# Q1. Roughly how often would you say you visit the waterfront area in Toronto?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	_	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	78	8	7	13	16	16	19	25	36	18	31	47	6	14	53	0	15	11	32	20
At least once a week	11.2%	10.2%	4.2%	8.2%	15.8%	31.8%	13.5%	11.7%	13.0%	8.4%	9.2%	13.0%	7.9%	8.6%	13.8%	-	12.6%	7.5%	12.8%	11.4%
				05	C	BCDEG				40							40	45	40	
2 to 3 times a month	111	8	29	25	16	8	24	44	49	18	62	49	5	24	71	2	18	15	40	37
2 to 3 times a month	15.9%	10.8%	16.7%	15.6%	16.8%	16.7%	17.3%	20.9% J	18.0% J	8.5%	18.5%	13.6%	7.5%	14.2%	18.4%	32.1%	15.2%	10.0%	16.0%	20.6%
	136	12	38	24	16	10	36	38	53	44	72	64	5	40	82	0	6	26	59	45
4 to 6 times a year	19.4%	15.9%	21.8%	14.7%	16.7%	20.0%	25.4%	18.2%	19.5%	20.5%	21.4%	17.6%	7.3%	24.3%	21.3%	-	4.7%	17.8%	23.8%	25.1%
														M					Q	Q
	212	34	54	61	18	6	39	69	81	61	108	104	30	57	98	2	37	57	66	49
1 to 2 times a year	30.3%	44.6%	30.9%	37.6%	18.1%	12.4%	27.9%	33.0%	29.8%	28.2%	32.1%	28.6%	42.3%	34.1%	25.3%	37.4%	30.7%	39.4%	26.7%	27.4%
		EFG	F	EF		_	F						0							
16 4	108	9	40	19	20	3	16	26	43	39	42	65	14	13	60	0	30	24	35	18
Less often than once a year	15.4%	11.9%	23.1% F	11.6%	20.4% F	6.7%	11.4%	12.2%	15.6%	18.1%	12.6%	17.9%	20.1%	8.1%	15.5%	-	24.8% T	16.6%	14.2%	10.1%
	52	5	3	20	12	6	6	8	11	33	18	34	11	15	22	2	14	10	15	10
Never	7.5%	6.6%	1.9%	12.4%	12.1%	11.8%	4.4%	4.0%	4.0%	15.1%	5.5%	9.3%	14.9%	9.3%	5.6%	30.5%	11.9%	7.1%	6.2%	5.3%
	7.070	0.070	1.070	C	C	CG	11.170	1.070	1.070	HI	0.070	0.070	0	0.070	0.070	00.070	11.070	7.170	0.270	0.070
	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	-	1.4%	-	-	0.7%	-	0.1%	-	1.1%	0.8%	0	-	1.4%	0.1%	-	-	1.6%	0.1%	0.1%
Summary																				
Office (At Iceas const.)	78	8	7	13	16	16	19	25	36	18	31	47	6	14	53	0	15	11	32	20
Often (At least once a month)	11.2%	10.2%	4.2%	8.2%	15.8% C	31.8% BCDEG	13.5%	11.7%	13.0%	8.4%	9.2%	13.0%	7.9%	8.6%	13.8%	-	12.6%	7.5%	12.8%	11.4%
					C	BCDLG										-				_
Occasionally (2 to 3 times a month/4 to 6 times a	247	21	67	49	33	18	60	82	102	63	134	113	11	64	154	2	24	40	99	82
year)	35.3%	26.7%	38.5%	30.2%	33.5%	36.7%	42.8%	39.0%	37.5%	28.9%	39.8%	31.2%	14.8%	38.5%	39.7%	32.1%	19.9%	27.8%	39.9%	45.7%
														М	M				Q	QR
Rarely (1 to 2 times a year/Less often than once a	319	44	94	79	38	9	55	95	124	101	150	169	45	70	158	2	67	81	102	67
year)	45.6%	56.5% F	54.0%	49.2% F	38.6%	19.1%	39.4%	45.2%	45.4%	46.3%	44.7%	46.5%	62.4% O	42.2%	40.8%	37.4%	55.6%	55.9% T	41.0%	37.6%
	52	5	3	20	12	6	6	8	11	33	18	34	11	15	22	2	14	10	15	10
Never	7.5%	6.6%	1.9%	12.4%	12.1%	11.8%	4.4%	4.0%	4.0%	15.1%	5.5%	9.3%	14.9%	9.3%	5.6%	30.5%	11.9%	7.1%	6.2%	5.3%
	570	2.070		C	C	CG		570		HI	2.070	2.070	0	2.370	2.070	22.070		11170	2.270	2.570

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 2 of 89

# Q2. How important is improving Toronto's waterfront to you? Is it...

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	F	F	G G	H	35-54	35+	K	remale	₹\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000 <del>+</del>	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	329	29	70	67	49	29	84	85	127	117	154	175	37	80	185	4	44	69	116	96
Very important	47.0%	38.2%	40.0%	41.7%	50.2%	59.2% BCD	60.1% BCD	40.7%	46.4%	53.9%	45.8%	48.1%	51.0%	48.5%	47.8%	65.9%	36.9%	47.2%	46.5%	53.4%
	288	42	86	60	39	13	48	90	112	86	138	150	25	67	165	1	56	59	105	66
Somewhat important	41.1%	54.3%	49.4%	37.1%	39.7%	26.4%	34.1%	42.7%	40.9%	39.7%	41.0%	41.2%	34.4%	40.3%	42.5%	19.4%	46.4%	40.6%	42.4%	36.9%
		FG	F																	
	51	2	7	28	6	3	5	21	22	8	15	36	2	12	23	0	9	14	18	11
Not very important	7.3%	2.0%	4.1%	17.1%	6.6%	6.5%	3.7%	10.0%	8.2%	3.6%	4.5%	9.9%	2.3%	7.4%	6.0%	-	7.5%	9.4%	7.1%	6.0%
	32			BCG								3	0		14			4	40	7
Not at all important		4 5.60/	11	- /	3	7.00/	3	14	12	0.70/	29	Ü	9	6		1 1 1 70/	11		10	1
Not at all important	4.6%	5.6%	6.6%	4.0%	3.5%	7.6% G	2.0%	6.7%	4.5%	2.7%	8.7%	0.8%	12.1% O	3.8%	3.7%	14.7%	9.0%	2.8%	4.0%	3.7%
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	0.1%	-	-	0	0.2%	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-
Summary																·				
	617	71	156	127	88	42	132	175	238	203	291	325	61	147	350	5	100	128	221	162
Top2Box (Important)	88.1%	92.5%	89.4%	78.8%	89.9%	85.6%	94.3%	83.4%	87.3%	93.7%	86.7%	89.3%	85.4%	88.8%	90.3%	85.3%	83.3%	87.8%	88.9%	90.4%
			- 10		40	7	DF	0.5	0.5	Н			40	10				40		
Low2Box (Not important)	83	6	19	34	10	'	8	35	35	14	45	39	10	19	38	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20	18	28	17
LOWZDOX (NOT Important)	11.9%	7.5%	10.6%	21.2% G	10.1%	14.1% G	5.7%	16.6%	12.7%	6.3%	13.3%	10.7%	14.4%	11.2%	9.7%	14.7%	16.6%	12.2%	11.1%	9.6%
			1	U	l	U		J		1	1	1					l			

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 4 of 89

Q3. As you may know, Toronto's waterfront has been going through a process of revitalization in recent years, with the development and creation of new communities, parks and green spaces along the waterfront. Do you think these changes along Toronto's waterfront are headed in the ...?

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	<b>High School</b>	College	University	ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	565	59	128	141	79	39	118	182	233	150	284	282	60	146	308	4	99	121	214	127
Right direction	80.7%	76.2%	73.3%	87.7%	80.8%	80.4%	84.6%	86.8%	85.4%	69.1%	84.4%	77.3%	83.4%	88.1%	79.4%	62.6%	82.5%	83.3%	86.2%	70.8%
								J	J										Т	
	91	11	37	12	14	7	11	17	28	46	40	51	6	11	65	0	13	16	24	37
Wrong direction	13.0%	13.7%	21.1%	7.4%	13.8%	14.2%	7.8%	8.3%	10.2%	21.1%	11.9%	14.0%	9.0%	6.5%	16.7%	-	11.2%	11.2%	9.5%	20.8%
			G							Н										Š
	44	8	10	8	5	3	11	10	12	21	12	32	5	9	15	2	8	8	11	15
(DK/NS)	6.3%	10.1%	5.7%	4.8%	5.4%	5.4%	7.6%	5.0%	4.5%	9.9%	3.7%	8.7%	7.6%	5.5%	3.8%	37.4%	6.3%	5.5%	4.2%	8.4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

# Q4. Before today, had you ever heard of the Toronto Port Authority?

				Reg	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	546	61	122	130	78	41	114	129	223	195	282	265	41	121	335	1	70	124	203	148
Yes	78.0%	79.4%	69.7%	80.5%	79.3%	84.5%	81.8%	61.3%	81.6%	89.8%	83.8%	72.7%	57.1%	72.7%	86.4%	14.7%	58.0%	85.2%	81.6%	82.6%
									Н	Н	L				MN			Q	Q	Q
	153	16	53	31	20	8	25	81	50	22	54	99	31	45	52	5	50	22	46	30
No	21.9%	20.6%	30.3%	19.5%	20.7%	15.5%	17.7%	38.4%	18.4%	10.2%	16.0%	27.3%	42.9%	27.3%	13.4%	85.3%	42.0%	14.8%	18.4%	17.0%
								IJ				K	0	0			RST			
	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
(DK/NS)	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	0.5%	0.3%	-	-	0.2%	-	-	-	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 16 of 89

# Q5. As far as you know, what does the Toronto Port Authority do? What is it responsible for?

				Reg	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30.000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	College	University	ofessional
Base: Respondents who have heard of TPA	A 580	B 61	C 49	D 60	56	F 271	G 83	H 88	235	J 257	K 298	282	M 50	N 107	O 370	P 1	Q 63	R 106	S 221	188
Weighted	546	61*	122*	130*	78*	41	114*	129*	223	195	282	265	41**	121*	335	1**	70**	124*	203*	148*
	114	12	27	23	12	11	29	12	49	53	58	56	12	21	61	0	10	17	54	33
Governing Port/Harbour	20.9%	19.0%	22.3%	17.9%	15.0%	26.3%	25.7%	9.2%	22.2%	27.1%	20.5%	21.3%	29.1%	17.8%	18.4%	-	14.2%	13.7%	26.8%	22.2%
	103	11	35	21	10	7	17	22	50	H 31	55	47	6	28	63	0	6	35	39	23
Watercraft/Ferry/Ships	18.8%	18.0%	29.1%	16.5%	13.3%	17.4%	15.3%	17.4%	22.2%	15.9%	19.7%	17.9%	15.1%	23.4%	18.8%	-	9.2%	28.1%	19.0%	15.6%
	400	40	0.4			_														
Maintaining/Monitoring Waterfront	102 18.7%	13 21.7%	21 17.5%	26 20.2%	12 14.9%	7 16.6%	23 20.1%	29 22.7%	45 20.2%	28 14.3%	57 20.2%	45 17.1%	9 22.8%	23 19.2%	61 18.3%	0 -	17 24.9%	38 30.3%	26 13.0%	21 14.0%
maintaining monitoring victorion	10.770	21.170	17.570	20.270	14.570	10.070	20.170	ZZ.170	20.270	14.070	20.270	17.170	22.070	13.270	10.570		24.570	ST	10.070	14.070
Overing and Operation the Aircraft	94	9	30	7	19	11	18	19	36	39	50	45	7	24	58	0	12	19	41	23
Owning and Operating the Airport	17.2%	14.9%	24.5% D	5.7%	24.6% D	26.5% D	15.4%	14.5%	16.1%	20.3%	17.6%	16.8%	18.1%	20.1%	17.4%	-	16.8%	15.4%	20.1%	15.2%
	83	11	23	20	14	4	11	10	40	32	47	35	5	16	54	1	16	22	26	18
Waterfront Development	15.1%	17.3%	18.6%	15.2%	18.6%	10.1%	9.7%	7.9%	18.0%	16.7%	16.8%	13.4%	13.1%	13.0%	16.1%	100.0%	22.9%	18.1%	12.6%	12.1%
	80	9	11	18	15	5	21	14	41	25	40	39	7	10	50	0	10	19	29	21
Traffic/Waterways/Transportation	14.6%	14.6%	9.3%	13.6%	19.9%	11.9%	18.6%	10.6%	18.4%	12.8%	14.3%	14.9%	16.1%	8.5%	14.9%	-	14.3%	15.5%	14.4%	14.2%
Shipping/Import/Export	70	7	20	20	12	3	9	18	31	21 10.9%	44 15.7%	26 9.7%	6	14	44 13.3%	1	3	9	24	33 22.3%
Shipping/import/Export	12.8%	11.1%	16.2%	15.1%	15.5%	7.0%	7.7%	13.7%	13.9%	10.9%	15.7%	9.7%	13.9%	11.8%	13.3%	100.0%	3.9%	7.5%	11.8%	22.3% R
	65	12	7	15	7	5	20	8	33	24	18	47	5	14	40	0	4	22	21	18
Policing/Security	11.9%	19.0%	6.0%	11.8%	8.4%	11.9%	17.1%	6.5%	14.8%	12.3%	6.5%	17.7% K	12.8%	11.7%	12.1%	-	6.2%	17.6%	10.5%	12.0%
	11	2	0	4	1	2	3	1	4	6	6	5	3	2	6	0	2	1	4	5
Tourism/Public Service	2.1%	3.2%	-	2.8%	1.4%	4.3%	2.5%	0.5%	1.9%	3.3%	2.3%	1.9%	6.4%	1.9%	1.9%	-	2.6%	0.7%	2.0%	3.1%
	9	2	0	6	0	C 0	1	6	1	2	7	2	1	6	2	0	6	0	1	2
Land development	1.6%	3.2%	-	4.5%	-	0.8%	0.8%	4.5%	0.6%	1.0%	2.6%	0.7%	2.9%	4.8%	0.6%	-	8.3%	-	0.6%	1.3%
	,	0.2,0				0.0,0	0.0,0		0.0,0					,	0.070		0.070		0.070	
Taranta laland	8	1	2	2	2	0	1	0	3	5	2	6	1	2	4	0	0	2	2	5
Toronto Island	1.5%	1.3%	2.0%	1.4%	2.0%	0.2%	1.2%	-	1.3%	2.7%	0.9%	2.1%	3.3%	1.5%	1.2%	-	-	1.3%	1.0%	3.1%
	8	0	0	2	2	0	4	3	3	2	5	3	0	6	2	0	0	3	0	4
Parks and Recreation	1.5%	-	=	1.4%	2.1%	1.1%	3.6%	2.4%	1.3%	1.0%	1.8%	1.2%	-	5.2%	0.5%	-	-	2.8%	0.2%	2.9%
	6	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	3	2	0	1	0	0	2	1	2
Building Tunnel	1.0%	2.0%	2.0%	1.4%	-	0.3%	-	-	0.6%	2.2%	0.9%	1.2%	5.8%	-	0.4%	-	-	1.9%	0.7%	1.2%
					_			_										_		
Appointed By Federal Government	1.0%	4 5.7%	0	0	0	2.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.7%	1.8%	0.5%	1.4%	1 2.9%	2.0%	0.5%	0	0	0	1.3%	1.8%
Appendica by a decidal determinant	1.070	D.770				2.270	0.070	0.270	0.1 70	1.070	0.070	1.470	2.570	2.070	0.070				1.070	1.070
- · · · · ·	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
Environment/ green space	0.6%	-	-	2.2%	-	1.4%	-	0.5%	1.3%	-	0.1%	1.2%	-	2.6%	0.1%	-	-	-	1.5%	0.2%
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Create Problems	0	-	-	-		0.4%	-	-	-	0.1%	0	0	-	0.1%	0	-	-	-	0	0.1%
	20	0	2	5	5	5	2	1	7	11	10	10	6	3	7	0	7	7	1	5
Other	3.6%	0.7%	1.6%	3.8%	6.7%	11.5%	1.9%	0.8%	3.3%	5.8%	3.5%	3.6%	15.1%	2.4%	2.2%	-	9.5%	5.7%	0.5%	3.3%
						BCG														
Nothing	0.1%	1.3%	0	0	0	0	0 -	0 -	0	1 0.4%	1 0.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 -	0.4%	0
Nothing	0.1%	1.3%	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	U.4%	0.3%	<u> </u>	-	-	<u> </u>	<del>-</del>	-	-	0.4%	<del>                                     </del>
	66	4	15	15	11	4	16	31	19	16	27	38	3	12	41	0	14	5	35	11
(DK/NS)	12.0%	7.2%	12.3%	11.7%	14.3%	10.3%	13.7%	24.2%	8.3%	8.2%	9.7%	14.5%	6.5%	10.3%	12.3%	-	19.7%	4.1%	17.4%	7.1%
December 100 - 100	1	11/1/1 1/4 NA/	1			l .		IJ	l		1	1		1	1	1	1	l	R	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 17 of 89

Q6. Using a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is very unfavourable and 10 is very favourable please rate your impression of the Toronto Port Authority.

				Reg	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total A	York B	North York C	h D	Etobicoke E	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34 H	35-54	55+	Male K	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	School	High School Q	College R	University S	ofessional
Base: Respondents who have heard of TPA	580	61	49	60	56	271	83	88	235	257	298	282	50	107	370	1	63	106	221	188
Weighted	546	61*	122*	130*	78*	41	114*	129*	223	195	282	265	41**	121*	335	1**	70**	124*	203*	148*
Troiginos	21	1	3	10	0	3	4	7	8	6	13	8	10	2	8	0	2	13	4	2
10 - Very Favourable	3.8%	1.3%	2.4%	7.6%	-	7.9%	3.5%	5.2%	3.5%	3.2%	4.4%	3.1%	25.4%	1.5%	2.5%	-	2.8%	10.2%	1.9%	1.6%
10 Voly Lavourable	3.076	1.576	2.470	7.076		7.5% F	3.376	3.276	3.376	3.2 /6	4.470	3.176	25.470	1.576	2.576		2.076	ST	1.570	1.076
	11	1	0	3	1	1	4	1	7	2	8	2	1	5	4	0	3	3	2	2
9	2.0%	1.7%	0.4%	2.1%	1.7%	1.9%	3.8%	1.0%	3.2%	1.1%	3.0%	0.9%	2.6%	4.3%	1.3%	-	4.3%	2.2%	1.2%	1.6%
	68	5	22	16	12	3	10	10	41	17	41	27	0	22	46	1	9	17	23	17
8	12.5%	8.1%	17.9%	12.5%	15.9%	6.5%	8.7%	7.4%	18.5%	8.9%	14.6%	10.1%	0.6%	18.1%	13.7%	100.0%	13.4%	13.4%	11.4%	11.8%
									J											
_	63	6	8	22	6	6	16	18	26	20	34	29	5	17	37	0	9	8	32	14
7	11.6%	9.4%	6.8%	16.8%	7.3%	14.0%	13.9%	13.6%	11.7%	10.1%	12.2%	10.9%	13.1%	14.3%	11.0%	-	13.0%	6.7%	15.6%	9.6%
	71	10	10	16	0	2	14	16	24	24	24	20	0	4	E2	0	9	10	20	22
6	13.0%	10 15.7%	19 15.6%	16 12.6%	9 11.1%	3 8.0%	12.2%	16 12.6%	31 13.8%	24 12.3%	31 11.2%	39 14.9%	8 18.4%	3.3%	53 15.8%	0	13.3%	10 8.1%	29 14.3%	23 15.2%
Ů	13.0%	15.7%	15.6%	12.0%	11.1%	8.0%	12.2%	12.6%	13.8%	12.3%	11.2%	14.9%	18.4%	3.3%	15.8% N	-	13.3%	8.1%	14.3%	15.2%
	195	21	33	48	33	16	45	63	74	59	102	94	11	39	127	0	23	48	75	51
5	35.8%	33.5%	26.9%	37.3%	42.8%	38.1%	38.9%	48.9%	33.1%	30.1%	36.1%	35.4%	26.5%	32.1%	38.0%	-	32.3%	38.5%	36.8%	34.1%
				0.10,1	12.070			101070												
	29	4	15	2	2	1	4	1	7	21	12	16	1	4	16	0	2	9	6	12
4	5.3%	7.2%	12.0%	1.4%	3.1%	3.4%	3.6%	0.4%	3.1%	11.0%	4.4%	6.2%	2.9%	3.6%	4.9%	-	3.5%	7.0%	2.9%	7.9%
										I										
	9	1	2	0	2	1	4	0	5	3	3	5	0	2	7	0	2	0	4	3
3	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	-	1.9%	2.0%	3.1%	0.2%	2.3%	1.8%	1.2%	2.0%	0.3%	1.5%	2.0%	-	2.2%	0.2%	2.1%	1.9%
						_			_		_				_				_	
2	8	2	1	2	0	2	2	0	3	5	5	3	0	1	7	0	2	0	2	4
2	1.5%	3.2%	0.9%	1.4%	-	4.3%	1.5%	0.2%	1.2%	2.8%	1.8%	1.2%	-	0.8%	2.1%	-	3.1%	0.2%	0.8%	2.9%
	21	3	5	5	4	2	2	0	10	11	11	10	1	4	15	0	2	4	9	6
1 - Very Unfavourable	3.8%	4.8%	4.0%	4.1%	4.6%	6.0%	1.5%	-	4.5%	5.5%	3.9%	3.8%	2.1%	3.3%	4.4%	-	2.7%	3.4%	4.3%	4.0%
1 - Very emaveurable	3.076	4.076	4.076	4.170	4.076	0.076	1.576		4.576	3.376	3.576	3.078	2.170	3.376	4.470		2.1 /6	3.476	4.576	4.076
	51	8	14	6	9	3	11	13	11	26	20	31	3	21	14	0	7	13	17	14
(DK/NS)	9.3%	13.5%	11.5%	4.3%	11.5%	7.8%	9.3%	10.5%	5.0%	13.3%	7.1%	11.6%	8.0%	17.2%	4.2%	-	9.4%	10.2%	8.6%	9.4%
														0						
Summary																				
B 31 (0.40)	234	22	53	67	28	16	48	51	113	69	128	106	25	50	148	1	33	50	90	59
Positive (6-10)	42.8%	36.1%	43.1%	51.6%	36.1%	38.4%	42.1%	39.8%	50.8%	35.6%	45.4%	39.9%	60.2%	41.4%	44.3%	100.0%	46.7%	40.6%	44.5%	39.8%
	195	21	33	48	33	16	45	63	74	59	102	94	11	39	127	0	23	48	75	51
Neutral (5)	35.8%	33.5%	26.9%	37.3%	42.8%	38.1%	38.9%	48.9%	33.1%	30.1%	36.1%	35.4%	26.5%	32.1%	38.0%	-	32.3%	38.5%	36.8%	34.1%
1400101 (0)	33.0%	33.3%	20.976	31.3%	42.070	30.176	30.976	40.9%	33.176	30.176	30.176	33.4%	20.3%	32.170	30.0%	-	32.376	30.376	30.0%	34.170
	67	10	23	9	8	7	11	1	25	41	32	35	2	11	45	0	8	13	21	25
Negative (1-4)	12.2%	16.8%	18.5%	6.8%	9.7%	15.7%	9.7%	0.8%	11.1%	21.0%	11.4%	13.1%	5.3%	9.2%	13.5%	-	11.6%	10.7%	10.2%	16.7%
- · · ·										HI										
	5.8	5.4	5.7	6.1	5.6	5.6	5.9	6	6	5.4	5.9	5.7	6.9	6.1	5.7	8	5.9	6.1	5.7	5.5
Mean									Ĵ	-										
Std. Dev.	1.9	1.9	1.9	2	1.7	2.3	1.8	1.5	2	2	2	1.8	2.3	1.9	1.9	0	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.8
Std. Err.	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 18 of 89

Q7. How familiar are you with the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport- located on Toronto Island near Downtown? Are you...?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	- 1	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	241	30	40	45	39	29	58	59	99	83	127	114	18	47	157	2	23	33	102	80
Very familiar	34.4%	38.7%	22.7%	28.0%	39.4%	59.9%	41.7%	28.1%	36.2%	38.3%	37.9%	31.2%	25.4%	28.5%	40.4%	26.8%	18.8%	23.0%	41.2%	44.8%
						BCDEG	С												QR	QR
	285	33	87	60	32	14	59	69	118	98	145	140	22	77	158	0	48	81	93	63
Somewhat familiar	40.7%	42.4%	49.8%	37.5%	32.5%	27.8%	42.5%	32.9%	43.1%	45.3%	43.1%	38.6%	30.7%	46.5%	40.8%	5.3%	40.2%	56.0%	37.3%	34.9%
			F				F											ST		
N	90	4	19	25	18	5	18	34	33	23	37	53	12	29	40	1	14	24	28	22
Not very familiar	12.8%	4.9%	11.0%	15.6%	18.8% B	9.4%	13.2%	16.0%	12.1%	10.6%	11.0%	14.5%	17.0%	17.2%	10.3%	16.4%	11.7%	16.8%	11.2%	12.4%
					В															
	82	8	29	30	9	1	4	46	24	12	27	55	19	13	33	3	35	4	26	14
Not familiar at all - that is, you are unaware of it.	11.7%	10.6%	16.5%	18.9%	9.3%	2.9%	2.7%	21.8%	8.7%	5.7%	8.0%	15.1%	26.9%	7.7%	8.5%	51.5%	29.3%	2.5%	10.3%	8.0%
			FG	FG				IJ					NO				RST			
	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
(DK/NS)	0.4%	3.4%	-	-	-	-	-	1.2%	-	-	-	0.7%	-	-	-	-	-	1.8%	-	-
Summary																				
	526	62	127	105	70	43	118	128	216	182	272	254	40	124	314	2	71	115	195	143
Top2Box (Familiar)	75.2%	81.1%	72.5%	65.5%	71.9%	87.8%	84.2%	61.0%	79.2%	83.7%	81.0%	69.8%	56.1%	75.0%	81.2%	32.1%	59.0%	78.9%	78.4%	79.6%
						CDE	D		Н	Н	L				M			Q	Q	Q
	171	12	48	56	28	6	22	79	57	35	64	107	32	41	73	4	49	28	54	37
Low2Box (Not familiar)	24.5%	15.5%	27.5%	34.5%	28.1%	12.2%	15.8%	37.7%	20.8%	16.3%	19.0%	29.5%	43.9%	25.0%	18.8%	67.9%	41.0%	19.3%	21.6%	20.4%
			F	BFG	F			IJ				K	0				RST		l	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 19 of 89

Q8. Before today, how aware were you that the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport (BBTCA) was owned and operated by the Toronto Port Authority (TPA)...?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	_	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	E 75	F 200	G 400	H	265	J	K 244	359	M	N 136	0	P 7	Q	R	S 258	210
		75 77*	-		75	300	100	154		281	341		86		414	- 1	98	126		
Weighted	700	- ' '	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	117	11	31	26	10	14	26	22	47	48	73	44	13	17	81	1	16	18	42	41
Very aware	16.8%	14.3%	17.8%	16.3%	9.8%	28.1%	18.3%	10.5%	17.3%	22.2%	21.7%	12.2%	17.7%	10.3%	21.0%	14.7%	13.5%	12.4%	16.7%	22.7%
						BE					L									
	174	20	33	33	33	12	42	37	71	65	91	83	15	48	102	3	15	42	66	49
Somewhat aware	24.8%	26.0%	18.9%	20.8%	33.8%	24.6%	30.0%	17.7%	26.2%	30.0%	27.0%	22.8%	20.2%	28.7%	26.2%	46.8%	12.7%	28.6%	26.4%	27.1%
	105	15	32	19	17	6	17	38	34	34	50	56	5	40	48	2	20	10	47	26
Not very aware	15.1%	19.0%	18.1%	11.9%	16.9%	12.0%	12.4%	18.2%	12.3%	15.5%	14.8%	15.3%	6.9%	24.3%	12.3%	28.4%	16.7%	7.0%	18.8%	14.6%
														MO					R	
N	300	31	77	82	38	17	55	113	121	67	120	180	40	61	155	1	68	76	95	61
Not at all aware	42.9%	40.8%	43.8%	51.0%	38.4%	35.3%	39.2%	53.6%	44.2%	30.7%	35.8%	49.4%	55.1%	36.7%	39.9%	10.1%	56.2%	52.0%	38.1%	34.3%
	3							J	J			K					!	ı	_	
(DK/NS)	Ü	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	Ü	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2
(DK/NS)	0.5%	-	1.4%	-	1.1%	-	-	-	-	1.6%	0.7%	0.3%	-	-	0.6%	-	0.9%	-	-	1.3%
Summary																				
Summary	291	31	64	60	40	00	68	59	440	113	164	127	27	0.5	400		24	00	107	00
Top2Box (Aware)				60	43	26			119					65	183	3	31	60		89
TOPZBOX (Aware)	41.6%	40.2%	36.7%	37.1%	43.6%	52.7%	48.3%	28.2%	43.5%	52.2% H	48.7%	35.0%	37.9%	39.0%	47.2%	61.5%	26.2%	41.1%	43.1%	49.8% Q
	405	46	108	101	54	23	72	151	154	100	170	235	45	101	202	2	88	86	141	88
Low2Box (Not Aware)	57.9%	59.8%	62.0%	62.9%	55.3%	47.3%	51.7%	71.8%	56.5%	46.2%	50.6%	64.7%	62.1%	61.0%	52.2%	38.5%	72.9%	58.9%	56.9%	48.8%
LOWEDOX (NOT AWAIC)	31.9%	39.0%	02.0%	02.9%	33.3%	41.3%	31.776	71.0%	30.5%	40.276	30.0%	U4.776	02.176	01.0%	JZ.Z70	30.5%	72.9% T	30.9%	30.9%	40.0%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 20 of 89

Q9. Approximately how many times have you flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport on Toronto Island, if at all?

				Reg	ion	Toronto -	Toronto -		Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		Post-
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	South of Queen St.	North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community	University	Graduate/Pr ofessional
Dans All sangardents	A 700	B 75	C 75	D 75	E	F	G	H 454	1	J	K	L	M 86	N 400	0	P	Q	R 400	S	T 210
Base: All respondents Weighted	700	75 77*	175*	75 161*	75 98*	300 49	100 140*	154 210*	265 273	281 217	341 336	359 364	72*	136 166*	414 387	7 6**	98 120*	126 145*	258 249	179*
	471	56	124	132	65	19	75	160	169	141	217	254	63	128	226	6	113	117	158	76
0	67.3%	72.9% FG	70.7% F	81.8% FG	66.2% F	39.5%	53.7%	76.4%	62.1%	65.1%	64.6%	69.8%	87.3% O	77.2% O	58.4%	100.0%	94.4% ST	80.4% ST	63.7% T	42.2%
,	24	3	3	4	3	4	8	3	14	8	8	16	1	7	16	0	2	2	11	10
1	3.5%	4.2%	1.7%	2.3%	2.6%	7.9% C	5.7%	1.3%	5.1%	3.5%	2.4%	4.5%	2.0%	4.5%	4.0%	-	1.8%	1.1%	4.3%	5.6%
2	45 6.5%	3 3.9%	20	2 1.1%	10	3	7	17	12	16 7.2%	21 6.2%	24 6.7%	2.8%	11	30	0 -	2	8	16	19
_			11.3% D		10.7% D	5.9%	5.3%	8.3%	4.5%					6.4%	7.6%		2.0%	5.4%	6.3%	10.8%
2	27	3	5	5	5	5	4	2	15	10	9	18	3	2	17	0 -	0	6	7	13
	3.8%	3.7%	2.8%	2.9%	5.5%	9.7% CG	2.9%	0.7%	5.6%	4.5%	2.7%	4.8%	4.8%	1.1%	4.4%	-	0.4%	4.2%	2.7%	7.4%
4	2.0%	1.8%	1.1%	4 2.2%	0	3.5%	6 4.0%	1 0.3%	8 2.9%	6 2.7%	10 2.9%	5 1.2%	0.3%	4 2.4%	6 1.6%	0	0.2%	0.2%	8 3.2%	6 3.2%
						E														
5	18 2.5%	3 4.1%	0.3%	1.0%	3.8%	3.3%	7 5.2%	4 1.9%	6 2.4%	7 3.4%	5 1.5%	13 3.5%	1.2%	4 2.3%	12 3.0%	0	0	1 0.6%	3.4%	9
							5.2%					3.5%	1.270					0.6%		4.076
6	3.5%	2.8%	0 -	8 4.8%	6 5.8%	2 4.4%	6 4.6%	11 5.1%	9 3.2%	5 2.2%	15 4.4%	9 2.6%	1.6%	3 2.0%	14 3.5%	0	0	6 4.2%	12 4.8%	6 3.4%
					С	С						2.078								
7	5 0.6%	-	0 -	-	0	0	3.0%	3 1.5%	1 0.5%	0 -	3 0.9%	0.4%	-	0 -	1.1%	0	1.1%	-	0	3 1.8%
						0.070	3.070	1.070			0.570	0.470			1.170					
8	0.4%	0 -	0.3%	0	0	1.3%	1.0%	1 0.3%	0.6%	0 -	1 0.3%	0.4%	0 -	0.8%	0.3%	0 -	0	0 -	0.3%	0.9%
			0.376			1.576	1.076	0.576	0.076			0.478		0.076					0.576	
10	3.0%	1.5%	5 2.7%	1.0%	4.3%	3 6.7%	6 4.5%	4 2.0%	9 3.5%	8 3.5%	18 5.2%	1.0%	0 -	0.2%	20 5.1%	0 -	0	0.2%	3.2%	13 7.3%
10						D					L									R
11	0	-	0 -	0	0	0.3%	-	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	0	-	0.1%	0
	Ů					0.070			Ü		Ŭ								0.170	
12	16 2.3%	3.1%	3 2.0%	1.3%	-	1 2.2%	7 5.0%	4 1.8%	7 2.4%	6 2.6%	12 3.5%	1.2%	-	0.1%	16 4.1%	-	- 0	2.0%	5 2.1%	8 4.4%
-																				
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0 -	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 -	0	-	0	0
15	0.6%	1.9%	0	0 -	0	1.4%	1.5%	1 0.2%	1.0%	0.5%	3 0.9%	0.4%	-	0	1.1%	0	0	0	1.2%	1 0.7%
20	0.6%	-	0	-	0	3 6.8%	0.6%	0.1%	0.3%	1.4%	2 0.7%	0.5%	-	0.1%	1.0%	-	0	0.1%	0.8%	1.2%
						BCDEG														
24	4 0.5%	-	4 2.2%	-	-	-	-	-	1.4%	-	-	1.0%	-	0 -	1.0%	-	-	-	1.5%	-
							0				_						0			4
25	1.0%	-	1.4%	3 1.7%	-	1.1%	1.1%	-	4 1.5%	3 1.4%	5 1.4%	0.7%	-	3 1.5%	5 1.2%	-	0.1%	-	1.2%	2.3%
																_		0		
30	0	-	0 -	-	-	0	-	-	-	0.1%	0 0.1%	0	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-	-	0.1%
40	0.7%	-	2.1%	-	-	0.4%	1.0%	-	5 1.8%	0.1%	4 1.1%	0.4%	-	0	5 1.3%	0	-	-	0.5%	2.1%
																	_			
50	0.4%	-	0 -	-	1.1%	3.3%	-	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	-	0.7%	-	0 -	3 0.7%	-	0 -	-	0.5%	0.8%
		_	_			CDG							_	_		_	_			
100	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.7%	0.9%	-	0.6%	0	1 0.4%	0.1%	-	-	0.4%	-	-	-	-	0.9%
		_														_	^	2		
(DK/NS)	0.3%	-	1.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1%	2 0.7%	-	-	1.4%	-	-	-	1.6%	-	-
Summary	471	56	124	132	65	19	75	160	169	141	217	254	63	128	226	6	113	117	158	76
0	67.3%	72.9%	70.7%	81.8%	66.2%	39.5%	53.7%	76.4%	62.1%	65.1%	64.6%	69.8%	87.3%	77.2%	58.4%	100.0%	94.4%	80.4%	63.7%	42.2%
		FG	F	FG	F								0	0			ST	ST	I	

Q9. Approximately how many times have you flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport on Toronto Island, if at all?

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	97	O	28	10	18	12	19	22	42	33	38	58	7	20	62	0	F SCHOOL	16	33	43
1-3 times	13.8%	11.9%	15.9%	6.3%	18.9%	23.5%	13.9%	10.3%	15.3%	15.3%	11.4%	16.0%	9.5%	11.9%	16.0%	-	4.2%	10.7%	13.3%	23.9%
					D	D														QR
	84	8	8	14	14	10	31	23	36	26	51	33	2	13	57	0	2	8	37	38
4-10 times	12.1%	10.2%	4.4%	8.9%	13.9%	20.1%	22.2%	11.0%	13.1%	11.8%	15.2%	9.1%	3.2%	7.8%	14.7%	-	1.4%	5.2%	14.9%	21.3%
						CD	CD												Q	QR
	46	4	13	5	1	8	14	5	26	15	27	18	0	3	42	0	0	3	20	23
More than 10 times	6.5%	5.0%	7.6%	3.0%	1.1%	16.9%	10.2%	2.3%	9.6%	6.8%	8.1%	5.1%	-	1.7%	11.0%	-	0.1%	2.0%	8.0%	12.6%
						BDE	E								MN					QR
Mean (Incl. 0)	2.7	1.5	2.7	1.2	1.9	6.8	4.2	1.3	3.9	2.5	3.2	2.2	0.4	1	4.1	0	0.2	0.8	2.9	5.7
						BCDE	D								MN				QR	QR
Std. Dev.	7.9	3.3	7.5	3.8	5.7	13.4	11.1	3.4	10.8	6.3	8.8	6.8	1.2	3.5	10	0	1.1	2.2	6.7	12.6
Std. Err.	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.7	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.5	0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.9
Mean (Excl. 0)	8.3	5.5	9.4	6.8	5.7	11.2	9.1	5.5	10.4	7.3	9.3	7.3	3.1	4.8	9.8	0	3.2	4.5	8.1	9.8
Std. Dev.	12	4.4	11.6	6.6	8.7	15.8	14.9	5	15.5	8.9	12.9	10.9	1.6	6.2	13.6	0	3.7	3.4	9.1	15.3
Std. Err.	0.7	0.9	2.4	1.8	1.8	1.2	2.2	0.7	1.3	0.8	1	0.9	0.5	1	0.9	0	0.9	0.6	0.8	1.3

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 23 of 89

Q10. And thinking about the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport, which of the following would best describe your attitude toward the airport and its operations?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Professional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
I'm personally dead set against having an airport on the island and believe it's bad for Toronto	61 8.7%	8 10.7%	14 8.2%	13 8.0%	7 6.7%	7 13.8%	12 8.6%	10 4.9%	21 7.8%	29 13.5%	28 8.3%	33 9.1%	8 11.0%	11 6.7%	36 9.4%	0 4.8%	12 10.0%	15 10.0%	13 5.1%	21 11.9%
I'm personally in favour of having an airport on the island and believe it's good for Toronto	470 67.1%	53 68.6%	110 62.7%	102 63.5%	69 70.1%	34 69.2%	102 73.2%	132 62.7%	185 67.6%	154 70.8%	248 73.8% L	222 61.0%	44 61.6%	117 70.8%	268 69.2%	4 63.1%	66 55.1%	101 69.6%	171 68.7%	127 71.0%
I really don't care one way or the other about having an airport on the island	131 18.8%	12 15.7%	40 23.1%	33 20.5%	20 20.0%	5 10.8%	21 15.0%	59 27.9% J	53 19.3% J	20 9.2%	51 15.2%	80 22.1%	17 22.9%	28 16.7%	69 17.7%	2 32.1%	39 32.3% T	24 16.5%	45 18.1%	22 12.1%
	38	4	11	13	3	3	4	9	14	14	9	29	3	10	14	0	3	6	20	9
(DK/NS)	5.4%	5.0%	6.1%	8.0%	3.2%	6.2%	3.1%	4.4%	5.3%	6.5%	2.7%	7.9%	4.5%	5.8%	3.7%	-	2.7%	3.9%	8.1%	5.0%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/ID/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 24 of 89

Q11. You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what percentage of your trips are for pleasure or personal use?

				Reg	jion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York		Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	<b>High School</b>	College	University	ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	α	R	S	T
Base: Total Travel	1882	114*	459	198*	188	331	591	271*	1077	535	1082	801	28**	171*	1586	_**	21**	117*	727	1017
	929	37	206	121	87	179	299	110	562	257	598	330	3	54	837	0	9	17	376	526
% Business Travel	49.3%	32.2%	44.8%	61.2%	46.1%	54.1%	50.6%	40.6%	52.1%	48.1%	55.3%	41.3%	11.5%	31.6%	52.8%	-	42.0%	14.6%	51.8%	51.7%
				BCE	В	BC	В		Н		L				N				R	R
	954	77	254	77	101	152	292	161	515	278	484	470	25	117	748	0	12	100	351	491
% Leisure Travel	50.7%	67.8%	55.2%	38.8%	53.9%	45.9%	49.4%	59.4%	47.9%	51.9%	44.7%	58.7%	88.5%	68.4%	47.2%	-	58.0%	85.4%	48.2%	48.3%
		DEFG	DF		D			I				K		0				ST		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q11. You've told me that you have flown out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. What percentage of your trips would you say are for business, and what

				Reg	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	F	F	G G	H	33-34	J	K	remale	M	N	0	P	O O	R	S	T
					_		_			-		_								
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA	305	24	23	14	23	174	47	50	132	123	161	144	13	35	232	0	16	30	126	133
Weighted	227	21**	49**	29**	33**	30*	65*	50**	104*	73*	117*	110*	9**	35**	161*	_**	7**	26**	90*	104*
% of people who use airport exclusively for leisure	48 21.2%	4 20.2%	11 23.4%	8 28.3%	11 32.8%	5 17.3%	8 12.5%	16 31.6%	20 18.9%	13 17.4%	25 21.2%	23 21.2%	1 12.1%	4 11.8%	38 23.6%	0 -	1 13.1%	5 17.3%	19 21.3%	23 22.6%
% of people who use airport exclusively for business-	87	7	18	11	13	14	24	20	34	34	32	55	7	18	53	0	3	20	34	30
	38.3%	35.8%	36.5%	37.3%	38.6%	46.9%	36.8%	39.5%	32.4%	45.9%	27.5%	49.8%	81.6%	49.9%	33.1%	-	43.6%	76.9%	37.6%	28.8%
				40	0							N OO	_		70	0	3			
0/ -6	92	9	20	10	9	11	33	14	51	27	60	32	0	14	70		Ŭ	1	37	50
% of people who use airport for both	40.5%	44.0%	40.1%	34.4%	28.5%	35.5%	50.7%	28.9%	48.8%	36.6%	51.3%	29.0%	5.2%	38.3%	43.3%	-	43.3%	5.5%	41.0%	48.6%
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(DK/NS)	0	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	0.1%	-	0.1%	1.0%	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-
										1										

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 43 of 89

Q12. And how would you rate your overall experience using the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport? Is it...

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA	305	24	23	14	23	174	47	50	132	123	161	144	13	35	232	0	16	30	126	133
Weighted	227	21**	49**	29**	33**	30*	65*	50**	104*	73*	117*	110*	9**	35**	161*	-**	7**	26**	90*	104*
	161	18	32	19	24	19	49	37	81	43	73	88	6	25	117	0	5	21	67	69
Very positive	71.2%	88.5%	65.4%	65.6%	71.7%	62.7%	76.3%	75.3%	78.1%	58.8%	62.6%	80.4%	70.0%	71.0%	72.4%	-	80.9%	79.4%	74.0%	66.2%
									J			K								
	57	2	13	10	8	10	15	12	19	27	37	21	3	10	37	0	1	5	23	29
Somewhat positive	25.3%	11.5%	26.1%	34.4%	23.8%	33.0%	22.4%	23.2%	18.1%	37.0%	31.3%	19.0%	29.0%	29.0%	22.8%	-	14.6%	18.5%	25.5%	27.6%
	-	0	4	0	0	1	- 1	0	4	1	-	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Somewhat negative	2.4%	-	7.5%	-	-	3.3%	1.3%	0.6%	3.7%	1.9%	4.2%	0.5%	1.0%	-	3.3%	-	4.4%	0.3%	0.4%	4.5%
Somewhat negative	2.4%	-	7.5%	-	-	3.3%	1.3%	0.6%	3.1%	1.9%	4.2%	0.5%	1.0%	-	3.3%	-	4.4%	0.3%	0.4%	4.5%
	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Very negative	1.0%	-	1.0%	-	4.6%	1.1%	-	1.0%	0.1%	2.3%	1.9%	0.1%	-	-	1.4%	-	-	1.8%	0.1%	1.7%
., ., .,									411,70			0.1.70							01170	
Summary																				
	219	21	45	29	32	28	64	49	100	70	110	109	9	35	154	0	6	25	90	97
Top2Box (Positive)	96.6%	100.0%	91.5%	100.0%	95.4%	95.7%	98.7%	98.4%	96.2%	95.8%	93.9%	99.4%	99.0%	100.0%	95.2%	-	95.6%	97.8%	99.5%	93.8%
	8	0	4	0	2	1	1	1	4	3	7	1	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	6
Low2Box (Negative)	3.4%	-	8.5%	-	4.6%	4.3%	1.3%	1.6%	3.8%	4.2%	6.1%	0.6%	1.0%	-	4.8%	-	4.4%	2.2%	0.5%	6.2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 44 of 89

# Q13. Why would you say that you had a ... experience?

				Reg	jion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total A	East York/ York B	North York	Scarboroug h D	Etobicoke E	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St. G	18-34 H	35-54	55+ J	Male K	Female L	<\$30,000 M	\$30,000- \$60,000 N	\$60,000+ O	Elementary School	High School	Community College R	University S	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Base: Respondents who have flown out of BBTCA Weighted	305 227	24 21**	23 49**	14 29**	23 33**	174 30*	47 65*	50 50**	132 104*	123 73*	161 117*	144 110*	13 9**	35 35**	232 161*	0	16 7**	30 26**	126 90*	133 104*
Positive (Net)	189 83.6%	21 100.0%	37 76.5%	23 80.1%	25 74.9%	25 83.5%	58 89.7%	39 78.2%	94 90.5%	57 77.5%	90 77.0%	100 90.6%	9 99.0%	32 90.1%	131 81.1%	0 -	6 92.2%	25 97.1%	76 84.2%	82 79.1%
Convenience (Subnet)	162 71.7%	19 89.8%	31 63.5%	19 66.0%	20 58.8%	19 65.4%	54 84.0% F	35 71.5%	78 75.5%	49 66.4%	80 68.2%	83 75.4%	5 51.9%	26 73.0%	118 73.1%	0 -	3 41.7%	20 77.9%	65 72.4%	74 71.4%
Quick (Sub-subnet)	81 35.7%	6 30.6%	25 50.9%	7 22.2%	15 44.3%	8 28.4%	20 31.0%	24 47.5%	37 35.9%	20 27.5%	35 29.9%	46 41.9%	2 17.8%	12 33.8%	59 36.5%	0 -	2 27.7%	9 33.3%	36 39.6%	35 33.4%
Quick/ fast/ no delays (unspecified)	38 16.9%	1 4.4%	18 36.3%	2 7.3%	9 25.8%	3 10.2%	6 9.4%	18 37.1%	10 10.1%	10 13.0%	19 15.9%	20 18.1%	0 1.5%	4 11.9%	29 18.2%	0 -	0 -	7 25.6%	13 14.7%	18 17.8%
Easy/ quick getting through the process (Check in, Security, Customs)	31 13.6%	1 6.9%	6 11.8%	1 2.8%	8 24.0%	3 9.3%	12 18.5%	7 13.5%	14 14.0%	10 13.0%	15 12.9%	16 14.3%	0 -	3 7.8%	27 16.7%	0 -	2 23.5%	0 1.4%	14 15.9%	14 13.9%
Less people/ shorter lines	21 9.3%	0 -	7 15.3%	4 12.1%	5 13.8%	2 6.4%	4 5.5%	1 1.7%	18 17.3% J	2 3.1%	11 9.4%	10 9.1%	1 14.8%	6 17.4%	11 6.7%	0 -	0 -	2 6.3%	13 14.9%	6 5.7%
Shorter waiting time	6 2.8%	3 14.0%	0 1.0%	0 -	2 5.0%	1 1.7%	1 1.4%	1 1.5%	4 3.8%	2 2.4%	1 0.7%	6 5.2%	-	3 7.2%	4 2.4%	-	0 -	2 6.3%	4 4.8%	0 0.4%
Saves time/ travel to get to	6 2.5%	2 11.2%	0 1.0%	0 -	0	1 4.7%	2 2.4%	2 3.5%	1 0.5%	3 4.7%	2 1.8%	4 3.3%	0 1.5%	2 6.8%	3 2.0%	-	0 4.3%	0	5 5.1%	1 0.9%
Convenient (unspecified)	57 25.3%	9 44.8%	1 2.2%	7 25.5%	4 11.3%	10 34.6%	25 39.4%	7 13.9%	33 32.2%	17 23.3%	33 28.3%	24 22.2%	0 2.5%	7 19.6%	42 26.0%	0 -	1 18.3%	3 11.1%	24 26.7%	29 28.1%
Good location/ easy to get to	52 23.0%	4 21.2%	11 23.0%	4 15.3%	9 28.3%	7 24.3%	15 23.6%	8 15.8%	19 18.3%	25 34.4%	25 21.4%	27 24.6%	3 35.6%	7 18.8%	34 21.0%	-	1 14.7%	7 27.1%	13 14.1%	31 30.2%
Close to downtown/ work/ home	41 17.9%	7 34.7%	-	5 15.9%	8 23.2%	5 15.9%	16 25.2%	7 13.9%	26 25.5% J	7 10.0%	14 12.3%	26 23.9%	1 16.2%	9 24.6%	27 17.0%	-	0 4.3%	5 20.1%	18 20.3%	17 16.1%
Easy to use	25 11.1%	6.9%	6 13.1%	5 16.6%	6 18.6%	7.5%	6.4%	5 9.7%	12 11.4%	9 11.7%	9.7%	14 12.7%	2 17.8%	1.6%	20 12.1%	-	-	4 14.0%	7 7.9%	14 14.0%
Easy access to Public Transit	8 3.4%	0 1.1%	-	3 9.6%	-	1.0%	4 6.8%	3 6.3%	4.3%	0 0.2%	1.8%	6 5.2%	-	3 8.0%	5 3.1%	-	0 -	-	3 3.5%	5 4.5%
Other convenience mentions	19 8.4%	5.8%	3 5.5%	3 10.1%	5 15.2%	1.6%	7 10.2%	7.4%	7.4%	10.4%	12 9.9%	7 6.7%	9.7%	4 10.9%	13 8.3%	-	20.0%	5 20.4%	8 8.6%	5 4.5%
Miscellaneous (Subnet)	112 49.2%	9 43.3%	27 54.7%	11 36.5%	14 41.1%	14 48.1%	37 57.5%	13 25.2%	62 59.5%	37 50.9%	52 44.7%	59 54.1%	8 89.3%	24 68.0%	70 43.6%	-	4 62.8%	16 62.7%	44 48.5%	47 45.6%
Smaller airport	19 8.6%	3.8%	6 13.0%	-	3.2%	5.2%	10 15.0%	6.2%	6.0%	10 13.8%	16 13.7%	3 3.2%	-	9 24.1%	11 6.8%	-	20.0%	5 21.1%	5 6.0%	7 6.9%
Comfortable (unspecified)	15 6.5%	-	4.9%	-	3 8.0%	5.8%	8 12.2%	3 5.1%	5 4.4%	10.4%	10 8.3%	5 4.6%	-	5 15.5%	9 5.7%	-	-	-	2.6%	12 11.9%
Efficient/ streamlined	13 5.9%	10.0%	-	-	1 3.2%	3 8.7%	8 11.8%	3 5.3%	8.0%	3.4%	6 5.3%	7 6.6%	0 1.5%	1 3.9%	12 7.3%	-	1.3%	0.9%	5 6.0%	7.4%
Satisfied/ good experience/ no problems	11 5.0%	0 2.0%	4 8.0%	-	4 12.8%	1 3.3%	2 2.7%	2 4.2%	5 4.9%	4 5.7%	3 2.2%	9 7.9%	1 9.7%	0	6 4.0%	-	2 29.9%	2 5.8%	3 3.8%	4.2%
Like the airport/ nice	10 4.5%	-	6 11.3%	-	2 4.8%	0 1.5%	3 4.0%	2 4.3%	5 4.9%	3 3.9%	6 4.9%	4.1%	1 10.6%	5.5%	7 4.5%	-	1 13.1%	3 10.4%	5 5.2%	1.8%
Well organized/ well run business	9 4.2%	3.8%	4.0%	-	2 6.5%	5.9%	3 4.3%	1.8%	6.0%	3.2%	0.3%	9 8.2% K	3.3%	7.0%	7 4.1%	-	-	0.3%	4 4.0%	6 5.5%
Relaxing/ less stressful	9 3.9%	1 5.8%	0 -	0 -	2 5.0%	0 1.1%	6 8.9%	4 8.2%	3 2.9%	2 2.6%	5 4.0%	3.8%	-	-	9 5.5%	-	0 -	3 10.7%	4 4.4%	2 2.1%
I	8	1	1	3	0	1	2	1	6	1	5	3	1	2	2	0	0	2	5	1

# Q13. Why would you say that you had a ... experience?

Control   Cont					Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
Continue		Total		North York	Scarboroug		South of	North of	18-34		55+			<\$30.000	\$30,000-	\$60.000+		High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
Performance	Good/ better prices				9.3%												1				0.7%
Like with pick by 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				0	0				0								0	0			3
Design Serve (1979)   1.5	Clean	1.7%	3.8%	-	-	3.2%	2.1%	2.1%	-	1.6%	3.0%	0.3%	3.2%	-	0.3%	2.3%	-	-	0.9%	0.3%	3.3%
Secretary   Secr																			-		3
Part	Like taking the ferry	1.4%	-	4.9%	-	-	2.5%	-	-	0.3%	3.9%	2.1%	0.6%	-	0.7%	1.8%	-	-	-	-	3.0%
Part	0 11 17:																				0
Description of the part of t	Spend less on cab fair	0.1%	-	-	-	-	0.8%	-	-	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	-	0.3%	0.1%	-	-	-	-	0.2%
Server (Liberte)	Othersenidius																				24
Service (Subsect)  Service (Subs	Other positive mentions	24.3%	17.8%	30.4%	27.2%	10.6%	21.0%	29.0%	3.2%	29.0%	31.8%	21.0%	27.8%	64.3%	44.6%	19.1%	-	8.6%	37.0%	23.4%	22.9%
Good fleedly column enviror    12	0 : (0 ! .)																				27
Control of control o	Service (Subnet)	28.1%	37.3%	14.9%	21.2%	24.2%	30.5%	39.2%	18.2%	33.2%	27.6%	20.7%	36.0%	13.9%	36.4%	28.5%	-	33.7%	25.6%	30.6%	26.3%
Good baruppir law has trungs	Conditionally and an arrival																				15
Gos bungshift the kargery  Fig. 6. 1976   6.97	Good/ friendly customer service	14.3%	18.4%	11.7%	12.1%	8.0%	16.2%	18.3%	8.0%	17.0%	14.7%	10.0%	18.9%	10.7%	18.9%	13.3%	-	8.3%	6.3%	17.3%	14.1%
Cool service funcycorded   13	Cood lounge/ like the lounge																				6
Good service (proportion)    27	Good lourige/ like the lourige	7.4%	9.6%	8.7%	6.3%	3.2%	9.3%	7.4%	5.8%	8.8%	6.5%	3.3%	11.7%	-	5.2%	9.2%	-	2.1%	5.2%	10.2%	5.8%
Coordinate (unspecified)	Cood conting (unapposition)																				5 5.2%
Coord Incomples (unspecified)   25%   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	Good service (unspecified)	5.7%	3.4%	-	-	11.476	1.270	9.0%	5.0%	5.9%	6.0%	3.3%	0.476	3.3%	5.0%	5.9%	-	22.0%	10.0%	4.0%	5.2%
Good food and beverage service 21% - 0 - 0 - 2 - 0 - 0 - 2 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 2 - 0 - 0	Cood amonition (unanosified)																				4
Coord food and howerage services   2.1%   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	Good arrienties (unspecified)	2.5%	-	-	-	4.8%	5.1%	4.0%	3.1%	3.8%	0.2%	2.1%	2.3%	-	-	2.1%	-	-	-	1.4%	4.2%
Other service mentions    15	Cood food and hoverage conting																				3
Chee service menteron   Company	Good food and beverage service	2.1%	-	-	6.3%	-	5.3%	2.1%	1.1%	2.3%	2.5%	0.2%	4.1%	-	5.2%	1.8%	-	-	-	2.4%	2.5%
Airines (Subrerly)    12	Other convice mentions																				7 6.6%
Affires (Subnet)	Other service mentions	0.0%	9.0%	2.276	12.076	-	0.176	9.176	1.270	9.3%	0.776	5.6%	7.0%		14.9%	3.4%	-	1.476	4.0%	7.0%	0.0%
Positive flight experience    Columb	Airlines (Subnet)																				7 6.3%
Positive flight experience  2.5% 5.8% 3.2% 1.5% 4.5% 5.8% 1.4% 1.7% 0.2% 4.9% - 0.3% 3.4% 1.5% - 1.5% 1.5% 1.4% 1.7% 0.2% 4.9% - 0.3% 3.4% 1.5% 0.5% 1.4% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5	Allines (Gablet)												K							2.276	
Like Porter Airlines    6	Positive flight experience																				4 4.1%
Like Porter Airlines																					
Flights on time   2   1   0   0   1   0   0   0   0   1   1	Like Porter Airlines																				1.1%
Flights on time  1.1% 5.8%																					
Negative (Net)  18.9% - 26.5%	Flights on time																				2.2%
Negative (Net)  18.9% - 26.5% 25.1% 22.0% 29.0% 10.3% 21.4% 13.6% 24.8% 22.0% 15.6% 29.0% 14.3% 19.4% - 5.7% 15.9% 16.4% 2    Bo not like ferry/ ferry takes too long 15 0 5 6 0 2 2 3 9 1 5 12 3 0 2 13 0 0 0 0 7 7    Poor location/ difficult to get to/ leave 11 0 2 2 6 1 1 0 1 3 7 5 6 0 0 5 5 5 0 0 0 0 4    Poor location/ difficult to get to/ leave 11 0 2 2 6 1 1 0 0 1 3 7 5 6 0 0 5 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 4    Too many people/ overcrowded 4.2% - 10.0% - 9.1% 22% 1.4% 0.6% 0.3% 12    Poor light experience 13.3% - 10.0% - 9.1% 22% 1.4% 0.6% 0.3% 12    Poor light experience 13.3% - 10.0%																					
Do not like ferry/ ferry takes too long    15	Negative (Net)																				24 22.8%
Do not like ferryl ferry takes too long  6.7% - 10.0% 19.9% - 5.6% 4.3% 19.1% 0.5% 7.0% 10.2% 3.0% - 7.0% 7.8% 7.6% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 19.0% 10.2% 3.0% 19.0%							G														
Poor location/ difficult to get to/ leave  4.9% - 5.1% 5.2% 17.2% 5.0% - 1.2% 3.3% 9.8% 4.2% 5.7% - 14.3% 2.8% 4.3% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2	Do not like ferry/ ferry takes too long																				8.0%
Poor location/ difficult to get to/ leave  4.9% - 5.1% 5.2% 17.2% 5.0% - 1.2% 3.3% 9.8% 4.2% 5.7% - 14.3% 2.8% 4.3% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2																					
4,9%   -   5,1%   5,2%   17,2%   5,0%   -   1,2%   3,3%   9,8%   4,2%   5,7%   -   14,3%   2,8%   -   -   -   4,3%   1	Poor location/ difficult to get to/ leave								1				6					0			7
Too many people/ overcrowded  4.2% - 10.0% - 9.1% 2.2% 1.4% 0.6% 0.3% 12.1% 5.1% 3.2% - 5.8% 1.4% 7  By a substituting the property of the	1 oor location difficult to get to leave	4.9%	-	5.1%	5.2%	17.2%	5.0%	-	1.2%	3.3%	9.8%	4.2%	5.7%	-	14.3%	2.8%	-	-	-	4.3%	7.1%
Poor flight experience 1.3% 10.0% 0.1% 3.9% 0.1% 2.6% 29.0% - 0.1% - 1.3% 10.5% - (  Flight cancellations/ airport is more prone to weather related cancellations 2.0% - 4.0% 0.5% 2.0% 1.9% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%														1			+				8
Poor flight experience 1.3% 1.00% 0.1% 3.9% 0.1% 2.6% 29.0% - 0.1% - 1.3% 10.5% - 0.0% - 0	I oo many people/ overcrowded	4.2%	-	10.0%	-	9.1%	2.2%	1.4%	0.6%	0.3%		5.1%	3.2%	-	-	5.8%	-	-	-	1.4%	7.8%
Flight cancellations/airport is more prone to weather related cancellations  2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Daniel inha augustina		0	0	0	0		0	0						0		0			0	0
Flight cancellations/ airport is more prone to weather related cancellations  2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Poor night experience	1.3%	-	-	-	-		-	-	0.1%	3.9%	0.1%	2.6%	29.0%	-	0.1%	-	1.3%	10.5%	-	0.1%
weather related cancellations     0.9%     -     4.0%     -     -     0.5%     -     -     2.0%     -     -     1.9%     -     -     0.1%     - </td <td>Elight cancellations/ simper in more pro t-</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>C</td> <td>2</td>	Elight cancellations/ simper in more pro t-	2	0	2	0	0		0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	2
Other negative mentions 12.6% - 12.6% 19.9% 9.3% 23.2% 10.3% 20.3% 8.1% 13.8% 16.9% 8.1% 29.0% - 16.0% - 4.4% 15.5% 11.9% 1																					2.0%
Other negative mentions 12.6% - 12.6% 19.9% 9.3% 23.2% 10.3% 20.3% 8.1% 13.8% 16.9% 8.1% 29.0% - 16.0% - 4.4% 15.5% 11.9% 1		20	0		6	3	7	7	10	8	10	20	- a	2	0	26	0	0	Α	11	14
	Other negative mentions																				13.1%
		5	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	2	3	2	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	2
	Other																				1.9%

# Q13. Why would you say that you had a ... experience?

		gion	Age	Gender	Income	Education
Total	East York/ York North York Scarboroug	Toronto - South of North of Etobicoke Queen St. Queen St.	18-34 35-54 55+	Male Female	\$30,000- <\$30,000 \$60,000 \$60,000+	Elementary Community Post- School High School College University ofessional

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Q14. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (AGREE)] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Reg	jion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G G	H	33-34	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	007	70	450	450	0.7	40	400	00.4	050	400	007				057	6	400	407	000	
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a valuable asset for the city	637 91.0%	70 90.8%	158 90.5%	152 94.1%	87 88.6%	42 86.2%	128 91.3%	204 97.1%	253 92.6%	180 82.9%	307 91.5%	329 90.4%	63 87.3%	154 92.9%	357 92.2%	100.0%	108 90.2%	137 94.0%	228 91.8%	157 87.5%
-	91.0%	90.0%	90.5%	94.176	00.0%	00.276	91.3%	97.176 J	92.0% J	02.9%	91.5%	90.4%	01.3%	92.9%	92.276	100.0%	90.2%	94.0%	91.0%	67.5%
The Toronto Port Authority has an important role to	632	70	155	150	90	41	126	195	257	180	298	334	66	146	355	6	102	138	224	162
play in Toronto	90.3%	90.5%	88.6%	93.3%	91.6%	83.9%	90.0%	93.0%	94.1%	82.8%	88.8%	91.6%	91.8%	88.1%	91.6%	100.0%	84.6%	94.8%	89.9%	90.5%
									J											
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is great for the	626	66	159	148	90	41	122	197	252	176	295	331	61	154	351	6	109	132	221	157
economy of Toronto	89.4%	85.6%	91.1%	92.0%	91.7%	83.2%	86.8%	94.0%	92.3%	81.1%	87.7%	90.9%	84.5%	93.1%	90.6%	100.0%	90.7%	90.6%	89.0%	87.5%
								J	J											
The Toronto Port Authority provides important	606	63	156	142	87	41	118	198	246	162	292	314	60	143	347	5	103	132	208	156
economic benefits to the City of Toronto	86.5%	81.5%	89.1%	87.9%	88.3%	83.5%	84.2%	94.3%	90.1%	74.5%	86.9%	86.2%	82.8%	86.2%	89.7%	95.2%	86.1%	90.9%	83.6%	87.0%
								J	J											
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a great gateway	605	66	151	139	87	41	121	191	246	168	284	321	64	146	335	6	99	129	212	158
for the city and tourists	86.5%	85.2%	86.4%	86.6%	88.5%	83.4%	86.8%	91.1%	90.0%	77.6%	84.6%	88.2%	88.9%	88.4%	86.5%	100.0%	82.6%	88.9%	85.3%	88.2%
								J	J											
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an important part	591	63	143	149	84	37	114	186	242	162	275	316	58	146	328	6	96	129	218	142
of Toronto's future economic growth.	84.4%	82.4%	81.8%	92.5%	85.2%	75.6%	81.8%	88.7%	88.8%	74.6%	81.7%	86.8%	80.7%	87.9%	84.6%	100.0%	79.8%	88.5%	87.6%	79.1%
1		02.170	0.11070	F	00.270		011070	J	J		,.				0.1.07.0					
	·																		·	
The island airport makes a great first impression for	585	63	140	137	87	39	118	191	238	155	279	306	61	136	324	5	96	131	209	143
visitors who use it to travel to Toronto	83.5%	82.3%	79.8%	85.3%	89.2%	79.3%	84.3%	90.8%	87.4%	71.6%	82.9%	84.1%	85.2%	82.1%	83.6%	95.2%	79.8%	89.8%	83.9%	79.9%
I		52.076	. 0.070		00.270		5 115 10	J	J		0=1070	0.1.70	00.2.0			22:272				1 0 10 10
211 21 T + 01 A1 + 1	504		404	400	75		0.4	404	040	444	000	205		440	205		0.4	444	407	400
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an economic engine of the city	521 74.4%	58 74.8%	134 76.7%	129 79.8%	75 76.4%	32 65.4%	94 66.9%	161 76.7%	216 79.1%	144 66.3%	236 70.2%	285 78.4%	54 75.2%	119 71.7%	295 76.2%	5 95.2%	70.0%	111 76.2%	197 79.1%	123 68.7%
-	14.4%	14.8%	10.1%	79.8% F	10.4%	05.4%	00.9%	10.1%	79.1% J	00.3%	10.2%	10.4%	13.2%	11.1%	10.2%	95.2%	70.0%	10.2%	79.1%	00.7%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport should be expanded																				
so that Torontonians have access to more flights and	459	50	117	124	60	26	83	165	182	113	226	234	47	116	262	6	71	106	164	112
more destinations.	65.6%	65.1%	67.1%	77.1%	61.0%	52.1%	59.0%	78.4%	66.5%	52.2%	67.2%	64.2%	64.9%	70.0%	67.7%	100.0%	59.3%	72.6%	66.0%	62.6%
<u> </u>	00.070	00.170	01.170	FG	01.070	02.170	00.070	J	J	02.270	07.270	01.270	01.070	10.070	57.7.75	100.070	00.070	12.070	00.070	02.070

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Ipsos 57 of 89

Q14. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (DISAGREE)] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Reg	jion				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary	High School	College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total A	York B	C C	n D	Etoblcoke	Queen St.	G G	18-34 H	35-54	J	K	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000÷	School	Q Q	College R	University S	ofessional T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport should be expanded so that Torontonians have access to more flights and more destinations.	223 31.8%	23 30.0%	49 28.2%	37 22.9%	36 36.8%	23 46.9% BCD	54 38.8%	43 20.4%	83 30.3%	97 44.8% HI	109 32.4%	114 31.3%	24 33.5%	49 29.5%	117 30.2%	0 -	41 33.7%	37 25.6%	82 33.2%	63 34.9%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an economic engine of the city	171 24.4%	18 24.0%	41 23.3%	31 19.0%	21 21.2%	16 33.5% D	44 31.5%	49 23.2%	54 20.0%	68 31.3% I	100 29.7% L	71 19.5%	16 22.6%	46 27.8%	91 23.5%	0 -	35 29.0%	35 23.8%	50 20.2%	52 28.8%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an important part of Toronto's future economic growth.	102 14.6%	13 16.5%	31 17.7%	12 7.5%	13 13.7%	12 23.6% D	22 15.4%	23 10.9%	28 10.4%	51 23.6% HI	59 17.7%	43 11.8%	12 16.4%	19 11.6%	58 14.8%	0 -	22 18.6%	17 11.5%	28 11.3%	35 19.5%
The island airport makes a great first impression for visitors who use it to travel to Toronto	98 14.0%	12 15.2%	30 17.2%	18 10.9%	11 10.8%	8 16.0%	20 14.4%	19 8.8%	28 10.1%	52 23.9% HI	50 14.9%	48 13.2%	9 12.9%	25 15.3%	53 13.7%	0 4.8%	23 19.2%	9 6.2%	34 13.9%	31 17.3%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a great gateway for the city and tourists	90 12.9%	11 13.8%	22 12.5%	22 13.4%	11 11.5%	8 16.1%	17 12.0%	19 8.9%	25 9.3%	46 21.2% HI	51 15.2%	39 10.8%	7 9.9%	18 11.1%	52 13.5%	0 -	20 16.7%	15 10.6%	34 13.6%	21 11.6%
The Toronto Port Authority provides important economic benefits to the City of Toronto	76 10.9%	11 14.9%	14 8.0%	19 12.1%	10 10.6%	7 14.1%	14 10.0%	9 4.3%	23 8.3%	45 20.5% HI	39 11.5%	37 10.3%	10 14.1%	20 12.3%	32 8.3%	0 4.8%	12 10.1%	11 7.6%	33 13.1%	20 11.3%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is great for the economy of Toronto	71 10.1%	10 13.2%	16 8.9%	13 8.0%	8 8.3%	7 15.3%	16 11.6%	13 6.0%	18 6.7%	40 18.2% HI	40 12.0%	30 8.3%	11 15.1%	11 6.8%	35 9.1%	0 -	11 9.1%	13 9.2%	26 10.6%	20 11.1%
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a valuable asset for the city	61 8.7%	7 9.2%	15 8.4%	10 5.9%	11 11.4%	7 13.4%	12 8.7%	6 2.9%	18 6.6%	37 17.0% HI	28 8.4%	33 9.0%	9 12.7%	12 7.1%	28 7.3%	0 -	12 9.8%	9 6.0%	20 8.2%	20 11.3%
The Toronto Port Authority has an important role to play in Toronto	57 8.2%	7 8.5%	18 10.0%	11 6.7%	5 5.1%	6 12.4%	11 8.1%	15 7.0%	14 5.0%	29 13.3%	37 11.1%	20 5.5%	4 5.2%	14 8.5%	31 8.0%	0 -	15 12.8%	7 4.6%	21 8.6%	14 7.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 58 of 89

Q14\_1. [The Toronto Port Authority provides important economic benefits to the City of Toronto] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	33°34	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
The Toronto Port Authority provides important economic benefits to the City of Toronto																				
	269	25	68	65	42	15	54	71	115	84	124	145	27	60	162	2	30	57	96	83
Strongly agree	38.4%	32.5%	38.7%	40.4%	42.6%	31.1%	38.5%	33.6%	42.0%	38.5%	36.9%	39.8%	37.8%	36.2%	41.7%	41.6%	25.0%	39.5%	38.5%	46.4%
																				Q
	337	38	88	77	45	26	64	128	131	78	168	169	32	83	186	3	73	75	112	73
Somewhat agree	48.1%	48.9%	50.4%	47.5%	45.7%	52.4%	45.8%	60.8%	48.1%	36.0%	50.0%	46.4%	45.0%	50.0%	47.9%	53.7%	61.1%	51.5%	45.1%	40.6%
	58	9	12	11	q	4	13		20	30	27	31	5	15	24	0	7	8	29	14
Somewhat disagree	8.3%	11.2%	6.7%	7.0%	9.5%	7.9%	9.4%	4.0%	7.2%	13.7%	8.1%	8.4%	7.5%	9.3%	6.2%	4.8%	5.8%	5.5%	11.7%	7.6%
Odnicwnat disagree	0.3%	11.270	0.776	7.0%	9.5%	7.9%	9.4%	4.0%	1.270	13.7% H	0.176	0.4%	7.5%	9.3%	0.2%	4.0%	3.6%	5.5%	11.776	7.0%
	18	3	2	8	1	3	1	1	3	15	11	7	5	5	8	0	5	3	4	7
Strongly disagree	2.6%	3.7%	1.4%	5.0%	1.1%	6.2%	0.6%	0.3%	1.1%	6.8%	3.4%	1.9%	6.7%	3.0%	2.1%	<u> </u>	4.3%	2.0%	1.4%	3.7%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						G				HI										
	18	3	5	0	1	1	8	3	4	11	5	13	2	2	8	0	5	2	8	3
(DK/NS)	2.6%	3.6%	2.8%	-	1.1%	2.4%	5.8%	1.3%	1.6%	5.0%	1.6%	3.5%	3.1%	1.5%	2.0%	-	3.9%	1.5%	3.3%	1.7%
Summary																				
	606	63	156	142	87	41	118	198	246	162	292	314	60	143	347	5	103	132	208	156
Top2Box (Agree)	86.5%	81.5%	89.1%	87.9%	88.3%	83.5%	84.2%	94.3%	90.1%	74.5%	86.9%	86.2%	82.8%	86.2%	89.7%	95.2%	86.1%	90.9%	83.6%	87.0%
								J	J											
	76	11	14	19	10	7	14	9	23	45	39	37	10	20	32	0	12	11	33	20
Low2Box (Disagree)	10.9%	14.9%	8.0%	12.1%	10.6%	14.1%	10.0%	4.3%	8.3%	20.5%	11.5%	10.3%	14.1%	12.3%	8.3%	4.8%	10.1%	7.6%	13.1%	11.3%
										HI										

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 59 of 89

Q14\_2. [The Toronto Port Authority has an important role to play in Toronto] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Reg	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	33°34	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
The Toronto Port Authority has an important role to play in Toronto																				
	291	36	69	58	51	21	57	57	125	110	150	141	30	63	169	3	43	64	104	76
Strongly agree	41.6%	46.2%	39.7%	35.9%	51.5%	42.9%	40.6%	26.9%	45.7%	50.6%	44.7%	38.8%	41.4%	38.3%	43.7%	48.5%	36.1%	44.3%	41.9%	42.2%
									Н	Н										
Somewhat agree	341 48.7%	34 44.3%	86 48.9%	93 57.5%	39 40.1%	20 41.0%	69 49.4%	139 66.1%	132 48.4%	70 32.1%	148 44.1%	192 52.9%	36 50.4%	83 49.8%	186 47.9%	3 51.5%	58 48.5%	73 50.5%	119 48.1%	87 48.3%
Somewhat agree	48.7%	44.3%	48.9%	57.5%	40.1%	41.0%	49.4%	66.1% IJ	48.4% .J	32.1%	44.1%	52.9%	50.4%	49.8%	47.9%	51.5%	48.5%	50.5%	48.1%	48.3%
	43	4	18	9	3	4	6	10	12	21	27	16	0	13	22	0	15	5	16	8
Somewhat disagree	6.2%	4.6%	10.0%	5.6%	3.5%	7.4%	4.4%	4.8%	4.4%	9.7%	8.0%	4.5%	0.5%	7.9%	5.7%	-	12.1%	3.7%	6.3%	4.2%
	14	3	0	2	2	2	5	4	2	8	10	4	3	1	9	0	1	1	6	6
Strongly disagree	2.0%	3.9%	-	1.1%	1.6%	5.0%	3.7%	2.1%	0.6%	3.5%	3.1%	1.0%	4.8%	0.7%	2.4%	-	0.7%	0.9%	2.3%	3.4%
	11	1	2	0	3	C 2	3	0	2	0	0	11	2	6	1	0	3	1	4	3
(DK/NS)	1.6%	1.0%	1.4%	-	3.3%	3.8%	1.9%	-	0.9%	4.0%	0.1%	2.9%	3.0%	3.4%	0.4%	-	2.6%	0.6%	1.4%	1.9%
(=::::5)	1.070	1.070	1.470		3.370	D	1.570		0.570	4.070	0.170	2.570	3.070	0.470	0.470		2.070	0.070	1.470	1.570
Summary																				
	632	70	155	150	90	41	126	195	257	180	298	334	66	146	355	6	102	138	224	162
Top2Box (Agree)	90.3%	90.5%	88.6%	93.3%	91.6%	83.9%	90.0%	93.0%	94.1%	82.8%	88.8%	91.6%	91.8%	88.1%	91.6%	100.0%	84.6%	94.8%	89.9%	90.5%
	57	7	18	11	-	6	11	15	J 14	29	37	20	4	14	31	0	15	7	21	14
Low2Box (Disagree)	8.2%	8.5%	10.0%	6.7%	5.1%	12.4%	8.1%	7.0%	5.0%	13.3%	11.1%	5.5%	5.2%	8.5%	8.0%	-	12.8%	4.6%	8.6%	7.6%
LOWEDOX (Disagree)	0.276	0.5%	10.0%	0.776	J.176	12.470	0.176	1.076	3.0%	13.3%	11.176	3.3%	J.276	0.3%	0.076	<del></del>	12.0%	4.0%	0.0%	1.0%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 60 of 89

Q14\_3. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an important part of Toronto's future economic growth.] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Professional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н		J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an important part of Toronto's future economic growth.																				
	344	44	85	90	53	22	52	116	123	105	158	186	34	81	195	5	69	74	119	77
Strongly agree	49.2%	56.6%	48.4%	55.7%	53.7%	44.0%	37.1%	55.4%	45.1%	48.3%	47.1%	51.1%	47.4%	48.7%	50.3%	89.9%	57.2%	51.0%	47.8%	42.7%
		G		G																
	246	20	58	59	31	15	62	70	119	57	116	130	24	65	133	1	27	55	99	65
Somewhat agree	35.2%	25.7%	33.4%	36.8%	31.5%	31.6%	44.6%	33.3%	43.6%	26.4%	34.6%	35.7%	33.3%	39.2%	34.3%	10.1%	22.6%	37.5%	39.7%	36.4%
			40			7	В	40	J	0.4			_	40			45	7		
Somewhat disagree	59	8	18	3	9	,	16	18	17	24	36	24	5	13	36	0	15	,	20	17
Somewhat disagree	8.5%	10.0% D	10.0%	1.8%	9.3%	13.3% D	11.2% D	8.6%	6.4%	11.0%	10.6%	6.5%	7.1%	8.1%	9.3%	-	12.1%	5.1%	8.2%	9.5%
	43	5	13	9	4	5	6	5	11	27	24	19	7	6	21	0	8	9	8	18
Strongly disagree	6.1%	6.4%	7.7%	5.7%	4.5%	10.3%	4.2%	2.2%	4.0%	12.6%	7.0%	5.3%	9.3%	3.5%	5.5%	-	6.5%	6.3%	3.1%	10.1%
· ·										HI										S
	7	1	1	0	1	0	4	1	2	4	2	5	2	1	2	0	2	0	3	2
(DK/NS)	1.0%	1.2%	0.5%	-	1.1%	0.8%	2.8%	0.4%	0.9%	1.8%	0.6%	1.4%	2.9%	0.5%	0.5%	-	1.6%	0.1%	1.1%	1.4%
Summary																				
	591	63	143	149	84	37	114	186	242	162	275	316	58	146	328	6	96	129	218	142
Top2Box (Agree)	84.4%	82.4%	81.8%	92.5%	85.2%	75.6%	81.8%	88.7%	88.8%	74.6%	81.7%	86.8%	80.7%	87.9%	84.6%	100.0%	79.8%	88.5%	87.6%	79.1%
				F				J	J											
	102	13	31	12	13	12	22	23	28	51	59	43	12	19	58	0	22	17	28	35
Low2Box (Disagree)	14.6%	16.5%	17.7%	7.5%	13.7%	23.6%	15.4%	10.9%	10.4%	23.6%	17.7%	11.8%	16.4%	11.6%	14.8%	-	18.6%	11.5%	11.3%	19.5%
						D				HI										

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 61 of 89

Q14\_4. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an economic engine of the city] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Reg	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	33-34	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is an economic engine of the city																				
	203	19	46	62	28	12	38	52	86	66	108	96	31	37	121	4	42	48	63	45
Strongly agree	29.1%	24.3%	26.4%	38.2%	28.1%	23.8%	26.9%	24.7%	31.4%	30.3%	32.1%	26.3%	42.9%	22.4%	31.1%	75.9%	35.2%	32.7%	25.4%	25.3%
													N							
C	318	39	88	67	47	20	56	109	130	78	128	190	23	82	175	1	42	63	134	78
Somewhat agree	45.4%	50.6%	50.3%	41.6%	48.3%	41.6%	40.0%	52.0%	47.7%	36.0%	38.1%	52.1%	32.3%	49.2%	45.1%	19.4%	34.8%	43.5%	53.8% O	43.4%
	119	12	31	20	16	10	31	43	41	34	75	45	8	39	62	0	27	26	36	30
Somewhat disagree	17.0%	15.8%	17.8%	12.2%	15.9%	19.6%	22.2%	20.7%	15.1%	15.9%	22.2%	12.2%	10.5%	23.5%	16.0%	-	22.9%	17.5%	14.6%	16.7%
		101010		12.270							L									
	52	6	10	11	5	7	13	5	13	33	25	27	9	7	29	0	7	9	14	22
Strongly disagree	7.4%	8.1%	5.5%	6.8%	5.3%	13.9%	9.3%	2.5%	4.8%	15.4%	7.5%	7.3%	12.0%	4.3%	7.4%	-	6.1%	6.2%	5.6%	12.1%
										HI										
(5)(4)(6)	8	1	0	2	2	1	2	0	3	5	0	8	2	1	1	0	1	0	2	5
(DK/NS)	1.1%	1.2%	-	1.1%	2.5%	1.1%	1.6%	0.1%	0.9%	2.4%	0.1%	2.1%	2.2%	0.5%	0.3%	4.8%	1.0%	0.1%	0.7%	2.6%
Summary																				
Guilliary	521	58	134	129	75	32	94	161	216	144	236	285	54	119	295	5	84	111	197	123
Top2Box (Agree)	74.4%	74.8%	76.7%	79.8%	76.4%	65.4%	66.9%	76.7%	79.1%	66.3%	70.2%	78.4%	75.2%	71.7%	76.2%	95.2%	70.0%	76.2%	79.1%	68.7%
		070	. 5.7 70	F	. 2.170	22.170	22.070	. 2.7 70	J	22.070	. 5.12.70	1 2.170		70	. 5.270	22.270	. 5.070	. 5.270	. 5.170	22.170
	171	18	41	31	21	16	44	49	54	68	100	71	16	46	91	0	35	35	50	52
Low2Box (Disagree)	24.4%	24.0%	23.3%	19.0%	21.2%	33.5%	31.5%	23.2%	20.0%	31.3%	29.7%	19.5%	22.6%	27.8%	23.5%	-	29.0%	23.8%	20.2%	28.8%
						D				I	L									

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 63 of 89

Q14\_5. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport should be expanded so that Torontonians have access to more flights and more destinations.] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/P ofessional
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G G	Н	33-34	J	K	1 Ciliaic	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport should be expanded so that Torontonians have access to more flights and more destinations.																				
	274	32	61	82	40	17	41	101	99	74	153	121	30	66	157	5	50	61	103	55
Strongly agree	39.1%	41.4%	34.9%	51.1%	40.9%	35.6%	29.2%	48.1%	36.2%	34.0%	45.6%	33.1%	42.0%	39.9%	40.4%	95.2%	41.3%	41.7%	41.5%	30.7%
				G							L									
	186	18	56	42	20	8	42	64	83	39	73	113	16	50	106	0	22	45	61	57
Somewhat agree	26.5%	23.7%	32.2%	26.0%	20.1%	16.4%	29.7%	30.3%	30.3%	18.2%	21.6%	31.1%	22.9%	30.1%	27.3%	4.8%	18.0%	30.9%	24.5%	31.9%
			F				F		J											
	96	7	26	18	16	7	22	31	38	27	49	47	5	19	56	0	21	19	31	25
Somewhat disagree	13.7%	9.3%	14.8%	11.2%	16.4%	13.4%	16.0%	14.9%	14.0%	12.3%	14.6%	13.0%	7.1%	11.2%	14.4%	-	17.2%	12.9%	12.6%	14.2%
	127	16	23	19	20	16	32	12	44	71	60	67	19	30	61	0	20	19	51	37
Strongly disagree	18.1%	20.7%	13.4%	11.7%	20.4%	33.5%	22.8%	5.5%	16.3%	32.5%	17.8%	18.3%	26.3%	18.3%	15.8%	-	16.6%	12.7%	20.5%	20.7%
						CD			Н	HI										
	18	4	8	0	2	0	3	3	9	6	1	16	1	1	8	0	8	3	2	5
(DK/NS)	2.5%	4.9%	4.7%	-	2.2%	1.0%	2.2%	1.2%	3.2%	3.0%	0.4%	4.5%	1.7%	0.5%	2.1%	-	7.0%	1.8%	0.9%	2.6%
												K								
Summary																				
T 0D (4 )	459	50	117	124	60	26	83	165	182	113	226	234	47	116	262	6	71	106	164	112
Top2Box (Agree)	65.6%	65.1%	67.1%	77.1%	61.0%	52.1%	59.0%	78.4%	66.5%	52.2%	67.2%	64.2%	64.9%	70.0%	67.7%	100.0%	59.3%	72.6%	66.0%	62.6%
				FG				J	J		<b></b>						1			<b></b>
L (Di)	223	23	49	37	36	23	54	43	83	97	109	114	24	49	117	0	41	37	82	63
Low2Box (Disagree)	31.8%	30.0%	28.2%	22.9%	36.8%	46.9% BCD	38.8%	20.4%	30.3%	44.8% HI	32.4%	31.3%	33.5%	29.5%	30.2%	-	33.7%	25.6%	33.2%	34.9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Ipsos 64 of 89

Q14\_6. [The island airport makes a great first impression for visitors who use it to travel to Toronto] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

		1																		
				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
		East York/		Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of							\$30,000-		Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total	York	North York	h	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000+	School	High School	_	University	ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	l l	J	K	L	М	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
The island airport makes a great first impression for visitors who use it to travel to Toronto																				
	324	36	79	71	57	26	55	103	131	90	160	165	41	63	187	3	59	72	104	86
Strongly agree	46.3%	46.3%	45.0%	44.1%	58.5%	54.0%	39.4%	49.0%	48.1%	41.6%	47.5%	45.3%	56.9%	38.1%	48.3%	48.5%	49.1%	49.8%	41.8%	47.7%
					G	G														
	260	28	61	66	30	12	63	88	107	65	119	141	20	73	137	3	37	58	105	58
Somewhat agree	37.2%	36.0%	34.8%	41.2%	30.8%	25.3%	44.9%	41.8%	39.3%	30.0%	35.4%	38.8%	28.3%	44.1%	35.3%	46.8%	30.7%	40.0%	42.2%	32.2%
							F													
	72	7	28	11	5	4	18	16	23	33	36	37	3	20	41	0	21	5	26	21
Somewhat disagree	10.3%	9.7%	15.8%	6.8%	4.6%	8.1%	12.8%	7.8%	8.4%	15.2%	10.6%	10.1%	4.3%	12.3%	10.6%	-	17.1%	3.4%	10.5%	11.6%
																	R			
	26	4	2	7	6	4	2	2	5	19	14	11	6	5	12	0	3	4	8	10
Strongly disagree	3.7%	5.5%	1.4%	4.1%	6.2%	7.9%	1.7%	1.0%	1.7%	8.6%	4.3%	3.1%	8.6%	2.9%	3.1%	4.8%	2.2%	2.8%	3.4%	5.7%
						CG				HI										
	17	2	5	6	0	2	2	1	7	10	7	10	1	4	11	0	1	6	6	5
(DK/NS)	2.5%	2.6%	3.1%	3.8%	-	4.7%	1.2%	0.4%	2.5%	4.5%	2.2%	2.8%	1.8%	2.6%	2.8%	-	1.0%	4.0%	2.2%	2.8%
						E														
Summary																				
	585	63	140	137	87	39	118	191	238	155	279	306	61	136	324	5	96	131	209	143
Top2Box (Agree)	83.5%	82.3%	79.8%	85.3%	89.2%	79.3%	84.3%	90.8%	87.4%	71.6%	82.9%	84.1%	85.2%	82.1%	83.6%	95.2%	79.8%	89.8%	83.9%	79.9%
						_		J	J									_		
	98	12	30	18	11	8	20	19	28	52	50	48	9	25	53	0	23	9	34	31
Low2Box (Disagree)	14.0%	15.2%	17.2%	10.9%	10.8%	16.0%	14.4%	8.8%	10.1%	23.9%	14.9%	13.2%	12.9%	15.3%	13.7%	4.8%	19.2%	6.2%	13.9%	17.3%
		1		l	1	1	1		1	HI		1	1	1	1		1	1		1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 65 of 89

Q14\_7. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is great for the economy of Toronto] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Reg	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is great for the economy of Toronto																				
	331	32	87	84	52	24	52	95	132	103	157	174	33	58	207	5	57	77	111	81
Strongly agree	47.2%	41.1%	49.7%	52.2%	53.0%	48.1%	37.5%	45.4%	48.4%	47.6%	46.6%	47.8%	45.9%	35.0%	53.5%	85.9%	47.0%	53.1%	44.6%	45.2%
															N					
	295	34	72	64	38	17	69	102	120	73	138	157	28	96	144	1	53	55	110	76
Somewhat agree	42.1%	44.5%	41.4%	39.8%	38.7%	35.1%	49.4%	48.6%	44.0%	33.6%	41.1%	43.1%	38.6%	58.2% O	37.1%	14.1%	43.7%	37.5%	44.4%	42.3%
	40	7	8	4	4	4	13	11	15	14	26	14	4	6	21	0	3	8	19	10
Somewhat disagree	5.7%	8.5%	4.8%	2.4%	4.3%	7.9%	9.4%	5.3%	5.5%	6.4%	7.6%	4.0%	5.8%	3.9%	5.5%	-	2.8%	5.2%	7.7%	5.6%
- Comownat alougroo	3.1 70	0.070	4.070	2.470	4.570	1.570	3.470	3.570	3.570	0.470	7.070	4.070	3.070	3.370	3.370		2.070	J.2 /0	1.170	3.070
	31	4	7	9	4	4	3	1	3	26	15	16	7	5	14	0	8	6	7	10
Strongly disagree	4.4%	4.7%	4.2%	5.7%	4.0%	7.4%	2.2%	0.7%	1.2%	11.9%	4.4%	4.3%	9.2%	3.0%	3.6%	-	6.3%	4.1%	2.9%	5.5%
										HI										
	4	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	1	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
(DK/NS)	0.5%	1.2%	-	-	-	1.5%	1.6%	-	0.9%	0.6%	0.3%	0.8%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	1.4%
Summary	000		450	440	00		400	407	050	470	005	004	0.4	454	054		400	400	004	453
Top2Box (Agree)	626 89.4%	66 85.6%	159 91.1%	148 92.0%	90 91.7%	41 83.2%	122 86.8%	197	252	176 81.1%	295 87.7%	331 90.9%	61 84.5%	154 93.1%	351 90.6%	6 100.0%	109 90.7%	132 90.6%	221 89.0%	157 87.5%
TOPZBOX (Agree)	89.4%	85.6%	91.1%	92.0%	91.7%	83.2%	86.8%	94.0%	92.3%	81.1%	81.7%	90.9%	84.5%	93.1%	90.6%	100.0%	90.7%	90.6%	89.0%	81.5%
	71	10	16	13	8	7	16	13	18	40	40	30	11	11	35	0	11	13	26	20
Low2Box (Disagree)	10.1%	13.2%	8.9%	8.0%	8.3%	15.3%	11.6%	6.0%	6.7%	18.2%	12.0%	8.3%	15.1%	6.8%	9.1%	-	9.1%	9.2%	10.6%	11.1%
` ~ ′	70	. 3.270	2.070	2.370	2.070	. 2.070		2.370	2.770	HI	:=:070	2.070		2.370	2.170		2.170	5.270	. 2.070	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 66 of 89

Q14\_8. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a great gateway for the city and tourists] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a great gateway for the city and tourists																				
	341	35	92	66	59	27	62	101	135	105	158	182	36	77	198	5	53	74	119	88
Strongly agree	48.7%	45.2%	52.4%	41.1%	60.1%	55.5%	44.3%	48.1%	49.3%	48.4%	47.1%	50.1%	50.5%	46.2%	51.1%	95.2%	44.1%	50.8%	48.0%	49.2%
	265	31	60	73	28	14	59	90	111	63	126	139	28	70	137	0	46	55	93	70
Somewhat agree	37.8%	40.1%	34.0%	45.5%	28.4%	27.9%	42.5%	43.0%	40.7%	29.2%	37.5%	38.1%	38.4%	42.1%	35.4%	4.8%	38.5%	38.1%	37.2%	39.1%
	54	6	15	12	6	4	12	14	21	19	33	21	0	q	35	0	12	6	23	12
Somewhat disagree	7.7%	7.2%	8.3%	7.2%	6.3%	7.5%	8.8%	6.8%	7.6%	8.7%	9.7%	5.9%	0.4%	5.6%	9.0%	-	10.4%	4.2%	9.4%	6.6%
Somewhat disagree	1.1%	1.2%	8.3%	1.2%	6.3%	7.5%	8.8%	6.8%	7.6%	8.7%	9.7%	5.9%	0.4%	5.6%	9.0%	-	10.4%	4.2%	9.4%	0.0%
	36	5	7	10	5	4	5	4	5	27	18	18	7	9	18	0	8	9	10	9
Strongly disagree	5.2%	6.6%	4.2%	6.2%	5.3%	8.6%	3.2%	2.1%	1.7%	12.5%	5.5%	4.9%	9.5%	5.5%	4.5%	-	6.3%	6.4%	4.1%	5.0%
										HI										1
	5	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	1	4	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	0
(DK/NS)	0.7%	1.0%	1.1%	-	-	0.5%	1.2%	-	0.8%	1.2%	0.3%	1.1%	1.2%	0.5%	0	-	0.7%	0.5%	1.1%	0.1%
Summary		,																		
T 05 (4 )	605	66	151	139	87	41	121	191	246	168	284	321	64	146	335	6	99	129	212	158
Top2Box (Agree)	86.5%	85.2%	86.4%	86.6%	88.5%	83.4%	86.8%	91.1%	90.0%	77.6%	84.6%	88.2%	88.9%	88.4%	86.5%	100.0%	82.6%	88.9%	85.3%	88.2%
	90	11	22	22	11	8	17	19	25	46	51	39	7	18	52	0	20	15	34	21
Low2Box (Disagree)	12.9%	13.8%	12.5%	13.4%	11.5%	16.1%	12.0%	8.9%	9.3%	21.2%	15.2%	10.8%	9.9%	11.1%	13.5%	-	16.7%	10.6%	13.6%	11.6%
Lonzbox (blodgroo)	12.9%	13.0%	12.3%	13.4%	11.5%	10.1%	12.076	0.9%	3.3%	21.2% HI	13.276	10.0%	5.9%	11.170	13.5%		10.7%	10.0%	13.0%	11.0%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 67 of 89

Q14\_9. [Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a valuable asset for the city] Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is a valuable asset for the city																				
	362	38	90	77	61	28	68	95	150	117	173	189	38	78	216	4	52	75	129	102
Strongly agree	51.7%	48.9%	51.4%	47.6%	62.5%	57.7%	48.8%	45.2%	55.1%	53.9%	51.5%	52.0%	52.9%	46.9%	55.9%	75.9%	43.1%	51.2%	51.7%	57.0%
Somewhat agree	275 39.2%	32 42.0%	68	75	26	14	59 42.5%	109	103	63	135	140 38.5%	25	76	141	1	57	62 42.8%	100	55
Somewhat agree	39.2%	42.0%	39.1%	46.5% EF	26.1%	28.5%	42.5% F	51.9%	37.6%	29.1%	40.0%	38.5%	34.4%	45.9%	36.3%	24.1%	47.1%	42.8%	40.0%	30.6%
	35	4	9	3	8	3	8	1	16	18	13	22	4	8	14	0	6	7	12	10
Somewhat disagree	5.0%	5.2%	5.3%	2.1%	8.3%	5.6%	5.5%	0.4%	5.9%	8.4%	4.0%	6.0%	5.2%	5.1%	3.6%	-	5.2%	5.1%	4.8%	5.5%
										Н										
	26	3	5	6	3	4	5	5	2	19	15	11	5	3	14	0	6	1	9	11
Strongly disagree	3.7%	3.9%	3.1%	3.8%	3.1%	7.8%	3.2%	2.5%	0.7%	8.6%	4.5%	3.0%	7.4%	2.0%	3.7%	-	4.6%	0.9%	3.4%	5.9%
	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
(DK/NS)	0.3%	-	1.1%	-	-	0.5%	-	-	0.8%	0	0	0.6%	Ū	-	0.6%	-	-	-	0.1%	1.1%
(DIVING)	0.3%	-	1.170	_	_	0.5%	-		0.6%	0	U	0.6%	<u> </u>	-	0.6%		-	-	0.176	1.176
Summary																				
	637	70	158	152	87	42	128	204	253	180	307	329	63	154	357	6	108	137	228	157
Top2Box (Agree)	91.0%	90.8%	90.5%	94.1%	88.6%	86.2%	91.3%	97.1%	92.6%	82.9%	91.5%	90.4%	87.3%	92.9%	92.2%	100.0%	90.2%	94.0%	91.8%	87.5%
								J	J											
1 ap (b)	61	7	15	10	11	7	12	6	18	37	28	33	9	12	28	0	12	9	20	20
Low2Box (Disagree)	8.7%	9.2%	8.4%	5.9%	11.4%	13.4%	8.7%	2.9%	6.6%	17.0% HI	8.4%	9.0%	12.7%	7.1%	7.3%	-	9.8%	6.0%	8.2%	11.3%
										н										

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 68 of 89

Q15. As you may know, access to the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport is by way of a short ferry ride across a distance of 400 feet (or 121 metres). An under-water pedestrian tunnel is currently being built to link the island to the mainland. Before today, to what extent are you aware of this construction? Are you...

				Reg	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	291	34	59	55	43	26	72	51	107	133	169	122	21	66	179	0	38	57	103	92
Very aware	41.5%	44.0%	33.8%	34.4%	44.1%	54.0%	51.7%	24.2%	39.1%	61.3%	50.2%	33.5%	29.6%	39.6%	46.1%	-	31.5%	39.3%	41.3%	51.3%
						CD			Н	HI	L									Q
	139	13	43	32	23	8	19	37	59	43	63	75	21	38	66	3	24	36	45	31
Somewhat aware	19.8%	17.4%	24.5%	19.9%	23.9%	15.5%	13.8%	17.4%	21.7%	19.6%	18.8%	20.7%	29.8%	22.9%	17.1%	47.4%	19.6%	25.1%	17.9%	17.4%
	56	4	7	13	13	3	17	25	16	15	24	33	3	15	28	2	9	11	21	14
Not very aware	8.1%	4.6%	4.1%	8.3%	13.1%	5.4%	11.9%	12.1%	5.8%	6.9%	7.1%	8.9%	4.6%	9.0%	7.3%	26.8%	7.7%	7.3%	8.6%	7.6%
	215	26	66	60	18	12	32	97	91	26	80	134	26	47	114	1	50	41	80	43
Not at all aware	30.7%	34.0%	37.6%	37.4%	18.9%	25.1%	22.6%	46.3%	33.3%	12.1%	24.0%	36.8%	36.0%	28.5%	29.5%	25.8%	41.2%	28.3%	32.1%	23.7%
			E	E	101070			J	J	,		K								
Summary																				
	429	47	102	87	67	34	92	87	166	176	232	197	43	104	245	3	61	94	147	123
Top2Box (Aware)	61.3%	61.4%	58.3%	54.3%	68.0%	69.5%	65.5%	41.6%	60.8%	80.9%	68.9%	54.2%	59.4%	62.5%	63.2%	47.4%	51.1%	64.4%	59.3%	68.7%
									Н	HI	L									
	271	30	73	74	31	15	48	123	107	41	104	167	29	62	143	3	59	52	101	56
Low2Box (Not Aware)	38.7%	38.6%	41.7%	45.7%	32.0%	30.5%	34.5%	58.4%	39.2%	19.1%	31.1%	45.8%	40.6%	37.5%	36.8%	52.6%	48.9%	35.6%	40.7%	31.3%
								IJ	J			K								

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 69 of 89

Q16. [SUMMARY - TOP2BOX (AGREE)] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ger	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	Etobicoke	F	G G	10-34 H	35-54	35+	K	remale	×\$30,000 Μ	\$60,000 N	\$60,000+	P	O C	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
A pedestrian tunnel is the best way to improve access to the island airport and enhance the experience of flying out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport.	580 82.8%	64 82.8%	147 83.8%	137 84.8%	86 87.6%	39 78.7%	108 77.5%	188 89.4%	220 80.7%	172 79.1%	291 86.7%	289 79.3%	60 82.6%	148 89.1%	320 82.6%	5 95.2%	98 81.2%	127 87.5%	205 82.6%	143 80.0%
I think the short-term pain of construction and the inconvenience it causes will be worth the long-term gain of having a tunnel to permanently connect Billy Bishop Airport to the	578 82.6%	57 73.8%	143 81.9%	135 84.1%	84 85.4%	39 78.6%	120 86.0%	178 84.8%	223 81.7%	177 81.6%	285 84.9%	293 80.5%	60 82.7%	142 85.6%	327 84.4%	4 79.5%	96 80.0%	119 81.8%	204 82.2%	153 85.5%
The construction of the tunnel is inconveniencing me	124 17.8%	12 15.2%	22 12.4%	35 22.0%	20 20.1%	9 19.2%	26 18.9%	27 12.9%	61 22.4%	36 16.7%	56 16.6%	69 18.9%	22 30.1%	36 21.9%	52 13.3%	5 81.1%	19 15.8%	19 12.7%	58 23.4%	24 13.6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 70 of 89

Q16. [SUMMARY - LOW2BOX (DISAGREE)] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	С	D	F	F	G	Н	55 5.	J.	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
The construction of the tunnel is inconveniencing me	556 79.4%	64 82.7%	149 85.4%	118 73.1%	75 76.4%	38 77.5%	112 80.0%	177 84.1%	205 75.2%	174 80.1%	277 82.5%	278 76.5%	49 68.0%	124 74.8%	332 85.6% M	0 -	98 81.5%	126 86.7%	182 73.3%	149 82.9%
A pedestrian tunnel is the best way to improve access to the island airport and enhance the experience of flying out of Billy Bishop Toronto City	106	12	23	25	9	9	29	22	45	39	43	63	11	17	58	0	21	18	38	30
Airport.	15.2%	16.2%	13.0%	15.2%	9.5%	17.4%	20.6%	10.5%	16.7%	17.9%	12.7%	17.4%	15.5%	10.0%	15.1%	-	17.2%	12.2%	15.4%	16.5%
I think the short-term pain of construction and the inconvenience it causes will be worth the long-term gain of having a tunnel to permanently connect Billy Bishop Airport to the	102 14.6%	13 17.4%	26 14.8%	26 15.9%	11 11.7%	9 18.0%	17 12.3%	26 12.5%	42 15.2%	35 16.0%	47 14.0%	55 15.2%	12 17.0%	20 12.3%	50 13.0%	0 1.6%	23 19.1%	19 13.1%	42 16.7%	19 10.5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

1psos 71 of 89

Q16\_1. [The construction of the tunnel is inconveniencing me] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Reg	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug		Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
The construction of the tunnel is inconveniencing me																				
	35	2	7	11	7	4	4	8	19	8	18	16	3	8	21	3	4	4	16	8
Agree very much	5.0%	2.2%	4.0%	6.9%	7.2%	7.3%	3.1%	3.6%	7.0%	3.7%	5.5%	4.5%	4.8%	5.0%	5.4%	47.4%	3.0%	2.7%	6.6%	4.5%
A	90	10	15	24	13	6	22	20	42	28	37	52	18	28	31	2	15	15	42	16
Agree somewhat	12.8%	13.0%	8.4%	15.1%	12.9%	11.9%	15.8%	9.3%	15.4%	13.0%	11.1%	14.4%	25.3% O	16.9%	7.9%	33.7%	12.8%	10.0%	16.8%	9.0%
	154	10	51	36	18	9	30	53	58	43	78	76	14	42	83	0	27	45	39	43
Disagree somewhat	22.0%	13.5%	29.3%	22.1%	18.1%	17.7%	21.6%	25.0%	21.2%	20.0%	23.3%	20.8%	19.2%	25.6%	21.3%	-	22.1%	31.1%	15.8%	24.0%
																		S		
	402	53	98	82	57	29	82	124	147	130	199	203	35	82	249	0	71	81	143	106
Disagree very much	57.4%	69.2%	56.1%	51.0%	58.3%	59.8%	58.4%	59.1%	53.9%	60.1%	59.2%	55.7%	48.8%	49.2%	64.3%	-	59.5%	55.6%	57.5%	58.9%
	20	2	4	8	3	2	2	6	7	7	3	17	4	5	N 4	1	3	1	8	6
(DK/NS)	2.8%	2.1%	2.2%	4.9%	3.5%	3.2%	1.1%	3.0%	2.5%	3.2%	0.9%	4.6%	2.0%	3.3%	1.0%	18.9%	2.7%	0.6%	3.4%	3.5%
(Bitito)	2.070	2.170	2.270	4.570	3.370	3.270	1.170	3.070	2.570	5.270	0.570	4.070	2.070	0.070	1.070	10.570	2.170	0.070	0.470	3.370
Summary																				
	124	12	22	35	20	9	26	27	61	36	56	69	22	36	52	5	19	19	58	24
Top2Box (Agree)	17.8%	15.2%	12.4%	22.0%	20.1%	19.2%	18.9%	12.9%	22.4%	16.7%	16.6%	18.9%	30.1%	21.9%	13.3%	81.1%	15.8%	12.7%	23.4%	13.6%
	550	0.4	4.40	440	75	20	440	477	205	474	077	070	0	404	000	-	00	400	400	440
Low2Box (Disagree)	556	64	149	118	75	38	112	177	205	174	277	278	49	124	332	0	98	126	182	149
LOWZDOX (DISAGIEE)	79.4%	82.7%	85.4%	73.1%	76.4%	77.5%	80.0%	84.1%	75.2%	80.1%	82.5%	76.5%	68.0%	74.8%	85.6% M	-	81.5%	86.7%	73.3%	82.9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Ipsos 72 of 89

Q16\_2. [A pedestrian tunnel is the best way to improve access to the island airport and enhance the experience of flying out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport.] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
A pedestrian tunnel is the best way to improve access to the island airport and enhance the experience of flying out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport.																				
	302	31	51	76	57	22	66	83	105	114	161	142	33	60	184	5	51	69	93	84
Agree very much	43.2%	40.4%	29.1%	46.9%	58.3%	43.9%	47.2%	39.6%	38.6%	52.4%	47.8%	39.0%	46.0%	36.2%	47.4%	81.1%	42.2%	47.3%	37.6%	46.9%
					С		С			I										
	277	33	96	61	29	17	42	105	115	58	131	147	26	88	136	1	47	58	112	59
Agree somewhat	39.6%	42.4%	54.7%	37.9%	29.3%	34.8%	30.3%	49.8%	42.1%	26.7%	38.9%	40.3%	36.7%	52.9%	35.2%	14.1%	39.0%	40.2%	45.0%	33.1%
			EFG					J	J					0						
	61	10	3	20	6	4	18	20	23	19	21	40	5	11	33	0	9	11	21	21
Disagree somewhat	8.7%	13.3%	1.6%	12.3%	6.2%	7.5%	13.0%	9.4%	8.3%	8.5%	6.2%	11.0%	7.4%	6.4%	8.4%	-	7.1%	7.3%	8.4%	11.6%
		С		С		С	С													
	45	2	20	5	3	5	11	2	23	20	22	24	6	6	26	0	12	7	17	9
Disagree very much	6.5%	2.8%	11.3%	2.9%	3.2%	9.9%	7.6%	1.1%	8.4%	9.3%	6.5%	6.5%	8.1%	3.6%	6.7%	-	10.0%	4.9%	7.0%	4.9%
				_	_	_	_		H 7	Н				_	_		_	_	_	1
(5)(4)(6)	14	1	6	0	3	2	3	0	- /	6	2	12	1	2	9	0	2	0	5	6
(DK/NS)	2.0%	1.0%	3.3%	-	2.9%	3.9% D	1.9%	0.1%	2.6%	3.0%	0.6%	3.3%	1.9%	0.9%	2.4%	4.8%	1.6%	0.3%	2.0%	3.5%
Summary						U														
Summary	580	64	147	137	86	39	108	188	220	172	291	289	60	148	320	5	98	127	205	143
Top2Box (Agree)	82.8%	82.8%	83.8%	84.8%	87.6%	78.7%	77.5%	89.4%	80.7%	79.1%	86.7%	79.3%	82.6%	89.1%	82.6%	95.2%	81.2%	87.5%	82.6%	80.0%
TOPZDOX (AGIEE)	82.8%	82.8%	83.8%	84.8%	87.0%	18.1%	11.5%	89.4%	80.7%	79.1%	80.7%	79.3%	82.6%	89.1%	82.0%	95.2%	81.2%	87.5%	82.0%	80.0%
	106	40	00	25	9	9	29	00	45	20	43	63	11	17	58	0	21	18	20	30
Lou/2Roy (Diagrae)		12	23					22		39									38	
Low2Box (Disagree)	15.2%	16.2%	13.0%	15.2%	9.5%	17.4%	20.6%	10.5%	16.7%	17.9%	12.7%	17.4%	15.5%	10.0%	15.1%	-	17.2%	12.2%	15.4%	16.5%
			1	l	1	l	1		l	l		1		l	l		l .	l	l	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 73 of 89

Q16\_3. [I think the short-term pain of construction and the inconvenienceit causes will be worth the long-term gain of having a tunnel to permanently connect Billy Bishop Airport to the ....] I'd like to read you some statements about the pedestrian tunnel. Please tell me whether you agree very much, agree somewhat or disagree very much.

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	F	F Queen St.	G G	16-34 H	35-54	35+	K	remale	×\$30,000 Μ	\$60,000 N	0	P	O CIOUI	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
I think the short-term pain of construction and th inconvenience it causes will be worth the long-term gain of having a tunnel to permanently connect Billy Bishop Airport to the																				
	355	39	86	77	52	23	78	101	126	128	193	163	34	77	210	4	58	73	118	101
Agree very much	50.8%	50.4%	49.2%	47.7%	53.2%	47.1%	56.0%	48.0%	46.3%	59.1%	57.3% L	44.7%	46.8%	46.3%	54.3%	74.2%	48.5%	50.3%	47.5%	56.2%
Agree somewhat	223 31.8%	18 23.3%	57 32.7%	59 36.4%	32 32.2%	15 31.5%	42 30.0%	77 36.8%	97 35.4%	49 22.6%	93 27.6%	130 35.7%	26 35.9%	65 39.3%	117 30.2%	0 5.3%	38 31.6%	46 31.5%	86 34.7%	53 29.3%
	59	10	6	20	6	4	13	24	24	11	28	31	5	14	27	0	10	11	26	12
Disagree somewhat	8.4%	12.4%	3.2%	12.4%	6.0%	9.0%	9.4%	11.4%	8.7%	5.0%	8.3%	8.4%	6.7%	8.6%	6.9%	1.6%	8.4%	7.4%	10.5%	6.4%
Disagree very much	44 6.3%	4 5.1%	20 11.6%	6 3.5%	6 5.8%	4 9.1%	4 2.8%	2 1.0%	18 6.5%	24 11.0%	19 5.7%	25 6.8%	7 10.3%	6 3.7%	23 6.1%	0 -	13 10.7%	8 5.7%	15 6.2%	7 4.0%
				_	_	G			_	Н										
(DK/NS)	19 2.8%	7 8.8%	6 3.3%	0	3 2.9%	3.4%	1.7%	6 2.7%	9 3.1%	5 2.4%	1.1%	16 4.3%	0	2.2%	10 2.6%	18.9%	0.9%	7 5.1%	1.0%	7 4.0%
(DMNO)	2.8%	8.8% DG	3.3%	-	2.9%	3.4% D	1.7%	2.1%	3.1%	2.4%	1.1%	4.3%	0.4%	2.2%	2.6%	18.9%	0.9%	5.1%	1.0%	4.0%
Summary																_				
Top2Box (Agree)	578 82.6%	57 73.8%	143 81.9%	135 84.1%	84 85.4%	39 78.6%	120 86.0%	178 84.8%	223 81.7%	177 81.6%	285 84.9%	293 80.5%	60 82.7%	142 85.6%	327 84.4%	4 79.5%	96 80.0%	119 81.8%	204 82.2%	153 85.5%
	102	13	26	26	11	9	17	26	42	35	47	55	12	20	50	0	23	19	42	19
Low2Box (Disagree)	14.6%	17.4%	14.8%	15.9%	11.7%	18.0%	12.3%	12.5%	15.2%	16.0%	14.0%	15.2%	17.0%	12.3%	13.0%	1.6%	19.1%	13.1%	16.7%	10.5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

1psos 74 of 89

# Q17. Who do you believe is paying for construction of the pedestrian tunnel?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	33-34	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	480	50	117	128	65	30	90	162	195	124	219	261	54	115	265	2	88	114	162	113
The City of Toronto	68.6%	64.4%	67.1%	79.7% F	66.5%	61.2%	64.3%	77.1% J	71.4% J	56.9%	65.3%	71.7%	75.4%	69.4%	68.3%	39.6%	73.3%	78.7% T	65.0%	63.1%
Airport passengers through the Airport Improvement	411	47	90	97	57	30	90	121	187	103	195	216	38	101	232	1	57	89	142	123
Fee .	58.7%	61.6%	51.5%	60.1%	58.3%	60.6%	64.2%	57.4%	68.6% J	47.5%	58.1%	59.2%	53.3%	60.9%	59.9%	14.7%	47.0%	61.5%	56.9%	68.4% Q
	380	52	98	82	52	23	72	121	156	103	170	210	41	82	219	5	56	90	119	110
The Provincial Government	54.3%	67.7%	56.0%	51.1%	53.2%	46.7%	51.7%	57.8%	57.0%	47.4%	50.5%	57.7%	56.7%	49.3%	56.5%	80.0%	46.5%	61.6%	48.0%	61.2%
		F																		
	296	39	87	67	35	21	48	91	115	90	144	152	37	67	167	1	45	72	90	88
The Federal Government	42.3%	50.1%	49.5%	41.5%	36.0%	42.7%	34.4%	43.5%	42.0%	41.7%	43.0%	41.8%	50.8%	40.2%	43.0%	14.7%	37.6%	49.7%	36.1%	49.3%
	260	43	61	56	24	21	55	90	103	66	118	141	33	50	161	0	41	54	81	84
A Government Agency	37.1%	55.2%	34.9%	35.0%	24.5%	42.8%	39.1%	43.0%	37.7%	30.6%	35.2%	38.8%	45.9%	30.0%	41.6%	-	34.0%	37.3%	32.5%	46.6%
		CDE				E														S
	40	2	12	13	1	3	9	11	12	17	14	26	4	6	28	0	4	9	14	13
Other	5.7%	2.0%	6.8%	8.2%	1.4%	5.4%	6.7%	5.0%	4.5%	7.8%	4.1%	7.2%	6.0%	3.4%	7.3%	-	3.7%	6.2%	5.6%	7.0%
	46	1	17	3	7	5	13	12	10	23	22	23	3	20	18	0	8	3	26	9
(DK/NS)	6.5%	1.0%	10.0%	1.7%	6.9%	9.2%	9.5%	5.9%	3.7%	10.7%	6.6%	6.4%	3.5%	11.8%	4.6%	-	6.7%	1.8%	10.4%	5.1%
						BD													R	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 75 of 89

Q18. From your home, do you hear any sound or noise associated with the airplanes that land at, and take off from, the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport?

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	F	F	G	H	33-34	J	K	remaie	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	17	1	3	2	1	6	3	1	4	11	12	4	7	5	4	0	2	6	4	4
A lot of noise	2.4%	1.0%	1.6%	1.1%	1.3%	13.1% BCDEG	2.5%	0.6%	1.6%	5.1%	3.6%	1.2%	10.1% O	2.8%	1.1%	-	2.0%	4.2%	1.5%	2.5%
	63	4	13	14	6	9	16	13	26	23	24	38	6	20	31	2	6	17	23	15
Some noise	9.0%	5.8%	7.2%	8.9%	6.4%	19.3% BCE	11.3%	6.2%	9.6%	10.8%	7.3%	10.6%	8.2%	11.9%	7.9%	26.8%	5.0%	11.5%	9.1%	8.5%
	64	8	12	9	4	8	24	25	23	15	17	47	8	14	37	0	21	9	22	12
Not very much noise	9.1%	9.8%	6.6%	5.5%	4.4%	15.5%	16.9%	11.8%	8.6%	7.1%	5.1%	12.8%	10.7%	8.4%	9.6%	-	17.6%	6.1%	8.8%	6.5%
						DE	DE					K								
	547	63	148	136	85	25	90	171	216	160	278	270	51	121	314	4	91	114	197	142
No noise at all	78.2%	81.8% FG	84.6% FG	84.5% FG	86.8% FG	51.5%	64.3%	81.3%	79.2%	73.8%	82.7%	74.1%	70.8%	73.1%	81.1%	73.2%	75.4%	78.1%	79.1%	79.3%
	10	1	0	0	1	0	7	0	3	7	5	5	0	6	1	0	0	0	4	6
(DK/NS)	1.4%	1.6%	-	-	1.1%	0.7%	5.0%		1.0%	3.2%	1.4%	1.3%	0.2%	3.8%	0.3%	-	-	0.1%	1.5%	3.2%
( /	,	,.			,		0.070		110,10	0.270	,		0.270	0	0.070			01170		0.270
Summary																				
	79	5	15	16	8	16	19	14	31	34	37	43	13	24	35	2	8	23	26	20
Top2Box (Noise)	11.4%	6.8%	8.8%	10.0%	7.7%	32.4%	13.8%	6.9%	11.2%	15.9%	10.9%	11.8%	18.3%	14.7%	9.0%	26.8%	7.0%	15.7%	10.6%	11.0%
						BCDEG														
	611	71	160	145	89	33	114	196	240	176	295	316	59	135	352	4	112	123	219	154
Low2Box (No noise)	87.3%	91.6% F	91.2% F	90.0% F	91.2% F	66.9%	81.2% F	93.1% J	87.8%	80.9%	87.7%	86.9%	81.5%	81.5%	90.8%	73.2%	93.0%	84.2%	87.9%	85.8%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 76 of 89

Q19. And, overall, would you say that this sound or noise is very bothersome, somewhat bothersome, not really bothersome or not bothersome at all?

				Re	gion				Age		Gei	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/	North York	Scarboroug		Toronto - South of	Toronto - North of	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60.000	\$60.000+	Elementary	High School	Community	University	Post- Graduate/Pr
	Total A	York B	C North York	n D	Etobicoke	Queen St.	Queen St.	18-34 E	35-54	55+	K	Female	<\$30,000 M	\$60,000 N	\$60,000 <del>+</del>	School	Q Q	College R	University	ofessional
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	14	1	0	5	2	4	2	1	7	6	8	6	3	6	4	0	2	5	2	6
Very bothersome	1.9%	1.8%	-	2.9%	2.4%	7.6% CG	1.1%	0.5%	2.5%	2.7%	2.3%	1.6%	4.4%	3.6%	1.1%	-	1.4%	3.1%	0.8%	3.1%
	33	3	6	4	5	7	7	3	10	20	13	20	9	8	11	0	7	8	12	5
Somewhat bothersome	4.7%	3.3%	3.6%	2.2%	5.4%	15.1% BCDEG	5.3%	1.3%	3.5%	9.4% HI	3.9%	5.4%	12.5% O	5.1%	2.9%	-	6.1%	5.7%	4.8%	2.8%
	57	3	11	12	3	7	22	26	24	7	20	38	5	14	36	2	18	9	19	11
Not really bothersome	8.2%	3.4%	6.2%	7.5%	3.1%	13.5%	15.8%	12.4%	8.9%	3.2%	5.9%	10.3%	7.1%	8.3%	9.2%	26.8%	14.7%	6.0%	7.6%	5.9%
,	0.270	3.470	0.270	1.570	5.170	BE	BE	J	0.570	3.270	3.370	10.570	7.170	0.070	3.270	20.070	14.770	0.070	7.070	3.370
	40	6	10	5	1	6	12	9	13	17	13	27	4	10	21	0	3	10	15	10
Not bothersome at all	5.6%	8.1%	5.6%	2.9%	1.1%	11.7%	8.6%	4.5%	4.9%	7.7%	3.9%	7.3%	5.0%	6.1%	5.5%	-	2.4%	6.9%	6.2%	5.7%
						DE														
	557	64	148	136	86	26	97	171	219	167	282	275	51	127	315	4	91	114	200	148
(DK/NS)	79.6%	83.4%	84.6%	84.5%	87.9%	52.1%	69.3%	81.3%	80.2%	77.0%	84.1%	75.4%	71.0%	76.9%	81.4%	73.2%	75.4%	78.2%	80.6%	82.5%
Summary	L	F	FG	FG	FG		F													
Summary	46	4	6	8	0	11	9	4	16	26	21	25	12	14	15	0	Q	13	14	11
Top2Box (Bothersome)	6.6%	5.2%	3.6%	5.1%	7.9%	22.7%	6.4%	1.8%	6.0%	12.1%	6.2%	7.0%	16.9%	8.7%	4.0%	-	7.5%	8.8%	5.6%	5.9%
TOPEDOX (Bolliosonio)	0.076	5.276	3.078	5.176	1.576	BCDEG	0.478	1.576	0.076	12.176 H	U.Z /6	7.078	0	0.7 /6	4.078	<u> </u>	7.576	0.076	5.576	5.576
	97	9	21	17	4	12	34	35	38	24	33	64	9	24	57	2	21	19	34	21
Low2Box (Not bothersome)	13.8%	11.5%	11.8%	10.4%	4.2%	25.2%	24.4%	16.9%	13.8%	10.9%	9.7%	17.6%	12.1%	14.4%	14.6%	26.8%	17.1%	12.9%	13.8%	11.6%
						BCDE	DE													

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 79 of 89

Q20. And, overall, would you say that this sound or noise from this automobile traffic is very bothersome, somewhat bothersome, not really bothersome or not bothersome at all?

				Re	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30.000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/Pr ofessional
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Н	33-34	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*
	8	0	2	3	1	3	0	1	5	2	3	5	1	4	3	0	0	4	3	1
Very bothersome	1.1%	-	0.9%	1.7%	1.1%	5.2%	-	0.5%	1.9%	0.7%	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%	2.6%	0.8%	-	0.2%	2.6%	1.1%	0.6%
	0	0			3	BG 2	0	3	4		3	6	2		0	0	2	4	2	4
Somewhat bothersome	3	·	2	1	-		2	•		2	·	Ü		3	2			1		·
Somewhat bothersome	1.3%	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%	2.8%	4.6% D	1.1%	1.5%	1.6%	0.8%	0.9%	1.7%	2.9%	1.6%	0.5%	-	1.9%	0.7%	1.0%	2.1%
	36	3	3	16	6	3	4	17	7	12	19	17	3	11	17	2	9	11	13	2
Not really bothersome	5.1%	4.4%	1.6%	10.2%	6.3%	5.9%	2.8%	8.0%	2.5%	5.6%	5.6%	4.6%	4.7%	6.4%	4.3%	26.8%	7.4%	7.3%	5.2%	1.0%
ŕ																				
	28	4	7	10	1	1	5	10	12	6	16	12	6	8	15	0	11	9	7	1
Not bothersome at all	4.1%	5.0%	3.8%	6.5%	0.9%	3.0%	3.7%	5.0%	4.6%	2.6%	4.8%	3.4%	8.4%	4.6%	3.8%	4.8%	9.5%	6.2%	2.9%	0.4%
																	Т	Т		
(5)(4)(6)	618	69	162	131	87	40	129	178	244	196	295	324	60	141	351	4	97	121	223	172
(DK/NS)	88.4%	90.0%	92.7%	81.2%	89.0%	81.3%	92.4%	85.0%	89.4%	90.3%	87.7%	89.0%	83.1%	84.8%	90.7%	68.4%	81.0%	83.2%	89.9%	95.9% QR
Summary			'				'													QIL
Cummary	17	0	3	4	4	5	2	4	10	3	6	11	3	7	5	0	3	5	5	5
Top2Box (Bothersome)	2.5%	0.6%	1.9%	2.2%	3.8%	9.9%	1.1%	2.0%	3.6%	1.6%	1.9%	3.0%	3.8%	4.2%	1.3%	-	2.1%	3.3%	2.1%	2.7%
				-74		BCDG						1.070		-,-					.,,,	
	64	7	10	27	7	4	9	27	19	18	35	29	9	18	31	2	20	20	20	3
Low2Box (Not bothersome)	9.2%	9.4%	5.4%	16.7%	7.2%	8.9%	6.5%	13.0%	7.0%	8.2%	10.4%	8.0%	13.1%	11.0%	8.0%	31.6%	16.8%	13.5%	8.1%	1.4%
																	Т	T	T	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 82 of 89

Q21. Some people support the use of jet aircraft at the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport and say that it would increase competition and lower prices for flights out of Toronto, give Torontonians more access to more destinations, and help the Canadian economy and aerospace companies like Bombardier due to increased manufacturing orders for jet aircraft. Other people oppose the use of jet aircraft at BBTCA and say that allowing jets to fly out of Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport would lead to more noise coming from the airport and skies, require a runway extension, increase traffic congestion due to higher passenger volumes, and open the door for other airlines to fly jets out of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport. Which is closer to your point of view?

				Reg	jion			Age			Gender		Income			Education					
	Total	East York/ York	North York	Scarboroug h	Etobicoke	Toronto - South of Queen St.	Toronto - North of Queen St.	18-34	35-54	55+	Male	Female	<\$30,000	\$30,000- \$60,000	\$60,000+	Elementary School	High School	Community College	University	Post- Graduate/ ofession	
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	
Base: All respondents	700	75	75	75	75	300	100	154	265	281	341	359	86	136	414	7	98	126	258	210	
Weighted	700	77*	175*	161*	98*	49	140*	210*	273	217	336	364	72*	166*	387	6**	120*	145*	249	179*	
Support the use of jet aircraft at Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport provided the jets make no more noise than the current turboprops	419 59.9%	44 57.2%	93 53.3%	105 65.1% F	68 69.8% F	23 47.9%	85 60.8%	161 76.7% IJ	150 54.9%	108 49.9%	225 66.9% L	194 53.4%	42 58.8%	103 61.9%	234 60.3%	6 100.0%	73 60.8%	99 67.9%	148 59.5%	93 51.8%	
Oppose the use of jet aircraft at Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport under any circumstances	261 37.3%	30 39.4%	77 44.2%	50 30.9%	28 28.6%	24 49.5%	51 36.6%	46 21.9%	110 40.1%	105 48.5%	102 30.4%	159 43.6%	28 38.6%	58 35.2%	144 37.1%	0 -	45 37.8%	44 30.4%	90 36.3%	81 45.3%	
						DE			Н	Н		К									
	20	3	4	6	2	1	4	3	14	3	9	11	2	5	10	0	2	3	11	5	
(DK/NS)	2.8%	3.4%	2.5%	4.0%	1.6%	2.7%	2.7%	1.4%	5.0%	1.6%	2.8%	2.9%	2.6%	2.9%	2.6%	-	1.4%	1.7%	4.2%	2.9%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

lpsos 83 of 89

Q22. Lastly, please tell me if there are any issues or concerns that you have personally about the operation or impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport?

1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.					Reg	gion				Age		Ge	nder		Income				Education		
Part		Total		North York	Scarboroug		South of	North of	19-24		55.			~\$20 000		\$60,000+		High School	Community	University	Graduate/Pr
Segret (1969)   70   77   77   77   77   77   77   7		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
Marie   Mari	·																				
Processor   Proc	Vicigined																				
Part	Residential Issues (Net)																				
Progression							CDE														
Part	Naige																				
The converse of the control of the c	NOISE	6.5%	4.7%	3.9%	8.6%	5.5%	11.2%	1.3%	1.0%		7.3%	4.3%	8.5%	3.6%	4.0%	6.4%	-	3.1%	7.3%	8.5%	5.0%
1									10	16			27				0	7	14	11	
Extra the Consequence   11	Traffic congestion	6.0%	3.9%	5.5%	5.8%	3.0%		7.5%	4.9%	6.0%	7.1%	4.6%	7.3%	9.4%	6.3%	5.9%	-	6.2%	9.4%	4.4%	5.7%
Annewater Learning Management (150) 250, 250, 150, 150, 150, 250, 250, 250, 250, 250, 250, 250, 2		11	3	1	2	0		5	4	0	6	0	11	3	2	6	0	1	2	5	3
Membrane transcript to considerations   156,   60%,   176,   178,   178,   289,   178,   178,   289,   178,   178,   289,   178,   178,   289,   178,   17	Ruins the view/don't like seeing planes																				
Membrane transcript to considerations   156,   60%,   176,   178,   178,   289,   178,   178,   289,   178,   178,   289,   178,   178,   289,   178,   17																					
To the provision of the	Waterfront is hacoming too growded/husy																				
Tomony wideles   100   2.0%   -   0.0%   -   130   0.0%   1.7%   1.3%   0.0%   0.7%   1.4%   1.0%   0.0%   0.7%   -   -   2.0%   0.7%   0.7%   Coal to 8 tempayer   0.0%   1.5%   -   -   0.0%	waterion is becoming too crowded busy	1.0%		-	1.176	1.170		1.0%	1.470	1.176	2.3%	0.776	2.470	3.9%	0.3%	1.3%	-	-	3.2%	2.170	0.0%
Control to become a control to be control			4	0		0	1										0	0			
Case to the top-species	Too many vehicles	1.0%		-	0.9%	-	1.3%	0.9%	1.7%	1.3%	0.1%	0.7%	1.4%	1.0%	0.8%	0.7%	-	-	2.3%	1.0%	0.7%
Control to the peoples   28		6		0	0	3	0	1	0	5	1	3	3	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	3
Decteroprepay values   20   0   0   0   1   0   0   1   0   0	Cost to the taxpayers																				
Designey property values    Day   Designey property values   Day																					
Environmental Concerne (Nec)  1775 1705 1705 1705 1705 1705 1705 170	Danilinia a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a							-									1				
Enformemental Concerne (New)  110% 120% 829% 10-4% 10-5% 10-	Declining property values	0.3%	-	-	-	1.1%	0.3%	0.6%	-	0	0.9%	0.3%	0.2%	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	0.5%	0.5%
Impact on waterform  45 3 7 14 4 2 15 11 11 22 28 17 0 10 27 0 8 27 18 17 17 18 17 18 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		77	10	16	17	11	5	19	14	28	34	35	42	8	18	41	0	11	7	32	26
Impact on wearfront   Column	Environmental Concerns (Net)	11.0%	12.6%	8.9%	10.4%	10.8%	10.8%	13.5%	6.8%	10.4%	15.7%	10.3%	11.5%	11.3%	10.9%	10.6%	-	9.5%	5.0%	12.8%	14.6%
Impact on wearfront   Column		45		_				45								07				40	
Air Polition  Ai	Impact on waterfront																				
At Polution  At Polution  At More Polution  At M	,	0.470	0.070	7.270	0.1 70	3.7 70	4.070	10.070	3.470	4.070	I	0.270	4.070		0.070	0.570		0.470	1.470	1.270	
Water Polution 20 4 6 3 5 5 1 1 0 0 13 77 5 15 1 3 13 0 2 1 1 0 0 2 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8				-																	
Where Pollution    29%   5,7%   3,8%   1,7%   4,9%   2,8%   0,9%   0,1%   4,9%   3,1%   1,9%   1,9%   1,9%   1,9%   3,3%   -   2,1%   1,0%   3,7%   4,2%	Air Pollution	4.0%	6.0%	4.7%	2.2%	5.4%	5.5%	2.5%	1.4%	5.0%	5.2%	2.2%	5.7%	4.2%	4.2%	3.3%	-	3.1%	2.8%	5.7%	3.4%
Where Pollution    29%   5,7%   3,8%   1,7%   4,9%   2,8%   0,9%   0,1%   4,9%   3,1%   1,9%   1,9%   1,9%   1,9%   3,3%   -   2,1%   1,0%   3,7%   4,2%		20	4	6	3	5	1	1	0	13	7	5	15	1	3	13	0	2	1	9	7
Pollution (unspecified)    0.3%   -   -   1.7%   1.2%   -   -   0.8%   0.1%   -   0.6%   -   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   1.0%	Water Pollution		5.7%		1.7%		2.8%	0.6%			3.1%			1.8%					1.0%	3.7%	4.2%
Pollution (unspecified)    0.3%   -   -   1.7%   1.2%   -   -   0.8%   0.1%   -   0.6%   -   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   1.0%																					
Should be parked conservation area    1	Pollution (unspecified)																				
Should be parked conservation area    0.1%   -   -   -   -   -   0.0%   -   -   0.4%   0.3%   -   -   -   0.0%   -   0.0%   -	r olidiloti (ditspecified)	0.5%	-	-	-	1.770	1.276	-	-	0.0%	0.176	-	0.0%	-	0.176	0.1%	-	-	0.176	0.176	1.0%
Alport Concerns (Net)    S2   6		1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Airport Concerns (Net)  7.5% 8.2% 10.1% 6.7% 2.7% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 18.2% 18.2% 18.3% 18.4% 17.7% 18.4% 17.7% 18.2% 18.4% 17.7% 18.4% 18.4% 17.7% 18.4% 18.4% 18.4% 18.4% 18.5	Should be parks/ conservation area	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	0.6%	-	-	0.4%	0.3%	-	-	-	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.5%
Airport Concerns (Net)  7.5% 8.2% 10.1% 6.7% 2.7% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.2% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 17.5% 18.2% 18.2% 18.3% 18.4% 17.7% 18.4% 17.7% 18.2% 18.4% 17.7% 18.4% 18.4% 17.7% 18.4% 18.4% 18.4% 18.4% 18.5		52	6	18	11	3	8	7	16	20	16	33	20	7	16	26	0	3	19	19	12
There will be an accident/ plane crash  26 2 12 8 0 2 2 14 8 8 4 17 8 2 12 12 0 3 3 8 11 4  There will be an accident/ plane crash  3.7% 3.1% 6.6% 4.8% - 4.7% 1.2% 6.6% 2.8% 1.8% 5.2% 2.3% 3.1% 7.0% 3.1% - 2.5% 5.4% 4.5% 2.1%  20 3 4 2 2 3 5 4 1 10 9 9 12 8 4 3 9 0 0 10 6 4  Too many planes/llights  2.8% 3.5% 2.1% 0.9% 2.7% 10.4% 2.9% 0.6% 3.5% 4.0% 3.5% 2.2% 6.2% 1.5% 2.2% 1.5% 2.4% - 0.1% 6.6% 2.3% 2.3%  Accessibility  0.6% - 1.4% 1.0% - 1.2% - 0.1% 0.6% 0.6% 1.2% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6	Airport Concerns (Net)							-											-		
There will be an accidenty plane crash  3.7% 3.1% 6.6% 4.8% - 4.7% 1.2% 6.6% 2.8% 1.8% 5.2% 2.3% 3.1% 7.0% 3.1% - 2.5% 5.4% 4.5% 2.1% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1							DEG														
Too many planes/flights  20 3 4 4 2 3 3 5 4 1 1 10 9 12 8 4 3 3 9 0 0 0 10 6 6 4  2.8% 3.5% 2.1% 0.9% 2.7% 10.4% 2.9% 0.6% 3.6% 4.0% 3.5% 2.2% 6.2% 1.5% 2.4% - 0.1% 6.6% 2.4% 2.3%  Accessibility  4 0 2 2 2 0 1 0 0 0 2 2 3 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 2 2  Accessibility  It's just the beginning-it's going to get more planes and make it worse  4 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 3 3 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1  Planes and make it worse  4 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	There will be an accident/ plane crash																				
Too many planes/flights    2.8%   3.5%   2.1%   0.9%   2.7%   10.4%   2.9%   0.6%   3.6%   4.0%   3.5%   2.2%   6.2%   1.5%   2.4%   - 0.1%   6.6%   2.4%   2.3%	22 2 223done plane ordon	5.1 /6	J.170	0.070	7.070			1.2/0	0.070	2.070	1.070	J.Z /0	2.070	J. 1 /0	7.070	5.170		2.370	J.4 /0	7.0/0	4.170
Accessibility  Access																					
Accessibility  Accessibility Accessibility  Accessibility Accessibility  Accessibility  Accessibility  Accessib	i oo many planes/flights	2.8%	3.5%	2.1%	0.9%	2.7%		2.9%	0.6%	3.6%	4.0%	3.5%	2.2%	6.2%	1.5%	2.4%	-	0.1%	6.6%	2.4%	2.3%
Accessibility    0.6%   -   1.4%   1.0%   -   1.2%   -   0.1%   0.6%   1.2%   0.7%   0.6%   -   1.2%   0.6%   -   -   -   0.8%   1.4%		4	0	2	2	0		0	0	2	3	2	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2
Planes and make it worse    0.4%   1.5%   -   -   -   -   1.6%   0.6%   0.1%   0.6%   0.4%   0.4%   0.4%   -   0.1%   0.7%   -   -   0.9%   0.1%   0.7%	Accessibility											0.7%									
Planes and make it worse    0.4%   1.5%   -   -   -   -   1.6%   0.6%   0.1%   0.6%   0.4%   0.4%   0.4%   -   0.1%   0.7%   -   -   0.9%   0.1%   0.7%		1	ļ											ļ							
Planes and make it worse    0.4%   1.5%   -   -   -   -   1.6%   0.6%   0.1%   0.6%   0.4%   0.4%   0.4%   -   0.1%   0.7%   -   -   0.9%   0.1%   0.7%	It's just the beginning-it's going to get more	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1
Expansion (Net)    18   2   2   0   1   4   9   6   5   8   9   10   2   5   8   0   3   3   4   9			1.5%					0.6%			0.4%	0.4%	0.4%								
Expansion (Net) 2.6% 2.2% 1.4% - 1.1% 7.9% 6.6% 2.7% 1.8% 3.5% 2.6% 2.7% 2.2% 2.9% 2.1% - 2.2% 2.0% 1.6% 4.9%      CDE   D																					
How to handle the expansion/volume    18   2   2   0   1   4   9   6   5   8   9   10   2   5   8   0   3   3   4   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9	Expansion (Net)						· ·	Ü	-		-	-				-					Ü
How to handle the expansion/volume    18   2   2   0   1   4   9   6   5   8   9   10   2   5   8   0   3   3   4   9	Expansion (Not)	2.070	£.£70	1.470	-	1.170			4.170	1.070	3.376	2.0%	4.176	4.470	2.970	4.170	<del>                                     </del>	£.£70	2.070	1.076	4.370
Other (Net)    Sa   Sa   Ca   Ca   Ca   Ca   Ca   Ca							4	9													
Other (Net) 38 5 2 11 4 4 4 13 9 14 16 21 18 5 5 27 0 2 6 19 10 5.5% 7.1% 0.9% 7.1% 4.0% 7.4% 8.9% 4.1% 5.2% 7.3% 6.1% 4.9% 6.5% 3.0% 7.0% 5.3% 1.8% 4.4% 7.8% 5.7% 5.7% 5.1% 5.5% 7.1% 0.9% 7.1% 4.0% 7.4% 8.9% 4.1% 5.2% 7.3% 6.1% 4.9% 6.5% 3.0% 7.0% 5.3% 1.8% 4.4% 7.8% 5.7% 5.7% 5.5% 7.1% 0.9% 7.1% 4.0% 7.4% 8.9% 4.1% 5.2% 7.3% 6.1% 4.9% 6.5% 3.0% 7.0% 5.3% 1.8% 4.4% 7.8% 5.7% 5.7%	How to handle the expansion/ volume	2.6%	2.2%	1.4%	-	1.1%			2.7%	1.8%	3.5%	2.6%	2.7%	2.2%	2.9%	2.1%	-	2.2%	2.0%	1.6%	4.9%
Other (Net) 5.5% 7.1% 0.9% 7.1% 4.0% 7.4% 8.9% 4.1% 5.2% 7.3% 6.1% 4.9% 6.5% 3.0% 7.0% 5.3% 1.8% 4.4% 7.8% 5.7% C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		38	5	2	11	4			9	14	16	21	18	5	5	27	0	2	6	19	10
Other 38 5 2 11 4 4 13 9 14 16 21 18 5 5 27 0 2 6 19 10 Cher 5.5% 7.1% 0.9% 7.1% 4.0% 7.4% 8.9% 4.1% 5.2% 7.3% 6.1% 4.9% 6.5% 3.0% 7.0% 5.3% 1.8% 4.4% 7.8% 5.7%	Other (Net)						7.4%														
Other 5.5% 7.1% 0.9% 7.1% 4.0% 7.4% 8.9% 4.1% 5.2% 7.3% 6.1% 4.9% 6.5% 3.0% 7.0% 5.3% 1.8% 4.4% 7.8% 5.7%			<u> </u>									-					<u> </u>				
	Other																				
	2.3.0.	3.3%	1.170	0.976	1.170	4.070	7.4% C	8.9% C	4.170	J.Z70	1.370	0.176	4.976	0.0%	3.0%	1.076	3.3%	1.070	4.470	1.070	J.170

Q22. Lastly, please tell me if there are any issues or concerns that you have personally about the operation or impact of the Billy Bishop Toronto City Airport?

				Rec	jion				Age			ıder	Income			Education					
	Total	East York/ York		Scarboroug		South of	Toronto - North of	40.24	35-54	55+	Male		****	\$30,000-	¢00,000	Elementary		Community		Post- Graduate/Pr	
None	252	31	North York 70	53	Stobicoke 35	Queen St.	Queen St. 49	18-34 81	93	77	136	Female 115	<\$30,000 24	\$60,000 69	\$60,000+ 140	School 2	High School 52	44	76	78	
Notice	35.9%	39.8%	39.9%	32.8%	35.4%	30.3%	34.7%	38.7%	34.0%	35.7%	40.6%	31.6%	33.6%	41.3%	36.2%	34.3%	42.9%	30.0%	30.4%	43.6%	
(DK/NS)	250 35.7%	20 26.0%	70 40.2% F	41.3% F	44 44.6% BF	19.1%	28.6%	40.0%	103 37.8%	63 28.9%	98 29.0%	153 41.9% K	25 34.8%	49 29.3%	139 35.9%	60.4%	39.0%	55 37.5%	103 41.3% T	43 23.7%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L - M/N/O - P/Q/R/S/T Overlap formulae used. \* small base; \*\* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing