18.6%	14.1%	19.8%	18.6% R	7.4%	13.4%	18.7% R
The Billy Bishop Airport is a great gateway for the	98	9	25	25	10	9	19	33	25	40	47	51	17	16	56	0	18	11	37	32
city and tourists	14.0%	11.7%	14.5%	15.4%	10.6%	18.4%	13.9%	15.8%	9.0%	18.6%	14.1%	13.9%	23.3%	15.3%	12.4%	-	17.3%	8.0%	13.5%	18.2% R
										'										K
The Toronto Port Authority is a forward-thinking	97	9	16	25	7	13	28	25	38	34	58	39	9	14	66	1	18	15	34	29
organization	13.9%	11.3%	9.0%	15.4%	6.9%	27.4%	19.9%	11.9%	14.0%	15.7%	17.3%	10.7%	12.3%	13.3%	14.7%	19.8%	17.0%	10.9%	12.7%	16.6%
						BCDE	CE													
The Billy Bishop Airport is a valuable asset for the	90	11	27	23	4	8	17	34	27	30	54	37	16	16	55	0	21	9	34	27
city	12.9%	13.9%	15.5%	14.4%	4.0%	17.1%	12.2%	15.9%	9.8%	13.9%	16.0%	10.1%	21.2%	15.1%	12.3%	-	19.8%	6.3%	12.7%	15.1%
		E	E	E		E											R			
The Billy Bishop Airport is great for the economy of	90	11	28	21	5	9	17	38	20	32	51	39	14	15	56	1	19	10	32	28
Toronto	12.8%	14.3%	15.9%	12.9%	4.8%	17.8%	12.1%	18.0%	7.3%	14.8%	15.0%	10.8%	19.7%	14.3%	12.4%	19.8%	18.3%	7.4%	11.7%	15.7%
		E	E			E		I		I					.,					
The Toronto Port Authority provides important economic benefits to the City of Toronto	61	8	13	15	8	8	10	18	21	23	33	28	11	7	38	1	16	6	21	17
economic benefits to the City of Toronto	8.7%	9.8%	7.7%	9.2%	7.9%	15.3%	7.2%	8.4%	7.6%	10.5%	9.9%	7.7%	14.4%	6.8%	8.5%	19.8%	15.1%	4.5%	7.8%	9.7%
						CG											R			

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 55 of 88

Q15_1. [The Toronto Port Authority provides important economic benefits to the City of Toronto] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total A	York B	North York C	h D	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	School	High School Q	College R	University S	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	308	39	79	66	45	19	60	81	129	98	146	163	30	51	198	1	41	73	118	71
Strongly agree	44.0%	50.3%	45.3%	40.9%	46.1%	38.2%	42.8%	38.4%	47.3%	45.2%	43.3%	44.6%	41.2%	48.4%	44.0%	19.7%	38.4%	53.4%	43.5%	40.3%
	322	30	82	78	43	22	68	112	118	92	154	168	32	46	206	3	49	57	129	83
Somewhat agree	45.9%	38.6%	47.0%	48.3%	43.4%	44.4%	48.2%	53.0%	43.2%	42.5%	45.7%	46.2%	44.2%	43.7%	45.7%	60.4%	46.5%	41.3%	47.7%	46.8%
	43	6	q	11	5	4	8	14	15	14	24	19	3	6	30	1	10	6	13	14
Somewhat disagree	6.2%	8.2%	5.1%	6.7%	5.1%	8.8%	5.6%	6.7%	5.6%	6.4%	7.3%	5.2%	4.6%	6.2%	6.7%	19.8%	9.7%	4.1%	4.6%	7.9%
Comewhat disagree	0.2 /6	0.2 /6	3.176	0.776	3.176	0.076	3.076	0.778	3.076	0.478	1.576	3.2 /6	4.078	0.276	0.7 /6	19.076	5.1 /6	4.176	4.078	1.576
	18	1	4	4	3	3	2	4	5	9	9	9	7	1	8	0	6	1	8	3
Strongly disagree	2.6%	1.6%	2.6%	2.5%	2.8%	6.6%	1.6%	1.8%	1.9%	4.1%	2.6%	2.5%	9.9%	0.6%	1.8%	-	5.4%	0.4%	3.1%	1.9%
						BG							NO							
(5)(4)(6)	9	1	0	2	2	1	3	0	5	4	4	5	0	1	8	0	0	1	3	5
(DK/NS)	1.4%	1.3%	-	1.5%	2.5%	2.0% C	1.8%	0.2%	2.0%	1.7%	1.2%	1.5%	0.2%	1.1%	1.8%	-	-	0.8%	1.0%	3.1%
Summary	_					C														
	630	69	162	144	88	41	128	192	247	191	299	331	63	97	403	4	90	130	247	154
Top2Box (Agree)	89.9%	88.9%	92.3%	89.2%	89.5%	82.7%	91.0%	91.4%	90.5%	87.7%	88.9%	90.8%	85.4%	92.1%	89.7%	80.2%	84.9%	94.6%	91.2%	87.2%
			F				F													
	61	8	13	15	8	8	10	18	21	23	33	28	11	7	38	1	16	6	21	17
Low2Box (Disagree)	8.7%	9.8%	7.7%	9.2%	7.9%	15.3%	7.2%	8.4%	7.6%	10.5%	9.9%	7.7%	14.4%	6.8%	8.5%	19.8%	15.1%	4.5%	7.8%	9.7%
						CG											R			

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 56 of 88

Q15_2. [The Toronto Port Authority is a forward-thinking organization] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York B	North York	h D	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+	School	High School Q	College R	University S	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	G 100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	O 448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Weighted			41						77		74					3				
Strongly agree	190	23		50	35	10	30	58		54		116	24	32	106	1 10 70/	38	50	66	31
Strongly agree	27.1%	29.8%	23.7%	31.3%	35.8% FG	20.0%	21.5%	27.8%	28.3%	25.0%	22.0%	31.8% K	32.4%	30.6%	23.7%	19.7%	36.0% T	36.6% T	24.4%	17.7%
	376	42	111	72	52	24	75	119	140	117	186	190	38	58	247	3	49	68	159	95
Somewhat agree	53.7%	55.0%	63.5%	44.8%	52.7%	47.9%	53.6%	56.5%	51.3%	54.0%	55.2%	52.2%	52.4%	55.6%	54.9%	60.4%	46.6%	49.4%	58.9%	53.5%
	00.770	00.070	DF	11.070	02.770	11.070	00.070	00.070	01.070	01.070	00.270	OL:L/O	02.170	00.070	01.070	00.170	10.070	10.170	00.070	00.070
	69	4	7	19	6	8	26	15	28	26	40	29	4	11	51	1	7	10	27	24
Somewhat disagree	9.9%	5.5%	3.8%	11.6%	5.7%	15.9%	18.9%	7.4%	10.3%	11.9%	12.0%	8.0%	5.2%	10.0%	11.3%	19.8%	7.0%	7.2%	10.0%	13.7%
						BCE	BCE													
	28	4	9	6	1	6	1	10	10	8	18	10	5	3	15	0	10	5	7	5
Strongly disagree	4.0%	5.8%	5.1%	3.8%	1.2%	11.5%	1.0%	4.5%	3.8%	3.7%	5.3%	2.7%	7.1%	3.3%	3.4%	-	9.9%	3.7%	2.7%	2.9%
			7		_	CDEG	7							_			S			
(D)(A)(O)	37	3	- /	14	5	2	-	8	17	12	18	19	2	0	30	0	0	4	11	22
(DK/NS)	5.3%	3.9%	3.8%	8.6%	4.6%	4.6%	5.0%	3.8%	6.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.2%	3.0%	0.5%	6.7%	-	0.4%	3.2%	4.0%	12.2% QRS
Summary																				QKS
Cummary	566	65	153	123	87	33	105	177	218	172	260	306	62	90	353	4	87	118	225	126
Top2Box (Agree)	80.8%	84.9%	87.2%	76.0%	88.4%	68.0%	75.1%	84.3%	79.6%	78.9%	77.3%	84.1%	84.7%	86.2%	78.6%	80.2%	82.6%	86.0%	83.3%	71.3%
1 (19)	00.070	F	FG	70.070	FG	00.070	70.170	01.070	70.070	7 0.070	77.073	0 , 0	0 /0	00.E70	7 0.070	55.275	02.070	T	T	7
	97	9	16	25	7	13	28	25	38	34	58	39	9	14	66	1	18	15	34	29
Low2Box (Disagree)	13.9%	11.3%	9.0%	15.4%	6.9%	27.4%	19.9%	11.9%	14.0%	15.7%	17.3%	10.7%	12.3%	13.3%	14.7%	19.8%	17.0%	10.9%	12.7%	16.6%
						BCDE	CE													

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 57 of 88

Q15_3. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an important part of Toronto's future economic growth.] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Red	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	33-34	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	334	33	85	77	50	21	68	83	140	111	169	166	37	47	209	1	55	84	115	75
Strongly agree	47.7%	42.8%	48.3%	48.0%	51.2%	42.3%	48.7%	39.5%	51.3%	51.0%	50.1%	45.4%	50.3%	44.4%	46.6%	22.2%	52.4%	60.9%	42.6%	42.7%
																		ST		
	262	28	68	67	37	17	44	90	105	67	113	149	20	38	173	3	31	43	117	66
Somewhat agree	37.4%	35.9%	38.8%	41.7%	38.1%	35.7%	31.6%	43.0%	38.2%	31.0%	33.7%	40.8%	27.6%	36.7%	38.4%	58.0%	29.0%	31.6%	43.4%	37.1%
				_	_		40	J	40	40	0.5	0.5		40	40		40	_	Q	
Somewhat disagree	60 8.6%	11 13.9%	7.8%	4.9%	5.4%	9.2%	18 12.8%	27 12.9%	16 6.0%	16 7.6%	35 10.5%	25 6.8%	9.0%	13 12.8%	40 8.8%	19.8%	10	5 3.6%	22 8.0%	22
Somewhat disagree	8.6%	13.9% D	7.8%	4.9%	5.4%	9.2%	12.8%	12.9%	6.0%	7.6%	10.5%	6.8%	9.0%	12.8%	8.8%	19.8%	9.5%	3.6%	8.0%	12.6% R
	40	6	q	6	4	6	10	q	8	23	18	22	10	6	24	0	10	5	15	11
Strongly disagree	5.7%	7.3%	5.1%	3.8%	4.0%	11.7%	6.9%	4.4%	3.0%	10.4%	5.4%	6.1%	13.1%	5.9%	5.3%	-	9.1%	3.8%	5.4%	6.1%
0, 0			0.170	0.0,0		CDE	0.0,0	,	0.0,0	I	0.170	0.1.70		0.0,0	0.070			0.070		01170
	4	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	4	0	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	3
(DK/NS)	0.6%	-	-	1.5%	1.3%	1.1%	-	0.2%	1.4%	0.1%	0.4%	0.8%	-	0.2%	0.9%	-	-	0.1%	0.5%	1.5%
Summary																				
	596	61	153	145	88	38	113	173	245	178	282	314	57	85	382	4	86	127	233	141
Top2Box (Agree)	85.1%	78.7%	87.1%	89.7%	89.3% F	78.0%	80.3%	82.5%	89.6%	81.9%	83.8%	86.3%	77.9%	81.2%	85.0%	80.2%	81.4%	92.5% QT	86.0%	79.8%
	100	16	23	14	G G	10	28	36	25	39	53	47	16	20	64	1	20	10	36	33
Low2Box (Disagree)	14.3%	21.3%	12.9%	8.7%	9.5%	20.9%	19.7%	17.3%	9.1%	18.0%	15.8%	12.9%	22.1%	18.6%	14.1%	19.8%	18.6%	7.4%	13.4%	18.7%
	14.576	DE	12.570	0.176	3.376	DE	19.7 /6 D	17.576	5.176	10.076	15.076	12.570	22.170	10.076	17.170	13.076	10.076 R	1.470	10.470	10.776 R

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 58 of 88

Q15_4. [Billy Bishop Airport is an economic engine of the city] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	000.	J.	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	182	14	52	40	27	13	37	37	81	64	90	92	19	22	126	2	27	46	68	35
Strongly agree	26.0%	18.0%	29.5%	24.6%	27.6%	25.9%	26.5%	17.4%	29.8% H	29.5% H	26.8%	25.2%	26.4%	20.6%	28.1%	42.0%	25.9%	33.7% T	25.3%	19.6%
	340	43	79	76	54	22	66	109	134	97	153	187	38	51	205	3	53	74	129	79
Somewhat agree	48.5%	55.3%	45.0%	47.3%	54.7%	45.5%	47.3%	51.9%	49.0%	44.6%	45.4%	51.4%	51.3%	48.8%	45.6%	58.0%	50.2%	53.7%	47.7%	44.7%
	107	10	22	28	12	8	27	35	41	31	61	45	10	18	73	0	9	13	48	36
Somewhat disagree	15.2%	12.4%	12.5%	17.3%	12.3%	15.8%	19.6%	16.5%	15.0%	14.2%	18.2%	12.5%	14.0%	17.2%	16.2%	-	8.6%	9.8%	17.7%	20.4% Q
	55	8	19	10	4	5	9	26	11	19	28	28	6	10	37	0	14	3	17	22
Strongly disagree	7.9%	10.5%	10.6%	6.4%	4.1%	10.4%	6.6%	12.3%	3.8%	8.7%	8.3%	7.6%	8.2%	9.7%	8.2%	-	13.3%	2.0%	6.1%	12.5%
	17	3	4	7	4	E 4	0	1		7	5	12	0	4	9	0	R 2	4	q	R 5
(DK/NS)	2.4%	3.8%	2.5%	4.4%	1.3%	2.4%	-	1.9%	2.3%	3.0%	1.4%	3.3%	-	3.7%	1.9%	-	2.0%	0.8%	3.1%	2.9%
(=::::2)	2.170	G	2.070	1.170	11.070	G		1.070	2.070	0.070	1.170	0.070		0.770	1.070		2.070	0.070	0.170	2.070
Summary																				
	522	56	130	116	81	35	104	146	215	161	243	279	57	73	331	5	80	120	197	114
Top2Box (Agree)	74.5%	73.3%	74.4%	71.9%	82.3%	71.4%	73.9%	69.3%	78.8%	74.1%	72.1%	76.6%	77.8%	69.4%	73.7%	100.0%	76.1%	87.4%	73.0%	64.3%
					F													ST		
, ap (p:)	162	18	40	38	16	13	37	61	52	50	89	73	16	28	109	0	23	16	65	58
Low2Box (Disagree)	23.1%	22.9%	23.0%	23.7%	16.5%	26.2%	26.1%	28.8%	18.9%	22.9%	26.4%	20.0%	22.2%	27.0%	24.3%	-	21.9%	11.8%	23.9% R	32.8% R

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 59 of 88

Q15_5. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport should be expanded so that Torontonians have access to more flights and more destinations.] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	_	University	ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	277	28	57	81	49	16	47	87	108	83	134	144	31	42	173	2	51	71	97	52
Strongly agree	39.6%	36.9%	32.3%	50.3% CFG	49.9% CFG	31.6%	33.2%	41.1%	39.5%	38.1%	39.7%	39.4%	41.7%	40.3%	38.5%	42.0%	48.6%	51.7% ST	35.9%	29.6%
	197	23	59	44	22	13	36	61	78	58	83	114	16	32	126	0	26	43	81	45
Somewhat agree	28.1%	30.3%	33.4%	27.5%	22.2%	27.0%	25.5%	29.0%	28.6%	26.6%	24.5%	31.4%	22.1%	30.2%	27.9%	Ů	24.9%	31.5%		25.6%
Somewhat agree	28.1%	30.3%	33.4%	27.5%	22.2%	27.0%	25.5%	29.0%	28.6%	26.6%	24.5%	31.4%	22.1%	30.2%	27.9%	-	24.9%	31.5%	30.0%	25.6%
	103	10	33	15	13	7	26	24	52	27	57	46	13	12	67	3	5	9	47	38
Somewhat disagree	14.7%	13.0%	18.6%	9.0%	13.0%	14.9%	18.6%	11.6%	19.1%	12.2%	16.9%	12.7%	17.6%	11.4%	14.9%	58.0%	4.9%	6.4%	17.2%	21.7%
																			QR	QR
	121	14	28	21	13	13	32	37	34	50	61	60	14	19	81	0	23	14	43	41
Strongly disagree	17.2%	18.4%	15.7%	13.2%	13.7%	25.5%	22.7%	17.7%	12.4%	22.9%	18.1%	16.4%	18.6%	18.0%	18.1%	-	21.6%	10.2%	16.0%	23.0%
						CDE				I										R
	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	1.3%	-	-	1.3%	0.9%	-	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0.6%	-	-	0.1%	0.9%	0.1%
Summary																				
our mary	474	52	115	125	71	29	82	147	186	141	216	258	47	74	299	2	78	114	178	98
Top2Box (Agree)	67.7%	67.2%	65.7%	77.8%	72.0%	58.6%	58.7%	70.1%	68.1%	64.7%	64.3%	70.8%	63.7%	70.5%	66.5%	42.0%	73.5%	83.2%	65.9%	55.3%
1, 1, 1, 3, 1,	01.170	37.E/0	33.770	FG	F	30.070	33.170	7 3.170	33.170	J 1.1 70	01.070	. 5.676	00.770	1 0.070	00.070	.2.070	T	ST	55.576	55.576
	224	24	60	36	26	20	58	62	86	76	118	106	27	31	148	3	28	23	90	79
Low2Box (Disagree)	32.0%	31.5%	34.3%	22.2%	26.7%	40.5%	41.3%	29.4%	31.5%	35.1%	35.0%	29.1%	36.3%	29.4%	33.0%	58.0%	26.5%	16.7%	33.2%	44.6%
						DE	DE												R	QR

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

osos 60 of 88

Q15_6. [The island airport makes a great first impression for visitors who use it to travel to Toronto] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	000.	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	287	29	65	67	40	20	66	77	110	100	143	144	28	35	188	3	55	63	102	61
Strongly agree	41.0%	37.8%	37.0%	41.8%	40.5%	41.5%	46.9%	36.7%	40.3%	45.9%	42.5%	39.6%	38.8%	33.8%	41.8%	64.6%	52.3% ST	46.1%	37.6%	34.4%
	287	32	80	62	47	18	47	89	117	82	119	168	32	48	179	1	34	59	123	70
Somewhat agree	41.0%	41.2%	45.7%	38.7%	48.1%	37.6%	33.7%	42.1%	42.7%	37.7%	35.5%	46.0% K	43.1%	45.7%	39.8%	15.6%	32.0%	42.6%	45.3%	39.7%
	60	10	19	13	1	5	12	22	29	9	38	22	6	12	38	1	8	10	20	22
Somewhat disagree	8.6%	12.6%	10.9%	8.0%	1.3%	10.9%	8.7%	10.4%	10.8%	4.2%	11.4%	6.0%	8.0%	11.0%	8.4%	19.8%	7.2%	7.1%	7.3%	12.5%
		E	Е			E	E		J											
Channel diagrams	41	4	4	14	6	4	8	16	8	17	23	17	7	5	25	0	7	3	20	9
Strongly disagree	5.8%	4.8%	2.6%	8.8%	6.4%	8.6% C	5.6%	7.4%	2.9%	7.8%	6.9%	4.8%	10.2%	4.3%	5.5%	-	6.5%	2.0%	7.5%	5.4%
	26	3	7	5	4	1	7	7	9	9	12	13	0	5	20	0	2	3	6	14
(DK/NS)	3.7%	3.6%	3.9%	2.8%	3.6%	1.4%	5.2%	3.3%	3.3%	4.4%	3.7%	3.6%	-	5.2%	4.5%	-	2.0%	2.2%	2.3%	8.1%
																				S
Summary																				
	574	61	145	130	87	39	113	166	227	182	262	312	60	83	367	4	89	122	224	131
Top2Box (Agree)	81.9%	79.0%	82.7%	80.5%	88.6%	79.0%	80.6%	78.8%	83.0%	83.6%	78.0%	85.6%	81.9%	79.5%	81.7%	80.2%	84.3%	88.7%	82.9%	74.1%
	101	13	24	27	F	10	20	37	37	26	62	39	13	16	62	-	14	13	40	31
Low2Box (Disagree)	101	13 17.4%	13.4%	16.7%	7.7%	10	14.2%	17.8%	13.7%	12.0%	18.3%	10.8%	13 18.1%	15.3%	13.9%	19.8%	13.7%	9.1%	14.9%	17.8%
LOWEDON (Disagree)	14.4%	17.4%	13.4%	10.7%	1.1%	19.5% E	14.2%	17.8%	13.7%	12.0%	10.3% L	10.8%	10.1%	13.3%	13.9%	19.8%	13.7%	9.1%	14.9%	17.8%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 61 of 88

Q15_7. [The Billy Bishop Airport is great for the economy of Toronto] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	F	F	G G	H	33-34	J	K	remale	M	N	0	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	345	38	84	80	51	24	69	84	144	116	155	190	34	42	221	3	53	84	123	78
Strongly agree	49.2%	49.2%	47.8%	49.3%	52.0%	48.2%	49.4%	40.1%	52.8% H	53.5% H	46.1%	52.2%	47.0%	40.5%	49.2%	64.6%	50.6%	61.0% ST	45.3%	44.0%
	261	26	64	61	41	16	53	87	106	68	127	134	23	46	170	1	33	42	112	71
Somewhat agree	37.2%	33.8%	36.3%	37.8%	41.9%	33.0%	37.5%	41.2%	38.9%	31.1%	37.8%	36.6%	31.4%	44.1%	37.8%	15.6%	31.1%	30.7%	41.5%	40.3%
-	54	9	14	12	2	4	12	21	15	17	31	22	6	8	35	0	5	7	20	21
Somewhat disagree	7.6%	11.4% E	8.1%	7.5%	2.4%	8.5% E	8.5%	10.1%	5.7%	7.7%	9.3%	6.1%	8.4%	7.8%	7.7%	-	5.1%	5.3%	7.3%	11.8%
Strongly disagree	37 5.2%	2 2.9%	14 7.8%	9 5.4%	2 2.5%	5 9.2%	5 3.5%	16 7.8%	5 1.7%	16 7.1%	19 5.8%	17 4.7%	8 11.3%	7 6.5%	21 4.7%	1 19.8%	14 13.2%	3 2.1%	12 4.4%	7 3.9%
Ollongry disagree	5.2%	2.9%	1.0%	5.4%	2.5%	9.2% BEG	3.5%	7.0%	1.770	7.176	5.0%	4.770	11.3%	0.5%	4.176	19.0%	RST	2.170	4.476	3.9%
	5	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	1	4	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	4	0
(DK/NS)	0.7%	2.6%	-	-	1.3%	1.1%	1.0%	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%	1.1%	0.4%	1.9%	1.1%	0.6%	-	-	0.8%	1.5%	-
Summary																				
Top2Box (Agree)	606 86.4%	64 83.1%	147 84.1%	140 87.1%	92 93.9%	40 81.2%	122 86.9%	171 81.4%	251 91.7%	184 84.7%	282 83.8%	324 88.8%	57 78.4%	89 84.6%	391 87.0%	4 80.2%	86 81.7%	126 91.7%	235 86.8%	149 84.3%
Topebox (rigido)	00.4%	03.1%	04.1%	01.1%	93.9% BF	01.2%	00.9%	01.4%	91.7% H	04.7%	03.8%	00.8%	10.4%	04.5%	01.0%	00.2%	01.7%	91.7%	00.8%	04.3%
	90	11	28	21	5	9	17	38	20	32	51	39	14	15	56	1	19	10	32	28
Low2Box (Disagree)	12.8%	14.3% F	15.9% F	12.9%	4.8%	17.8% F	12.1%	18.0%	7.3%	14.8%	15.0%	10.8%	19.7%	14.3%	12.4%	19.8%	18.3%	7.4%	11.7%	15.7%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

osos 62 of 88

Q15_8. [The Billy Bishop Airport is a great gateway for the city and tourists] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C North York	n D	Etoblcoke	Queen St.	G G	18-34 H	35-54	25+	K	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000 +	School	Q Q	R	S	oressional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	336	37	80	81	46	23	70	86	145	105	167	169	32	33	221	4	61	71	138	58
Strongly agree	48.0%	47.6%	45.7%	50.1%	46.4%	47.7%	49.9%	40.7%	53.2%	48.5%	49.6%	46.5%	43.4%	31.6%	49.3%	84.4%	57.4%	51.7%	51.0%	32.9%
0.0					101170		1010,10	1011.70	Н		10.070	10.07		0.10,1	N		Т	Т	Т	02.070
	259	31	68	53	41	16	49	92	98	69	119	140	23	56	168	1	27	55	91	84
Somewhat agree	36.9%	40.6%	38.6%	33.0%	41.7%	33.5%	35.3%	43.5%	35.9%	31.9%	35.4%	38.4%	31.4%	53.1%	37.4%	15.6%	25.3%	40.3%	33.6%	47.4%
														MO						QS
	61	5	19	14	7	5	11	20	22	19	31	30	8	13	33	0	7	10	23	21
Somewhat disagree	8.7%	7.0%	10.7%	9.0%	7.1%	9.6%	7.5%	9.4%	7.9%	8.8%	9.2%	8.2%	11.6%	12.3%	7.3%	-	6.2%	6.9%	8.6%	12.1%
0	37	4	7	10	3	4	9	13	3	21	17	21	9	3	23	0	12	1	13	11
Strongly disagree	5.3%	4.8%	3.8%	6.4%	3.5%	8.8%	6.4%	6.4%	1.1%	9.7%	4.9%	5.7%	11.7%	2.9%	5.2%	-	11.1%	1.1%	5.0%	6.2%
	7	0	2	2	1	0	4	0	5	1	3	5	1	0	4	0	R 0	0	5	3
(DK/NS)	1.1%	U	1.2%	1.5%	1.3%	0.3%	1.0%	U	1.9%	1.0%	0.8%	1.3%	1.9%	-	0.9%	-	-	-	1.8%	1.5%
(DIONS)	1.176	<u> </u>	1.276	1.5%	1.3%	0.3%	1.0%	-	1.9%	1.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.9%	-	0.9%	-	-	-	1.0%	1.5%
Summary																				
	595	68	148	134	86	40	119	177	243	175	286	309	55	89	389	5	87	126	229	142
Top2Box (Agree)	84.9%	88.3%	84.3%	83.0%	88.1%	81.2%	85.1%	84.2%	89.1%	80.4%	85.1%	84.8%	74.8%	84.7%	86.7%	100.0%	82.7%	92.0%	84.7%	80.3%
									J						M			Т		
	98	9	25	25	10	9	19	33	25	40	47	51	17	16	56	0	18	11	37	32
Low2Box (Disagree)	14.0%	11.7%	14.5%	15.4%	10.6%	18.4%	13.9%	15.8%	9.0%	18.6%	14.1%	13.9%	23.3%	15.3%	12.4%	-	17.3%	8.0%	13.5%	18.2%
										ı										R

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

osos 63 of 88

Q15_9. [The Billy Bishop Airport is a valuable asset for the city] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School		University	ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	396	48	88	94	59	27	80	103	165	128	194	202	45	49	255	2	59	91	148	92
Strongly agree	56.5%	61.7%	50.2%	58.4%	60.3%	55.2%	57.2%	49.2%	60.2%	58.9%	57.7%	55.5%	61.0%	46.7%	56.7%	39.6%	55.4%	66.2%	54.8%	52.0%
	211	19	58	44	34	13	43	73	78	59	85	125	13	40	136	3	26	38	84	58
Somewhat agree	30.0%	24.5%	33.0%	27.2%	34.4%	27.3%	30.7%	34.8%	28.7%	27.2%	25.3%	34.4%	17.8%	38.1%	30.2%	60.4%	24.8%	27.3%	31.2%	32.8%
												K		M						
	48	8	11	13	2	4	9	12	23	13	28	19	7	7	32	0	7	6	19	16
Somewhat disagree	6.8%	11.0%	6.4%	8.0%	1.6%	9.0%	6.6%	5.8%	8.3%	5.9%	8.4%	5.3%	9.9%	6.6%	7.2%	-	6.4%	4.5%	6.9%	9.1%
		E				E														
0	43	2	16	10	2	4	8	21	4	17	25	17	8	9	23	0	14	2	15	11
Strongly disagree	6.1%	2.9%	9.1%	6.4%	2.5%	8.2%	5.6%	10.1%	1.5%	8.0%	7.5%	4.8%	11.3%	8.4%	5.2%	-	13.4%	1.8%	5.7%	6.1%
		_				E		<u>'</u>		ı		0	0		4	_	R		4	
(DK/NS)	4	0	2	0	1 001	0	0	0	4	0	4	U	U	0		0	0	0	7	0
(DK/NS)	0.5%	-	1.3%	-	1.3%	0.4%	-	0.1%	1.3%	-	1.0%	0.1%	-	0.2%	0.8%	-	-	0.1%	1.3%	-
Summary																				
Summary	607	66	146	138	93	40	123	177	243	187	279	328	58	89	390	-	85	129	233	150
Top2Box (Agree)	86.6%	86.1%	83.2%	85.6%	93.7%	82.5%	87.8%	84.0%	243 88.9%	86.1%	83.0%	89.8%	78.8%	84.8%	86.9%	100.0%	80.2%	93.6%	86.1%	84.9%
TOPEDOX (rigido)	00.0%	00.1%	03.2%	00.6%	94.7% CF	02.5%	01.8%	04.0%	00.9%	00.1%	03.0%	09.8% K	10.8%	04.8%	00.9%	100.0%	00.2%	93.6% Q	00.1%	04.9%
	90	11	27	23	4	8	17	34	27	30	54	37	16	16	55	0	21	9	34	27
Low2Box (Disagree)	12.9%	13.9%	15.5%	14.4%	4.0%	17.1%	12.2%	15.9%	9.8%	13.9%	16.0%	10.1%	21.2%	15.1%	12.3%	-	19.8%	6.3%	12.7%	15.1%
	.2.570	E	E	E	1.070	E	12.270	10.070	3.370	10.070	10.070	13.170	21.270	10.170	12.070		R	5.570	.2.770	10.170

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 64 of 88

Q16. As you may know, access to the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is by way of a short ferry ride across a distance of 400 feet (or 121 metres). An under-water pedestrian tunnel is currently being built to link the island to the mainland. Before today, to what extent are you aware of this construction? Are you...

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total A	York B	North York C	h D	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	School	High School Q	College	University S	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
3	214	27	41	31	30	22	62	37	77	100	122	91	12	20	164	2	34	29	91	57
Very aware	30.5%	34.8%	23.6%	19.5%	30.3%	44.5%	44.5%	17.6%	28.1%	45.9%	36.4%	25.0%	17.0%	19.4%	36.5%	35.3%	32.0%	20.8%	33.5%	32.1%
,		D			00.070	CDE	CD	1110,1	Н	HI	L				MN		02.070		R	
	182	28	44	39	22	12	38	44	74	64	74	109	18	25	109	2	20	39	78	43
Somewhat aware	26.0%	35.7%	24.9%	24.2%	22.5%	25.2%	26.9%	20.8%	27.2%	29.5%	21.9%	29.8%	24.7%	23.6%	24.3%	42.4%	18.7%	28.2%	28.8%	24.0%
	88	2	38	25	13	4	7	33	38	17	38	50	5	14	58	0	7	24	25	32
Not very aware	12.5%	3.2%	21.4%	15.2%	13.0%	7.2%	5.0%	15.7%	14.0%	7.7%	11.4%	13.6%	6.3%	13.4%	12.9%	-	6.5%	17.3%	9.3%	18.3%
			BFG	BG	В			J												QS
No. of the	215	20	51	66	34	11	33	96	84	35	100	115	36	46	118	1	43	46	77	45
Not at all aware	30.7%	26.2%	29.1%	41.1% FG	34.2%	22.7%	23.5%	45.8% I.J	30.8%	16.0%	29.8%	31.5%	49.5% O	43.6% O	26.3%	22.3%	41.0%	33.7%	28.5%	25.5%
	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	IJ O	J	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.3%	-	1.0%	-	0	0.3%	-	0	-	0.9%	0.5%	0	2.5%	-	-	-	1.7%	-	Ü	0.1%
(Bitito)	0.576		1.078		_	0.576	_	-	-	0.576	0.576	0	0	-	_	-	1.776	_	-	0.178
Summary	<u>'</u>																			
· ·	396	54	85	70	52	34	100	81	151	164	196	200	31	45	273	4	54	67	168	99
Top2Box (Aware)	56.5%	70.6%	48.5%	43.6%	52.8%	69.8%	71.4%	38.5%	55.2%	75.4%	58.3%	54.8%	41.7%	43.0%	60.8%	77.7%	50.8%	49.0%	62.2%	56.2%
		CDE				CDE	CDE		Н	HI					MN					
	303	23	88	91	46	15	40	129	122	51	139	165	41	60	176	1	50	70	102	77
Low2Box (Not Aware)	43.3%	29.4%	50.5%	56.4%	47.2%	29.9%	28.6%	61.5%	44.8%	23.7%	41.2%	45.1%	55.8%	57.0%	39.2%	22.3%	47.5%	51.0%	37.8%	43.7%
	1		BFG	BFG	BFG			IJ	J				0	0						

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

sos 65 of 88

Q17. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (AGREE)] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
I think the short-term pain of construction and the inconvenience it causes will be worth the long-term gain of having a tunnel to permanently	587 83.7%	59 76.4%	161 92.0% BFG	132 82.0%	85 86.7% F	37 76.3%	112 79.7%	172 81.8%	238 86.9%	177 81.4%	290 86.1%	297 81.4%	55 75.5%	88 83.8%	380 84.6%	5 100.0%	92 87.6% T	122 88.6% T	233 86.2% T	130 73.3%
A pedestrian tunnel is the best way to improve access to the island airport and enhance the experience of flying out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airp	554 79.1%	60 77.4%	142 80.8%	122 75.7%	85 86.6%	38 77.9%	108 76.7%	145 69.1%	230 84.0% H	179 82.4% H	270 80.1%	285 78.1%	60 81.6%	89 84.6%	348 77.4%	5 100.0%	84 79.9%	118 85.8%	209 77.4%	133 75.2%
The construction of the tunnel is inconveniencing me	120	14	30	31	18	10	17	33	41	46	53	67	19	23	61	1	25	24	49	20
ů	17.2%	17.7%	17.1%	19.3%	18.8%	20.1%	12.4%	15.9%	15.0%	21.2%	15.9%	18.4%	25.7% O	21.9%	13.7%	19.7%	23.4% T	17.7%	17.9%	11.3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 66 of 88

Q17. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (DISAGREE)] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
The construction of the tunnel is inconveniencing me	572 81.6%	62 80.0%	145 82.9%	128 79.4%	79 80.1%	38 78.3%	120 85.7%	177 84.1%	231 84.4%	165 75.7%	279 83.1%	293 80.3%	54 74.3%	79 75.3%	384 85.5% N	4 80.3%	80 75.6%	112 81.6%	220 81.5%	152 86.0%
A pedestrian tunnel is the best way to improve access to the island airport and enhance the experience of flying out of Billy Bishop Toronto City	141 20.2%	16 21.2%	34 19.2%	37 22.7%	13 13.4%	11 21.7%	31 22.1%	62 29.5%	41 15.0%	38 17.6%	66 19.6%	76 20.7%	13 18.4%	16 15.4%	96 21.4%	0 -	21 20.1%	20 14.2%	58 21.5%	41 23.3%
								IJ												
I think the short-term pain of construction and the inconvenience it causes will be worth the long-term gain of having a tunnel to permanently	109	17	14	26	13	11	27	37	32	40	44	65	17	17	66	0	13	16	35	44
	15.5%	22.3%	8.0%	16.4%	13.3%	22.7%	19.3%	17.6%	11.6%	18.5%	13.2%	17.7%	22.6%	16.0%	14.6%	-	12.4%	11.3%	12.8%	25.1% QRS

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 67 of 88

Q17_1. [The construction of the tunnel is inconveniencing me] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York B	North York	h D	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+	School	High School Q	College R	University S	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	G 100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	O 448	P 5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Troigitou	44	- 1	11	13	6	5	4	13	16	15	17	27	8	16	12	- 1	9	14	15	3
Agree very much	6.3%	5.8%	6.5%	8.3%	6.1%	9.4%	3.0%	6.3%	5.8%	6.9%	5.1%	7.4%	10.9%	15.7%	2.8%	19.7%	8.2%	10.2%	5.6%	1.7%
rigida vary maan	0.576	3.076	0.576	0.576	0.176	9.476 G	3.076	0.576	3.0 /6	0.576	3.176	7.470	0	0	2.076	15.770	0.278 T	T T	3.076	1.770
	77	9	19	18	12	5	13	20	25	31	36	40	11	6	49	0	16	10	33	17
Agree somewhat	10.9%	12.0%	10.7%	11.0%	12.7%	10.7%	9.4%	9.6%	9.2%	14.4%	10.8%	11.0%	14.7%	6.2%	10.9%	-	15.2%	7.5%	12.3%	9.5%
	158	19	36	36	25	11	31	49	56	53	79	79	27	23	97	1	17	31	67	41
Disagree somewhat	22.5%	24.6%	20.3%	22.6%	25.2%	22.3%	22.3%	23.3%	20.6%	24.2%	23.5%	21.6%	36.7%	22.3%	21.7%	22.3%	15.9%	22.2%	24.8%	23.1%
													0							
Diagram was a second	414	43	110	92	54	28	89	128	174	112	200	214	28	56	287	3	63	82	153	111
Disagree very much	59.1%	55.3%	62.6%	56.9%	54.9%	56.1%	63.4%	60.8%	63.8%	51.5%	59.6%	58.7%	37.7%	53.0%	63.8% M	58.0%	59.7%	59.4%	56.7%	62.9%
	8	2	0	2	1	1	3	0	2	7	4	5	0	3	4	0	1	1	2	5
(DK/NS)	1.2%	2.3%	-	1.2%	1.0%	1.6%	2.0%	0.1%	0.6%	3.0%	1.0%	1.3%	-	2.8%	0.9%	-	1.0%	0.7%	0.6%	2.7%
(=::::5)	1.270	2.070		1.270	1.070	C	2.070	0.170	0.070	0.070	1.070	1.070		2.070	0.070		1.070	0.170	0.070	2.170
Summary																				
	120	14	30	31	18	10	17	33	41	46	53	67	19	23	61	1	25	24	49	20
Top2Box (Agree)	17.2%	17.7%	17.1%	19.3%	18.8%	20.1%	12.4%	15.9%	15.0%	21.2%	15.9%	18.4%	25.7%	21.9%	13.7%	19.7%	23.4%	17.7%	17.9%	11.3%
													0				Т			
	572	62	145	128	79	38	120	177	231	165	279	293	54	79	384	4	80	112	220	152
Low2Box (Disagree)	81.6%	80.0%	82.9%	79.4%	80.1%	78.3%	85.7%	84.1%	84.4%	75.7%	83.1%	80.3%	74.3%	75.3%	85.5%	80.3%	75.6%	81.6%	81.5%	86.0%
												1			N	1				

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

osos 68 of 88

Q17_2. [A pedestrian tunnel is the best way to improve access to the island airport and enhance the experience of flying out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airp] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	F	F	G	Н	33-34	J	K	remaie	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	298	31	78	62	41	20	66	86	118	95	136	162	29	53	185	1	45	65	106	78
Agree very much	42.5%	40.0%	44.6%	38.4%	41.8%	40.1%	47.2%	40.7%	43.1%	43.5%	40.3%	44.5%	39.4%	50.2%	41.3%	19.7%	43.0%	47.5%	39.3%	44.1%
	256	29	63	60	44	19	41	60	112	85	134	122	31	36	162	4	39	53	103	55
Agree somewhat	36.6%	37.5%	36.2%	37.3%	44.8% G	37.8%	29.5%	28.4%	41.0% H	38.9%	39.8%	33.6%	42.2%	34.3%	36.2%	80.3%	37.0%	38.3%	38.2%	31.2%
	92	11	17	28	8	6	22	49	24	19	43	49	0	12	69	0	13	12	40	27
Disagree somewhat	13.1%	14.1%	10.0%	17.7%	8.0%	11.3%	15.5%	23.5%	8.7%	8.6%	12.7%	13.5%	10.9%	11.0%	15.4%	-	12.2%	8.9%	14.8%	15.2%
Disagree contental	13.176	14.170	10.076	17.776	0.076	11.576	13.376	23.5 %	0.776	0.076	12.7 /6	13.376	10.576	11.076	13.476		12.270	0.576	14.070	13.276
	49	5	16	8	5	5	9	13	17	20	23	26	6	5	27	0	8	7	18	14
Disagree very much	7.1%	7.1%	9.2%	5.1%	5.4%	10.5%	6.6%	6.0%	6.3%	9.0%	6.9%	7.2%	7.5%	4.4%	6.0%	-	7.9%	5.3%	6.7%	8.2%
	5	1	0	2	0	0	2	3	2	0	1	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	2
(DK/NS)	0.8%	1.3%	-	1.5%	-	0.4%	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%	-	0.3%	1.2%	-	-	1.2%	-	-	-	1.1%	1.4%
Summary			440	400	0.5	00	400	445	000	470	070	005		00	0.40	-	0.4	440	200	400
Top2Box (Agree)	554	60	142	122	85	38	108	145	230	179	270	285	60	89	348	5	84	118	209	133
TOPZDOX (Agree)	79.1%	77.4%	80.8%	75.7%	86.6%	77.9%	76.7%	69.1%	84.0% H	82.4% H	80.1%	78.1%	81.6%	84.6%	77.4%	100.0%	79.9%	85.8%	77.4%	75.2%
	141	16	34	37	13	11	31	62	41	38	66	76	13	16	96	0	21	20	58	41
Low2Box (Disagree)	20.2%	21.2%	19.2%	22.7%	13.4%	21.7%	22.1%	29.5%	15.0%	17.6%	19.6%	20.7%	18.4%	15.4%	21.4%	-	20.1%	14.2%	21.5%	23.3%
1 7 7								IJ												

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 69 of 88

Q17_3. [I think the short-term pain of construction and the inconvenience it causes will be worth the long-term gain of having a tunnel to permanently ...] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	365	38	90	82	57	22	77	104	149	113	173	192	33	51	245	2	54	82	141	83
Agree very much	52.1%	48.9%	51.3%	50.8%	58.2%	44.9%	54.6%	49.2%	54.5%	51.9%	51.5%	52.7%	44.5%	49.0%	54.6%	39.6%	51.0%	59.6%	51.9%	46.8%
	204				F	45	0.5					405			405			40	00	
A	221	21	71	50	28	15	35	69	89	64	116	105	23	37	135	3	39	40	93	47
Agree somewhat	31.6%	27.5%	40.6% G	31.2%	28.5%	31.4%	25.1%	32.6%	32.4%	29.5%	34.6%	28.8%	31.0%	34.8%	30.0%	60.4%	36.6%	28.9%	34.3%	26.6%
	59	9	8	16	8	4	15	21	21	17	23	36	9	10	37	0	4	8	21	27
Disagree somewhat	8.5%	11.3%	4.4%	9.8%	8.0%	9.1%	10.5%	10.1%	7.5%	8.0%	6.8%	10.0%	12.8%	9.8%	8.3%	-	4.2%	5.5%	7.6%	15.2%
																				QRS
	50	8	6	11	5	7	12	16	11	23	21	28	7	6	28	0	9	8	14	18
Disagree very much	7.1%	10.9%	3.6%	6.6%	5.3%	13.6%	8.8%	7.5%	4.1%	10.5%	6.4%	7.8%	9.9%	6.2%	6.3%	-	8.2%	5.8%	5.2%	10.0%
						CE				I										
(5.40.5)	5	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	4	0	2	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	3	3
(DK/NS)	0.8%	1.3%	-	1.5%	-	1.0%	1.0%	0.6%	1.4%	0.1%	0.7%	0.8%	1.9%	0.2%	0.8%	-	-	0.1%	0.9%	1.5%
Summary																				
	587	59	161	132	85	37	112	172	238	177	290	297	55	88	380	5	92	122	233	130
Top2Box (Agree)	83.7%	76.4%	92.0%	82.0%	86.7%	76.3%	79.7%	81.8%	86.9%	81.4%	86.1%	81.4%	75.5%	83.8%	84.6%	100.0%	87.6%	88.6%	86.2%	73.3%
			BFG		F												T	T	T	
	109	17	14	26	13	11	27	37	32	40	44	65	17	17	66	0	13	16	35	44
Low2Box (Disagree)	15.5%	22.3%	8.0%	16.4%	13.3%	22.7%	19.3%	17.6%	11.6%	18.5%	13.2%	17.7%	22.6%	16.0%	14.6%	-	12.4%	11.3%	12.8%	25.1%
		С				С	Ċ													QRS

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 70 of 88

Q18. From your home, do you hear any sound or noise associated with the airplanes that land at, and take off from, the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Professional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	000.	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	10	2	3	0	1	4	0	2	6	2	3	7	1	1	8	0	1	1	4	4
A lot of noise	1.5%	2.9%	1.5%	-	1.3%	8.2% CDEG	-	1.2%	2.1%	0.9%	1.0%	1.9%	1.9%	0.6%	1.7%	-	1.3%	0.7%	1.6%	2.0%
	53	5	5	10	6	10	17	18	18	17	30	23	9	5	36	1	7	6	18	21
Some noise	7.6%	6.8%	2.6%	6.1%	6.5%	20.4% BCDEG	12.2% C	8.7%	6.6%	7.7%	8.9%	6.4%	11.8%	4.8%	8.0%	19.7%	6.9%	4.1%	6.7%	11.9%
	66	9	5	16	15	8	14	21	21	24	37	29	6	10	41	0	11	13	20	21
Not very much noise	9.4%	11.6%	2.9%	9.8%	14.8%	16.4%	9.8%	9.8%	7.8%	11.2%	10.9%	8.0%	7.5%	10.0%	9.2%	-	10.8%	9.2%	7.4%	11.6%
		С			С	С														
	563	59	159	136	75	27	108	169	227	167	262	301	56	87	360	4	82	116	227	129
No noise at all	80.3%	75.9%	90.9% BEFG	84.1%	76.4%	54.2%	77.1%	80.3%	83.0%	77.0%	77.8%	82.7%	76.1%	82.9%	80.1%	80.3%	77.8%	84.5%	83.9%	73.1%
	q	2	4	0	1	0	1	0	1	7	5	4	2	2	5	0	3	2	1	2
(DK/NS)	1.2%	2.8%	2.1%	-	1.0%	0.7%	1.0%	0.1%	0.5%	3.2%	1.4%	1.0%	2.7%	1.7%	1.0%	Ť	3.2%	1.4%	0.4%	1.3%
						011.70	,		0.0.0	0.2.0		,.		,	,		0.270			
Summary																·				
<u> </u>	63	7	7	10	8	14	17	21	24	19	33	30	10	6	44	1	9	7	22	25
Top2Box (Noise)	9.1%	9.7%	4.2%	6.1%	7.8%	28.6%	12.2%	9.9%	8.7%	8.6%	9.9%	8.3%	13.7%	5.4%	9.7%	19.7%	8.2%	4.9%	8.3%	14.0%
						BCDEG														R
	629	67	164	151	89	35	122	189	248	192	298	331	61	97	401	4	94	129	247	150
Low2Box (No noise)	89.7%	87.5%	93.8%	93.9%	91.1%	70.6%	86.8%	90.0%	90.8%	88.2%	88.7%	90.7%	83.6%	92.9%	89.3%	80.3%	88.6%	93.7%	91.4%	84.8%
	1	I F	I F	l F	I F	1	I F		1	l	I			1			l	l	1	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

1psos 71 of 88

Q18a. How confident are you that the noise you are referring to is generated by activity at the Toronto City Airport, and not from other activity such as Pearson Airport? Are you ...

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	•	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	ı	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: Respondents who hear some level of noise from BBTCA at home	209	16	5	13	17	136	22	42	87	80	112	97	20	31	143	1	24	34	74	75
Weighted	130*	16**	12**	26**	22**	22	31**	41**	45*	43*	70*	59*	16**	16**	85*	1**	20**	19**	43*	45*
	65	5	2	17	6	15	19	16	24	25	43	23	7	7	45	1	12	6	22	24
Very confident	50.4%	30.7%	18.7%	67.4%	28.5%	69.2%	61.8%	38.3%	54.2%	58.2%	61.1% L	37.9%	43.7%	44.4%	53.0%	100.0%	59.8%	32.9%	52.0%	52.6%
	28	3	5	2	6	3	9	13	6	10	14	14	4	5	18	0	3	6	9	10
Somewhat confident	21.6%	18.0%	40.7%	6.5%	29.1%	14.3%	28.2%	31.0%	12.3%	22.2%	20.5%	22.8%	22.7%	28.3%	21.2%	-	15.3%	30.2%	21.5%	21.7%
	25	3	3	7	8	2	2	10	11	4	7	18	2	3	15	0	2	6	8	7
Not very confident	19.2%	19.7%	22.0%	26.1%	37.9%	9.2%	5.6%	25.2%	23.6%	8.6%	10.1%	29.8%	10.3%	20.3%	18.1%	-	11.7%	28.9%	20.0%	15.7%
	0	3	2	0	1	4	4	2	3	4	6	K 3	4	0	5	0	0	2	3	4
Not at all confident	6.8%	18.3%	18.7%	-	4.6%	5.0%	4.4%	5.6%	6.4%	8.3%	8.1%	5.2%	23.3%	-	6.1%	-	2.4%	8.0%	6.1%	9.2%
	0.070				,	0.070		0.070	9.1,0	0.070	51175	0.00			511,75			0.070		5.2.75
	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	2.1%	13.2%	-	-	-	2.3%	-	-	3.4%	2.6%	0.2%	4.2%	-	7.0%	1.6%	-	10.8%	-	0.4%	0.8%
Summary																				
	93	8	7	19	13	18	28	29	30	35	57	36	10	12	63	1	15	12	31	34
Top2Box - Confident (Very/Somewhat)	72.0%	48.8%	59.3%	73.9%	57.5%	83.5%	90.0%	69.2%	66.5%	80.4%	81.6%	60.7%	66.4%	72.7%	74.2%	100.0%	75.1%	63.1%	73.6%	74.4%
											L									
Low2Box - Not Confident (Not very/Not at all)	34	6	5	7	9	3	3	13	14	7	13	21	5	3	21	0	3	7	11	11
LOWZDOX - NOt Confident (Not very/Not at all)	25.9%	38.0%	40.7%	26.1%	42.5%	14.2%	10.0%	30.8%	30.0%	17.0%	18.2%	35.1%	33.6%	20.3%	24.2%	-	14.0%	36.9%	26.0%	24.9%
			1	1	1		1				1	1			1		1			1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

1psos 72 of 88

Q19. And, overall, would you say that this sound or noise is very bothersome, somewhat bothersome, not really bothersome or not bothersome at all?

				Reg	jion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: Respondents who hear some level of noise																				
from BBTCA at home	209	16	5	13	17	136	22	42	87	80	112	97	20	31	143	1	24	34	74	75
Weighted	130*	16**	12**	26**	22**	22	31**	41**	45*	43*	70*	59*	16**	16**	85*	1**	20**	19**	43*	45*
	12	2	3	4	0	3	0	2	5	5	2	10	1	1	10	0	3	1	3	6
Very bothersome	9.4%	13.7%	22.0%	15.7%	-	14.2%	-	5.5%	10.0%	12.4%	2.7%	17.2%	8.0%	4.1%	11.7%	-	15.9%	3.2%	6.4%	12.3%
												K								
	28	2	2	4	4	5	10	12	8	8	21	7	3	5	16	0	3	2	11	11
Somewhat bothersome	21.7%	14.8%	18.7%	14.8%	17.3%	24.2%	33.7%	28.2%	18.1%	19.2%	30.6%	11.1%	20.2%	32.7%	19.3%	-	13.1%	9.0%	25.8%	25.3%
											L									
	38	6	2	6	4	8	12	10	16	12	21	17	7	2	22	0	5	7	9	16
Not really bothersome	29.2%	33.7%	18.7%	22.4%	17.3%	37.9%	39.0%	23.8%	35.7%	27.6%	30.4%	27.8%	46.2%	15.5%	25.8%	-	26.7%	36.1%	21.7%	35.9%
			-	40	4.5	_		40	45	40	0.5	0.5		_				40		40
No. 1 de la companya	50	5	5	12	15	5	8	18	15	18	25	25	4	8	36	1	8	10	20	12
Not bothersome at all	38.8%	30.4%	40.7%	47.1%	65.4%	23.8%	27.3%	42.5%	33.5%	40.8%	36.3%	41.8%	25.6%	47.7%	41.8%	100.0%	38.3%	51.6%	46.1%	26.4%
	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.9%	7.3%	-	-	-	-	-		2.7%	-	-	2.0%	-	-	1.4%	-	6.0%	-	-	-
, , ,	0.070											,			,					
Summary																				
	40	5	5	8	4	8	10	14	13	14	23	17	4	6	26	0	6	2	14	17
Top2Box (Bothersome)	31.0%	28.6%	40.7%	30.5%	17.3%	38.3%	33.7%	33.7%	28.2%	31.6%	33.3%	28.3%	28.2%	36.8%	31.0%	-	29.0%	12.2%	32.2%	37.6%
	88	11	7	18	18	14	20	27	31	29	47	41	11	10	57	1	13	17	29	28
Low2Box (Not bothersome)	68.0%	64.1%	59.3%	69.5%	82.7%	61.7%	66.3%	66.3%	69.2%	68.4%	66.7%	69.6%	71.8%	63.2%	67.6%	100.0%	65.0%	87.8%	67.8%	62.4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 73 of 88

Q19N. And, overall, would you say that this sound or noise is very bothersome, somewhat bothersome, not really bothersome or not bothersome at all?

				Red	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	12	2	3	4	0	3	0	2	5	5	2	10	1	1	10	0	3	1	3	6
Very bothersome	1.7%	2.9%	1.5%	2.5%	-	6.4% CEG	-	1.1%	1.7%	2.5%	0.6%	2.8%	1.7%	0.6%	2.2%	-	3.0%	0.5%	1.0%	3.2%
	28	2	2	4	4	5	10	12	8	8	21	7	3	5	16	0	3	2	11	11
Somewhat bothersome	4.0%	3.2%	1.3%	2.3%	3.9%	10.9% BCDE	7.4% C	5.5%	3.0%	3.8%	6.4% L	1.8%	4.3%	5.0%	3.7%	-	2.5%	1.3%	4.1%	6.5%
	38	6	2	6	4	8	12	10	16	12	21	17	7	2	22	0	5	7	9	16
Not really bothersome	5.4%	7.2%	1.3%	3.6%	3.9%	17.1%	8.6%	4.7%	5.9%	5.5%	6.3%	4.5%	9.8%	2.4%	4.9%	-	5.1%	5.1%	3.4%	9.2%
		С				BCDEG	С													S
No. of the Control of	50	5	5	12	15	5	8	18	15	18	25	25	4	8	36	1	8	10	20	12
Not bothersome at all	7.2%	6.5%	2.9%	7.5%	14.8% C	10.7% C	6.0%	8.4%	5.5%	8.1%	7.6%	6.8%	5.4%	7.3%	7.9%	19.7%	7.3%	7.3%	7.3%	6.8%
	573	62	163	136	76	27	109	169	229	174	266	306	58	89	366	4	87	118	228	132
(DK/NS)	81.7%	80.3%	93.0%	84.1%	77.4%	54.9%	78.0%	80.3%	83.9%	80.2%	79.2%	84.0%	78.8%	84.6%	81.4%	80.3%	82.2%	85.9%	84.3%	74.4%
		F	BEFG	F	F		F												T	
Summary																				
	40	5	5	8	4	8	10	14	13	14	23	17	4	6	26	0	6	2	14	17
Top2Box (Bothersome)	5.7%	6.1%	2.9%	4.8%	3.9%	17.3%	7.4%	6.6%	4.7%	6.2%	6.9%	4.6%	6.0%	5.7%	5.9%	-	5.5%	1.7%	5.1%	9.6%
	88	11	7	18	40	BCDEG	20	27	31	29	47	41	11	40	57		40	17	29	R 28
Low2Box (Not bothersome)	12.6%	13.7%	4.2%	11.1%	18 18.7%	14 27.8%	14.6%	13.0%	11.4%	13.6%	13.9%	11.4%	11 15.2%	9.7%	12.8%	19.7%	13 12.3%	12.4%	10.7%	16.0%
Lonzbox (Not box loss life)	12.0%	C	4.270	11.176	C	BCDG	C	13.0%	11.476	13.0%	13.9%	11.476	13.270	3.176	12.0%	13.176	12.3%	12.470	10.776	10.0%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 74 of 88

Q20. From your home, do you hear any sound or noise associated with automobile traffic that is directly linked to increased airport activity at Billy Bishop airport?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	2	3	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
A lot of noise	0.6%	-	-	-	1.3%	3.6% BCD	0.8%	-	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.3%	1.7%	0.3%	0.6%	-	0.3%	-	1.2%	0.3%
	23	0	5	6	1	7	4	13	5	5	15	8	4	5	12	0	1	6	13	3
Some noise	3.3%	-	2.9%	3.6%	1.3%	13.5% BCDEG	3.1%	6.2%	2.0%	2.1%	4.5%	2.2%	5.2%	5.0%	2.7%	-	0.6%	4.1%	4.9%	2.0%
	39	11	5	4	7	6	7	20	8	11	21	19	13	7	17	1	8	11	8	9
Not very much noise	5.6%	13.6%	2.9%	2.6%	6.7%	12.2%	4.9%	9.7%	2.8%	5.0%	6.1%	5.1%	17.3%	6.3%	3.8%	19.8%	7.7%	8.1%	3.0%	5.3%
		CDG				CDG		ı					0							
	622	63	163	151	86	33	125	177	252	194	292	330	55	89	409	4	94	117	242	160
No noise at all	88.7%	81.2%	93.2%	93.7%	88.1%	67.7%	89.3%	83.9%	92.1%	89.1%	86.7%	90.6%	75.6%	85.3%	91.0%	80.2%	89.5%	85.2%	89.5%	90.5%
		F	BF	BF	F	_	F	0	Н				_	_	M		_			
(5)(4)(6)	13	4	2	0	3	2	3	U	6	6	6	6	0	3	9	0	2	4	4	3
(DK/NS)	1.8%	5.2% D	1.0%	-	2.6%	3.1% D	2.0%	0.1%	2.3%	2.8%	1.9%	1.7%	0.2%	3.0%	2.0%	-	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%	1.9%
Summary		U				D D														
	27	0	5	6	3	8	5	13	8	7	18	9	5	6	15	0	1	6	17	4
Top2Box (Noise)	3.9%	-	2.9%	3.6%	2.6%	17.1%	3.9%	6.2%	2.8%	3.0%	5.3%	2.6%	6.9%	5.3%	3.3%	-	0.9%	4.1%	6.2%	2.2%
						BCDEG														
	661	73	168	155	93	39	132	197	260	205	312	349	68	96	426	5	103	128	250	169
Low2Box (No noise)	94.3%	94.8%	96.1%	96.4%	94.8%	79.8%	94.2%	93.7%	94.9%	94.1%	92.8%	95.7%	92.9%	91.7%	94.7%	100.0%	97.2%	93.4%	92.5%	95.8%
		F	F	F	F		F													

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 75 of 88

Q21. And, overall, would you say that this sound or noise from this automobile traffic is very bothersome, somewhat bothersome, not really bothersome or not bothersome at all?

				Reg	gion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Graduate/P ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: Respondents who hear sound or noise associated with automobile traffic that is directly linked to increased airport activity at Billy Bishop																				
airport	124	10	4	5	7	89	9	35	40	49	68	56	17	25	74	1	17	23	48	34
Weighted	66*	11**	10**	10**	9**	14*	12**	34**	15**	18**	38**	28**	18**	12**	32**	1**	9**	17**	25**	13**
	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Very bothersome	2.3%	-	-	-	-	10.8%	-	-	7.1%	2.6%	0.8%	4.4%	-	2.8%	3.3%	-	3.8%	1.1%	2.0%	3.8%
•																				
	27	1	8	4	1	6	7	13	8	6	15	12	9	5	11	0	0	8	16	2
Somewhat bothersome	40.3%	11.8%	77.2%	37.0%	13.8%	41.2%	55.8%	37.8%	54.0%	33.0%	39.3%	41.6%	51.5%	41.6%	33.4%	-	3.5%	48.6%	64.9%	16.1%
	21	5	2	2	3	5	4	12	5	5	16	5	3	4	13	0	2	2	7	9
Not really bothersome	32.1%	51.9%	22.8%	21.0%	28.2%	36.0%	30.0%	34.4%	33.8%	26.2%	42.6%	17.6%	14.3%	32.3%	41.3%	-	20.7%	13.8%	26.9%	68.2%
	17	4	0	4	5	2	2	9	1	7	7	10	6	3	7	1	7	6	2	2
Not bothersome at all	25.3%	36.3%	-	41.9%	58.0%	12.0%	14.1%	27.8%	5.0%	38.2%	17.2%	36.5%	34.1%	23.3%	21.9%	100.0%	72.0%	36.4%	6.1%	11.9%
Summary																				
	28	1	8	4	1	7	7	13	9	6	15	13	9	5	12	0	1	8	17	3
Top2Box (Bothersome)	42.6%	11.8%	77.2%	37.0%	13.8%	52.0%	55.8%	37.8%	61.1%	35.7%	40.2%	46.0%	51.5%	44.4%	36.7%	-	7.3%	49.8%	67.0%	19.9%
				_		_	_						0	_					_	
	38	9	2	6	8	7	5	21	6	11	23	15	9	7	20	1	8	8	8	11
Low2Box (Not bothersome)	57.4%	88.2%	22.8%	63.0%	86.2%	48.0%	44.2%	62.2%	38.9%	64.3%	59.8%	54.0%	48.5%	55.6%	63.3%	100.0%	92.7%	50.2%	33.0%	80.1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 76 of 88

Q21n. And, overall, would you say that this sound or noise from this automobile traffic is very bothersome, somewhat bothersome, not really bothersome or not bothersome at all?

				Re	aion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Very bothersome	0.2%	-	-	-	-	3.2% BCDEG	-	-	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0.2%	-	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%
	27	1	8	4	1	6	7	13	8	6	15	12	9	5	11	0	0	8	16	2
Somewhat bothersome	3.8%	1.6%	4.4%	2.3%	1.3%	12.0% BCDEG	4.9%	6.0%	3.0%	2.7%	4.5%	3.2%	12.5% O	4.8%	2.3%	-	0.3%	5.9%	6.0%	1.2%
	21	5	2	2	3	5	4	12	5	5	16	5	3	4	13	0	2	2	7	9
Not really bothersome	3.0%	7.1%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	10.5%	2.6%	5.5%	1.9%	2.1%	4.9%	1.3%	3.5%	3.8%	2.9%	-	1.8%	1.7%	2.5%	5.2%
		CD				CDEG					L									
	17	4	0	4	5	2	2	9	1	7	7	10	6	3	7	1	7	6	2	2
Not bothersome at all	2.4%	4.9%	-	2.6%	5.4%	3.5%	1.2%	4.4%	0.3%	3.1%	2.0%	2.8%	8.3%	2.7%	1.5%	19.8%	6.2%	4.4%	0.6%	0.9%
	005	C	405	151	C	C	400	1	050	I	000	007	0		440		ST	S	0.40	400
(DK/NS)	635	67	165	151	89	35	128	177	258	200	298	337	56	93	418	4	96	121	246	163
(DIVINS)	90.5%	86.4%	94.2%	93.7% F	90.7%	70.7%	91.3% F	84.0%	94.4% H	91.9% H	88.6%	92.3%	75.8%	88.4%	93.0% M	80.2%	91.4%	87.8%	90.8%	92.4%
Summary				,					.,						IVI					
	28	1	8	4	1	7	7	13	9	6	15	13	9	5	12	0	1	8	17	3
Top2Box (Bothersome)	4.0%	1.6%	4.4%	2.3%	1.3%	15.2%	4.9%	6.0%	3.4%	2.9%	4.6%	3.5%	12.5%	5.2%	2.6%	-	0.6%	6.1%	6.1%	1.5%
						BCDEG							0							
	38	9	2	6	8	7	5	21	6	11	23	15	9	7	20	1	8	8	8	11
Low2Box (Not bothersome)	5.4%	12.0%	1.3%	3.9%	8.0%	14.1%	3.9%	9.9%	2.2%	5.2%	6.8%	4.1%	11.7%	6.5%	4.4%	19.8%	8.0%	6.1%	3.0%	6.1%
		CG			С	CDG		- 1												

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 77 of 88

Q21a. It is customary in Canada for airports to pay a fee-per-passenger to the City in which it operates instead of paying municipal land taxes. Most major airports, including Toronto's Pearson Airport and the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, pay this fee in lieu of taxes. Do you think that the fee-per-passenger that the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport pays should be...

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Higher than what Toronto Pearson Airport pays per passenger	90 12.8%	10 13.1%	14 8.0%	19 11.8%	11 11.2%	7 14.3%	28 20.2% C	41 19.5% I	24 8.8%	25 11.4%	43 12.7%	47 12.8%	7 9.3%	15 14.1%	57 12.7%	1 19.8%	14 13.6%	14 9.9%	31 11.6%	28 15.9%
The same as what they pay	334 47.6%	36 47.2%	104 59.3% DG	65 40.0%	45 46.2%	24 49.2%	59 42.3%	82 38.9%	144 52.8%	108 49.5%	146 43.4%	188 51.5%	33 45.7%	46 44.1%	224 49.9%	64.6%	36 33.7%	59 43.1%	131 48.5% O	102 57.8% QR
Lower than what Toronto Pearson Airport pays per passenger	258 36.9%	26 33.7%	53 30.1%	74 45.6%	41 41.5%	16 33.4%	49 34.9%	84 40.0%	105	70 32.1%	139 41.3%	119 32.8%	31 42.3%	42 39.9%	158 35.3%	0 -	51 48.7% T	60 43.6% T	102 37.7% T	43 24.3%
(DK/NS)	19 2.7%	5 5.9%	4 2.6%	4 2.5%	1.0%	2 3.2%	4 2.6%	4 1.7%	0	15 7.1%	9 2.6%	11 2.9%	2 2.7%	2 1.9%	10 2.2%	1 15.6%	4 4.0%	5 3.5%	6 2.2%	4 2.0%
` '	2.770	2.070	2.070	2.070		2.270	2.570	70	2.170	HI	2.570	2.070	=:7,0		2.270	13.070		2.070		2.570

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 78 of 88

Q21b. On April 3, 2013, City of Toronto Staff recommended that City Council accept a per-passenger payment in lieu of taxes for the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport that was the same amount as Toronto Pearson Airport -- \$0.94 per passenger. A majority of City Councillors rejected their staff's proposal, demanding that the Toronto Port Authority charge its passengers a far higher rate than Toronto Pearson Airport. Do you believe that City Councillors are treating Billy Bishop passengers fairly by charging passengers more to fly out of the island airport than from Pearson Airport?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+	School	High School Q	College	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Yes, passengers are being treated fairly	165 23.6%	15 19.4%	38 21.9%	39 24.2%	20 20.1%	16 32.1%	38 26.9%	62 29.6%	47 17.0%	57 26.0%	91 27.0%	74 20.4%	15 20.0%	33 31.8%	100 22.3%	1 19.7%	26 24.3%	31 22.3%	57 21.2%	47 26.8%
						BE		ı												
No, passengers are not being treated fairly	500 71.4%	59 77.1%	130 74.3%	110 68.3%	73 74.7%	32 64.6%	96 68.1%	138 65.6%	212 77.4%	151 69.3%	231 68.8%	269 73.8%	59 80.0%	64 61.4%	330 73.4%	4 80.3%	77 73.4%	103 74.6%	203 74.9%	111 62.9%
		F							Н				N						Т	
	35	3	7	12	5	2	7	10	15	10	14	21	0	7	20	0	2	4	10	18
(DK/NS)	5.0%	3.6%	3.8%	7.5%	5.2%	3.2%	5.0%	4.7%	5.6%	4.7%	4.2%	5.8%	-	6.7%	4.3%	-	2.4%	3.1%	3.9%	10.3% S

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 79 of 88

Q21c. How aware are you that the pedestrian tunnel project is being paid for by passengers through a portion of the airport improvement fee, and that no taxpayer's money is involved? Are you ...

											0-							Education		
				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/F ofessiona
	Δ	B	C	D	Etobicoke	F	G G	10-34 H	33-34	33 T	K	remaie	M	N	0	D	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	58	8	7	6	4	7	26	13	21	23	42	15	5	5	40	1	7	10	17	20
Very aware	8.2%	10.4%	3.8%	3.8%	3.7%	14.7%	18.5%	6.1%	7.8%	10.8%	12.5%	4.2%	6.1%	5.1%	9.0%	19.7%	6.7%	7.5%	6.3%	11.3%
						CDE	CDE				L									
	74	5	18	6	12	9	24	16	26	33	37	37	11	9	52	0	10	9	37	17
Somewhat aware	10.6%	6.5%	10.5%	3.6%	12.4%	18.2%	16.9%	7.5%	9.4%	15.1%	10.9%	10.3%	14.6%	8.3%	11.6%	-	9.9%	6.6%	13.7%	9.9%
					D	BD	BD													
	107	13	26	29	18	8	13	34	41	32	43	63	10	12	68	1	18	22	41	25
Not very aware	15.2%	16.8%	14.7%	18.0%	18.6%	15.6%	9.4%	16.0%	14.9%	14.9%	12.9%	17.4%	14.2%	11.0%	15.2%	15.6%	17.2%	16.2%	15.0%	14.0%
	462	51	125	120	64	25	77	148	186	129	214	248	48	79	288	3	70	96	176	114
Not at all aware	66.0%	66.3%	71.1%	74.7%	65.4%	50.5%	55.2%	70.4%	67.9%	59.2%	63.7%	68.1%	65.1%	75.5%	64.2%	64.7%	66.2%	69.6%	64.9%	64.6%
		F	FG	FG	F															
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.1%	-	-	-	-	1.0%	-	-	0.1%	0.1%	0	0.1%	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-	0	0.2%
Summary																				
	131	13	25	12	16	16	50	29	47	56	79	53	15	14	93	1	17	19	54	37
Top2Box (Aware)	18.8%	16.9%	14.2%	7.4%	16.1%	32.9%	35.4%	13.6%	17.1%	25.8%	23.4%	14.5%	20.7%	13.4%	20.6%	19.7%	16.6%	14.2%	20.0%	21.2%
						BCDE	BCDE			Н	L									_
	569	64	150	149	82	32	91	182	226	161	258	311	58	91	356	4	88	118	216	139
Low2Box (Not Aware)	81.2%	83.1%	85.8%	92.6%	83.9%	66.1%	64.6%	86.4%	82.8%	74.1%	76.5%	85.4%	79.3%	86.6%	79.3%	80.3%	83.4%	85.8%	80.0%	78.6%
		FG	FG	FG	FG	1	1	J	1	1	1	ı K	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 80 of 88

Q21d. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: I like that the tunnel project is being financed solely by fees collected from airport passengers and not by all taxpayers. Do you ...

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	F	Gueen St.	G G	10-34 H	35-54	35+	K	remale	X\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	0 0	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
	347	36	93	82	52	24	60	98	147	103	177	170	27	49	235	1	54	69	132	91
Strongly agree	49.5%	46.6%	53.1%	51.1%	52.9%	47.9%	42.9%	46.5%	53.6%	47.2%	52.7%	46.5%	37.1%	47.1%	52.3%	19.8%	51.1%	50.3%	48.7%	51.6%
	231	25	51	50	34	17	52	73	84	73	100	131	30	39	138	4	37	45	96	45
Somewhat agree	32.9%	32.9%	29.1%	31.1%	34.9%	35.6%	37.4%	34.8%	30.9%	33.7%	29.8%	35.8%	41.3%	37.3%	30.6%	80.2%	35.0%	32.9%	35.5%	25.4%
	68	10	14	17	9	4	13	25	26	16	38	30	8	8	47	0	5	12	26	25
Somewhat disagree	9.7%	12.7%	8.1%	10.6%	9.6%	8.6%	9.5%	12.1%	9.6%	7.5%	11.3%	8.2%	10.8%	7.6%	10.5%	-	4.7%	8.6%	9.6%	14.3%
	44	5	12	10	2	2	13	10	15	19	19	26	8	8	21	0	9	9	14	9
Strongly disagree	6.3%	6.2%	6.9%	5.9%	2.5%	4.9%	9.3%	4.5%	5.6%	8.9%	5.6%	7.0%	10.8%	7.6%	4.8%	-	9.0%	6.7%	5.3%	5.3%
	11	1	5	2	0	1	1	4	1	6	2	9	0	0	8	0	0	2	2	6
(DK/NS)	1.5%	1.6%	2.8%	1.2%	-	3.0%	0.8%	2.1%	0.3%	2.6%	0.6%	2.5%	-	0.5%	1.8%	-	0.2%	1.6%	0.9%	3.5%
Summary						E														
- Cammary	578	61	144	133	86	41	113	171	231	176	278	300	57	88	373	5	91	114	228	136
Top2Box (Agree)	82.4%	79.5%	82.2%	82.2%	87.9%	83.5%	80.4%	81.3%	84.5%	80.9%	82.5%	82.3%	78.4%	84.3%	82.9%	100.0%	86.2%	83.1%	84.2%	77.0%
	112	15	26	27	12	7	26	35	42	36	57	55	16	16	69	0	14	21	40	35
Low2Box (Disagree)	16.0%	18.9%	15.0%	16.5%	12.1%	13.5%	18.8%	16.6%	15.2%	16.4%	16.9%	15.2%	21.6%	15.2%	15.3%	-	13.7%	15.3%	14.9%	19.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 81 of 88

Q21e. Some people support the use of jet aircraft at the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport and say that it would increase competition and lower prices for flights out of Toronto, give Torontonians more access to more destinations, and help the Canadian economy and aerospace companies like Bombardier due to increase d manufacturing orders for jet aircraft. Other people oppose the use of jet aircraft at BBTCA and say that allowing jets to fly out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport would lead to more noise coming from the airport and skies, require a runway extension, increase traffic congestion due to higher passenger volumes, and open the door for other airlines to fly jets out of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. Which is closer to your point of view?

				Reg	jion				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate ofession
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	701	75	75	75	76	300	100	176	263	262	358	343	70	115	448	5	99	133	263	197
Weighted	701	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210	273	217	336	365	73*	105*	449	5**	106*	137*	271	177
Support the use of jet aircraft at Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport provided the jets make no more noise than the current turboprops	422 60.2%	44 56.8%	101 57.7%	110 68.3% F	61 61.9%	24 49.5%	82 58.4%	149 71.0% J	162 59.3%	110 50.8%	213 63.2%	209 57.4%	35 47.2%	66 62.8%	287 64.0% M	3 55.1%	60 56.6%	89 64.7%	166 61.2%	102 57.6%
Oppose the use of jet aircraft at Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport under any circumstances	261 37.2%	32 41.9%	69 39.5%	47 28.9%	32 33.0%	24 49.7% DE	56 39.8%	57 27.0%	103 37.6%	101 46.6%	115 34.3%	145 39.9%	39 52.8%	39 37.2%	151 33.7%	2 44.9%	43 41.0%	49 35.3%	99 36.6%	68 38.3%
	18	1	5	5	5	DE O	2	4	0	6	0	10	0	0	11	0	2	0	6	7
(DK/NS)	2.6%	1.3%	2.8%	2.8%	5.1%	0.7%	1.8%	2.0%	3.1%	2.6%	2.5%	2.7%		-	2.3%	-	2.4%	-	2.2%	4.2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 82 of 88

Q22. Lastly, please tell me if there are any issues or concerns that you have personally about the operation or impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport?

				Reg	ion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents Weighted	701 701	75 77*	75 175*	75 161*	76 98*	300 49	100 140*	176 210	263 273	262 217	358 336	343 365	70 73*	115 105*	448 449	5 5**	99 106*	133 137*	263 271	197 177
Weighted	107	18	23	28	9	11	19	29	44	34	49	59	7	17	73	0	7	19	47	34
Residential Issues (Net)	15.3%	22.7%	12.9%	17.3%	9.0%	23.0%	13.5%	13.8%	16.3%	15.4%	14.4%	16.1%	10.2%	16.5%	16.2%	-	6.7%	13.7%	17.5%	19.1%
		Е				CEG													Q	Q
Noise	51 7.3%	8 11.0%	11 6.4%	12 7.2%	2.6%	6 13.0%	11 7.7%	7 3.5%	19 7.1%	24 11.1%	13 4.0%	38 10.3%	3 4.7%	6 5.9%	37 8.1%	0 -	3 2.6%	8 5.7%	7.7%	20 11.1%
10.00	7.576	E	0.476	1.270	2.076	E	1.170	3.376	7.170	H	4.078	K	4.7 70	3.576	0.176		2.076	3.7 /6	1.1 /6	Q Q
	46	7	9	14	4	4	8	13	22	10	28	18	4	8	32	0	2	11	20	12
Traffic congestion	6.5%	9.1%	4.9%	8.8%	3.9%	9.2%	5.4%	6.2%	8.2%	4.8%	8.4%	4.8%	4.9%	7.8%	7.1%	-	2.0%	8.1%	7.6%	6.8%
	8	0	0	2	1	1	4	1	3	4	4	5	0	2	7	0	0	1	2	5
Safety of residents/ danger	1.2%	-	-	1.3%	1.2%	1.9% C	3.0%	0.7%	1.1%	1.8%	1.1%	1.3%	-	1.5%	1.5%	-	0.2%	1.0%	0.6%	2.8%
	7	1	0	5	0	1	0	4	3	0	1	6	0	1	3	0	0	1	6	0
Cost to the taxpayers	1.0%	1.6%	-	3.1%	-	1.6%	-	1.9%	1.0%	0.1%	0.2%	1.8%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	-	0.1%	0.9%	2.1%	0.1%
	6	2	0	2	0	CG 1	1	0	3	3	4	2	0	3	3	0	0	1	5	0
Waterfront is becoming too crowded/busy	0.9%	2.3%	-	1.3%	-	2.3%	1.0%	0.2%	0.9%	1.5%	1.1%	0.7%	-	3.3%	0.6%	-	-	0.7%	1.8%	0.3%
						CE														
Declining property values	6 0.8%	-	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	-	1 0.7%	3 0.9%	0.8%	5 1.6%	0.1%	1.8%	0.2%	0.9%	0 -	0	0.1%	0.9%	1.9%
Deciming property values	0.076		1.076	1.576	1.576	G	-	0.776	0.576	0.076	1.078	0.176	1.076	0.276	0.576	<u> </u>	-	0.176	0.576	1.570
	5	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	3	1	4	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	4	0
Too many vehicles	0.7%	-	1.3%	-	-	2.5% DE	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%	-	-	1.1%	-	-	0.2%	1.5%	0.3%
	5	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	3	2	0	2	3	0	2	0	0	2
Residents are more affected/ nuisance	0.7%	-	1.3%	-	-	2.1%	1.0%	1.1%	0.3%	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%	-	1.5%	0.7%	-	2.2%	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%
	68	9	13	18	6	D 5	16	22	25	21	26	42	4	17	39	0	7	4	29	27
Environmental Concerns (Net)	9.7%	12.0%	7.7%	11.4%	5.7%	11.2%	11.2%	10.4%	9.3%	9.5%	7.8%	11.4%	5.1%	16.4%	8.6%	-	6.5%	2.6%	10.9%	15.1%
																			R	R
Air Pollution	3.9%	5 6.5%	6 3.6%	7 4.4%	0	3	6 4.2%	5 2.3%	12 4.3%	11 4.8%	10 2.9%	17 4.8%	1.5%	7 6.8%	15 3.4%	0	4	1 0.50/	11	11 6.3%
All Folidion	3.9%	6.5% E	3.6%	4.4%	-	6.3% E	4.2%	2.3%	4.3%	4.8%	2.9%	4.8%	1.5%	6.8%	3.4%	-	4.0%	0.5%	4.1%	6.3% R
	24	3	2	11	3	1	3	9	8	6	7	17	1	1	15	0	3	3	9	9
Water Pollution	3.4%	4.2%	1.2%	7.0%	3.1%	2.2%	2.0%	4.3%	3.0%	2.9%	2.1%	4.6%	1.7%	1.4%	3.4%	-	2.5%	2.1%	3.5%	4.9%
	13	1	5	3	0	1	4	6	4	3	7	6	1	6	5	0	0	0	6	6
Bad for/ harms the environment	1.8%	1.6%	2.6%	1.6%	-	1.4%	2.8%	3.0%	1.4%	1.3%	2.1%	1.6%	1.9%	6.0%	1.1%	-	0.1%	-	2.4%	3.6%
	_											_		0	_				•	
Pollution (unspecified)	7 1.0%	0	3 1.5%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	0 -	1 0.6%	3 1.1%	2 1.1%	1 0.4%	5 1.4%	0	0.1%	7 1.5%	0	0	0	3 1.1%	3 1.4%
i diadon (anoposinos)	1.070		1.570	1.270	1.570	1.070		0.070	1.170	1.170	0.470	1.470		0.170	1.070				1.170	1.470
	5	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	3	3	1	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	3
Should be parks/ conservation area	0.7%	2.3%	-	-	1.2%	1.2%	0.8%	-	0.5%	1.6%	1.0%	0.4%	1.7%	-	0.8%	-	1.2%	-	0.1%	1.8%
	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	1	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0
All other environmental concerns	0.7%	-	-	-	-	0.3%	3.3%	1.6%	-	0.6%	0.3%	1.0%	-	4.4%	0	-	•	-	1.7%	0.1%
														0						
5	1	1	0	0	Ö	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Protection of/ impact on wildlife/ animals	0.2%	1.0%	-	-	-	1.0%	-	-	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0.3%	-		0.1%		0.7%
	65	11	14	13	2	5	18	10	29	25	32	33	4	8	46	0	8	7	31	19
Airport Concerns (Net)	9.2%	14.7%	8.0%	8.3%	2.5%	11.0%	12.9%	4.8%	10.6%	11.7%	9.4%	9.0%	6.0%	7.2%	10.3%	-	7.2%	5.3%	11.4%	10.6%
		Е				E	Е			Н										
Too many planes/flights	18 2.6%	5 6.5%	5 2.8%	1.2%	0	2.6%	5 3.6%	0 0.1%	7 2.6%	11 5.0%	6 1.8%	12 3.3%	-	3.4%	13 3.0%	0	4.0%	0	3.3%	5 2.8%
. 25 many plants ingrite	2.0 /0	6.5% E	2.070	1.2/0	•	E E	5.076	0.170	2.070	5.0% H	1.070	0.070	<u> </u>	J.470	5.070	<u> </u>	7.070		J.J /0	2.070
Dealthurstitte and 11 1	17	2	0	2	1	3	9	1	9	7	12	5	2	0	15	0	0	0	8	8
Don't want it to expand/ stay small	2.5%	2.9%	-	1.3%	1.2%	5.6% CDE	6.4% C	0.6%	3.4%	3.0%	3.6%	1.4%	2.1%	0.5%	3.4%	-	0.1%	0.2%	3.1%	4.7%
It's just the beginning-it's going to get more	11	2	5	0	0	1	4	4	4	3	8	4	1	1	9	0	0	4	4	3
planes and make it worse	1.6%	2.5%	2.6%	-	-	1.3%	3.0%	1.9%	1.5%	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%	2.1%	-	-	2.6%	1.6%	2.0%
	10	2	0	2	0	1	5	1	4	5	6	4	0	1	9	0	0	3	3	4
Do not want large(r) aircraft(s)/ jets	1.5%	3.2%	-	1.3%	-	2.1%	3.4%	0.6%	1.3%	2.5%	1.8%	1.2%	0.2%	1.3%	2.0%	-	•	2.5%	1.2%	2.1%
	8	0	4	2	1	C 0	0	4	0	3	6	1	2	1	3	0	3	2	2	0
		U		-					0.1%	1.5%		0.4%	2.5%	1.2%	0.6%	1 0	J	1 -	0.9%	U

Q22. Lastly, please tell me if there are any issues or concerns that you have personally about the operation or impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport?

			1	Re	gion				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
				_				_				_			_			•	•	
Porter (Subnet)	5 0.7%	0	0	5 3.1%	0	0.3%	0	3 1.2%	1.0%	0	0	5 1.4%	0	0	5 1.2%	0	0	0	1.0%	1.4%
i oter (oubliet)	0.7 /6			3.170		0.576		1.2/0	1.076		,	1.470			1.270				1.076	1.470
Porter has a monopoly/ should let other airlines i	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	2
	0.7%	-	-	3.1%	-	-	-	1.2%	0.9%	-	-	1.4%	-	-	1.1%	-	-	-	0.9%	1.4%
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Keep Porter/ no other airlines wanted	0	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	0.1%	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	•	0.1%	-
There will be an accident/ plane crash	5 0.7%	-	3 1.5%	1.2%	0	0	0 -	-	3 1.1%	0.9%	-	5 1.3%	-	0 -	2 0.5%	-	2 2.1%	0	3 1.0%	0
There will be all accident/ plane crash	0.7 %	-	1.5%	1.276	_	0.4%	-	-	1.170	0.9%		1.3%	-	-	0.5%	-	2.170		1.0%	-
	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
The name should have remained the same	0.3%	-	-	1.3%	-	0.3%	-	1.0%		0.1%	0.6%	0	-	0.1%	-	-	-	0.1%	0.8%	-
																				-
Use a larger/ different airport (include mentions	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
of Pearson)	0.1%	1.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4%	-	0.3%	-	-	-	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.6%
Funcacion (Nas)	7	2	0	0	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	5	0	0	6	0	0	2	4	1
Expansion (Net)	0.9%	2.6%	-	-	1.6%	2.9% CD	1.2%	1.3%	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%	0.1%	1.4%	-	0.1%	1.2%	1.5%	0.4%
						OD														
Prefer if they built a bridge/ overpass/ walkway	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	2	2	0
r refer if they built a bridge, overpass, walkway	0.5%		-	-	1.6%	0.6%	1.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.1%	0	0.9%	-	-	0.8%	-	-	1.1%	0.6%	0.2%
	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
Do not like the idea of the tunnel / underpass	0.4%	2.6%	-	-	-	2.3%	-	0.6%	0.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%	-	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	0.2%
						CDEG								_	40				40	40
Other (Net)	56 8.0%	7 8.8%	9 5.4%	9 5.4%	6.0%	5 9.2%	20 14.6%	17 8.0%	22 8.0%	17 7.8%	30 8.9%	26 7.1%	7 9.6%	7 6.8%	40 8.9%	-	3 2.4%	17 12.4%	18 6.7%	18 10.3%
Cutof (NC)	0.076	0.076	3.470	3.470	0.076	3.276	CD	0.076	0.076	7.076	0.576	7.170	3.076	0.076	0.576	-	2.470	Q Q	0.7 70	10.576
	22	0	5	6	3	1	7	7	8	7	11	11	3	3	16	0	1	6	10	5
Positive mentions	3.1%	-	2.8%	3.9%	2.6%	2.3%	5.0%	3.4%	2.8%	3.3%	3.3%	3.0%	3.7%	3.2%	3.5%	-	1.3%	4.3%	3.6%	2.7%
	6	2	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	2	3	3	1	2	2	0	1	1	0	4
Ruins the view/don't like seeing planes	0.8%	2.3%	-	-	1.3%	1.9%	1.2%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.8%	1.7%	0.5%	-	0.8%	0.7%	0.1%	2.1%
,						CD														
		1				0					1	2							4	2
Waste of money/ money could be spent on other things	0.4%	1.3%	0	0 -	0	-	1.2%	3 1.3%	0	0 -	0.3%	0.5%	-	0 -	3 0.6%	0 -	0	0	0.4%	1.0%
9-	U.+/0	1.0/0					1.2/0	1.0/0			0.070	0.070			0.070				U.4 /0	1.070
	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
Costs to travel increase	0.3%	1.6%	-	-	-	1.2%	-	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	-	0.3%	-	-	1.2%	-	0.1%
	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Want to keep the ferry	0.1%	1.0%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	0.4%	0.2%	0	-	-	0.2%	-	0.1%	-	0.3%	-
, , , , , , , , , ,	170	,				2.370				2.170	2.2.70				2.270		2.170		2.070	
									_											
Too political/ too much government interference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	-	-	-	-	0.6%	-	-	-	0.1%	0	0	-	0.1%	0	-	-	-	-	0.2%
	23	2	5	2	2	1	10	5	11	6	14	9	3	2	16	0	0	9	6	8
Other	3.2%	2.6%	2.6%	1.5%	2.1%	2.9%	7.2%	2.5%	4.0%	2.9%	4.1%	2.4%	3.8%	1.8%	3.6%	-	0.2%	6.2%	2.3%	4.3%
			400	400				440	470	440	200	0.40			201	_			470	400
None	474 67.7%	46 60.1%	130 74.0%	109 67.4%	78 79.7%	29 58.9%	83 58.9%	149 70.8%	179 65.6%	146 67.3%	232 69.1%	242 66.4%	55 75.5%	70 67.1%	294 65.5%	5 100.0%	86 81.6%	97 70.8%	179 66.2%	102 58.0%
None	01.170	00.176	74.0% FG	07.476	BFG	30.9%	30.9%	10.0%	03.0%	01.3%	09.176	00.476	10.0%	07.176	03.3%	100.0%	81.6% ST	10.0%	00.276	30.076
Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B	10 ID IE IE 10		*	TO 1 1																

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 83 of 88