SURVIVAL STRATEGIES IN RETIREMENT

QF4anew2013_1. [Stay in my current home and pay for home care as needed] Please rate the appeal of each of the following living arrangements that you might consider as you age?

													Not R	etired											
		Age	50-59	Age 60-69		Age 70+		Age 50-59						Age 60-69						Age 70+					
	Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	вс	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	вс	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	ВС	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	- 1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	Х
Base: All respondents	1093	407	312	218	118	27**	11**	86*	90*	63*	295	146	39*	51*	36*	30*	164	44*	11**	5**	5**	6**	14**	7**	1**
Stay in my current home and pay for home care as needed																									
	338	120	102	65	37	7	7	25	29	14	82	60	12	14	11	13	50	11	3	1	2	1	5	4	1
Very appealing	30.9%	29.5%	32.7%	29.8%	31.4%	25.9%	63.6%	29.1%	32.2%	22.2%	27.8%	41.1%	30.8%	27.5%	30.6%	43.3%	30.5%	25.0%	27.3%	20.0%	40.0%	16.7%	35.7%	57.1%	100.0%
												IJ													
	574	207	164	125	58	17	3	47	47	34	156	67	20	25	20	15	88	29	6	4	2	4	8		0
Somewhat appealing	52.5%	50.9%	52.6%	57.3%	49.2%	63.0%	27.3%	54.7%	52.2%	54.0%	52.9%	45.9%	51.3%	49.0%	55.6%	50.0%	53.7%	65.9%	54.5%	80.0%	40.0%	66.7%	57.1%	28.6%	-
				21									7	10			21		2		0	1		+	0
Not very appealing	143	66	34 10.9%		19 16.1%	7.4%	9.1%	10	12	11	49	7.5%			4	1		2		0	0		7.1%		
Not very appealing	13.1%	16.2% B	10.9%	9.6%	16.1%	7.4%	9.1%	11.6%	13.3%	17.5% K	16.6% K	7.5%	17.9%	19.6% OQ	11.1%	3.3%	12.8%	4.5%	18.2%		-	16.7%	7.1%	1 % 14.3%	-
	38	14	12	7	4	1	0	4	2	4	8	8	0	2	1	1	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Not at all appealing	3.5%	3.4%	3.8%	3.2%	3.4%	3.7%	-	4.7%	2.2%	6.3%	2.7%	5.5%	-	3.9%	2.8%	3.3%	3.0%	4.5%	-	-	20.0%	-	-	7** 4 57.1% 2 28.6% 1 14.3%	-
	9.979	91178	0.070	0.2.7		9.1.75			==-7			0.070				61676	0.070	110,70							
Summary																									
	338	120	102	65	37	7	7	25	29	14	82	60	12	14	11	13	50	11	3	1	2	1	5	4	1
TopBox (Very appealing)	30.9%	29.5%	32.7%	29.8%	31.4%	25.9%	63.6%	29.1%	32.2%	22.2%	27.8%	41.1%	30.8%	27.5%	30.6%	43.3%	30.5%	25.0%	27.3%	20.0%	40.0%	16.7%	35.7%	57.1%	100.0%
												IJ													
	912	327	266	190	95	24	10	72	76	48	238	127	32	39	31	28	138	40	9	5	4	5	13		1
Top2Box (Very/ Somewhat appealing)	83.4%	80.3%	85.3%	87.2%	80.5%	88.9%	90.9%	83.7%	84.4%	76.2%	80.7%	87.0%	82.1%	76.5%	86.1%	93.3%	84.1%	90.9%	81.8%	100.0%	80.0%	83.3%	92.9%	85.7%	100.0%
	181	80	46	28	23	3	1	14	14	15	57	19	7	12	5	2	26	4	2	0	1	1	1	1	0
Low2Box (Not very/ Not at all appealing)	16.6%	19.7%	14.7%	12.8%	19.5%	11.1%	9.1%	16.3%	15.6%	23.8%	19.3%	13.0%	17.9%	23.5%	13.9%	6.7%	15.9%	9.1%	18.2%	-	20.0%	16.7%	7.1%	14.3%	-
	38	14	12	7	4	1	0	4	2	4	8	8	0	2	1	1	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
LowBox (Not at all appealing)	3.5%	3.4%	3.8%	3.2%	3.4%	3.7%	-	4.7%	2.2%	6.3%	2.7%	5.5%	-	3.9%	2.8%	3.3%	3.0%	4.5%	-	-	20.0%	-	-		-
	2.070	2.470	2.070	J.E.70	2.470	2.170		,0	2.270	2.070	2.770	2.070		2.070	2.070	2.070	2.070				23.070				

2013

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F - G/H/I/J/K/L - M/N/O/P/Q/R - S/T/U/V/W/X Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Detailed Tables

SURVIVAL STRATEGIES IN RETIREMENT

QF4anew2013_2. [Live with family members who could provide or co-ordinate home care] Please rate the appeal of each of the following living arrangements that you might consider as you age?

