14-068072-01 Toronto Election Detailed Tables

Q1. In your view, as a resident Toronto, what is the most important LOCAL issue facing the city ...

Q1. In your view, as a resident Toronto, what is the most important LOCAL issue facing the city - that is, the single most important issue you feel should receive the greatest attention from your local leaders?

		Gender		Age			Region					Education					Inco	me		Household	Composition	Relation	ship Status	Employment Status	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	York/East York	North York	Scarborough	Etobicoke	Old City/Downto wn	Less than HS	нѕ	Some PSE	Univ Grad	Less than \$40k	\$40k - <\$60k	\$60k - <\$100k	\$100k+	Kids in HH	No Kids in HH	Single	Married/Com mon Law		Not employed
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	- 1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y
Base: All Respondents	1252	568	684	299	507	446	250	255	255	234	258	25	140	458	629	215	201	348	294	230	1022	576	676	790	435
Weighted	1252	601	651	388	463	401	163	275	288	225	300	24**	134	452	642	217	203	355	285	226	1026	593	659	809	414
	170	87	83	57	78	35	18	40	57	33	22	2	21	63	84	20	30	61	35	44	127	69	101	132	34
City taxes that are too high	13.6%	14.6%	12.7%	14.7% F	16.8% F	8.8%	11.0%	14.7% K	19.8% GK	14.6% K	7.3%	9.2%	15.5%	14.0%	13.1%	9.3%	14.7%	17.2% P	12.4%	19.3% U	12.4%	11.7%	15.3%	16.3% Y	8.1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F - G/H/I/J/K - L/M/N/O - P/Q/R/S - T/U - V/W - X/Y Overlap formulae used. ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

14-068072-01 Toronto Election Detailed Tables

Q2_1. [Toronto citizens get good value and services for the tax dollars that they pay] To what extent do you agree ...

Q12_12. [Toronto citizens get good value and services for the tax dollars that they pay] To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:

		Gender		nder Age			Region Old					Education					Inco	me		Household Composition		Relationship Status		Employment Status	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	York/East York	North York	Scarborough	Etablaska	City/Downto	Less than	нѕ	Some PSE	Univ Grad	Less than \$40k	\$40k - <\$60k	\$60k - <\$100k	\$100k+	Kids in HH	No Kids in HH	Single	Married/Com	Employed	Not employed
	A	male	remale	D	33-34	33+	G	NOITH TOIK	Scarborougi	Elopicoke	WII	по	M	SOINE PSE	Only Grad	\$40K	\$40K - <\$60K	<\$100K	\$100K+	T T	nn II	Siligie	M/	X	employed
Base: All Respondents	1252	568	684	299	507	446	250	255	255	234	258	25	140	458	629	215	201	348	294	230	1022	576	676	790	435
Weighted	1252	601	651	388	463	401	163	275	288	225	300	24**	134	452	642	217	203	355	285	226	1026	593	659	809	414
Toronto citizens get good value and services for th tax dollars that they pay																									
	80	44	36	23	27	30	11	21	18	9	22	2	5	25	48	17	11	23	21	15	66	35	46	56	24
Strongly agree	6.4%	7.3%	5.6%	6.0%	5.8%	7.5%	6.8%	7.5%	6.4%	3.8%	7.2%	8.1%	3.9%	5.6%	7.4%	7.6%	5.4%	6.4%	7.4%	6.5%	6.4%	5.8%	6.9%	6.9%	5.7%
	546	248	298	174	187	186	73	118	107	103	146	9	50	194	294	96	82	158	145	100	446	256	291	340	197
Somewhat agree	43.6%	41.3%	45.8%	44.7%	40.3%	46.5%	44.8%	42.7%	37.0%	45.7%	48.7%	37.8%	36.9%	42.9%	45.8%	44.4%	40.3%	44.5%	51.0%	44.3%	43.5%	43.1%	44.1%	42.0%	47.5%
•																			Q						
	460	207	253	145	163	151	54	110	103	84	109	8	54	164	233	76	75	127	85	76	384	221	239	292	155
Somewhat disagree	36.7%	34.4%	38.9%	37.4%	35.3%	37.8%	33.0%	39.9%	35.8%	37.3%	36.3%	35.4%	40.3%	36.3%	36.3%	35.0%	37.2%	35.8%	29.6%	33.7%	37.4%	37.3%	36.2%	36.1%	37.4%
	165	102	64	46	86	33	25	27	60	30	23	4	25	68	67	28	35	47	34	35	130	82	84	122	39
Strongly disagree	13.2%	16.9%	9.8%	11.8%	18.7%	8.2%	15.5%	10.0%	20.8%	13.1%	7.7%	18.7%	18.8%	15.2%	10.4%	12.9%	17.1%	13.3%	11.9%	15.5%	12.7%	13.8%	12.7%	15.1%	9.5%
		С			DF		К		HJK				0	0										Y	
Summary																									
	627	293	334	197	213	216	84	138	125	112	168	11	55	219	342	113	92	181	167	115	512	290	337	395	220
Top2Box (Agree)	50.1%	48.7%	51.3%	50.7%	46.1%	54.0%	51.5%	50.1%	43.4%	49.6%	55.9%	45.9%	40.9%	48.5%	53.2%	52.1%	45.7%	50.9%	58.4%	50.8%	49.9%	48.9%	51.1%	48.9%	53.2%
						E					1				M				Q						
	625	308	317	191	250	184	79	137	163	114	132	13	79	232	300	104	110	174	119	111	514	303	322	414	194
Low2Box (Disagree)	49.9%	51.3%	48.7%	49.3%	53.9%	46.0%	48.5%	49.9%	56.6%	50.4%	44.1%	54.1%	59.1%	51.5%	46.8%	47.9%	54.3%	49.1%	41.6%	49.2%	50.1%	51.1%	48.9%	51.1%	46.8%
					F				K				0				S								
Mean	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.6 LI	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5 MN	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.5
Std. Dev.	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7
Std. Err.		- 0	0				0.1		0.1			0.2	0.1			0.1	0.1			0.1				0	0

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F - G/H/I/J/K - LMNN/O - P/O/R/S - T/U - V/W - X/Y Overlap formulae used. ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

14-068072-01 Toronto Election Detailed Tables

Q2_2. [I'd be willing to see my municipal taxes increase by 5% if it meant I could get across the city by transit or car in less time than it takes me today] To what extent do you agree ..

Q12_19. [I'd be willing to see my municipal taxes increase by 5% if it meant I could get across the city by transit or car in less time than it takes me today] To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:

		Ge	Gender		Age				Region				Edu	cation			Inco	me		Household	Composition	Relationship Status		Employment Statu	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55÷	York/East York	North York	Scarborough	Etobicoke	Old City/Downto wn	Less than HS	нѕ	Some PSE	Univ Grad	Less than \$40k	\$40k - <\$60k	\$60k - <\$100k	\$100k+	Kids in HH	No Kids in HH	Single	Married/Com mon Law	Employed	Not employed
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н		J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	X	Y
Base: All Respondents	1252	568	684	299	507	446	250	255	255	234	258	25	140	458	629	215	201	348	294	230	1022	576	676		435
Weighted	1252	601	651	388	463	401	163	275	288	225	300	24**	134	452	642	217	203	355	285	226	1026	593	659	809	414
I'd be willing to see my municipal taxes increase by 5% if it meant I could get across the city by transit or car in less time than it takes me today																									
	196	107	89	52	76	69	24	35	50	30	57	4	11	62	119	32	21	59	62	30	166	80	116	129	65
Strongly agree	15.7%	17.8%	13.7%	13.3%	16.4%	17.2%	14.5%	12.8%	17.4%	13.4%	19.0%	18.3%	8.2%	13.7%	18.5% MN	15.0%	10.4%	16.7%	21.6%	13.3%	16.2%	13.5%	17.6%	16.0%	15.7%
	426	201	225	137	139	151	58	92	90	64	122	9	36	162	219	68	79	117	101	75	351	211	215	278	141
Somewhat agree	34.0%	33.5%	34.5%	35.3%	30.0%	37.6%	35.4%	33.5%	31.4%	28.5%	40.5%	36.7%	26.9%	35.9%	34.1%	31.3%	38.8%	32.9%	35.6%	33.3%	34.2%	35.6%	32.7%	34.3%	34.1%
						E					IJ														
	318	140	178	110	121	86	39	73	71	65	70	8	41	118	152	59	51	85	60	58	260	149	169		118
Somewhat disagree	25.4%	23.3%	27.3%	28.4% F	26.2%	21.5%	24.0%	26.6%	24.6%	28.7%	23.3%	32.0%	30.3%	26.0%	23.7%	27.1%	25.2%	23.8%	21.1%	25.7%	25.3%	25.1%	25.6%	Employed X 790 809 129 6 16.0% 6 34.3% 191 6 23.6% 212 6 26.1% 407 6 50.3%	28.6%
	312	152	160	89	127	95	43	75	77	66	52	3	46	110	152	58	52	94	62	63	249	153	159		90
Strongly disagree	24.9%	25.3%	24.5%	23.0%	27.4%	23.8%	26.2%	27.1%	26.6%	29.5%	17.2%	13.0%	34.6%	24.4%	23.7%	26.7%	25.6%	26.6%	21.7%	27.7%	24.3%	25.8%	24.1%	26.1%	21.6%
Summary							K	K	K	K			NO												
- Canimary	623	309	314	188	215	219	81	128	141	94	179	13	47	224	338	100	100	176	163	105	517	291	332	407	206
Top2Box (Agree)	49.7%	51.3%	48.2%	48.5%	46.3%	54.8%	49.8%	46.4%	48.8%	41.9%	59.5%	55.0%	35.1%	49.6%	52.7%	46.3%	49.2%	49.6%	57.2%	46.5%	50.4%	49.1%	50.3%	50.3%	49.8%
						E					GHIJ			М	M				Р						
	629	292	337	200	249	181	82	148	147	131	122	11	87	228	304	117	103	179	122	121	509	302	328		208
Low2Box (Disagree)	50.3%	48.7%	51.8%	51.5%	53.7%	45.2%	50.2%	53.6% K	51.2%	58.1% K	40.5%	45.0%	64.9% NO	50.4%	47.3%	53.7%	50.8%	50.4%	42.8%	53.5%	49.6%	50.9%	49.7%	49.7%	50.2%
	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
Mean											GHIJ			М	M				PQR						
Std. Dev.	1	1.1	1	1	1.1	1	1	1	1.1	1	1	0.9	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Std. Err.	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0	0

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F - G/H/VJ/K - L/M/N/O - P/O/R/S - T/U - V/W - X/Y Overlap formulae used. ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing