



Table of Contents

Table Description

<u>1</u>	1_1. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should hold its companies to the highest ethical standards, both at home and abroad
<u>2</u>	1_2. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - When Canadian companies and executives are involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, such as paying bribes, they should face tough consequences
<u>3</u>	1_3. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - It is not fair to innocent workers if the consequences a company faces for unethical or corrupt practices by a small number of people jeopardize their jobs or the company's survival
<u>4</u>	1_4. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - If a company is found to have been involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, the individual people who commit the unlawful acts should bear most of the responsibility
<u>5</u>	1_5. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada's laws for dealing with companies involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices should be similar to other developed countries, such as the United States and Britain, so that Canadian companies are operating on a level playing field with their foreign competitors
<u>6</u>	1_6. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should make it easier for companies to come forward, to cooperate, and to self-report any corporate wrongdoing that has been uncovered
<u>7</u>	1. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Top 2 Box Summary
<u>8</u>	1. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Bottom 2 Box Summary
<u>9</u>	2. Now that you have learned a bit more about the different ways that countries can approach dealing with unethical and corrupt corporate practices, do you think Canada should:
<u>10</u>	3_1. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should have access to the same types of tools that other developed countries have to fight unethical or corrupt corporate practices
<u>11</u>	3_2. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should add deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) to the list of tools available to prosecutors so they have another way to enforce Canada's laws

<u>12</u>	3_3. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) can be a good way for companies to cooperate with authorities and make amends for wrongdoing without jeopardizing the jobs of innocent employees
<u>13</u>	3_4. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Individual executives who commit unlawful acts should be prosecuted personally even when the companies they work for are not
<u>14</u>	3_5. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) should not be used when unethical or unlawful practices reflect a corrupt corporate culture throughout the company
<u>15</u>	3_6. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) are not a strong enough deterrent to stop companies from engaging in unethical or corrupt practices
<u>16</u>	3. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Top 2 Box Summary
<u>17</u>	3. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Bottom 2 Box Summary
<u>18</u>	4_1. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to target the individual business executives who may have personally broken the law
<u>19</u>	4_2. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to ensure that innocent people don't lose their jobs, their pensions or their investments because of the actions of individual executives at a company
<u>20</u>	4_3. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to take into account the negative impact that law enforcement efforts could have on Canadian jobs, company shareholders and the economy as a whole
<u>21</u>	4_4. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to make it easier for companies to come forward and cooperate with law enforcement
<u>22</u>	4. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Top 2 Box Summary
<u>23</u>	4. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Bottom 2 Box Summary
<u>24</u>	5. In the United States, the public prosecutor decides the terms of a deferred prosecution agreement with a corporation, without the involvement of a judge. In the UK, a judge is mandated to oversee the terms and conditions. Which do you think would be more effective, a system with or without the involvement of a judge?
<u>25</u>	6. Now that you have learned a bit more about the different ways that countries can approach dealing with unethical and corrupt corporate practices, do you think Canada should:

<u>26</u>	7. Please indicate which of the following best described your stance:
<u>27</u>	GENDER
<u>28</u>	AGE
<u>29</u>	EDUCATION
<u>30</u>	REGION
<u>31</u>	INCOME
<u>32</u>	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION
<u>33</u>	HHCMP1. How many people are living or staying at your current address?
<u>34</u>	EMPLOYMENT STATUS
<u>35</u>	USMAR2. What is your marital status?
<u>36</u>	US01PGS. How much of your household's grocery shopping do you, yourself, do?
<u>37</u>	CAETHN2. Sometimes people identify themselves with a specific ethnicity or cultural background which is different from their citizenship or nationality. Thinking about your own identity in ethnic or cultural terms, please select which group you most identify yourself with.

1_1. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should hold its companies to the highest ethical standards, both at home and abroad

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	933	442	491	245	344	344	94	318	374	147	105	74	754
	93%	91%	95%	87%	93%	98%	82%	93%	95%	95%	91%	87%	94%
Strongly agree			A			CD	*	F	F	F	*	*	K
	704	308	396	173	254	278	77	243	277	108	75	45	584
	70%	63%	77%	62%	68%	79%	67%	71%	70%	70%	65%	53%	73%
			A			CD	*				*	*	K
Somewhat agree	229	133	95	72	90	67	18	75	96	39	29	29	171
	23%	27%	18%	26%	24%	19%	16%	22%	25%	26%	25%	34%	21%
		B					*				*	L*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	49	34	15	25	20	4	13	18	15	4	10	11	29
	5%	7%	3%	9%	6%	1%	11%	5%	4%	3%	8%	12%	4%
		B		E	E		HI*				L*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	33	20	13	17	13	3	11	9	10	3	2	10	21
	3%	4%	3%	6%	4%	1%	10%	3%	2%	2%	2%	12%	3%
				E	E		GHI*				*	JL*	
Strongly disagree	16	15	2	8	7	1	1	9	5	1	8	*	8
	2%	3%	*	3%	2%	*	1%	3%	1%	1%	7%	1%	1%
		B		E			*				L*	*	
Don't know	22	11	10	11	7	4	8	6	5	3	1	1	20
	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	7%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
				E			GH*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

1_2. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - When Canadian companies and executives are involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, such as paying bribes, they should face tough consequences

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	926	440	486	240	345	341	96	314	368	148	106	74	745
	92%	90%	94%	86%	93%	97%	83%	92%	94%	96%	92%	87%	93%
					C	CD	*		F	F	*	*	
Strongly agree	730	332	398	179	267	284	80	250	289	111	76	44	609
	73%	68%	77%	64%	72%	81%	70%	73%	73%	72%	66%	52%	76%
			A			CD	*				*	*	K
Somewhat agree	196	108	88	61	78	57	15	64	79	38	30	30	136
	19%	22%	17%	22%	21%	16%	13%	19%	20%	24%	26%	35%	17%
							*			F	L*	L*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	44	29	15	24	12	8	7	17	17	3	5	10	29
	4%	6%	3%	9%	3%	2%	6%	5%	4%	2%	4%	12%	4%
		B		DE			*				*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	29	16	14	16	8	5	5	10	11	3	2	5	22
	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	3%
				E			*				*	*	
Strongly disagree	15	13	2	8	4	3	2	7	6	-	3	5	7
	1%	3%	*	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	6%	1%
		B					*				*	L*	
Don't know	34	18	15	16	14	3	12	10	9	3	4	1	29
	3%	4%	3%	6%	4%	1%	11%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	4%
				E	E		GHI*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

1_3. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - It is not fair to innocent workers if the consequences a company faces for unethical or corrupt practices by a small number of people jeopardize their jobs or the company's survival

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	876	421	455	241	317	317	99	298	346	133	96	75	704
	87%	86%	88%	86%	85%	90%	86%	88%	88%	86%	83%	88%	88%
							*				*	*	
Strongly agree	528	242	286	143	194	191	70	200	186	71	55	42	430
	53%	50%	55%	51%	52%	54%	61%	59%	47%	46%	47%	50%	54%
							I*	HI			*	*	
Somewhat agree	348	179	169	98	124	126	29	98	159	62	41	33	274
	35%	37%	33%	35%	33%	36%	25%	29%	40%	40%	36%	38%	34%
							*		FG	FG	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	92	49	43	25	40	27	7	31	40	14	18	8	66
	9%	10%	8%	9%	11%	8%	6%	9%	10%	9%	16%	9%	8%
							*				L*	*	
Somewhat disagree	68	36	31	17	33	17	5	21	29	12	13	8	47
	7%	7%	6%	6%	9%	5%	5%	6%	7%	8%	12%	9%	6%
							*				L*	*	
Strongly disagree	25	13	12	8	7	10	2	10	11	2	5	*	20
	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%
							*				*	*	
Don't know	36	17	19	13	14	8	9	11	8	8	1	2	33
	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	2%	8%	3%	2%	5%	1%	2%	4%
							H*			H	*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

1_4. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - If a company is found to have been involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, the individual people who commit the unlawful acts should bear most of the responsibility

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	890	427	463	226	329	334	91	301	357	141	98	72	720
	89%	88%	90%	81%	89%	95%	79%	88%	91%	91%	85%	85%	90%
Strongly agree					C	CD	*		F	F	*	*	
	622	297	325	145	229	247	83	214	229	96	71	41	509
	62%	61%	63%	52%	62%	70%	72%	63%	58%	62%	62%	49%	63%
Somewhat agree					C	CD	H*				*	*	K
	268	130	138	81	101	87	8	87	128	45	27	30	211
	27%	27%	27%	29%	27%	25%	7%	26%	32%	29%	23%	36%	26%
Bottom 2 Box (Net)							*	F	F	F	*	*	
	82	43	39	39	29	14	11	33	30	9	15	13	55
	8%	9%	8%	14%	8%	4%	10%	10%	8%	6%	13%	15%	7%
Somewhat disagree				DE			*				*	L*	
	61	28	33	29	22	10	9	21	22	9	9	9	42
	6%	6%	6%	10%	6%	3%	8%	6%	6%	6%	8%	11%	5%
Strongly disagree				E			*				*	*	
	22	15	7	11	7	4	2	12	8	-	5	3	13
	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	-	4%	4%	2%
Don't know				E			*	I	I		*	*	
	32	17	14	14	13	4	12	8	7	5	3	1	28
	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	1%	11%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%
Sigma				E			GHI*				*	*	
	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
[Table of Contents](#)

1_5. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada's laws for dealing with companies involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices should be similar to other developed countries, such as the United States and Britain, so that Canadian companies are operating on a level playing field with their foreign competitors

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	804	383	421	194	299	311	92	278	304	129	93	62	649
	80%	79%	81%	69%	81%	88%	81%	82%	77%	83%	81%	73%	81%
					C	CD	*				*	*	
Strongly agree	460	221	238	87	168	204	60	177	163	59	56	41	362
	46%	45%	46%	31%	45%	58%	52%	52%	41%	38%	48%	49%	45%
					C	CD	I*	HI			*	*	
Somewhat agree	344	161	183	106	131	107	32	101	141	69	37	20	287
	34%	33%	35%	38%	35%	30%	28%	30%	36%	45%	32%	24%	36%
							*			FGH	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	118	65	53	52	44	22	10	38	56	14	19	22	77
	12%	13%	10%	19%	12%	6%	9%	11%	14%	9%	17%	25%	10%
				DE	E		*		I		*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	93	46	47	43	36	14	6	34	42	11	14	16	63
	9%	9%	9%	15%	10%	4%	5%	10%	11%	7%	13%	19%	8%
				E	E		*				*	L*	
Strongly disagree	25	19	6	9	8	8	4	3	14	3	5	6	14
	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	4%	7%	2%
		B					*				*	L*	
Don't know	82	40	43	35	28	20	12	25	33	12	3	1	78
	8%	8%	8%	12%	8%	6%	11%	7%	9%	8%	3%	2%	10%
				E			*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

1_6. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should make it easier for companies to come forward, to cooperate, and to self-report any corporate wrongdoing that has been uncovered

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	908	433	475	240	330	339	91	307	365	146	108	68	732
	90%	89%	92%	86%	89%	96%	79%	90%	93%	94%	93%	81%	91%
						CD	*	F	F	F	K*	*	K
Strongly agree	582	268	314	142	211	229	74	200	224	84	60	40	482
	58%	55%	61%	51%	57%	65%	65%	59%	57%	54%	52%	48%	60%
						C	*				*	*	
Somewhat agree	326	165	162	97	119	110	17	107	141	61	48	28	250
	32%	34%	31%	35%	32%	31%	15%	31%	36%	40%	41%	33%	31%
							*	F	F	F	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	51	28	23	27	21	4	10	18	18	5	8	12	32
	5%	6%	4%	10%	6%	1%	9%	5%	5%	3%	7%	14%	4%
				E	E		*				*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	40	21	19	23	15	2	8	16	11	4	4	12	24
	4%	4%	4%	8%	4%	1%	7%	5%	3%	3%	3%	14%	3%
				DE	E		*				*	JL*	
Strongly disagree	12	8	4	4	6	2	2	2	6	1	4	-	8
	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	*	3%	-	1%
							*				*	*	
Don't know	44	26	19	14	21	10	14	16	11	4	-	5	40
	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	3%	12%	5%	3%	3%	-	6%	5%
							HI*				*	J*	J
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

1. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Top 2 Box Summary

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Canada should hold its companies to the highest ethical standards, both at home and abroad	933	442	491	245	344	344	94	318	374	147	105	74	754
	93%	91%	95%	87%	93%	98%	82%	93%	95%	95%	91%	87%	94%
			A			CD	*	F	F	F	*	*	K
When Canadian companies and executives are involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, such as paying bribes, they should	926	440	486	240	345	341	96	314	368	148	106	74	745
	92%	90%	94%	86%	93%	97%	83%	92%	94%	96%	92%	87%	93%
					C	CD	*		F	F	*	*	
Canada should make it easier for companies to come forward, to cooperate, and to self-report any corporate wrongdoing that has been	908	433	475	240	330	339	91	307	365	146	108	68	732
	90%	89%	92%	86%	89%	96%	79%	90%	93%	94%	93%	81%	91%
						CD	*	F	F	F	K*	*	K
If a company is found to have been involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, the individual people who commit the unlawful acts	890	427	463	226	329	334	91	301	357	141	98	72	720
	89%	88%	90%	81%	89%	95%	79%	88%	91%	91%	85%	85%	90%
					C	CD	*		F	F	*	*	
It is not fair to innocent workers if the consequences a company faces for unethical or corrupt practices by a small number of people	876	421	455	241	317	317	99	298	346	133	96	75	704
	87%	86%	88%	86%	85%	90%	86%	88%	88%	86%	83%	88%	88%
							*				*	*	
Canada's laws for dealing with companies involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices should be similar to other developed	804	383	421	194	299	311	92	278	304	129	93	62	649
	80%	79%	81%	69%	81%	88%	81%	82%	77%	83%	81%	73%	81%
					C	CD	*				*	*	

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

1. The following questions have to do with white-collar crime. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Bottom 2 Box Summary

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Canada's laws for dealing with companies involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices should be similar to other developed	118	65	53	52	44	22	10	38	56	14	19	22	77
It is not fair to innocent workers if the consequences a company faces for unethical or corrupt practices by a small number of people	12%	13%	10%	19%	12%	6%	9%	11%	14%	9%	17%	25%	10%
If a company is found to have been involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, the individual people who commit the unlawful acts				DE	E		*		I		*	L*	
Canada should make it easier for companies to come forward, to cooperate, and to self-report any corporate wrongdoing that has been	92	49	43	25	40	27	7	31	40	14	18	8	66
Canada should hold its companies to the highest ethical standards, both at home and abroad	9%	10%	8%	9%	11%	8%	6%	9%	10%	9%	16%	9%	8%
When Canadian companies and executives are involved in unethical or corrupt corporate practices, such as paying bribes, they should							*				L*	*	
	82	43	39	39	29	14	11	33	30	9	15	13	55
	8%	9%	8%	14%	8%	4%	10%	10%	8%	6%	13%	15%	7%
				DE			*				*	L*	
	51	28	23	27	21	4	10	18	18	5	8	12	32
	5%	6%	4%	10%	6%	1%	9%	5%	5%	3%	7%	14%	4%
				E	E		*				*	L*	
	49	34	15	25	20	4	13	18	15	4	10	11	29
	5%	7%	3%	9%	6%	1%	11%	5%	4%	3%	8%	12%	4%
		B		E	E		HI*				L*	L*	
	44	29	15	24	12	8	7	17	17	3	5	10	29
	4%	6%	3%	9%	3%	2%	6%	5%	4%	2%	4%	12%	4%
		B		DE			*				*	L*	

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

2. Now that you have learned a bit more about the different ways that countries can approach dealing with unethical and corrupt corporate practices, do you think Canada should:

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Stick with the current system which gives prosecutors the choice to 1. Negotiate a guilty plea, 2. Go to court in hopes of securing a Adopt a system like in the U.S. and Britain, which allows prosecutors to 1. Negotiate a guilty plea, 2. Go to court in hopes of securing a I don't know	189	108	81	63	68	58	23	61	79	26	24	28	137
	19%	22%	16%	22%	18%	17%	20%	18%	20%	17%	21%	33%	17%
		B					*				*	L*	
	633	297	336	161	229	243	59	218	254	102	79	51	503
	63%	61%	65%	58%	62%	69%	52%	64%	65%	66%	69%	60%	63%
						C	*			F	*	*	
	182	82	100	56	75	51	32	63	60	27	12	7	164
	18%	17%	19%	20%	20%	14%	28%	18%	15%	17%	10%	8%	20%
							H*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

3_1. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should have access to the same types of tools that other developed countries have to fight unethical or corrupt corporate practices

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	859	412	447	224	323	312	94	292	339	133	105	67	687
	86%	85%	86%	80%	87%	89%	82%	86%	86%	86%	91%	79%	85%
					C	C	*				K*	*	
Strongly agree	538	251	288	124	203	212	69	195	196	78	66	45	427
	54%	51%	56%	44%	55%	60%	60%	57%	50%	50%	58%	53%	53%
					C	C	*				*	*	
Somewhat agree	320	161	159	100	120	100	25	96	143	56	38	22	260
	32%	33%	31%	36%	32%	28%	22%	28%	36%	36%	33%	26%	32%
							*		F	F	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	81	48	34	31	24	26	7	28	35	11	10	14	57
	8%	10%	6%	11%	6%	7%	6%	8%	9%	7%	8%	17%	7%
							*				*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	67	36	31	25	20	22	5	23	29	10	9	9	49
	7%	7%	6%	9%	5%	6%	5%	7%	7%	6%	8%	11%	6%
							*				*	*	
Strongly disagree	14	12	2	6	4	4	2	4	6	1	*	5	8
	1%	2%	*	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	*	6%	1%
		B					*				*	JL*	
Don't know	64	28	37	26	25	14	13	21	19	10	1	4	60
	6%	6%	7%	9%	7%	4%	12%	6%	5%	7%	1%	4%	7%
				E			H*				*	*	J
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

3_2. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Canada should add deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) to the list of tools available to prosecutors so they have another way to enforce Canada's laws

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	798	392	406	193	306	299	85	268	319	126	100	65	633
	80%	81%	79%	69%	82%	85%	74%	79%	81%	82%	87%	77%	79%
					C	C	*				*	*	
Strongly agree	445	224	221	89	177	178	60	165	161	59	62	36	347
	44%	46%	43%	32%	48%	51%	52%	48%	41%	38%	54%	43%	43%
					C	C	*	I			*	*	
Somewhat agree	353	169	185	104	129	120	25	103	158	67	39	29	286
	35%	35%	36%	37%	35%	34%	22%	30%	40%	43%	33%	34%	36%
							*		FG	FG	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	99	51	47	42	25	32	12	33	39	15	10	14	75
	10%	11%	9%	15%	7%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	8%	17%	9%
				DE			*				*	*	
Somewhat disagree	63	29	34	25	18	19	9	18	24	11	8	5	50
	6%	6%	7%	9%	5%	5%	8%	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%
							*				*	*	
Strongly disagree	36	22	13	16	7	13	2	15	14	4	2	9	25
	4%	5%	3%	6%	2%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	2%	11%	3%
				D			*				*	JL*	
Don't know	107	43	64	45	40	22	18	40	35	14	5	6	96
	11%	9%	12%	16%	11%	6%	16%	12%	9%	9%	5%	7%	12%
				E	E		*				*	*	J
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

3_3. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) can be a good way for companies to cooperate with authorities and make amends for wrongdoing without jeopardizing the jobs of innocent employees

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	805	384	421	203	306	296	88	270	325	122	97	61	648
	80%	79%	81%	73%	82%	84%	76%	79%	83%	79%	84%	72%	81%
					C	C	*				*	*	
Strongly agree	406	191	215	91	165	150	60	142	149	56	59	28	318
	40%	39%	42%	32%	44%	43%	52%	42%	38%	36%	52%	33%	40%
					C	C	HI*				KL*	*	
Somewhat agree	399	194	206	113	141	146	28	129	176	66	37	33	329
	40%	40%	40%	40%	38%	41%	24%	38%	45%	43%	32%	38%	41%
							*		F	F	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	111	65	46	41	37	33	12	43	38	18	19	20	72
	11%	13%	9%	15%	10%	9%	11%	13%	10%	12%	16%	23%	9%
		B					*				L*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	88	48	40	28	34	25	12	30	33	13	15	14	59
	9%	10%	8%	10%	9%	7%	11%	9%	8%	8%	13%	16%	7%
							*				*	L*	
Strongly disagree	23	17	6	13	3	8	-	13	5	5	3	6	14
	2%	4%	1%	4%	1%	2%	-	4%	1%	3%	3%	7%	2%
		B		D			*	H		H	*	L*	
Don't know	88	37	51	36	28	23	15	27	31	15	-	4	84
	9%	8%	10%	13%	8%	7%	13%	8%	8%	9%	-	5%	10%
				E			*				*	J*	J
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

3_4. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Individual executives who commit unlawful acts should be prosecuted personally even when the companies they work for are not

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	849	411	439	215	313	322	87	289	342	131	94	67	688
	85%	84%	85%	77%	84%	91%	76%	85%	87%	85%	82%	79%	86%
					C	CD	*		F		*	*	
Strongly agree	563	276	287	130	209	224	71	188	218	86	59	47	457
	56%	57%	56%	46%	56%	64%	62%	55%	55%	56%	51%	56%	57%
					C	C	*				*	*	
Somewhat agree	286	135	151	85	104	97	16	101	125	45	35	20	231
	29%	28%	29%	30%	28%	28%	14%	30%	32%	29%	31%	23%	29%
							*	F	F	F	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	80	40	39	39	28	13	11	26	30	13	15	15	49
	8%	8%	8%	14%	7%	4%	9%	8%	8%	8%	13%	18%	6%
				DE	E		*				L*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	62	28	34	26	25	11	6	20	26	10	13	9	39
	6%	6%	7%	9%	7%	3%	5%	6%	7%	6%	11%	11%	5%
				E			*				L*	L*	
Strongly disagree	18	13	6	13	3	1	4	6	4	3	3	6	10
	2%	3%	1%	5%	1%	*	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	7%	1%
				DE			*				*	L*	
Don't know	75	36	39	26	31	18	17	26	21	11	6	3	66
	7%	7%	8%	9%	8%	5%	15%	8%	5%	7%	5%	3%	8%
							H*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
[Table of Contents](#)

3_5. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) should not be used when unethical or unlawful practices reflect a corrupt corporate culture throughout the company

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	679	321	358	178	248	252	75	238	265	101	89	51	539
	68%	66%	69%	64%	67%	71%	65%	70%	67%	66%	77%	60%	67%
							*				K*	*	
Strongly agree	380	185	195	79	145	156	56	140	128	57	56	22	302
	38%	38%	38%	28%	39%	44%	48%	41%	33%	37%	49%	26%	38%
					C	C	H*				KL*	*	
Somewhat agree	299	136	163	99	104	96	19	98	137	45	32	29	237
	30%	28%	31%	35%	28%	27%	17%	29%	35%	29%	28%	35%	29%
							*		F	F	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	190	107	83	56	72	61	24	53	83	30	25	29	136
	19%	22%	16%	20%	19%	17%	21%	16%	21%	19%	22%	34%	17%
		B					*				*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	129	71	58	38	53	38	14	33	63	20	18	15	96
	13%	15%	11%	14%	14%	11%	12%	10%	16%	13%	15%	18%	12%
							*		G		*	*	
Strongly disagree	61	36	25	18	19	24	10	20	20	11	7	13	40
	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	6%	16%	5%
							*				*	L*	
Don't know	136	59	77	46	51	39	16	50	46	23	2	5	129
	13%	12%	15%	16%	14%	11%	14%	15%	12%	15%	1%	6%	16%
							*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

3_6. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) are not a strong enough deterrent to stop companies from engaging in unethical or corrupt practices

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	619	307	312	170	239	210	84	215	231	88	79	50	490
	62%	63%	60%	61%	64%	60%	74%	63%	59%	57%	69%	59%	61%
							HI*				*	*	
Strongly agree	258	136	122	64	100	94	40	102	86	30	35	18	205
	26%	28%	24%	23%	27%	27%	35%	30%	22%	19%	30%	21%	26%
							HI*	HI			*	*	
Somewhat agree	361	172	189	106	139	116	45	113	145	58	44	32	285
	36%	35%	37%	38%	37%	33%	39%	33%	37%	38%	38%	38%	35%
							*				*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	235	109	126	61	80	94	11	73	104	48	27	30	178
	23%	22%	24%	22%	21%	27%	9%	21%	27%	31%	23%	36%	22%
							*	F	F	FG	*	L*	
Somewhat disagree	199	91	108	51	70	78	7	62	91	39	21	25	153
	20%	19%	21%	18%	19%	22%	6%	18%	23%	25%	18%	29%	19%
							*	F	F	F	*	*	
Strongly disagree	37	18	18	10	10	17	4	11	14	8	6	5	26
	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%	6%	3%
							*				*	*	
Don't know	150	70	79	49	53	48	20	53	58	19	9	5	135
	15%	14%	15%	17%	14%	14%	17%	16%	15%	12%	8%	6%	17%
							*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

3. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Top 2 Box Summary

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Canada should have access to the same types of tools that other developed countries have to	859	412	447	224	323	312	94	292	339	133	105	67	687
fight unethical or corrupt corporate practices	86%	85%	86%	80%	87%	89%	82%	86%	86%	86%	91%	79%	85%
Individual executives who commit unlawful acts should be prosecuted personally even when the companies they work for are not	849	411	439	215	313	322	87	289	342	131	94	67	688
Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) can be a good way for companies to cooperate with authorities and make amends for wrongdoing	85%	84%	85%	77%	84%	91%	76%	85%	87%	85%	82%	79%	86%
Canada should add deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) to the list of tools available to prosecutors so they have another way to	805	384	421	203	306	296	88	270	325	122	97	61	648
Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs)	80%	79%	81%	73%	82%	84%	76%	79%	83%	79%	84%	72%	81%
should not be used when unethical or unlawful practices reflect a corrupt corporate culture					C	C	*		F		*	*	
Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) are not a strong enough deterrent to stop companies from engaging in unethical or	798	392	406	193	306	299	85	268	319	126	100	65	633
Statistics:	80%	81%	79%	69%	82%	85%	74%	79%	81%	82%	87%	77%	79%
Overlap formula used					C	C	*				*	*	
- Column Proportions:	679	321	358	178	248	252	75	238	265	101	89	51	539
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L	68%	66%	69%	64%	67%	71%	65%	70%	67%	66%	77%	60%	67%
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)							*				K*	*	
- Column Means:	619	307	312	170	239	210	84	215	231	88	79	50	490
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L	62%	63%	60%	61%	64%	60%	74%	63%	59%	57%	69%	59%	61%
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)							HI*				*	*	

[Table of Contents](#)

3. Based on what you've just learned about the system used in the U.S. and Britain called deferred prosecution agreements or DPAs, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. - Bottom 2 Box Summary

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) are not a strong enough deterrent to stop companies from engaging in unethical or	235	109	126	61	80	94	11	73	104	48	27	30	178
	23%	22%	24%	22%	21%	27%	9%	21%	27%	31%	23%	36%	22%
Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) should not be used when unethical or unlawful practices reflect a corrupt corporate culture	190	107	83	56	72	61	24	53	83	30	25	29	136
Deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) can be a good way for companies to cooperate with authorities and make amends for wrongdoing	19%	22%	16%	20%	19%	17%	21%	16%	21%	19%	22%	34%	17%
		B					*				*	L*	
Canada should add deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) to the list of tools available to prosecutors so they have another way to	111	65	46	41	37	33	12	43	38	18	19	20	72
	11%	13%	9%	15%	10%	9%	11%	13%	10%	12%	16%	23%	9%
Canada should have access to the same types of tools that other developed countries have to fight unethical or corrupt corporate practices		B					*				L*	L*	
	99	51	47	42	25	32	12	33	39	15	10	14	75
Individual executives who commit unlawful acts should be prosecuted personally even when the companies they work for are not	10%	11%	9%	15%	7%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	8%	17%	9%
				DE			*				*	*	
	81	48	34	31	24	26	7	28	35	11	10	14	57
	8%	10%	6%	11%	6%	7%	6%	8%	9%	7%	8%	17%	7%
							*				*	L*	
	80	40	39	39	28	13	11	26	30	13	15	15	49
	8%	8%	8%	14%	7%	4%	9%	8%	8%	8%	13%	18%	6%
				DE	E		*				L*	L*	

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

4_1. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to target the individual business executives who may have personally broken the law

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	913	443	471	232	338	344	93	308	367	145	108	71	734
	91%	91%	91%	83%	91%	97%	81%	90%	93%	94%	94%	84%	91%
					C	CD	*		F	F	K*	*	K
Very important	672	320	352	151	245	276	83	238	251	100	80	47	545
	67%	66%	68%	54%	66%	78%	73%	70%	64%	64%	69%	55%	68%
					C	CD	*				*	*	K
Somewhat important	242	123	118	81	93	68	10	70	117	45	28	24	189
	24%	25%	23%	29%	25%	19%	9%	20%	30%	29%	25%	29%	23%
				E			*	F	FG	FG	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	39	25	15	25	14	1	10	10	14	5	7	14	19
	4%	5%	3%	9%	4%	*	9%	3%	4%	3%	6%	16%	2%
				DE	E		*				*	JL*	
Not very important	31	19	13	19	11	1	10	6	11	4	5	14	13
	3%	4%	2%	7%	3%	*	9%	2%	3%	3%	4%	16%	2%
				E	E		GHI*				*	JL*	
Not at all important	8	6	2	6	2	-	-	5	3	*	2	-	6
	1%	1%	*	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	*	2%	-	1%
				E			*				*	*	
Don't know	51	20	32	24	20	8	11	23	12	5	-	-	51
	5%	4%	6%	8%	5%	2%	10%	7%	3%	3%	-	-	6%
				E			H*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

4_2. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to ensure that innocent people don't lose their jobs, their pensions or their investments because of the actions of individual executives at a company

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	908	433	475	227	342	338	94	309	365	140	107	74	726
	90%	89%	92%	81%	92%	96%	81%	91%	93%	90%	93%	87%	90%
Very important					C	CD	*		F		*	*	
	686	311	375	167	250	269	80	244	263	99	78	46	562
	68%	64%	73%	60%	67%	76%	69%	72%	67%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Somewhat important			A			CD	*				*	*	K
	222	122	100	60	92	70	14	65	102	40	30	28	164
	22%	25%	19%	22%	25%	20%	12%	19%	26%	26%	26%	33%	20%
Bottom 2 Box (Net)							*		F	F	*	L*	
	48	34	13	31	11	5	10	14	14	9	7	11	30
	5%	7%	3%	11%	3%	2%	9%	4%	4%	6%	6%	13%	4%
Not very important		B		DE			*				*	L*	
	38	26	12	25	8	5	8	10	12	8	6	7	26
	4%	5%	2%	9%	2%	2%	7%	3%	3%	5%	5%	8%	3%
Not at all important		B		DE			*				*	*	
	9	8	1	7	3	-	2	4	3	*	1	4	4
	1%	2%	*	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	*	1%	5%	1%
Don't know		B		E			*				*	L*	
	49	20	29	21	19	9	11	17	14	6	1	-	48
	5%	4%	6%	8%	5%	2%	10%	5%	3%	4%	1%	-	6%
Sigma				E			H*				*	*	K
	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

4_3. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to take into account the negative impact that law enforcement efforts could have on Canadian jobs, company shareholders and the economy as a whole

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	782	374	409	212	292	279	84	274	305	120	97	68	618
	78%	77%	79%	76%	79%	79%	73%	80%	77%	78%	84%	80%	77%
							*				*	*	
Very important	374	189	185	113	130	131	55	148	128	44	50	33	291
	37%	39%	36%	40%	35%	37%	48%	43%	32%	28%	44%	39%	36%
							HI*	HI			*	*	
Somewhat important	409	185	224	99	162	147	29	126	177	76	46	35	327
	41%	38%	43%	35%	44%	42%	25%	37%	45%	49%	40%	42%	41%
							*		F	FG	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	140	81	59	40	47	54	20	35	61	25	15	15	110
	14%	17%	11%	14%	13%	15%	17%	10%	15%	16%	13%	18%	14%
		B					*				*	*	
Not very important	99	53	47	32	34	33	14	25	42	19	12	9	78
	10%	11%	9%	12%	9%	9%	12%	7%	11%	12%	10%	11%	10%
							*				*	*	
Not at all important	41	28	13	8	13	20	6	10	19	6	4	6	31
	4%	6%	2%	3%	3%	6%	5%	3%	5%	4%	3%	7%	4%
		B					*				*	*	
Don't know	81	32	49	28	33	20	11	32	28	10	3	1	76
	8%	7%	9%	10%	9%	6%	10%	9%	7%	6%	3%	2%	9%
							*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

4_4. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Legislation should be structured to make it easier for companies to come forward and cooperate with law enforcement

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Top 2 Box (Net)	895	430	465	235	325	335	93	306	358	139	105	76	714
	89%	88%	90%	84%	88%	95%	81%	90%	91%	90%	91%	90%	89%
						CD	*		F		*	*	
Very important	525	243	282	128	186	211	64	192	198	71	63	39	423
	52%	50%	54%	46%	50%	60%	56%	56%	50%	46%	54%	46%	53%
						CD	*	I			*	*	
Somewhat important	371	187	183	108	140	123	29	114	160	68	42	37	291
	37%	38%	35%	38%	38%	35%	25%	33%	41%	44%	37%	44%	36%
							*		F	FG	*	*	
Bottom 2 Box (Net)	43	31	12	20	18	5	8	11	17	7	10	7	26
	4%	6%	2%	7%	5%	1%	7%	3%	4%	5%	8%	9%	3%
		B		E	E		*				L*	L*	
Not very important	31	20	11	14	13	4	6	6	13	6	7	7	18
	3%	4%	2%	5%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%	4%	6%	8%	2%
				E			*				*	L*	
Not at all important	12	11	1	6	5	*	2	5	4	1	3	*	8
	1%	2%	*	2%	1%	*	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%
		B		E			*				*	*	
Don't know	66	26	40	24	28	13	14	24	19	8	*	1	64
	7%	5%	8%	9%	8%	4%	12%	7%	5%	5%	*	2%	8%
				E			H*				*	*	J
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

4. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Top 2 Box Summary

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Legislation should be structured to target the individual business executives who may have personally broken the law	913	443	471	232	338	344	93	308	367	145	108	71	734
	91%	91%	91%	83%	91%	97%	81%	90%	93%	94%	94%	84%	91%
Legislation should be structured to ensure that innocent people don't lose their jobs, their pensions or their investments because of the Legislation should be structured to make it easier for companies to come forward and cooperate with law enforcement					C	CD	*		F	F	K*	*	K
	908	433	475	227	342	338	94	309	365	140	107	74	726
Legislation should be structured to take into account the negative impact that law enforcement efforts could have on Canadian	90%	89%	92%	81%	92%	96%	81%	91%	93%	90%	93%	87%	90%
					C	CD	*		F		*	*	
Statistics:	895	430	465	235	325	335	93	306	358	139	105	76	714
	89%	88%	90%	84%	88%	95%	81%	90%	91%	90%	91%	90%	89%
Overlap formula used						CD	*		F		*	*	
	782	374	409	212	292	279	84	274	305	120	97	68	618
- Column Proportions:	78%	77%	79%	76%	79%	79%	73%	80%	77%	78%	84%	80%	77%
							*				*	*	

Statistics:

Overlap formula used

- Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

4. There are a number of factors that the Government of Canada may consider when drafting white-collar crime legislation. Please indicate how important or unimportant you consider each of the following factors. - Bottom 2 Box Summary

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Legislation should be structured to take into account the negative impact that law enforcement efforts could have on Canadian	140	81	59	40	47	54	20	35	61	25	15	15	110
enforcement efforts could have on Canadian	14%	17%	11%	14%	13%	15%	17%	10%	15%	16%	13%	18%	14%
Legislation should be structured to ensure that innocent people don't lose their jobs, their pensions or their investments because of the		B					*				*	*	
Legislation should be structured to make it easier for companies to come forward and cooperate with law enforcement	48	34	13	31	11	5	10	14	14	9	7	11	30
Legislation should be structured to target the individual business executives who may have personally broken the law	5%	7%	3%	11%	3%	2%	9%	4%	4%	6%	6%	13%	4%
		B		DE			*				*	L*	
	43	31	12	20	18	5	8	11	17	7	10	7	26
	4%	6%	2%	7%	5%	1%	7%	3%	4%	5%	8%	9%	3%
		B		E	E		*				L*	L*	
	39	25	15	25	14	1	10	10	14	5	7	14	19
	4%	5%	3%	9%	4%	*	9%	3%	4%	3%	6%	16%	2%
				DE	E		*				*	JL*	

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

5. In the United States, the public prosecutor decides the terms of a deferred prosecution agreement with a corporation, without the involvement of a judge. In the UK, a judge is mandated to oversee the terms and conditions. Which do you think would be more effective, a system with or without the involvement of a judge?

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
With the involvement of a judge	888	433	455	256	326	307	104	303	347	134	99	76	713
	88%	89%	88%	91%	88%	87%	91%	89%	88%	87%	86%	89%	89%
							*				*	*	
Without the involvement of a judge	116	54	62	24	46	46	11	38	46	21	16	9	90
	12%	11%	12%	9%	12%	13%	9%	11%	12%	13%	14%	11%	11%
							*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

6. Now that you have learned a bit more about the different ways that countries can approach dealing with unethical and corrupt corporate practices, do you think Canada should:

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Stick with the current system which gives prosecutors the choice to 1. Negotiate a guilty plea, 2. Go to court in hopes of securing a Adopt a system like in the U.S. and Britain, which allows prosecutors to 1. Negotiate a guilty plea, 2. Go to court in hopes of securing a I don't know	163	96	67	50	63	50	13	54	74	22	24	26	113
	16%	20%	13%	18%	17%	14%	12%	16%	19%	14%	20%	31%	14%
		B					*				*	L*	
	677	323	354	175	248	254	68	230	270	108	87	53	536
	67%	66%	68%	63%	67%	72%	59%	67%	69%	70%	76%	63%	67%
						C	*				*	*	
	164	68	96	55	61	49	33	57	50	24	5	5	154
	16%	14%	19%	19%	16%	14%	29%	17%	13%	16%	4%	6%	19%
							GHI*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

7. Please indicate which of the following best described your stance:

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
I am aware of and support the LEAP manifesto.	115	73	43	31	59	25	15	43	42	14	115	-	-
	11%	15%	8%	11%	16%	7%	13%	13%	11%	9%	100%	-	-
		B			E		*				KL*	*	
I am aware of and do not support the LEAP manifesto.	85	62	23	29	24	32	8	30	27	19	-	85	-
	8%	13%	4%	10%	6%	9%	7%	9%	7%	13%	-	100%	-
		B					*			H	*	JL*	
I am not aware of the LEAP manifesto.	624	278	345	173	218	233	65	202	257	100	-	-	624
	62%	57%	67%	62%	59%	66%	56%	59%	65%	65%	-	-	78%
			A				*				*	*	JK
Don't know	180	74	107	47	72	62	26	66	68	20	-	-	180
	18%	15%	21%	17%	19%	17%	23%	19%	17%	13%	-	-	22%
			A				*				*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
[Table of Contents](#)

GENDER

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Male	487	487	-	135	175	178	72	156	184	75	73	62	352
	49%	100%	-	48%	47%	50%	63%	46%	47%	48%	63%	73%	44%
		B					GHI*				L*	L*	
Female	517	-	517	146	197	175	43	185	210	80	43	23	452
	51%	-	100%	52%	53%	50%	37%	54%	53%	52%	37%	27%	56%
			A				*	F	F	F	*	*	JK
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

AGE

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
18-34 (Net)	280	135	146	280	-	-	45	94	95	47	31	29	220
	28%	28%	28%	100%	-	-	39%	27%	24%	30%	27%	34%	27%
				DE			H*				*	*	
18-24	131	56	75	131	-	-	16	66	37	12	14	10	107
	13%	11%	15%	47%	-	-	14%	19%	9%	8%	12%	12%	13%
				DE			*	HI			*	*	
25-34	149	79	70	149	-	-	29	28	58	35	18	19	113
	15%	16%	14%	53%	-	-	25%	8%	15%	23%	15%	22%	14%
				DE			G*		G	GH	*	*	
35-54 (Net)	371	175	197	-	371	-	41	126	149	55	59	24	289
	37%	36%	38%	-	100%	-	36%	37%	38%	36%	51%	28%	36%
					CE		*				KL*	*	
35-44	156	85	71	-	156	-	18	38	70	30	26	11	118
	16%	17%	14%	-	42%	-	16%	11%	18%	19%	23%	13%	15%
					CE		*		G	G	*	*	
45-54	216	90	126	-	216	-	23	88	79	25	33	12	171
	21%	18%	24%	-	58%	-	20%	26%	20%	16%	28%	14%	21%
			A		CE		*	I			K*	*	
55+ (Net)	352	178	175	-	-	352	29	121	150	53	25	32	295
	35%	36%	34%	-	-	100%	25%	36%	38%	34%	22%	38%	37%
						CD	*		F		*	J*	J
55-64	173	86	87	-	-	173	15	57	78	23	10	15	148
	17%	18%	17%	-	-	49%	13%	17%	20%	15%	9%	17%	18%
						CD	*				*	*	J
65+	179	91	88	-	-	179	14	64	71	30	15	17	147
	18%	19%	17%	-	-	51%	12%	19%	18%	19%	13%	21%	18%
						CD	*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
Mean	46.9	47.1	46.8	25.5	45.4	65.5	43.5	46.8	48.2	46.6	44.6	46.3	47.3
					C		*		F		*	*	
STD. DEV.	17.02	17.12	16.95	5.32	6.1	7	17.48	17.87	16.25	16.44	15.77	18.16	17.06
STD. ERR.	0.54	0.79	0.73	0.32	0.32	0.36	2.2	1.31	0.78	0.91	1.52	1.94	0.6
Median	48	47.08	48	25	46	65	42	50	49	45	44.9	46.46	49

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

EDUCATION

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Primary School or less	7	4	3	2	2	3	7	-	-	-	-	-	7
	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
							GHI*				*	*	
Some high school	108	68	40	43	39	26	108	-	-	-	15	8	84
	11%	14%	8%	15%	11%	7%	94%	-	-	-	13%	10%	11%
		B		E			GHI*				*	*	
Graduated high school	341	156	185	94	126	121	-	341	-	-	43	30	268
	34%	32%	36%	33%	34%	34%	-	100%	-	-	37%	36%	33%
							*	FHI			*	*	
Some college / CEGEP / Trade School	119	59	60	36	45	37	-	-	119	-	14	8	97
	12%	12%	12%	13%	12%	11%	-	-	30%	-	12%	9%	12%
							*		FGI		*	*	
Graduated from college / CEGEP / Trade School	199	86	112	38	85	76	-	-	199	-	21	10	167
	20%	18%	22%	13%	23%	22%	-	-	50%	-	18%	12%	21%
					C	C	*		FGI		*	*	
Some university, but did not finish	76	39	38	21	19	36	-	-	76	-	7	9	60
	8%	8%	7%	8%	5%	10%	-	-	19%	-	6%	10%	8%
						D	*		FGI		*	*	
University undergraduate degree, such as a Bachelor's Degree	99	49	50	29	40	29	-	-	-	99	6	11	82
	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	8%	-	-	-	64%	5%	13%	10%
							*			FGH	*	*	
University graduate degree, such as a Master's or PhD	56	26	30	17	15	23	-	-	-	56	9	8	39
	6%	5%	6%	6%	4%	7%	-	-	-	36%	7%	10%	5%
							*			FGH	*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
<HS	115	72	43	45	41	29	115	-	-	-	15	8	91
	11%	15%	8%	16%	11%	8%	100%	-	-	-	13%	10%	11%
		B		E			GHI*				*	*	
HS	341	156	185	94	126	121	-	341	-	-	43	30	268
	34%	32%	36%	33%	34%	34%	-	100%	-	-	37%	36%	33%
							*	FHI			*	*	
Post Sec	394	184	210	95	149	150	-	-	394	-	42	27	324
	39%	38%	41%	34%	40%	43%	-	-	100%	-	37%	32%	40%
					C		*		FGI		*	*	
Univ Grad	155	75	80	47	55	53	-	-	-	155	14	19	121
	15%	15%	15%	17%	15%	15%	-	-	-	100%	12%	23%	15%
							*			FGH	*	*	

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
[Table of Contents](#)

REGION

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
BC	135	57	78	37	37	60	11	39	65	20	10	12	113
	13%	12%	15%	13%	10%	17%	10%	11%	16%	13%	8%	15%	14%
						D	*				*	*	
AB	107	53	53	42	21	44	18	29	43	18	6	20	81
	11%	11%	10%	15%	6%	12%	15%	8%	11%	11%	5%	24%	10%
				D		D	*				*	JL*	
SK/MB	65	34	31	19	15	31	4	32	17	12	8	3	55
	7%	7%	6%	7%	4%	9%	3%	9%	4%	8%	7%	4%	7%
						D	*	H		H	*	*	
Ontario	385	159	226	95	155	135	34	132	153	66	37	28	320
	38%	33%	44%	34%	42%	38%	29%	39%	39%	43%	32%	33%	40%
			A				*				*	*	
Quebec	241	149	93	62	113	66	36	86	87	32	51	20	170
	24%	31%	18%	22%	31%	19%	31%	25%	22%	21%	44%	23%	21%
		B			CE		*				KL*	*	
Atlantic	71	36	36	26	29	16	13	23	28	7	4	1	66
	7%	7%	7%	9%	8%	5%	11%	7%	7%	5%	4%	2%	8%
				E			*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

INCOME

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
<\$25K	196	103	93	69	68	59	41	79	65	11	29	5	162
	20%	21%	18%	25%	18%	17%	35%	23%	17%	7%	25%	6%	20%
				E			HI*	I	I		K*	*	K
\$25K - <\$55K	309	160	149	79	131	99	34	120	116	39	50	29	230
	31%	33%	29%	28%	35%	28%	30%	35%	29%	25%	44%	34%	29%
							*	I			L*	*	
\$55K - <\$100K	253	118	135	57	90	106	25	77	110	42	24	26	203
	25%	24%	26%	20%	24%	30%	21%	22%	28%	27%	21%	31%	25%
						C	*				*	*	
\$100K - <\$150K	103	52	51	26	46	31	2	23	42	35	7	16	80
	10%	11%	10%	9%	12%	9%	2%	7%	11%	23%	6%	19%	10%
							*		F	FGH	*	JL*	
\$150K+	29	15	14	10	12	6	2	4	13	9	1	2	25
	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	6%	1%	3%	3%
							*			G	*	*	
Prefer not to answer	115	39	76	39	26	51	11	38	48	19	4	6	104
	11%	8%	15%	14%	7%	14%	9%	11%	12%	12%	4%	8%	13%
			A	D		D	*				*	*	J
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Summary													
Under \$50K	443	229	214	132	178	133	73	175	158	37	71	22	350
	44%	47%	41%	47%	48%	38%	64%	51%	40%	24%	61%	26%	44%
				E	E		HI*	HI	I		KL*	*	K
\$50K+	446	219	227	109	168	169	31	129	188	99	40	56	350
	44%	45%	44%	39%	45%	48%	27%	38%	48%	64%	35%	66%	43%
							*		FG	FGH	*	JL*	
Under \$40K	352	176	176	105	144	103	61	137	130	25	58	16	279
	35%	36%	34%	38%	39%	29%	53%	40%	33%	16%	50%	19%	35%
				E	E		HI*	I	I		KL*	*	K
\$40K to less than \$60K	182	97	84	50	66	66	16	74	63	29	24	19	139
	18%	20%	16%	18%	18%	19%	14%	22%	16%	19%	21%	23%	17%
							*				*	*	
\$60K to less than \$100K	223	108	116	50	78	96	23	65	98	38	22	25	176
	22%	22%	22%	18%	21%	27%	20%	19%	25%	25%	19%	30%	22%
						C	*				*	*	
\$100K or more	131	67	65	36	58	37	4	27	55	44	8	18	105
	13%	14%	13%	13%	16%	11%	4%	8%	14%	29%	7%	21%	13%
							*		FG	FGH	*	J*	
Mean (,000)	59.5	59.5	59.5	57.9	60.3	59.9	45	50.5	62.4	83.3	48.1	75.7	59.5
							*		FG	FGH	*	JL*	J
STD. DEV.	43.87	45.18	42.55	48.9	45.68	37.09	47.66	34.28	42.41	52.64	32.68	45.78	44.67
STD. ERR.	1.47	2.13	2.03	3.15	2.46	2.14	4.67	1.97	2.28	4.52	3.1	5.17	1.69

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Kids	214	102	112	88	115	11	26	69	77	42	22	22	169
	21%	21%	22%	31%	31%	3%	23%	20%	19%	27%	19%	26%	21%
				E	E		*			H	*	*	
No Kids	790	385	406	192	256	342	89	272	317	112	93	63	635
	79%	79%	78%	69%	69%	97%	77%	80%	81%	73%	81%	74%	79%
						CD	*		I		*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

HHCMP1. How many people are living or staying at your current address?

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
1	246	142	104	53	84	109	30	78	94	43	31	27	188
	24%	29%	20%	19%	23%	31%	26%	23%	24%	28%	27%	32%	23%
		B				CD	*				*	*	
2	398	185	212	72	121	205	33	145	164	55	50	31	316
	40%	38%	41%	26%	33%	58%	29%	43%	42%	36%	44%	37%	39%
						CD	*				*	*	
3	179	78	100	72	83	23	30	55	67	26	20	13	145
	18%	16%	19%	26%	22%	7%	26%	16%	17%	17%	17%	16%	18%
				E	E		*				*	*	
4	102	44	58	49	43	9	4	41	35	22	10	4	87
	10%	9%	11%	18%	12%	3%	3%	12%	9%	14%	9%	5%	11%
				E	E		*			FH	*	*	
5	58	27	31	26	26	6	15	8	30	5	2	7	49
	6%	6%	6%	9%	7%	2%	13%	2%	8%	4%	2%	8%	6%
				E	E		GI*		GI		*	*	
6	17	6	11	4	13	-	-	14	2	1	1	2	14
	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	-	-	4%	*	1%	1%	3%	2%
				E	E		*	HI			*	*	
7	3	3	*	3	-	-	2	-	1	*	-	-	3
	*	1%	*	1%	-	-	2%	-	*	*	-	-	*
							*				*	*	
9	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*
							*				*	*	
12+	1	1	*	*	1	-	-	-	1	*	*	1	-
	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	-	*	*	*	1%	-
							*				*	L*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

EMPLOYMENT STATUS

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Employed full-time	340	200	140	107	186	48	30	96	145	70	53	39	248
	34%	41%	27%	38%	50%	13%	26%	28%	37%	45%	46%	46%	31%
Employed part-time		B		E	CE		*		G	FGH	L*	L*	
	138	45	93	53	47	38	15	52	54	18	14	7	118
	14%	9%	18%	19%	13%	11%	13%	15%	14%	11%	12%	8%	15%
Self employed			A	E			*				*	*	
	47	28	19	11	24	12	4	13	19	11	8	5	34
	5%	6%	4%	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	5%	7%	7%	6%	4%
Unemployed but looking for a job							*				*	*	
	63	33	30	27	26	10	10	22	27	4	5	5	53
	6%	7%	6%	10%	7%	3%	9%	6%	7%	3%	5%	6%	7%
Unemployed and not looking for a job/Long-term sick or disabled				E	E		*		I		*	*	
	76	37	39	10	48	17	22	34	17	3	12	2	62
	8%	8%	7%	4%	13%	5%	19%	10%	4%	2%	11%	2%	8%
Full-time parent, homemaker					CE		HI*	HI	I		K*	*	
	39	1	38	13	24	2	4	15	15	5	2	*	36
	4%	*	7%	5%	6%	1%	4%	5%	4%	3%	2%	1%	5%
Retired			A	E	E		*				*	*	
	238	122	116	2	12	225	24	82	97	36	20	23	196
	24%	25%	22%	1%	3%	64%	21%	24%	25%	23%	17%	27%	24%
Student/Pupil						CD	*				*	*	
	52	17	35	50	1	1	2	27	16	8	1	4	47
	5%	4%	7%	18%	*	*	2%	8%	4%	5%	1%	5%	6%
Prefer not to answer			A	DE			*				*	*	
	10	3	7	6	4	*	4	-	4	2	-	-	10
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	*	4%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%
Sigma				E			G*			G	*	*	
	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

USMAR2. What is your marital status?

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Single, never married	354	191	164	188	127	39	56	132	117	49	42	33	279
	35%	39%	32%	67%	34%	11%	49%	39%	30%	32%	37%	39%	35%
		B		DE	E		HI*	H			*	*	
Living with partner	115	55	60	46	47	22	16	35	51	14	9	10	96
	11%	11%	12%	16%	13%	6%	14%	10%	13%	9%	8%	12%	12%
				E	E		*				*	*	
Married	399	184	215	43	154	202	25	129	168	77	45	35	319
	40%	38%	42%	15%	41%	57%	22%	38%	43%	50%	39%	41%	40%
					C	CD	*	F	F	FG	*	*	
Widowed	36	14	22	-	2	34	6	9	17	3	5	*	30
	4%	3%	4%	-	1%	10%	5%	3%	4%	2%	4%	1%	4%
						CD	*				*	*	
Divorced or separated	100	44	56	3	42	55	12	36	41	11	14	6	80
	10%	9%	11%	1%	11%	16%	11%	10%	10%	7%	12%	7%	10%
					C	C	*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

US01PGS. How much of your household's grocery shopping do you, yourself, do?

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
All of it	495	227	268	115	210	170	58	162	196	79	65	41	389
	49%	47%	52%	41%	57%	48%	50%	48%	50%	51%	57%	48%	48%
					CE		*				*	*	
Almost all of it	218	73	145	63	68	86	9	91	84	34	27	18	173
	22%	15%	28%	23%	18%	25%	8%	27%	21%	22%	23%	22%	21%
			A				*	F	F	F	*	*	
About half of it	181	117	65	50	69	62	27	48	77	30	18	13	151
	18%	24%	13%	18%	18%	18%	24%	14%	19%	19%	15%	15%	19%
			B				*				*	*	
Less than half of it	85	52	32	39	21	24	19	31	26	8	6	10	69
	8%	11%	6%	14%	6%	7%	17%	9%	6%	5%	5%	12%	9%
			B	DE			HI*				*	*	
None	25	18	7	13	3	9	2	9	11	3	-	3	22
	2%	4%	1%	5%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	-	3%	3%
			B	D			*				*	*	
Sigma	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)
- Column Means:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L
Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)

CAETHN2. Sometimes people identify themselves with a specific ethnicity or cultural background which is different from their citizenship or nationality. Thinking about your own identity in ethnic or cultural terms, please select which group you most identify yourself with.

		Gender		AGE			EDUCATION						
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<HS	HS	Post Sec	Univ Grad	Support	Oppose	Unaware
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Base: All Respondents (unwtd)	1004	470	534	274	359	371	63	185	431	325	107	88	809
Base: All Respondents (wtd)	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
Aboriginal (North American Indian, Métis, Inuit, etc.)	18	10	8	7	6	5	2	8	6	2	3	-	15
	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	2%
African							*				*	*	
	4	3	2	2	2	-	-	-	2	2	*	-	4
	*	1%	*	1%	1%	-	-	-	*	1%	*	-	*
American (US)							*			G	*	*	
	7	2	5	3	2	2	-	-	6	1	*	-	7
	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	*	-	1%
Arabic / Middle Eastern							*				*	*	
	5	3	2	3	2	-	-	-	3	2	1	*	3
	*	1%	*	1%	*	-	-	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	*
British							*			G	*	*	
	35	13	22	1	13	21	-	8	20	7	4	4	27
	3%	3%	4%	*	3%	6%	-	2%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%
Canadian					C	C	*			F	*	*	
	775	378	397	198	284	294	105	284	292	93	94	63	619
	77%	78%	77%	71%	76%	83%	92%	83%	74%	60%	81%	74%	77%
Caribbean (not including Jamaican)						CD	HI*	HI	I		*	*	
	5	4	2	1	2	3	-	2	3	1	-	-	5
	1%	1%	*	*	*	1%	-	1%	1%	*	-	-	1%
Chinese							*				*	*	
	26	9	17	16	9	*	-	6	7	13	-	2	24
	3%	2%	3%	6%	3%	*	-	2%	2%	8%	-	2%	3%
Dutch (Netherlands)				E	E		*			FGH	*	*	
	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	*	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*
East Indian (India)							*				*	*	
	8	6	2	4	3	1	-	-	2	6	1	2	5
	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	*	-	-	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%
Filipino							*			GH	*	*	
	12	2	10	9	3	1	-	4	6	2	*	-	12
	1%	*	2%	3%	1%	*	-	1%	2%	2%	*	-	1%
French				DE			*				*	*	
	11	10	2	3	9	-	2	2	4	3	1	2	7
	1%	2%	*	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%
German		B			E		*				*	*	
	7	2	6	1	2	5	-	-	6	1	1	2	4
	1%	*	1%	*	*	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Greek							*				*	*	
	2	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1
	*	*	-	*	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	1%	*
Hungarian							*				*	*	
	1	*	1	*	-	1	-	-	1	*	-	-	1
	*	*	*	*	-	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	*
Iranian							*				*	*	
	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	*	-	-	2
	*	*	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	*	-	-	*
Irish							*				*	*	
	11	6	4	3	5	3	-	4	5	2	3	2	6

	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%
							*				*	*	
Italian	8	3	5	2	5	1	-	-	5	3	1	-	7
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	-	-	1%	2%	1%	-	1%
Jamaican / Caribbean							*			G	*	*	
	3	-	3	-	-	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	3
Japanese	*	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	*	-	-	-	*
							*				*	*	
Korean	2	1	2	-	2	*	-	-	-	2	-	-	2
	*	*	*	-	1%	*	-	-	-	2%	-	-	*
Latin American (other than Mexican)							*			GH	*	*	
	2	1	2	2	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	2
Mexican	*	*	*	1%	*	-	-	-	*	1%	-	-	*
							*				*	*	
Pakistani	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2
	*	*	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	*
Polish	3	2	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	3
	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	-	*	1%	-	-	*
Russian							*				*	*	
	6	3	3	-	3	3	2	2	1	1	-	2	4
Ukrainian	1%	1%	*	-	1%	1%	2%	*	*	1%	-	3%	*
							*				*	L*	
Vietnamese	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	*	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*
Other Central / Eastern European (Romanian, Slovak, etc.)							*				*	*	
	4	2	1	2	1	1	-	-	3	1	2	*	1
Other Western European (Belgium, Norway, etc.)	*	*	*	1%	*	*	-	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	*
							*				L*	*	
Other South Asian / South East Asian (Bangladeshi, Indonesian, etc.)	3	2	*	-	-	3	-	-	2	1	-	1	1
	*	*	*	-	-	1%	-	-	*	*	-	2%	*
Other							*				*	L*	
	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1
Prefer not to say	*	-	*	1%	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	1%	*
							*				*	*	
Sigma	14	9	5	7	4	2	2	4	5	2	-	-	14
	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	2%
Statistics:							*				*	*	
	9	3	6	4	5	-	1	2	4	2	-	-	9
Overlap formula used	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%
				E			*				*	*	
- Column Proportions:	1004	487	517	280	371	352	115	341	394	155	115	85	804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L													

Statistics:
Overlap formula used
- Column Proportions:
Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

- Column Means:

Columns Tested (5%): A/B,C/D/E,F/G/H/I, J/K/L

Minimum Base: 30 (**), Small Base: 100 (*)

[Table of Contents](#)