			Not Retired																							
		Age	Age 50-59		Age 60-69		Age 70+		Age 50-59						Age 60-69						Age 70+					
	Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	BC	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	BC	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	BC	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S 5**	T 5**	U	V	W 7**	1**	
Base: All respondents	1093	407	312	218	118	27**	11**	86*	90*	63*	295	146	39*	51*	36*	30*	164	44*	11**	5**	5**	6**	14**	7**	1**	
Live with family members who could provide or c ordinate home care																										
	32	11	9	6	6	0	0	1	2	1	9	5	2	1	1	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Very appealing	2.9%	2.7%	2.9%	2.8%	5.1%	-	-	1.2%	2.2%	1.6%	3.1%	3.4%	5.1%	2.0%	2.8%	-	3.7%	9.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1																										
	232	79	82	46	18	4	3	20	18	13	72	31	7	10	10	3	32	7	2	1	1	2	1	2	0	
Somewhat appealing	21.2%	19.4%	26.3%	21.1%	15.3%	14.8%	27.3%	23.3%	20.0%	20.6%	24.4%	21.2%	17.9%	19.6%	27.8%	10.0%	19.5%	15.9%	18.2%	20.0%	20.0%	33.3%	7.1%	28.6%	-	
			A																							
	457	197	115	91	42	10	2	38	42	26	123	66	17	19	12	8	72	16	6	2	1	0	7	1	1	
Not very appealing	41.8%	48.4%	36.9%	41.7%	35.6%	37.0%	18.2%	44.2%	46.7%	41.3%	41.7%	45.2%	43.6%	37.3%	33.3%	26.7%	43.9%	36.4%	54.5%	40.0%	20.0%	-	50.0%	50.0% 14.3%	100.0%	
		В										-														
	372	120	106	75	52	13	6	27	28	23	91	44	13	21	13	19	54	17	3	2	3	4	6	4	0	
Not at all appealing	34.0%	29.5%	34.0%	34.4%	44.1%	48.1%	54.5%	31.4%	31.1%	36.5%	30.8%	30.1%	33.3%	41.2%	36.1%	63.3%	32.9%	38.6%	27.3%	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	42.9%	57.1%	-	
0																NPQ										
Summary	00		q		6	0	0	1	2		9	-	2		1	0	6	4	0	0		0	0	0		
Ton Boy () (on connection)	32	11		2.8%	5.1%	0				1.6%		5		1 0.00/				9.1%			0	0	0		0	
TopBox (Very appealing)	2.9%	2.7%	2.9%	2.8%	5.1%		-	1.2%	2.2%	1.0%	3.1%	3.4%	5.1%	2.0%	2.8%	-	3.7%	9.1%	-			-		-	-	
	264	90	91	52	24	4	3	21	20	14	81	36	9	11	11	3	38	11	2	1	1	2	1	2	0	
Top2Box (Very/ Somewhat appealing)	24.2%	22.1%	29.2%	23.9%	20.3%	14.8%	27.3%	24.4%	22.2%	22.2%	27.5%	24.7%	23.1%	21.6%	30.6%	10.0%	23.2%	25.0%	18.2%	20.0%	20.0%	33.3%	7.1%		-	
Top250x (Voly Comownat appearing)	24.270	22.176	29.276 A	23.9%	20.3%	14.0%	21.376	24.470	22.270	22.270	21.5%	24.170	23.176	21.0%	0	10.0%	23.270	25.0%	10.2%	20.0%	20.0%	33.376	7.176	20.0%	+	
í																-									+	
	829	317	221	166	94	23	8	65	70	49	214	110	30	40	25	27	126	33	9	4	4	4	13	5	1	
Low2Box (Not very/ Not at all appealing)	75.8%	77.9%	70.8%	76.1%	79.7%	85.2%	72.7%	75.6%	77.8%	77.8%	72.5%	75.3%	76.9%	78.4%	69.4%	90.0%	76.8%	75.0%	81.8%	80.0%	80.0%	66.7%	92.9%	57.1% 0 - 2 28.6%	100.0%	
	. 0.070	В	. 3.070	. 5.170	. 3.770	22.270	. 2.7 70	. 5.070			. 2.070	. 5.070	. 2.070		23.470	N	. 2.070	. 5.070	2070	23.070	23.070	23.770	52.070			
	372	120	106	75	52	13	6	27	28	23	91	44	13	21	13	19	54	17	3	2	3	4	6	4	0	
LowBox (Not at all appealing)	34.0%	29.5%	34.0%	34.4%	44.1%	48.1%	54.5%	31.4%	31.1%	36.5%	30.8%	30.1%	33.3%	41.2%	36.1%	63.3%	32.9%	38.6%	27.3%	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	42.9%	57.1%	-	
•	3.110.10					,,,,,,										NPQ		1,000	10,10		. , , , , ,					

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F - G/H/I/J/K/L - M/N/O/P/Q/R - S/T/U///W/X Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

SURVIVAL STRATEGIES IN RETIREMENT

QF4anew2013_3. [Live in a retirement residence with care provided (assisted living)] Please rate the appeal of each of the following living arrangements that you might consider as you age?

		Not Retired																							
		Age	Age 50-59		Age 60-69		Age 70+		Age 50-59					Age 60-69						Age 70+					
	Total	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	вс	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	вс	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic	ВС	Alberta	Man/Sask	Ontario	Quebec	Atlantic
	Total	A	B	C	D	F	F	6	H	Manipodok	J	K	Atlantio	M	N	O	P	Quebec	R	S	T	U	V		X
Base: All respondents	1093	407	312	218	118	27**	11**	86*	90*	63*	295	146	39*	51*	36*	30*	164	44*	11**	5**	5**	6**	14**	7**	1**
Live in a retirement residence with care provided (assisted living)																									
	94	29	28	15	18	2	2	7	5	5	24	13	3	8	1	1	18	3	2	1	0	0	1	2	0
Very appealing	8.6%	7.1%	9.0%	6.9%	15.3%	7.4%	18.2%	8.1%	5.6%	7.9%	8.1%	8.9%	7.7%	15.7%	2.8%	3.3%	11.0%	6.8%	18.2%	20.0%	-	-	7.1%	28.6%	-
					С															ļ					
L	456	162	144	84	51	8	7	42	42	28	123	55	16	22	19	17	57	16	4	1	2	4	5		1
Somewhat appealing	41.7%	39.8%	46.2%	38.5%	43.2%	29.6%	63.6%	48.8%	46.7%	44.4%	41.7%	37.7%	41.0%	43.1%	52.8%	56.7%	34.8%	36.4%	36.4%	20.0%	40.0%	66.7%	35.7%	28.6%	100.0%
	054	440	70			40		00		04		40	40		F	Ρ		40			-	1			
Not very appealing	354 32.4%	149 36.6%	76 24.4%	77 35.3%	34 28.8%	16 59.3%	2 18.2%	22 25.6%	29 32.2%	21 33.3%	97 32.9%	43 29.5%	13 33.3%	14 27.5%	14 38.9%	30.0%	57 34.8%	13 29.5%	4 36.4%	60.0%	60.0%	16.7%	57.1%		0
Not very appearing	32.4%	36.6% B	24.4%	35.3%	28.8%	59.3%	18.2%	25.6%	32.2%	33.3%	32.9%	29.5%	33.3%	27.5%	38.9%	30.0%	34.8%	29.5%	36.4%	60.0%	60.0%	16.7%	57.1%	42.9%	
	189	67	64	42	15	1	0	15	14	9	51	35	7	7	2	3	32	12	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Not at all appealing	17.3%	16.5%	20.5%	19.3%	12.7%	3.7%		17.4%	15.6%	14.3%	17.3%	24.0%	17.9%	13.7%	5.6%	10.0%	19.5%	27.3%	9.1%			16.7%	-	2 28.6% 2 28.6% 3 42.9%	-
•									191919						0.070		N	N							
Summary																l l				1					
	94	29	28	15	18	2	2	7	5	5	24	13	3	8	1	1	18	3	2	1	0	0	1		0
TopBox (Very appealing)	8.6%	7.1%	9.0%	6.9%	15.3%	7.4%	18.2%	8.1%	5.6%	7.9%	8.1%	8.9%	7.7%	15.7%	2.8%	3.3%	11.0%	6.8%	18.2%	20.0%	-	-	7.1%	28.6%	-
					С																				
L	550	191	172	99	69	10	9	49	47	33	147	68	19	30	20	18	75	19	6	2	2	4	6		1
Top2Box (Very/ Somewhat appealing)	50.3%	46.9%	55.1%	45.4%	58.5%	37.0%	81.8%	57.0%	52.2%	52.4%	49.8%	46.6%	48.7%	58.8%	55.6%	60.0%	45.7%	43.2%	54.5%	40.0%	40.0%	66.7%	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
			A		С										-				-		-	-			
	543	216	140	119	49	17	2	37	43	30	148	78	20	21	16	12	89	25	5	3	3	2	8	3	0
Low2Box (Not very/ Not at all appealing)	49.7%	53.1%	44.9%	54.6%	41.5%	63.0%	18.2%	43.0%	47.8%	47.6%	50.2%	53.4%	51.3%	41.2%	44.4%	40.0%	54.3%	56.8%	45.5%	60.0%	60.0%	33.3%	57.1%		-
	-0.770	B		D	47.576	00.076	10.270	-10.076	-77.070	-77.076	JU.270	55.476	01.070	-11.270	-1-7.470	-10.078	04.076	00.076	-10.076	55.076	33.076	55.576	57.176	28.6% 3 42.9% 0 - 28.6% 4 57.1% 3 42.9%	
	189	67	64	42	15	1	0	15	14	9	51	35	7	7	2	3	32	12	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
LowBox (Not at all appealing)	17.3%	16.5%	20.5%	19.3%	12.7%	3.7%	-	17.4%	15.6%	14.3%	17.3%	24.0%	17.9%	13.7%	5.6%	10.0%	19.5%	27.3%	9.1%	-	-	16.7%	-	-	-
																	N	N							

2013

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D - E/F - G/H/I/J/K/L - M/N/O/P/Q/R - S/T/U/V/W/X Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing